CURRENT AND EMERGING TECHNOLOGY FOR POWERING SMALL SATELLITES WITH SECONDARY CELLS AND BATTERIES

G. C. Klein and D. F. Schmidt Gates Aerospace Batteries Gainesville, Florida

Abstract

Gates Aerospace Batteries [GAB] is currently participating in the full range of small and large satellites with a variety of products from three electrochemical technologies of NiCd, NiMH and NiH₂ In this presentation we discuss the role of small capacity cells [nominally 17 A-H and less] from those three electrochemical technologies. NiCd is of course the oldest technology. GAB's Survivability Database contains a total of 117 spacecraft with approximately 644 Total Mission Years of successful NiCd performance without a recorded failure. We continue to update this technology with improved plate designs and non-nylon separators to improve both performance and survivability. Nickel-metal hydride [NiMH] is one emerging technology that appears amenable for powering small spacecraft. In this technology, we literally replace the cadmium or negative electrode from NiCd technology with the metal-hydride electrode to form this new electrochemical couple. NiMH technology provides a reduction in both weight and volume as compared to NiCd technology. NiH₂ Planar Technology is another emerging technology that appears amenable for powering small spacecraft. In this technology, GAB has combined the superior capability of the nickel-hydrogen electrochemistry with the simplicity and design heritage of the mature NiCd product line. Again, GAB's success with this technology is based upon the expertise and heritage of the NiCd and NiH₂ programs.

1.0 Introduction

Gates Aerospace Batteries has participated on flight programs for over twenty-five years. This includes Nickel-Cadmium cells and Nickel-Hydrogen cells in more than three hundred satellites either launched or in the planning. This overview will demonstrate our commitment to the quality and reliability of these designs, as well as extending our capability with two emerging technologies.

Gates has demonstrated its capability to produce very reliable pressure vessel and packaging designs featuring the truly hermetic Ceramic-Metal Seal. This seal is used in the four technologies that Gates Aerospace Batteries is currently manufacturing, three of which are discussed in this paper.

This paper summarizes Gates' position on cell and battery technologies to support the emerging small satellite market. Accordingly, following discussions center the upon capabilities in the nominally smaller cells of 17 A-H capacity and below. The approach taken is to describe the technology and its current status, and then any further advancements that are being developed. Necessarily, this overview is generic in nature and references many sensitive and proprietary documents that can be supplied in a non-competitive environment.

2.0 NiCd Technology

Nickel-Cadmium [NiCd] Technology is alive and well at Gates Aerospace Batteries [GAB]. GAB is committed to both the existing mature NiCd designs as well as advanced NiCd designs using improved electrodes and separators.

NiCd is of course the oldest technology. We continue to update this technology with improved plate designs and non-nylon separators to improve both performance and survivability. We continue to perform basic Reliability Analyses and Assessments to support this technology. A short listing of NiCd design examples is shown in Figure 1. A typical NiCd battery is also shown.

Government and industry environmental concern with cadmium metal has already been resolved and we expect NiCd technology to be a viable contender for powering spacecraft for sometime. Our current production commitments include 12A-H cells for the Space Systems/LORAL GOES Program wherein Flight M is scheduled for delivery as late as 2001.

Gates' Survivability Database

GAB's Survivability Database contains many superlatives expressing the heritage and maturity of this technology and the product line¹.

For 6 A-H capacity and below, Gates, and its direct predecessor General Electric, have accumulated approximately 338 Total Mission Years of successful NiCd performance on 66 spacecraft without a recorded failure. For 17 A-H capacity and below, 117 spacecraft have accumulated approximately 644 Total Mission Years of successful performance without a recorded failure. This 644 Total Mission Years is equivalent to: 259 LEO Mission Years,
385 Total Mission Years,
0.23 million Total Mission Days,
5.64 million Total Mission Hours, or
248 million Total Cell Hours.

Assume that five years in LEO environment [29,200 cycles] is a typical mission lifetime requirement. Then several superlatives can be shown. First, 24 of the LEO missions analyzed were operated beyond that benchmark including one mission for 22 years. Second, testing of a four-cell pack of 26 A-H cells achieved 11.7 years [68,110 cycles] last year in a LEO test regime. This cell pack was under test at Crane-NSWC at 10 °C and 20% DOD.

Reliability Analyses and Assessments

Gates continues to perform and update a variety of generic and program Reliability Analyses and Assessments. Predominantly, these include the aforementioned numerical analysis of survivability, and then the FMECA and FTA. These analyses are still important even at this mature stage of development as we adopt Concurrent Engineering and other Total Quality Management techniques. The knowledge gained here allows us to resolve potential problems in a parallel fashion rather than encountering each problem as a serial tollgate.

Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis

The Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis [FMECA] involves the listing of potential failure modes, their cause, and their effect upon the components, sub-systems, and systems. Another measure incorporated is the criticality of the failure mode as regards personnel safety, mission success, system performance degradation, etc. This FMECA is a "bottoms-up" analysis of the product design characteristics relative to the planned fabrication, test, and inspection process to ensure that the resultant product meets the intended need, expectation and performance goals. The latest NiCd FMECA was performed in conjunction with a baseline assessment for Nickel-Metal Hydride activities. This occurred during the recent transfer of this NiMH technology from R & D to full scale production.

Fault Tree Analysis

Fault Tree Analysis [FTA] is the process of reviewing and analytically examining a system or equipment in such a way as to emphasize the lower-level fault occurrences which directly or indirectly contribute to the major fault or undesired event. The value of the FTA is that by developing the lower-level failure mechanisms necessary to realize higher level occurrence, a total overview of the system is achieved. This is considered to be a "top-down" approach by which each level of fault is expanded to its required input occurrence until a primary occurrence is defined. A NiCd FTA was completed in December 1992 and contains fifteen years of lessons learned². Accordingly, this FTA is proprietary.

3.0 NiMH Technology

Nickel-metal hydride [NiMH] is one emerging technology that appears amenable for powering small spacecraft. In this technology, we literally replace the cadmium or negative electrode from NiCd technology with the metal-hydride electrode to form this new electrochemical couple. NiMH technology provides a significant reduction in both weight and volume as compared to NiCd and NiH, technology as shown in Figure 2. Expected cycle life may be equal to or greater than NiCd for certain applications, as also shown by Figure 2. GAB's success with this technology is based upon the tremendous expertise and heritage of the mature NiCd flight programs. This experience together with a full complement of preliminary reliability analyses and assessments will virtually guarantee a successful transition from NiCd to NiMH for those applications demanding decreased weight and volume. A typical NiMH battery configuration is identical to that NiCd battery shown in Figure 1.

In-House Test Program

A first generation cell design has been selected based on the many cell designs that have been subjected to a standard LEO life test cycle of 50% DOD and 23 °C.

Figure 3 shows a tabulation of the fifty-five (55) cells in the GAB test program. Twenty-five (25) cells are continuing the life test.

Additional cells are being added to the program on a periodic basis in order to validate new design parameters. Cell test data, predominantly LEO life cycle testing, has been reported at various customer meetings, the NASA Battery Workshop, the AF Space Power Workshop⁶, and in GAB's NiMH Product Information binder.

The cells built for the test program have involved a great number of design variables such as positive electrode types and impregnation, AB_2 and AB_5 negative electrodes, electrode processing and treatments, and at least 6 different separators. Each group of cells shown in Figure 3 contains one or two cells built with the same design variable.

Life cycling for all cells in the table were performed in a 90 minute simulation regime of 35 minutes discharge and 55 minutes charge using an integrator controlled cycler. Each cell is monitored using a FLUKE scanning multimeter interfaced to a PC based data collection system. Cell pressures are monitored by direct reading of gauges (Ashcroft A1S1) attached to the cells. Pressure data is manually entered into the correct data file. The specific test conditions are shown on Figure 3. (nameplate) and room temperature, nominally at 23 °C. A 50% DOD level has been shown in previous testing of NiCd and NiH₂ cells to be sufficiently robust to develop meaningful performance data within a reasonable period of time. The conditions of test were held constant throughout the cells development program so that changes in performance could be meaningfully measured.

Three sets of data are presented graphically. The first group is the 6 A-H (AP6:#1-6) cells put on test in October 1991. The last cell #5 was terminated after 6600 cycles in November 1992. The second set of data represents GAB's 1st Generation Cell Design. Cells AP7: #8 and #9 are performing well after 6200 cycles. A third cell design designated AP7:#16 is a more recent design that differs in voltage and pressure characteristics from either the Early or 1st Generation cell designs. These three cell groups are compared on four curves of EOCV, EODV, MPV, and EOCP versus cycle life. These four curves in Figure 4 indicate improvements in:

EOCV (end of charge voltage) vs Cycle life EODV (end of discharge voltage) vs Cycle life MPV (mid point voltage) vs Cycle life EOCP (end of charge pressure) vs Cycle life

Thus far the 1st Generation Cell Design is an excellent choice. Its voltage and pressure characteristics are relatively stable under such a robust test regime and is continuing on cycle life at this time. While AP7: #16 provides the lowest pressure, stabilization of EODV is still uncertain.

The internal design parameters of these cell designs is not being disclosed at this time in view of license agreements, competitive pressures, and GAB ownership interests that could have an impact on future sales and profits.

Reliability Analyses and Assessments

Gates has recently performed a variety of generic Reliability Analyses and Assessments on the NiMH cell. These include the DTO, FMECA, and FTA. These analyses are especially critical at this stage of development as we transition from R & D to full scale production. Again, the knowledge gained here allows us to resolve potential problems in a parallel fashion rather than encountering each problem as a serial tollgate.

Design Trade-Off [DTO]

The Design Trade-Off has become the Baseline Reliability Assessment⁵. This assessment demonstrated the close similarity that exists between the NiCd and NiMH technologies. The trade-off draws from these two technologies and develops an assessment of characteristic differences. From then on, analyses of both the primary and secondary effects are provided. The trade-off is categorized into specific areas including: differences in the electrochemical couples; and, differences in the cell-to-battery interface for NiMH versus NiCd. The DTO considers all possible differences in design, process, and fabrication. Those differences in cell configuration and mechanical structure include both differences in fabrication as well as potentially different responses during the dynamic mechanical environment of the launch phase. Those differences at the interface may be experienced by, or may be viewed by, the customer base either at the Battery Level or at the Power Sub-System Level.

Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis

The generic NiMH Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis [FMECA] was

completed in conjunction with the recent transfer of this NiMH technology from R & D to full scale production⁴. The FMECA builds upon the foundation established by the Design Trade-Off, but is unique in scope and different in approach. The FMECA involves the listing of potential failure modes, their cause, and their effect upon the components, sub-systems, and systems. Another measure incorporated is the criticality of the failure mode as regards personnel safety, mission success, system performance degradation, etc. The NiMH FMECA is a "bottoms-up" analysis of the product design characteristics relative to the planned fabrication, test, and inspection process to ensure that the resultant product meets the intended need, expectation and performance goals. Accordingly, the FMECA draws upon both the lessons learned from the mature NiCd Product Line and the differences analyzed within the DTO.

Fault Tree Analysis

The preliminary generic NiMH Fault Tree Analysis [FTA] is in process; completion is expected in 1994.

4.0 NiH₂ Planar Cell and Battery Design Concepts

The NiH₂ Planar Cell and Battery Design Concept² is shown in Figure 5. The concept combines the superior electrochemistry and long term stability of NiH₂ electrodes with the simplicity and robustness of NiCd packaging technology at a substantially reduced cost. This hybrid technology is superior to either of its counterparts, and the cost lies somewhere between the two parent technologies.

Additional viability is given to this development since we are able to use the existing production, quality, test, and management systems from each technology. As such, very little risk exists for either the design and development or for the implementation to full production. The impact of these innovations will accrue for both existing programs and increase viable spinoffs. Finally, Gates Aerospace Batteries has already committed to producing prototype hardware in 1993, while committing to First Generation Flight Configuration hardware in early 1994.

This concept is unique and provides innovations within existing basic NiH_2 Technology. This concept will be discussed in four specific areas including:

> Design Simplifications and Improvements; Volume and Mass Reductions; and,

Quality Improvements. Each of these areas is discussed separately with regard to cell design improvements and battery design improvements.

The configuration of the Planar Cell, as shown in Figure 5, illustrates this design simplicity. The Planar Battery Assembly is nearly identical to existing designs used for prismatic NiCd aerospace designs. The overriding feature of the design is small volume and dense packaging. However, the battery structure must be sized to support the cells and to resolve or withstand the internal cell pressures at each end of the cell stack. The Projected Configuration of Flight Battery, as shown in Figure 5, illustrates this concept.

Design Simplifications and Improvements Cell Assembly

The most significant feature of the Planar Cell Design is elimination of the axial plate stack support structure ordinarily used in conventional NiH₂ cell designs as illustrated in Figure 6. This includes eliminating the core, core nut, end plates, belleville or disc washers, stack insulators, stack spacers, weld rings, and centering spacers. The direct connection of the positive and negative electrode tabs to the terminal bus bar eliminates lengthy tab extensions of the current axial designs.

From the standpoint of Total Parts Count Reliability Analysis, the Planar Cell is clearly more reliable. This is because the Planar Design totally eliminates 18 different types of component parts. Other numerous small component parts were re-configured and enlarged to further reduce the total parts count. Thus, the piece part count for the Planar Design is approximately 90+ component parts versus 180+ for the typical 36A-H NiH₂ cell of axial or conventional design.

Cell terminals may be oriented at either 90 degrees or at 180 degrees. This terminal configuration improves the balance of individual electrode equi-potentials during discharge to improve both mid-point and end-point voltage responses. Piece part count for the planar design is reduced approximately 75% versus the conventional NiH₂ design.

Battery Assembly

An example of a typical flight configuration battery is illustrated in Figure 6. The features of this design include large flat surfaces of the cell to allow simplified and compact battery designs similar to current NiCd battery designs. The more compact battery design allows use of shorter inter-cell connectors. Clearly, this battery configuration is virtually identical to that for NiCd batteries shown in Figure 1.

Volume and Mass Reductions Cell Assembly

The anticipated volume and mass reductions for the Planar Design comes from two areas. This design eliminates a total of 18 different types of component parts. Numerous other small component parts were re-configured and enlarged to further reduce the total parts count. With this elimination of component parts came the weight and volume reductions.

For a conventional 36 A-H design with a weight of 942 grams, elimination of the axial stack support system results in a mass reduction of 124 grams; elimination of the tab extensions results in a mass reduction of 24 grams.

Gates does not have conventional or axial design NiH_2 cells below the cited 36 A-H cell. However, the below table illustrates typical small capacity designs of the Planar cell currently being developed.

Capacity,	Weight,	Volume, cc		
Â-H	grams			
5	160	104		
7	194	137		
15	354	257		
30	625	500		

Volume and Mass Reductions - Battery Assembly

The simplified and compact battery design eliminates the present captive-cell, space frame oriented battery designs. Projected battery volumes with resultant Energy Densities and projected battery weights with resultant Specific Energies are speculative at this point.

Quality Improvements Cell Assembly

The anticipated improvements in quality effectiveness for this design occur mainly from the reduction of component parts. Elimination of the axial plate stack support structure reduces the technical interface to control and manage 18 specific piece parts or (Continued on page 14...)

NICd AEROSPACE QUALITY CELLS

for

SMALL SATELLITE APPLICATIONS

		DIMENSIONS								
	CATALOG					NEG.	WEIGHT	LP	CASE	#
Ahr	NUMBER		OVERALL	BASE	BASE	PLATE	(GRAMS)	OR	THICK	INSUL.
RATING	42B0-	HEIGHT	HEIGHT	WIDTH	LG.	TREAT	CALC. MAX.	STD.	MILS	TERM
C										
2	02AB03	1.790	2.200	0.650	2.000	Ag	115	Std	16	2
3	03AB07	2.030	2.483	0.813	2.117	None	155	Std	12	2
4	04AB37	2.330	2.790	0.819	2.128	None	205	LP	15	2
4	04AB36	2.330	2.790	0.830	2.137	Ag	190	Std	. 14	2
5	05AB10	2.330	2.790	0.819	2.128	Ag	205	LP	14	1
5	05EB11	2.740	3.200	0.828	2.137	Ag	275	LP	19	2
5	05EB12	2.789	3.187	0.890	2.131	None	275	LP	15	2
6	06AB58	2.789	3.187	0.890	2.131	Tfe	265	LP	17	1
10	10AB17	4.342	4.869	0.823	2.132	Ag	428	Std	17	2
12	12AB31	3.121	3.626	0.892	2.913	Tfe	425	LP	12	2
12	12AB28	4.030	4.630	0.891	2.988	None	549	Std	19	2
15	15AB22	4.469	4.892	0.886	2.923	Tfe	635	LP	17	2
15	15AB19	4.720	5.320	0.891	2.988	Ag	670	Std	19	2
15	15AB21	4.720	5.320	0.891	2.988	Ag	670	Std	19	2
17	17AB02	3.937	4.370	0.906	3.413	Tfe	610	LP	15	1







NIMH CYCLE LIFE PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

(Engineering Model Cells)

Data as of 7/26/93

Cell Rating	Test Group	Temp Deg C	% DOD	Original # Cells in Test	Maximum Cycles Completed	Cell-cycles	Status
			,				
6 Ahr	AP6:1-6	25	50	0(6)	6656	27406	Complete
22 Ahr	AP22:1-2	25	50	0(2)	4236	8472	Complete
22 Ahr	AP22:4	25	50	0(1)	1961	1961	Complete
8 Ahr	AP8:1-12	25	50	0(12)	2206	24600	Complete
7 Ahr	AP7:1-9	25	50	2(9)*	6200	45397	In Test
7 Ahr	AP7:10-21	25	50	9(11)	2500	26500	In Test
7 Ahr	AP7:22-35	25	50	14(14)	1200	16800	In Test
	Tot	al#ofC		151136	-		
Cells remaining on Original # (Total number of							per of
test. of cells on Cell-Cycles							
					test		

Test Conditions:

- --Charge at C/2 Rate for 60 Minutes
- --Discharge at C Rate for 30 Minutes
- --C/D Ratio = 1.05 except in test group AP7:21-35 where some are at 1.03

Cell Design:

- --All cells are prismatic construction similiar to NiCd.
- --Each cell test group may be composed of several design variations, i.e. separator types, chemical and ED impregnation, processing additives, loadings, alloys, N/P ratio, etc.
- * 1st Generation cell design represented by #8 and #9 cells still on test.



COMPARISON OF EARLY TO PRESENT CELLS

Note: Electrolyte adjustment made at approx. 2400 cycles on 1st Generation Cells.















(Continued from page 6...)

part numbers. Elimination of the axial plate stack support structure and tab extensions eliminates the present complex plate stacking operation. Cell performance and reliability, as measured by Thermal Management, Electrolyte Management, and Gas Management are significantly improved.

Battery Assembly

The simplified battery design will result in reduced fabrication and assembly errors. Overall thermal management of the battery assembly will be improved. Finally, this design is more robust as regards the Dynamic Mechanical Environment.

5.0 Summary

Specific Energy is a term used to index or normalize the power-to-weight ratio across different cell designs and electrochemistries. The first chart of Figure 7, titled "Specific Energy (Weight Related) of Various Cell Types", dramatically illustrates the improvement in this performance ratio for the Planar Cell over the two parent technologies. Likewise, Energy Density is a term used to index or normalize the volume-to-weight ratio across different cell designs and electrochemistries. The second chart of Figure 7, titled "Energy Density (Volume Related) of Various Cell Types", dramatically illustrates the improvement in this performance ratio for the Planar Cell over conventional NiH₂ Technologies.

Whatever the key design parameters are for your particular satellite program, Gates Aerospace Batteries has the product to satisfy volume, weight, cost and performance requirements.

6.0 References

1) Denson, William K., and Klein, Glenn C., NiCd Cell Reliability in the Mission Environment; Proceedings of the 1992 NASA Aerospace Battery Workshop, NASA Conference Publication 3192.

 Klein, Glenn C., Executive Summary: NH₂ Planar Cell and Battery Design Concepts; Proprietary Documentation privately printed and distributed, Gates Aerospace Batteries, Gainesville, Florida; July 23, 1993.

3) Klein, Glenn C., NiCd Fault Tree Analysis; Proprietary Documentation privately printed and distributed, Gates Aerospace Batteries, Gainesville, Florida; Dec. 14, 1992.

4) Klein, Glenn C., Preliminary Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis of the NiMH Cell for Aerospace Batteries; Proceedings of the 1993 IECEC, Atlanta, Georgia.

5) Klein, Glenn C., Preliminary Reliability Analyses and Assessments of the NiMH Cell for Aerospace Batteries; Proceedings of the 1992 IECEC, San Diego, California.

 Schmidt, David F., and Klein, Glenn C.; NiMH Battery Cell Development for Spacecraft Applications, 1993 Space Power Workshop; Albuquerque, New Mexico.