A Post-Fire Index for Describing Mixed severity Outcomes after Wildfire for Trees and Soils



Theresa "Terrie" Jain David Pilliod Russell Graham Leigh Lentile 110+ Publications and Applications



The Past and Current Use of Severity Classification

Issues:

- Fire intensity, fire severity, and burn severity definitions are inconsistently used leading to confusion and misinterpretation
- No consistent way to communicate severity
- Past rationale for a severity classification: prescribed fire with activity fuels
- Lumpers, splitters, selectors
- No integrated severity classification





Fire Disturbance Continuum Clarification of Terminology: "A Work in Progress"



Tree Burn Severity is a Continuum



Unburned

Classification can be Levels of this continuum

Measurement of Interest – Indicator Variables? Where are the breaks (thresholds) between the levels? Enough levels so they can be selected or grouped "Low", "Moderate", or "High" are values placed on the levels which is a combination of the pre-fire condition, burn severity of other vegetation, and response

This might be how a person perceives tree burn severity

Ryan and Noste 1989 (prescribed fire) Borchert et al. 2003 (plant regeneration) **BAER:** Parsons 2003 (erosion) Fire effects monitoring (all purpose) Sieg et al. 2006 (birds) Odion et al 2004 (fuel treatment eval.) Verling and Lentile 2006 (vegetation)



Ryan and Noste 1989	Low				Moderate	High
Borchert et al. 2003			Low	Μ	oderate	High
BAER: Parsons 2003	Low	v Mo	oderate	Hi	gh	
Fire effects monitoring	Low	Мо	derate	Hig	h	Extreme
Sieg et al. 2006	Low	Modera	te High			
Odion et al 2004	Lov	W	Moderate	e		High
Verling and Lentile	Low Moderate		9	High		Extreme
2006						
		Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5	Level 6
	Unburne	d				Black trees

Revisiting and Revising Severity Definitions

To develop an integrated severity classification:

- Management application for multiple purposes and integration among disciplines
- Integrates scientific information

 Fire Behavior and Effects
- Can be combined, split, or selected
- Simple to quantify and describe
- Visualize severity
- Provide a rationale

Two Views of Tree Burn Severity



Vertical (mortality prediction)

Plot or patch (wildlife, erosion)



Tree Post-Fire Index Key Patch

1a. No evidence of fire		
1b. Evidence of fire		
2a. Presence of residual green crown "Alive"	100.0	
3a. All trees have > 60% (green)	100.1	
3b. Plurality of trees contain green crowns, brown trees may be present, no black trees present (mixed green)	100.2	
3c. Trees with green crowns present, brown trees maybe present, black trees present		
(mixed brown)	100.3	

Tree Post-Fire Index Key Patch

1a. No evidence of fire	0
1b. Evidence of fire	
2b. No evidence of residual green crown "dead"	200.0
4a. All trees contain only brown needles/ leaves (brown)	200.1
4b. Mixture of trees with brown needles/ leaves, trees with both brown and black, and trees with only black crowns	
(transition)	200.2
4c. All trees contain only black crowns (black)	200.3

Relation Between Forest Structure and Burn Severity Wildfires in Moist, Cold, and Dry Forests



Classification Tree Conditional Probabilities Random = 0.25

> As a Function of Pre-Fire Forest Structure

Burn Severity

Visited 73 2001-2003 Wildfires

Moist Forest (Hemlock/Cedar)



Dry Forests (PP/Mixed conifer)







Low Overstory Tree Density



Mixed Conifer Forests Tree Burn Severity



May be good for wildlife browse and cover

0.53 probability of brown trees (mixed brown, brown) from heat scorch 23 obs. - 10 mixed brown, 1 brown, 5 black **Conditions:** 1) Dry forest 2) Low canopy base height 3) Low cover (20%) 4) Surface fuels – produce high heat?

PP/Mixed Conifer Forests Tree Burn Severity

Tree size > 50 cm diameter 0.70 probability of green trees Surface fuels < 7 Tonnes/ha 0.57 probability of green trees

Conditions: 1) Dry forest 2) Canopy base height (high) 3) Low surface fuels



High Overstory Tree Density



PP/Mixed Conifer to Moist Conifer Forests Tree Burn Severity





0.67 probability of green trees

Conditions: 13 observations Top height: Dry=18 m; Moist=19 Canopy cover: 60 to 70% High canopy base heights: Dry = 9 m; Moist = 4 Crown ratio: Dry=38; Moist=39



75 % cover



45 % cover

All forest types Tree Burn Severity



Conditions: High overstory density Needle understory Higher canopy base height

0.52 prob. of mixed green

Conditions: Low overstory density Grass understory Low canopy base height 0.41 probability of brown trees



Application

- Describes the post-fire environment
- Integrates past and current science
- Placed within the context of the fire disturbance continuum – provides a rationale
- Relates to fire behavior and fire effects
- It is a hypothesis that can be changed, added to, and critically evaluated
- Elements can be combined and/or selected
- There is a soil burn severity developed using the same technique

Questions and Comments

Example on how response influences a value



Low or High Severity?

