# Utah State University DigitalCommons@USU

Aspen Bibliography

Aspen Research

1977

## Biomass Estimation For Some Shrubs From Northeastern Minnesota

David F. Grigal

Lewis F. Ohmann

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/aspen\_bib

Part of the Agriculture Commons, Ecology and Evolutionary Biology Commons, Forest Sciences Commons, Genetics and Genomics Commons, and the Plant Sciences Commons

### **Recommended Citation**

Grigal, David F. and Ohmann, Lewis F. 1977. Biomass estimation for some shrubs from northeastern Minnesota. USDA Forest Service. Research Note NC-226. North Central Forest Experiment Station, St. Paul, Minnesota

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Aspen Research at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Aspen Bibliography by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.





**RESEARCH NOTE NC-226** 

### NORTH CENTRAL FOREST EXPERIMENT STATION, FOREST SERVICE-U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE 1977

Folwell Avenue, St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

#### BIOMASS ESTIMATION FOR SOME SHRUBS

FROM NORTHEASTERN MINNESOTA

David F. Grigal, Associate Professor Soil Science and Forest Resources Department University of Minnesota St. Paul, Minnesota

and

Lewis F. Ohmann, Principal Plant Ecologist St. Paul, Minnesota

ABSTRACT.--Biomass prediction equations were developed for 23 northeastern Minnesota shrub species. The allometric function was used to predict leaf, current annual woody twig, stem, and total woody biomass (dry grams), using stem diameter class estimated to the nearest 0.25 cm class at 15 cm above ground level as the independent variable.

OXFORD: 182.46:182.5(776). KEY WORDS: wildlife habitat, nonlinear regression analysis, allometric relations, dry weight, browse estimation.

A recent study (unpublished) to quantitatively describe a series of pine plantations in northeastern Minnesota as white-tailed deer and snowshoe hare habitat placed special emphasis on woody plants as potential browse. The descriptive information included shrub density and basal area. To estimate the browse represented by these data, equations were needed to determine the biomass of leaf and current annual woody-twig growth for each species. Reported here are the data collected and the resulting regression equations developed to produce the needed estimates. These equations should be useful to land managers, game managers, and other researchers who need to estimate biomass values for these species within the northern Lake States region.

For each of the major shrub species found in the plantations, stems spanning the size range were collected during the last half of

August 1976. In the plantation study, shrub diameters had been determined to the nearest 0.25 cm at 15 cm above ground level. In sampling for the biomass estimation equations, we attempted to collect individuals from the lowest, highest, and middle size class for each species. Thus, if a species occurred in the study in size classes 1 (0 to 0.25 cm) through 7 (1.50 to 1.75 cm), we selected a minimum of three typical stems within each of three size classes spanning that range: for example, size class 1, 4 (0.75 to 1.00 cm), and 7. For shrubs with small diameters, such as Rosa blanda, we selected only stems within the lowest three size classes.

The stems were selected in the field, clipped at ground level, and taken to the laboratory where the leaves and current annual woody-twig growth were each separated from the remainder of the woody stem. Each component of each stem was bagged separately and dried at 70 C to constant weight. Each component was weighed to the nearest 0.01 gram immediately after removal from the oven.

Because of dry weather during the summer of 1976, some shrub stems had lost a portion of their leaves by late August. In those cases no leaf data were collected and the number of leaf biomass observations used in the leaf biomass regressions was reduced.

The independent variable, stem diameter size class; and each dependent variable-leaf. current annual woody-twig, stem, and total woody biomass were summarized for each species (table 1).

The numerical value used for stem diameter was the upper end of the diameter class range. Stems 0.50 to 0.75 cm diameter, for example, were considered to be 0.75 cm diameter in the data summary. five shrub species from northeastern Minnesota, we found that shrub biomass data conformed to the allometric function as well as or better than they did to alternative functional relations (Ohmann, Grigal, and Brander 1976)<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Ohmann, L. F., D. F. Grigal, and R. B. Brander. 1976. Biomass estimation for five shrubs from northeastern Minnesota. USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. NC-133, 11 p. North Cent. For. Exp. Stn., St. Paul, MN 55108.

In earlier work estimating biomass of

Table 1.--Dimensional data for 23 shrub spec

					: Amelanchier	:Betula	Cornus :	Corylus			: Lonicera : : oblongifolia:	Popula
	: rubrum	: spicatum	: crispa	: rugosa	: spp.	:papyrifera	: rugosa :	cornuta	: canadensis	; 11110414	. 00001190j0000.	01.6/10
Independent variable												
Stem diameter class (cm)					0.7	10	9	43	9	13	4	28
Number of observations	10	27	26	1.5	27	10	,		.75	.69	.44	1.1
Mean	1.17	1,17	1.14	.90	1.17	1.23	1.00	1.08			.13	.5
Standard deviation	.51	.52	.52	.53	,52	.55	.43	.53	.43	.27	.15	
Standard error	.16	.10	.10	.14	.10	.17	.14	.08	.14	.38		.1
Range	.50-	.50-	.50-	.50-	.50-	.50-	.50-	.50-	.25-	.25-	.25-	.5
	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.50	1.75	1,25	1.00	.50	1.7
ependent variables												
Leaf biomass (dry grams)											,	7 (
Number of observations		11	25	12	14	4	9	9	4	10	4	14
Mean		4.33	9,51	6.04	8.62	3.11	11.41	15.46	2.33	2.34	.54	6.7
Standard deviation		11.79	9.18	8.64	6.75	4.33	10.35	15.75	1.52	2.86	.35	9.8
Standard error		3.56	1.84	2.49	1.80	2.16	3.45	5,25	.76	.91	.18	2.6
Range		.01-	.07-	.15-	,05-	.62-	1.32-	.11-	.16-	.18-	,08-	.1
Kallge		39.44	27,00	29.08	19.95	9.57	27.17	36.21	3.68	9.29	.89	25.3
Current annual twig (dry g)												
Number of observations	10	27	26	15	27	10	9	43	9	13	4	28
Mean	1.04	2.48	2.73	5.02	2.31	4.34	2.42	2.76	.97	1.15	.34	1.9
Standard deviation	.72	2.49	3,31	6.31	2,52	6.85	2.03	2.92	.92	1.36	.36	1.8
Standard error	.23	.48	.65	1.63	.49	2,17	.68	.45	.31	.38	.18	.3
Range	.10-		.18-		.01-	.51-	,25-	.12-	.06-	,06-	.05-	.1
Range	2.39	10.83	12.67	19.80	8.26	23.23	5.58	10.30	2.50	4.62	.85	6.38
Stem (dry grams)												
Number of observations	10	27	26	15	27	10	9	43	9	13	4	28
Mean	69,62	87.99	78.69	43.38	89.39	76.30	48.18	88.23	19.49	19.24	1.32	75.5
Standard deviation	73.00	87.81	77.76	63.38	84,50	81,78	50.14	102.96	19.69	22.14	1.00	73.5
Standard error	23.09	16.90	15.25	16.36	16.26	25.86	16.71	15.70	6,56	6.14	.50	13.8
	.81-		.34-			,51-	1.30-	.57-	.27-	.20-	.20-	.4
Range	207.11	345,90	268,00	171.40	258,72	247.69	131.57	380.75	52.52	65,20	2.62	234.6
Total woody (dry grams)	207.22											
Number of observations	10	27	26	15	27	10	9	43	9	13	4	28
Mean	70.66	90.47	81.41	48.39	91,70	80.65	50,60	91.00	20.46	20.39	1.66	77.4
Standard deviation	73,50	89.10	78.74	68,93	86.07	83,38	52,12	105,66	20.54	23.02	1.13	74.7
Standard deviation Standard error	23.24	17.15	15.44	17,80	16.56	26.37	17.37	16.11	6,85	6.38	.56	14.1
	.94		1.05-			1.02-	1.55-	.60-	.54-	.27-	.25-	.8
Range	208.19	351.90	271.00	181.37	259.83	251.62	137.15	391.05	54.86	66.98	2.94	239.04

						Table 2	-Regressio	ns for	estimat	ion of bi	omass c	of 23 shrub	s from
Regression factors on :	Acer	: Acer	: Alnus	: Alnus	:	Amelanchier	: Betula	: Cornus	:Corylus	:Lonicera	:Lonicer	a:Lonicera	: Populu
stem diameter class (cm) (X):	rubrum	: spicatum	: crispa	; rugosa	:	spp.	: papyrifera	: rugosa	:cornuta	:canadensis	:hirsuta	:oblongifolia	: tremul
Leaf biomass (dry grams) (Y)													
a		2.869	5,650	3.123		5,432	3,421	8.616	4.808	6.592	3.926	6.009	2.227
b		3.669	2,222	3.071		2.008	1.838	2.541	3,571	2.681	1.163	3.115	4.258
R <sup>2</sup>		.608	.748	,718		.875	,990	,940	.991	.901	.242	.749	,963
S y.x		7.788	4.706	4,815		2,486	.524	2.701	1.568	.586	2.644	.217	1.956
Probability of larger F value		.005	.005	.005		.005	.010	.005	.005	.100	NS	NS	.005
Current annual woody twig													
biomass (dry g)													
a	0.7676	2.174	2.349	4,122		1,510	.588	1.813	1.196	1,325	1.974	3.756	.894
b	1,4903	1,008	1.119	2.318		1,870	4.802	2.478	3.070	1,866	1.571	3.116	2.501
R <sup>2</sup>	.724	.260	.196	.815		,426	,282	,946	.774	.801	.281	.284	.43(
S y.x	.4021	2.186	3.028	2,815		1,947	6.155	.506	1.406	.440	1.208	.373	1.382
Probability of larger	.005	.010	,025	.005		,005	NS	.005	.005	.005	.100	NS	.00!
F value													
Stem biomass (dry grams)													
a	21.0780	41.074	37.137	27,452		36,439	22,260	30.648	36,858	26.766	44.156	14.338	33.71
b	3.6892	2,706	2,772	3,122		2.995	3.452	3.184	3.272	2.180	3.578	3.082	2.874
R <sup>2</sup>	.908	.779	.845	.985		,922	,717	,955	,845	.930	.684	.563	.914
S y.x	23.5026	42,107	31,242	8,069		24,132	46,119	11,340	41.061	5.566	13.006	.806	22.024
Probability of larger	.005	.005	.005	.005		.005	.005	.005	.005	.005	.010	NS	.00!
F value													
Total woody biomass													
(dry grams)													
a	21.7300	43.660	39.684	31,328		37,909	22,865	32,421	38.031	28.090	46.002	18.093	34.50:
b	3,6535	2,630	2,696	3.050		2,963	3,502	3,152	3,267	2.166	3,402	3.089	2.874
R <sup>2</sup>	.911	.778	,855	.991		.924	,764	,957	.847	.931	.678	.695	.914
S y.x	23.3043	42.776	30,571	6.824		24.158	42.982	11,590	41.881	5,759	13.635	.762	22.31
Probability of larger	.005	.005	,005	,005		.005	.005	.005	.005	.005	.005	NS	.00!
F value					_								

<sup>1</sup>All the woody growth was determined to be current annual. Stem and total woody biomass regressions were identical to current twig.

In this study, then, the data for each speciesvariable combination were subjected to an iterative nonlinear, least squares regression analysis using the allometric relation

 $Y=aX^{b}$  (1)

where Y is the biomass in grams dry weight of the dependent variable and X is the shrub diameter in cm at 15 cm above ground. The

r	23	shmb	species	from	northeastern	Minnesota
---	----	------	---------	------	--------------	-----------

.430

1.382

.005

33.718

22.024

34,502

2.874

22.316

.914

.005

2.876

.914

.005

.284

.373

NS

14.338

3.082

.563

.806

NS

18.093

3.089 .695

.762

NS

1.654

48,927

2.545

21.366

49.916

2.547

21.233

.952

,005

.950

.005

.257

NS

.837

1.317

34.049

27.863

35,575

28.520

2.704

.861

.005

2.708

.856

.005

.005

,808

1.011

.025

29.929 2.760

21.452

30,971

2.764

22.456

.872

.010

.874

.010

regressions were tested for significance using a standard F-test.

The resultant equation elements are presented in table 2.

nicera	: Populus	: Prunus	: Prunus	: Rhamnus	: Ribes	: Rosa	: Rosa	: Rubus	: Rubus	: Salix		: Viburnum
iongifolia	: tremuloides	: pensylvanica	: virginiana	: alnifolia	: spp.	: acicularis	: blanda	: parviflorus	: strigosus	: spp.	: americana	: rafinesquianum
4	28	9	9	6	9	8	9	0			0	
.44	1.14	1.17	.75	1.08	.50	.53		9	11	9	9	9
.13	.53	.54	.43	.49			.50	.50	.48	1.17	1.17	1.00
.06	.10	.18	.43	.20	.22	.21	.22	.22	.21	.54	.54	.43
.25~	.50-	.50-	.25-	.20		.07	.07	.07	.06	.18	.18	.14
.50	1.75	1.75	1.25	1.75	.25- .75		.25-	.25-	.25-	.50-		.50-
	1.75	1.75	1.23	1.75	./5	.75	.75	.75	.75	1.75	1.75	1.50
4	14	3	4	6	9	8	9	9	11	9	3	8
.54	6,77	.68	2.33	5.66	.30	1.06	1.07	1,17	.87	15.57	.26	° 11.08
.35	9,81	.43	1.52	6.21	.34	.73	1.10	1.04	1.09	16.49		
.18	2,62	.25	.76	2.54	.11	.26	.37	.35	.33	5,50	.40	12.27 4.34
.08-	.16-	.26-	.16-	.32-	.08-		.14-	.10-	.10-	.37-		
.89	25.37	1.11	3.68	17.24	1.14	2.19	3,69	3.17	3.64	43.28	.01-	.46-
				17.24	1.14	2.19	5.09	3.17	5.04	43.20	.72	33.96
4	28	9	9	6	9	8	9	9	11	9	9	9
.34	1,92	2.57	.97	1.91	.11	.48	.64	1.41	.15	2.08	3.41	2.60
.36	1.80	1.80	.92	2.06	.09	.44	,61	1.40	.13	1.83	4.16	3.30
.18	. 34	.60	.31	.84	.03	.16	.20	.47	.04	.61	1.39	1.10
.05-	.19-	.72-	.06-	.11-	.01-	.12-	.09-	.07	.03-	.46-	.01-	.20-
.85	6.38	6.13	2.50	5.23	.23	1.28	1.78	3.62	.48	5.72	11.73	9.48
4	28	9	9	6	9	8	9		11	9	9	9
1.32	75.50	97.74	75.67	51.91	2.59	2,54	3.32		1,17	109.34	83.60	82.89
1.00	73.51	89.27	68.67	54.01	3.64	2,36	4.13		1.61	131,46	99.72	95,10
.50	13.89	29.76	22.89	22.05	1.21	.83	1.38		.49	43.82	33.24	31.70
.20-	.42-	1.19-	.70-	1.10-	.09-		.18-		.08-	.64-		1.45-
2,62	234.69	247.12	185,79	139.82	10.69	6.56	10.42		5.39	351.67	269.03	222.19
4	28	9	9	6	9	8	9	9	11	• 9	9	9
1.66	77.42	100.31	78.78	53.82	2,70	3.03	3.96	2.81	1.32	111.42	87.01	85.49
1.13	74.79	90.42	71.49	56.06	3.71	2.74	4.69	2.80	1.73	133.21	103.07	98.01
.56	14.13	30.14	23.83	22.89	1,24	.97	1.56	.93	.52	44.40	34.36	32.67
.25-	.84-	2.38-	.71-	1.21-	.16-		.36-	.14-	.16-	1.28~		1,85-
2,94	239.04	251.57	193.49	145.05	10.92	7.84	12.20	7.24	5.87	357.39	278.12	231,67
23 shrut	os from nor	theastern Mi										231.07
micera	: Populus	Prunus	Prunus	Rhamnus	Ribes	Rosa	Rosa	Rubus	Rubus	Salix	Sorbus	l'iburnion
	: tremuloides					: acicularis		: parviflorus :			: americana :	
6.009	2,227	4.947	7.953	2.009	1.513	3,286	4.160	4.595	7.081	4.514	2,885	8.526
3.115	4.258	2.836	1,954	3.835	3,023	2,004	2.302	2.376	3.871	3.692	3.454	3.007
.749	.963	.000	.900	.881	.549	.879	.597	.720	.748	. 894	.000	.951
.217	1.956	.601	3.522	2.394	,245	.275	.745	. 586	.577	5,735	.560	2.925
NS	.005	NS	.005	.010	,025	.005	.025	.005	.005	.005	NS	.005
3.756	.894	2,095	1.516	1,040	.321	1.671	2,230	8.214	.491	.545	.841	1.096
3.116	2.501	1.037	2.605	2.885	1.719	2.312	2.078	3,283	1.498	3.690	4.023	4.362
284	420	257	837	808	604	512	568	057	222	797	717	766

.513 .333

.050

12,890

3.162 .908

.005

14.527

3.042

1.035

.878

.005

.604

.059

.025

32,958

5.458

.776

.005

1.843

32,001

5.256

1,861

.005

.780

2.078

.429

.025

30.900 4.519

.873

1.570

31,182

4.074

1.979

.005

.844

.005

.957

.312

.005

----<sup>1</sup>

---1

✿ U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1978--767655/51 REGION NO. 6 3

.332

.110

.100

10,782

4.111

.745

.005

11.519

4.032

.734

.938

.005

.787

.005

17.344

4.939

.923

17.815 4.919

39.551

.923

,005

.005

.717

,005

13.177 4.393

.917

13,982

30,738

4.900

.922

.005

.005

.766

1.707

.005

38.820

.981

39,921

14,504

4.132

.981

.005

.005

4.125