

Utah State University DigitalCommons@USU

All Graduate Theses and Dissertations

Graduate Studies

5-2013

The micronutrient profile of the typical American diet enhances colorectal carcinogenesis

Stephany del Carmen Perez Monsanto Utah State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd

Part of the Dairy Science Commons

Recommended Citation

Perez Monsanto, Stephany del Carmen, "The micronutrient profile of the typical American diet enhances colorectal carcinogenesis" (2013). *All Graduate Theses and Dissertations*. 1947. https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd/1947

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate Studies at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Graduate Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



THE MICRONUTRIENT PROFILE OF THE TYPICAL AMERICAN DIET

ENHANCES COLORECTAL CARCINOGENESIS

by

Stephany Perez Monsanto

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Toxicology

Approved:

Abby D. Benninghoff, Ph.D. Major Professor Roger A. Coulombe Jr., Ph.D. Committee Member

Korry J. Hintze, Ph.D. Committee Member Dirk K. Vanderwall, Ph.D., D.V.M. Interim Department Head Animal, Dairy and Veterinary Sciences

Mark R. McLellan, Ph.D. Vice Present for Research and Dean of Graduate Studies

UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY Logan, Utah

2013

Copyright © Stephany Perez Monsanto 2013

All Rights Reserved

ABSTRACT

The Micronutrient Profile of the Typical American Diet Enhances

Colorectal Carcinogenesis

by

Stephany Perez Monsanto, Master of Science

Utah State University, 2013

Major Professor: Dr. Abby D. Benninghoff Department: Animal, Dairy and Veterinary Sciences

The typical Western dietary pattern is characterized by the consumption of energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods and has been linked to increased risk of colorectal cancer (CRC). Our research group previously developed the total Western diet (TWD) that emulates typical human dietary intakes of macro- (carbohydrates, proteins, and fats) and micronutrients (vitamins and minerals) on an energy density basis for rodents. In the present study, we sought to determine the impact of TWD on biomarkers of metabolic syndrome and obesity in comparison to a commercial 45% fat diet used for models of diet-induced obesity (DIO diet) and the standard basal AIN93G diet, which is optimized for rodent health. Also, we included 2 additional test diets to evaluate the contribution of the micronutrient (vitamin- and mineral-modified diet, [VMM]) or macronutrient (macromodified diet [MM]) contents of the TWD in development of cancer, obesity, and glucose intolerance. A chemical carcinogenesis model of inflammation-associated colon cancer was employed to evaluate impact of diets on colon cancer in mice. As expected,

mice consuming the DIO diet acquired an obesity/metabolic syndrome phenotype typified by increased food energy intake, greater rate of body weight gain, increased proportion of body composition as fat mass, higher fasting glucose, impaired glucose tolerance, and higher circulating levels of leptin. However, consumption of TWD did not alter any of these classic biomarkers of metabolic health, as these mice adjusted food intake so that energy consumption was similar to that for mice fed AIN93G. A different pattern was observed for colon carcinogenesis. Consumption of the TWD or VMM diet markedly increased colon tumor multiplicity and size compared to the AIN93G control, whereas consumption of the DIO or MM diets did not enhance colon tumorigenesis. Collectively, these observations point to a critical role of dietary micronutrients in colon carcinogenesis, and that this promoting effect is likely unrelated to the metabolic syndrome phenotype induced by a high fat diet. Moreover, our observations emphasize the need to take into account the micronutrient content of rodent basal diets when modeling typical U.S. nutrition in pre-clinical animal experiments in order to improve the translation of these studies to human nutrition and dietary intervention programs.

(93 pages)

PUBLIC ABSTRACT

The Micronutrient Profile of the Typical American Diet Enhances

Colorectal Carcinogenesis

by

Stephany Perez Monsanto, Master of Science

Utah State University, 2013

The typical Western dietary pattern is characterized by the consumption of highenergy foods that are low in essential nutrients and has been linked to increased risk of colorectal cancer (CRC). Our research group previously developed the total Western diet (TWD) to emulate typical human dietary intakes of macro- (carbohydrates, proteins, and fats) and micronutrients (vitamins and minerals) based on food energy basis for rodents. In the present study, we sought to determine the impact of TWD on indicators of metabolic syndrome and obesity in comparison to a commercial 45% fat diet used for models of diet-induced obesity (DIO diet) and the standard basal AIN93G diet, which is optimized for rodent health. Also, we included 2 additional test diets to evaluate the contribution of the micronutrient (vitamin- and mineral-modified diet, [VMM]) or macronutrient (macro-modified diet [MM]) contents of the TWD in development of cancer, obesity, and glucose intolerance. We employed a chemical carcinogen model of colon cancer in mice with an agent to induce inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract to evaluate the impact of these experimental diets on formation of colon tumors. As expected, mice consuming the DIO diet gained excess weight and had symptoms typical

of metabolic syndrome, including greater rate of body weight gain, increased proportion of body fat mass, higher levels of blood glucose, impaired glucose tolerance, and higher circulating levels of the hormone leptin. However, consumption of TWD did not alter any of these biomarkers of metabolic health, as these mice adjusted food intake so that energy consumption was similar to that for mice fed AIN93G. Conversely, consumption of the TWD or VMM diet markedly increased colon tumor number and size compared to the AIN93G control, whereas consumption of the DIO or MM diets did not enhance colon tumor formation. Collectively, these observations point to a critical role of micronutrients in the development of colon cancer, and that this promoting effect is likely unrelated to the metabolic syndrome symptoms acquired by mice consuming strictly a high fat diet. Moreover, our observations emphasize the need to take into account the micronutrient content of rodent basal diets when modeling typical U.S. nutrition in pre-clinical animal experiments in order to improve the translation of these studies to human nutrition and dietary intervention programs.

DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to my parents, Nelson Perez and Karina Monsanto, who always encouraged me to follow my dreams and who, despite the distance, have been there for me throughout this entire journey. I also dedicate this work to my brother and sisters, Nelson, Allyson, Lilu, and Naia, who are a source of joy and the reason why I strive to give the best of me every day.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I want to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor, Dr. Abby Benninghoff, for constantly providing me with her support, guidance, patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. Her confidence in my potential kept me standing during my hardest trials and helped me achieve much more than I imagined. More than a professor, she has been a true mentor through this amazing journey, and I am grateful for the opportunity of working with her and the teachings that I will take with me from this experience. I am deeply grateful to Dr. Korry Hintze, for always having time to provide me with his guidance, and Dr. Roger Coulombe, whose door was always open whenever I needed his help. I also thank Dr. Robert Ward and Dr. Michael Lefevre for their support and for providing me with additional guidance during my research.

I thank my laboratory colleagues Deanna Larson, Trevor Fish, Amanda Maughan, and Shelby Frauen for their immense support during my research, for always being there when I needed a helping hand, and for their constant encouragement and sincere friendship. I would also like to thank Dulce Minaya, whose friendship and support provided me with the strength I needed whenever things seemed too hard.

I would like to thank my family who, despite the geographical distance, has always been there to celebrate my victories and encouraged me to follow my dreams. I also thank Carlos Read for being my rock and the companion to every journey that I embark on. Finally, I would like to acknowledge the financial support of the Utah Agricultural Experiment Station for this research project.

Stephany Perez Monsanto

CONTENTS

Page
ABSTRACT iii
PUBLIC ABSTRACT v
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS viii
LIST OF TABLES xi
LIST OF FIGURES xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS, DEFINITIONS, AND SYMBOLS xiii
INTRODUCTION 1
Statistics on colorectal cancer1Risk factors for colorectal cancer2Animal models of colorectal carcinogenesis5Dietary factors that promote colorectal carcinogenesis9Modeling the Western diet in animal studies17Project objectives and hypotheses20
METHODS
Chemicals22Animals22Experimental diets23Experiment design23Fasting glucose and oral glucose tolerance test in sham mice26Plasma hormone levels in sham mice26Assessment of colon tumorigenesis in AOM+DSS-initiated mice27Statistical analyses27
RESULTS
Food and energy intakes

	Body composition and fat distribution in sham mice	37
	Fasting glucose and glucose tolerance in sham mice	41
	Plasma hormone levels in sham mice	43
	Impact of experimental diets on colon tumorigenesis	49
DISC	CUSSION	54
LITE	RATURE CITED	62

Х

LIST OF TABLES

Tabl	e P	'age
1	Composition of experimental diets	24
2	Comparisons among diet groups for food and energy intakes	32
3	Body and organ weights for sham- and AOM+DSS-initiated mice	35
4	Comparisons among diet groups for body and relative organ weights for sham- and AOM+DSS-initiated mice	. 36
5	Body composition in sham mice	39
6	Comparisons among diet groups for body composition in sham mice	. 39
7	Fat deposition in sham mice	42
8	Comparisons among diet groups for fat distribution in sham mice	43
9	Comparisons among diet groups for fasting glucose levels and oral glucose tolerance in sham mice	. 46
10	Colon tumorigenesis in mice initiated with AOM+DSS	51
11	Comparisons among diet groups for colon tumorigenesis in mice initiated with AOM+DSS	. 52

xi

LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	Pare Pa	age
1	Food consumption in sham or AOM+DSS-initiated mice	30
2	Energy intake in sham or AOM+DSS-initiated mice	31
3	Body weight gain in sham or AOM+DSS-initiated mice	34
4	Metabolic efficiency in sham mice	. 38
5	Body composition of sham mice	40
6	Fat distribution in sham mice	44
7	Fasting glucose levels in sham mice	45
8	Oral glucose tolerance in sham mice	47
9	Plasma hormone levels in sham mice	48
10	Insulin resistance by the homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) method	49
11	Colon tumorigenesis in mice initiated with AOM+DSS	53

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS, DEFINITIONS, AND SYMBOLS

Abbreviations

1,25(OH) ₂ D	1,25-Dihydroxycolecalciferol
25(OH)D	25-Hydroxycholecalciferol
AAALAC	Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal
	Care
ACF	Aberrant crypt foci
AIN	American Institute of Nutrition
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
AOM	Azoxymethane
APC	Adenomatous polyposis coli
AUC	Area under the curve
BW	Body weight
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CO_2	Carbon dioxide
CRC	Colorectal cancer
CYP2E1	Cytochrome P450 2E1
DIO	Diet-induced obesity
DMH	1,2-Dimethylhydrazine
DSS	Dextran sodium sulfate
FAP	Familial adenomatous polyposis
GIP	Gastric intestinal peptide
HOMA	Homeostatic model assessment
IBD	Irritable bowel syndrome
IL	Interleukin
IQ	2-Amino-33-methylimidazo[4,5-f]quinolone
KW	Kidney weight
LARC	Laboratory Animal Research Center
LW	Liver weight
MAM	Methylazoxymethane
MAPK	Mitogen-activated protein kinase
MAP	MUTYH-associated polyposis
MCP-1	Monocyte chemotactic protein-1
MM	Macronutrient-modified
MNNG	N-Methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine
MNU	Methylnitrosourea
NHANES	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
oGTT	Oral glucose tolerance test
PBS	Phosphate-buffered saline
PhIP	2-Amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine
PI3K	Phosphatidylinositide 3-kinase
PLP	Pyridoxal-5'-phosphate

SD	Standard deviation
SEM	Standard error of measurement
SW	Spleen weight
TGF-β	Transforming growth factor-β
TNFα	Tumor necrosis factor alpha
TWD	Total Western diet
VMM	Vitamin- and mineral-modified

Definitions and alternate names

Vitamin B_6 Pyridoxine	
Vitamin B ₉ Folate	
Vitamin B ₁₂ Cobalamin	
Vitamin D ₃ Cholecalcif	erol
<i>i.p. intraperitor</i>	neal
ad lib. ad libitum	

Symbols

С	Celsius
cm	Centimeter
dL	Deciliter
g	Grams
<i>g</i>	Gravity
h	Hour
IU	International units
kcal	Kilocalories
kg	Kilograms
L	Liter
mg	Milligrams
min	Minutes
mm	Millimeter
mU	Milliunits
sec	Seconds
μg	Micrograms
μl	Microlitier
wk	Week

INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer is the third leading cause of cancer death and the third most commonly diagnosed cancer in men and women in the United States (1). In the last twenty years, global incidence rates of colorectal cancer have been increasing, reportedly due to an increase in the prevalence of certain cancer-associated practices, such as decreased physical activity and "westernized" diets (2). The typical Western Diet is a dietary trend characterized by increased consumption of highly processed foods that contain excess levels of fat, sodium, and refined sugars and are generally low in essential vitamins, minerals, and fiber (3-5). This dietary pattern is believed to play a critical role in the development of several chronic conditions that have increased in prevalence over the last 50 years, including obesity, type II diabetes, metabolic syndrome (5, 6) as well as colorectal cancer (7-9). Because of the increasing socioeconomic burden of colorectal cancer, a large body of research has been dedicated to the study of the role of nutrition on the development of this and other related conditions with the purpose of elucidating the mechanisms behind their pathology.

Statistics on colorectal cancer

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the fourth most common cause of death worldwide, with approximately 608,000 deaths occurring each year (10). Global incidences of CRC have increased over the last twenty years, and this disease is more prevalent in economically developed countries, which account for up to 60% of reported cases (2, 10). The lifetime risk of developing CRC in the U.S. is about 5%, and the risk is slightly lower in women than in men. Based on the level of economic development of the region, Central and Eastern Europe are estimated to have the highest mortality rates in both sexes (20.1 for male, 12.2 for female per 100,000), while Middle Africa is estimated to have the lowest mortality rate (3.5 for male, 2.7 for female). In the United States, significant improvements in colorectal screening and treatment methods have caused mortality rates to decrease 2.8% per year in men and 2.6% per year in women since 1998. However, according to data collected between 1975 and 2007 from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program, colorectal cancer incidence rates have declined in the U.S. among adults 50 years and older, but have increased among adults younger than 50 years (1). Although the precise cause for this trend remains a topic of debate, current data point to several environmental factors as potential contributors to these apparent shifts in cancer risk.

Risk factors for colorectal cancer

CRC risk is influenced by external modifiable factors (lifestyle and diet) and intrinsic non-modifiable factors (genetic predisposition). Heredity accounts for approximately 30% of all the diagnosed cases of CRC, of which 5% are attributed to well-characterized heritable genetic defects (11, 12). The remaining cases are generally attributed to either single-gene mutations that are less pervasive than those observed in well-characterized syndromes, or mutations on multiple susceptibility loci that result in additive effects. However, several hereditary syndromes are well described and have been strongly linked with CRC development.

Lynch Syndrome (or hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer) is an autosomal dominant cancer-susceptibility disorder caused primarily by germline mutations of

mismatch repair genes. Although it predisposes subjects to several other types of cancers, it accounts for about 3% of CRC cases and can increase CRC risk as much as 80% depending on the type of mutation (11, 13).

Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) is one of the best-studied polyp-forming syndromes, although it accounts for less than 1% of CRC cases (11, 14). FAP is an autosomal dominant disease that results from germline mutations in the *APC* gene. The severity of the condition varies depending on the location of the mutation within the *APC* gene, which produces other variants of the condition (*i.e.* Attenuated FAP, Gardner syndrome, and Turcot syndrome).

MUTYH-associated polyposis (MAP) is a germline inactivation of the base excision repair gene *MUTYH* (or *MYH*), which causes adenomatous polyposis of the colorectum and an increased risk of CRC. In patients carrying this mutation, the risk of CRC is nearly 100% by 60 years of age (11, 15).

Hamartomatous polyposis syndromes are a group of inherited conditions that exhibit varying individual characteristics, but commonly show hamartomatous rather than epithelial polyp histology. Disease-associated mutations occur in different genes, some of which are *STK11, SMAD4*, and *BMPR1A*. Individuals with any of the related conditions have an increased risk for several types of cancer, including CRC. These syndromes account for less than 1% of CRC cases (11, 16).

Familial non-syndromic colorectal cancer refers to any CRC cases involving an uncommon genetic defect that is sufficiently penetrant to give rise to an autosomaldominant segregation pattern. Thus, specific genetic markers for these cases are not available. Risk of CRC is approximately 2- to 3-fold greater for an individual with a firstdegree relative that has been diagnosed with CRC after age 50. The disease can be caused by genetic polymorphisms, allelic variants, chromosomal instability, aberrant DNA methylation, and other environmental factors (11, 15, 17).

Finally, another critical non-modifiable risk factor for CRC is individual medical history. Individuals who have been previously diagnosed with CRC (even if they have recovered), who have had one or more adenomatous polyps, who suffer from inflammatory conditions (*e.g.* Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, and inflammatory bowel disease), or who suffer from a condition strongly associated with CRC (*e.g.* Type II diabetes or obesity), have an increased risk of developing CRC (1, 18). Additional conditions that have been strongly associated with an increased risk of CRC include obesity (9, 19, 20), metabolic syndrome (8, 21, 22), diabetes (23-25), and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (7, 26, 27).

Lifestyle and diet are external modifiable factors that greatly influence CRC risk and disease progression, and global patterns of CRC incidence closely align with patterns of physical activity and dietary trends. High levels of physical activity have been associated with lower CRC risk and lower mortality (1, 28, 29), even after CRC diagnosis (30). Data for 2005 from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) show that more than half of U.S. adults fail to meet the amounts of physical activity recommended by the CDC and the American College of Sports Medicine (31), a figure that correlates with the high rates of CRC reported in the U.S.

Animal models of colorectal carcinogenesis

In general, most pre-clinical studies of CRC employ a either a chemical carcinogenesis animal model, in which the hydrazine compounds 1,2-dimethylhydrazine (DMH) or its metabolite azoxymethane (AOM) are used to induce sporadic tumors in the colon, or a transgenic animal model, wherein mice carry a heterozygous mutation in the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene leading to development of tumors in the small intestine and colon (see 32 for a comprehensive review). The most widely used transgenic model of intestinal and colon cancer is the $APC^{Min/+}$ mouse (33). The APC gene regulates various cellular pathways, including Wnt-signaling (involved in cell proliferation), cell-cell adhesion, cell cycle progression, and apoptosis. When one or several of these pathways are disrupted via mutation, the cell could escape normal constraints on cell proliferation and acquire a survival advantage. For this reason, a mutation in the APC gene is considered one of the most important initiating events in sporadic CRC (34). The defining feature of this cancer model is a nonsense mutation that results in a truncated APC protein at amino acid 850, which induces the formation of polyps in the mouse intestine. The majority of these polyps develop in the small intestine, which is why this model is deemed more useful for the study of hereditary colon cancer types, such as FAP. However, others have developed modifications of this transgene to improve the cancer model so that it more closely emulates human CRC, including a) modifiers of Min (Mom), which comprise several loci located on different chromosomes which have an effect of tumor development (e.g. mom1, mom2); b) Apc variations, which include different truncations to the Apc protein (e.g. $Apc^{\Delta 716/+}$; $Apc^{\Delta 14}$); and c) compound mutants, which add a mutation to another gene (e.g. mismatch repair genes, Smad1) (33).

Chemically induced models of CRC are advantageous because they are highly reproducible, and the approach allows for testing on animals with different genetic backgrounds. Importantly, the pattern of cancer development in these models generally mimics the multistep process of carcinogenesis observed in human CRC, and most tumors develop in the distal colon. A number of chemicals have been show to effectively induce carcinogenesis in the colons of rats and/or mice, including the aforementioned hydrazine compounds DMH and AOM; some heterocyclic amines, such as 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine (PhIP) and 2-amino-33-methylimidazo[4,5-f]quinolone (IQ); and the alkylnitrosamide compounds methylnitrosourea (MNU) and N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG). AOM is now the most widely used chemical carcinogen for modeling sporadic colorectal cancer in rodents. AOM undergoes metabolic activation in the liver by the cytochrome P450 enzyme CYP2E1 to form the metabolite methylazoxymethane (MAM), which can readily generate a methyldiazonium ion that can alkylate DNA at the O^6 or N^7 position of guanine (35).

Disruption of the canonical Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway is often observed in animals initiated with AOM (reviewed in 36, 37). The protein β -catenin aides in cell adhesion by associating with either cadherin or catenin to connect the actin cytoskeleton; it also acts as a co-transcriptional activator of genes that are under regulation of the Wnt signaling pathway. AOM exposure can lead to mutations in codons 31 and 41 of β catenin, which prevents its degradation (37). Accumulation of the protein leads to transcriptional activation of a number of downstream gene targets that promote cell proliferation, such as cyclin D1 (38). AOM exposure also leads to mutations in the protooncogene K-ras, a small G protein that regulates both mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt intracellular signaling pathways, resulting in dysregulation of cell growth, proliferation, and glucose metabolism (39). One of the hallmarks of sporadic and AOM-induced colon cancer is perturbation of the transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β) signaling cascade. TGF- β is an important antiinflammatory cytokine expressed in colon epithelial cells and serves as a key negative regulator of cell proliferation via control of cell growth and apoptotic pathways. The tumor suppressor function of TGF- β 1 is often lost during tumorigenesis (32). Aberrant signaling of the TGF- β 1 pathway has been shown in the AOM colon cancer model. Disruption of TGF- β 1 signaling is characterized by decreased ratios of biologically active to inactive TGF- β 1 in tumor cells (40), along with transcriptional repression of the TGF- β 1 receptor T β R-II (41).

Sensitivity to AOM as a chemical carcinogen is highly influenced by the genetic background of the animal model used, as well as the route and frequency of administration (32, 42, 43). For example, SWR/J and A/J mouse strains are highly susceptible to AOM-induced tumors (16 to 36 tumors/mm colon following 8 repeated *i.p.* injections of 10 mg/kg AOM) compared to AKR/J mice, which are very insensitive (<1 tumor/mm colon) (43). Also, it should be noted that colon carcinogenesis does not appear to be influenced by gender, as similar incidence, multiplicity, and size of tumors in males and females have been observed previously (42).

Data from epidemiological studies have shown that CRC risk is strongly linked to long-term irritable bowel diseases (IBD), of which the most common types are Crohn's disease and Ulcerative colitis (44-46). The chronic intestinal inflammation that characterizes these conditions is now recognized as an important factor in CRC due to its involvement in the disruption of the same oncogenic pathways that are disrupted in CRC (47). Both Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis are caused by the mutation of several genes involved in the maintenance of the intestinal mucosal barrier that protects the intestinal wall from bacterial invasion. Dysfunction of this mucosal barrier leads to sustained damage of gut epithelial cells. This chronic injury to the gut triggers a compensatory immune response characterized by the up-regulation of cell proliferation and anti-apoptotic pathways that promote cell survival (48). Various molecules are involved in the activation of such pathways, including transcription factors (e.g. NF- κ B, and STAT3), and various inflammatory cytokines (e.g. IL-6 and TNF- α), which are normally secreted during an inflammatory response. The resulting compensatory cell regeneration results in increased rates of mitosis that, when chronically active, increase DNA mutation rates (48, 49). Not only can this process promote the disruption of oncogenic pathways, but it can also provide cancerous cells with a nurturing environment due to the increased availability of proliferative and survival signals. This notion is supported by an animal study by Tanaka et al. (50), where they showed that a combined treatment of AOM with the inflammatory agent dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) significantly increased intestinal tumorigenesis in CD-1 mice. The AOM+DSS model yields a consistent, reproducible colon cancer outcome that is well defined in terms of mouse strain and AOM dosage (51). Moreover, this model recapitulates many of the molecular events that occur in spontaneous human colon cancer, such as β -catenin accumulation and K-ras mutations (37).

Dietary factors that promote colorectal carcinogenesis

Diet is one of the most influential factors affecting overall cancer incidence, with colorectal cancer being one of the most well established examples. The Western diet is characterized by high intakes of red and processed meats, fried foods, sweet foods (often in the form of soft drinks), and refined grains (5). Conversely, those consuming a western type diet typically have low intakes of fruits, vegetables, and fish. Overall, this food consumption pattern results in an unbalanced diet that is high in simple sugars, fats, and sodium yet low in many essential vitamins, minerals, and fiber (3). Evidence from epidemiological and pre-clinical animal studies supports the notion that the Western diet is positively associated to a higher risk and incidence of colorectal cancer (3, 5).

Many elements of a Western diet have been associated with higher rates of CRC. In developed countries, excess consumption of red meat has been linked to higher incidence of CRC (4, 52-54). Evidence from human case-control and cohort studies points to a positive correlation between high red meat consumption and CRC risk. A 6-year follow-up study using a cohort of 47,949 men from the Health Professionals study determined that consumption of beef, pork, and lamb had a strong positive association with CRC risk (53). Norat et al. showed that CRC risk was significantly associated with pork and lamb consumption in a separate 5-year cohort study of 478,040 men and women from Western Europe (54). This association between red meat consumption and CRC risk has been attributed, in part, to the presence of *N*-nitroso compounds, which are known alkylating agents (52, 55, 56). Also, red meat naturally contains high levels of heme, which generates reactive oxygen species (52, 57). Finally, well-cooked or preserved meats can contain high amounts of mutagenic heterocyclic amines (52, 56, 57).

Overall, evidence for a role of dietary fat in the etiology of CRC in humans is inconsistent. In the previously mentioned Health Professionals Follow-up Study cohort, fat from red meat was positively associated with higher CRC risk in men, while no association was observed for total fat or non-red meat fat intake (*i.e.* poultry, dairy, and vegetable) (53). Willett and coworkers reported that intakes of animal-derived saturated and monounsaturated fats were linked to increased risk of CRC in a prospective study of mid-age, healthy women (58). Alternatively, Lin et al. (59) reported that neither total fat intake nor intakes of different types of fats or major fatty acids were related to risk of CRC in healthy women. Moreover, in a combined analysis of 13 case-control studies including 5,287 cases of CRC and 10,470 controls, no evidence of increased risk was observed for any dietary fat variable after adjustment for total food energy intake or after subgroup analyses by sex, age, or anatomic location of the cancer (60).

In contrast to human data, the association between dietary fat intake and CRC promotion has been repeatedly demonstrated in different rodent models of colorectal carcinogenesis. As outlined above, chronic intestinal inflammation is a known risk factor for CRC. Researchers have repeatedly shown that intestinal inflammation is enhanced in C57BL/6J mice fed with diets with 20% (61), 45% (62), or 60% kcal from fat (63). In chemical carcinogenesis rodent studies, researchers often measure development of preneoplastic lesions, termed aberrant crypt foci (ACF), as a biomarker of colorectal carcinogenesis. Development of these lesions, as well as fully developed colon tumors, has been linked to specific sources of dietary fat. For example, ACF multiplicity was significantly higher in the colons of rats fed diets containing 10 or 20% corn oil compared to animals consuming diets with 10% or 20% fish oil (38, 64). Evidence from

other rat cancer studies suggests that tumor abundance was also greater in animals fed corn oil (38, 65) or beef fat (38, 66). On the other hand, consumption of fish or flaxseed oil provided protection against colon carcinogenesis in rodents (67-70). Collectively, these animal studies provide convincing evidence that the source of dietary fat, rather than total fat intake, is the driving factor for modulating colon carcinogenesis in rodents.

A number studies have indicated that CRC risk is inversely correlated to the consumption of vegetables, fruits, and/or fiber, which are essential components of a balanced diet (71). However, current data is not completely consistent, suggesting that other interacting factors are likely modulating their effects. For instance, a prospective study including 136,089 men and women from the Nurses' Health Study and Health Professionals' Follow-up Study found no correlation between fruit and vegetable consumption, and colon or rectal cancer incidence (72). A meta-analysis of data from 13 cohort studies analyzed in the Pooling Project of Prospective Studies of Diet and Cancer examined the association between dietary fiber intake and CRC risk. The authors observed that risk of colorectal cancer in an age-adjusted model was inversely associated with dietary fiber intake, although this association was no longer significant after adjusting for other risk factors (73). Conversely, a 5-year follow up study of 133,163 U.S. men and women examined the association between whole grains, fruit, vegetables, and dietary fiber and CRC (74). Although no independent association was observed between whole grains, fruit, or fiber and incidence of CRC, very low consumption of vegetables and fiber was associated with a 2-fold increase in the risk of colon cancer in men, while very low consumption of fruit was associated with a doubling of risk in women. In a separate meta-analysis of data from the Pooling Project of Prospective Studies of Diet

and Cancer, epidemiologists arrived at a similar conclusion, where the lowest intakes of fruits and vegetables (less than 200 g/day) were inversely associated with an elevated risk for distal colon cancer risk, but not proximal colon cancer (75). Finally, to examine the associations between food patterns and CRC incidence in older Americans, Wirfalt et al. (76) performed a cluster analysis in which individuals with similar characteristics were aggregated. Male subjects that consumed high amounts of fruits, vegetables, and low fat foods were less likely to develop CRC, even when considering other disease risk factors. On the other hand, female subjects in this "Vegetable and Fruit" cluster had lower CRC incidence, but not independently of other risk factors such as education, smoking, and ethnicity.

Much of the evidence for a role of specific micronutrients in modulation of CRC centers on calcium and vitamin D, the latter referring collectively to a group of secosteroid molecules that are critical for the uptake of calcium in the intestine. D vitamins include cholecalciferol (vitamin D₃), which is generally synthesized endogenously in response to ultraviolet light, usually in adequate amounts. However, some individuals do not obtain sufficient light exposure to maintain adequate levels of D₃, which is often fortified in staple foods, such as milk, to avoid deficiency (77). Overall, evidence from human epidemiological and animal laboratory studies points to lower risk of CRC for high intakes of calcium and vitamin D, particularly for senior women. Feskanich et al. (78), examined CRC risk in a nested case-control study among women participating in the Nurses' Health Study and determined that women \geq 60 years of age with the plasma levels of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol (25(OH)D), a metabolite of D₃ and the precursor to the bioactive form 1,25-dihydroxycolecalciferol (1,25(OH)₂D),

had a much lower risk of CRC compared to subjects in the lowest quintile of 25(OH)D levels. Alternatively, this inverse relationship between circulating 25(OH)D and CRC risk was not observed in younger women. Lappe et al. (79) examined CRC in healthy, post-menopausal women receiving either a calcium supplement (1500 mg), a calcium supplement with 1100 IU vitamin D_{3} , or a placebo. Women receiving the dual calcium and vitamin D supplement or the calcium supplement alone were more likely to remain cancer-free (breast, colon, lung, uterus, and hematopoietic cancers were examined) during the 6-year analysis period. Park et al. (80) examined CRC risk as a function of dietary calcium and vitamin D intakes, dairy food consumption, and gender and ethnicity in the large Multiethnic Cohort Study of more than 215,000 participants. This group observed that total calcium intake and consumption of dairy foods were inversely correlated with CRC risk in both healthy men and women, and that this relationship for calcium was consistent across the ethnic groups examined (African Americans, Native Hawaiians, Japanese Americans, Latinos, and Whites). Vitamin D was also found to have protective effects in those individuals who do not supplement their diet with additional calcium. Evidence from animal studies supports these epidemiological observations. Depletion of calcium from the rodent diet increased spontaneous tumor formation while vitamin D supplementation inhibited tumorigenesis in C57BL/6J (81) and in $Apc^{1638N/+}$ mice (82).

Much of the research on B vitamins and CRC development has focused on vitamin B_9 (folate), vitamin B_6 (pyridoxal phosphate), and vitamin B_{12} (cobalamin), due to their function in the methyl donor pathway and one-carbon metabolism (83, 84). Folate is a required for the synthesis, repair, and methylation of DNA, but current data on folate

intake and CRC development are inconsistent. In human studies, higher folate intakes are generally associated with reduced CRC risk, but data from animal studies suggests that the dose and timing of folate intervention differentially modulate carcinogenesis. For instance, Sanjoaquin and coworkers (85) performed a meta-analysis that included 7 cohort and 9 case control studies and found that CRC risk was 25% lower among those in the highest category of food-derived folate intake compared with those in the lowest category. When analyzing total folate intake (food-derived and supplemented folate), only a 5% lower risk was observed. A separate meta-analysis that included 18 case control studies and 9 cohort studies from fourteen countries found that CRC incidence was decreased 13-18% in the highest quintile of dietary folate intake (86). However, in a study where AOM treated rats were given one of 3 diets containing 0, 2, or 8 mg folic acid/kg diet, the total number of colonic ACF increased with rising dietary folic acid concentration. The total number of colonic ACF was 54% higher in rats fed the 8 mg/kg diet than in the rats receiving the 0 mg folic acid/kg diet, suggesting that folic acid enhanced colorectal tumorigenesis (87). A separate study using C57BL/6J mice determined that colon carcinogenesis was largely unaffected by supplementation with dietary folate, although higher intakes of folic acid were linked to a higher proportion of relatively large tumors (88). Moreover, neither plasma nor colon folate levels were significantly correlated with tumor number (88).

The role of vitamin B_6 in the etiology or prevention of CRC is also unclear. Je et al. (89) performed a meta-analysis of 472 cases of CRC detailed in the Nurses' Health Study, the Health Professionals Follow-up Study, and the Physicians' Health Study and found no association with plasma levels of pyridoxal 5'-phosphate, the active form of B_6 , and CRC mortality. In a meta-analysis of 8 studies on vitamin B_6 intake and 4 studies on blood pyridoxal 5'-phosphate levels, Larsson et al. showed that both factors were inversely associated with the risk of colorectal cancer (90). Risk of CRC decreased by 49% for every 100-pmol/mL increase in blood pyridoxal 5'-phosphate level, while high vitamin B_6 intake was associated with a 20% decreased risk compared to low intake. Finally, in CD-1 mice, supplementation with 35 mg of pyridoxine HCl markedly reduced CRC incidence compared to a diet depleted in B_6 (91).

Because of its key role as a cofactor in the one carbon metabolism pathway, many researchers have included vitamin B₁₂ in cancer prevention studies. However, like many other micronutrients, the role of this particular vitamin in colorectal carcinogenesis remains uncertain. In a follow-up study including 226 cases and double matched referents from the population-based Northern Sweden Health and Disease Study, Dahlin and colleagues found that plasma vitamin B₁₂ concentrations were inversely associated with the risk of rectal cancer, while the association was less clear for colon cancer (92). These results are in agreement with an animal study by Choi and colleagues (93), where 30 Sprague-Dawley rats were fed with either a diet with 50 μ g/kg diet of vitamin B₁₂, or a diet with no vitamin B₁₂. After 10 wk, the colonic DNA of the deficient rats displayed a 35% decrease in genomic methylation and a 105% increase in base substitution of uracil, which are anomalies that may increase the risk of colorectal carcinogenesis. In other studies, researchers often use a combination of vitamin B₁₂, folate, and vitamin B₆, since these are all essential components of the one-carbon metabolism pathway, and hence act in conjunction. In a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, 1,470 female health professionals from the Women's Antioxidant and Folic Acid Cardiovascular Study were randomly assigned to receive either a placebo or a combination pill of folic acid (2.5 mg), vitamin B_6 (50 mg), and vitamin B_{12} (1 mg). Researchers found no significant differences between the treatment and the placebo group (94). These variations and inconsistencies in the current data for B vitamins suggest interaction with other dietary factors may be modulating their impact on colorectal cancer.

Vitamins A (retinol), C (ascorbic acid), and E (tocopherols) are often studied in combination because of their known antioxidant activities, but their impact on CRC development has not been established conclusively. For instance, a recent study of 816 CRC cases and 815 controls from the Fukuoka Colorectal Cancer Study showed that women with the highest retinol intake had significantly lower risk, while risk for men was not significantly different (95). However, carotene, vitamin C, and vitamin E intakes were not associated with CRC risk in either men or women. These results contradict the findings of a separate study that used data from the North Carolina Colon Cancer Study-Phase II, which determined that the highest quartiles of β -carotene intake (another form of vitamin A) were inversely associated with reduced risk of distal CRC risk in whites, but not in African Americans (96). In this same study, a strong decrease in distal CRC was observed in whites in the highest quartile for vitamin C, selenium, and food-derived vitamin E intake, but not in the group that included vitamin E supplementation. In an xenograft study by Park and colleagues (97), nude immunodeficient mice were injected with human CRC cells (HCT-116) and then fed diets containing 2,400 or 200,000 IU vitamin A/kg. In this experiment, vitamin A supplementation caused a 4-fold reduction in metastatic tumor multiplicity, although tumor size and morphology were unaffected. A separate study examined the effects of combined vitamin excess or deficiency in

C57Bl/6J mice or Apc^{Min/+} mice (98). Animals were fed either a control diet, a vitamindepleted diet (reduced to 33% of the recommended daily allowance [RDA] for mice), or a vitamin-supplemented diet (vitamin content increased by 5-fold compared to RDAs for mice); the vitamins modified included all of the B vitamins as well as vitamins A, C, D, E, and K. Interestingly, these researchers observed that the number and size of colon polyps were significantly higher for both experimental diets, suggesting that both conditions of vitamin deficiency and excess supplementation were harmful in terms of CRC risk. Moreover, this work also underscores the notion that supplementation of diet with high levels of vitamins, either individually or in combination, may not be the best strategy for CRC prevention.

Modeling the Western diet in animal studies

As outlined above, many components of a typical Western style diet have been shown to modulate cancer risk. Yet, in the vast majority of pre-clinical animal studies to investigate mechanisms of carcinogenesis or cancer prevention or to identify new strategies for dietary cancer prevention, researchers routinely employ standard formulated diets that are generally balanced with respect to macro- and micronutrient levels to optimize animal health, such as the American Institute of Nutrition AIN93G diet formulation (99). However, these optimally formulated rodent diets are not relevant to most human nutrition patterns, especially for at-risk populations that frequently consume energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods. Thus, researchers have employed several different strategies to model the Western style diet in studies employing rodents to assess impact of diet on disease risk and/or development. One such approach is the "cafeteria" diet, in which the animals are allowed free access to standard chow and water, and are concurrently offered highly palatable, energy dense, unhealthy human foods *ad libitum* (100). This dietary protocol promotes hyperphagia, rapid weight gain, increased fat pad mass, and biomarkers of metabolic syndrome and diabetes, such as glucose intolerance and insulin resistance. Some experts argue that the cafeteria diet has limited value as an experimental model because of difficulty in replicating the specific dietary conditions across experiments or laboratories and because it is poorly defined with respect to micronutrient composition (100, 101).

In a series of studies over the past twenty years, Newmark and colleagues have employed a selective approach in modeling the Western diet, wherein specific components of the diet are modified to emulate typical U.S. intakes (81, 102, 103). In their first study, Newmark et al. developed a "stress" diet, which was quite low in calcium and vitamin D₃, and modestly reduced in phosphate compared to the reference diet AIN76; also, the stress diet contained 20% fat as corn oil (40% of calories) compared to only 5% (12% of calories) in the reference diet (102). A subsequent study extended this stress diet to incorporate dietary components necessary for generation of methyl donors (folic acid, methionine, choline, and vitamin B₁₂) and determined that this new Western diet (NWD) also enhanced spontaneous tumor development in aged C57BL/6J mice, an effect that was reversed when calcium and vitamin D were added back to the stress diet (81, 103). Although this series of studies has convincingly demonstrated a role for dietary calcium and vitamin D₃ in modulating spontaneous colon carcinogenesis in mice, the scope of the diet remained limited in that it does not consider possible contribution of the dietary fat source, carbohydrates or proteins and does not reflect

typical human nutrition patterns for other key micronutrients, such as sodium, selenium, or vitamins A or E. Commercial Western diets have also been developed for the study of obesity; these diets (refered to as diet-induced obesity, or DIO, diets) typically contain 45% or 60% of energy as fat and differ from the AIN93G diets primarily in their high lard and sucrose content (104). Although these high fat diets effectively induce obesity in rodents (105), they are extreme in their sugar and fat compositions when compared to a typical Western dietary pattern and do not differ substantially from AIN93G diets in micronutrient content (104).

Importantly, none of the approaches described above for modeling typical Western nutrition appropriately considered the contribution of suboptimal micronutrient intakes in their disease models. To address this resource gap, our research team developed the new total Western diet (TWD) for rodents with energy and nutrient profiles that emulate a typical Western diet using available U.S. survey data (NHANES). The new TWD was formulated using a nutrient density approach, described in detail in a recent publication by members of our research group in the Journal of Agricultural and Food Sciences (106). Briefly, the amount of each macro- and micronutrient in the AIN93G basal diet, a diet routinely used in cancer studies today, was adjusted to match 50th percentile intakes for Americans as reported in NHANES survey data. These mass amounts were then adjusted for caloric intake. Overall, the TWD is not necessarily extreme in the level of any given nutrient, but rather reflects the overall dietary pattern of the U.S. The TWD has fewer calories from protein and carbohydrate sources, and twice that from fat as compared to the AIN93G diet. The new diet contains more saturated and monounsaturated fats, less polyunsaturated fat, more complex carbohydrates, and twice

the level of simple sugars. The TWD has less calcium, copper, folate, thiamine, and vitamins B_6 , B_{12} , D, and E, but much more sodium. This newly devised diet that better represents typical U.S. nutrition is highly useful for studies employing animal models of human cancer. For example, results of a preliminary experiment in A/J mice initiated with AOM indicate that the TWD markedly enhanced development of preneoplastic ACF compared to the reference diet AIN93G (107).

Project objectives and hypotheses

The objectives of this thesis project were as follows: 1) to investigate the impact of dietary macronutrients (protein, carbohydrate, and fat) and micronutrients (vitamins and minerals) on biomarkers of metabolic syndrome and 2) to determine the relative importance of the macro- and micronutrient composition of the typical Western diet on colon cancer risk using a mouse model of inflammation-associated colorectal carcinogenesis. This study was designed to test the following working hypotheses:

- Mice consuming the TWD will acquire a metabolic syndrome phenotype, indicated by increased body weight, glucose intolerance, and insulin resistance, in a manner similar to the prototypical commercial high-fat diet (45% fat DIO diet) routinely used for diet-induced obesity pre-clinical studies.
- TWD consumption will promote colon tumorigenesis in the C57BL/6J strain of mouse, which is highly susceptible to diet-induced obesity and metabolic syndrome.

- Tumorigenesis in mice fed TWD will be more severe (greater number of and larger tumors) compared to mice consuming the standard basal diet AIN93G, which was formulated to be optimal for rodent health.
- 4. Tumor outcome will be more severe in mice fed TWD (reflecting typical U.S. nutrition with respect to both macro- and micronutrients) than in mice consuming a diet modified for macronutrient content only. In other words, a typical Western micronutrient profile will exacerbate the expected adverse effects of a high-fat, high-sugar diet on colon carcinogenesis.
- 5. The new TWD will be at least as effective, if not more so, in promoting colon carcinogenesis as the DIO diet (high fat only).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

AOM was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO; CAS No. 25843-45-2), and reagent grade DSS was purchased from MP Biomedicals (Solon, OH; MW=36,000-50,000 Da). Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution was purchased from Caisson Labs (Logan, UT), and 10% buffered neutral formalin was purchased from VWR (Houston, TX). All other chemicals used were of reagent grade and purchased from general laboratory suppliers.

Animals

The Utah State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all procedures for the handling and treatment of mice used in this study (protocols #2063 and #2114). Animals were housed in the Laboratory Animal Research Center (LARC) at Utah State University, which is an AAALAC approved facility. Mice were maintained in a pathogen-free vivarium at 18 to 23 °C with a 12:12 hour dark:light cycle and humidity between 20 to 50%. Mice were provided Bed-o'Cobs[®] 1/4 bedding (Andersons, Cincinnati, OH). Mice initiated with AOM were housed in HEPA-filtered cages on a IVC Air Handling Solutions ventilated housing system (Tecniplast, Buguggiate, Italy), while all other mice were housed in wire top cages within a ventilated Duo-Flo BioClean Unit (Lab Products, Inc.). Male C57BL/6J mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME) at 4 wk of age and acclimated to the vivarium for 1 wk, during which time mice were provided free access to food and water.

Experimental diets

Experimental test diets were obtained from Harlan-Teklad (Madison, WI). To determine the relative impact of dietary macro- and micronutrient composition on metabolic health and cancer outcome, we compared 5 different diet formulations as outlined in Table 1 and briefly described below:

- 1) AIN93G, the standard diet routinely employed in rodent cancer studies
- 2) The new total Western diet (TWD), as described in Hintze et al. (106)
- A macronutrient-modified diet (MM), which contained the same carbohydrate, protein, and lipid content as in the TWD, yet retained the vitamin and mineral profile of the reference AIN93G diet
- A vitamin- and mineral-modified diet (VMM), which matched the AIN93G diet for macronutrient content, yet contained a vitamin and mineral profile matching the TWD
- 5) A commercial diet-induced obesity diet (DIO) that contained 45% of energy as fat (primarily lard) and matched the AIN93G for micronutrient content. Diets were obtained as a single lot from the vendor and maintained at 4 °C for the duration of the study. Fresh food was provided to mice twice per wk.

Experimental design

This study was performed in sequential parts, in which the treatment of sham mice preceding treatment of AOM+DSS-initiated mice. Sham mice were housed individually, whereas mice to be initiated with AOM were housed 5 animals per cage. At 5 wk of age, mice were randomly assigned to one of the experimental diet groups

Energy density (kcal/g) 3.8 4.4 3.7 4.4 4.6 Macronutrient Corn starch 398 230 398 230 85 Matodextrin 132 70 115 200 85 Matodextrin 132 70 115 200 261 200 261 200 261 200 261 200 261 200 261 200 261 200 261 200 261 200 200 190 261 200 261 200 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 195 $8ef$ tallow 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 260 28.0 195 $8ef$ tallow 24.8 24.8 24.8 260 28.0 195 $8ef$ tallow 260 280 280	Nutrient	AIN93G	TWD	VMM	MM	DIO
Macronutrient Carbohydrates (g/kg diet) Corn starch 398 230 398 230 85 Maltodextrin 132 70 132 70 115 Sucrose 100 261 100 261 200 Cellulose 50 30 50 30 58 kcal (% of total) 60.1% 54.5% 60.1% 54.5% 36.2% Proteins (g/kg) 3 2.8 3 2.8 3.5 kcal (% of total) 18.8% 15.4% 18.8% 15.4% 19.0% Fats (g/kg) 36.3 36.3 36.3 Olive oil 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 Lard 28.0 28.0 28.0 195 36.5 500 Beef tallow 24.8 24.8 24.8 500 5000 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000 3000	Energy density (kcal/g)	3.8	4.4	3.7	4.4	4.6
Carbohydrates (g/kg diet) Corn starch 398 230 398 230 85 Maltodextrin 132 70 132 70 115 Sucrose 100 261 100 261 200 Cellulose 50 30 50 30 58 kcal (% of total) 60.1% 54.5% 60.1% 54.5% 36.2% Proteins (g/kg) 200 190 200 190 245 L-cystine 3 2.8 3 2.8 3.5 $kcal$ (% of total) 18.8% 15.4% 19.0% Fats (g/kg) 36.3 36.3 36.3 36.3 Olive oil 28.0 28.0 28.0 15.4% 19.0% Lard 28.0 28.0 195 $8eef$ tallow 24.8 24.8 44.8% Corn oil 16.5 16.5 66.5 60.0 60.0 3000 3000 Calcium 5000 2011 2011 5000 5000 600 <td>Macronutrient</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Macronutrient					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Carbohydrates (g/kg diet)					
Maltodextrin1327013270115Sucrose100261100261200Cellulose5030503058kcal (% of total)60.1%54.5%60.1%54.5%36.2%Proteins (g/kg)200190200190245L-cystine32.832.83.5kcal (% of total)18.8%15.4%18.8%15.4%19.0%Fats (g/kg)31.47031.430Soybean oil7031.47031.430Anhydrous milk fat36.336.336.3Olive oil28.028.028.0Lard28.028.0195Beef tallow24.824.8Corn oil16.516.5Cholesterol0.40.4kcal (% of total)17.2%34.5%17.2%Minerals (mg/kg)21150005000Phosphorus30002757275730003000Sodium10197078707810191019Potassium3607589589507507Iron3531313535Zinc3025253030Calcium0.150.20.20.150.15Vitamins (mit/ke)0.150.20.20.150.15	Corn starch	398	230	398	230	85
Sucrose100261100261200Cellulose5030503058kcal (% of total)60.1%54.5%60.1%54.5%36.2%Proteins (g/kg)190200190245L-cystine32.832.83.5 $kcal$ (% of total)18.8%15.4%18.8%15.4%19.0%Fats (g/kg)36.336.336.336.33036.330Olive oil28.028.028.028.028.015.4%19536.336.330Lard28.028.028.028.019534.5%17.2%34.5%44.8%Corn oil16.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.516.5<	Maltodextrin	132	70	132	70	115
Cellulose5030503058 $kcal$ (% of total) 60.1% 54.5% 60.1% 54.5% 36.2% Proteins (g/kg) 200 190 200 190 245 L-cystine3 2.8 3 2.8 3.5 kal (% of total) 18.8% 15.4% 18.8% 19.0% Fats (g/kg) 31.4 70 31.4 30 36.3 36.3 36.3 36.3 Olive oil 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 28.0 195 $8eef$ tallow 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 24.8 36.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 <td>Sucrose</td> <td>100</td> <td>261</td> <td>100</td> <td>261</td> <td>200</td>	Sucrose	100	261	100	261	200
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cellulose	50	30	50	30	58
Proteins (g/kg)Casein200190200190245L-cystine32.832.83.5kcal (% of total)18.8%15.4%18.8%15.4%19.0%Fats (g/kg)18.8%15.4%19.0%Soybean oil7031.47031.430Anhydrous milk fat36.336.336.3Olive oil28.028.028.0Lard28.028.0195Beef tallow24.824.8Corn oil16.516.5Cholesterol0.40.4kcal (% of total)17.2%34.5%17.2%Minerals (mg/kg)Calcium5000201120115000Phosphorus30002757275730003000Sodium10197078707810191019Potassium36005333533336003600Magnesium507589589507507Iron3531313535Zinc3025253030Copper62.62.666Selenium0.150.20.20.150.15	kcal (% of total)	60.1%	54.5%	60.1%	54.5%	36.2%
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Proteins (g/kg)					
L-cystine32.832.83.5 $kcal$ (% of total)18.8%15.4%18.8%15.4%19.0%Fats (g/kg)50ybean oil7031.47031.430Anhydrous milk fat36.336.336.3Olive oil28.028.028.0Lard28.028.0195Beef tallow24.824.8Corn oil16.516.5Cholesterol0.40.4 $kcal$ (% of total)17.2%34.5%17.2%Micronutrients30002757275730003000Sodium10197078707810191019Phosphorus30005333533336003600Magnesium507589589507507Iron3531313535Zinc3025253030Copper62.62.666Selenium0.150.20.20.150.15	Casein	200	190	200	190	245
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	L-cystine	3	2.8	3	2.8	3.5
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	kcal (% of total)	18.8%	15.4%	18.8%	15.4%	19.0%
Soybean oil70 31.4 70 31.4 30Anhydrous milk fat 36.3 36.3 36.3 Olive oil 28.0 28.0 28.0 Lard 28.0 28.0 195 Beef tallow 24.8 24.8 Corn oil 16.5 16.5 Cholesterol 0.4 0.4 kcal (% of total) 17.2% 34.5% 17.2% Micronutrients 5000 2011 2011 5000 Minerals (mg/kg) 2011 2011 5000 3000 Calcium 5000 2757 2757 3000 3000 Sodium 1019 7078 7078 1019 1019 Potassium 3600 5333 5333 3600 3600 Magnesium 507 589 589 507 507 Iron 35 31 31 35 35 Zinc 30 25 25 30 30 Copper 6 2.6 2.6 6 6 Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15	Fats (g/kg)					
Anhydrous milk fat 36.3 36.3 Olive oil 28.0 28.0 Lard 28.0 28.0 Beef tallow 24.8 24.8 Corn oil 16.5 16.5 Cholesterol 0.4 0.4 kcal (% of total) 17.2% 34.5% 17.2% Micronutrients 5000 2011 2011 5000 Minerals (mg/kg) 2011 2011 5000 5000 Phosphorus 3000 2757 2757 3000 3000 Sodium 1019 7078 1019 1019 Potassium 3600 5333 5333 3600 3600 Magnesium 507 589 589 507 507 Iron 35 31 31 35 35 Zinc 30 25 25 30 30 Copper 6 2.6 2.6 6 6 Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15 Vitamins (unit/kg) 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15	Soybean oil	70	31.4	70	31.4	30
Olive oil 28.0 28.0 Lard 28.0 28.0 Beef tallow 24.8 24.8 Corn oil 16.5 16.5 Cholesterol 0.4 0.4 kcal (% of total) 17.2% 34.5% 17.2% Micronutrients 17.2% 34.5% 44.8% Minerals (mg/kg) 2011 2011 5000 5000 Phosphorus 3000 2757 2757 3000 3000 Sodium 1019 7078 7078 1019 1019 Potassium 3600 5333 5333 3600 3600 Magnesium 507 589 589 507 507 Iron 35 31 31 35 35 Zinc 30 25 25 30 30 Copper 6 2.6 2.6 6 6 Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15	Anhydrous milk fat		36.3		36.3	
Lard28.028.0195Beef tallow24.824.8Corn oil16.516.5Cholesterol0.40.4kcal (% of total)17.2%34.5%17.2%Micronutrients17.2%34.5%17.2%34.5%Minerals (mg/kg)2011201150005000Calcium50002011201150005000Phosphorus30002757275730003000Sodium10197078707810191019Potassium36005333533336003600Magnesium507589589507507Iron3531313535Zinc3025253030Copper62.62.666Selenium0.150.20.20.150.15Vitamins (unit/kg)0.150.20.20.150.15	Olive oil		28.0		28.0	
Beef tallow 24.8 24.8 Corn oil16.516.5Cholesterol0.40.4kcal (% of total)17.2%34.5%17.2%Micronutrients17.2%34.5%17.2%Minerals (mg/kg)2011201150005000Calcium50002757275730003000Sodium10197078707810191019Potassium36005333533336003600Magnesium507589589507507Iron3531313535Zinc3025253030Copper62.62.666Selenium0.150.20.20.150.15Vitamins (unit/kg)0.150.20.20.150.15	Lard		28.0		28.0	195
Corn oil16.516.5Corn oil0.40.4kcal (% of total)17.2% 34.5% 17.2%MicronutrientsMinerals (mg/kg)Calcium5000201120115000Phosphorus30002757275730003000Sodium10197078707810191019Potassium36005333533336003600Magnesium507589589507507Iron3531313535Zinc3025253030Copper62.62.666Selenium0.150.20.20.150.15Vitamins (unit/kg)0.150.20.20.150.15	Beef tallow		24.8		24.8	
Cholesterol 0.4 0.4 kcal (% of total) 17.2% 34.5% 17.2% 34.5% 44.8% Micronutrients Minerals (mg/kg) 2011 2011 5000 5000 Calcium 5000 2011 2011 5000 5000 Phosphorus 3000 2757 2757 3000 3000 Sodium 1019 7078 7078 1019 1019 Potassium 3600 5333 5333 3600 3600 Magnesium 507 589 589 507 507 Iron 35 31 31 35 35 Zinc 30 25 25 30 30 Copper 6 2.6 2.6 6 6 Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15	Corn oil		16.5		16.5	
kcal (% of total) 17.2% 34.5% 17.2% 34.5% 44.8% MicronutrientsMinerals (mg/kg)Calcium 5000 2011 2011 5000 5000 Phosphorus 3000 2757 2757 3000 3000 Sodium 1019 7078 7078 1019 1019 Potassium 3600 5333 5333 3600 3600 Magnesium 507 589 589 507 507 Iron 35 31 31 35 35 Zinc 30 25 25 30 30 Copper 6 2.6 2.6 6 6 Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15	Cholesterol		0.4		0.4	
Micronutrients 5000 2011 2011 5000 5000 Calcium 5000 2011 2011 5000 5000 Phosphorus 3000 2757 2757 3000 3000 Sodium 1019 7078 7078 1019 1019 Potassium 3600 5333 5333 3600 3600 Magnesium 507 589 589 507 507 Iron 35 31 31 35 35 Zinc 30 25 25 30 30 Copper 6 2.6 2.6 6 6 Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15	kcal (% of total)	17.2%	34.5%	17.2%	34.5%	44.8%
Minerals (mg/kg) Calcium 5000 2011 2011 5000 5000 Phosphorus 3000 2757 2757 3000 3000 Sodium 1019 7078 7078 1019 1019 Potassium 3600 5333 5333 3600 3600 Magnesium 507 589 589 507 507 Iron 35 31 31 35 35 Zinc 30 25 25 30 30 Copper 6 2.6 2.6 6 6 Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15	Micronutrients	1,12,0	0 110 / 0	17.270	0.10,0	
Calcium 5000 2011 2011 5000 5000 Phosphorus 3000 2757 2757 3000 3000 Sodium 1019 7078 7078 1019 1019 Potassium 3600 5333 5333 3600 3600 Magnesium 507 589 589 507 507 Iron 35 31 31 35 35 Zinc 30 25 25 30 30 Copper 6 2.6 2.6 6 6 Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15	Minerals (mg/kg)					
Phosphorus 3000 2757 2757 3000 3000 Sodium 1019 7078 7078 1019 1019 Potassium 3600 5333 5333 3600 3600 Magnesium 507 589 589 507 507 Iron 35 31 31 35 35 Zinc 30 25 25 30 30 Copper 6 2.6 2.6 6 6 Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15	Calcium	5000	2011	2011	5000	5000
Sodium 1019 7078 7078 1019 1019 Potassium 3600 5333 5333 3600 3600 Magnesium 507 589 589 507 507 Iron 35 31 31 35 35 Zinc 30 25 25 30 30 Copper 6 2.6 2.6 6 6 Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15	Phosphorus	3000	2757	2757	3000	3000
Potassium 3600 5333 5333 3600 3600 Magnesium 507 589 589 507 507 Iron 35 31 31 35 35 Zinc 30 25 25 30 30 Copper 6 2.6 2.6 6 6 Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15	Sodium	1019	7078	7078	1019	1019
Magnesium 507 589 589 507 507 Iron 35 31 31 35 35 Zinc 30 25 25 30 30 Copper 6 2.6 2.6 6 6 Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15	Potassium	3600	5333	5333	3600	3600
Iron 35 31 31 35 35 Zinc 30 25 25 30 30 Copper 6 2.6 2.6 6 6 Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15	Magnesium	507	589	589	507	507
Zinc 30 25 25 30 30 Copper 6 2.6 2.6 6 6 Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15 Vitamins (unit/kg)	Iron	35	31	31	35	35
Copper 6 2.6 2.6 6 6 Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15 Vitamins (unit/kg)	Zinc	30	25	25	30	30
Selenium 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15 Vitamins (unit/kg) 0.15 0.2 0.2 0.15 0.15	Copper	6	2.6	2.6	6	6
Vitamins (unit/kg)	Selenium	0 15	0.2	0.2	0.15	0 15
	Vitamins (unit/kg)	0.12	0.2	0.2	0.12	0.12
Thiamin (mg) 5 35 35 5 5	Thiamin (mg)	5	3.5	35	5	5
$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	Riboflavin (mg)	6	4 4	4 4	6	6
Nacin (mg) 30 50.6 50.6 30 30	Niacin (mg)	30	50.6	50.6	30	30
$\frac{P}{P} = \frac{P}{P} = \frac{P}$	Pyridoxine (mg)	50	3.9	3.9	6	6
Folate (mg) 2 13 13 2 2	Folate (mg)	2	13	13	2	2
Vitamin B_{res} (ug) 25 11 11 25 25	Vitamin B ₁₀ (ug)	25	1.5	1.5	25	25
Vitamin $D_{12}(\mu g)$ 2.5 11 11 2.5 2.5 Vitamin A (III) 4000 4300 4300 4000 4000	Vitamin Δ_{12} (µg)	4000	4300	/300	4000	4000
Vitamin $A_{(10)}$ +000 +300 +300 +000 +000 Vitamin $D_{(III)}$ 1000 301 301 1000 1000	$\frac{V_{\text{Hamin}}}{V_{\text{Hamin}}} D (UI)$	1000	301	301	1000	1000
Vitamin D (10) 1000 571 571 1000 1000 Vitamin E (IU) 75 24.6 24.6 75 75	Vitamin $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{IU})$	75	2/ 6	24.6	75	75
Vitamin E (10) 75 24.0 24.0 75 75 Vitamin K (10) 750 180 180 750 750	Vitamin $E(10)$	750	2 4 .0 180	24.0 180	750	750
Choline (mg) 1027 648 648 1027 1027	Choline (mg)	1027	648	648	1027	1027

TABLE 1. Composition of experimental diets

Note: Abbreviations for experimental diets are as follows: total Western diet, TWD; vitamin- and mineral-modified diet, VMM; macro-modified diet, MM; and diet-induced obesity diet, DIO. Composition of the TWD was published previously (106). No data are available in NHANES for chloride, manganese, iodine, pantothenic acid, biotin, or ultra-trace minerals. Thus, levels of these components in the AIN93G diet were used in the formulation of all experimental diets.

described above (*N*=10 per diet group for sham mice, *N*=30 per diet group for AOM+DSS-initiated mice). For AOM mice, individual animals were tracked using ear tags and/or ear punch. Fresh food was provided twice per wk, and food consumption was monitored at each change. Individual body weights were recorded once per wk for all mice. Body composition of sham mice was determined by MRI scan (EchoMRI-700; EchoMRI, Houston, TX) every 4 wk. At 7 wk of age, mice were administered with either a subcutaneous injection of 10 mg/kg body weight AOM or sham with an equivalent amount of the PBS vehicle. Mice initiated with AOM were also provided 1% DSS via their drinking water for 4 wk, then tap water for the remainder of the study. Sham mice were provided tap water for the entire study period. After a total of 16 wk on the experimental diets, all mice were euthanized by CO₂ asphyxiation and necropsied.

At necropsy of all mice, the liver, kidneys, spleen, and heart were collected, weighed, and fixed in 10% buffered neutral formalin. Additionally, to assess fat distribution in sham mice, the subcutaneous, gonadal, mesenteric, and retroperitoneal fat pads were excised and weighed. Whole blood was obtained from sham mice by cardiac venipuncture, and serum was collected by centrifugation $(10,000 \times g)$ for 5 min using serum separation spin tubes (Sarstedt, Newton, NC). Serum was aliquoted into triplicate cryotubes, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C for analysis of plasma hormone concentrations. To assess tumor outcome in AOM+DSS-initiated mice, colon tissues were collected from 20 mice per diet group were collected. (Tissue samples from the remaining 10 AOM mice per group were preserved for other analyses not reported here). Colons were rinsed with PBS, slit open longitudinally from the cecum to the anus, placed flat between paper towels, and stored in 70% ethanol solution at 4°C.

Fasting glucose and glucose tolerance in sham mice

Fasting glucose was assessed in sham mice every 4 wk for the duration of the study. After a morning fasting period of 6 h, approximately 0.2 μ l blood was drawn from a 1 mm cut on the tail tip. Glucose was measured using a standard glucose meter and glucose strips (Total Diabetes Supply, Boca Raton, FL) in triplicate for each animal. Oral glucose tolerance tests were performed on sham mice at wk 7 and 15 of the study. Following a morning 6 h fasting period, baseline glucose levels were obtained as described above. Then, mice were given a bolus of 10 mg/kg glucose delivered into the stomach by gavage needle (22 gauge, 3.81 cm long straight, 2.25 ball diameter). Plasma glucose was again measured in triplicate at 0, 15, 30, 60, and 120 min after oral gavage. The homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) method was used to assess insulin resistance in fasted sham mice using the formula, HOMA = [glucose × insulin]/405, where glucose is measured in mass units mg/dL and insulin is expressed as mU/L.

Plasma hormone levels in sham mice

In order to assess the impact of the experimental diets on biomarkers of inflammation and glucose metabolism, 17 plasma circulating hormones were selected for analysis: adiponectin, interleukin 2 (IL-2), IL-10, IL-6, monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1), insulin, C-peptide, ghrelin, gastric intestinal peptide (GIP), glucagon-like peptide 1, glucagon, leptin, pancreatic polypeptide, peptide YY, resistin, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF α), and amylin. Serum samples from the sham mice were analyzed using a Bio-Plex suspension array system (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA) according to the manufacturer's supplied protocol with no deviation.

Assessment of tumorigenesis in AOM+DSS-initiated mice

To determine the effect of the experimental diets on colorectal carcinogenesis, colon samples were analyzed under a dissecting microscope and tumors were counted. The researcher was blinded to the sample identification at the time of assessment. Measurements of colon length and tumor volumes were obtained using a pair of electronic calipers. Tumors were identified and counted using the following criteria: 1) defined, generally round or oval shape, 2) defined, easily distinguishable edges, and 3) lighter color with respect to surrounding tissue. Each tumor was measured for length, height, and width. Tumor multiplicity was calculated as the number of tumors per mm colon for each tumor-bearing animal. Colon volume was estimated using the formula, tumor volume = $\pi/6(L \times W \times D)$, where *L* is the length, *W* is the width, and *D* is the depth of the tumor.

ACF were identified in using criteria described previously (32, 43). Briefly, ACF were characterized as crypts of larger size and often slit-shaped, with increased pericryptal area, and thickened layer of epithelial cells which sometimes resulted in greater staining around the crypt. Colon tissues were stained in methylene blue for approximately 30 sec to facilitate detection of ACF. Colon samples were coded so that the corresponding treatment group was not known by the researcher assessing the number of foci and the number of crypt cells per foci.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses for food intake, energy intake, body weight, relative organ weights, metabolic efficiency, percentage fat and lean mass, fasting glucose, glucose

tolerance, plasma hormone concentration, HOMA, tumor multiplicity, tumor volume (log transformed), ACF number, and total crypts were performed using mixed models analysis of variance (Mixed procedure, SAS 9.3) with the Satterthwaite degrees of freedom approximation when needed to account for unequal variances among experimental groups. Data for sham or AOM+DSS-initiated mice were analyzed independently, as the key experimental question of interest was not the impact of AOM exposure, but rather the impact of experimental diet on biomarkers of metabolic health and colon carcinogenesis. For analysis of data from AOM+DSS-initiated mice, the parameter cage was included as a random factor nested within each diet group to account for cage effects on outcomes. Analysis of colon tumor incidence was performed using a quasi-likelihood logistic regression (SAS genmod procedure). Finally, all analyses included the Bonferroni multiple comparison adjustment to account for multiple testing.

RESULTS

Food and energy intakes

Food intakes are reported on a per wk basis (Fig. 1A-B) or for the entire 16 wk feeding period (Fig. 1C-D) for individual sham mice or on a per cage basis for AOM+DSS-initiated mice. Results of the statistical analyses for food and energy intakes by mice fed different experimental diets are presented in Table 2. Over the course of the 16 wk feeding period, sham and mice fed the AIN93G or VMM diets consumed significantly more total food (9 to 16% increase for AIN93G group, 8 to 15% increase for VMM group) compared to their counterparts fed the TWD, MM or DIO diets (P < 0.05, Fig. 1). Although AOM+DSS-initiated mice were group housed, on a per cage basis, the same pattern was evident on a per-cage basis, where mice fed the AIN93G and VMM diets generally consumed more total food than mice provided TWD, MM or DIO diets (Fig. 1). Also of note was the observation that mice that were group housed consistently consumed less food than mice that were individually housed, even though food was maintained at sufficient amounts in the AOM+DSS cages throughout the study.

Energy intakes were calculated using the specific energy density for each experimental diet and the recorded food intake values on a per wk basis (Fig. 2A-B) and for the entire 16 wk feeding period (Fig. 2C-D). In sham mice, total energy intake for animals consuming AIN93G, TWD, or VMM diets was not significantly different (Fig. 2A,C). On the other hand, energy intakes for mice fed either the MM or DIO diets were markedly higher (>5%) compared to all other diet groups (P < 0.05) (Fig. 2A,C; Table 2). The pattern of energy intakes across diet groups was slightly different for



FIGURE 1. Food consumption in sham or AOM+DSS-initiated mice. Mean food intake per wk \pm SEM is shown for sham mice (N = 10 individuals) (A) or mice initiated with AOM+DSS (N = 4 cages, data normalized by number of mice per cage) (B). Total food consumption data for each diet group are represented in box-and-whisker plots (plus symbol indicates the treatment group mean and whiskers are 1.5 times the interquartile range) for sham (C) or AOM+DSS-initiated mice (D). Different letters indicate that treatment groups are significantly different as determined by ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure with Satterthwaite approximation); Bonferroni-adjusted P values for multiple comparisons among diet groups for sham and AOM+DSS-initiated mice are provided in Table 2.



FIGURE 2. Energy intake in sham or AOM+DSS-initiated mice. Mean energy intake per wk \pm SEM is shown for sham mice (N = 10 individuals) (A) or AOM+DSS-initiated mice (N = 4 cages, data normalized by number of mice per cage) (B). Total energy intake data for each diet group are represented in box-and-whisker plots (plus symbol indicates the treatment group mean and whiskers are 1.5 times the interquartile range) for sham (C) or AOM+DSS-initiated mice (D). Different letters indicate that treatment groups are significantly different as determined by ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure with Satterthwaite approximation); Bonferroni-adjusted P values for multiple comparisons among diet groups for sham and AOM+DSS-initiated mice are provided in Table 2.

	Sh	nam	AOM+DS	SS-initiated
Comparison	Food intake	Energy intake	Food intake	Energy intake
AIN93G vs. TWD	<0.0001	1.0000	<0.0001	<0.0001
AIN93G vs. VMM	1.0000	0.9568	1.0000	0.0165
AIN93G vs. MM	<0.0001	0.1645	<0.0001	<0.0001
AIN93G vs. DIO	0.0005	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
TWD vs. VMM	<0.0001	1.0000	<0.0001	<0.0001
TWD vs. MM	0.0309	0.0231	1.0000	0.9118
TWD vs. DIO	0.0062	<0.0001	0.0415	<0.0001
VMM vs. MM	0.0004	0.0013	<0.0001	<0.0001
VMM vs. DIO	0.0021	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
MM vs. DIO	1.0000	0.0527	1.0000	<0.0001

 TABLE 2. Comparisons among diet groups for food and energy intakes.

Note: Analysis of each indicated parameter was performed by ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure) with the Satterthwaite method to approximate degrees of freedom to account for apparent unequal variances in parameter measurements among diet groups. For AOM+DSS-initiated mice, analyses of food and energy intakes were performed using cage data, rather than individual data for AOM+DSS-initiated mice; for all other parameters, cage was considered as a random factor nested within the diet treatment group. *P* values of the differences of least squares means are shown for each pair-wise comparison among diet groups, and significant differences are shown in bold.

AOM+DSS-initiated mice. As in sham mice, energy intake values (normalized to the number of mice per cage) were not significantly different between AIN93G and VMM groups, and both of these groups had lower energy intakes than mice fed MM or DIO diets (Fig. 2D). However, unlike sham mice, energy intake for AOM+DSS-initiated animals fed TWD and MM was similar and significantly higher than those fed AIN93G (P < 0.0001). These differences in the patterns of food and energy intake among diet groups could be attributed to food competition, as AOM+DSS mice were housed in groups of 4 to 5 mice during the study. Regardless, as with sham mice, the AOM+DSS-initiated mice fed the DIO diet consumed significantly more calories (>11%) than all

other groups (P<0.0001). Conversely, AOM+DSS-initiated mice fed the VMM consumed fewer calories than all other diet groups (P<0.05), reflecting the differences in energy density of the diets.

Body weight, organ weights, and metabolic efficiency

Sham and AOM+DSS-initiated mice gained weight steadily throughout the entire study period, although the rate of weight gain (and food consumption) for AOM+DSS-initiated mice was slightly lower for all diet groups in the week following carcinogen injection (Fig. 3A-B). At the conclusion of the study, final body weights for mice consuming TWD or VMM diets were not different for mice fed the reference AIN93G diet, yet they were significantly lower (P < 0.05) than mice fed either the MM or DIO diets (Fig. 3C; Tables 3 and 4). A similar pattern was observed for mice initiated with AOM (Fig. 3D). Sham or AOM+DSS-initiated mice fed the DIO diet gained about 10 or 13% more weight, respectively, than their counterparts consuming the AIN93G reference diet. However, average final body weights were approximately 19% higher in DIO-fed mice (sham and AOM+DSS-initiated) than mice provided the VMM diet, representing the largest difference in body weight gain among the experimental diet groups.

In general, the weights of liver, kidney, and spleen with respect to body weight were not markedly affected by dietary treatment, with a few notable exceptions. The average relative liver weight for mice that consumed the DIO diet was marginally lower (0.47 to 0.58%) compared to all other diet groups (P < 0.05); this pattern was also evident in AOM+DSS-initiated mice, though only significant between DIO and AIN93G or TWD groups (P = 0.0208 and 0.0002, respectively). However, the absolute liver weights



FIGURE 3. Body weight gain in sham or AOM+DSS-initiated mice. Values for body weight gain over time \pm SEM are shown for sham (N = 10) (A) or AOM+DSS-initiated mice (N = 30) (B). Final body weight data for each diet group are represented in box-and-whisker plots (plus symbol indicates the treatment group mean, and whiskers are 1.5 times the interquartile range) for sham (C) or AOM+DSS-initiated mice (D). Different letters indicate that treatment groups are significantly different as determined by ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure with Satterthwaite approximation); Bonferroni-adjusted P values for multiple comparisons among diet groups for sham and AOM+DSS-initiated mice are provided in Table 4.

	TAB]	LE 3. Body or	rgan weights for	r sham- and AC)M+DSS-initiated	mice.	
Diet group	Body weight (g)	Liver weight (g)	Relative liver weight (%)	Kidney weight (mg)	Relative kidney weight (%)	Spleen weight (mg)	Relative spleen weight (%)
Sham							
AIN93G	28.4 ± 1.4	1.06 ± 0.086	3.74 ± 0.22	367 ± 23	1.30 ± 0.10	74.0 ± 9.7	0.261 ± 0.031
TWD	26.4 ± 1.5	1.00 ± 0.082	3.77 ± 0.18	387 ± 24	1.47 ± 0.12	68.0 ± 9.2	0.259 ± 0.040
VMM	26.4 ± 3.2	0.993 ± 0.12	3.78 ± 0.50	366±37	1.39 ± 0.071	78.0 ± 13	0.297 ± 0.052
MM	30.0 ± 2.4	1.10 ± 0.076	3.67 ± 0.20	376 ± 25	1.26 ± 0.085	74.0 ± 9.7	0.247 ± 0.030
DIO	31.4 ± 3.7	0.998 ± 0.11	$3.20{\pm}0.38$	424±38	1.36 ± 0.12	73.0 ± 14	0.231 ± 0.023
AOM+DSS-initiated							
AIN93G	25.3 ± 1.7	1.09 ± 0.12	4.30 ± 0.42	341 ± 33	1.35 ± 0.11	85.7±15	0.340 ± 0.063
TWD	26.5 ± 1.6	1.20 ± 0.15	4.51 ± 0.48	382 ± 41	1.44 ± 0.11	188 ± 104	0.711 ± 0.40
VMM	25.2 ± 1.7	1.08 ± 0.13	4.29 ± 0.51	$358{\pm}40$	1.42 ± 0.14	148 ± 101	$0.590{\pm}0.42$
MM	28.7 ± 2.5	1.18 ± 0.17	4.12 ± 0.42	391 ± 50	1.36 ± 0.11	80.3 ± 12	0.281 ± 0.045
DIO	30.3 ± 2.0	1.14 ± 0.14	3.76 ± 0.35	$438{\pm}67$	1.45 ± 0.23	85.7±19	$0.284{\pm}0.065$
<i>Note</i> : Values shown standard deviation for	are average boort each treatmen	dy and organ we at group $(N = IC)$	eights or the relati) for Sham groups	ve liver, kidney a $(N = 30 \text{ for AON})$	nd spleen weights (o. 1 groups).	rgan weight ÷ boo	If weight \times 100) \pm

Ш
+DSS-initiated n
Σ
0
\mathbf{A}
and
sham-
for
weights f
organ
Body
~
E E
B
2

			Sham				AOM+	DSS-initia	ted	
Comparison	BW (g)	BW gain (g)	LW (%)	KW (%)	SW (%)	BW (g)	BW gain (g)	LW (%)	KW (%)	SW (%)
AIN93G vs. TWD	1.0000	0.6970	1.0000	0.0039	1.0000	0.3025	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	<0.0001
AIN93G vs. VMM	0.9905	0.6970	1.0000	0.4313	0.3080	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.0010
AIN93G vs. MM	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	<0.0001	0.0002	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
AIN93G vs. DIO	0.1141	0.0413	0.0038	1.0000	0.7296	<0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0208	0.3986	1.0000
TWD vs. VMM	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.8588	0.2176	0.2023	0.4877	0.8501	1.0000	0.7674
TWD vs. MM	0.0331	0.0141	1.0000	0.0002	1.0000	0.0053	0.0054	0.3606	1.0000	<0.001
TWD vs. DIO	0.0009	0.0001	0.0026	0.1675	0.9821	<0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0002	1.0000	<0.001
VMM vs. MM	0.0316	0.0141	1.0000	0.0450	0.0343	<0.0001	< 0.0001	1.0000	1.0000	<0.001
VMM vs. DIO	0.0008	0.0001	0.0016	1.0000	0.0019	<0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0880	1.0000	<0.001
MM vs. DIO	1.0000	1.0000	0.0183	0.2856	1.0000	0.0570	0.1504	0.2683	1.0000	1.0000
Note: Analysis of ea	ch indicate	ed parameter wi	as performe	ol by ANO	VA (SAS m	ixed proced	ure) with the Sa	tterthwaite	method to a	upproximate

TABLE 4. Comparisons among diet groups for body and relative organ weights for sham- and AOM+DSS-initiated mice.

degrees of freedom to account for apparent unequal variances in parameter measurements among diet groups. *P* values of the differences of least squares means are shown for each pair-wise comparison among diet groups, and significant values are shown in bold. Abbreviations are as follows: body weight, BW; liver weight, LW; kidney weight, KW; and spleen weight, SW.

were not different among diet groups (P > 0.05), suggesting that the difference in relative liver weight values was a reflection not of a change in liver health status, but rather the body weight of mice consuming the DIO diet. Average relative spleen weight was markedly higher (up to 2-fold) in mice consuming TWD or VMM diets compared to other diet groups ($P \le 0.001$), although this trend was not observed in sham mice (Tables 3, 4).

Metabolic efficiency was calculated for only sham mice, which were singly housed (Fig. 4). Animals fed the MM and DIO diets had significantly lower metabolic efficiency that TWD and VMM-fed mice, suggesting that they were less efficient and converting nutrients into energy. However, neither the MM nor DIO diet groups differed significantly from the AIN93-fed animals. Also, metabolic efficiency values for mice consuming diets modified in vitamin and mineral content (TWD and VMM diets) were not significantly different from mice fed AIN93G.

Body composition and fat distribution

To determine the impact of the experimental diets on fat accumulation, the body composition of sham mice was assessed periodically by MRI (Tables 5,6). In general, the rate of lean mass gain among any of the experimental diet groups was similar, and the average final lean mass was the same for all groups (Fig. 5A). However, when calculated as a percentage of body weight, lean mass at the end of the study was slightly lower in mice consuming the MM or DIO diets compared to the VMM diet (P<0.05), yet average percentage lean mass was not significantly different for any of the test diet groups compared to the reference AIN93G diet group (Fig. 5C). On the other had, a markedly

different pattern was observed for effect of diet on fat accumulation over time (Fig. 5B). At the end of the study, the average fat mass of mice fed either the MM or DIO diets was 7 to 12% higher compared to mice fed TWD or VMM (Fig. 5D). A comparison of the relative lean and fat mass gain among experimental groups shows that the apparent differences in body weight gain in MM and DIO animals was attributed entirely to an increase in fat mass.



FIGURE 4. Metabolic efficiency in sham mice. *A*, Mean metabolic efficiency (calculated as weekly energy intake divided by weekly body weight gain) is shown \pm SEM (*N* = 10 individuals). *B*, Values for cumulative metabolic efficiency (total energy intake divided by total body weight gain) are represented in box-and-whisker plots (plus symbol indicates the treatment group mean and whiskers are 1.5 times the interquartile range). Different letters indicate that treatment groups are significantly different as determined by ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure with Satterthwaite approximation to account for apparent unequal variances); Bonferroni-adjusted *P* values for multiple comparisons among diet groups are provided in Table 5.

Diet Group	Week 0	Week 4	Week 8	Week 12	Week 16
Fat mass					
AIN93G	1.88 ± 1.06	2.53 ± 0.43	3.09 ± 1.31	3.64 ± 0.64	$5.34{\pm}1.38$
TWD	2.22±0.51	2.58 ± 0.22	2.43 ± 0.35	3.63 ± 0.60	4.52 ± 1.06
VMM	2.16±0.64	2.59 ± 0.41	2.85 ± 0.70	3.33±1.01	4.14 ± 1.41
MM	2.72 ± 0.62	3.12 ± 0.49	3.60 ± 0.47	$5.38{\pm}1.50$	7.13±1.92
DIO	2.14 ± 0.52	2.95 ± 0.75	4.68 ± 1.34	6.16±1.98	8.64 ± 2.88
Lean mass					
AIN93G	15.32 ± 1.13	17.70±0.79	17.97 ± 1.68	20.63 ± 0.40	19.99±2.11
TWD	15.33±1.00	16.75±0.74	18.26±0.51	19.75±0.59	19.52±0.93
VMM	15.03±1.55	16.86±1.59	18.97±1.36	19.36±1.67	19.99±1.35
MM	14.50±1.56	17.34±0.43	19.04±0.45	19.62±0.86	20.26±1.21
DIO	15.67 ± 0.80	17.49 ± 0.90	$18.97 {\pm} 1.00$	20.31±1.81	20.48±1.17

TABLE 5. Body composition in sham mice.

Note: Values shown are the average fat or lean mass (g) determined by EchoMRI \pm SD for each treatment group (N = 10).

	Lean	mass	Fat	mass
Comparison	g	% of BW	g	% of BW
AIN93G vs. TWD	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
AIN93G vs. VMM	1.0000	0.6791	1.0000	0.9477
AIN93G vs. MM	1.0000	1.0000	0.3509	0.4761
AIN93G vs. DIO	1.0000	0.5086	0.0023	0.0082
TWD vs. VMM	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
TWD vs. MM	1.0000	0.2837	0.0282	0.0377
TWD vs. DIO	1.0000	0.0628	<0.0001	0.0003
VMM vs. MM	1.0000	0.0204	0.0074	0.0051
VMM vs. DIO	1.0000	0.0034	<0.0001	<0.0001
MM vs. DIO	1.0000	1.0000	0.7317	1.0000

TABLE 6. Comparisons among diet groups for
body composition in sham mice.

Note: Analysis of each indicated parameter was performed by ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure) with the Satterthwaite method to approximate degrees of freedom to account for apparent unequal variances in parameter measurements among diet groups. Effects of diet treatment on final lean or fat weights (g) and relative weights (%) are were determined separately. *P* values of the differences of least squares means are shown for each pair-wise comparison among diet groups, and significant values are shown in bold.



FIGURE 5. Body composition of sham mice. Body composition was assessed by MRI at wk 0, 4, 8, 12, and 16. The change in lean (*A*) and fat (*B*) mass for each diet group over time is shown \pm SEM (*N* = 10 individuals). Values for the lean mass (*C*) and fat mass (*D*) with respect to final body weight (fat mass \div body weight × 100) are represented in box-and-whisker plots (plus symbol indicates the mean for the treatment group, and whiskers are 1.5 times the interquartile range). Different letters indicate that treatment groups are significantly different as determined by ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure with Satterthwaite approximation to account for apparent unequal variances); Bonferroni-adjusted *P* values for multiple comparisons among diet groups are provided in Table 8.

To determine the impact of experiment diet on fat distribution, we assessed the relative mass of subcutaneous, gonadal, mesenteric, and retroperitoneal fat pads with respect to final body weight of sham mice at the conclusion of the experiment (Tables 7, 8). A significant increase in the average percent fat mass was observed for all fat types, in animals that consumed either the MM or DIO diets, whereas no significant differences were apparent for mice fed AIN93G, TWD or VMM (Fig. 6). This effect of diet was most notable for subcutaneous fat, which was twice as abundant in mice fed DIO diet compared to the AIN93G, TWD or VMM diets (P < 0.0001). Additionally, a significant difference in the ratio of subcutaneous to visceral (gonadal, retroperitoneal, and mesenteric) fat was observed when comparing mice fed AIN93G (0.66 ratio) to those that consumed the DIO diet (0.91 ratio) (P < 0.01), whereas this ratio was not different among mice fed either the TWD, VMM or MM diets.

Fasting glucose and glucose tolerance in sham mice

To assess the impact of experimental diets on biomarkers of metabolic syndrome, we measured fasting glucose and oral glucose tolerance periodically throughout the study in a subset of 6 mice randomly selected from each treatment group. At the start of the study, average fasting glucose levels were similar for all treatment groups (Fig. 7), and this trend among treatment groups was fairly consistent throughout the experiment (Table 9). At the conclusion of the study, average fasting glucose levels were not significantly different compared between the reference AIN93G diet and the other experimental diet groups (Fig. 7C). However, fasting glucose was elevated in DIO-fed mice compared to those that consumed the VMM diet (P = 0.0043).

				ndon in Till					
	Subcu	taneous	Gon	adal	Mese	nteric	Retrope	ritoneal	
Diet Group	ß	% of BW	ß	% of BW	50	% of BW	50	% of BW	Ratio
AIN93G	0.88 ± 0.21	3.09 ± 0.65	0.73 ± 0.18	2.55±0.56	0.42 ± 0.06	1.46 ± 0.16	$0.20{\pm}0.05$	0.69 ± 0.15	0.66 ± 0.13
TWD	0.90 ± 0.22	3.37 ± 0.66	0.63 ± 0.13	2.37 ± 0.38	0.39 ± 0.04	1.48 ± 0.15	0.20 ± 0.07	$0.74{\pm}0.23$	0.73 ± 0.067
VMM	0.91 ± 0.28	3.38 ± 0.72	$0.57{\pm}0.18$	2.13 ± 0.47	0.43 ± 0.08	1.62 ± 0.22	0.17 ± 0.12	0.63 ± 0.34	0.75 ± 0.25
MM	1.51 ± 0.57	4.93 ± 1.81	1.09 ± 0.29	3.58 ± 0.77	0.53 ± 0.09	1.75 ± 0.19	0.38 ± 0.12	1.26 ± 0.31	0.77 ± 0.052
DIO	2.09 ± 0.71	6.50 ± 1.41	1.20 ± 0.43	$3.70{\pm}0.97$	0.62 ± 0.14	1.95 ± 0.24	0.49 ± 0.18	1.53 ± 0.40	0.91 ± 0.11
Note: Value	s shown are th	ne average weig	ht or the average	ge relative wei	ght for each fai	t deposit (fat p	ad weight ÷ bo	dy weight $\times 1$	$(0) \pm SD$ for
each treatme	nt aroun (N -	10) The ratio o	of subcutaneous	to vieceral fat	t was calculated	d as the mass r	of subcitaneous	e fat – (mace o	f annadal ⊥

TABLE 7. Fat deposition in sham mice.

each treatment group (N = 10). The ratio of subcutaneous to visceral fat was calculated as the mass of subcutaneous fat \div (mass of gonadal + mesenteric + retroperitoneal fats).

Subcutaneous (% of BW)	Gonadal (% of BW)	Mesenteric (% of BW)	Retroperitoneal (% of BW)
1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.6698
0.0079	0.0107	0.0011	0.0174
<0.0001	0.0007	<0.0001	<0.0001
1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
0.0389	0.0017	0.0033	0.0366
<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
0.0412	0.0001	0.0002	1.0000
<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0023
0.0087	1.0000	0.1211	0.1265
	Subcutaneous (% of BW) 1.0000 1.0000 0.0079 <0.0001 1.0000 0.0389 <0.0001 0.0412 <0.0001 0.0087	Subcutaneous (% of BW) Gonadal (% of BW) 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.0079 0.0107 <0.0001	Subcutaneous (% of BW) Gonadal (% of BW) Mesenteric (% of BW) 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.0079 0.0107 0.0011 <0.0001

TABLE 8. Comparisons among diet groups forfat distribution in sham mice.

Note: Analysis of each indicated parameter was performed by ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure) with the Satterthwaite method to approximate degrees of freedom to account for apparent unequal variances in parameter measurements among diet groups. *P* values of the differences of least squares means are shown for each pair-wise comparison among diet groups, and significant values are shown in bold.

Glucose tolerance was assessed by calculating the area under the curve (AUC) for oral glucose tolerance tests performed at wk 7 and 15 of the study (Fig. 8). Values for AUC for the DIO group were significantly higher (by about 50%) than mice fed the AIN93G and VMM diets at both time points assessed (Fig. 8; Table 9), but other dietary treatment groups did not alter glucose tolerance in comparison to the reference AIN93G diet.

Plasma hormone levels in sham mice

At the conclusion of the study, levels of a variety of hormones critical to regulation of food intake, inflammation, and development of metabolic syndrome were measured in blood samples collected from sham mice. Seven in the multiplex assay were reliably detected in all samples, including adiponectin, resistin, leptin, insulin, GIP,



FIGURE 6. Fat distribution in sham mice. Values for the percentage subcutaneous (A), gonadal (B), mesenteric (C), and retroperitoneal fat (D) and the ratio of subcutaneous fat to visceral fat (including gonadal, mesenteric and retroperitoneal) (E) are represented in box-and-whisker plots (plus symbol indicates the mean for the treatment group, and whiskers are 1.5 times the interquartile range). Different letters indicate that treatment groups are significantly different as determined by ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure with Satterthwaite approximation to account for apparent unequal variances); Bonferroniadjusted P values for multiple comparisons among diet groups are provided in Table 7.



FIGURE 7. Fasting glucose levels in sham mice. Fasting glucose was determined at the start of the study, then again at wk 4, 8, 12, and 16 (*A*). The change in fasting glucose for each diet group over time is shown \pm SEM (*N* = 6). Values for fasting glucose at wk 0 (*B*) and at wk 16 (*C*) are represented in box-and-whisker plots (plus symbol indicates the mean for the treatment group, and whiskers are 1.5 times the interquartile range). Different letters indicate that treatment groups are significantly different as determined by ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure with Satterthwaite approximation to account for apparent unequal variances); Bonferroni-adjusted *P* values for multiple comparisons among diet groups for each time point are provided in Table 9.

		Fa	asting gluc	ose		oG	TT
Comparison	Wk 0	Wk 4	Wk 8	Wk 12	Wk 16	Wk 7	Wk 15
AIN93G vs. TWD	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
AIN93G vs. VMM	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
AIN93G vs. MM	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
AIN93G vs. DIO	0.0477	0.0395	1.0000	1.0000	0.3022	0.0477	0.0395
TWD vs. VMM	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.3628	1.0000	1.0000
TWD vs. MM	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
TWD vs. DIO	0.1054	0.1202	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.1054	0.1202
VMM vs. MM	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.1589	1.0000	1.0000
VMM vs. DIO	0.0277	0.0137	1.0000	0.7892	0.0043	0.0277	0.0137
MM vs. DIO	0.1403	0.2396	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.1403	0.2396

 TABLE 9. Comparisons among diet groups for fasting glucose levels and oral glucose tolerance in sham mice.

Note: Analysis of each indicated parameter was performed by ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure) with the Satterthwaite method to approximate degrees of freedom to account for apparent unequal variances in parameter measurements among diet groups. *P* values of the differences of least squares means are shown for each pair-wise comparison among diet groups, and significant values are shown in bold.

C-peptide, and IL-6, while the levels of the other 10 hormones were below the detection limit of the assay. For the most part, the experimental diets did not significantly impact circulating hormone levels (Fig. 9). Two notable exceptions included leptin, which was elevated in mice fed DIO approximately 2-fold compared to mice provided the AIN93G, VMM or TWD diets (P < 0.01); plasma leptin levels were also elevated in mice fed the MM diet compared to mice consuming the AIN93G, TWD, and VMM diets (Fig. 9C). Lastly, plasma levels of GIP were significantly higher in mice consuming TWD compared to the VMM-fed animals, although neither group were significantly different from the reference AIN93G diet or the DIO diet group (Fig. 9D). Finally, the HOMA method was used to calculate insulin resistance, which was significantly higher in mice fed the DIO diet compared to those fed TWD (P < 0.01), although neither group was significantly different from the AIN93G, VMM or MM groups (Fig. 10)



FIGURE 8. Oral glucose tolerance in sham mice. Results of oral glucose tolerance tests at wk 7 (*A*) and wk 15 (*B*) are shown. Symbols represent mean glucose levels \pm SEM (*N* = 6). Glucose tolerance was assessed by calculating the area under the curve (AUC) with baseline set at 100 mg/dL. Calculated AUC values for oGTT at wk 7 (*C*) and at wk 15 (*D*) are represented in box-and-whisker plots (plus symbol indicates the mean for the treatment group, and whiskers are 1.5 times the interquartile range). Different letters indicate that treatment groups are significantly different as determined by ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure with Satterthwaite approximation to account for apparent unequal variances); Bonferroni-adjusted *P* values for multiple comparisons among diet groups for each time point are provided in Table 5.



FIGURE 9. Plasma hormone levels in sham mice. Mean plasma concentrations of adiponectin (*A*), resistin (*B*), leptin (*C*), gastric inhibitory peptide (GIP) (*D*), insulin (*E*), c-peptide (*F*) and IL-6 (*G*) are shown \pm SEM (*N* = 6 to 10). Different letters indicate that treatment groups are significantly different as determined by ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure with the Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons).



FIGURE 10. Insulin resistance by the homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) method. HOMA was calculated using matched values for fasting glucose and insulin at 16 wk as described in *Methods*. Average HOMA values are shown \pm SEM (N = 6). Different letters indicate that treatment groups are significantly different as determined by ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure with the Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons).

Impact of experimental diets on colon tumorigenesis

The most critical objective of this study was to assess the impact of experimental diet on colorectal carcinogenesis. At the end of the feeding trial, the number of preneoplastic lesions and the incidence, abundance and size of colon tumors were determined in a subset of mice initiated with AOM+DSS (N = 20) (Tables 10, 11). Values for all of these parameters are expressed on a colon length basis, as colon tissues with high tumor burden tend to be shorter in length and thus have less surface area. As expected with this initiation protocol, nearly all animals fed the reference AIN93G diet acquired colon cancer. However, tumors were detected in only 80% of the mice fed DIO diet, which was marginally significantly different (P=0.0479) from the 100% cancer incidence observed in mice fed TWD, VMM or MM diets (Table 10).

On the other hand, striking significant effects of experimental diet on tumor multiplicity and size were observed. Mice provided either the TWD or VMM diets had nearly twice as many tumors as their AIN93-fed counterparts (P < 0.01), and about 3times the number of tumors as animals provided the MM or DIO diets (P < 0.0001) (Fig. 11A). However, tumor multiplicity was not significantly different in animals fed the MM or DIO diets compared to the AIN93G group.

A very similar pattern for tumor volume was also evident, as the average tumor size in mice provided TWD or VMM diets was about 3.6- to 2.5-fold higher than in mice fed AIN93G diet (P < 0.01) (Fig. 11B). An even more pronounced increase in tumor volume was observed, a nearly 10-fold difference, when comparing the TWD and VMM groups to mice fed MM or DIO diets (P < 0.0001). Moreover, average tumor volumes in mice fed TWD and VMM were significantly greater than tumor volume for the reference AIN93G group (P = 0.0009 and 0.022, respectively).

To determine whether mice fed MM or DIO diets acquired a large number of preneoplastic lesions that failed to progress to tumors, we counted the average number of aberrant crypts and the number of ACF (Fig. 11C-D) (Table 10). No significant differences among diet groups were observed (Table 11), although the low variance evident in the TWD and VMM likely reflects that many of the preneoplastic in these diet groups progressed to form colon tumors.

		Tumor outcome		Aberrai	it crypts
Diet group	Incidence *	Multiplicity †	Volume [§]	Aberrant crypt foci ¹	Total crypts ‡
AIN93G	95% (19/20) ^{a,b}	0.0701 ± 0.0089 ^a	$1.53\pm0.24^{\ a}$	0.0375 ± 0.0110^{a}	0.0597 ± 0.014^{a}
TWD	100% (19/19) ^a	0.124 ± 0.014 ^b	5.49 ± 0.76^{b}	0.0172 ± 0.0033^{a}	$0.0294\pm0.0064^{ m b}$
VMM	100% (19/19) ^a	0.139 ± 0.014^{b}	$3.80{\pm}0.65^{\text{b}}$	$0.0353\pm0.0028^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.0382 ± 0.0029^{b}
MM	$100\% (19/19)^{a}$	0.0308 ± 0.0039^{a}	$0.41\pm0.10^{\circ}$	$0.0318\pm0.0044^{ m a}$	0.0508 ± 0.0062^{a}
DIO	80% (16/20) ^b	$0.0470{\pm}0.0041^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.51\pm0.13^{\circ}$	$0.0406{\pm}0.0081$ ^a	0.0660 ± 0.012^{a}
<i>Note:</i> Analys tumor volumé mixed proced measurement: squares mean * Values are t tumor outcom toxic effects c † Tumor multi mean tumor n * The average length. Values	is of tumor incidence e (log-transformed), nu ture) with the Satterthy s among diet groups. I s for each pair-wise cc the percent incidence (ne, although one moust of this carcinogen. iplicity was calculated nultiplicity per mm col was estimated using th number of aberrant cr s shown are the averag	was performed using a qui imber of aberrant crypt for vaite method to approxima Different letters indicate the imparison among diet gro cases/total) for each dietar cases/total) for each dietar e each in the TWD, VMM as the average number of lon \pm SEM. e equation <i>Volume</i> = $\pi/6(u)$ ypt foci was calculated as ypt foci was calculated as	ast-likelihood logistic r ci and number of total (ate degrees of freedom (at the treatment groups ups are available in Tak ry group. Twenty mice (, and MM diet groups (tumors per tumor-bear the number of foci per the number of foci per	egression (SAS) genmod proced rypts were analyzed using a mi to account for apparent unequal are significantly different. <i>P</i> va le 7. were originally allocated to eac lied within 48 h of AOM inject ing animal normalized to the co in are the mean tumor volume (n animal bearing aberrant crypts SEM.	ure), while turnor multiplicity, xed model ANOVA (SAS variances in parameter dues of the differences of least and diet group for assessment of on due to the well-known lon length. Values shown are $mn^3) \pm SEM$.
[‡] The average colon length.	total number of aberra Values shown are the	int crypts was calculated a average number of total ci	as the number of total c rypts per mm colon ± S	rypts per animal bearing aberraı EM.	ıt crypts normalized to the

	Т	umor outcome	1	Aberrar	nt crypts
Comparison	Incidence	Multiplicity	Volume	Number foci	Total crypts
AIN93G vs. TWD	1.0000	0.0031	<0.0001	0.6413	1.0000
AIN93G vs. VMM	1.0000	<0.0001	0.0027	1.0000	1.0000
AIN93G vs. MM	1.0000	1.0000	0.0009	1.0000	1.0000
AIN93G vs. DIO	0.2982	0.1177	0.0220	1.0000	1.0000
TWD vs. VMM	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
TWD vs. MM	1.0000	<0.0001	<0.0001	1.0000	1.0000
TWD vs. DIO	0.0479	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.2880	0.1877
VMM vs. MM	1.0000	<0.0001	<0.0001	1.0000	1.0000
VMM vs. DIO	0.0479	<0.0001	<0.0001	1.0000	0.6011
MM vs. DIO	0.0479	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000

 TABLE 11. Comparisons among diet groups for colon tumorigenesis in mice initiated with AOM+DSS.

Note: Analysis of tumor incidence was performed using a quasi-likelihood logistic regression (SAS genmod procedure), while tumor multiplicity, tumor volume (log-transformed), number of aberrant crypt foci and number of crypts per foci were analyzed using a mixed model ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure) with the Satterthwaite method to approximate degrees of freedom to account for apparent unequal variances in parameter measurements among diet groups. *P* values of the differences of least squares means are shown for each pair-wise comparison among diet groups, and significant values are shown in bold.



FIGURE 11. Colon tumorigenesis in mice initiated with AOM+DSS. Tumor multiplicity (number of tumors for tumor-bearing animals normalized by the colon length) (*A*), tumor volume (calculated as $V = \pi/6$ ($L \times W \times D$) where *L* is length, *W* is width, and *D* is depth) (*B*), aberrant crypt foci (number of foci for mice with foci) (*C*), and total crypts (total number of aberrant crypts for animals with foci) (*D*) are represented in box-and-whisker plots (plus symbol indicates the mean for the treatment group, and whiskers are 1.5 times the interquartile range). Different letters indicate that treatment groups are significantly different as determined by ANOVA (SAS mixed procedure with Satterthwaite approximation to account for apparent unequal variances); Bonferroni-adjusted *P* values for multiple comparisons among diet groups are provided in Table 10.

DISCUSSION

We report for the first time that a newly devised diet that recapitulates U.S. nutrition patterns with respect to macro- and micronutrient content markedly enhanced colorectal carcinogenesis in a mouse model of inflammation-associated colon cancer compared to a basal diet optimized for rodent health. Moreover, we determined that the micronutrient components of the TWD were primarily responsible for increased cancer susceptibility in these mice. This study is the second from our group to show that this diet functions to promote colon cancer in mice. Previously, we showed that A/J mice initiated with AOM and fed TWD for 16 wk developed significantly more ACF and total crypt cells than mice fed the AIN93G diet (107). Interestingly, green tea supplementation (0.2% Polyphenon E) markedly reduced the accumulation of aberrant crypts in animals fed the TWD, but did not alter colon carcinogenesis in animals consuming AIN93G. These observations pointed to a complex, yet critical interaction between basal diet and the activity of a well-established anticancer agent.

In an effort to understand better the impact of this westernized diet on cancer susceptibility, we sought to determine the relative impacts of the macro- and micronutrient components of the TWD on colon cancer as well as on biomarkers of metabolic syndrome and obesity in comparison to the commercial 45% fat DIO diet. As expected, mice consuming the DIO diet acquired an obesity/metabolic syndrome phenotype typified by increased food energy intake, greater rate of body weight gain, increased proportion of body composition as fat mass, higher fasting glucose, impaired glucose tolerance, and higher circulating levels of leptin. However, the TWD did not significantly alter any of these classic biomarkers of metabolic health, a somewhat surprising observation considering that this diet contains substantially more fat than the AIN93G diet (35 compared to 17 percent of total kcal, respectively). Furthermore, our observations also suggest that the DIO diet does not enhance colon cancer in the AOM+DSS model, a finding somewhat contrary to prior observations for ACF in rats (66, 108). While this later finding was admittedly unexpected, it points to the critical need to employ a diet model that represents typical human nutrition. Had the experiment design included only DIO diet as the model obesogenic Western diet, we could have easily (and erroneously) concluded that colorectal cancer risk in mice was unaffected by the Western nutrition pattern. Moreover, we would have missed the more remarkable discovery that inappropriate micronutrient consumption strongly increased colon tumorigenesis in this mouse model, as evidenced by highly similar results for the TWD and the VMM diets, both of which had vitamin and mineral content that reflects typical American nutrition. Modification of macronutrient content alone (*i.e.*, DIO and MM diets) did not increase colorectal carcinogenesis, an observation that also points to a critical role for micronutrients in promoting colorectal carcinogenesis in this pre-clinical mouse model.

Numerous studies have shown that consumption of a high fat diet promotes a gain in relative fat mass in a dose-dependent manner (109). The higher adiposity observed in animals fed either the MM or DIO diets reflected the relatively high fat content of these diets (34 and 45%, respectively). However, new evidence points to a role in the source of dietary fat for influencing fat deposition and subsequent impacts on parameters of metabolic health. Catta-Preta et al. (110) reported that C57BL/6J mice fed a diet containing 60% fat from lard or olive oil had higher levels of plasma insulin, resistin, and leptin, compared to mice fed diets with 60% sunflower oil or canola oil. Moreover, the ratio of subcutaneous to visceral fat was at least 40% smaller in animals fed the lard or olive oil diets compared to animals provided diets containing other lipid sources. The fat source for the DIO diet is primarily lard, whereas the fat content of the AIN93G and VMM diets is exclusively soybean oil. The MM and TWD diets incorporate a variety of fat sources relevant to typical Western nutrition, including soybean oil, milk fat, lard, olive oil, beef tallow, and corn oil. Interestingly, in this study, the ratio of subcutaneous to visceral fat was significantly higher in mice fed DIO compared to the reference AIN93G diet. However, we did not detect a difference in the fat type ratio between the DIO and MM diets, although these diets are not directly comparable due to their difference in total fat concentration. In another study by Huang et al. (111), higher levels of leptin and the pro-inflammatory hormones resistin, MCP-1 and CD192 were observed in C57BL/6J mice fed a 38% safflower oil diet rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids compared to isocaloric diets containing lard and milk fat. Our analysis of plasma hormones also included adiponectin, resistin, insulin, GIP, C-peptide, and IL-6. These hormones and cytokines, which are involved in energy balance and inflammation, are important biomarkers for systemic inflammation and colorectal cancer (19, 112). Unfortunately, the results of the hormone analysis for our study were limited because of the high variability in measurements among mice or because plasma concentrations of some hormones were below the assay limit of detection. However, blood plasma levels of leptin were closely correlated with body composition, as expected for this fat-derived hormone (113).

The consumption of a high fat diet is strongly linked to high rates of obesity due to increased energy intake, as opposed to greater food mass consumption (see review by 109). Observations from our study concur with this model, in that mice fed the DIO diet had significantly greater energy intake than their AIN93G-fed counterparts, yet lower food mass consumption. Importantly, these animals did not completely adjust their food consumption so that their energy intake was on par with animals consuming the reference diet. Moreover, this pattern was consistent throughout the study, indicating that DIO-fed mice did not adequately adapt over time to the high fat content of their diet. On the other hand, sham mice fed TWD consumed the least mass of food of any diet group, and this decrease was sufficient to normalize their total energy intake to that of the AIN93G-fed mice. As a consequence, mice fed the TWD did not gain excess weight nor develop biomarkers of obesity-induced metabolic syndrome. This difference in energy intake cannot be attributed solely to the modest 10% difference in fat content of these 2 diets, as sham mice fed the MM diet (matched to TWD for all macronutrients) had significantly greater food and energy intake compared to TWD-fed animals. That these observations were not entirely consistent for AOM+DSS-initiated mice could be attributed to competition among cage-mates or other behavior effects present in group-housed male mice.

The results of this study indicate that micronutrients contribute to colorectal carcinogenesis to a greater extent than do macronutrients in a mouse model of inflammation-associated colon cancer. For many endpoints evaluated, we observed that the data segregated into groups based on similarity in response: MM and DIO diets (with high fat the common feature); TWD and VMM diets (with modified vitamins and
minerals the common feature) and then the reference diet AIN93G. For endpoints associated with obesity and metabolic syndrome, significant effects were often observed for the MM and DIO diets, but not the others. The opposite pattern was evident for colon cancer outcome, with significant effects of diet apparent only for mice fed TWD or MM diets. Evidently, increased tumorigenesis in mice fed either of these diets was not linked to higher rates of obesity or metabolic syndrome. Because the TWD models the typical U.S. diet with respect to nearly all major micro- and macronutrient components, it is not possible to confidently single out one (or a few) constituents responsible for its tumor promoting effect. Nevertheless, our strategy is an important advance on prior studies that investigated the impact of only one or a select few components of the Western diet on colon cancer.

The nutrient density approach was previously employed by Newmark et al. (102) to construct a Western-type diet containing the levels of fat, phosphorous, calcium, and vitamin D observed in average American diets. Compared to the AIN76A diet, their Western diet contained higher levels of fat and phosphorous, and lower levels of calcium and vitamin D. C57BL/6J mice fed their Western diet promoted spontaneous hyper-proliferation and hyperplasia in colonic epithelium. In a subsequent study, this further modified this experimental diet by reducing levels of components critical for methyl donor generation, including folic acid, methionine, and vitamin B₁₂ (103). Consumption of this modified western diet led to higher rates of spontaneous colon adenoma and carcinoma development in normal mouse colon. Other number of other rodent studies using the AOM model of CRC point to a role for high fat diet in enhancing colorectal

carcinogenesis, even though the fat composition in these experiments varied widely (38, 63, 97, 114, 115).

Alternatively, the importance of various dietary micronutrients on CRC development has also been shown in a number of animal and human studies. The TWD contains low amounts of several essential micronutrients when compared to both the DIO diet and RDA values for humans (106). On an energy density basis, amounts of vitamins B₆, B₁₂, and folate are about 33 to 50% of the TWD compared to the AIN93G control diet, while calcium and vitamin D levels are approximately 60% lower.

As outlined in the introduction, evidence from human epidemiological studies and experiments in animal models points to a role for both calcium and vitamin D in modulation of CRC (116-118). Calcium functions to regulate cellular signaling, cell proliferation and cell growth, while vitamin D is critical for adequate uptake of calcium in the small intestine. A 24-wk study on Apc^{1638N/+} mice, which have a truncation on codon 1638 of the tumor suppressor Apc gene, found that feeding a modified AIN76A diet with half the content of vitamin D and 90% less calcium resulted in the formation of colonic adenomas and a carcinomas, and enhanced expression of cyclin D1 and antiapoptotic protein Bcl-2, which are commonly overexpressed in colorectal cancer (82). In a different study using this same diet fed to C57BL/6J mice over a period of 3 and 6 months, researchers identified important transcriptome changes associated with induction of the oxidative stress response pathway (119). Moreover, calcium and vitamin D were determined to be important regulators of bile acid synthesis and excretion. Vitamin D has been shown to be involved in the detoxification of bile acids through the regulation of fibroblast growth factor 15 (120) and the vitamin D receptor (121) in the intestine, while

calcium has been shown to increase fecal fatty acid excretion (122, 123). Bile acids contribute to colorectal carcinogenesis by increasing cellular oxidative stress, and they have been associated with the promotion of cell populations resistant to their apoptotic effects (124). Bile acids are the main pathway for cholesterol catabolism in mammals, and hence they are generally found in high levels in individuals that consume a high-fat diet (125). Thus, the combined impact of excess fat with low calcium and vitamin D content in the TWD could explain the promoting effect of this diet on colon tumorigenesis observed in this study.

Choline, folate, and vitamins B₆ and B₁₂ are essential in cellular biosynthetic pathways due to their roles as donors of methyl groups for one carbon-metabolism (84). These micronutrients are present in the AIN93G diet at substantially greater levels than the RDA value for humans, when compared on an energy density basis (106). Moreover, the TWD contains substantially lower levels of these micronutrients than are present in the AIN93G diet. A case-control study of subjects from the Multiethnic Cohort study found that people in the highest quartile for pyridoxal-5'-phosphate intake (active form of B₆) had a 48% reduction in CRC risk (126). A meta-analysis that included 7 cohortstudies and 9 case-control studies found a 25% lower risk of CRC among those in the highest category of dietary folate intake compared with those in the lowest category, while only a 5% lower risk was observed for total folate intake (including supplements) (85). An animal study using male Sprague-Dawley rats fed with either a diet with 50 μ g/kg diet of vitamin B₁₂ or a diet with no vitamin B₁₂ found that, after 10 wk of feeding, rats fed the deficient diet displayed a 35 percent decrease in genomic methylation and a 105 percent increase in base substitution of uracil. Although some studies have found

weak or no association between CRC risk or development and dietary B-vitamins (87, 89, 127), the supporting evidence points to a complex interaction between other nutrients in the diet. Also, the low levels of B-vitamins in the TWD could explain the promoting effect on tumorigenesis observed in animals fed this diet.

In conclusion, evidence from this work supports the idea that a rodent diet more representative of the diet consumed by the majority of Americans is necessary to appropriately evaluate colon cancer risk and to develop specific and effective prevention strategies. Diets that modeled Western nutrition with respect to macronutrient content only yielded a greatly different disease phenotype than did a diet that also took into account suboptimal micronutrient consumption. The health consequences of chronic low intakes of multiple micronutrients are not well understood and should be studied in the context of modern dietary patterns. To extend upon this work, our research group plans to investigate the impact of TWD using the well-established $APC^{Min/+}$ genetic mouse model of gastrointestinal carcinogenesis. Also, our group will continue to investigate molecular markers of colorectal carcinogenesis in colon mucosa obtained from sham and AOM+DSS-initiated mice from this project using global gene expression microarrays and immunohistochemistry. Finally, given the profound impact of the TWD on colon carcinogenesis and the mounting evidence for perinatal or transgenerational impacts of diet on cancer risk (128-135), we plan to investigate the impact of TWD provided via the maternal diet on risk of cancer to offspring.

LITERATURE CITED

- Alteri R, Bandi P, Brooks D, Cokkinides V, Doroshenk M, Gansler T, Graves K, Jacobs E, Kirkland D, Levin B, et al. Colorectal cancer facts & figures 2011-2013. American Cancer Society; 2011.
- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. CA-Cancer J Clin. 2011;61:69-90.
- Cordain L, Eaton SB, Sebastian A, Mann N, Lindeberg S, Watkins BA, O'Keefe JH, Brand-Miller J. Origins and evolution of the Western diet: health implications for the 21st century. Amer J Clin Nutr. 2005;81:341-54.
- Astrup A, Dyerberg J, Selleck M, Stender S. Nutrition transition and its relationship to the development of obesity and related chronic diseases. Obesity Rev. 2008;9:48-52.
- 5. Carrera-Bastos P, Fontes-Villalba M, O'Keefe J, Lindeberg S, Cordain L. The western diet and lifestyle and diseases of civilization. Res Rep Clin Oncol. 2011.
- Misra A, Singhal N, Khurana L. Obesity, the metabolic syndrome, and type 2 diabetes in developing countries: role of dietary fats and oils. J Am Coll Nutr. 2010;29:289S-301S.
- Muhidin SO, Magan AA, Osman KA, Syed S, Ahmed MH. The relationship between nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and colorectal cancer: the future challenges and outcomes of the metabolic syndrome. J Obesity. 2012;2012:637538.

- Ahmed RL, Schmitz KH, Anderson KE, Rosamond WD, Folsom AR. The metabolic syndrome and risk of incident colorectal cancer. Cancer. 2006;107:28-36.
- Moghaddam AA, Woodward M, Huxley R. Obesity and risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis of 31 Studies with 70,000 events. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2007;16:2533-47.
- GLOBOCAN 2008 v2.0. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: IARC
 CancerBase No. 10. [cited 2013]; Available from: http://globocan.iarc.fr
- Jasperson KW, Tuohy TM, Neklason DW, Burt RW. Hereditary and Familial Colon Cancer. Gastroenterology. 2010;138:2044-58.
- Lynch HT, de la Chapelle A. Hereditary colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2003;348:919-32.
- Bonadona V, Bonaiti B, Olschwang S, Grandjouan S, Huiart L, Longy M,
 Guimbaud R, Buecher B, Bignon YJ, Caron O, et al. Cancer risks associated with
 germline mutations in MLH1, MSH2, and MSH6 genes in Lynch syndrome.
 JAMA. 2011;305:2304-10.
- Half E, Bercovich D, Rozen P. Familial adenomatous polyposis. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2009;4:22.
- Markowitz SD, Bertagnolli MM. Molecular basis of colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2009;361:2449-60.
- Gammon A, Jasperson K, Kohlmann W, Burt RW. Hamartomatous polyposis syndromes. Best Pract Res Cl Ga. 2009;23:219-31.

- Fuchs CS, Giovannucci EL, Colditz GA, Hunter DJ, Speizer FE, Willett WC. A prospective study of family history and the risk of colorectal cancer. New Engl J Med. 1994;331:1669-74.
- 18. Winawer SJ, Zauber AG, Gerdes H, O'Brien MJ, Gottlieb LS, Sternberg SS, Bond JH, Waye JD, Schapiro M, Panish JF, et al. Risk of colorectal cancer in the families of patients with adenomatous polyps. N Engl J Med. 1996;334:82-7.
- Aleksandrova K, Nimptsch K, Pischon T. Influence of obesity and related metabolic alterations on colorectal cancer risk. Curr Nutr Rep. 2012;2:1-9.
- Frezza EE, Wachtel MS, Chiriva-Internati M. Influence of obesity on the risk of developing colon cancer. Gut. 2006;55:285-91.
- 21. Pais R, Silaghi H, Silaghi AC, Rusu ML, Dumitrascu DL. Metabolic syndrome and risk of subsequent colorectal cancer. World J Gastroentero. 2009;15:5141-8.
- Stocks T, Lukanova A, Johansson M, Rinaldi S, Palmqvist R, Hallmans G, Kaaks R, Stattin P. Components of the metabolic syndrome and colorectal cancer risk; a prospective study. Int J Obes. 2007;32:304-14.
- Larsson SC, Orsini N, Wolk A. Diabetes mellitus and risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2005;97:1679-87.
- 24. Jeon JY, Jeong DH, Park MG, Lee J-W, Chu SH, Park J-H, Lee MK, Sato K, Ligibel JA, Meyerhardt JA, et al. Impact of diabetes on oncologic outcome of colorectal cancer patients: colon vs. rectal cancer. PloS One. 2013;8:e55196.
- 25. Nilsen TIL, Vatten LJ. Prospective study of colorectal cancer risk and physical activity, diabetes, blood glucose and BMI: exploring the hyperinsulinaemia hypothesis. Br J Cancer. 2001;84:417-22.

- 26. Stadlmayr A, Aigner E, Steger B, Scharinger L, Lederer D, Mayr A, Strasser M, Brunner E, Heuberger A, Hohla F, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: an independent risk factor for colorectal neoplasia. J Intern Med. 2011;270:41-9.
- Wong VW-S, Wong GL-H, Tsang SW-C, Fan T, Chu WC-W, Woo J, Chan AW-H, Choi PC-L, Chim AM-L, Lau JY-W, et al. High prevalence of colorectal neoplasm in patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. Gut. 2011;60:829-36.
- Giovannucci E. Metabolic syndrome, hyperinsulinemia, and colon cancer: a review. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;86:836S-42S.
- Samad AKA, Taylor RS, Marshall T, Chapman MaS. A meta-analysis of the association of physical activity with reduced risk of colorectal cancer. Colorectal Dis. 2005;7:204-13.
- 30. Meyerhardt JA, Niedzwiecki D, Hollis D, Saltz LB, Hu FB, Mayer RJ, Nelson H, Whittom R, Hantel A, Thomas J, et al. Association of dietary patterns with cancer recurrence and survival in patients with stage III colon cancer. JAMA. 2007;298:754-64.
- 31. Haskell WL, Lee I, Pate RR, Powell KE, Blair SN, Franklin BA, Macera CA, Heath GW, Thompson PD, Bauman A. Physical activity and public health: updated recommendation for adults from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2007;116:1081-93.
- Rosenberg DW, Giardina C, Tanaka T. Mouse models for the study of colon carcinogenesis. Carcinogenesis. 2009;30:183-96.
- McCart AE, Vickaryous NK, Silver A. Apc mice: models, modifiers and mutants.
 Path Res Pract. 2008;204:479-90.

- Fearnhead NS, Britton MP, Bodmer WF. The ABC of APC. Hum Mol Genet. 2001;10:721-33.
- 35. Sohn OS, Fiala ES, Requeijo SP, Weisburger JH, Gonzalez FJ. Differential effects of CYP2E1 status on the metabolic activation of the colon carcinogens azoxymethane and methylazoxymethanol. Cancer Res. 2001;61:8435-40.
- Chen J, Huang X-F. The signal pathways in azoxymethane-induced colon cancer and preventive implications. Cancer Biol Ther. 2009;8:1313-7.
- 37. Takahashi M, Wakabayashi K. Gene mutations and altered gene expression in azoxymethane-induced colon carcinogenesis in rodents. Cancer Sci. 2004;95:475-80.
- 38. Fujise T, Iwakiri R, Kakimoto T, Shiraishi R, Sakata Y, Wu B, Tsunada S, Ootani A, Fujimoto K. Long-term feeding of various fat diets modulates azoxymethaneinduced colon carcinogenesis through Wnt/β-catenin signaling in rats. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2007;292:G1150-G6.
- O'Toole SM, Pegg AE, Swenberg JA. Repair of O⁶-methylguanine and O⁴-methylthymidine in F344 rat liver following treatment with 1,2-dimethylhydrazine and O⁶-benzylguanine. Cancer Res. 1993;53:3895-8.
- 40. Guda K, Claffey KP, Dong M, Nambiar PR, Rosenberg DW. Defective processing of the transforming growth factor-beta1 in azoxymethane-induced mouse colon tumors. Mol Carcinog. 2003;37:51-9.
- 41. Guda K, Giardina C, Nambiar P, Cui H, Rosenberg DW. Aberrant transforming growth factor-beta signaling in azoxymethane-induced mouse colon tumors. Mol Carcinog. 2001;31:204-13.

- 42. Bissahoyo A, Pearsall RS, Hanlon K, Amann V, Hicks D, Godfrey VL, Threadgill DW. Azoxymethane is a genetic background-dependent colorectal tumor initiator and promoter in mice: effects of dose, route, and diet. Toxicol Sci. 2005;88:340-5.
- Papanikolaou A, Wang QS, Delker DA, Rosenberg DW. Azoxymethane-induced colon tumors and aberrant crypt foci in mice of different genetic susceptibility.
 Cancer Lett. 1998;130:29-34.
- 44. Bernstein CN, Blanchard JF, Kliewer E, Wajda A. Cancer risk in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a population-based study. Cancer. 2001;91:854-62.
- Canavan C, Abrams KR, Mayberry J. Meta-analysis: colorectal and small bowel cancer risk in patients with Crohn's disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2006;23:1097-104.
- 46. Munkholm P. Review article: the incidence and prevalence of colorectal cancer in inflammatory bowel disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2003;18 Suppl 2:1-5.
- Mantovani A, Allavena P, Sica A, Balkwill F. Cancer-related inflammation. Nature. 2008;454:436-44.
- 48. Xavier RJ, Podolsky DK. Unravelling the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. Nature. 2007;448:427-34.
- 49. Triantafillidis JK, Nasioulas G, Kosmidis PA. Colorectal cancer and inflammatory bowel disease: epidemiology, risk factors, mechanisms of carcinogenesis and prevention strategies. Anticancer Res. 2009;29:2727-37.
- 50. Tanaka T, Kohno H, Suzuki R, Yamada Y, Sugie S, Mori H. A novel inflammation-related mouse colon carcinogenesis model induced by azoxymethane and dextran sodium sulfate. Cancer Sci. 2003;94:965-73.

- 51. Clapper ML, Cooper HS, Chang WC. Dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitisassociated neoplasia: a promising model for the development of chemopreventive interventions. Acta Pharmacol Sin. 2007;28:1450-9.
- Chao A TMJ. Meat consumption and risk of colorectal cancer. JAMA. 2005;293:172-82.
- 53. Giovannucci E, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Ascherio A, Willett WC. Intake of fat, meat, and fiber in relation to risk of colon cancer in men. Cancer Res. 1994;54:2390-7.
- 54. Norat T, Bingham S, Ferrari P, Slimani N, Jenab M, Mazuir M, Overvad K, Olsen A, Tjønneland A, Clavel F, et al. Meat, fish, and colorectal cancer risk: the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2005;97:906-16.
- 55. Bingham SA, Pignatelli B, Pollock JRA, Ellul A, Malaveille C, Gross G, Runswick S, Cummings JH, O'Neill IK. Does increased endogenous formation of N-nitroso compounds in the human colon explain the association between red meat and colon cancer? Carcinogenesis. 1996;17:515-23.
- 56. Key TJ, Schatzkin A, Willett WC, Allen NE, Spencer EA, Travis RC. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of cancer. Public Health Nutr. 2004;7:187-200.
- Sesink ALA, Termont DSML, Kleibeuker JH, Meer RVd. Red meat and colon cancer the cytotoxic and hyperproliferative effects of dietary heme. Cancer Res. 1999;59:5704-9.

- 58. Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Rosner BA, Speizer FE. Relation of meat, fat, and fiber intake to the risk of colon cancer in a prospective study among women. N Engl J Med. 1990;323:1664-72.
- 59. Lin J, Zhang SM, Cook NR, Lee IM, Buring JE. Dietary fat and fatty acids and risk of colorectal cancer in women. Am J Epidemiol. 2004;160:1011-22.
- 60. Howe GR, Aronson KJ, Benito E, Castelleto R, Cornée J, Duffy S, Gallagher RP, Iscovich JM, Deng-ao J, Kaaks R, et al. The relationship between dietary fat intake and risk of colorectal cancer: evidence from the combined analysis of 13 case-control studies. Cancer Cause Control. 1997;8:215-28.
- 61. Aslam MN, Paruchuri T, Bhagavathula N, Varani J. A mineral-rich red rlgae extract enhibits polyp formation and inflammation in the gastrointestinal tract of mice on a high-fat diet. Integr Cancer Ther. 2010;9:93-9.
- 62. Ding S, Chi MM, Scull BP, Rigby R, Schwerbrock NMJ, Magness S, Jobin C, Lund PK. High-fat diet: bacteria interactions promote intestinal inflammation which precedes and correlates with obesity and insulin resistance in mouse. PLoS One. 2010;5:e12191.
- 63. Kim K-A, Gu W, Lee I-A, Joh E-H, Kim D-H. High fat diet-induced gut microbiota exacerbates inflammation and obesity in mice via the TLR4 signaling pathway. PLoS ONE. 2012;7:e47713.
- 64. Dommels YE, Heemskerk S, van den Berg H, Alink GM, van Bladeren PJ, van Ommen B. Effects of high fat fish oil and high fat corn oil diets on initiation of AOM-induced colonic aberrant crypt foci in male F344 rats. Food Chem Toxicol. 2003;41:1739-47.

- 65. Reddy BS, Maeura Y. Tumor promotion by dietary fat in azoxymethane-induced colon carcinogenesis in female F344 rats: influence of amount and source of dietary fat. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1984;72:745-50.
- Bull AW, Soullier BK, Wilson PS, Hayden MT, Nigro ND. Promotion of azoxymethane-induced intestinal cancer by high-fat diet in rats. Cancer Res. 1979;39:4956-9.
- 67. Cho Y, Turner ND, Davidson LA, Chapkin RS, Carroll RJ, Lupton JR. A chemoprotective fish oil/pectin diet enhances apoptosis via Bcl-2 promoter methylation in rat azoxymethane-induced carcinomas. Exp Biol Med (Maywood). 2012;237:1387-93.
- 68. van Beelen VA, Spenkelink B, Mooibroek H, Sijtsma L, Bosch D, Rietjens IMCM, Alink GM. An n-3 PUFA-rich microalgal oil diet protects to a similar extent as a fish oil-rich diet against AOM-induced colonic aberrant crypt foci in F344 rats. Food Chem Toxicol. 2009;47:316-20.
- 69. Williams D, Verghese M, Walker LT, Boateng J, Shackelford L, Chawan CB.
 Flax seed oil and flax seed meal reduce the formation of aberrant crypt foci (ACF) in azoxymethane-induced colon cancer in Fisher 344 male rats. Food Chem Toxicol. 2007;45:153-9.
- Bommareddy A, Zhang X, Schrader D, Kaushik RS, Zeman D, Matthees DP, Dwivedi C. Effects of dietary flaxseed on intestinal tumorigenesis in Apc min mouse. Nutr Cancer. 2009;61:276-83.

- Oguntibeju OO, Truter EJ, Esterhuyse AJ. The role of fruit and vegetable
 consumption in human health and disease prevention. In: Oguntibeju O, editor.
 Diabetes Mellitus Insights and Perspectives: InTech; 2013.
- 72. Michels KB, Edward G, Joshipura KJ, Rosner BA, Stampfer MJ, Fuchs CS, Colditz GA, Speizer FE, Willett WC. Prospective study of fruit and vegetable consumption and incidence of colon and rectal cancers. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2000;92:1740-52.
- 73. Park Y HDJ. Dietary fiber intake and risk of colorectal cancer: a pooled analysis of prospective cohort studies. JAMA. 2005;294:2849-57.
- McCullough ML, Robertson AS, Chao A, Jacobs EJ, Stampfer MJ, Jacobs DR,
 Diver WR, Calle EE, Thun MJ. A prospective study of whole grains, fruits,
 vegetables and colon cancer risk. Cancer Cause Control. 2003;14:959-70.
- 75. Koushik A, Hunter DJ, Spiegelman D, Beeson WL, Brandt PAvd, Buring JE, Calle EE, Cho E, Fraser GE, Freudenheim JL, et al. Fruits, vegetables, and colon cancer risk in a pooled analysis of 14 cohort studies. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2007;99:1471-83.
- 76. Wirfalt E, Midthune D, Reedy J, Mitrou P, Flood A, Subar AF, Leitzmann M, Mouw T, Hollenbeck AR, Schatzkin A, et al. Associations between food patterns defined by cluster analysis and colorectal cancer incidence in the NIH-AARP diet and health study. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2009;63:707-17.
- 77. Calvo MS, Whiting SJ, Barton CN. Vitamin D fortification in the United States and Canada: current status and data needs. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;80:1710s-6s.

- 78. Feskanich D, Ma J, Fuchs CS, Kirkner GJ, Hankinson SE, Hollis BW, Giovannucci EL. Plasma vitamin D metabolites and risk of colorectal cancer in women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2004;13:1502-8.
- 79. Lappe JM, Travers-Gustafson D, Davies KM, Recker RR, Heaney RP. Vitamin D and calcium supplementation reduces cancer risk: results of a randomized trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;85:1586-91.
- Park S-Y, Murphy SP, Wilkens LR, Nomura AMY, Henderson BE, Kolonel LN.
 Calcium and vitamin D intake and risk of colorectal cancer: the multiethnic cohort study. Am J Epidemiol. 2007;165:784-93.
- 81. Newmark HL, Yang K, Kurihara N, Fan K, Augenlicht LH, Lipkin M. Westernstyle diet-induced colonic tumors and their modulation by calcium and vitamin D in C57Bl/6 mice: a preclinical model for human sporadic colon cancer. Carcinogenesis. 2009;30:88-92.
- 82. Yang K, Lamprecht SA, Shinozaki H, Fan K, Yang W, Newmark HL, Kopelovich L, Edelmann W, Jin B, Gravaghi C, et al. Dietary calcium and cholecalciferol modulate cyclin D1 expression, apoptosis, and tumorigenesis in intestine of adenomatous polyposis coli1638n/+ mice. J Nutr. 2008;138:1658-63.
- Depeint F, Bruce WR, Shangari N, Mehta R, O'Brien PJ. Mitochondrial function and toxicity: role of B vitamins on the one-carbon transfer pathways. Chem Biol Interact. 2006;163:113-32.
- Niculescu MD, Zeisel SH. Diet, methyl donors and DNA methylation: interactions between dietary folate, methionine and choline. J Nutr. 2002;132:2333S-5S.

- 85. Sanjoaquin MA, Allen N, Couto E, Roddam AW, Key TJ. Folate intake and colorectal cancer risk: a meta-analytical approach. Int J Cancer. 2005;113:825-8.
- 86. Kennedy DA, Stern SJ, Moretti M, Matok I, Sarkar M, Nickel C, Koren G. Folate intake and the risk of colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cancer Epidemiol. 2011;35:2-10.
- Lindzon GM, Medline A, Sohn K-J, Depeint F, Croxford R, Kim Y-I. Effect of folic acid supplementation on the progression of colorectal aberrant crypt foci. Carcinogenesis. 2009;30:1536-43.
- 88. Macfarlane AJ, Behan NA, Matias FM, Green J, Caldwell D, Brooks SP. Dietary folate does not significantly affect the intestinal microbiome, inflammation or tumorigenesis in azoxymethane-dextran sodium sulphate-treated mice. Br J Nutr. 2012:1-9.
- Je Y, Lee JE, Ma J, Zhang X, Cho E, Rosner B, Selhub J, Fuchs CS, Meyerhardt J, Giovannucci E. Prediagnostic plasma vitamin B6 (pyridoxal 5'-phosphate) and survival in patients with colorectal cancer. Cancer Cause Control. 2013;24:719-29.
- 90. Larsson SC, Orsini N, Wolk A. Vitamin B6 and risk of colorectal cancer: a metaanalysis of prospective studies. JAMA. 2010;303:1077-83.
- 91. Komatsu SI, Watanabe H, Oka T, Tsuge H, Nii H, Kato N. Vitamin B-6supplemented diets compared with a low vitamin B-6 diet suppress azoxymethane-induced colon tumorigenesis in mice by reducing cell proliferation. J Nutr. 2001;131:2204-7.

- 92. Dahlin AM, Van Guelpen B, Hultdin J, Johansson I, Hallmans G, Palmqvist R. Plasma vitamin B12 concentrations and the risk of colorectal cancer: a nested case-referent study. Int J Cancer. 2008;122:2057-61.
- 93. Choi S-W, Friso S, Ghandour H, Bagley PJ, Selhub J, Mason JB. Vitamin B-12 deficiency induces anomalies of base substitution and methylation in the DNA of rat colonic epithelium. J Nutr. 2004;134:750-5.
- 94. Song Y, Manson JE, Lee IM, Cook NR, Paul L, Selhub J, Giovannucci E, Zhang SM. Effect of combined folic acid, vitamin B6, and vitamin B12 on colorectal adenoma. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2012;104:1562-75.
- 95. Wang Z, Joshi AM, Ohnaka K, Morita M, Toyomura K, Kono S, Ueki T, Tanaka M, Kakeji Y, Maehara Y, et al. Dietary intakes of retinol, carotenes, vitamin C, and vitamin E and colorectal cancer risk: the Fukuoka colorectal cancer study. Nutr Cancer. 2012;64:798-805.
- 96. Williams CD, Satia JA, Adair LS, Stevens J, Galanko J, Keku TO, Sandler RS. Antioxidant and DNA methylation-related nutrients and risk of distal colorectal cancer. Cancer Cause Control. 2010;21:1171-81.
- 97. Park EY, Pinali D, Lindley K, Lane MA. Hepatic vitamin a preloading reduces colorectal cancer metastatic multiplicity in a mouse xenograft model. Nutr Cancer. 2012;64:732-40.
- 98. Bashir O, FitzGerald AJ, Goodlad RA. Both suboptimal and elevated vitamin intake increase intestinal neoplasia and alter crypt fission in the ApcMin/+ mouse. Carcinogenesis. 2004;25:1507-15.

- 99. Reeves PG, Nielsen FH, Fahey GC, Jr. AIN-93 purified diets for laboratory rodents: final report of the American Institute of Nutrition ad hoc writing committee on the reformulation of the AIN-76A rodent diet. J Nutr. 1993;123:1939-51.
- Rothwell NJ, Stock MJ. The cafeteria diet as a tool for studies of thermogenesis. J Nutr. 1988;118:925-8.
- Moore BJ. The cafeteria diet--an inappropriate tool for studies of thermogenesis. J Nutr. 1987;117:227-31.
- 102. Newmark HL, Lipkin M, Maheshwari N. Colonic hyperplasia and hyperproliferation induced by a nutritional stress diet with four components of western-style diet. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1990;82:491-6.
- 103. Newmark HL, Yang K, Lipkin M, Kopelovich L, Liu Y, Fan K, Shinozaki H. A Western-style diet induces benign and malignant neoplasms in the colon of normal C57Bl/6 mice. Carcinogenesis. 2001;22:1871-5.
- Harlan-Teklad Laboratories. Teklad custom research diets: diet induced obesity.[cited 2013]; Available from: http://www.harlan.com
- Jawien J, Nastalek P, Korbut R. Mouse models of experimental atherosclerosis. J Physiol Pharmacol. 2004;55:503-17.
- 106. Hintze KJ, Benninghoff AD, Ward RE. Formulation of the total western diet (TWD) as a basal diet for rodent cancer studies. J Agric Food Chem. 2012;60:6736-42.

- Hintze KJ, Benninghoff AD, Ward RE. Total Western Diet (TWD) increases
 azoxymethane induced aberrant crypt foci (ACF) compared to the AIN93G diet.
 Agricultural and Food Chemistry Division; 2012 August 21; Philadelphia, PA.
- 108. Morotomi M, Sakaitani Y, Satou M, Takahashi T, Takagi A, Onoue M. Effects of a high-fat diet on azoxymethane-induced aberrant crypt foci and fecal biochemistry and microbial activity in rats. Nutr Cancer. 1997;27:84-91.
- Hariri N, Thibault L. High-fat diet-induced obesity in animal models. Nutr Res Rev. 2010;23:270-99.
- 110. Catta-Preta M, Martins MA, Cunha Brunini TM, Mendes-Ribeiro AC, Mandarimde-Lacerda CA, Aguila MB. Modulation of cytokines, resistin, and distribution of adipose tissue in C57BL/6 mice by different high-fat diets. Nutrition. 2012;28:212-9.
- Huang EY, Leone VA, Devkota S, Wang Y, Brady MJ, Chang EB. Composition of dietary fat source shapes gut microbiota architecture and alters host inflammatory mediators in mouse adipose tissue. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 2013.
- 112. Hsieh J, Hayashi AA, Webb J, Adeli K. Postprandial dyslipidemia in insulin resistance: Mechanisms and role of intestinal insulin sensitivity. Atherosclerosis Supp. 2008;9:7-13.
- Adamczak M, Wiecek A. The adipose tissue as an endocrine organ. Semin Nephrol. 2013;33:2-13.
- Sikalidis AK, Fitch MD, Fleming SE. Diet induced obesity increases the risk of colonic tumorigenesis in mice. Patho Oncol Res. 2013:1-10.

- 115. Rao CV, Hirose Y, Indranie C, Reddy BS. Modulation of experimental colon tumorigenesis by types and amounts of dietary fatty acids. Cancer Res. 2001;61:1927-33.
- 116. Kune G, Watson L. Colorectal cancer protective effects and the dietary micronutrients folate, methionine, vitamins B6, B12, C, E, selenium, and lycopene. Nutr Cancer. 2006;56:11-21.
- 117. Hara A, Sasazuki S, Inoue M, Iwasaki M, Shimazu T, Sawada N, Yamaji T,
 Takachi R, Tsugane S. Zinc and heme iron intakes and risk of colorectal cancer: a population-based prospective cohort study in Japan. Am J Clin Nutr.
 2012;96:864-73.
- 118. Gupta D, Lis CG, Granick J, Grutsch JF, Vashi PG, Lammersfeld CA.
 Malnutrition was associated with poor quality of life in colorectal cancer: a retrospective analysis. J Clin Epidemiol. 2006;59:704-9.
- Erdelyi I, Levenkova N, Lin EY, Pinto JT, Lipkin M, Quimby FW, Holt PR.
 Western-style diets induce oxidative stress and dysregulate immune responses in the colon in a mouse model of sporadic colon cancer. J Nutr. 2009;139:2072-8.
- Schmidt DR, Holmstrom SR, Fon Tacer K, Bookout AL, Kliewer SA,Mangelsdorf DJ. Regulation of bile acid synthesis by fat-soluble vitamins A andD. J Biol Chem. 2010;285:14486-94.
- Makishima M, Lu TT, Xie W, Whitfield GK, Domoto H, Evans RM, Haussler
 MR, Mangelsdorf DJ. Vitamin D receptor as an intestinal bile acid sensor.
 Science. 2002;296:1313-6.

- 122. Lapre JA, De Vries HT, Van der Meer R. Cytotoxicity of fecal water is dependent on the type of dietary fat and is reduced by supplemental calcium phosphate in rats. J Nutr. 1993;123:578-85.
- 123. Govers MJ, Van der Meet R. Effects of dietary calcium and phosphate on the intestinal interactions between calcium, phosphate, fatty acids, and bile acids. Gut. 1993;34:365-70.
- 124. Payne CM, Bernstein C, Dvorak K, Bernstein H. Hydrophobic bile acids, genomic instability, Darwinian selection, and colon carcinogenesis. Clin Exp Gastroenterol. 2008;1:19-47.
- 125. Barrasa JI, Olmo N, Lizarbe MA, Turnay J. Bile acids in the colon, from healthy to cytotoxic molecules. Toxicol In Vitro. 2013;27:964-77.
- 126. Marchand LL, White KK, Nomura AMY, Wilkens LR, Selhub JS, Tiirikainen M, Goodman MT, Murphy SP, Henderson BE, Kolonel LN. Plasma levels of B vitamins and colorectal cancer risk: the multiethnic cohort study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2009;18:2195-201.
- 127. Otani T, Iwasaki M, Hanaoka T, Kobayashi M, Ishihara J, Natsukawa S, Shaura K, Koizumi Y, Kasuga Y, Yoshimura K, et al. Folate, vitamin B6, vitamin B12, and vitamin B2 intake, genetic polymorphisms of related enzymes, and risk of colorectal cancer in a hospital-based case-control study in Japan. Nutr Cancer. 2005;53:42-50.
- 128. vel Szic KS, Ndlovu MN, Haegeman G, Vanden Berghe W. Nature or nurture: let food be your epigenetic medicine in chronic inflammatory disorders. Biochem Pharmacol. 2010;80:1816-32.

- Kim KC, Friso S, Choi SW. DNA methylation, an epigenetic mechanism connecting folate to healthy embryonic development and aging. J Nutr Biochem. 2009;20:917-26.
- Hilakivi-Clarke L, de Assis S. Fetal origins of breast cancer. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2006;17:340-8.
- Stover PJ, Garza C. Nutrition and developmental biology--implications for public health. Nutr Rev. 2006;64:S60-71; discussion S2-91.
- 132. Dolinoy DC, Weidman JR, Waterland RA, Jirtle RL. Maternal genistein alters coat color and protects Avy mouse offspring from obesity by modifying the fetal epigenome. Environ Health Perspect. 2006;114:567-72.
- 133. Jensen CD, Block G, Buffler P, Ma X, Selvin S, Month S. Maternal dietary risk factors in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia (United States). Cancer Cause Control. 2004;15:559-70.
- Walker BE, Kurth LA. Multigenerational effects of dietary fat carcinogenesis in mice. Cancer Res. 1997;57:4162-3.
- 135. Gallou-Kabani C, Junien C. Nutritional epigenomics of metabolic syndrome: new perspective against the epidemic. Diabetes. 2005;54:1899-906.