

1

2

3

 $\Delta$ 

5 6 78 Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

**ARTICLE IN PRESS**



**BIOLOGICAL CONSERVATION** 

Biological Conservation xxx (2005) xxx–xxx

www.elsevier.com/locate/biocon

# Infection of an invasive frog *Eleutherodactylus coqui* by the chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Hawaii

Karen H. Beard <sup>a,\*</sup>, Eric M. O'Neill <sup>b</sup>

Short communication

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Forest, Range, and Wildlife Sciences and Ecology Center, Utah State University, 5230 Old Main Hill, Logan, UT 84322-5230, USA* <sup>b</sup>*Department of Biology, Utah State University, Logan, Utah 84322-5305, USA*

Received 8 May 2005

#### **Abstract** 9

*Parameterina Content CE CAC[CO](#page-4-0)RTER (CACCORTER CONTRIGATION)*<br> **Example 2008 Content Content Content Content Content Content Content Content Content CE CORTER (CACCORTER CONTRIGATION)**<br> **Examplement of Biology, Unab Stat** The chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* has contributed to declines and extinctions of amphibians worldwide. *B. dendrobatidis* is known to infect the frog *Eleutherodactylus coqui* in its native Puerto Rico. *E. coqui* was accidentally introduced into Hawaii in the late 1980s, where there are now hundreds of populations. *B. dendrobatidis* was being considered as a biological control agent for *E. coqui* because there are no native amphibians in Hawaii. Using a DNA-based assay, we tested 382 *E. coqui* from Hawaii for *B. dendrobatidis* and found that 2.4% are already infected. We found infected frogs in four of 10 study sites and on both the islands of Hawaii and Maui. This is the first report of *B. dendrobatidis* in wild populations in Hawaii. As the range of *E. coqui* expands, it may become a vector for the transmittance of *B. dendrobatidis* to geographic areas where *B. dendrobatidis* does not yet exist. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

 2005 Published by Elsevier Ltd. 18

*Keywords:* Amphibian declines; Biocontrol; Chytridiomycosis; *Eleutherodactylus coqui*; Hawaii; Emerging diseases; Frog; Invasion; Puerto rico 19

### **1. Introduction** 20

Nearly one-third of all amphibians are threatened with extinction (Stuart et al., 2004). Chytridiomycosis, a disease caused by the pathogenic fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, has been identified as a causal agent of amphibian declines in the Americas, Europe, and Australia (e.g., Bell et al., 2004; Berger et al., 1998; Bosch [et al., 2001; Lips et al., 2004; Muths et al., 2003\)](#page-3-0), and has been found on every continent with amphibians, except Asia [\(Weldon et al., 2004\)](#page-4-1). *B. dendrobatidis* is a waterborne pathogen that primarily infects keratinized tissues in the epidermis of amphibians and spreads through colonization by motile, aquatic zoospores ([Longcore et al.,](#page-3-1) 21 22 23 24 25 [26](#page-3-0) 27 28 29 30 31 [32](#page-3-1)

1999). Because *B. dendrobatidis* does not survive desicca-33 tion (Johnson and Speare, 2003), amphibians are 34 thought to be the primary means by which the disease is 35 transported to new areas [\(Daszak et al., 2003; Hansel-](#page-3-3)[36](#page-3-3) mann et al., 2004; Weldon et al., 2004). 37

Some invasive amphibians (e.g., *Rana catesbeiana*) are 38 relatively resistant to chytridiomycosis, yet are efficient 39 carriers of the pathogen [\(Daszak et al., 2004\)](#page-3-4). The 40 Puerto Rican terrestrial frog, *Eleutherodactylus coqui*, is 41 a notable amphibian invader that has not been tested for 42 *B. dendrobatidis* outside of its native range. *E. coqui* has 43 invaded Florida and several islands in the Caribbean, 44 and was accidentally introduced to Hawaii via nursery 45 plants in the late 1980s ([Kraus et al., 1999\)](#page-3-5). Direct devel-46 opment and year-round breeding are thought to contrib-47 ute to its rapid spread. There are now over 250 known 48 populations on the islands of Hawaii and Maui, located 49 mostly in lowland forests on the windward sides (from 0 50

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 435 797 8220; fax: +1 435 797 3796. *E-mail addresses:* [karen.beard@usu.edu](mailto: karen.beard@usu.edu) (K.H. Beard), [kbeard@cc.usu.edu](mailto: kbeard@cc.usu.edu) (K.H. Beard).

<sup>0006-3207/\$ -</sup> see front matter  $\odot$  2005 Published by Elsevier Ltd. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2005.07.004



range (20,000 frogs/ha on average in Puerto Rico, [Stew](#page-4-2)[art and Woolbright, 1996](#page-4-2); K. Beard, unpublished data). The invasion threatens Hawaii's unique ecological communities because *E. coqui* predates upon endemic invertebrates, which comprise the large majority of Hawaii's endemic fauna [\(Beard and Pitt, 2005](#page-3-7)). The invasion also threatens Hawaii's multi-million dollar floriculture and nursery industries due to quarantine restrictions and frog de-infestation measures (Kraus and Campbell, [2002\)](#page-3-6). Likewise, property value and tourism are threatened because of its loud (80–90 dBA at 0.5 m) mating calls. [55](#page-4-2) 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 [63](#page-3-6) 64 65 66

Numerous methods for managing *E. coqui* populations have been developed in Hawaii; yet, there has been no report of a successfully eliminated population. Biological control based on amphibian diseases is considered an attractive option because Hawaii has no native amphibians. *B. dendrobatidis* has been found to infect *E. coqui* in Puerto Rico dating back to 1978 and is thought to contribute to declines at high elevations (Burrowes [et al., 2004](#page-3-8)). Thus, it has been suggested that *B. dendrobatidis* could be used to control *E. coqui* (Hawaii State [Department of Agriculture, 2004](#page-3-9)). Our objective was to determine whether *B. dendrobatidis* is already present in *E. coqui* populations in Hawaii. 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 [74](#page-3-8) 75 [76](#page-3-9) 77 78 79

### **2. Materials and methods** 80

*E. coqui* were collected from seven locations on the island of Hawaii and three locations on Maui in May and August 2004, respectively (Table 1). Locations were selected to maximize diversity in forest-type, elevation, and geological history. For one night at each location, subadult [snout-vent length (SVL) < 24 mm (Woolbright, 81 82 83 84 85 [86](#page-4-3)

[1985](#page-4-3))] and/or adult frogs [SVL  $\geq$  24 mm] were collected 87 by slowly and systematically walking in a  $20 \times 20$  m plot 88 between 2000 and 2200 h. For each frog, SVL and perch 89 height were recorded. Frogs were collected using stan-90 dard protocols for testing for *B. dendrobatidis* infection 91 [as outlined in [O'Neill et al. \(in review\)](#page-3-10)] and were pre-92 served in 70% ethanol. *E. coqui* demonstrated no overt 93 clinical signs of chytridiomycosis when collected, such as 94 unusual sloughing of the skin or mortality. 95

**BIOC 2767 No. of Pages 5; DTD=5.0.1**

We tested 175 subadults and 207 adults for *B. dendro-*96 *batidis* using the DNA-based assay described by [Annis](#page-3-11) [97](#page-3-11) et al. (2004). This assay uses species-specific primers 98 (*B. dendrobatidis*1a and *B. dendrobatidis*2a) located 99 within ITS1 and ITS2 to amplify the 5.8S region of 100 nuclear rDNA. Tissue samples ranged from a whole foot 101 (subadults) to a half toe (adults). DNA was extracted 102 using the protocol from Schizas et al. (1997) with the fol-103 lowing modifications: the digestion reaction contained 104 20–30 µl Te (10 mM Tris, 0.1 mM EDTA), and 1.0 µl Pro- 105 teinase K (20 mg/ml). Samples were digested and period-106 ically vortexed for 3 h at 55 °C. PCR protocols were the 107 same as those described in Annis et al. (2004) including 108 the use of Platinum® *Taq* DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen 109 Corporation, Carlsbad, California, USA). 110

industries due to quararine restrictions and<br>
infestation measures (Kraus and Canophily (18 dended within FISI as a<br>
second Exception (Reserves property value and lourism are threat-<br>
mething [T](#page-3-9)ISI and ITS2 to amplify the Positive controls were both pure *B. dendrobatidis* 111 DNA extracted from culture (Joyce Longcore, unpub-112 lished data) and DNA extracted from *Rana muscosa* that 113 had previously tested positive for *B. dendrobatidis* (Jes-114 sica Morgan, unpublished data). Negative controls con-115 sisted of purified water in the PCR reaction and re- 116 analyses of DNA from animals that previously tested 117 negative for *B. dendrobatidis*. PCR products were visual-118 ized on a standard 1.4% agarose gel. Samples that con-119 tained a band at 330 base pairs (BP) in length were 120 presumed to be positive for *B. dendrobatidis* infection 121 (Annis et al., 2004). Three samples resulted in a faint 122 band at 330 BP. In these cases, samples were PCR ampli-123 fied a second time using the first PCR product as the 124 template. To create comparable negative controls in 125

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Table 1





Frogs were collected in the summer of 2004.

*K.H. Beard, E.M. O'Neill / Biological Conservation xxx (2005) xxx–xxx* 3

**ARTICLE IN PRESS**

164

these cases, PCR products scored as negative in the first round were retested with a second PCR amplification. Tests were conducted blindly and 8% of each run were controls. To confirm our results, tissues (not  $DNA$ ) from eight specimens that tested positive and 10 specimens that tested negative in our laboratory were analyzed by Pisces Molecular LLC (Boulder, Colorado, USA). 126 127 128 129 130 131 132

Statistical analyses were conducted using SAS v.9 for Windows (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA). To determine if there was a difference in the number of subadult and adults infected, we compared the number of infected and uninfected individuals using Pearson's  $\gamma^2$ exact test. To determine if there was a difference between adult and subadult perch heights, we conducted a *t*-test. A folded *F*-test suggested that group variances were unequal; thus, a Satterthwaite approximation was used. 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141

Significant differences were accepted at  $p < 0.05$ . 142

### **3. Results** 143

Of the 382 individuals tested, nine showed positive bands for *B. dendrobatidis* (Table 1). No bands of other sizes were observed in any samples. All positive and negative controls were correctly scored. Sixteen of the 18 specimens that tested either positive or negative in our laboratory were confirmed by Pisces Molecular LLC ([Table 2](#page-2-0)). Two samples (one positive and one negative) were scored differently by the two laboratories. 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151

*B. dendrobatidis* was detected at four of the 10 study sites [\(Table 1](#page-1-0)). We detected *B. dendrobatidis* in populations on both the islands of Hawaii and Maui. *B. dendrobatidis* was found to infect frogs from locations ranging from 50 to 440 m in elevation (Table 1). 152 153 154 155 156

Subadults measured  $14.50 \pm 0.26$  mm (SE) SVL and adults measured  $30.52 \pm 0.15$  mm SVL. We found a greater infection rate in subadults than adults (4.6% vs. 0.5% tested positive) ( $\chi^2$  = 6.51, df = 1, *p* = 0.013). Subad-157 158 159 160

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Table 2

*Eleutherodactylus coqui* samples that scored positive and negative for *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in our laboratory compared to scoring from Pisces Molecular LLC (Boulder, Colorado, USA)



<sup>a</sup> One of our positives was scored negative by Pisces and one of our negatives was scored positive by Pisces.

ults perched closer to the forest floor than adults 161  $(0.45 \pm 0.035 \,\text{m}$  vs.  $0.87 \pm 0.027 \,\text{m})$   $(\text{df} = 414, t = 9.16, 162$  $p \le 0.0001$ ). 163

## **4. Discussion**

We found that the chytrid fungus *B. dendrobatidis* is 165 present in Hawaii and infects *E. coqui*. Like other nota-166 ble amphibian invaders ([Daszak et al., 2003; Hansel-](#page-3-3)[167](#page-3-3) [mann et al., 2004; Pessier et al., 1999; Weldon et al.,](#page-3-3) [168](#page-3-3) 2004), *E. coqui* is now known to be a carrier of *B. dend-*169 *robatidis* in locations outside of its native range. Because 170 *E. coqui* is unlikely to be eradicated from Hawaii ([Beard](#page-3-7) [171](#page-3-7) and Pitt, 2005), these populations may represent a stable 172 source of *B. dendrobatidis* in the Pacific. *E. coqui*, appar- 173 ently traveling in nursery plants from Hawaii, have 174 already reached another Pacific island, Guam [\(Beard](#page-3-7) [175](#page-3-7) and Pitt, 2005). The potential for *E. coqui* to transmit 176 *B. dendrobatidis* with future introductions adds to its 177 capacity to threaten native communities. 178

d and uninfected individuals using Pearson's  $y^2$  2004), *E. coqui* is now known to be a carrier. To determine these was a difference between  $nA$  and Pitt, 2005), these populations outside of its native ran states inges *E. coqui* could have transported *B. dendrobatidis* to 179 Hawaii; however, because the location of source popula-180 tion(s) and number of introductions are not known, it is 181 not presently possible to consider the status of *B. dend-*182 *robatidis* in these populations. Alternatively, *E. coqui* 183 could have acquired *B. dendrobatidis* from non-native 184 amphibians already in Hawaii, some were purposely 185 introduced as biological control agents (i.e., *Bufo mari-*186 *nus* and *Dendrobates auratus*), while another was 187 brought in for culinary purposes (*R. catesbeiana*). At 188 two of the four locations where *E. coqui* was found to be 189 infected, we observed large *B. marinus* populations. 190 Instead, *B. dendrobatidis* could have been transported in 191 infected water (Johnson and Speare, 2003) or been car-192 ried there by some mechanical vector. It is interesting 193 that *B. dendrobatidis* has now been found on an island 194 with no native amphibians, suggesting that it can survive 195 in incipient amphibian populations, or that it can arrive 196 and survive in these locations without amphibians. 197

We found that infection rates of *B. dendrobatidis* were 198 greater in subadults than adults. This may have occurred 199 because of one or more of the following hypotheses: (1) 200 infected subadults have a lower survival rate than unin-201 fected subadults, (2) we sampled a greater proportion of 202 each subadult than of each adult, or (3) subadults are 203 more vulnerable to infection than adults (assuming they 204 recover). As has been found in previous studies ([Beard](#page-3-12) [205](#page-3-12) [et al., 2003](#page-3-12)), we found that subadults perch heights were 206 closer to the forest floor than that of adults. This prefer- 207 ence is thought to result in part from the greater mois-208 ture requirements of subadults [\(Pough et al., 1983](#page-4-5)). 209 Because *B. dendrobatidis* is an aquatic pathogen [\(Long-](#page-3-1)[210](#page-3-1) [core et al., 1999](#page-3-1)), the high moisture environment found 211 closer to the forest floor could contribute to greater 212 infection in subadult frogs. 213

4 *K.H. Beard, E.M. O'Neill / Biological Conservation xxx (2005) xxx–xxx*

Overall, we found a low infection rate  $(2.4\%, n=382)$ , even compared to other studies of the same species (7.1% infected in Puerto Rico,  $n=28$ ) ([Burrowes et al., 2004](#page-3-8)). There are several potential explanations for this low infection rate. Because an infection of *B. dendrobatidis* may be localized ([Berger and Speare, 1998\)](#page-3-13), and different tissues (sometimes different feet) from the same specimen may be analyzed, we believe that DNA tests for *B. dendrobatidis* can produce false negatives. Additionally, we believe that low-level infections may lead to inconsistent results between different samples from one specimen. This is supported by the fact that the one specimen that we scored positive and Pisces Molecular scored negative had a light band that was barely detectable with a single round of PCR in our laboratory. Because we isolated DNA from one tissue sample per specimen and the test may fail to detect low-level infections, we believe our estimate of *B. dendrobatidis* infection of *E. coqui* in Hawaii is conservative. 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232

is is approred by the fact that the one specimen<br>
Engin, C.I., Shownis, R. R. Mannelliy, Short, A. Short, N. R. Mannelliy, Short, N. R. Mannelliy, Society<br>
and ight band dis This Meyl (detection worth a time distinct in t Some studies suggest that *E. coqui* is not particularly susceptible to *B. dendrobatidis* infection and chytridiomycosis. Because *E. coqui* does not congregate in a breeding chorus or have an aquatic life stage, it would be expected to have a low prevalence of infection (Lips [et al., 2003\)](#page-3-14). In addition, in contrast to other species (e.g., *Bufo boreas*), laboratory tests using different levels of exposure to *B. dendrobatidis* have shown that *E. coqui* have no significant response in mortality (Cynthia Carey, personal communication.). Alternatively, the low prevalence might simply reflect a recent invasion of the fungus into these populations. Further research is needed to determine the susceptibility of *E. coqui* to *B. dendrobatidis*. We believe that *B. dendrobatidis* should not be used as a biological control agent because it is not a species-specific pathogen, many amphibians are highly susceptible, alternative hosts have not yet been identified, and it has been shown to be readily dispersed by human activities. 233 234 235 236 [237](#page-3-14) 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251

### **Acknowledgments** 252

Funding was provided by the US Fish and Wildlife Service, Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources Invasive Species Council, and Jack Berryman Institute. We thank K.E. Mock and M. Pfrender for laboratory space and equipment. J. Chong for laboratory assistance. This manuscript was improved by comments from the Herpetology Group at Utah State University. 253 254 255 256 257 258 259

### **References** 260

<span id="page-3-11"></span>Annis, S.L., Dastoor, F., Ziel, H., Daszak, P., Longcore, J.E., 2004. A DNA-based assay identifies *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in amphibians. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 40, 420–428. 261 262 263

- <span id="page-3-7"></span>Beard, K.H., Pitt, W.C., 2005. Potential consequences of the coqui frog invasion in Hawaii. Diversity and Distributions. 264 265
- <span id="page-3-12"></span>Beard, K.H., McCullough, S., Eschtruth, A., 2003. A quantitative 266 assessment of habitat preferences for the Puerto Rican terrestrial 267 frog, *Eleutherodactylus coqui*. Journal of Herpetology 37, 10–17. 268
- <span id="page-3-0"></span>Bell, B.D., Carver, S., Mitchell, N.J., Pledger, S., 2004. The recent 269 decline of a New Zealand endemic: how and why did populations 270 of Archey's frog *Leiopelma archeyi* crash over 1996–2001?. Biologi-271 cal Conservation 120, 189–199. 272
- <span id="page-3-13"></span>Berger, L., Speare, R., 1998. Chytridiomycosis: a new disease of wild 273 and captive amphibians. Australia and New Zealand Council for 274 the Care of Animals in Research and Teaching Newsletter 11, 1–3. 275
- Berger, L., Speare, R., Daszak, P., Green, D.E., Cunningham, A.A., 276 Goggin, C.L., Slocombe, R., Ragan, M.A., Hyatt, A.D., McDonald, 277 K.R., Hines, H.B., Lips, K.R., Marantelli, G., Parkes, H., 1998. Chy-278 tridiomycosis causes amphibian mortality associated with popula-279 tion declines in the rain forests of Australia and Central America. 280 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United 281 States of America 95, 9031–9036.  $282$
- Bosch, J., Martinez-Solano, I., Garcia-Paris, M., 2001. Evidence of a 283 chytrid fungus infection involved in the decline of the common 284 midwife toad (*Alytes obstetricans*) in protected areas of central 285 Spain. Biological Conservation 97, 331–337. 286
- <span id="page-3-8"></span>Burrowes, P.A., Joglar, R.L., Green, D.E., 2004. Potential causes for 287 amphibian declines in Puerto Rico. Herpetologica 60, 141–154. 288
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>Daszak, P., Cunningham, A.A., Hyatt, A.D., 2003. Infectious disease and amphibian population declines. Diversity and Distributions 9, 141–150. 290 289
- <span id="page-3-4"></span>Daszak, P., Strieby, A., Cunningham, A.A., Longcore, J.E., Brown, C.C., Porter, D., 2004. Experimental evidence that the bullfrog (*Rana* 292 *catesbeiana*) is a potential carrier of chytridiomycosis, an emerging 293 fungal disease of amphibians. Herpetological Journal 14, 201–207. 291 294
- Hanselmann, R., Rodriguez, A., Lampo, M., Fajardo-Ramos, L., Aguirre, A.A., Kilpatrick, A.M., Rodriguez, J.P., Daszak, P., 2004. Presence of 296 an emerging pathogen of amphibians in introduced bullfrogs *Rana catesbeiana* in Venezuela. Biological Conservation 120, 115–119. 295 297 298
- <span id="page-3-9"></span>Hawaii State Department of Agriculture, 2004. T-STAR Hawai'i 299 Coqui Frog Invasive Species Project, 16 November 2004, Univer-300 sity of Hawai'i at Manoa. Available from: www.ctahr.hawaii.edu/coqui/bio\_control.asp>. <http:// [301](http://www.ctahr.hawaii.edu/coqui/bio_control.asp) [302](http://www.ctahr.hawaii.edu/coqui/bio_control.asp)
- <span id="page-3-2"></span>Johnson, M.L., Speare, R., 2003. Survival of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in water: quarantine and control implications. Emerging 304 Infectious Diseases 9, 922–925. 303 305
- <span id="page-3-6"></span>Kraus, F., Campbell, E.W., 2002. Human-mediated escalation of a for-306 merly eradicable problem: the invasion of Caribbean frogs in the 307 Hawaiian Islands. Biological Invasions 4, 327–332. 308
- <span id="page-3-5"></span>Kraus, F., Campbell, E.W., Allison, A., Pratt, T., 1999. *Eleutherodactylus* frog introductions to Hawaii. Herpetological Review 30, 21–25. 310 309
- <span id="page-3-14"></span>Lips, K.R., Reeve, J.D., Witters, L.R., 2003. Ecological traits predicting 311 amphibian population declines in Central America. Conservation 312 Biology 17, 1078–1088. 313
- Lips, K.R., Mendelson, J.R., Munoz-Alonso, A., Canseco-Marquez, L., 314 Mulcahy, D.G., 2004. Amphibian population declines in montane 315 southern Mexico: resurveys of historical localities. Biological Con-316 servation 119, 555–564. 317
- <span id="page-3-1"></span>Longcore, J.E., Pessier, A.P., Nichols, D.K., 1999. *Batrachochytrium* 318 *dendrobatidis* gen et sp nov, a chytrid pathogenic to amphibians. 319 Mycologia 91, 219–227. 320
- Muths, E., Corn, P.S., Pessier, A.P., Green, D.E., 2003. Evidence for dis-321 ease-related amphibian decline in Colorado. Biological Conservation 110, 357–365. 322 323
- <span id="page-3-10"></span>O'Neill, E.M., Mendelson III, J.R., Beard, K.H., Brem, F., Practical 324 Application of a PCR-based Assay for Chytrid Fungi in the Field 325 and Laboratory. Ecohealth. (in review). 326
- Pessier, A.P., Nichols, D.K., Longcore, J.E., Fuller, M.S., 1999. 327 Cutaneous chytridiomycosis in poison dart frogs (*Dendrobates* 328 spp.) and White's tree frogs (*Litoria caerulea*). Journal of Veter-329 inary Diagnostic Investigation 11, 194–199. 330

**ARTICLE IN PRESS**

*K.H. Beard, E.M. O'Neill / Biological Conservation xxx (2005) xxx–xxx* 5

- <span id="page-4-5"></span>Pough, F.H., Taigen, T.L., Stewart, M.M., Brussard, P.F., 1983. Behavioral modification of evaporative water loss by a Puerto Rican frog. 331 332
- Ecology 64, 244–252. Schizas, N.V., Street, G.T., Coull, B.C., Chandler, G.T., Quattro, J.M., 333 334
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>1997. An efficient DNA extraction method for small metazoans. Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology 6, 381–383. 335 336
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>Stewart, M.M., Woolbright, L.L., 1996. Amphibians. In: Reagan, D.P., 337
- Waide, R.B. (Eds.), The Food Web of a Tropical Rain Forest. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp. 363–398. 338 339
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>Stuart, S.N., Chanson, J.S., Cox, N.A., Young, B.E., Rodrigues, A.S.L., art, S.N., Chanson, J.S., Cox, N.A., Young, B.E., Rodrigues, A.S.L., 340<br>Fischman, D.L., Waller, R.W., 2004. Status and trends of amphib- 341 ian declines and extinctions worldwide. Science 306, 1783–1786. 342
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>Weldon, C., du Preez, L.H., Hyatt, A.D., Muller, R., Speare, R., 2004. 343 Origin of the amphibian chytrid fungus. Emerging Infectious Dis-344 eases 10, 2100–2105. 345
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>Woolbright, L.L., 1985. Sexual dimorphism in body size of the subtrop-346 ical frog, *Eleutherodactylus coqui*. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, 347 State University of New York, Albany. 348

UNCORRECTED PROOF