

Naturalization of almond trees (Prunus dulcis) in semi-arid regions of the Western Mediterranean. Pablo Homet-Gutierrez¹, Eugene W. Schupp², José M. Gómez^{1,3*} ¹Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias. Universidad de Granada, España. ² Department of Wildland Resources and the Ecology Center. Utah State University. USA. ³Dpt de Ecología Evolutiva y Funcional, Estación Experimental de Zonas Aridas (EEZA-CSIC), Almería, España. *Corresponding author

Abstract

 Agricultural land abandonment is rampant in present day Europe. A major consequence of this phenomenon is the re-colonization of these areas by the original vegetation. However, some agricultural, exotic species are able to naturalize and colonize these abandoned lands. In this study we explore the ability of almonds (Prunus dulcis D.A. Webb.) to establish in abandoned croplands in semi-arid areas of SE Iberian Peninsula. Domesticated during the early Holocene in SW Asia and the Eastern Mediterranean, the almond has spread as a crop all over the world. We established three plots adjacent to almond orchards on land that was abandoned and reforested with Aleppo pine (Pinus halepensis Mill.) and Holm oak (Quercus ilex L.) about 20 years ago. We recorded the abundance of almond seeds, seedlings, juveniles, prereproductives and adults in these plots, and determined their recruitment microhabitat. We found natural regeneration in all three plots, with almond density higher than 150 individuals/ha in each plot. About half of the almonds in the plots were juveniles, although 7% were one-year seedlings and 3% were adult trees. This suggests that colonization of abandoned lands is an ongoing gradual process. Most naturally established almonds were under tree cover, although this was not the most abundant microhabitat, avoiding the negative impact of browsing by Red Deer (Cervus elaphus) and domestic sheep and goats. In addition, the mean distance from the nearest seed source was 77 m. These findings suggest the action of mobile almond dispersers. Several Corvid species including the Carrion Crow (Corvus corone) and the Magpie (Pica pica) have been observed consuming and moving almonds in the study area. Our study has demonstrated that almonds are colonizing abandoned lands mostly as a consequence of effective seed dispersal by some animals. We show that almonds have the potential to become fully naturalized in the near future in many semi-arid areas of the Western Mediterranean.

Keywords

Rosaceae, seed dispersal, dyplochory, diszoochory, crop, land abandonment.

Introduction

Abandonment of agricultural activities, mostly in marginal and less productive lands, is a widespread phenomenon in Europe (Verburg and Overmars, 2009; Renwick et al., 2013). Dramatic changes in plant communities and land cover happen after land abandonment (Poyatos et al., 2003; Gehrig-Fasel et al., 2007; Cramer et al., 2008; Stoate et al. 2009). Abandoned croplands are often recolonized by native species that were removed from those areas when humans started to cultivate them. Nevertheless, many crops become naturalized themselves. This naturalization process has happened since the beginning of agriculture, leading to the origin of many invasive species (Reichard and White, 2001). Arid environments can be especially sensitive to invasion by domestic species (Loope et al., 1988; Rejmanek and Randall, 1994). Although we generally think of herbaceous species, trees have also naturalized in exotic places after being introduced for horticulture or forestry (Richardson and Rejmánek, 2011). For example, the sweet chestnut *Castanea sativa* now occupies many areas in the Mediterranean Basin after being disseminated by Greek and Roman civilizations (Conedera et al., 2004). Similarly, most invasive *Pinus* species were introduced in novel areas for their use in commercial forestry and agroforestry (Richardson, 1998).

The almond, *Prunus dulcis* (Mill.) D.A. Webb (syn. *Amygdalus communis* L. and *Prunus communis* Archang) (Rosaceae), is an economically important nut tree crop. With an apparent origin as an edible crop in southwest Asia and the eastern Mediterranean (Browicz and Zohary, 1996; Delplancke et al., 2013; Ladizinsky, 1999; Roher, 2012) it has been spread all over the arid and semi-arid world by the actions of man. The almond tree is probably one of the earliest domesticated trees in the Old World, with archaeological evidence suggesting domestication about 6,300-5,300 yr. BP (Zohary et al., 2012). The annual world production of almonds exceeds 2 million tons (FAO, 2013). Spain is the second largest producer of almonds in the world with a production of approximately 69,636 tons (USDA, 2013). Presently, approximately 571,183 ha are under cultivation in Spain (Ministerio de Agricultura, Alimentacion y Medio Ambiente, 2012), although this area is continuing to decrease due to abandonment of groves.

The almond tree is a small deciduous tree reaching 5-8 m in height. The 25–40 mm fruit is ovoid-oblong and flattened. The pulp (mesocarp) is dry and leathery, splitting on maturity to reveal the stone (Rohrer, 2012). Although there are some anecdotal reports (Blanca and Díaz de la Guardia, 1998; Rohrer, 2012) no quantitative studies have been performed on the process of naturalization of almond populations. The main goal of our study is to demonstrate that almond trees can effectively colonize semi-arid regions of the Western Mediterranean. In this study, in three areas from SE Spain where almonds seem to be naturalized, we characterized 1) the density and life stage structure of naturalized almonds, 2) the microhabitat distribution of established almonds, and 3) the frequency and intensity of browsing by mammals.

Methods

Study site

Our study site is located in the Hoya de Guadix-Baza in the north of the Province of Granada (37° 19′ 42″ N, 3° 2′ 27″ W). This is a zone that was intensively cultivated in the past but presently is a mosaic of oak dehesas intermixed with aforestation patches and dryland agricuture, especially almonds.

The study site has a continental Mediterranean climate with strong fluctuations in temperature (mean = 14.4° C with maximum and minimum reaching 40° C and -14° C, respectively). Summers are hot and dry while winters are cold. Precipitation is low (250-300 mm/yr) and concentrated in fall and winter.

In this zone we selected three aforestation plots that had been planted with Aleppo pine (*Pinus halepensis* Mill.) and Holm oak (*Quercus ilex* L.) in approximately 1995 (Figure 1). Although there has been recruitment of additional species, the vegetative cover of woody plants is still almost exclusively of these two species; in addition, all three plots have extensive areas of bare ground and herbaceous vegetation. Pines mostly range from about 2 - 6 and up to 8 m in height with branches all the way to the ground creating protected shaded understories. Oak trees vary mostly from approximately 0.5 to 3 m in height; they also have branches all the way to the ground but the canopies are less dense and therefore provide less shade.



Figure 1. Spatial distribution of the three study plots. Red lines indicate the transects and green dots show the locations of the tagged almond trees

Plots 1 (\approx 3.2 ha) and 2 (\approx 2.75 ha) are about 150 m apart and separated by a cultivated grain field. This set of plots and the connecting agricultural field are nearly completely surrounded with almond groves. Pines and oaks in these plots were skewed to the taller end of the range of heights and there was minimal evidence of mortality of planted trees. Plot 3, approximately 3.5 km away, forms part of a much more extensive aforestation plot (\approx 45.5 ha) that connects upslope to the adjacent Sierra de Baza. Because of the extensive size we selected four subplots (total \approx 3.9 ha) to subsample. Almond cultivation, at least presently, is

much less extensive in the vicinity of this plot, although there are reproductive almonds along the roadside running past the plot. Pines and oaks in this plot were skewed to the lower end of the range of heights and there was greater mortality of planted trees.

Study design

Vegetative cover and almond tree density

We surveyed 6 arbitrarily located transects in both Plot 1 and Plot 2 and 8 transects in Plot 3 (2 in each subplot). Transects were 50 m long x 2 m wide for a total area of 100 m^2 . A 50-m tape was stretched out and a 2-m long metal rod centered on the tape was used to delineate the width of the transect. At each 1 m along the transect from 0 to 50 m we recorded the vegetative cover at the tape and at 1 m to each side of the tape (ends of pole) for a total of 153 points per transect. Of a total of 3060 microhabitat points, 10 were recorded as almond tree and 8 as broom. Because of these very small sample sizes and the fact that no almond trees were found beneath almonds and only one beneath broom, these two categories were excluded from analyses.

We also counted the number of almond trees encountered in each transect, independent of life stage. In addition, for each 2 m length of transect (2 x 2 m quadrat) we recorded the presence/absence of ungulate and lagomorph feces as well as the number of almond seeds, distinguishing undamaged from opened and consumed. Almonds are consumed in the study site by corvids and rodents. Consumed almonds can be attributed to functional group of predator based on the way the stone is opened: corvids open the stone along the seam leaving it in two equal halves (clean cut), while rodents nibble the stone from the apex leaving a hole.

Microhabitat, plant size and life stage, and browsing

We systematically searched each plot, intending to tag and georeference the first 100 individual trees that we found in each plot. However, we went over the target sample size in Plots 1 (103 trees) and 2 (116 trees). Only a small portion of these individuals were in the aforementioned transects, and consequently, we did not included transect as an identification variable for the tagged trees. We took the following data for each individual almond tree: (1) Microhabitat: pine, oak, or open interspace (bare ground and herbaceous cover combined). One almond tree found beneath a broom (*Retama sphaerocarpa*) was excluded from subsequent analyses. (2) Height. (3) Basal stem diameter (BSD): measured at 5 cm above the soil surface. (4) Reproductive status: presence/absence of fruits. (5) Browsing: intensity of herbivory was measured as the % of branch tips that had been browsed. We distinguished between browsing by lagomorphs (Iberian hares, *Lepus granatensis*, and rabbits, *Oryctolagus cuniculus*) and ungulates (dometic sheep and goats and red deer, *Cervus elaphus*). Whereas lagomorphs cut the stems by means of clean and diagonal incisions, the ungulates rip them off.

Based on the height, BSD, presence of fruits, stem lignification, and presence of cotyledons, we categorized the plants into one of four life stage classes: (1) Seedling: ≤ 0.25 cm

BSD with green stems. Seedlings were recognized because they always had the almond attached. (2) Juvenile: 0.25 - 0.6 cm BSD and more extensive lignification of the stem. (3) Pre-reproductive: ≥ 0.6 cm BSD with stems extensively lignified. (4) Reproductive: distinguished by the presence of fruit. There was substantial overlap in the BSDs of pre-reproductive and reproductive individuals.

Distance to nearest reproductive tree

Because individual trees were georeferenced, we calculated their distance to the nearest reproductive adult outside the plot using the geographic information system software QGIS 1.8.0 (QGIS Development Team). For Plots 1 and 2 and some subplots in Plot 3 this was the distance to the edge of the nearest almond grove, but for some subplots of Plot 3 this was the distance to the row of almonds along the roadside.

Data Analysis

Between-plot differences in almond tree density were analyzed using a General Linear Model (GLM), including transects as the independent variable and fitting the dependent variable (number of almond trees per transect) to a Poisson distribution. Between-plot differences in microhabitat structure and life stage classes were assessed by Contigency Analysis and Likelihood Ratio Tests, including transect as an independent variable. The proportion of trees browsed was compared among plots and among microhabitats by GLMs, fitting the dependent variable (browsed trees vs. unbrowsed trees) to a binomial distribution. Browsing intensity was compared among microhabitats with a GLM by fitting the dependent variable (percent of consumed branches) to a normal distribution. All analyses were performed using R 3.0.0 (R Development Core Team 2013).

RESULTS

Density and relationship to seed sources

The density of established almond trees (almond trees/100 m² \pm 1 SD [Range]) was 1.50 \pm 0.84 [0-2] in plot 1, 1.83 \pm 0.98 [1-3] in plot 2, and 1.62 \pm 1.41 [0-4] in plot 3. Plots did not differ in the densities of almond trees (z = 1.22, df = 2, p = 0.225, GLM poisson). The mean distance to the nearest reproductive tree was 76.8 \pm 2.9 m, ranging from 4.8 up to 207.7 m. Furthermore, 90% of the almond trees were farther than 20 m from the closest seed source.

Overall, 12% of the 2 x 2 m quadrats had almond seeds. However, plots differed in almond seed abundance ($\chi 2 = 20.8$, df = 2, p < 0.0001), with 20% of the quadrats in plot 2 having almond seeds, 13% in plot 1 and only 4% in plot 3. The presence of almond seeds increased significantly with the cover of trees in the quadrats (slope= 0.03 ± 0.005, $\chi 2 = 32.8$, df = 1, p < 0.0001, logistic regression). This relationship was significant for Plots 1 and 2, but not for Plot 3. In total, only 27% of the almond seeds were undamaged, the remaining being consumed by animals (mostly corvids and rodents).

Life stage structure of almond trees

Most of the censused almond trees were juveniles (53.6%; N=319 trees) and pre-reproductives (37.3%) (Table 1). Nevertheless, 6.6% of the established almond trees were one-year seedlings and 2.5% were reproductive trees (Table 1). There were significant among-plot differences in life stage structure (Table 1; Likelihood Ratio = 136.27, df = 6, p < 0.0001, N = 319 trees). Most trees in plot 1 were juveniles (89%). In plot 2, juveniles and pre-reproductive individuals were similarly abundant and together comprised 89% of the established almond trees. Finally, in plot 3 over half of the individuals were juveniles, although there were also large numbers of pre-reproductives. In addition, plot 3 was the only plot that had reproductive trees and the only plot without seedlings.

Vegetative cover and microhabitat occupancy of almond trees

Most of the surface of all three plots was open ground, ranging from 83% in plot 1 to 90% in plot 3 (Table 1). Nonetheless, plots differed significantly in microhabitat structure ($\chi 2 = 188.15$, df = 10, p < 0.0001); based on cover, oak was the predominant woody plant microhabitat in plot 1, pine was the predominant woody plant microhabitat in plot 2, and oak and pine were similarly important in plot 3.

There were differences between the observed and expected microhabitat distribution of almond trees in all plots (**Plot 1**: $\chi 2 = 304.545$, df = 2, p < 0.0001, N = 1021. **Plot 2**: $\chi 2 = 113.789$, df = 2, p < 0.0001, N = 1034. **Plot 3**: $\chi 2 = 35.502$, df = 4, p < 0.0001, N = 1324). In all plots the number of trees in open was lower than expected based on the cover of the open microhabitat, although the difference was smallest in plot 3 and largest in plot 1 (Table 1). Despite this similarity, plots differed in their patterns of microhabitat occupation across plots. In plot 3 most of the trees were in open ground, even though it was fewer than expected. In contrast, most trees in plot 1 were under oak and nearly half of the trees in plot 2 were under pine while a similar but lower number were in open ground. In plot 3 there were more trees under pines than expected, whereas in plots 1 and 2 there were more than expected underneath both pines and oaks.

49		Plot 1				Plot 2			Plot 3					Total			
50 51		Total	Open	Oak	Pine	Total	Open	Oak	Pine	Total	Open	Oak	Pine	Total	Open	Oak	Pine
52	Seedling	8	-	8	-	13	-	2	11	0	-	-	-	21	0	10	11
53	Juvenile	89	-	79	10	58	4	11	43	24	2	2	20	171	6	92	73
54 55	Pre-reproductive	6	2	3	1	45	43	-	2	67	63	1	3	118	108	4	6
56	Reproductive	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	8	8	-	-	8	8	-	-
57 58	Total Trees	103	2	90	11	116	47	13	56	99	73	3	23	318	122	106	90
59	Microhabitat points	918	759	114	45	918	795	16	107	1206	1103	36	67				
60	Expected Trees		85	13	5		100	2	14		90	3	6				

When pooling the three plots to reduce small cell values, there was a significant difference among stages in the pattern of microhabitat recruitment ($\chi 2 = 306.300$, df = 9, p < 0.0001, N=319). Whereas most seedlings and juveniles were found under the canopies of oaks or pines), most pre-reproductive and all reproductive trees were in open ground (Table 1).

Browsing damage

The abundance of herbivorous mammals, estimated as the proportion of quadrats with excrements, varied among plots both for ungulates ($\chi 2 = 42.77$, df = 2, p < 0.0001, binomial GLM) and lagomorphs ($\chi 2 = 8.84$, df = 2, p < 0.01). Whereas 38% of the quadrats in plot 2 had ungulate excrements, only 12% in each of the other two plots did. For lagomorphs we observed a similar pattern, with 5% of the quadrats in plot 2 but only 1% in the other two plots having excrements.

About 53% of the studied trees were browsed by mammals when pooling the three plots. However, the proportion of trees browsed differed among plots ($\chi 2 = 77.9$, df = 2, p = 0.0001), with 26% of the trees being browsed in plot 1, 54% in plot 2 and 86% in plot 3 (Table 2). Most herbivory was caused by ungulates, although damage by lagomorphs was also important, especially in plot 3 (Table 2). When a plant was browsed, mammals damaged on average 83.4% of the branches (pooling the three plots). However, there were among-plot differences in this measure of browsing intensity ($\chi 2 = 17.56$, df = 2,165, p < 0.0001, GLM). In plot 1, 65% of the branches on browsed trees were damaged, and this proportion increased to 82% in plot 3 and 94% in plot 2 (Table 2).

	Browsed trees							
Plots	Almond trees	Total	By ungulates	By lagomorphs	By both	Browsing Intensity ±		
1	103	27	11	16	0	65.3 ± 32,0		
2	116	54	42	11	2	94.5 ± 14,3		
3	100	86	56	12	18	82.1 ± 20,6		

Table 3. Browsing frequency (number of trees damaged) and intensity (proportion of stems damage per tree) in each studied plot. Ungulates are red deer, domestic sheep and goats, whereas lagomorphs are lberian hare.

The proportion of trees browsed differed among microhabitats for the two demographic stages with sufficient individuals to analyze (juveniles: $\chi 2 = 17.6$, df = 2, p < 0.0001; pre-reproductive: $\chi 2 = 30.9$, df = 2, p < 0.0001). Whereas 96% of pre-reproductive and 100% of juveniles were browsed in open sites, only 0% and 25% were browsed under oaks and 3.6% and 21.9% under pines, respectively. Furthermore, 100% of the reproductive trees located in

open sites were also browsed, and 20% of the seedlings under oaks and 18% under pines were browsed.

Browsing intensity also differed among microhabitats ($\chi 2 = 7.39$, df = 2,154, p < 0.0009, only considering browsed juveniles and pre-reproductives pooled). Whereas 88.4 ± 2.0 % of the branches in trees located in open sites and 82.3 ± 4.8 of the branches from trees under pines were browsed, only 69.6 ± 4.5% of the branches were browsed when under oaks.

DISCUSSION

Our study has shown that almonds are actively colonizing abandoned lands that were subsequently afforested in semi-arid areas of SE Spain. This finding adds an additional Prunus species to the seven species already included in the updated global list of invasive alien trees (Rejmanek and Richardson, 2013). The density of almonds was high in all three study plots, with more than 150 individuals/ha. These values appear high when compared with other anecdotal studies. For example, natural establishment of almonds is apparently only sporadic in abandoned orchards from Southeastern France, where most colonization is by fleshy-fruited bird-dispersed species (Debussche and Isenmann, 1994; Debussche et al., 1982). In addition, all anecdotal observations on feral populations of almonds suggest that they colonize roadsides, with little indication that they escape from this highly disturbed microhabitat (Blanca and Díaz de la Guardia, 1998; Guix et al., 2001; Rohrer, 2012). Our study indicates that in semi-arid environments of the southeastern Iberian Peninsula, almonds are able to establish not only in highly disturbed areas but also in more natural settings. Although the three plots were actively afforested, pine-oak woodlands are native and abundant in the study area. In fact, we have found almond seedling and saplings in natural oak-pine woodlands adjancent to almond orchards. Furthermore, these seedlings and saplings were far enough from any seed source to suggest the action of active mobile dispersers.

Our data indicate that the colonization of abandoned lands by almonds has occurred gradually but consistently through time since afforestation was established twenty years ago. About half of the almonds in the plots were juveniles, although 7% were one-year seedlings and 3% were adult trees. That is, almond incursion was not a single, event occurring shortly after the planting of oaks and pines, when the plots were largely empty and disturbed. We are also confident that the reproductive trees colonized the plot after the afforestation was made, based on their relatively small size and their apparent absence from the area in previous high resolution aerial photographs (available in Rediam webpage at www.juntadeandalucia.es/medioambiente/ site/rediam).

In order to naturalize, seeds must be dispersed out of cultivated areas to sites suitable for germination, growth, and survival. Effective propagule dispersal is essential for the naturalization and regeneration of plant populations (Blackburn et al., 2011, Schupp et al., 2010). In particular, seed dispersal by vertebrates is often implicated in the spread of alien plant species (Rejmanek and Richardson, 1996; Richardson et al., 2000). Dispersal distances, timing of the colonization process, and microhabitat distribution of recruits all suggest that biotic agents

mediated the movement of almonds into the study plots. The dry seed without a fleshy pulp combined with the relatively hard endocarp of almonds likely limits the potential disperser assemblage to large seed-eating birds and rodents. In fact, sweet almonds are consumed and dispersed by rodents, woodpeckers and corvids in Asia (Kester et al., 1991), North America (Gebhardt et al., 2011; Hasey and Salmon, 1993; Robert, 1979) and Israel (Moran and Keidar, 1993), likely contributing to the establishment of feral populations. In our plots we have observed almonds broken open by birds. At least four corvid species (raven, *Corvus corax*, carrion crow, *Corvus corone*, magpie, *Pica pica*, and to a lesser extent chough, *Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax*) consume almonds in the study area (Soler and Soler, 1991). In addition, green woodpeckers (*Picus viridus*) have been observed consuming almonds in semi-arid habitats of southeastern Spain (J.M. Avilés, pers. com.). Finally, we have also observed almonds consumed by dormice (*Eliomys quercinus*).

We believe that in our study site birds are the agents moving almonds out of orchards into afforestations to consume them. There is abundant evidence showing that corvids prefer to hoard seeds in afforestations (Purves et al., 2007; Gómez, 2003). In contrast to acorns and some other nuts, sweet almonds are too big and hard to be easily eaten. Birds first must break the stone in order to access the edible kernel. We observed many broken almonds on top of small rocks usually located in open sites. We presume that corvids use those rocks as anvils, since we have observed some carrion crows behaving in this way. However, many sweet almonds are apparently too hard and remain unbroken, as indicated by the frequent presence of almonds laying on the ground in the afforestations. Some of these almonds may be susequently found by rodents, which could secondarily disperse them to safe microsites, such as under pine and oak canopies (Beck and Vander Wall, 2011; Gomez et al., 2008; Puerta-Piñero et al., 2010; Vander Wall, 2001, 2002). In fact, we found most of the almonds that had been apparently consumed by dormice in these covered microhabitats. It is important to note that, because oaks and pines were planted twenty years ago, they still have a shrubby shape, with lower branches lying on the ground. This overall shape likely provides rodents with good shelter from predation. In addition, burial in the soil or beneath litter, either by birds as primary dispersers or by rodents as secondary dispersers of seeds dropped or dicarded by birds, likely benefits these large nuts by reducing desiccation and increasing the ability to hydrate and germinate (Vander Wall, 2001).

Such diplochory would explain why most naturally established almonds were under tree cover, despite this not being the most abundant microhabitat. In fact, there is a relationship between the presence of almond seeds and the tree cover in plots 1 and 2. An indirect positive effect of dispersing almonds beneath pines and oaks is that emerging plants are protected from mammalian herbivores (García et al., 2000; Gómez and Hódar 2008). Thus, whereas almost all almond trees located in open sites where heavily browsed by ungulates and hares, less than 25% of them were browsed, and only relatively mildly, when located in covered microhabitats. Facilitation of tree seedlings and saplings by nurse plants has been repeatly demonstrated in semi-arid environments (Flores and Jurado, 2003). In most occasions, facilitation apparently

occurs because nurse plants protect seedlings and saplings from stressfull environments (Pugnaire and Luque, 2001; Lortie and Callaway, 2006). Nevertheless, protection against herbivores is also an important benefit provided by nurses (García and Obeso, 2003; Gómez, 2005; Gomez-Aparicio et al., 2008). We believe that this is the main reason recruiting almond trees are more abundant under oaks and pines. Ungulates have been demonstrated to shape the spatial structure of recruitment in other Mediterranean trees (Gómez et al., 2001; Gómez-Aparicio et al., 2005; Gómez and Hódar, 2008). In fact, the few individuals located in open sites were completely browsed. However, our preliminary evidence is that more open conditions are apparently necessary for reaching reproductive maturity; all 8 reproductive adults were found in open interspaces. This suggests a potential life-stage conflict (Schupp, 2007). Summing up, as occurs in many other plant species (Vander Wall and Longland, 2004), diplochory appears to be advantangeous for initial establishment of almond trees in our study site, though the longterm consequences are less clear.

In summary, this study has demonstrated that *Prunus dulcis* is actively naturalizing in semi-arid afforestations in the southeastern Iberian Peninsula. The process of naturalizing in relatively natural environments, not just along roadsides, is likely more widespread than previoiusly appreciated. We suggest that this process is more evident and faster in abandoned lands that have been subsequently afforestated than in simply abandoned lands, because corvids tend to move most seeds into forested areas (Gómez 2003). However, there is no reason to believe this process only occurs in afforestations.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Puerta-Piñero reviewed a preliminary version of this manuscript.

References

- Beck, M.J. & Vander Wall, S.B., 2011. Diplochory in western chokecherry: you can't judge a fruit by its mesocarp. Oecologia 165, 131-141.
- Blackburn, T.M., Pyšek, P., Bacher, S., Carlton, J.T., Duncan, R.P., Jarošík, V., Wilson, J.R.U., Richardson, D.M., 2011. A proposed unified framework for biological invasions. Trends Ecol. Evol. 26, 333–339
- Blanca, G. & Díaz de la Guardia, C., 1998. Prunus L. In: Castroviejo, S., C. Aedo, M. Laínz, F. Muñoz Garmendia, G. Nieto Feliner, J. Paiva &C.Benedí (eds.), Flora iberica 6,444-466. Real Jardín Botánico, CSIC, Madrid. In.http:// www. Floraiberica.org/ [03-09-2013].
- Browicz, K. & Zohary, D., 1996. The genus *Amygdalus* L.(*Rosaceae*): Species relationships, distribution and evolution under domestication. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 43, 229-547.
- Conedera, M., Krebs, P., Tinner, W., Pradella, M., Torriani D., 2004. The cultivation of *Castanea sativa* (Mill.) in Europe, from its origin to its diffusion on a continental scale. Vegetation History and Archaeobotany 13, 161-179.
- Cramer, V.A., Hobbs, R.J., Standish, R.J., 2008. What's new about old fields? Land abandonment and ecosystem assembly. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 23, 104-112.
- Debussche, M. & Isenmann, P., 1994. Bird-dispersed seed rain and seedling establishment in patchy Mediterranean vegetation. Oikos 69, 414-426.
- Debussche, M., Escarré, J., Lepart, J., 1982. Ornithochory and plant succession in Mediterranean abandoned orchard. Vegetatio 48, 255-266.
- Delplancke, M., Alvarez, N., Benoit, L., Espindola, A., Joly, H.I., Neuenschwander, S., Arrigo N.,2013. Evolutionary history of almond tree domestication in the Mediterranean basin. Molecular Ecology 22, 1092-1104.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), 2013. FAO Statistical Databases: Agriculture. FAO, Rome.
- Flores, J. & Jurado, E., 2003. Are nurse-protegé interactions more common among plants from arid environments? Journal of Vegetation Sciencie 14, 911-916.
- García, D. & Obeso, J.R., 2003. Facilitation by herbivore-mediated nurse plants in a threatened tree *Taxus baccata*: local effects and landscape level consistency. Ecography 26, 739-750
- García, D., Zamora, R., Hódar, J.A., Gómez, J.M., Castro, J., 2000. Yew (*Taxus baccata* L.) regeneration is facilitated by fleshy-fruited shrubs in Mediterranean environments. Biological Conservation 95,31-38.
- Gebhardt, K., Anderson, A.M., Kirkpatrick, K.N., Shwiff, S.A., 2011. A review and synthesis of bird and rodent damage estimates to select California crops. Crop Protection 30, 1109-1116.
- Gehrig-Fasel, J., Guisan, A., Zimmermann, N.E., 2007. Tree line shifts in the Swiss Alps: climate change or land abandonment? Journal of Vegetation Science 18, 571-582.
- Gómez, J.M., 2003. Spatial patterns in long-distance dispersal of *Quercus ilex* acorns by jays in a heterogenous landscape. Ecography 26, 573-584.

Gómez, J.M, 2005. Ungulate effect on the performance, abundance and spatial structure of tw montane herbs: A 7-yr experimental study. Ecological Monographs 75, 231-258.	0
Gómez, J.M & Hódar, J.A., 2008. Wild boars (<i>Sus scrofa</i>) affect the recruitment rate and spati distribution of holm oak (<i>Quercus ilex</i>). Forest Ecology and Management 256, 1384-1389.	al
Gómez, J.M., Hódar, J.A., Zamora, R., Castro, J., García, D., 2001. Ungulate damage on Scots pines in Mediterranean environments. Effects of association with shrubs. Canadian Journal of Botany 79, 739-746.	
Gómez, J.M., Puerta-Piñero, C., Schupp, E.W., 2008. Effectiveness of rodents as local dispersers of Holm oaks. Oecologia 155, 529-537.	
Gómez-Aparicio, L., Zamora, R., Gómez, J.M., 2005. The regeneration status of the endangered <i>Acer opalus</i> subsp. <i>granatense</i> throughout its geographical distribution in the Iberian peninsula. Biological Conservation 21, 195-206.	
Gómez-Aparicio, L., Zamora, R., Castro, J., Hódar, J.A., 2008. Facilitation of tree samplings b nurse plants: microhabitat amelioration or protection against herbivores? Journal of Vegetation Science 19, 161–172.	У
Guix, J.C., Soler, M., Martin, M., Fosalba, M., Mauri, A., 2001. Introducción y colonización de plantas alóctonas en un área mediterránea: evidencias históricas y análisis cuantitativo. Orsis 16, 145-185.	
Hasey J. & Salmon, T.P., 1993. Crow damage to almonds increasing; no foolproof solution in sight. California Agriculture Sept-Oct 1993, 21-23.	
Kester, D.E., Gradiziel, T.M., Grasselly, C., 1991. Almonds (Prunus). Acta Horticulturae 290, 701-758.	
Ladizinsky, G., 1999. On the origin of almond. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 46, 143 147.	}-
Loope, L.L., Sanchez, P.G., Tarr, P.W., Loope, W.L., Anderson, R.L., 1988. Biological invasio of arid land nature reserves. Biological Conservation, Biological Invasions of Nature Reserves 44, 95–118.	ns
Lortie, C.J. & Callaway, R.M., 2006. Re-analysis of meta-analysis: support for the stress- gradient hypothesis. Journal of Ecology 94, 7-16.	
Ministerio de Agricultura, Alimentacion y Medio Ambiente, 2012. Encuesta sobre superficies rendimientos de cultivos de España. NIPO: 280-13-016-9.	у
Moran, S. & Keidar, H., 1993. Checklist of vertebrate damage to agriculture in Israel. Crop Protection 12, 173-182.	
Poyatos, R., Latron, J., Llorens, P., 2003. Land use and land cover change after agricultural abandonment. Mountain Research and Development 23, 362-368.	
Puerta-Piñero, C., Gómez, J.M., Schup, E.W., 2010. Spatial patterns of acorn dispersal by rodents: do crop size and ungulate presence matter? Oikos 119, 179-187.	
Pugnaire, F.I. & Luque, M.T., 2001. Changes in plant interactions along a gradient of environmental stress. Oikos 93, 42-49.	
	13

 $\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\2\\13\\14\\5\\16\\7\\18\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\\25\\26\\27\\28\\20\\30\end{array}$

33

35

Purves, D.W., Zavala, M.A., Ogle, K., Prieto, F., Rey Benayas, J.M., 2007. Enviromental heterogeneity, bird-mediated directed dispersal, and oak woodland dynamics in Mediterranean Spain. Ecological Monographs 77, 77-97.	
REDIAM (Red de Información Ambiental de Andalucía).Consejería de Medio Ambiente y Ordenación del Territorio.Descarga de ortofotografías y datos del territorio. <u>http://www.juntadeandalucia.es/medioambiente/site/rediam</u> [Consulta: 11/09/2014]	
Reichard, S.H. & White, P., 2001. Horticulture as a pathway of invasive plant introductions in the United States. Bioscience 51, 103-113.	
Rejmanek, M. & Randall, J.M., 1994. Invasive alien plants in California: 1993 summary and comparison with other areas in North America. Madroño 41, 161-177.	
Rejmanek, M. & Richardson, D.M., 1996. What attributes make some plant species more invasive? Ecology 77, 1655-1661.	
Rejmánek, M. & Richardson, D.M., 2013. Trees and shrubs as invasive alien species - 2013 update of the global database. Diversity and Distributions 19,1093-1094.	
Renwick, A., Jansson, T., Verburg, P.H., Revoredo-Giha, C., Britz, W., Gocht, A., McCracken, D., 2013. Policy reform and agricultural land abandonment in the EU. Land Use Policy 30, 446-457.	
Richardson D.M. 1998. Forestry trees as invasive aliens. Conservation Biology 12, 18-26.	
Richardson, D.M. & Rejmanek, M., 2011. Trees and shrubs as invasive alien species –a global review. Diversity and Distributions 17, 788-809.	I
Richardson, D.M., Allsopp, N., D'Antonio, C.M., Milton, S.J., Rejmánek, M., 2000. Plant invasions: The role of mutualisms. Biological Reviews 75, 65-93.	
Robert R.C., 1979. The evolution of avian food-storing behaviour. The American Naturalist 114 418-438.	-,
Rohrer, J.R., 2012. <i>Prunus dulcis</i> , in Jepson Flora Project (eds.) Jepson eFlora, http://ucjeps.berkeley.edu/cgi-bin/get_IJM.pl?tid=39976, accessed on Nov 20 2013.	
Schupp, E.W., 2007. Suitable sites for dispersal are context dependent. In: Dennis, A.J., E.W. Schupp, R.J. Green, and D.A. Westcott, eds., Seed Dispersal: Theory and Its Application in a Changing World. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp. 445-462.	
Schupp, E. W., Jordano, P., Gómez, J. M., 2010. Seed dispersal effectiveness revisited: a conceptual review. New Phytologist 188, 333–353	
Soler, J. J., Soler, M., 1991. Análisis comparado del régimen alimenticio durante el período otoño-invierno de tres especies de córvidos en un área de simpatría. Ardeola 38, 69-89.	
Stoate, C., Baldi, A., Beja, P., Boatman, N.D., Herzon, I., van Doorn, A., de Snoo, G.R., Rakosy, L., Ramwell, C., 2009. Ecological impact of early 21st century agricultural change in Europe- A review. Journal of Environment Management 91, 22-46.	ł
USDA, 2013. California Almond Forecast. United States Department of Agriculture. National Agricultural Statistics Service, California Field Office (Mayo 2013)	
Vander Wall, S.B., 2001. The evolutionary ecology of nut dispersal. The Botanical Review 67, 74-117.	
1	4

 $\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\1\\1\\1\\2\\1\\3\\1\\4\\5\\1\\7\\1\\8\\2\\0\\2\\1\\2\\2\\3\\2\\4\\2\\5\\2\\6\\2\\7\\2\\8\\2\\9\\3\\1\\3\\3\\3\\3\end{array}$

Vander Wall, S.B., 2002. Masting in animal-dispersed pines facilitates seed dispersal. Ecolog 83(12), 3508-3516.	ЭУ
Vander Wall, S.B. & Longland, W.S., 2004. Diplochory: are two seed dispersers better than one? Trends in Ecology and Evolution 19: 155-161.	
Verburg, P.H. & Overmars, K.P., 2009. Combining top-down and bottom-up dynamics in land use modeling: exploring the future of abandoned farmlands in Europe with the Dyna-CLU model. Landscape Ecology 24, 1167-1181.	d E
Zohary, D., Hopf, M., Weiss, E., 2012. Domestication of plants in the Old World, 4 th edition. Oxford University Press.	
	. –
	15

- Prunus dulcis is colonizing abandoned agricultural lands in some semi-arid areas of SE
 Iberian Peninsula
- Differences in the distribution among life stages were found between the microhabits and the plots studied.
- Minimal measured dispersal distances suggest the actions of highly mobile almond dispersers (zoochory)
- Tree cover reduced the impact of browsing mammals during the process of naturalization.
- However, the most suitable microhabitat changes through the lifecycle of almond trees.