All written in GAMSMINOS

PAPER NO. 87-2098

Target correctiont: attainent. God Programmy. ... NLP OK for chance construct

DEVELOPMENT OF LINEAR WATER QUALITY CONSTRAINTS FOR

OPTIMAL GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT

by

R. C. Peralta . J. Solaimanian S. A. Prathapar

Authors are Assoc. Prof, Research Grad. Asst., and former Research Assoc., Agric. Eng. Dept., Univ. of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, 72701.

For presentation at the 1987 Summer Meeting AMERICAN SOCIETY OF AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERS

Convention Center, Baltimore, Maryland June: 28 - July 1, 1987

## SUMMARY:

Proposed is a procedure for incorporating solute transport as linear constraints within computer models for optimizing regional groundwater extraction strategies. NODCON procedure uses linear goal programming, embedded linearized equations for flow and solute transport, and MOC simulation model. Assumed is 2D flow and solute transport, and a dispersed conservative contaminant.

### **KEYWORDS:**

Groundwater, Operations Research, Mamagement, Modeling, Contamination, Solute

> Papers presented before ASAE meetings are considered to be the property of the Society. In general, the Society reserves the right of first publication of such papers, in complete form. However, it has no objection to publication, in condensed form, with credit to the Society and the author. Permission to publish a paper in full may be requested from ASAE, 2950 Niles Rd., St. Joseph, MI 49085-9659.

> The Society is not responsible for statements or opinions advanced in papers or discussions at its meetings. Papers have not been subjected to the review process by ASAE editorial committees; therefore, are not to be considered as refereed.

St. Joseph, MI 49085-9659

American

Engineers

Society

of Agricultural

### INTRODUCTION

Developing optimal regional quantitative water management strategies has been accomplished with increasing frequency in recent years. Consideration of groundwater quality constraints is not common in such models however. This results from the fact that when optimizing groundwater extraction (rather than injection), mass flux of contaminant extraction is the product of unknown concentrations and unknown extraction rates. In other words, constraint equations describing extraction are nonlinear.

There are many commercially available codes that can solve optimization problems having nonlinear constraints. However, depending on the problem, 'optimal' solutions resulting from problems incorporating nonlinear constraints may not be globally optimal. Such solutions may be merely locally optimal in the decision space. It is possible to perform enough repetetive nonlinear optimizations, using different initial feasible solutions, to become somewhat sure that one has attained a true global optimal strategy. Depending on the number of variable, it may be impractical or uneconomic to do so.

Some researchers advocate linearizing nonlinear equations to derive globally optimal solutions. This tack has its own weakness. Such solutions are merely optimal for a linear surrogate of the original nonlinear problem. Their adequacy depends on the degree to which the linear formulation appropriately represents the nonlinear system.

The purpose of this paper is to describe a MODCON (MODel for MODifying CONtaminant CONcentrations) approach for developing globally optimal groundwater management strategies that include consideration of groundwater quality. MODCON relies on the repetitive use of linear optimization/simulation goal-programming models and an externally developed nonliner solute transport model.

# RELATION TO PREVIOUS WORK

Several techniques have been used to represent solute transport in optimization models (Gorelick, 1983). Each method has limitations. Gorelick (1984) represented solute transport as nonlinear constraints. However, when nonlinear water quality constraints are used it is difficult to assure global optimality. A second category of models use gradient control and velocity influence coefficients (Colarullo et al., 1984, Gorelick and Lefkoff; 1985). Such models may be overly restrictive if some contaminant movement (in addition to dispersion) is acceptable; or impractical for regional use if the of contamination is large. A third method utilizes area influence coefficients describing the effect of a change in potentiometric head on steady state contamination (Datta and Peralta, 1986). This approach is also overly restrictive, since it takes a very long time for steady state concentrations to develop, and impractical, if groundwater quality constraints must be considered for many locations. Other approaches also have been utilized ( Louie et al., 1984). No previously reported techniques seem well suited for the task of developing volumetrically optimal regional strategies while simultaneously considering groundwater quality constraints.

### PROCEDURE

Modelling Methodology Overview and Functions

We assume: 1) an unconfined isotropic heterogeneous aquifer in which the change in water levels with time will cause insignificant change in

transmissivity, 2) two-dimensional groundwater flow, 3) two-dimensional solute transport and insignificant vertical density gradients, and 4) conservative dispersed contaminant. Although anisotropic hydraulic conductivity can be readily considered, isotropic conductivity is assumed here.

The purpose of the proposed model is to develop a regional groundwater extraction strategy that will, as much as possible, maintain an existing potentiometric surface, while assuring that future groundwater contaminant concentrations are acceptable. It is assumed that the developed annual pumping strategy will be unchanging with time during the planning period. In order to achieve these goals the iterative optimization and simulation process described below is used (Figure 1 contains a flowchart).

The complete modelling procedure (NODCON) consists of four optimization/simulation modules (A,B,D,E), and an externally developed solute transport model (module C). Components A, B and E incorporate the twodimensional linearized Boussinesq equation to model groundwater flow. Modules D & E incorporate linearized solute transport equations. In this paper, module C is the method of characteristics (NOC) model of Konikow and Bredehoeft (1978). The functions of each part of MODCON are discussed below. Their most important characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Model A uses steady-state simulation and weighted linear goal-programming (LGP) optimization to determine acceptable boundary flux rates for the subsystem. This function is important when it is impractical to model an entire aquifer system. It aids developing a pumping strategy for only a portion of the aquifer in such a way as to prevent disruption of flow outside that subsystem. To do this, one assumes that aquifer stimuli outside the system during the management period will maintain the regional flow patterns that exist at the beginning of the era (t=0), as long as pumping within the subsystem does not induce more groundwater flow into the subsystem than occurred initially. The recharge fluxes computed for boundary cells by submodel A are used as upper limits on recharge in subsequent optimization models.

Submodel B uses unsteady simulation and weighted LGP optimization to compute a pumping strategy that will cause future potentiometric heads to be as close to current heads as possible. It does not consider solute transport.

In module C, a nonlinear solute transport model provides multi-time-step nonlinear simulation. It computes the future concentrations that will result from implementation of the pumping strategy developed by model B. Assuming future concentrations will be unacceptable in some locations, the pumping strategy will need to be modified. To accomplish strategy modification, solute - transport must be appropriately included in a model similar to model B.

The next step is to create an adequate linear representation of solute transport. Submodel D uses LGP to calibrate two-dimensional linear solute transport equations so that they can replicate concentrations predicted by the nonlinear model. By including only a single time-step, model D avoids using unknown concentrations (final concentrations are assumed known from module C) in its solute transport equations and is able to be linear. (Transmissivities are computed for both beginning and final heads.)

Module E includes the objective function and unsteady volumetric simulation of model B, as well as the calibrated linear solute transport equations of model D. It develops a modified pumping strategy that considers groundwater quality constraints. Its objective function is the same as that for module B.

Because of the bold assumptions made in the linearized solute transport constraints, one should verify the concentrations predicted by model E. The MOC model is used for this purpose. Figure 1 shows that iteration through



Table 1. Significant characteristics of MODCON modules

Figure 1. Flowchart of module functions in MODCON

models D, E and the nonlinear model is continued until concentrations predicted by model E are acceptable and close to those predicted by nonlinear model.

# Nodel Development

For an n cell subsystem the objective function for models A, B, D and E is (Yazdanian and Peralta, 1986):

where

 $( \mathbf{W} ) = \mathbf{a} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{n}$  vector of weighting factors, (dimensionless)

{ D } and { D } are m x 1 column vectors of over- and under-achievement

variables, respectively, units of L for modules A, B,

& E. units of ppm for module D.

Optimal solutions for submodels A and B are constrained subject to the following, simply described for either steady-state flow (A) or a single time step of unsteady flow (B). (For t time steps, array dimensions of magnitude n become n x t.)

 $\{L\} \leq \{Q\} = \{B\} - [A] \{H\} \leq \{U\}$   $q \qquad * \qquad q \qquad ...[2]$ 

$$\begin{array}{c} (L) \leq (H) \leq (U) \\ h & * & h \end{array}$$

$$(H_{}) - \{D_{}\} + \{D_{}\} = \{H_{}\}$$
 ...[4]  
\* + - 0

where:

5

[A] = n x n symmetric banded matrix of aquifer properties, L

{H } = n x 1 column vector of optimal final or intermediate heads,
 \*

depending on the number of time steps, L

(L ) and (U ) = n x 1 column vectors of lower and upper bounds on head, L
h
h

2

(H ) = initial heads, L
o

Note that the objective function considers all cells, not merely internal cells. Thus, in this example boundary cells are treated as variable head/restrained flux boundary conditions (equation [2]), rather than as classical constant head (Dirichlet) or constant flux (Neumann). The use of weights of large magnitude for boundary cells effectively forces heads to approximate desired values.

The constraints for module D reflect its function of calibrating coefficients contained in linearized solute transport equations. It uses objective function [1] subject to conditions mentioned below, including constraint [6] for each cell. Note that over- and under-achievement variables have dimensions of concentration in equation [6], as they do in equation [1] when it is applied to model D. Based on simulation using the MOC model, future heads and concentrations are known. Equation [6] reflects the fact that these future concentrations are functions of initial concentrations, intermediary fluxes, advective and dispersive processes. The F coefficients and over- and under-achievement variables are determined by the model through optimization.

C + f(F,Q,C,V) + f(F,H,C,T,V) + f(F,H,C,P,V) - D + D = C2 Ο 1 3 + T, MOC ..[6] where = initial and intermediate concentrations C .C α С = final concentrations predicted by MOC model in module C T, MOC F,F,F = linear coefficients for processes of accretion, advection and 1 2 3 dispersion V = volume = transmissivity and other problem specific parameters Т P = dispersivity and other parameters

subject to bounds on F values to aid realistic representation of transport.

6

 $f(C,C) \leq F \leq f(C,C) \qquad ...[7]$ o T,MOC 1 o T,MOC ...[8]  $0.0 \leq F \leq 1.0 \qquad ...[8]$   $2 \qquad ...[9]$ 3

Nodule D also contains bounds [5]. As a result, it determines the coefficient values that cause best replication of concentrations predicted by nonlinear simulation.

Nodule E uses objective function [1], calibrated F coefficients and constraint equations [2-5] and [10], which is a vectorized constraint form of [6].

 $\{ f (F, C, Q, V, H, T, P) \} - \{ D \} + \{ D \} \leq \{ U \}$  + - c

This final model computes a pumping strategy that will cause future heads to be as close as possible to initial heads, while simultaneously assuring that future groundwater contaminant concentrations are acceptable.

## APPLICATION AND RESULTS

Flow assumptions are as mentioned previously. The study area aquifer is unconfined, consisting of unconsolidated sands and gravels with a hydraulic conductivity of 250 ft/day and a specific yield of 0.25. A longitudinal (an transverse) dispersivity of 1320 ft is assumed in the MOC model for a dispersed contaminant and large cell size. Diffusion is assumed to be insignificant.

2

Figure 2 shows a grid of 1 mi cells taken from the Bayou Bartholomew basin in Arkansas. The displayed potentiometric surface is one that would evolve from implementation of one of the optimal sustained yield pumping strategies developed by Peralta et al (1985). Cells in which future (25 year) concentration are to be modified are framed in this and subsequent figures. Current (assumed initial) concentrations of NaCl are shown in Figure 3 (Fitzpatrick, 1985).

Module A provides boundary fluxes needed to prevent disruption of the regional flow regime. Module B computes optimal steady pumping values needed to most closely maintain heads of Figure 2 after 25 years. The MOC model predicts the 25-year concentrations that will result from strategy implementation (Figure 4). Note that predicted concentrations in cells (13,5) and (14,5) are 300 and 330 respectively.

Assumed future development plans make it desirable that 25-year concentrations in cells (13,5) and (14,5) be no greater than 250 and 275 ppm respectively. Module D calibrates the F coefficients to permit linear expression of the mass density changes predicted by MOC model. Module E uses those results to compute a revised optimal pumping strategy. Consequences of implementing the revised strategy are tested using MOC model. Figure 5 shows



Figure 2. Assumed initial potentiometric surface, in ft above sea level. Critical cells are framed. .

.

Т

					J				
	1	2	ב ל	<b>,</b> 4	5	6	7	a	9
ł	0	9	ø	50	100	150	200	250	9
2	ø	9	0	50	100	200	200	200	209
Э	ø	ø	ø	50	100	100	100	100	100
4	ø	0	ø	50	50	75	75	50	50
5	ø	ø	ø	ø	50	50	50	ø	ø
б.	ø	ø	ø	Ø	50	75	50	ø	ø
7	ø	0	0	50	75	100	50	50	9
8	ø	Ø	۵	50	100	100	100	50	ø
9	ø	9	ø	50	200	200	150	50	ø
10	ø	ø	ø	50	230	200	200	50	ø
11	Ø	ø	ø	75	260	23Ø	200	125	50
12	ø	ø	ø	115	290	245	200	125	50
13	ø	ø	50	150	320	285	250	125	50
14	ø	ø	50	150	_35ø_	325	300	100	100
15	Ø	ø	5Ø	150	375	375	35ø	200	100
16	ø	ø	50	100	400	400	400	200	100
17	ø	50	75	200	400	550	400	200	100
18	ø	100	100	200	500	700	400	400	Ø

.

Figure 3. Assumed initial NaCl concentrations in groundwater, in ppm.

		L	2	3	4	5	5	7	8	9
	1	2	0	5	26	100	153	200 ·	242	9
	2	ø		6	27.	193	178	191	198	197
	3	ø	ø	4	47	92	195	197	194	192
	4	9.	ø	4	41	56	74	75	51	47
	5	0	9	g	7	47	53	49	4	Э
	6	9	9	9	6	59	72	48	5	9
	7	9	9	з	45	75	94	56	44	З
	8	ø	9	4	59	192	197	97	50	Э
1	9	ø	9	4	57	181	189	147	54	2
	10	9		5	52	219	293	188	6 <b>9</b> ·	6
	11	9	9	7	84	239	229	197	120	51
	12	ø	9	14	129	269	247	292	126	52
	13	0	4	51	152	300	286	245	125	57
	14	9	5	54	157	330	325	292	116	97
	15	٠	4	51	153	365	373	341	198	197
	16	à	6	52	125	377	408	377	209	199
	17	4	47	89	198	398	518	398	227	197
	16	9	97	195	212	565	428	419	387	ø

J

Figure 4. Twenty-five year concentrations, in ppm, predicted by MOC model to result from implementing pumping strategy computed by module B. . . . •

-

ı

L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L									
	ĩ	2	э	٠	5	5	7	5	9
1	ø	9	5	26	199	153	299	242	9
2	9		6	27	193	178	191	198	197
3	9	9	4	47	92	195	107	104	192
4.	g	9	4	41	56	74	75	51	47
5		9	9	7	47	53	49	4	Э
8	9	9	ø	5	59	72	49	5	. 9
7	ø	9	4	45	75	94	55	44	З
8	9		4	59	102	197	97	50	з
9	ø	9	4	57	181	189	147	54	2
19	ø	9	5	63	209	204	187	5Ø	7
11	ø	9	8	87	235	229	197	121	51
12	ø	1	18	125	255	249	203	127	5 <b>2</b>
13	ø	5	53	158	[233]	284	246	127	57
14	ø	5	57	167	265	322	2 <b>90</b>	118	97
15	9	з	54	156	355	370	342	200	104
16	ø	5	52	115	389	409	383	206	107
17	4	47	81	199	405	518	399	222	105
18	ø	97	195	212	558	517	424	390	ø

Figure 5. Twenty-five year concentrations, in ppm, predicted by MOC model to result from implementing optimal pumping strategy computed by module D.

that MOC-predicted future concentrations resulting from pumping strategies implementation achieves acceptable future concentrations.

### SUMMARY

A linear finite-difference equation is presented to approximate twodimensional solute transport by advection and dispersion. The equation is calibrated and used within an optimization/simulation procedure (MODCON). MODCON develops optimal pumping strategies that will as much as possible maintain present potentiometric surface elevations, while satisfying future water quality constraints. The procedure seems promising, but judgement and experience in optimization/simulation procedures are important for successful application.

## LITERATURE CITED

Colarullo, S. J., M. Heidari and T. Maddock. 1984. Identification of an optimal groundwater management strategy in a contaminated aquifer. Water Resources Bulletin. v. 20, no. 5, pp. 747-750.

Datta, B. and R. C. Peralta. 1986. Optimal modification of regional potentiometric surface design for grounwater contaminant containment. Transactions of the ASAE. v. 29, no. 6.

Fitzpatrick, D.J. 1985. Occurrence of saltwater in the alluvial aquifer in the Boeuf Tensas basin, Arkansas. USGS Water Resources Investigations Report 85-4029. Little Rock, Arkansas.

Gorelick, S.M. 1983. A review of distributed parameter groundwater management modeling methods. Water Resources Research. v. 19, no. 2, pp. 305-319.

Gorelick, S.M., C.I. Voss, P.E. Gill, W. Murray, M.A. Saunders and M.H. Wright. 1984. Aquifer reclamation design: The use of contaminant transport simulation combined with non linear programming. Water Resources Research. v. 20, no. 4, pp. 415-427.

Gorelick, S. M., and L. J. Lefkoff. 1985. Design and cost analysis of rapid aquifer restoration systems using flow simulation and quadratic programming. Groundwater. v. 24, no. 5, pp. 777-790.

Konikow, L.F. and J.D. Bredehoeft. 1978. Computer model of twodimensional solute transport and dispersion in groundwater. Techniques of water resources investigations of the United States Geological Survey. Book 7. Chapter C2. 91 pp.

Louie, W.F., W. Yeh and N.S. Hsu. 1984. Multiobjective water resources management planning. Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management. ASCE. v. 110, no. 1, pp. 39-56. Peralta, R. C., B. Datta, J. Solaimanian, P. J. Killian and A. Yazdanian. 1985. Optimal sustained yield groundwater withdrawal strategies for the Boeuf Tensas basin in Arkansas. Miscellaneous Publication No. 29. Water Resources Research Center, Fayetteville, AR 72701.

Yazdanian, A. and R. C. Peralta. 1986. Sustained yield groundwater planning by goal programming. Groundwater. v. 24, no. 2, pp. 157-165.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are grateful for the financial support of the Little Rock District, U. S. Geological Survey (where R. Peralta is part-time employee), the Arkansas Water Resources Research Center (via U.S.G.S. Cooperative Research Program), and the Agricultural Engineering Dept., Univ. of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR.