Utah State University DigitalCommons@USU

All Graduate Theses and Dissertations

**Graduate Studies** 

5-1993

# Treatment of Low Quality Forages by Hydrogen Peroxide and(or) Anhydrous Ammonia and Their Utilization in Ruminant Nutrition

Mohammed Diouri

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd

Part of the Animal Sciences Commons

## **Recommended Citation**

Diouri, Mohammed, "Treatment of Low Quality Forages by Hydrogen Peroxide and(or) Anhydrous Ammonia and Their Utilization in Ruminant Nutrition" (1993). *All Graduate Theses and Dissertations*. 3987.

https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd/3987

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate Studies at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Graduate Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



#### TREATMENT OF LOW QUALITY FORAGES BY HYDROGEN PEROXIDE

#### AND(OR) ANHYDROUS AMMONIA AND THEIR UTILIZATION

#### IN RUMINANT NUTRITION

by

Mohammed Diouri

## A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

of

#### DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Animal Science (Ruminant Nutrition)

Approved:

Dr. Randall D. Wiedmeier Major Professor

Dr. David H. Clark Committee Member

Dr. Lyle G. McNeal Committee Member Dr. Frederick D. Provenza Committee Member

Dr. Donald V. Sisson Committee Member

Dr. Robert C. Lamb Department Head

Dr. Jāmes P. Shaver Dean of Graduate Studies

UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY Logan, Utah

1993

#### DEDICATION

My mother Zhor,

You would not agree to be away from me for such a long time if it was not for a noble cause such as seeking knowledge.

My father Mohammed,

You have never refused any of my requests, especially when it concerned education.

To both of you,

May this work be a modest reward for your sacrifices.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

"If ye would count up the favors of God, never would ye be able to number them; for God is Oft-Forgiving, Most Merciful."

> Qur'an 16:18 Translation of A. Yusuf Ali

"Say: 'Praise be to God, who begets no son, and has no partner in (His) dominion: nor (needs) He any to protect Him from humiliation: yea magnify Him for His greatness and glory.'"

> Qur'an 17:111 Translation of A. Yusuf Ali

Thank you, Lord, for life, learning abilities, and the innumerable bounties you have bestowed on me.

It is not easy in a couple of pages to mention all the people who helped me.

My major professor, Dr. Randall D. Wiedmier, has heavily contributed to the achievement of this work. With Randy, I felt more like a friend or a coworker than a student.

My committee members, Dr. David H. Clark, Dr. Lyle G. McNeal, Dr. Frederick D. Provenza, and Dr. Donald V. Sisson, have never spared any effort to help me in different ways.

In the Skaggs Nutrition Research Laboratory, I enjoyed a great research environment with professors and fellow graduate students.

My dear wife, Saida, has given me a lot of love, care, and support. Her understanding and sacrifice allowed me to concentrate on study and not worry about the rest. The faculty of the College of Agriculture at Gembloux (Belgium) gave me knowledge and encouragement to start this program.

The dean of the College of Sciences in Meknès (Morocco), Dr. Elmadani Belkhadir, my colleagues in that college, and the USAID helped me get the privilege of a scholarship to prepare a PhD.

Last, but not least, with my friends in the Logan Islamic Center, I spent a very enjoyable time.

To all of you, please accept my very warm and sincere thanks.

Mohammed Diouri

#### CONTENTS

|       |             |       |            |     |     |     |     |      |     |      |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |   |   |   | P | age |
|-------|-------------|-------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|-----|
| DEDIC | ATION       |       |            |     |     |     | •   | •    |     | •    | •   |     | •   | •    | •   |     |     |   | • |   | • | ii  |
| ACKNO | WLEDGMENTS  | •     | •          |     | •   |     | •   | •    |     |      | •   | •   | •   | •    | •   | •   |     |   |   | • |   | iii |
| LIST  | OF TABLES   |       |            |     | •   |     | •   |      |     | •    | •   | •   | •   | •    | ·   | •   |     | • | • | • | , | vii |
| LIST  | OF FIGURE   | •     | •          |     | •   | •   | •   | •    | •   |      |     | •   | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | • |   |   | v | iii |
| ABSTR | ACT         | •     | •          |     |     |     | •   |      | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | •    |     | •   | •   | • |   |   |   | ix  |
| INTRO | DUCTION .   | •     | •          |     |     |     |     | •    | •   |      |     | •   | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | • | · | • | • | 1   |
| CHAPT | ER          |       |            |     |     |     |     |      |     |      |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |
| т.    | NUTRITION   | AT. 1 | ME         | TAF | OL  | ISM | 4 0 | F    | н   |      | 200 | EN  | IF  | E    | 203 | (TI | DE. | / |   |   |   |     |
|       | ANHYDROUS   | AMI   | MO         | NIA | -TI | REA | ATE | ED   | BZ  | ARI  | LEY | ZS  | TF  | RAV  | VI  | IN  | ,   |   |   |   |   |     |
|       | EWE LAMBS   |       |            |     |     |     |     |      |     |      |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |   |   |   |   | 3   |
|       |             |       |            |     |     |     |     |      |     |      |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |
|       | ABSTRACT    | C     |            |     |     |     |     |      |     |      |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |   |   |   |   | 3   |
|       | INTRODUC    | TIC   | ON         |     |     |     |     |      |     |      |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |   |   |   |   | 5   |
|       | MATERIAL    | LS I  | AN         | DM  | ETH | IOL | S   |      |     |      |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |   |   |   |   | 6   |
|       | RESULTS     | ANI   | DI         | DIS | CUS | SSI | ION | I    |     |      |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |   |   |   |   | 10  |
|       | CONCLUST    | ON    |            |     |     |     |     |      |     | 2    |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |   |   |   |   | 16  |
|       | LITERATU    | JRE   | C          | ITE | D   |     |     | 2    |     | 2    |     |     | 2   |      |     |     |     |   |   |   |   | 17  |
|       |             |       |            |     |     |     |     |      |     |      |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |
| II.   | EFFECT OF   | TRI   | EA         | TIN | G   | NHE | TAT | 1 5  | STE | AN   | A   | T   | DI  | FF   | EF  | REN | T   |   |   |   |   |     |
|       | PH LEVELS   | WTT   | гн         | HY  | DRO | GF  | N   | PF   | ERC | XT   | DF  | 2 4 | NT  | 010  | R)  |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |
|       | ANHYDROUS   | AM    | ION        | ATA | 01  | JT  | N   | SI   | TTT | ГГ   | DV  | N   | דעז | יתיד | P   |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |
|       | DICADDEAD   | NO    | 201        | TM  | LOI | C I | TTT | M    | 00  | TATC | ,   |     | m   |      | 111 |     |     |   |   |   |   | 21  |
|       | DISAPPEAR   | INCI  | <b>-</b> . | TIA | HOI | LOL | E L | . 14 | cc  | MB   | •   | •   | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | • | • | • | • | 21  |
|       | A DOUD A OF | -     |            |     |     |     |     |      |     |      |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |   |   |   |   | ~ 1 |
|       | ABSTRACT    |       |            | • • | •   | •   | •   | •    | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | • | • | • | • | 21  |
|       | INTRODUC    | TTC   | ЛC         | •   | •   | •   | •   | •    | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | • | • | • | • | 23  |
|       | MATERIAI    | S I   | ANI        | DM  | ETH | IOF | S   | •    | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | • | • | • | • | 23  |
|       | RESULTS     | ANI   | DI         | DIS | CUS | SSI | ON  |      |     |      |     |     |     |      |     | •   |     |   |   | • |   | 26  |
|       | IMPLICAT    | IOL   | 1          |     |     |     |     |      |     |      |     |     |     |      |     |     | •   |   |   |   |   | 31  |
|       | LITERATU    | IRE   | C          | ITE | D   |     | •   |      |     |      |     | •   | •   | •    | •   |     | •   | • |   |   |   | 31  |
|       |             |       |            |     |     |     |     |      |     |      |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |
| III.  | EFFECT OF   | ANH   | IYI        | DRO | US  | AM  | MO  | NI   | A   | TR   | EA  | TM  | EN  | Т    | OF  |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |
|       | BALTIC RUS  | H (   | (JI        | JNC | US  | BA  | LT  | IC   | CUS | W    | IL  | LD  | ••) | C    | N   |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |
|       | INTAKE, DI  | GES   | STI        | IBI | LIT | YY, | A   | NE   | F   | UM   | IIN | AL  | ,   |      |     |     |     |   |   |   |   |     |
|       | FERMENTATI  | ON    | CH         | IAR | ACI | ER  | IS  | TI   | CS  | I    | N   | SH  | EE  | Р    | •   | •   | •   | • | • | • | • | 34  |
|       | ABSTRACT    |       |            |     |     |     |     |      |     |      |     |     |     |      |     |     | 12  |   |   |   |   | 34  |
|       | INTRODUC    | ידר   | N          |     |     | -   |     |      |     |      |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |   |   |   |   | 36  |
|       | MATEDIAL    | G N   | NI         | M   |     | iop | ·   | •    | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | • | • | • | • | 37  |
|       | DECUIDO     | ANT   | 7141       | TC  | OUL | CT  | ON  | •    | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | • | • | • | • | 20  |
|       | RESULTS     | ANL   | , 1        | 113 | CUE | 51  | UN  |      | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | •    | •   | •   | •   | • | • | • | • | 12  |
|       | CONCLUSI    | UN    |            |     |     |     |     |      |     |      |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |   |   |   |   | 43  |

|        | LI    | TER  | ATT   | JRE | c c | CIJ | TEI | )     |     |            |     | •   | •   | •   |     | •   | •   | •   |     | •  |    |     | • |   | 43 |
|--------|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|---|---|----|
| IV.    | WATE  | RB   | AL    | ANC | сE, | , τ | JSE | E (   | OF  | I          | TI  | ERI | IAN | . 1 | MA  | RK  | ER  | 5,  | Al  | ND |    |     |   |   |    |
|        | PRED. | ICT  | IOI   | V C | )F  | NU  | JTF | RI    | EN  | г١         | JT: | IL: | IZ/ | AT: | IOI | V   | IN  | SI  | IEI | EΡ |    |     |   |   |    |
|        | FED : | STR  | AW-   | -BA | SE  | ED  | DJ  | E     | rs  | •          | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •  | •  | •   | • | • | 45 |
|        | AB    | STR  | AC    | Г   |     |     |     |       |     |            |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |    |     |   |   | 45 |
|        | TN    | TROI | DUI   | TT  | ON  | I   |     |       |     |            |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |    |     |   |   | 47 |
|        | MA    | TFD  | TAI   | .s  | AN  | JD  | ME  | זידיז |     | 20         |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |    |     |   |   | 47 |
|        | DE    | PIIT | TC    | 2 2 | ID  | דם  | CC  | TTO   | 101 | TO         |     | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •  | •  | •   | • | • | 50 |
|        | REA   | 501. | 15    | AN  | U.  | DI  | DO  | -02   | 55. | LOI        | N   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •  | •  | •   | • | • | 50 |
|        | LT.   | PER/ | A.I.( | JRF | i C | :11 | EL  | )     | ٠   | •          | •   | •   | •   | •   | ·   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •  | •  | •   | • | • | 55 |
| CONCLU | USION |      |       | •   | •   | •   | •   |       | •   | •          | •   |     | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •  | •  | •   | • | • | 58 |
| APPENI | DICES |      |       |     |     | •   | •   |       |     |            |     | •   |     |     |     |     | •   |     |     |    | •  |     |   |   | 59 |
|        | А.    | Apr  | oer   | ndi | Ce  | s   | Ab  | bı    | cet | <i>i</i> a | at  | ior | ıs  |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |    |     |   |   | 60 |
|        | в.    | Ana  | 11    | Isi | S   | of  | 7 = | a     | ria | and        | P   | Ta  | abl | P   | fe  | r   | Cł  | ar  | ote | r  | Т  |     |   |   | 61 |
|        | C.    | Ana  | 11    | rei | c   | of  |     | lai   | -12 | and        | 10  | T   | h   | 0   | f   | n   | Ch  | ar  | te  | or | T  | r - |   |   | 67 |
|        | D.    | 200  | 11.   | 101 |     | 01  |     | lai   |     |            |     | m   | 5   |     | -   | 1   | CI  | Tak | +   | -1 |    |     | • | • | 60 |
|        | D.    | Ana  | TT    | /SI | S   | 01  | . v | aı    | .10 | inc        | e   | 10  | Lat | .e  | TC  | л.  | CI  | a   | JLE | 15 | τ. | LΤ  | • | • | 68 |
|        | Е.    | EII  | tec   | CE  | OI  | A   | mn  | IOI   | 118 | 12:        | LOI | nc  | on  | RI  | ISI | 1 1 | vut | r   | Ler | ıτ |    |     |   |   | _  |
|        |       | Ava  | 11    | lab | il  | .it | Y   | (1    | Pei | 10         | bd  | 2)  |     | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •   | •  | •  | •   | • | • | 72 |
| VITA   |       |      |       |     |     |     |     |       |     |            |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |    |     |   |   | 73 |

vi

## LIST OF TABLES

| Γa | abl | e Page  |  |
|----|-----|---|--|
|    | 1   | Nutrient Composition of Different Treated<br>Straws and of the Faba Bean Supplement 12  |  |
|    | 2   | Effect of Straw Treatments on Nutrient<br>Utilization at the Ad Libitum Intake Level 13   |  |
|    | 3   | Effect of Straw Treatments on Fermentation<br>Characteristics at the Ad Libitum Intake<br>Level   |  |
|    | 4   | Effect of 75% Limited Intake on DM<br>Digestibility of Treated Straws   |  |
|    | 5   | Effect of the Breed on Total Water Consumed<br>and Hemicellulose Digestibility at the Ad<br>Libitum Intake (Average of All Treatments) 17 |  |
|    | 6   | Nature of the Ten Straw Treatments  |  |
|    | 7   | Effect of Treatment on Rush Nutrient<br>Composition   |  |
|    | 8   | Effect of Treatment on Nutrient Utilization 41  |  |
|    | 9   | Effect of Treatment on Rumen Fermentation<br>Characteristics  |  |
| 1  | 0   | Regression Parameters of In Vivo Digestibility<br>vs. Digestibility Determined by Internal Markers 52                                     |  |
| 1  | 1   | Water Utilization Parameters and Their Best<br>Correlations With Nutrients Utilization 53   |  |
|    | в   | Analysis of Variance Table for Chapter I 61   |  |
|    | С   | Analysis of Variance Table for Chapter II 67  |  |
|    | D   | Analysis of Variance Table for Chapter III 68   |  |
|    | E   | Effect of Ammoniation on Rush Nutrient<br>Availability (Period 2)   |  |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| Figur | re la                            | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 1     | Evolution of in situ DM disappearance<br>(average of all treatments) | . 27 |
| 2     | Effect of treatment on in situ DM<br>disappearance at 48 h           | . 29 |

#### ABSTRACT

Treatment of Low Quality Forages by Hydrogen Peroxide and(or) Anhydrous Ammonia and Their Utilization in Ruminant Nutrition

by

## Mohammed Diouri, Doctor of Philosophy Utah State University, 1993

Major Professor: Dr. Randall D. Wiedmier Department: Animal, Dairy, and Veterinary Sciences

Three experiments were conducted to evaluate low quality forages treatment by anhydrous ammonia  $(NH_3)$  and (or) hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_3)$ .

In experiment I, a control and three treatments of barley straw were compared:  $NH_3$ ,  $NH_3$  after rehydration of the straw with water ( $NH_3+H_2O$ ), and  $NH_3$  after rehydration with a  $H_2O_2$ solution ( $NH_3+H_2O_2$ ). Forages were fed, with a supplement, at two levels of intake (ad libitum and 75% of ad lib.). Treatments were administered in a split-plot in a Latin square design to 8 ewes. Total collections and rumen digesta measurements were made. Ammoniation, rehydration, and  $H_2O_2$ increased primarily dry matter intake (DMI) but also digestibility of different nutrients. A similar trend was observed in rumen fermentation characteristics. Dry matter (DM) digestibility was slightly raised by limiting DMI. Digestible DMI (DDMI) was correlated with both the increase of forage CP content and the decrease of forage neutral detergent fiber. Water intake and output were highly correlated with fiber intake and digestibility. Acid insoluble ash (AIA) estimated digestibility better than acid detergent lignin insoluble ash (ADLIA). Both markers were adequate in determining hemicellulose digestibility.

In experiment II, ten solutions were prepared to rehydrate wheat straw. Six solutions were adjusted (with NaOH) to a pH of 9, 11, or 13 and contained 2%  $H_2O_2$ . Four solutions had a pH of 7 or 11 and contained no  $H_2O_2$ . Half of the straws were treated with NH<sub>3</sub>. In situ DM disappearance (DMD) of the different straws was measured at different times in 10 Holstein cows and three periods (incomplete block design). The evolution of DMD was slow and almost linear. The positive effect of ammoniation on DMD was consistently apparent at all pH levels though depressed at pH 11. The effect of  $H_2O_2$  was minor, but was complementary with ammoniation.

In experiment III, mature baltic rush (Juncus balticus Willd.) was either treated or not with  $NH_3$ . Eight wethers had ad libitum access to the nonsupplemented forages in a cross-over design. Total collections and rumen digesta measurements were made. DMI and DDMI were not affected by treatment, but DM digestibility was decreased by ammoniation.

(84 pages)

#### INTRODUCTION

The demographic growth in the world, requiring an increase in food production, necessitates the expansion of the crop lands to satisfy the human food needs. The lands that used to be allocated primarily to grazing animals are therefore shrinking. Domestic animals will have to meet their nutritional requirements primarily in the feedstuffs that are not directly used by humans, namely range plants and crop residues and by-products.

Many of these plants and residues have a low nutritive value mainly because of the low content of crude protein and the low availability of the structural carbohydrates that constitute most of their dry matter. This low availability of structural carbohydrates is due primarily to the bonds linking lignin, which is virtually not digestible, with cellulose and hemicellulose.

Beside supplementation, different chemical and biological treatments have been studied to overcome the low nutrient availability of low quality forages. Anhydrous ammonia  $(NH_3)$  treatment has proven to be successful for several reasons. Its alkalinity makes cellulose and hemicellulose more available by partially dissolving them and by breaking the bonds between them and lignin.  $NH_3$  also supplements the straw with nitrogen, and it is easy to use at the farm. Another chemical compound that has recently been used with successful results is hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ . This oxidizing agent has greatly improved the utilization of different low guality forages both

in cattle and sheep.  $H_2O_2$ , however, acts optimally in alkaline milieus. Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) has been used to provide alkalinity for  $H_2O_2$ . This substance has the potential of sodium toxicity. Replacing it by  $NH_3$  was expected not only to remedy this disadvantage but also to enhance the beneficial effects of  $NH_3$  and  $H_2O_2$ .

This dissertation intends to contribute to the understanding and improvement of the treatment of different low quality forages with  $NH_3$  alone or with other substances, as well as to the utilization of these forages in the ruminant nutrition.

#### CHAPTER I

## NUTRITIONAL METABOLISM OF HYDROGEN PEROXIDE/ANHYDROUS AMMONIA-TREATED BARLEY STRAW IN EWE LAMBS

#### ABSTRACT

Combined treatments of low-quality forages with sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) have been shown to increase energy availability. To keep the pH alkaline for a better action of H2O2, NaOH was replaced by anhydrous ammonia  $(NH_3)$ . A control and three treatments of barley straw were compared: NH<sub>3</sub> (3% of DM), 3% NH<sub>3</sub> after rehydration of the straw to 15% moisture with water, and 3% NH, after rehydration to 15% moisture with a H2O2 solution (0.32% of DM). Forages were fed, with a faba bean supplement, at two levels of intake (ad libitum and 75% of ad lib.), to 8 ruminally cannulated ewes housed in elevated metabolism crates. Treatments were administered in a split-plot in a Latin square design. After a 14 d adaptation period, feeds, orts, and feces were measured and sampled during 5 d. On day 6, rumen fluid was sampled at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 16 h post-feeding to measure fermentation characteristics. At the ad libitum intake, digestible DM intake was 336, 455, 501, and 552 g/(h.d) for untreated, NH<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>+H<sub>2</sub>O, and NH<sub>3</sub>+H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-treated straws, respectively. The first, second, and fourth values were different from each other (P < .01), while the third one tended to be higher than the second (P = .12) and lower than

the fourth (P = .09). A similar trend was observed in digestibility and digestible intake of DM, OM, CP, cellulose, and hemicellulose. Total ruminal VFA and NH<sub>3</sub>-N were increased by ammoniation and rehydration but not by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. There was no difference among treatments in ruminal pH, proportion of propionate, or acetate/propionate ratio. The DM digestibility was raised from 56.7% at ad libitum intake to 58.2% at limited intake (P = .01). The combined NH<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment increases straw utilization by sheep compared with NH<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O.

#### INTRODUCTION

Besides supplementation (Wiedmeier et al., 1983), different chemical (Klopfenstein et al., 1979) and biological (Larwence and Abada, 1987; Wiedmeier et al., 1987) treatments have been studied to overcome the low nutrients availability of cereal straws. Anhydrous ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) treatment has proved to be successful (Sundstol et al., 1978; Wiedmeier, 1988; Zorrilla-Rios et al., 1991) for several reasons. Its alkalinity makes cellulose and hemicellulose more available by partially dissolving them and by breaking the bonds between them and lignin. NH, also supplements the straw with nitrogen, although Males (1987) has estimated that only half of this added nitrogen is available to the animal as a protein source through rumen microbial synthesis. Ammoniation is also a cheap and easy treatment to use at the farm. Ammoniation has also some anti-toxin properties (Fremy et al., 1988; Frayssinet and Lafarge-Frayssinet, 1990; Kerr et al., 1990).

Another chemical compound that has recently been used with successful results is hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  (Gould, 1984). This oxidizing agent has greatly improved the utilization of different low-quality forages both in cattle and sheep (Atwell et al., 1991; Cameron et al., 1991a,b).  $H_2O_2$ acts optimally in alkaline milieus (Gould, 1987).

Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) has been used to provide alkalinity for  $H_2O_2$  (Kerley et al., 1986), but NaOH has the potential of sodium toxicity. Replacing it by  $NH_3$  was expected

not only to remedy this disadvantage but also to enhance the beneficial effects of  $NH_3$  and  $H_2O_2$ . The main objective of this study was to determine the impact, on straw nutritive value, of the combined  $NH_3/H_2O_2$  treatment and the possible interaction or additivity of the two treatment components.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Barley Straw Treatments

Four different treatments were compared:

1. Control

2. 3% NH.

3. 3%  $\rm NH_3$  after rehydration of straw to 15% moisture with water

4. 3%  $NH_3$  after rehydration of straw to 15% moisture with a H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution (to reach a level of .32% DM of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>).

Treatments 2 and 3 were used to isolate the effect of  $H_2O_2$ and to confirm the results of former studies reporting the positive effect of moisture on straw ammoniation (Kernan et al., 1979).

#### Treatment Procedure

Ten 23 kg bales were randomly assigned to each treatment. Ten bales were set aside in a separate plastic bag for use as control. Ten bales were treated with enough water to bring the moisture level to 15%. This was accomplished by turning the cut edge of the bales up and pouring the water on slowly enough so there is no loss. The moisture content may have not

6

been uniform throughout the bale or among bales; but the ten bales were ground and mixed together after treatment. Ten bales were treated as above except a solution of  $H_2O_2$  was used to rehydrate to 15% moisture instead of water. Three separate stacks (besides the control) were then made; one with straw bales that had not been rehydrated, one with straw bales rehydrated with water, and one with bales rehydrated with  $H_2O_2$ .

All four stacks were then placed in separately sealed 6 mm plastic bags. NH<sub>3</sub> was then introduced in the gaseous form into the appropriate bags through a perforated pipe at 3% of DM. After ammoniation, the bags were sealed for approximately 21 days and then opened to allow excess ammonia to escape. Straw was then ground, mixed in an auger-type grinder mixer, and stored in 30-gallon plastic containers until used.

#### Animal Model

Eight yearling ewe lambs (30 to 51 kg), fitted with ruminal fistulae, were used. The ewes were from three different breeds (4 Navajos, 2 Columbias, and 2 Black-faced) representing three major groups of sheep (carpet wool, dual purpose, and meat type).

The small number of sheep in each breed does not allow an accurate comparison of breed efficiencies (Schneider and Flatt, 1975; Pfister, 1983). Nevertheless, the diversified animal sample should allow a more confident generalization of the results. Sample Collection and Analysis

After proper recovery from fistulation and adaptation to a straw-based diet, sheep were placed in elevated metabolism crates equipped with stainless steel feeders, water, and a feces-urine collection apparatus.

Treatments were administered in a split plot in a 4\*4 Latin square design with repeated measures. Each of the 4 trial periods was composed of two subperiods. In the first subperiod, sheep had ad libitum access to straw (to determine the intake), allowing approximately 10% refusal. A supplement (whose nutrient composition is presented in Table 1) composed of ground faba bean (*Visia faba*) and fortified with vitamins and minerals as needed to meet nutrient requirements (NRC, 1985) was top dressed. The supplement intake was gradually adjusted to represent 25% of the ration in order to eliminate the possible negative associative effect between concentrate and roughage (Blaxter, 1969; Mould et al., 1983). Daily rations were given in 2 equal portions at 0800 and 1600. Diets were fed for a 14-d adaptation period followed by a 7-d collection period.

In the second subperiod, consisting of a 5-d adaptation and a 5-d collection, the sheep received the same diet but their ration was limited to 75% of each animal's ad libitum intake.

During d 1 to 5 of the collection periods, total fecal output was collected and weighed twice daily at the normal

feeding times. Fecal samples were dried at 60°C for 72 h and then ground to pass through a 2 mm screen using a Wiley mill (Thomas-Wiley Laboratories, Swedesboro, NJ). Dry matter content was calculated. Composites of fecal samples, within ewe and period, were then made. The amount of each sample that went into the composite was proportional to that sample's portion of the total output.

Feed (straw and supplement) was weighed at each feeding. Refusal was weighed back to determine intake. Starting 1 d before the beginning of the collection periods, samples of feed and refusals were taken at each feeding. These samples were ground to pass through a 1 mm screen and proportional composites were made as was described with feces samples.

All feed, ort, and fecal composite samples were analyzed (8 samples per treatment) for laboratory dry matter (DM), OM (AOAC, 1990), CP (Hach et al., 1985), ADF, NDF, and ADL (Van Soest, 1967).

Rumen measurements were made on days 6 and 7 of the ad libitum collection periods at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 16 h after the 1600 feeding of d 6. Volatile fatty acids (VFA), ammonia nitrogen ( $NH_3$ -N), and pH were measured. Approximately 50 ml of whole rumen liquor was taken from the ventral sac of the rumen using a soft polyethylene tube and a suction syringe. pH was taken immediately (using Fisher Accumet model, 425 digital pH/ion meter, Pittsburgh, PA). Approximately 25 ml of rumen fluid were strained through 2 layers of cheese cloth

9

and centrifuged for 20 min at 4°C and 20,000 g with a Sorvall RC-5B centrifuge (Dupont, Wilmington, DE). Eighteen ml of the supernatant were then added to 2 ml of 6N HCL and stored in a screw top vial at -20°C until later analysis for VFA and ammonia concentrations. Ammonia nitrogen was determined spectrophotometrically using Nessler's reagent (Hach et al., 1985). Volatile fatty acids were determined by gas chromatography (HP 5890, Hewlett-Packard Co., San Fernando, CA) using a 1.83 \* 2 mm ID glass column packed with GP 10% SP-1200 / 1% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> on 80 / 100 120 C mesh Chromosorb W-AW (Supelco Inc., Bellefonte, PA).

#### Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed by SAS (1988), using a general linear model including period, straw treatment, and sheep (appendix B). Subperiod was later included in the model to test the intake level effect. Sheep nested within breed was used as an error term to test the breed effect in a separate analysis. The least significant difference (LSD) multiple mean comparison was applied to the variables studied.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The nutrient composition of the four straws and of the supplement is presented in Table 1. Crude protein was drastically increased by ammoniation, agreeing with Chestnut et al. (1987) and Brown (1988). The alkaline attack of ammonia on the ester bonds, linking lignin with cellulose and hemicellulose (Chesson et al., 1983), supplied the straw with nitrogen. This alkaline attack also affected lignin and hemicellulose by partially dissolving them. This phenomenon was reported by Van Soest (1982). The positive effects of ammoniation were further increased by rehydration of the straw. This was probably due to the phenomenon of fiber swelling (Feist et al., 1970), making the fibers more available to  $NH_3$ . The presence of water increases the homogeneity of the reaction and provides an aqueous solution required by most chemical reactions. A similar positive effect was added by  $H_2O_2$  treatment probably because of the oxidizing ability of this component.

Cellulose content was not affected by the treatments probably because of its location in an internal layer of the plant cell wall. This is consistent with data reported by Horton (1981). Nevertheless, digestible cellulose intake was increased by each additional treatment component (Table 2). This may mean that cellulose became more available to the rumen microorganisms.

Since many animals did not go through the second subperiod, only the ad libitum intake values will be used for treatment comparison.

At the ad libitum intake, digestible DMI was increased by ammoniation (P = .0006), by rehydration (P = .12), and by  $H_2O_2$ (P = .09). Comparing NH<sub>3</sub> with NH<sub>3</sub>+H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> reveals a highly

| Nutrients     | Control | NH3   | NH3+H2O  | NH <sub>3</sub> +H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> | Suppl. |
|---------------|---------|-------|----------|--|--------|
| DM            | 93.23   | 92.66 | 92.28    | 92.06  | 90.3   |
|               |         | %     | DM basis |  |        |
| ом            | 92.37   | 92.03 | 92.49    | 92.34  | 96.03  |
| СР            | 3.02    | 7.22  | 7.78     | 8.05   | 21.14  |
| Cellulose     | 45.57   | 45.89 | 46.25    | 45.91  | 11.19  |
| Hemicellulose | 27.77   | 24.94 | 23.37    | 23.47  | 19.03  |
| Lignin        | 8.37    | 7.72  | 7.58     | 7.16   | 2.24   |

Table 1. Nutrient Composition of Different Treated Straws and of the Faba Bean Supplement

significant difference (P = .003). These three treatment components had similar effects on the digestible intake of the other nutrients (Table 2). Although the DM digestibility was slightly increased, the improvement of digestible DMI was primarily obtained by an increase of the DMI. This increase in DMI was reported to be due to an increase in the rate and extent of fiber digestion (Chestnut et al., 1987). The fermentation characteristics (Table 3) were not improved enough (especially among the three treated straws) to allow a large increase in DM digestibility. The same pattern of

Table 2. Effect of Straw Treatments on Nutrient Utilization at the Ad Libitum Intake Level

| Item   | Control            | NH3                | NH3+H2O             | NH3+H2O2           | SEª  |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------|
| DMI, g/(h.d)                                   | 630.1 <sup>b</sup> | 811.1°             | 868.8 <sup>cd</sup> | 936.4 <sup>d</sup> | 32.2 |
| DM digestibility,<br>%                         | 53.7 <sup>b</sup>  | 56.3°              | 57.9 <sup>cd</sup>  | 58.9 <sup>d</sup>  | .7   |
| Digestible DMI,<br>g/(h.d)                     | 336.9 <sup>b</sup> | 454.7 <sup>c</sup> | 500.9 <sup>cd</sup> | 551.5 <sup>d</sup> | 21.3 |
| Digestible OM<br>intake, g/(h.d)               | 326.3 <sup>b</sup> | 437.1°             | 483.9 <sup>cd</sup> | 528.7 <sup>d</sup> | 20.1 |
| Digestible CP<br>intake, g/(h.d)               | 27.3 <sup>b</sup>  | 51.8°              | 57.5 <sup>cd</sup>  | 63.8 <sup>d</sup>  | 2.4  |
| Digestible<br>cellulose intake,<br>g/(h.d)     | 133.6 <sup>b</sup> | 183.4 <sup>c</sup> | 197.7 <sup>cd</sup> | 218.9 <sup>d</sup> | 9.1  |
| Digestible<br>hemicellulose<br>intake, g/(h.d) | 80.3 <sup>b</sup>  | 121.5°             | 134.2°              | 151.6 <sup>d</sup> | 5.7  |

 $^{\rm a}$  Standard error of the LS means  $^{\rm b,c,d}$  Means in a row lacking a common superscript differ (P < .05)

influence of ammoniation was reported by Llamas-Lamas and

Combs (1990). These authors obtained, however, a slightly higher improvement in DM digestibility than we did. This is probably because they used wheat straw, which is more responsive to ammoniation than barley straw (Horton, 1981).

Rumen ammonia nitrogen and total VFA (Table 3) confirmed the effect of ammoniation and that of rehydration. Propionate concentration was not affected by any of the treatment components. This disagrees with Morris and Mowat (1980) and Males and Gaskins (1982), who noted a higher propionate concentration when ammoniated straw or corn stover were fed.

The trend of the response of DM digestibility (Table 4) and of other digestion variables (data not shown) to the treatments was slightly different at limited intake. At this intake, some ewes did not go through all the treatments. This unbalanced design along with the high variability among ewes could have been the reason for this difference.

Overall, limiting the intake enhanced DM digestibility (P = .005) and other nutrients digestibility. This improvement was, however, minute. Similar findings were reported by Llamas-Lamas and Combs (1990).

The three breeds showed different DM intakes, which reflected their size differences. This difference disappeared when intake was divided by metabolic body weight. The only two variables that tended to be related to the breed are presented in Table 5.

| Contraction of the International Contraction | A REPORT OF BRIDE AND | and the second second second | and an and the second sec |  | the formation of the second |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Item <sup>a</sup>                            | Control   | NH3                          | NH <sub>3</sub> +H <sub>2</sub> O  | NH <sub>3</sub> +H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> | SE <sup>e</sup>   |
| рН   | 6.82  | 6.85                         | 6.84   | 6.81   | .04   |
| NH <sub>3</sub> -N,<br>mg/dl                 | 13.38 <sup>b</sup>  | 19.77°                       | 21.75 <sup>d</sup>   | 19.85°   | .64   |
| Total VFA,<br>mM                             | 57.73 <sup>b</sup>  | 65.03°                       | 74.59 <sup>d</sup>   | 71.46 <sup>cd</sup>                            | 2.47  |
| BCVFA,<br>mol/100 mol                        | 1.95 <sup>b</sup>   | 1.56°                        | 1.79 <sup>bc</sup>   | 1.88 <sup>b</sup>                              | .1  |
| Ac, mol/100<br>mol                           | 71.13 <sup>b</sup>  | 73.68 <sup>c</sup>           | 72.92 <sup>c</sup>   | 72.94 <sup>c</sup>                             | .45   |
| Pr, mol/100<br>mol                           | 17.54   | 16.68                        | 16.91  | 16.66  | .44   |
| Ac / Pr                                      | 4.08  | 4.43                         | 4.34   | 4.39   | .13   |

Table 3. Effect of Straw Treatments on Fermentation Characteristics at the Ad Libitum Intake Level

<sup>a</sup> VFA: volatile fatty acids, BCVFA: branch chained VFA, Ac: acetate, Pr: propionate  $^{b,c,d}$  Means in a row lacking a common superscript differ (P < .05)

<sup>e</sup> Standard error of the LS means

|                 | Ad | -libitum<br>intake   | 75%<br>i | limited<br>ntake     | SEc | <i>P</i> > F |
|-----------------|----|----------------------|----------|----------------------|-----|--------------|
|                 | nª | DMD <sup>b</sup> , % | nª       | DMD <sup>b</sup> , % |     |              |
| Control         | 8  | 53.66                | 6        | 53.71                | .78 | .75          |
| NH3             | 8  | 56.3                 | 8        | 59.53                | .76 | .04          |
| $NH_3 + H_2O$   | 8  | 57.88                | 5        | 61.27                | .82 | .02          |
| $NH_3 + H_2O_2$ | 8  | 58.95                | 6        | 59.73                | .79 | .61          |
| Average         | 32 | 56.7                 | 25       | 58.21                | .40 | .01          |

Table 4. Effect of 75% Limited Intake on DM Digestibility of Treated Straws

<sup>a</sup> Number of observations

<sup>b</sup> Dry matter digestibility

<sup>c</sup> Standard error of the LS means

#### CONCLUSION

Although hydrogen peroxide tends to increase straw utilization, this increase does not seem large enough to be economically justifiable. Comparing our results with those using alkaline hydrogen peroxide, we can conclude that anhydrous ammonia cannot totally replace sodium hydroxide in providing alkalinity for the optimum action of hydrogen peroxide. Table 5. Effect of the Breed on Total Water Consumed and Hemicellulose Digestibility at the Ad Libitum Intake (Average of All Treatments)

| and the second                    | Navajo             | Columbia          | Black Face        | SE <sup>a</sup> |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Water consumed, ml/kg MBW         | 122 <sup>b</sup>   | 169°              | 129 <sup>bc</sup> | 12.55           |
| Hemicellulose<br>digestibility, % | 63.8 <sup>de</sup> | 62.6 <sup>d</sup> | 68.4 <sup>e</sup> | 1.69            |

<sup>a</sup> Standard error of the LS means

<sup>b,c</sup> Means in a row lacking a common superscript differ (P < .05)

<sup>d,e</sup> Means in a row lacking a common superscript differ (P < .1)

#### LITERATURE CITED

- AOAC. 1990. Official Methods of Analysis (15th Ed.). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Arlington, VA.
- Atwell, D. G., N. R. Merchen, E. H. Jaster, G. C. Fahey, Jr., and L. L. Berger. 1991. Site and extent of nutrient digestion by sheep fed alkaline hydrogen peroxide-treated wheat straw-alfalfa hay combinations at restricted intakes. J. Anim. Sci. 69:1697.
- Blaxter, K. L. 1969. The efficiency of energy transformation in ruminants. In: K. L. Blaxter, G. Thorbek, and J. Kielanowski (Ed.). Energy Metabolism in Farm Animals. p 21. Oriel Press. Newcastle-upon-Tyne.
- Brown, W. F. 1988. Maturity and ammoniation effects on the feeding value of tropical grass hay. J. Anim. Sci. 66:2224.

- Cameron, M. G., J. D. Cremin, Jr., G. C. Fahey, Jr., J. H. Clark, L. L. Berger, and N. R. Merchen. 1991a. Chemically treated oat hulls in diets for dairy heifers and weathers: effects on intake and digestion. J. Dairy Sci. 74:190.
- Cameron, M. G., G. C. Fahey, Jr., J. H. Clark, N. R. Merchen, and L. L. Berger. 1991b. Effects of feeding alkaline hydrogen peroxide-treated wheat straw-based diets on intake, digestion, ruminal fermentation, and production responses by mid-lactation dairy cows. J. Anim. Sci. 69:1775.
- Chesson, A., A. H. Gordon, and J. A. Lomax. 1983. Substituant groups linked by alkali-labile bonds to arabinose and xyloseresidues of legume, grass and cereal straw cell walls and their fate during digestion by rumen microorganisms. J. Sci. Food Agr. 34:1330.
- Chestnut A. B., L. L. Berger, and G. C. Fahey, Jr. 1987. Effects of ammoniation of tall fescue on phenolic composition, feed intake, site and extent of nutrient digestion and ruminal dilution rates of steers. J. Anim. Sci. 64:842.
- Feist, W. C., A. J. Baker, and H. Tarkow. 1970. Alkali requirements for improving the digestibility of hardwoods by rumen microorganisms. J. Anim. Sci. 30:832.
- Frayssinet, C., and C. Lafarge-Frayssinet. 1990. Effect of ammoniation on the carcinogenicity of aflatoxincontaminated groundnut oil cakes: long-term feeding study in the rat. Food. Addit. Contam. Anal. Surveillance Eval. Control. London, Taylor & Francis. 7:63.
- Fremy, J. M., J. P. Gautier, M. P. Herry, C. Terrier, and C. Calet. 1988. Effects of ammoniation on the 'carry-over' of aflatoxins into bovine milk. 1988. Food. Addit. Contam. Anal. Surveillance Eval. Control. London, Taylor & Francis. 5:39.
- Gould, J. M. 1984. Alkaline peroxide delignification of agricultural residues to enhance enzymatic saccharification. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 26:46.
- Gould, J. M. 1987. Alkaline peroxide treatment of nonwoody lignocellulosics. U.S. Dep. Agric. Pat. (4,649,113), Washington, D.C.
- Hach, C. C., S. V. Bryton, and A. B. Kopelove. 1985. A powerful Kjeldahl nitrogen method using peroxysulfuric

acid. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 33:1117.

- Horton, G. M. J. 1981. Composition and digestibility of cell wall components in cereal straws after treatment with anhydrous ammonia. Can. J. Anim. Sci. 61:1059.
- Kerley, M. S., G. C. Fahey, Jr., L. L. Berger, N. R. Merchen, and J. M. Gould. 1986. Effect of alkaline hydrogen peroxide treatment of wheat straw on site and extent of digestion in sheep. J. Anim. Sci. 63:868.
- Kernan, J. A., W. L. Crowle, D. T. Spurr, and E. C. Coxworth. 1979. Straw quality of cereal cultivars before and after treatment with anhydrous ammonia. Can J. Anim. Sci. 59:511.
- Kerr, L. A., C. P. McCoy, C. R. Boyle, and H. W. Essig. 1990. Effects of ammoniation of endophytic fungusinfested fescue hay on serum prolactin concentration and rectal temperature in beef cattle. Am. J. Vet. Res. 51:76.
- Klopfenstein, T., L. Berger, and J. Paterson. 1979. Performance of animals fed crop residues. Fed. Proc. 38:1939.
- Larwence, A., and S. Abada. 1987. Sur la teneur en protéines et la digestibilité d'une paille de blé traitée par Trichoderma viride et Myrothecium verrucaria. Ann. Zootech. 36:23.
- Llamas-Lamas, G., and D. K. Combs. 1990. Effects of environmental temperature and ammoniation on utilization of straw by sheep. J. Anim. Sci. 68:1719.
- Males, J. R. 1987. Optimizing the utilization of cereal crop residues for beef cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 65:1124.
- Males, J. R., and C. T. Gaskins. 1982. Growth, nitrogen retention,dry matter digestibility and ruminal characteristics associated with ammoniated wheat straw diets. J. Anim. Sci. 55:505.
- Morris, P. J., and D. N. Mowat. 1980. Nutritive value of ground and/or ammoniated corn stover. Can. J. Anim. Sci. 60:327.
- Mould, F. L., E. R. Orskov, and S. A. Gauld. 1983. Associative effects of mixed feeds. II. The effect of dietary addition of bicarbonate salts on the voluntary intake and digestibility of diets containing various

proportions of hay and barley. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 10:31.

- NRC. 1985. Nutrient Requirements of Sheep (6th Ed). National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- Pfister, J. A. 1983. Nutrition and feeding behavior of goats and sheep grazing deciduous shrub woodland in northeastern Brazil. Ph.D. Dissertation. Utah State Univ., Logan.
- SAS. 1988. SAS/STAT User's Guide (Release 6.03). SAS Inst., Inc., Cary, NC.
- Schneider, B. H., and W. P. Flatt. 1975. The Evaluation of Feeds Through Digestibility Experiments. The University of Georgia Press, Athens.
- Sundstol, F., E. Coxworth, and D. N. Mowat. 1978. Improving the nutritive value of straw and other low quality roughages by treatment with ammonia. World Anim. Rev. 26:13.
- Van Soest, P. J. 1967. Development of a comprehensive system of feed analysis and its application to forages. J. Anim. Sci. 26:119.
- Van Soest, P. J. 1982. Nutritional Ecology of the Ruminant. Cornell University Press, Ithaca.
- Wiedmeier, R. D. 1988. Helping ruminants get more from lowquality forages. Utah Science 49:22.
- Wiedmeier, R.D., J.R. Males, and C.T. Gaskins. 1983. Effects of dietary crude protein on the dry matter digestibility of wheat straw diets in cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 57:1568.
- Wiedmeier, R. D., M. J. Arambel, and J. L. Walters. 1987. Effect of yeast culture and Aspergillus oryzae fermentation extract on ruminal characteristics and nutrient digestibility. J. Dairy Sci. 70:2063.
- Zorrilla-Rios, J., G. W. Horn, W. A. Phillips, and R. W. McNew. 1991. Energy and protein supplementation of ammoniated wheat straw diets for growing steers. J. Anim. Sci. 69:1809.

#### CHAPTER II

## EFFECT OF TREATING WHEAT STRAW AT DIFFERENT PH LEVELS WITH HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AND(OR) ANHYDROUS AMMONIA ON IN SITU DRY MATTER DISAPPEARANCE IN HOLSTEIN COWS

#### ABSTRACT

Interactions between pH, anhydrous ammonia, and hydrogen peroxide treatments of wheat straw were investigated in this study. Ten solutions were prepared to bring the straw moisture content to 15%. Six solutions were adjusted (with NaOH) to a pH of 9, 11, or 13 and contained 2% hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>). Four solutions had a pH of 7 or 11 and contained no  $H_2O_2$ . After rehydrating the straw with the appropriate solutions, half of the stacks were treated with 3.5% anhydrous ammonia. In situ DM disappearance (DMD) of the different treated straws was measured at 0, 6, 12, 24, and 48 h in nonlactating Holstein cows. Treatment were administered in an incomplete block design using ten cows in three different periods. The evolution of DMD was slow and almost linear. The effect of ammoniation on DMD was consistently apparent at all pH levels though it was depressed (P < .1) by raising the pH from 7 to 11. Hydrogen peroxide alone nonsignificantly increased DMD at pH 11. The effect of H,O, on DMD did not change with the pH, but was improved by ammoniation. On the other hand, H,O, improved (P = .06) the effect of ammoniation at pH 11. It is concluded that H\_O, and NH, have complementary mechanisms of

action on straw. Treatment with  $H_2O_2$  and  $NH_3$  at ph 13 had the highest DMD but did not significantly differ from straight ammoniation at pH 7. Compared with the treatments studied here, straight ammoniation remains a cheap and practical treatment.

#### INTRODUCTION

Diouri and Wiedmeier (1992) reported that the combined NH<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment tends to increase straw utilization by sheep. Gould (1987) and Kerley et al. (1987) showed that H20, requires an alkaline environment (pH around 11.5) to be optimally effective. NaOH has been used to provide this alkaline milieu. Because NH, is a weak base, it cannot raise the pH enough for H,O, and therefore cannot totally replace NaOH. Measurements done in our laboratory showed that wheat straw pH was raised, by a 3% anhydrous ammonia treatment, from 5.97 (untreated) to 8.66 and 8.85 when the straw moisture content was 8% and 12%, respectively. Nevertheless, the use of ammonia treatment proved to be successful (Zorrilla-Rios et al., 1985; Brown et al., 1987; Wishmeyer, 1990). This beneficial effect of NH, is due not only to its ability to make energy more available, but also because it supplements the low quality forages with nitrogen, and because of its low cost and practicability on the farm.

The main objective of this study was to optimize the use, with a simple procedure, of  $NH_3-H_2O_2$  after adjusting the pH with NaOH and to detect the possible interaction or additivity between  $H_2O_2$ ,  $NH_3$ , and NaOH.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Wheat straw bales were randomly assigned to ten stacks of ten bales each. After determination of the straw moisture content, ten solutions were prepared to treat the straw and raise its moisture content to 15% (Kernan et al., 1979). These solutions contained either 0 (NP) or 2% (P) of  $H_2O_2$  and were adjusted, using sodium hydroxide, to pH 7, 9, 11, or 13 (Table 6). Each solution was poured evenly on the cut edge of the bales of the corresponding stack. The stacks were then placed in separately sealed 6 mm plastic bags. Anhydrous ammonia (A) was introduced into half of the stacks, through a perforated pipe, at 3.5% of the straw DM. The perforation was immediately sealed. After 3 wk, all the plastic bags were opened for one wk. A representative core sample was taken from each stack. All the samples were ground twice to pass through a 1 cm screen using a Wiley mill (Thomas-Wiley Laboratories, Swedesboro, NJ).

White polyester monofilament bags (Bar Diamond Inc. Parma, ID) with an average pore size of 50  $\mu$ m, and dimensions of 12 x 5 cm were used. Approximately 2 g of treated straw were placed in each bag before heat sealing. This sample size to bag surface area was calculated to represent the recommendation of Nocek (1988). Bags were then contained in perforated rigid plastic tubes.

Ten Holstein nonlactating cows, equipped with rumen fistulae, were all adapted to the same diet for 3 wk. This diet consisted of 2.3 kg/(h.d) of alfalfa hay pellets and an ad libitum access to an ammoniated wheat straw and a mineralvitamin block (NRC, 1989).

|                        | Treatment component |  |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|---------------------|--|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Treatment <sup>a</sup> | pHb                 | H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> <sup>c</sup> | NH3d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9PNA                   | 9                   | 2  | 0    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11PNA                  | 11                  | 2  | 0    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13PNA                  | 13                  | 2  | 0    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9PA                    | 9                   | 2  | 3.5  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11PA                   | 11                  | 2  | 3.5  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13PA                   | 13                  | 2  | 3.5  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7NPNA                  | 7                   | 0  | 0    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11NPNA                 | 11                  | 0  | 0    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7NPA                   | 7                   | 0  | 3.5  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11NPA                  | 11                  | 0  | 3.5  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Nature of the Ten Straw Treatments

<sup>a</sup> Treatments: PA: hydrogen peroxide and ammonia, PNA: hydrogen peroxide and no ammonia, NPA: no hydrogen peroxide and ammonia, NPNA: no hydrogen peroxide and no ammonia

<sup>b</sup> pH of the treatment solution

<sup>c</sup>  $H_2O_2$ , in % of the treatment solution

 $^{\rm d}$  NH\_3, in % of the straw DM, applied to the straw
Each cow was randomly assigned one tube containing 12 bags and representing three different treatments. One bag from each treatment was collected at 6, 12, 24, and 48 h after placement of the tubes in the rumen. This procedure was repeated three times with the same cows. Once removed, the bags were frozen at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. Three bags were prepared from each treatment, to represent time 0, and frozen without entering the rumen. After the bags from the three periods were all collected, they were rinsed twice for 2 min in a conventional washing machine as suggested by Cherney et al. (1990). The bags were then dried at  $60^{\circ}$ C for 72 h.

Data were analyzed using the general linear model of SAS (1988). Treatment, with ten different levels, and cow (considered as block), with 30 levels (10 cows in three periods) were the main effects. The contrast option of this procedure was used to compare the different treatment means. The incomplete block design was used as described by Cochran and Cox (1957).

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows a slow degradability rate in all the treatments, caused by the high fiber content of the straw. Marinucci et al. (1992) also showed that when bags are incubated inside a rigid plastic container, DM disappearance was reduced compared with bags suspended freely in the rumen. The present results should be used only to compare the





different treatments. The evolution of DM disappearance (Figure 1) was almost linear. Logarithmic transformation of the data improved the correlation coefficient by 1 percentage unit only. The degradability rate was then expected to follow the same pattern as the DM disappearance at 48 h (DMD). For this reason, only the latter variable was used as a criterion of treatment comparison. The variability in DMD ( represented in Figure 1 by the standard deviation) was the highest at 48 h, reflecting the segregation of the different treatments. This rate of degradability suggests that it would have been better to measure the DM disappearance at a later time (e.g., 72 h).

The effect of treatments on DMD is summarized in Figure 2. The effect of ammoniation is consistently apparent at every pH whether used alone or in association with  $H_2O_2$ , though it was influenced by the other treatment components. Comparing the five ammoniated with the five nonammoniated straws shows a big improvement (P = .005) due to ammoniation. This agrees with the findings of several authors (Herrera-Saldana et al., 1982, 1983; Grings and Males, 1987) who demonstrated the positive effect of anhydrous ammonia treatment.

It is interesting to note the depression (P = .01) in DMD when elevating the ammonia treatment pH from 7 to 11. This cannot be totally explained by a change in the ammonia form-because of the pka--or by a competition between ammonia and





NaOH, since a similar (but nonsignificant) pattern was observed in the control. Sodium hydroxide was used in several studies as an efficient treatment of low quality forages (Levy et al., 1977; Lesoing et al., 1980; El-Yassin et al., 1991). However, all of these studies used high concentrations of this agent (around 4% of forage DM). Thus it appears that the effect of NaOH is not linearly proportional to its concentration.

Hydrogen peroxide alone had a little (nonsignificant) improvement of the degradability (e.g., 11PNA vs 11NPNA). With  $H_2O_2$  alone, DMD was not improved by the pH. This disagrees with Kerley et al. (1985, 1986, 1987) who demonstrated a large improvement of straw nutrient availability by  $H_2O_2$  treatment at a pH around 11.5. This difference can be explained by two factors. First, in these studies, straw was totally soaked in a large volume of pH-regulated  $H_2O_2$  solution. In our case, the small amount of solution may have been unable to raise the pH of straw (which was not measured) to the optimum level. Second, to raise the pH of this large solution to 11.5, a big amount of NaOH is needed, especially with the presence of  $H_2O_2$ . The ratio of NaOH to the straw DM may have been high enough to contribute to that result.

Ammoniation improved (P = .02) the effect of  $H_2O_2$ (comparison of the three PNA vs the three PA). The additivity of ammonia alkalinity does not seem to be an explanation for that, when we compare for example 9PA and 11PA with 11PNA and

13PNA. This effect cannot either be explained by the nitrogen supply of ammonia because nitrogen--in a better form--was provided by the alfalfa hay pellets supplement. It appears, therefore, that the mechanisms of action of  $NH_3$  and that of  $H_2O_2$  are complementary. This conclusion is confirmed by comparing 11PA with 11NPA (P = .06).

Compared with straight ammoniation at pH 7 (7NPA), the only treatment which gave a better DMD is 13PA. This difference, beside its nonsignificance, was very costly (cost of NaOH and  $H_2O_2$ ).

#### IMPLICATION

Unless an economical analysis justifies the cost of alkaline hydrogen peroxide treatment, straight ammoniation remains an adequate and practical treatment of straw compared to the other treatments studied here. This is especially true when the moisture content of the straw is high enough (15 to 20%).

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Brown, W. F., J. D. Phillips, and D. B. Jones. 1987. Ammoniation or cane molasses supplementation of low quality forages. J. Anim. Sci. 64:1205.
- Cherney, D. J. R., J. A. Patterson, and R. P. Lemenager. 1990. Nutrition, feeding, and calves. Influence of in situ bag rinsing technique on determination of dry matter disappearance. J. Dairy Sci. 73:391.
- Cochran, W. G., and G. M. Cox. 1957. Experimental Designs (2nd Ed.). John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

- Diouri, M., and R. D. Wiedmeier. 1992. Nutritional metabolism of hydrogen peroxide/anhydrous ammonia treated barley straw. J. Anim. Sci. 70(Suppl. 1):192 (Abstr.)
- El-Yassin, F. A., J. P. Fontenot, and H. Chester-Jones. 1991. Fermentation characteristics and nutritional value of ruminal contents and blood ensiled with untreated or sodium hydroxide-treated wheat straw. J. Anim. Sci.69:1751.
- Gould, J. M. 1987. Alkaline peroxide treatment of nonwoody lignocellulosics. U.S. Dep. Agric. Pat. (4,649,113), Washington, D.C.
- Grings, E. E., and J. R. Males. 1987. Ammoniation and magnesium supplementation of wheat straw diets--effects on performance and mineral status of beef cows. J. Anim. Sci. 64:945.
- Herrera-Saldana, R., D. C. Church, and R. O. Kellems. 1982. The effect of ammoniation treatment on intake and nutritive value of wheat straw. J. Anim. Sci. 54:603.
- Herrera-Saldana, R., D. C. Church, and R. O. Kellems. 1983. Effect of ammoniation treatment of wheat straw on in vitro and in vivo digestibility. J. Anim. Sci. 56:938.
- Kerley, M. S., G. C. Fahey, Jr., L. L. Berger, J. M. Gould, and F. L. Baker. 1985. Alkaline hydrogen peroxide treatment unlocks energy in agricultural by-products. Science. 230:820.
- Kerley, M. S., G. C. Fahey, Jr., L. L. Berger, N. R. Merchen, and J. M. Gould. 1986. Effect of alkaline hydrogen peroxide treatment of wheat straw on site and extent of digestion in sheep. J. Anim. Sci. 63:868.
- Kerley, M. S., G. C. Fahey, Jr., L. L. Berger, N. R. Merchen, and J. M. Gould. 1987. Effects of treating wheat straw with pH-regulated solutions of alkaline hydrogen peroxide on nutrient digestion by sheep. J. Dairy Sci. 70:2078.
- Kernan, J. A., W. L. Crowle, D. T. Spurr, and E. C. Coxworth. 1979. Straw quality of cereal cultivars before and after treatment with anhydrous ammonia. Can J. Anim. Sci. 59:511.
- Lesoing, G., I. Rush, T. Klopfenstein, and J. Ward. 1980. Wheat straw in growing cattle diets. J. Anim. Sci. 51:257.

- Levy, D., Z. Holzer, H. Newmark, and Y. Folman. 1977. Chemical processing of wheat straw and cotton by-products for fattening cattle. Anim. Prod. 25:27.
- Marinucci, M. T., B. A. Dehority, and S. C. Loerch. 1992. In vitro and in vivo studies of factors affecting digestion of feeds in synthetic fiber bags. J. Anim. Sci. 70:296.
- Nocek, J. E. 1988. Production research papers. In situ and other methods to estimate ruminal protein and energy digestibility: A review. J. Dairy Sci. 71:2051.
- NRC. 1989. Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle (6th Ed). National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
- SAS. 1988. SAS/STAT User's Guide (Release 6.03). SAS Inst., Inc., Cary, NC.
- Wishmeyer, D. L. 1990. Influence of supplemental proteins and carbohydrates: their effects on digestion in cattle fed ammoniated barley straw versus nonammoniated barley straw. Master's Thesis. Utah State Univ., Logan.
- Zorrilla-Rios, J., F. N. Owens, G. W. Horn, and R. W. McNew. 1985. Effect of ammoniation of wheat straw on performance and digestion kinetics in cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 60:814.

#### CHAPTER III

EFFECT OF ANHYDROUS AMMONIA TREATMENT OF BALTIC RUSH (JUNCUS BALTICUS WILLD.) ON INTAKE, DIGESTIBILITY, AND RUMINAL FERMENTATION CHARACTERISTICS

IN SHEEP

# ABSTRACT

Rushes (Juncus Sp.) produce large amounts of biomass in wetlands where other forage plants have difficulty competing; however, nutrient availability is relatively low for ruminants. Ammoniation of this biomass to improve nutrient availability was investigated. Mature rush biomass was harvested and baled. Half of the bales were treated (T) with anhydrous ammonia, the other half served as a control (UT). Forages were then ground through a 5 cm screen and fed to 8 ruminally cannulated wethers housed in elevated metabolism crates in a crossover design. Animals had an ad libitum access to the nonsupplemented forages for a 14-d adaptation period followed by a 5-d collection period. Total collections were made on days 1-5. On day 6 of the second collection period, ruminal digesta were sampled at 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8 h postfeeding to measure fermentation characteristics. Dry matter (DM) and digestible DM intakes were similar at 845.9, 880.7 and 497.2, 469.7 g/(h.d) for UT and T biomass, respectively. DM digestibility decreased (P = .05) from 58.4 to 54.7% by ammoniation. Ruminal pH, volatile fatty acid (VFA) and

ammonia-nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N) concentrations were 6.67, 6.36 (P = .03); 87.2, 94.6 mM (P = .05); 4.5, 8.3 mg/dl (P = .004) for UT vs T rush. Molar proportions of acetate, propionate, and butyrate were 73.8, 74.1; 17.3, 17.4; 7.3, 7.6% for UT vs T rush, respectively, and were not different (P > .05). Ammoniation of rush did not improve nutrient availability as compared to Poaceae species possibly due to differences in lignin-carbohydrate bonding.

# INTRODUCTION

Rushes (Juncus Sp.) produce large amounts of biomass in flood plane areas where other forage plants have difficulty competing (Smith, 1984; Catling and Spicer, 1987; Manning et al., 1989). The utilization of this biomass in livestock nutrition has been studied by others (Hartley, 1983; Buchsbaum and Valiela, 1987; Kirby et al., 1989). Since this biomass is sometimes baled and fed to ruminants, chemical treatment was investigated to improve its relatively low nutrient availability.

Anhydrous ammonia treatment has been reported to increase low-quality forage utilization by ruminants (Sundstol et al., 1978; Wiedmeier, 1988; Zorrilla-Rios et al., 1991). This positive effect of ammoniation is especially apparent in Poaceae (grasses). Fabaceae (legumes) species have also been ammoniated (Fremy et al., 1988; Frayssinet and Lafarge-Frayssinet, 1990). However, this treatment was used for purposes other than improving the nutrients availability (e.g., against molds and their toxins). The main cause of the unsuccessful ammoniation results in Fabaceae is the different lignin-carbohydrate bonding type. Baltic rush belongs to a family (Juncaceae) that is taxonomically close to Poaceae and was expected to show a similar response to anhydrous ammonia treatment. The main objective of this study was to determine the effect of anhydrous ammonia treatment on rush nutrient utilization by sheep.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rush bales were randomly assigned to one of two stacks and covered by a 6 mm plastic. One stack was not treated to serve as a control.  $NH_3$  was then introduced into the second stack through a perforated pipe at 3% of DM. After ammoniation, the perforation was sealed for approximately 21 d, and then the stacks were uncovered. Rush was then ground, mixed in an auger-type grinder mixer, and stored in 30-gallon plastic containers until used.

Four Navajo and four Saint Croix yearling wethers, fitted with ruminal fistulae, were used. After proper recovery from fistulation, sheep were placed in elevated metabolism crates equipped with stainless steel feeders, water, and a fecesurine collection apparatus.

Treatments were administered in a cross-over design, with two periods. Daily rations were given in 2 equal portions at 0800 and 1600. The wethers had ad libitum access to one of the two forages constituting their whole diets. These diets were fed for a 14-d adaptation period followed by a 5-d collection period.

During d 1 to 5 of the collection periods, total fecal output was collected and weighed twice daily at the normal feeding times. Fecal samples were dried at 60°C for 72 h and then ground to pass through a 2 mm screen using a Wiley mill (Thomas-Wiley Laboratories, Swedesboro, NJ). Dry matter content was calculated. Composites of fecal samples, within animal and period, were then made. The amount of each sample that went into the composite was proportional to that sample's portion of the total output.

Feed was weighed at each feeding. Refusal was weighed back to determine intake. Starting 1 d before the beginning of the collection periods, samples of feed and refusals were taken at each feeding. These samples were ground to pass through a 1 mm screen and proportional composites were made as was described with feces samples.

All feed, ort, and fecal composite samples were analyzed for laboratory dry matter (DM), OM (AOAC, 1990), CP (Hach et al., 1985), ADF, and NDF (Van Soest, 1967).

Rumen measurements were made on d 6 of the second collection period at 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8 h after the 0800 feeding. Volatile fatty acids (VFA), ammonia nitrogen  $(NH_3-N)$ , and pH were measured. Approximately 50 ml of whole rumen liquor was taken from the ventral sac using a soft polyethylene tube and a suction syringe. pH was taken immediately (using Fisher Accumet model, 425 digital pH/ion meter, Pittsburgh, PA). Approximately 25 ml of rumen fluid were then be strained through 2 layers of cheese cloth and centrifuged for 20 min at 4°C and 20,000 g with a Sorvall RC-5B centrifuge (Dupont, Wilmington, DE). Eighteen ml of the supernatant were then added to 2 ml of 6N HCL and stored in a screw top vial at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until later analysis for VFA and ammonia concentrations. Ammonia nitrogen was determined spectrophotometrically using Nessler's reagent (Hach et al., 1985). Volatile fatty acids were determined by gas chromatography (HP 5890, Hewlett-Packard Co., San Fernando, CA) using a 1.83 \* 2 mm ID glass column packed with GP 10% SP-1200 / 1% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> on 80 / 100 120 C mesh Chromosorb W-AW (Supelco Inc., Bellefonte, PA).

Data were analyzed by SAS (1988) using a general linear model, including period, rush treatment, and sheep as main effects. The breed was not included in the model because it did not show any significant effect. Period was not in the model for the rumen fermentation characteristics analysis, since these parameters were measured only in the second period. Although the cross-over is not designed to test the treatment\*period interaction, this effect was separately introduced in the model and was found highly significant (P < .01).

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Unlike cereal straws (Horton, 1981), ammoniation did not dissolve rush fiber (Table 7). This solubilization is important in making structural carbohydrates more available to rumen microorganisms.

The increase in CP content may have been caused by mere absorption of ammonia, or by chemical reaction between  $NH_3$  and some cell wall components. The first hypothesis is favored by the unchanged fiber content.

| - Lindel - str | Untreated | Treated |
|----------------|-----------|---------|
| DM, %          | 92.18     | 91.72   |
|                | DM ba     | sis     |
| OM, %          | 92.75     | 92.99   |
| CP, %          | 10.66     | 12.89   |
| NDF, %         | 74.91     | 74.87   |
| ADF, %         | 48.74     | 48.29   |

Table 7. Effect of Treatment on Rush Nutrient Composition

Table 8 shows that, except for CP, the digestibility of all the nutrients was depressed (P < .1) by ammoniation. Ammoniation of cereal straws has always increased nutrient digestibility (Klopfenstein et al., 1979; Horton, 1981), though this increase is sometimes minor. This decrease in digestibility was compensated by a nonsignificant enhancement of intake leading to a similar digestible intake of the different nutrients. Digestible CP intake was the only exception to this rule, and was increased by ammoniation. This was probably because of the critical level of the control rumen NH<sub>3</sub>-N (Table 9). Ruminal pH (P = .033) decreased with ammoniation; total VFA and NH<sub>3</sub>-N increased (P = .052 and P = .004, respectively). These changes generally indicate an improvement in nutrient utilization. The rumen measurements

| Item                             | Untreated | Treated | SE <sup>a</sup> | P value |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| DMI, g/(h.d)                     | 845.9     | 880.7   | 34.9            | .507    |
| DM Dig <sup>b</sup> , %          | 58.4      | 54.7    | 1.1             | .05     |
| DM DI <sup>c</sup> ,<br>g/(h.d)  | 497.2     | 469.7   | 17.8            | .316    |
| OM dig <sup>b</sup> , %          | 59.7      | 56.9    | .98             | .094    |
| OM DI <sup>c</sup> ,<br>g∕(h.d)  | 472.9     | 460.2   | 17.6            | .629    |
| NDF dig <sup>b</sup> , %         | 55.49     | 51.48   | 1.2             | .051    |
| NDF DI <sup>c</sup> ,<br>g/(h.d) | 346.2     | 322.3   | 18.1            | .385    |
| ADF dig <sup>b</sup> , %         | 60.5      | 56.9    | 1.2             | .086    |
| ADF DI <sup>c</sup> ,<br>g/(h.d) | 251.7     | 240.8   | 10.3            | .486    |
| CP dig <sup>b</sup> , %          | 63.3      | 68.1    | 1.6             | .074    |
| CP DI <sup>c</sup> ,<br>g/(h.d)  | 64.4      | 88.3    | 1.4             | .0001   |

Table 8. Effect of Treatment on Nutrient Utilization

<sup>a</sup> Standard error of the Ls mean

<sup>b</sup> Dig = digestibility

<sup>c</sup> DI = digestible intake

| characteristics           |       |       |      |         |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|------|---------|
| Item                      | UT    | т     | SEb  | P value |
| рН                        | 6.67  | 6.36  | .07  | .033    |
| NH <sub>3</sub> -N, mg/dl | 4.47  | 8.26  | 0.44 | .004    |
| Total VFA,<br>mM          | 87.2  | 94.57 | 1.90 | .052    |
| Acetate <sup>c</sup>      | 73.79 | 74.08 | 1.14 | .868    |
| Propionate <sup>c</sup>   | 17.26 | 17.38 | 1.06 | .939    |
| Butyrate <sup>c</sup>     | 7.33  | 7.56  | .59  | .594    |
| Isobutyrate <sup>c</sup>  | .51   | .22   | .05  | .019    |
| Valerate <sup>c</sup>     | .59   | .58   | .08  | .913    |
| Isovalerate <sup>c</sup>  | .53   | .18   | .03  | .001    |

Table 9. Effect of Treatment on Rumen Fermentation Characteristics<sup>a</sup>

a Data from period 2 only.

<sup>b</sup> Standard error of the LS mean.

<sup>c</sup> In mol / 100 mol

were made only in the second collection period, where nutrient utilization followed a similar pattern as the rumen fermentation characteristics (appendix E).

#### CONCLUSION

Although there was a highly significant treatment \* period interaction, the overall present results show that anhydrous ammonia treatment of rush does not improve nutrient utilization. Juncaceae species may have a different lignincarbohydrate bonding type than Poaceae. Some of the rush components (especially silica) may also have interfered with the treatment.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- AOAC. 1990. Official Methods of Analysis (15th Ed.). Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, VA.
- Buchsbaum, R., and I. Valiela. 1987. Variability in the chemistry of estuarine plants and its effect on feeding by Canada geese. Oecologia. 73:146.
- Catling, P. M., and K. W. Spicer. 1987. The perennial Juncus of section Poiophylli in the Canadian prairie provinces. Can. J. Bot. 65:750.
- Frayssinet, C., and C. Lafarge-Frayssinet. 1990. Effect of ammoniation on the carcinogenicity of aflatoxincontaminated groundnut oil cakes: long-term feeding study in the rat. Food. Addit. Contam. Anal. Surveillance Eval. Control. London, Taylor & Francis. 7:63.
- Fremy, J. M., J. P. Gautier, M. P. Herry, C. Terrier, and C. Calet. 1988. Effects of ammoniation on the 'carry-over' of aflatoxins into bovine milk. 1988. Food. Addit. Contam. Anal. Surveillance Eval. Control. London, Taylor & Francis. 5:39.
- Hach, C. C., S. V. Bryton, and A. B. Kopelove. 1985. A powerful Kjeldahl nitrogen method using peroxysulfuric acid. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 33:1117.
- Hartley, M. J. 1983. Effect of rushes on sheep carrying capacity [Pasture weed control, Juncus spp.]. Proc. N. Z. Weed. Pest. Control. Conf. 36:83.

- Horton, G. M. J. 1981. Composition and digestibility of cell wall components in cereal straws after treatment with anhydrous ammonia. Can. J. Anim. Sci. 61:1059.
- Kirby, D. R., D. M. Green, and T. S. Mings. 1989. Nutrient composition of selected emergent macrophytes in Northern Prairie wetlands. J. Range Manage. 42:323.
- Klopfenstein, T., L. Berger, and J. Paterson. 1979. Performance of animals fed crop residues. Fed. Proc. 38:1939.
- Manning, M. E., S. R. Swanson, T. Svejcar, and J. Trent. 1989. Rooting characteristics of four intermountain meadow community types. J. Range Manage. 42:309.
- SAS. 1988. SAS/STAT User's Guide (Release 6.03). SAS Inst., Inc., Cary, NC.
- Smith, P. H. 1984. The distribution, status and conservation of Juncus balticus Willd. in England. Watsonia. 15:15.
- Sundstol, F., E. Coxworth, and D. N. Mowat. 1978. Improving the nutritive value of straw and other low quality roughages by treatment with ammonia. World Anim. Rev. 26:13.
- Van Soest, P. J. 1967. Development of a comprehensive system of feed analysis and its application to forages. J. Anim. Sci. 26:119.
- Wiedmeier, R. D. 1988. Helping ruminants get more from lowquality forages. Utah Science 49:22.
- Zorrilla-Rios, J., G. W. Horn, W. A. Phillips, and R. W. McNew. 1991. Energy and protein supplementation of ammoniated wheat straw diets for growing steers. J. Anim. Sci. 69:1809.

#### CHAPTER IV

# WATER BALANCE, USE OF INTERNAL MARKERS, AND PREDICTION OF NUTRIENT UTILIZATION IN SHEEP FED STRAW-BASED DIETS

# ABSTRACT

The efficiency of acid insoluble ash (AIA) and ADL insoluble ash (ADLIA) to predict digestibility was investigated, as well as the correlation of nutrient utilization with water balance and with treated straw composition. A control and three treatments of barley straw were used: NH<sub>3</sub> (3% of DM), 3% NH<sub>3</sub> after rehydration of the straw to 15% moisture with water, and 3% NH, after rehydration to 15% moisture with a H2O, solution (.32% of DM). Forages were fed, with a faba bean supplement, at two levels of intake (ad libitum and 75% of ad lib.) to 8 ewes housed in elevated metabolism crates. Treatments were administered in a splitplot in a Latin square design. After an adaptation period, feeds, orts, feces, and urine were measured and sampled during 5 d. Water intake and evaporation were also monitored. AIA estimated digestibility better than ADLIA. Both markers were adequate in determining hemicellulose digestibility (R = .96 and .87 for AIA and ADLIA, respectively). Water intake and insensible water loss (in ml/kg MBW) were both positively correlated with digestible cellulose intake (R = .57 and .69). The fraction of water intake lost through feces was negatively correlated (R = -.70) with NDF digestibility, and negatively

correlated with the fraction of water intake lost through urine (R = -.70). Digestible DMI (DDMI) was correlated with both the increase of forage CP content (R = .74) and the decrease of forage NDF (R = .75). Only the latter was used to establish an equation predicting DDMI and, in turn, estimating straw treatment efficiency.

#### INTRODUCTION

Treated straws are commonly used in animal nutrition (Zorilla-Rios et al., 1985; Kerley et al., 1986; El-Yassin et al., 1991). In a previous study (chapter I), intake and in vivo digestibility of treated barley straw-based diets were measured as well as rumen fermentation characteristics. In such diets, we were interested in three other measurements which make the main objectives of the present study:

 to assess the efficiency of acid insoluble ash (AIA) and ADL insoluble ash (ADLIA) as internal markers for digestibility determination.

to correlate water intake and use with different nutrients utilization.

3. to predict nutrient utilization from the change of forage composition caused by chemical treatment.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

Four different barley straw treatments were used:

1. Control (untreated)

2. 3% NH<sub>z</sub> (anhydrous ammonia at 3% of straw DM)

3. 3%  $\rm NH_3$  after rehydration of straw to 15% moisture with water

4. 3%  $NH_3$  after rehydration of straw to 15% moisture with a H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution (to reach a level of .32% DM of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>).

After treatment, straw was chopped, mixed in an augertype grinder mixer, and stored until used. Eight (4 Navajos, 2 Columbias, and 2 Black-faced) yearling ewes (30 to 51 kg) were used. After adaptation to a straw-based diet, sheep were placed in elevated metabolism crates equipped with stainless steel feeders, water, and a feces-urine collection apparatus.

Treatments were administered in a split plot in a 4\*4 Latin square design with repeated measures. Each of the 4 trial periods was composed of two subperiods. In the first subperiod, sheep had ad libitum access to straw (to determine the intake) allowing approximately 10% refusal. A supplement (whose nutrient composition is presented in Table 1) composed of ground faba bean (*Visia faba*) and fortified with vitamins and minerals as needed to meet nutrient requirements (NRC, 1985) was top dressed. The supplement intake was gradually adjusted to represent 25% of the ration in order to eliminate the possible negative associative effect between concentrate and roughage (Blaxter, 1969; Mould et al., 1983). Daily rations were given in 2 equal portions at 0800 and 1600. Diets were fed for a 14-d adaptation period followed by a 5-d collection period.

In the second subperiod, consisting of a 5-d adaptation and a 5-d collection, the sheep received the same diet but their ration was limited to 75% of each animal's ad libitum intake.

During the collection periods, total urine and fecal output was separated and collected. Urine volumes and fecal

weights were recorded twice daily at the normal feeding times. Urine was stabilized in the collection container with mercuric chloride (HgCl<sub>2</sub>). Fecal samples were dried at 60°C for 72 h and then ground to pass through a 2 mm screen using a Wiley mill (Thomas-Wiley Laboratories, Swedesboro, NJ). Dry matter content was calculated. Composites of fecal samples, within ewe and period, were then made. The amount of each sample that went into the composite was proportional to that sample's portion of the total output. Other fecal composites were made to simulate experiments where internal markers are measured. These composites were not proportional (NP) to the fecal outputs, and contained equal amounts of each fecal sample.

Urine samples were placed in whirl-pak bags, stored at -20°C, composited, then freeze dried (Labconco Lyph-Lock 12, Kansas City, MO).

Feed (straw and supplement) was weighed at each feeding. Refusal was weighed back to determine intake. Starting 1 d before the beginning of the collection periods, samples of feed and refusals were taken at each feeding. These samples were ground to pass through a 1 mm screen and proportional composites were made as was described with feces samples.

All feed, ort, and fecal composite samples were analyzed for laboratory dry matter (DM), OM (AOAC, 1990), CP (Hach et al., 1985), ADF, NDF, ADL (Van Soest, 1967), and AIA (Van Keulen and Young, 1977). ADLIA was the ash remaining after ADL analysis. Water intake was determined by measuring water given and water remaining at each feeding. To account for evaporation, a container, exactly like those used by the animals, was charged with 2 L of water and left in the open. This water was measured again after a fixed period of time. Representative fresh fecal and urine samples were also left in the open and remeasured to determine water evaporation from feces and urine per unit of time.

Data were analyzed by SAS (1988) using regression analysis to determine the relationship between the different variables. When multiple regression was used, the correlation between the independent variables was tested with the collinearity option.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Internal Markers

Only results of the limited intake are used for marker comparisons. Total-collection digestibility was better estimated by AIA than ADLIA (Table 10). ADLIA is determined from a smaller sample size (1 g vs. 5 g) and requires more analytical steps than AIA. This could lead to more analysis errors.

Both markers gave better estimation of the digestibility of cellulose and hemicellulose than that of DM or organic matter. The same trend was reported by Undersander et al. (1987). In hemicellulose digestibility, not only the

correlation was high, but also the intercept was close to zero and the slope was close to one (AIA estimation). The hemicellulose digestibilities determined by AIA can not be used only for comparison purposes, but also to accurately estimate total collection digestibilities.

Van Keulen and Young (1977) did not detect any diurnal AIA excretion pattern. Comparison of AIAp and AIAnp suggests that AIA excretion is not different from one day to another after an adequate adaptation period. Therefore, as long as the diet has a high marker content (Sherrod et al., 1978; Sunvold and Cochran, 1991) (which was our case) and the grab samples are representative (Thonney et al., 1985), AIA can serve as a satisfactory internal marker. However, care must be taken when using this marker in grazing animals mainly because of the ingestion of soil containing large amounts of insoluble ash (Van Keulen and Young, 1977).

# Water Balance

Water utilization parameters were correlated with different nutrient utilization parameters. The pattern of correlation was similar in the two levels of intake. Table 11 presents the highest correlations averaged over the two intake levels.

Water intake (from feed and drinking water) was best correlated with digestible cellulose intake. The correlation coefficient is not very high, probably because of the variability due to animals breed and size. Nevertheless, this

|                  | and the second se |     | Contraction of the second second second |                 |       |                 |
|------------------|---|-----|---|-----------------|-------|-----------------|
|                  | letter y to er  | R   | Intercept                               | Pi <sup>b</sup> | Slope | Ps <sup>b</sup> |
| DMD <sup>c</sup> | ADLIApd   | .68 | 48.19                                   | .0001           | .20   | .0002           |
|                  | AIAp <sup>d</sup>   | .79 | 21.97                                   | .001            | .56   | .0001           |
|                  | AIAnp <sup>d</sup>  | .79 | 19.31                                   | .005            | .59   | .0001           |
| OMD <sup>c</sup> | ADLIAp  | .71 | 48.82                                   | .0001           | .21   | .0001           |
|                  | AIAp  | .82 | 21.39                                   | .0009           | .59   | .0001           |
|                  | AIAnp   | .82 | 18.64                                   | .005            | .62   | .0001           |
| CPD <sup>c</sup> | ADLIAp  | .48 | 49.16                                   | .0001           | .22   | .02             |
|                  | AIAp  | .67 | 4.81                                    | .713            | .84   | .0002           |
| CLD <sup>c</sup> | ADLIAp  | .84 | 42.64                                   | .0001           | .37   | .0001           |
|                  | AIAp  | .93 | 8.52                                    | .075            | .80   | .0001           |
| HCD <sup>c</sup> | ADLIAp  | .87 | 34.80                                   | .0001           | .50   | .0001           |
|                  | AIAp  | .96 | 28                                      | .94             | .92   | .0001           |

Table 10. Regression Parameters of In Vivo Digestibility vs. Digestibility Determined by Internal Markers<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Data from the limited intake periods

<sup>b</sup> Probability that intercept (Pi) or slope (Ps) equal zero <sup>c</sup> Digestibility of: dry matter (DMD), organic matter (OMD), crude protein (CPD), cellulose (CLD), and hemicellulose (HCD) <sup>d</sup> internal marker: ADLIA = ADL insoluble ash, AIA = acid insoluble ash; fecal samples composited proportionally (AIAp) or nonproportionally (AIAnp) to the fecal outputs Table 11. Water Utilization Parameters and Their Best Correlations with Nutrients Utilization

| Dep. var.ª  | Mean1  | ± s | 5E <sup>b</sup> | Mean2  | ± | SEb  | Best ind <sup>c</sup> | R   |
|-------------|--------|-----|-----------------|--------|---|------|-----------------------|-----|
| WI          | 135.53 | ± 6 | 5.01            | 111.75 | ± | 7.43 | DCI                   | .57 |
| %WF         | 38.86  | ± 1 | L.48            | 33.27  | ± | 2.05 | NDFD                  | 70  |
| <b>%₩</b> U | 24.69  | ± 1 | L.53            | 31.87  | ± | 2.09 | %WF                   | 70  |
| IWL         | 49.56  | ± 3 | 3.12            | 38.01  | ± | 2.18 | DCI                   | .69 |

a Dep. var = dependent variable: WI = Water intake (ml/(d.kg mbw)); %WF = percentage of water intake lost in feces; %WU = percentage of water intake lost in urine; IWL = insensible water loss (ml/(d.kg mbw))

<sup>b</sup> Mean ± Standard error of the dep. var. at voluntary (Mean1) or limited intake (Mean2)

<sup>c</sup> Best ind. = the independent variable that has the best correlation with the dependent variable: DCI = digestible cellulose intake; NDFD = NDF digestibility

correlation shows that cellulose metabolism requires relatively high amounts of water. Jacques et al. (1989) reported also that water intake is increased by an increased DM intake.

Water intake goes out of the body through feces, urine, or insensible loss (calculated here by difference). The portion of water lost through feces is negatively correlated with NDF digestibility and with water lost through urine. The more NDF is digestible, the more water is needed to transport its products to the circulatory system instead of being lost in the feces. This water will, in turn be excreted in the urine. The portion of water intake in insensible loss depends primarily on environmental conditions, and was not highly correlated with nutrients digestibility. The absolute amount of insensible water loss, however, was positively correlated with digestible cellulose intake, probably because of the difference in metabolism level with different intake levels (Silanikove, 1989; Shalit et al., 1991). It is important to note that the different water utilization parameters are not independent, probably because of the interference of water secretion at different parts of the GI tract (Sklan and Hurwitz, 1985; Jacques et al., 1989).

# Efficiency of Straw Treatment

The treatment components used in this study increase nutrient utilization by dissolving forage NDF and(or) increasing forage CP. The increase in straw CP ( $\triangle$ CP) and the decrease in straw NDF ( $\triangle$ NDF) should, therefore, approximately indicate straw nutrient availability.

Digestible DMI (DDMI), at ad libitum intake level, was correlated (R = .75) with  $\triangle$ NDF through the following equation:

DDMI/MBW = 22.96 + 1.52 \*  $\Delta$ NDF where: DDMI = digestible DM intake (g/(h.d)) MBW = metabolic body weight (Kg<sup>.75</sup>) **<u><u><u></u></u>**<u>ANDF</u> = decrease in forage NDF content (%)</u>

 $\Delta CP$  was also correlated with DDMI (R = .74). The introduction of this parameter in the model increased R<sup>2</sup> from .56 to .62. This improvement was minor mainly because of the high correlation (R = .79) between  $\Delta CP$  and  $\Delta NDF$ .

The equation given above is very limited. It depends, among other things, on the type and amount of supplement, animal species and breed, and the type of straw. Moreover, it cannot detect toxicities or deficiencies. Therefore, it does not determine DDMI per se, but rather compares the efficiency of different treatments (mainly ammonia treatments).

#### LITERATURE CITED

- AOAC. 1990. Official Methods of Analysis (15th Ed.). Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, VA.
- Blaxter, K. L. 1969. The efficiency of energy transformation in ruminants. In: K. L. Blaxter, G. Thorbek, and J. Kielanowski (Ed.). Energy Metabolism in Farm Animals. p 21. Oriel Press, Newcastle-upon-Tyne.
- El-Yassin, F. A., J. P. Fontenot, and H. Chester-Jones. 1991. Fermentation characteristics and nutritional value of ruminal contents and blood ensiled with untreated or sodium hydroxide-treated wheat straw. J. Anim. Sci.69:1751.
- Hach, C. C., S. V. Bryton, and A. B. Kopelove. 1985. A powerful Kjeldahl nitrogen method using peroxysulfuric acid. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 33:1117.
- Jacques, K., D. L. Harmon, W. J. Croom, JR., and W. M. Hagler, Jr. 1989. Estimating salivary flow and ruminal water balance of intake, diet, feeding pattern, and slaframine. J. Dairy Sci. 72:443.
- Kerley, M. S., G. C. Fahey, Jr., L. L. Berger, N. R. Merchen, and J. M. Gould. 1986. Effect of alkaline

hydrogen peroxide treatment of wheat straw on site and extent of digestion in sheep. J. Anim. Sci. 63:868.

- Mould, F. L., E. R. Orskov, and S. A. Gauld. 1983. Associative effects of mixed feeds. II. The effect of dietary addition of bicarbonate salts on the voluntary intake and digestibility of diets containing various proportions of hay and barley. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 10:31.
- NRC. 1985. Nutrient Requirements of Sheep (6th Ed). National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- SAS. 1988. SAS/STAT User's Guide (Release 6.03). SAS Inst., Inc., Cary, NC.
- Shalit, U., E. Maltz, and N. Silanikove. 1991. Water, sodium, potassium, and chlorine metabolism of dairy cows at the onset of lactation in hot weather. J. Dairy Sci. 74:1874.
- Sherrod, L. B., C. B. Summers, R. C. Albin, and R. K. Ratkliff. 1978. ADF insoluble ash, HCl insoluble ash and lignin as indicators for determining ruminant digestibility. Proc. West. Sect. Am. Soc. Anim. Sci. 29:236.
- Silanikove, N. 1989. Interrelationships between water, food and digestible energy intake in desert and temperate goats. Appetite 12:163.
- Sklan, D., and S. Hurwitz. 1985. Movement and absorption of major minerals and water in ovine gastrointestinal tract. J. Dairy Sci. 68:1659.
- Sunvold, G. D. and R. C. Cochran. 1991. Technical note: evaluation of acid detergent lignin, alkaline peroxide lignin, acid insoluble ash, and indigestible acid detergent fiber as internal markers for prediction of alfalfa, bromegrass, and prairie hay digestibility by beef steers. J. Anim. Sci. 69:4951.
- Thonney, M. L., B. A. Palhof, M. R. DeCarlo, D. A. Ross, N. L. Firth, R. L. Quaas, D. J. Perosio, D. J. Duhaime, S. R. Rollins, and A. Y. M. Nour. 1985. Sources of variation of dry matter digestibility measured by the acid insoluble ash marker. J. Dairy Sci. 68:661.
- Undersander, D. J., N. A. Cole, and C. H. Naylor. 1987. Digestibility by lambs of water-stressed alfalfa as determined by total collection or internal markers. J. Dairy Sci. 70:1719.

- Van Keulen, J., and B. A. Young. 1977. Evaluation of acidinsoluble ash as a natural marker in ruminant digestibility studies. J. Anim. Sci. 44:282.
- Van Soest, P. J. 1967. Development of a comprehensive system of feed analysis and its application to forages. J. Anim. Sci. 26:119.
- Zorrilla-Rios, J., F. N. Owens, G. W. Horn, and R. W. McNew. 1985. Effect of ammoniation of wheat straw on performance and digestion kinetics in cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 60:814.

#### CONCLUSION

Ammoniation of low-quality forages, especially cereal straws, makes energy more available. It improves intake primarily, and also digestibility of different nutrients.

Rehydration of straw, as well as the addition of hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ , increases the efficiency of ammoniation. These improvements are, however, small. Another small improvement is added by raising the treatment solution pH.

To take advantage of the big potentialities of straw, large amounts of  $H_2O_2$  alkaline solution are needed in which to soak the forage. Beside the risk of toxicity, such treatment should be compared with ammoniation on the basis of practicability on the farm. Although centralization may solve this problem in some regions, the cost of treatment remains the major criterion of comparison.

More research is needed to evaluate the different chemical treatments in different plant species and to improve their efficiency.

It is my opinion that, unless the economical situation dictates otherwise, the chemical treatment should be as simple as possible. The appropriate chemical treatment should, however, be complemented by microbiological treatments, selection of the forage and the animal, and physiological control of digestion and metabolism.

APPENDICES

# Appendix A.

Appendices Abbreviations:

# Appendix B.

Analysis of Variance Table for Chapter I.

Dry matter intake, g/(h.d) Source MS DF 138141.69 \*\*\* Treatment 3 Period 55844.67 \*\*\* 3 195950.72 \*\*\* Breed 2 Sheep(breed) 47418.68 \*\*\* 5 Error 18 8301.02

Dry matter digestibility, % Source DF MS Treatment 3 42.26 \*\*\* 20.33 \*\*\* Period 3 Breed 2 .76 Sheep(breed) 5 8.32 \* 3.30 Error 18
Digestible dry matter intake, g/(h.d)

| Source       | DF | MS           |
|--------------|----|--------------|
| Treatment    | 3  | 67804.71 *** |
| Period       | 3  | 10470.44 **  |
| Breed        | 2  | 65439.20 *** |
| Sheep(breed) | 5  | 12527.01 **  |
| Error        | 18 | 3278.78      |

Digestible organic matter intake, g/(h.d)

| Source       | DF | MS           |
|--------------|----|--------------|
| Treatment    | 3  | 61019.03 *** |
| Period       | 3  | 9092.22 *    |
| Breed        | 2  | 61069.89 *** |
| Sheep(breed) | 5  | 11209.04 **  |
| Error        | 18 | 2912.79      |

Digestible crude protein intake, g/(h.d)

| Source       | DF | MS          |
|--------------|----|-------------|
| Treatment    | 3  | 2040.84 *** |
| Period       | 3  | 136.78 **   |
| Breed        | 2  | 956.31 ***  |
| Sheep(breed) | 5  | 179.73 ***  |
| Error        | 18 | 42.08       |

Digestible cellulose intake, g/(h.d)

| Source       | DF | MS           |
|--------------|----|--------------|
| Treatment    | 3  | 10536.25 *** |
| Period       | 3  | 1303.03      |
| Breed        | 2  | 12521.73 *** |
| Sheep(breed) | 5  | 1876.58 **   |
| Error        | 18 | 665.67       |

Digestible hemicellulose intake, g/(h.d)

| Source       | DF | MS          |
|--------------|----|-------------|
| Treatment    | 3  | 7372.26 *** |
| Period       | 3  | 2600.15 *** |
| Breed        | 2  | 5208.76 *** |
| Sheep(breed) | 5  | 1747.09 *** |
| Error        | 18 | 263.60      |

Hemicellulose digestibility, %

| Source       | DF | MS         |
|--------------|----|------------|
| Treatment    | 3  | 684.37 *** |
| Period       | 3  | 329.36 *** |
| Breed        | 2  | 78.75 **   |
| Sheep(breed) | 5  | 31.21      |
| Error        | 18 | 18.81      |

Water intake per metabolic body weight, ml/Kg.75

| Source       | DF | MS          |
|--------------|----|-------------|
| Treatment    | 3  | 820.39      |
| Period       | 3  | 1738.58 **  |
| Breed        | 2  | 5993.99 *** |
| Sheep(breed) | 5  | 1728.79 **  |
| Error        | 18 | 416.52      |

Rumen fluid pH

| Source    | DF | MS       |
|-----------|----|----------|
| Treatment | 3  | .003     |
| Period    | 3  | .185 *** |
| Sheep     | 7  | .032 **  |
| Error     | 18 | .012     |

Rumen fluid ammonia nitrogen, mg/dl

| Source    | DF | MS         |
|-----------|----|------------|
| Treatment | 3  | 106.70 *** |
| Period    | 3  | 10.56 **   |
| Sheep     | 7  | 12.25 **   |
| Error     | 18 | 3.30       |

Total volatile fatty acids in rumen fluid, mM

| Source    | DF | MS         |
|-----------|----|------------|
| Treatment | 3  | 445.44 *** |
| Period    | 3  | 413.98 *** |
| Sheep     | 7  | 350.53 *** |
| Error     | 18 | 48.72      |

Branch chained volatile fatty acids in rumen fluid, mol/100 mol

| Source    | DF | MS       |
|-----------|----|----------|
| Treatment | 3  | .230 *   |
| Period    | 3  | .476 *** |
| Sheep     | 7  | .279 **  |
| Error     | 18 | .075     |

Rumen acetate, mol/100 mol

| Source    | DF | MS       |
|-----------|----|----------|
| Treatment | 3  | 9.37 *** |
| Period    | 3  | 2.59     |
| Sheep     | 7  | 1.22     |
| Error     | 18 | 1.66     |

| Rumen | propionate, | mol/100 | mol |
|-------|-------------|---------|-----|
|-------|-------------|---------|-----|

| Source    | DF | MS   |
|-----------|----|------|
| Treatment | 3  | 1.34 |
| Period    | 3  | 1.15 |
| Sheep     | 7  | 1.31 |
| Error     | 18 | 1.57 |

Acetate/propionate ratio in rumen fluid

| Source    | DF | MS   |
|-----------|----|------|
| Treatment | 3  | .201 |
| Period    | 3  | .080 |
| Sheep     | 7  | .082 |
| Error     | 18 | .135 |

# Appendix C.

Analysis of Variance Table for Chapter II.

| In  | situ   | dry | matter | disappearance | at | 48 | h,   | 00  |
|-----|--------|-----|--------|---------------|----|----|------|-----|
| So  | urce   |     |        | DF            |    |    | MS   |     |
| Cor | w      |     |        | 29            |    | 74 | 1.18 | *** |
| Tre | eatmen | t   |        | 9             |    | 43 | 3.13 | **  |
| Er  | ror    |     |        | 51            |    | 19 | 9.75 | 5   |

## Appendix D.

Analysis of Variance Table for Chapter III.

| Dry matter | intake, | g/(h.d) |           |     |
|------------|---------|---------|-----------|-----|
| Source     |         | DF      | MS        |     |
| Period     |         | 1       | 135111.38 | *** |
| Treatment  |         | 1       | 4836.51   |     |
| Sheep      |         | 7       | 97503.10  | *** |
| Error      |         | 6       | 9753.13   |     |

Dry matter digestibility, %

| Source    | DF | MS         |
|-----------|----|------------|
| Period    | 1  | 202.35 *** |
| Treatment | 1  | 53.88 **   |
| Sheep     | 7  | 8.88       |
| Error     | 6  | 8.94       |

Digestible dry matter intake, g/(h.d)

| Source    | DF | MS           |
|-----------|----|--------------|
| Period    | 1  | 5639.26      |
| Treatment | 1  | 3022.80      |
| Sheep     | 7  | 30357.39 *** |
| Error     | 6  | 2531.28      |

Organic matter digestibility, %

| Source    | DF | MS         |
|-----------|----|------------|
| Period    | 1  | 186.32 *** |
| Treatment | 1  | 30.09 *    |
| Sheep     | 7  | 8.53       |
| Error     | 6  | 7.61       |

Digestible organic matter intake Source DF

| Period    | 1 | 6174.42      |
|-----------|---|--------------|
| Treatment | 1 | 645.29       |
| Sheep     | 7 | 28158.22 *** |
| Error     | 6 | 2486.37      |

MS

Crude protein digestibility, %

| Source    | DF | MS      |
|-----------|----|---------|
| Period    | 1  | 34.43   |
| Treatment | 1  | 95.40 * |
| Sheep     | 7  | 23.32   |
| Error     | 6  | 20.43   |

Digestible crude protein intake, g/(h.d)

| Source    | DF | MS         |   |
|-----------|----|------------|---|
| Period    | 1  | 1071.91 ** | * |
| Treatment | l  | 2296.33 ** | * |
| Sheep     | 7  | 591.70 **  | * |
| Error     | 6  | 15.91      |   |

NDF digestibility, %

| Source    | DF | MS         |
|-----------|----|------------|
| Period    | 1  | 245.47 *** |
| Treatment | 1  | 64.36 *    |
| Sheep     | 7  | 29.34      |
| Error     | 6  | 10.91      |

Digestible NDF intake, g/(h.d)

| Source    | DF | MS          |  |  |
|-----------|----|-------------|--|--|
| Period    | 1  | 390.56      |  |  |
| Treatment | 1  | 2283.65     |  |  |
| Sheep     | 7  | 20915.95 ** |  |  |
| Error     | 6  | 2605.42     |  |  |

ADF digestibility, %

| Source    | DF | MS        |  |
|-----------|----|-----------|--|
| Period    | 1  | 117.61 ** |  |
| Treatment | 1  | 50.27 *   |  |
| Sheep     | 7  | 45.41 *   |  |
| Error     | 6  | 11.89     |  |

Digestible ADF intake, g/(h.d)

| Source    | DF | MS         |  |
|-----------|----|------------|--|
| Period    | 1  | 6958.48 ** |  |
| Treatment | 1  | 470.35     |  |
| Sheep     | 7  | 3851.90 ** |  |
| Error     | 6  | 852.45     |  |

## Appendix E.

Effect of Ammoniation on Rush Nutrient Utilization (Period 2)

| Item                 | Untreated | treated | SEª  | P value |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|------|---------|
| DMI, g/(h.d)         | 776.2     | 1134.2  | 72.6 | .013    |
| DM dig <sup>b</sup>  | 55.6      | 50.5    | 1.2  | .025    |
| DM DI <sup>c</sup>   | 431.4     | 573     | 40   | .046    |
| OM dig <sup>b</sup>  | 56.9      | 52.9    | 1.2  | .056    |
| OM DI <sup>c</sup>   | 411.2     | 561.2   | 39.5 | .036    |
| NDF dig <sup>b</sup> | 51        | 48.1    | 2.3  | .404    |
| NDF DI <sup>c</sup>  | 285.4     | 393     | 37.5 | .089    |
| ADF dig <sup>b</sup> | 61.5      | 50.5    | 1.4  | .002    |
| ADF DI <sup>c</sup>  | 256.9     | 277.3   | 17.6 | .446    |
| CP dig <sup>b</sup>  | 62.3      | 66.2    | 1.9  | .181    |
| CP DI <sup>c</sup>   | 57.8      | 111.3   | 4.5  | .0001   |

<sup>a</sup> Standard error of the LS means

<sup>b</sup> Dig = digestibility (%)

<sup>c</sup> DI = digestible intake (g/(h.d))

### Mohammed Diouri (February 1993)

### EDUCATION

10/79-10/84 Undergraduate and MS studies. Animal husbandry. Faculté des sciences agronomiques de l'état à Gembloux (Belgium). MS thesis title: Analysis of the current situation of the modern poultry industry in the province of Meknès (Morocco).

9/89-2/93 Ph.D. studies. Animal nutrition. Utah State University, Logan Utah (USA). Expected date of completion: winter 93. Dissertation title: Treatment of low quality forages by hydrogen peroxide and (or) anhydrous ammonia and their utilization in ruminant nutrition.

#### EXPERIENCE

- 9/85 to date Instructor in biology department, Moulay Ismail University, Meknès Morocco.
- Winter 90 and winter 92 Teaching assistant in ADVS department (USU).

#### PUBLICATIONS

- Diouri, M., R. D. Wiedmier, B. H. Tanner, and J. R. Bair. 1991. Effect of ammonia treatment of sedge (Carex sp.) on intake, nutrient digestibility, and ruminal fermentation characteristics. J. Anim. Sci. 69(Supplement 1):259 (abstract). Poster presentation at Laramie, Wyoming.
- Diouri, M., and R. D. Wiedmier. 1992. Nutritional metabolism of hydrogen peroxide/anhydrous ammonia treated barley straw. J. Anim. Sci. 70(Supplement 1):192 (abstract). Oral presentation at Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- Wiedmier, R. D., B. H. Tanner, M. Diouri, and J. R. Bair. 1991. Effect of anhydrous ammonia treatment of mature range grasses on utilization by ruminants. J. Anim. Sci. 69(supplement 1):258 (abstract).

AWARDS

- 9/87-12/87 USAID scholarship to visit Range Science department (USU).
- 9/89-3/93 USAID scholarship to prepare a PH.D. in ADVS department (USU).