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## ABSTRACT

The degree and possible causes of eutrophication in Mt. Dell Reservoir, a small water supply reservoir in Parleys Canyon above Salt Lake City, were examined with a number of limnological studies. These studies described external (incoming stream flow) and internal (sediment) nutrient sources, general limnology, nutrient limitations, and trophic state. A monthly program of sampling at selected stream sites determined that one area of mixed agricultural and undisturbed rangeland contributed significant amounts of total soluble inorganic nitrogen. Sediment phosphorus uptake and release rates were determined with aquatic three-phase microcosms. The results indicated that sediment phosphorus mass loadings were small (less than $5 \%$ of the total loading) compared to stream phosphorus mass loadings if the hypolimnion is aerobic. Anoxic conditions could cause sediment phosphorus releases to be greater than stream phosphorus mass loadings (about $68 \%$ of the total).

Descriptive limnologies indicated that the reservoir was alkaline ( pH about 8.0 and alkalinities usually around 200 mg $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3} / \mathrm{l}$ ), dimictic, weakly stratified, and usually well oxygenated. Nutrient levels were usually highest during the winter and at greater depths. Highest total phosphorus and orthophosphate levels were generally within a range of $50-100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ whereas total nitrogen and total soluble inorganic nitrogen concentrations ranged from 0.1 to $2.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. Blue-green algae were the dominant algal type comprising 80 percent of the total algal composition. Algae were most numerous and chlorophyll a levels were the highest (greater than $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ ) during the winter. The summer copper sulfate applications apparently kept summer algal biomass low (less than $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 1$ ).

Mt. Dell Reservoir's trophic state was determined with Carlson's (1977) trophic state indices, Vollenweider's (1976) phosphorus loading model, Palmer's (1969) algal genus pollution index, and the Lake Evaluation Index of Porcella et al. (1980). The reservoir is in a mesotrophic/eutrophic state. Possible restoration strategies were discussed in relation to the results and practical considerations. Copper sulfate application, selcotive withdrawal, aeration/circulation, in-lake phosphorus inactivation, and sediment removal appeared to be the more reasonable.
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## INTRODUCTION

## Nature of the Problem

Recent evidence of trihalomethane (THM) production in the drinking water supply systems of Salt Lake City and Ogden, Utah (Peters et al. 1981) led Salt Lake County and the Utah Water Research Laboratory (UWRL) to search out the THM precursor sources. Although THM precursors are thought to be mainly naturally occurring humic substances, algal cells and their metabolites are also possibilities (Hoehn et al. 1980, Bernhardt 1980). In fact, Peters et al. (1981) found the THM production in the Salt Lake City system to be associated with water from a reservoir with significant eutrophication and algal nuisance problems.

Mt. Dell Reservoir in Salt Lake County, Utah, is the drinking water storage reservoir with a history of summer algal blooms (Lee 1980). The Salt Lake County and UWRL studies have been directed towards defining the eutrophication process in Mt. Dell Reservoir and recommending viable restoration strategies. These strategies would reduce algal related problems requiring treatment (filtration costs, taste, odor, etc.) and could also reduce potential THM precursors.

Effective lake restoration strategies are formulated from information quantifying the degree of eutrophication. Quantification of eutrophication in Mt. Dell Reservoir during 1980-1981 was the major purpose of this study. Specific objectives were to:

1) Determine the major nitrogen and phosphorus sources within the tributary watershed.
2) Quantify the nutrient concentrations in the reservoir sediments.
3) Determine phosphorus uptake and release rates of the sediments.
4) Describe the general limnology of the reservoir.
5) Quantify the major forms of nitrogen and phosphorus in the reservoir.
6) Determine the limiting nutrients in water from Mt. Dell Reservoir, Parley's Creek, and Dell Creek.
7) Determine and assess the trophic state of Mt. Dell Reservoir.

## EUTROPHICATION AND TROPHIC STATE INDICES

## Types of Indices

Eutrophication can be defined as nutrient and organic matter enrichment of a lake ecosystem that results in high biological productivity and reduces the lake volume (Likens 1972). The nutrient and organic matter inputs are largely carried into the lake by runoff from the tributary watershed. Such factors as the hydrodynamics of lake circulation, uptake and release of nutrients by the sediments, nutrient dynamics and the forces constraining dynamic interactions, and morphometry determine the specific manifestations of eutrophication in a given lake. These manifestations, largely considered undesirable, include algae, macrophytes, oxygen depletion, and sedimentation.

Many inputs, factors, and manifestations of eutrophication can be used as trophic state indices. These indices measure the degree and type of eutrophication and thereby guide the selection of lake restoration procedures.

The trophic state of a lentic system may be qualitatively classified as oligotrophic, mesotrophic, or eutrophic. The numerous methods that can be used to determine trophic status include coarse resolution approaches (univariate and multivariate techniques and nutrient budgets) and high resolution simulation models (USEPA 1979a). Complex ecosystem models (Russell 1975; Jorgensen 1979; Scavia and Robertson 1980) predict events more accurately than coarse empirical approaches but are more complex than necessary for formulating management strategies (Tapp 1976). They are perhaps better used to characterize ecosystem structure and function and were thus not pursued
further for the purposes of this study. Coarse resolution techniques are simple to use and require a relatively small data set.

## Univariate Methods

Univariate methods assess trophic status with one parameter. The National Eutrophication Survey (USEPA 1974) tried four commonly measured parameters (Table 1). Other parameters such as total kjeldahl nitrogen (Leuschow et al. 1970; Williams et al. 1978), specific conductance (Beeton 1965), and phytoplankton concentration (Taylor et al. 1979) have also been used.

Carlson (1977) developed a trophic state index (TSI) which uses a scale of 0 to 100 instead of the three traditional descriptors (oligotrophic, mesotrophic, and eutrophic). An increase of 10 units indicates a doubling in algal biomass. The index assumes that Secchi depth is a power function of chlorophyll concentration and hence algal biomass. Total phosphorus has long been known to be related to chlorophyll (Sakamoto 1966; Dillon and Rigler 1974a; Jones and Bachmann 1976; Smith and Shapiro 1981), and Carlson incorporates this relationship into a TSI. Three separate TSI values can be obtained by measuring Secchi depth (SD), chlorophyll concentration (Chl), and surface total phosphorus (TP). The equations are
$\operatorname{TSI}(S D)=10 \quad 6-\frac{\operatorname{lnSD}}{\ln 2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
>50 & =\text { eutrophy } \\
41-50 & =\text { mesotrophy } \\
<41 & =\text { oligot rophy } \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 1. NES criteria for trophic status of lakes (USEPA 1974).

| Parameter | Oligotrophy | Mesotrophy | Eutrophy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Phosphorus ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / 1$ ) | $\leq 10$ | $10-20$ | $\geq 20$ |
| Chlorophyll a ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / 1$ ) | $\leq 4$ | $4-10$ | $\leq 10$ |
| Secchi Depth (meters) | $\geq 3.7$ | $2.0-3.7$ | $\leq 2.0$ |
| Hypolimnetic Dissolved <br> Oxygen (\% saturation) | $\geq 80$ | $10-80$ | $\leq 10$ |

$\operatorname{TSI}(\operatorname{Ch} 1)=10\left(6-\frac{2.04-0.68 \ln \mathrm{Ch} 1}{\ln 2}\right)$

$$
>55=\text { eutrophy }
$$

$$
50-55=\text { mesotrophy }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
<50=\text { oligotrophy } . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\operatorname{TSI}(T P)=10 \quad 6-\frac{\ln \frac{48}{T P}}{\ln 2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
>47 & =\text { eutrophy } \\
37-47 & =\text { mesotrophy } \\
<37 & =\text { oligotrophy } . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where all parameters represent summer means or medians and units of SD are in meters and $C h 1$ and $T P$ in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Carlson's indices are currently receiving criticisn (Lorenzen 1980; Megard et al. 1980; Edmondson 1980; Walker 1980) aimed at the inability of the Secchi transparency index to account for abiotic influences on Secchi transparency. Therefore, the use of Carlson's indices may be limited to systems with low color and turbidity.

One other parameter used in univariate estimation of the trophic state is dissolved oxygen (DO). Porcella et al. (1980) listed several approaches based on DO data, and these included calculating deficits or deficit rates
and measuring hypolimnetic, water column, and transformed minimum Do concentrations. Many of these may not adequately reflect lake productivity. Indices based on hypolimnetic DO concentrations have the disadvantage of assuming that allochthonous inputs of organic matter are small relative to those produced in the lake (Wetzel and Likens 1979). This may not be true where the watershed area is much greater than the lake area.

Sediment oxygen demands also contribute to hypolimnetic oxygen deficits (Baker and Adams 1982). The relationship between the deficit and lake productivity, however, is complicated by the fact that sediment oxygen demand represents lake productivity over a period of time and a lag may exist between the time organic matter was produced and the time it was decomposed. Thus, a change in lake productivity may not alter the hypolimnetic Do deficit until some later date.

Porcella et al. (1980) used an instantaneous total lake equilibrium Do calculation to describe lake quality with respect to physical processes and respiration/photosynthesis. The calculation is based on incremented absolute values of net differences with depth between observed oxygen content and the saturation value of the water at its
observed temperature and atmospheric pressure. Relationships have been derived between oxygen deficits and temperature (Lasenby 1975), lake morphometry (Charlton 1980), and phosphorus loading (Welch and Perkins 1979; Cornett and Rigler 1979).

## Multivariate Methods

Multivariate indices incorporate more than one parameter into a trophic index. The most common multi-parameter trophic index is species diversity. The greater the number of $t a x a$ and the more equal their proportions, the greater the diversity (Pielou 1966). Although some researchers believe diversity can be related to water quality (Wilhm and Dorris 1968; Wetzel 1975), Green (1979) cited numerous reports where the authors disagreed.

Other indices are based on indicator organisms (Nygaard 1949; Palmer 1969; Taylor et al. 1979). Trophic state is inferred from the dominance of particular species. These indices, although useful, require an extensive knowledge of taxonomy and are probably not applicable for routine analysis.

Shannon and Brezonik (1972) have constructed a trophic state index (TSI) based on the seven variables of primary production, chlorophyll a, total phosphorus, total organic nitrogen, Secchi depth, specific conductivity, and Pearsall's cation ratio. They obtained good agreement with nitrogen and phosphorus loading and the TSI for a number of lakes in Florida. This technique requires measurements of a large number of parameters, including some which are not commonly measured (such as Pearsall's cation ratio). Also, Reckhow (1979) points out that the index was derived with data from Florida lakes and does not recommend it for the north temperate region.

One multivariate technique which could be applicable to Mt. Dell Reservoir, is the Lake Evaluation Index (LEI)
developed by Porcella et al. (1980, 1981). The LEI uses summer (June through August) means of Secchi depth (SD), total phosphorus (TP), total nitrogen (TN), chlorophyll a (CA), dissolved oxygen (DO), and macrophytes (MAC). The LEI model is similar to Carlson's index in that a numerical scale from 0 to 100 is used instead of the qualitative descriptors. The contributions of the six parameters are:
$X S D=60-14.426 \ln (S D)$
(SD in meters)
$\mathrm{XTP}=4.15+14.427 \ln (\mathrm{TP})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{TP} \text { in } \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{XTN}=14.427 \cdot \ln (\mathrm{TN})-23.8$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{TN} \text { in } \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{XCA}=30.6-9.81 \mathrm{ln}(\mathrm{CA})$
(CA in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ).
$\mathrm{XDO}=10$ (net DO)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (DO in } \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \text { ) . } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$X M A C=P M A C$
(PMAC as \%)
where PMAC is the percent macrophyte coverage of lake area subject to macrophytic growth. The LEI value is obtained with the equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{LEI}= & 0.20(\mathrm{XSD}+\mathrm{XTP}+\mathrm{XCA}+\mathrm{XDO} \\
& +\mathrm{XMAC}) \text {. . . . . . . (10) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where XTN replaces XTP if it is smaller.

## Nutrient Budgets

Nutrient budgets can also be used to assess trophic state. The approach evolved from the work of Vollenweider
(1968) who established a relationship between nutrient loading and trophic response, Later, Vollenweider (1969) incorporated his relationship into a simple mass balance model such that the change in phosphorus mass per unit time equals the phosphorus input mass minus the phosphorus output mass minus the phosphorus mass.lost to the sediments.

Dillon (1974) reviewed Vollenweider's model and criticized several aspects. Numerous revised derivations of the loading-response relationship followed (Dillon and Rigler 1974b; Lerman 1974; Imboden 1974; Dillon 1975; Kirchner and Dillon 1975; Chapra 1975; Vollenweider 1975; Larsen and Mercier 1976; Snodgrass and $0^{\prime}$ Melia 1975;

Vollenweider 1976; Reckhow 1979; Benndorf 1979; Reckhow et al. 1980). Nevertheless, Vollenweider's model remains a useful predictive tool. Baker and Adams (1982) tested the predictive reliability of Vollenweider's (1976) model on lakes and reservoirs in the intermountain region and concluded that it was useful as a management tool as long as the model's basic assumptions were met. Mueller (1982) reached the same conclusions from a separate study but indicated that the Dillon-Rigler (1974b) model had slightly better predictive capabilities. In general, most authors use levels of 10 and 20 $\mu \mathrm{g} / 1$ of ambient lake total phosphorus for upper oligotrophy and lower eutrophy, respectively.

## Site Location

Mt. Dell Reservoir (Figure 1) is located on Parleys Creek, a tributary of the Jordan River, approximately 14.5 kilometers east of Salt Lake City, Utah $\left(40^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}: 111^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right)$ at an altitude of 1676 meters above sea level.

## Historical Aspects

Mt. Dell Reservoir was established in 1917 when Parleys Creek was impounded with a multiple arch dam. The dam was 36.6 meters high and held approximately 1.14 million $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ of water. Later, the dam was raised to increase the storage capacity to $4.33 \mathrm{million} \mathrm{m}^{3}$. The storage capacity has since been decreased to 3.95 million $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ by silt accumulation.

Few studies of the water quality in Mt. Dell Reservoir exist. Black and Veatch (1950) investigated the water quality in the streams supplying water to Salt Lake City but did not study the reservoir. Ivory (1967) studied various algal populations and attempted to correlate these to in-lake physical parameters and external nitrogen and phosphorus loading. Anderson and Key (1973) studied nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations at selected sites within the watershed in an attempt to locate possible nutrient sources.

Copper sulfate has been applied to Mt. Dell Reservoir (Table 2) for a number of years to control algae blooms. These applications are still occurring although the total yearly amount applied has lessened. It is not known when these applications began, but records are available dating back to the 1950 s.

## Reservoir Characteristics

Mt. Dell Reservoir rests on calcareous shale bedrock and the surrounding area contains a mixture of limestones, alluvial and colluvial deposits (Utah Geological and Mineralogical Survey 1964). The vegetation surrounding the reservoir is characteristic of chapparal and sagebrush zones (Cronquist et al. 1972). Dominant species are Artemesia tridentata Nutt.; Quercus gambelii Nutt.; Acer grandentatum Nutt.; and Agropyron species.

Highway $\mathrm{I}-80$ parallels the east side of the reservoir. Two sludge ponds are located on the east side near the convergence of the reservoir arms. These ponds are utilized during the back-flushing process in the treatment plant, and excess water drains back into the reservoir.

Reservoir releases are through a multi-level outlet works discharging to Parleys water treatment plant. The lake is dimictic and exhibits weak summer stratification in the main basin. Morphological characteristics are given in Table 3.

Reservoir storage is generally at a maximum after spring runoff and gradually diminishes to supply Salt Lake City's water demands during the summer. The volume often drops to 20 percent of the maximum by mid-winter and then increases during spring runoff.

## Watershed Characteristics

Two streams enter Mt. Dell Reservoir; Dell Creek on the north side and Parleys Creek on the east side (Figure


Figure 1. Mt. Dell Reservoir topography with water sampling sites (contours in feet).
2). Drainage areas and mean flows are given in Table 3. The Dell Creek watershed is primarily undisturbed rangeland. A large picnic area containing chemical toilets is present as well as numerous smaller picnic areas. Some river bot tom land ( $0.1 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) is used for hay production. The Parleys Creek watershed is dominated by undisturbed rangeland on the west side and Highway I-80 on the east.

In 1961, a $0.4 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ golf course containing a club house and a small park was established next to Parleys Creek approximately 0.8 kilometers above the reservoir. Toilet wastes are trucked out of the watershed. Traditionally, a high nitrate fertilizer is applied each spring, and the greens are lightly fertilized with the same type of fertilizer each month during the summer (Anderson and Key 1973).

Table 2. Total and monthly kilograms of copper sulfate applied from 1970 to $1981 . a$

|  | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a Data obtained from Parley's Water Treatment Plant, Salt Lake County, Utah.

Table 3. Morphological characteristics of Mt. Dell Reservoir and the major creeks in the watershed (partially taken from Ivory l967).

Mt. Dell Reservoir

Drainage basin
Surface area (max.)
Volume (max.)
Depth (mean) Depth (max.) Mean retention time

Parleys Creek
Drainage area $\quad 58.0$ kilometers2
Mean flow
Dell Creek
Drainage area
Mean flow
Lambs Creek (also included in Parleys Creek data above)

```
Drainage area
Mean flow
24.6 kilometers \({ }^{2}\)
0.171 meters \(3 / \mathrm{sec}\)
```

130.8 kilometers ${ }^{2}$
0.34 kilometers ${ }^{2}$
$3.95 \times 10^{6}$ meters ${ }^{3}$
11.8 meters
30.5 meters
0.16 years
0.314 meters $3 / \mathrm{sec}$
48.2 kilometers ${ }^{2}$
0.337 meters $3 / \mathrm{sec}$


Figure 2. Watershed area and stream sampling sites.

Lambs Creek converges with Parleys Creek approximately 3.4 kilometers above the reservoir. The Lambs Creek watershed is mainly forested land containing coniferous species and aspen, but some exposed slopes contain chapparal and rangeland vegetation. About 30 summer homes, which utilize septic tanks, are located in this canyon.

## Sampling Sites

Water and sediment sampling was undertaken on this project. Four water sampling sites (Figure 1) were chosen to represent general areas within the
reservoir. These sites ( $C, J, P, D$ ) were used for all water sampling in the reservoir. Sediment samples were taken from 17 different locations (Figure 3).

Stream sampling sites (Figure 2) were chosen to reflect differences in land use and for convenience of access. The land above DUP is undisturbed rangeland, and DG records the effects of the hay production operation. Sites PG and PGOLF delineated the golf course effect, and sites $P F$ and LF were chosen to monitor the contributions from Lambs and Parleys Creeks before they converge.


Figure 3. Mt. Dell Reservoir sediment sample sites.

## SAMPLING AND INDEXING

## Inflow

Six stream sampling stations were used to examine differences among land uses．Water from each station was sampled monthly at mid－channel and mid－depth for one year and analyzed for the parameters shown in Table 4. In addition，temperature and specific conductance measurements were taken with a YSI Model 33 conductivity meter． Water velocities were measured with a Marsh McBirney Model 201 current meter at surveyed points within a specified stream cross section．Water flows were calculated by multiplying the water velocity by the cross sectional area． Stations PG and DG contained weirs，and daily water flow values were obtained from Parleys water treatment plant．

Nutrient mass loading to the lake was estimated by multiplying the water flow times the nutrient concentration． Monthly nutrient mass loading values were based on the assumption that water flows and nutrient concentrations remained constant for each month． Monthly nutrient mass loadings for stations PG and DG were calculated by the product of integrated discharge vs． time plot and nutrient concentrations at the midpoint of the time interval （Scheider et al．1979）．

The nutrient mass loadings（total phosphorus，orthophosphate，and total inorganic nitrogen）were statistically analyzed with a Friedman Rank Sum Test （Hollander and Wolfe 1973）．Because site PGOLF was not sampled each month， this site was not included in the statistical analyses．Instead，the monthly sum of the nutrient mass load－ ings from sites $P F$ and $L F$ was used．

Nutrient fluxes were also calcu－ lated to investigate differences among watershed subareas．Subareas within the watershed were delineated，charac－ terized，and estimated（Table 5）． Areal nutrient fluxes were calculated monthly by taking the difference in nutrient loading between adjacent sampling sites and dividing by the area contained within those sites．

## Reservoir Sediments

## Nutrient concentration status

The sediment sampling had two major purposes．An assessment of the differ－ ences in nutrient concentrations among sediments obtained from different locations might indicate localized point sources（Schmalz 1971）．In addition， the measurements could be used to esti－ mate the effect of reservoir drawdown on sediment nutrient concentrations．

Sediment samples were taken from 17 different locations in Mt．Dell Reser－ voir．（Figure 3）．Sediments from sites 1－11 were collected with a shovel during December 1980 when the drawdown had exposed these locations．Samples were taken from sites 13－17 with an Eckman dredge during December 1981．These sites have rarely been dewatered． Site 12 （also sampled December 1981）was located at approximately the same spot as site 4 and was sampled with a shovel．

Dried sediments were first sieved with a $⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三 一 120$ USA Standard sieve and then analyzed for total nitrogen，total phosphorus，total organic carbon，and total available phosphorus（Table 4）． Since replication was not performed，a hierarchical cluster analysis computer

Table 4. Methods and references for chemical and physical analyses.

| Paramater | Method | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dissolved oxygen | Azide modification of Winkler, Dissolved oxygen probe | APHA (p. 390, 1980) |
| Organic carbonb | Combustion, infrared | Menzel and Vaccaro (1964) Oceanographic International Carbon Analyzer |
| NITROGEN |  |  |
| Ammonia | Indophenol | Solorzano (1969) |
| Nitrate-nitrite | Cadmium reduction | APHA (p. 376, 1980) |
| Kjeldahl nitrogen | Digestion, distillation | APHA (p. 383, 1980) |
| Total nitrogen | Micro-dumas Technique | Coleman total nitrogen analyzer manual model |
| Organic nitrogen | (Kjeldahl-N) - ( $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ ) | . |
| PHOSPHORUS |  |  |
| Orthophosphate | Ascorbic acid | APHA (p. 420, 1980) |
| Total phosphorus | Ascorbic acid | APHA (p. 420, 1980 ) |
| Total phosphorusa | Persulfate digestion | Schmalz (1971) |
| Total available phosphorusa | Dilute Fluoride - dilute HCL soluble $P$ | Schmalz (1971) |
| Total alkalinity | Potentiometric | APHA (p. 253, 1980) |
| Total and calcium hardness | EDTA titrimetric | APHA (p. 195, 1980) |
| Specific conductance | Conductivity probe | Mark V Water Quality Analyzerc |
| pH | pH probe | Mark V Water Quality Analyzerc |
| Temperature | Thermistor probe | Mark V Water Quality Analyzerc |
| Il lumination | Photoce11 | Lambda LI-185 Meter ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Chlorophyll ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Fluorescence | APHA (p. 952, 1980) |
| Secchi disk transparency | Lee side of boat | Lind (1974) |

asediment samples.
$b_{\text {Aqueous }}$ and sediment samples.
cMontedero Whitney Corp., San Luis Obispo, CA.
dLI-COR Inc/LI-Cor Ltd., Lincoln, NB.

Table 5. Description of watershed areas.

| Area | Stream Section | Watershed Description Summary | Area <br> (ha) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Source to DUP | Undisturbed rangeland and <br> partially forested | 3108 |
| B | DUP to DG | Undisturbed rangeland and hay <br> production | 1710 |
| C | Source to PF | Undisturbed rangeland, Highway <br> I-80, and some beaver activity | 2461 |
| D | Source to LF | Undisturbed rangeland and | 3341 |
| F | summer homes |  |  |
| F fork to PGOLF | Undisturbed rangeland, Highway <br> I-80, and some beaver activity | 1352 |  |

program (Marshall and Romesberg 1979) was used to define similar locations with respect to the measured variables. This program used the average Euclidean distance as a measure of dissimilarity and an unweighted pair group method using arithmetic means as the clustering method (Sneath and Sokal 1973).

Nutrient uptake and release
Many lake restoration strategies use some form of sediment management (Dunst et al. 1974; USEPA 1979b; Jorgensen 1980; USEPA 1980). The design of these schemes requires quantification of sediment nutrient uptake and release.

The experimental unit. Three phase microcosms were used to assess eplimnetic and hypolimnetic sediments. The microcosms (Figure 4) were similar in design to those used by Porcella et al. (1975). These microcosms were filled with approximately 9 liters of water after sediment additions, and the


Figure 4. Schematic design of three phase aquatic microcosm.
water was mixed with magnetic driven water stirrers. The bottom 15 cm of the microcosms were painted black to inhibit growth of photosynthetic organisms in the sediments. Gases were retained by the addition of a gas trap containing 2.5 percent $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (Andrews et al. 1964), and an acid trap was added as a precautionary measure against acid entering the microcosms during medium exchanges.

The experimental design. The experimental design is outlined in Table 6. This design studied 12 experimental units under various 1 ight and dark conditions and with two sediment types. Thus, a 2 by 2 split plot factorial through time design was used to determine the effects of sediment type, light conditions, and time on a number of measured variables.

The experimental conditions. Light and temperature conditions were maintained as constant as possible for the study duration. Temperature was maintained at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 2.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and overhead lighting was provided by Optima 50 fluorescent bulbs (Duro Test Corporation). The lighted microcosms were placed under a 12 hour light-12 hour dark cycle. Light intensity reaching
the sediment surfaces and water surfaces of the microcosms were 1450 and 5000 lux respectively.

Sediment collection and analyses. Sediments were collected from Mt. Dell Reservoir at two locations (sites 12 and 17) by previously discussed methods. Each sediment was thoroughly mixed the following day and analyzed (see previous subsection). Sediment subsamples were randomly added to each microcosm. The plan was to fill each microcosm with equal sediment weights and volumes, but gross density differences between the two sediment types (Table 7) prevented this. Sediments from site 12 weighed approximately $1.5-1.7 \mathrm{kilograms}$ dry weight whereas those from site 17 weighed 0.9-1.1 kilograms. Sediment volumes from sites 12 and 17 were approximately 2.5 and 2.8 liters respectively. In the end, a compromise was reached where neither weight nor volume was equal.

Input medium and exchange. The input medium was intended to approximate average water conditions found in Mt. Dell Reservoir. Medium constituents and concentrations are given in Table 8. The medium was pretreated by first

Table 6. Microcosm experimental design.a

| Sediment: | Treatments |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eplimnetic |  | Hypolimnetic |  |
| Light: | Light/Dark | Dark | Light/Dark | Dark |
| Replicates: | EL1 | ED1 | HL1 | HD1 |
|  | EL2 | ED2 | HL2 | HD2 |
|  | EL3 | ED3 | HL3 | HD3 |

aChemical analyses on days $1,7,13,18, \ldots, 54$.

Table 7. Initial microcosm and sediment conditions.

| Microcosm Replicate |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mass of } \\ \text { Dry Sediment, } g \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume of } \\ & \text { Medium Added, } \ell \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E11 |  | 1574 | 10.25 |
| EL2 |  | 1599 | 10.14 |
| EL3 |  | 1560 | 10.28 |
| ED1 |  | 1578 | 10.27 |
| ED2 |  | 1559 | 10.16 |
| ED3 |  | 1617 | 10.23 |
| HL1 |  | 1028 | 9.95 |
| HL2 |  | 962 | 9.90 |
| HL3 |  | 950 | 9.88 |
| HD1 |  | 947 | 9.97 |
| HD2 |  | 984 | 9.75 |
| HD3 |  | 1050 | 9.83 |
| Sediment Type and Replicates | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } P \text { P } \\ \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } N \\ \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} \end{gathered}$ | Total Organic Carbon mg/g |
| E1 | 1156 | 422 | 6.85 |
| E2 | 1172 | 495 | 6.79 |
| E3 | 1168 | 298 | 7.52 |
| H1 | 2010 | 2629 | 23.73 |
| H2 | 2104 | 2372 | 23.26 |
| H3 | 2092 | 2616 | 18.89 |

bubbling with carbon dioxide to dissolve calcium compounds and then aerating to increase the pH to normal levels. Initially, the aeration time was inadequate for lowering $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ levels in the medium, but the duration was later extended so that aeration effectively lowered $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ levels. The medium was then cooled to approximately 6 degrees below ambient water temperature to prevent mixing of fresh medium with that being removed. Medium exchange occurred every other day by following procedures outlined by Porcella et al. (1975). In order to keep approximately equal water retention times between microcosms with different sediment volumes, 950 ml were exchanged in microcosms with site 12 sediments whereas 900 ml were exchanged in micro-
cosms with site 17 sediments. This resulted in a $20-\mathrm{day}$ retention time for all microcosms.

Gas production. Gas production was monitored daily by reading the change in acid level on the 50 ml burettes. Room temperature and barometric pressure readings were also taken to account for any changes associated with these variables.

Chemical and gas analyses. Every 6 days the effluent water was retained and analyzed. Analyses included dissolved oxygen, total alkalinity, pH , total organic carbon, soluble copper, total phosphorus and orthophosphate, ammonia, nitrate-nitrite, total hardness, and calcium hardness (Table 4). In addi-

Table 8. Medium constituents and concentrations.

| Stock <br> Solution | Compound | Conc. in Stock g/l | Dilution <br> in Feed | Element | Final Conc. in <br> Microcosm ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / 1$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}$ | 0.607 | $1 \rightarrow 1000$ | N | 100 |
| $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MgSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.95 \\ & 9.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \rightarrow 1000 \\ & 10 \rightarrow 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Mg | 1755 |
| $A_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.642 \\ & 0.900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \rightarrow 1000 \\ & 100 \rightarrow 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Ca | 69600 |
| $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ | 13.8 | $10 \rightarrow 1000$ | Na | 51500 |
| $A_{5}$ | KHCO3 | 2.46 | $1 \rightarrow 1000$ | K | 1000 |
| $A_{6}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ | 0.790 |  | P | 14 |
| $\mathrm{A}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ | 0.017 | $1 \rightarrow 1000$ | Zn | 8 |
|  | $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.250 | $1 \rightarrow 1000$ | Mn | 68 |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ | 0.550 | $1 \rightarrow 1000$ | B | 96 |
| $\mathrm{A}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.360 | $0.1 \rightarrow 1000$ | Co | 9 |
|  | $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.110 | $0.1 \rightarrow 1000$ | Cu | 4 |
| $\mathrm{A}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.126 | $1 \rightarrow 1000$ | Fe | 26 |
|  | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{EDTA} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.300 | $1 \rightarrow 1000$ | $\mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{EDTA} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |

tion, gas samples were taken from the gas septa with $1-\mathrm{ml}$ disposable syringes and analyzed for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and N 2 with a Hewlett Packard 5750 research gas chromatograph (refer to Porcella et al. (1975) for operating conditions).

Data analyses. The data collected were examined with a computer program that calculates mass balances for selected chemical species. This program also estimates concentration values for most variables on days between analyses. (See Porcella et al. (1975) for complete details of the program.) Output from the program was statistically analyzed by ANOVA methods (Hurst 1972).

## General Limnology

The reservoir's general limnology was described with 14 different parameters. Measured physical parameters included temperature, Secchi disk transparency, and illumination, while chemical parameters included dissolved oxygen, specific conductance, pH , total and orthophosphate phosphorus, alkalinity, organic nitrogen, ammonia, nitrate, nitrite, and chlorophyll a (Table 4).

Four sites (C, J, P, D) were sampled monthly at different depths during this study. However, sites J, P,
and $D$ could not be sampled every month because the drawdown dewatered these sites periodically.

Mean concentrations of total phosphorus, orthophosphate, total nitrogen, total soluble inorganic nitrogen (nitrite + nitrate + ammonia), chlorophyll $a$, and uncorrected chlorophyll were analyzed statistically with ANOVA analyses to determine spatial differences. Because the drawdown dewatered sites $J, P$, and $D$ for a number of months, only June through August data were statistically analyzed.

## Nutrient Limitation

Since many existing trophic state indices assume a phosphorus limited system, it is prudent to determine a system's nutrient limitations before using these indices. Monthly water samples from the surface of Mt. Dell Reservoir (site C, Figure 1), site PG, and site $D G$ were tested for nutrient limitation with the "Algal Assay Procedures: Bottle Test" (USEPA 1971, 1978). Samples were pretreated by filtering the water through a rinsed $0.45 \mu$ millipore membrane filter. The pretreated waters were routinely analyzed for the major forms of nitrogen and phosphorus (Table 4), and inorganic soluble nitrogen:orthophosphate phosphorus ratios were determined.

Chemical ratios are based on a simple photosynthesis-respiration reaction and its stoichiometry and usually do not account for nutrient co-limitations. However, Chiaudani and Vighi (1974) and Forsberg (1980) proposed certain co-limitation ranges for TSIN: $\mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{P}(5-10)$ and TN:TP (10-17) ratios respectively, and these are used in this study.

The bioassays were conducted according to USEPA (1971, 1978) procedures with Selenastrum capricornutum as the test alga. All experimental units were in triplicate. Table 9 lists the algal bioassay medium constituents
and the basic experimental design. Disodium EDTA (ethylene dinitrilo tetraacetic acid) was added to the reservoir water during experimentation as a precautionary measure against metal toxicity.

Algal biomass was indirectly measured with optical density (Bausch and Lomb Spectrophotometer 70 at 750 nm , 1 cm cell) readings taken daily for 14 days. Optical density is linearly related to biomass as volatile suspended solids (Porcella et al. 1973). The regression equation used to convert optical density (OD) to volatile suspended solids (VSS) is:
vSS, $\mathrm{mg} / 1=280$ (OD) +17.9 . . (11)

The results of each treatment were reported as the maximum standing crop (MSC) obtained during the 14 days. MSC values were then statistically analyzed with a Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Steel and Torrie 1980; Nie et al. 1975) where differences were considered significant at the 95 percent level. Phosphorus limitation is indicated when treatment $C$ obtains a significantly higher MSC than all other treatments except D. Nitrogen limitation is indicated when treatment $B$ is significantly higher in MSC than all other treatments except D. Co-limitation is indicated when treatment $D$ has significantly higher MSC values than all other treatments. In this study, co-limitation did not imply simultaneous limitation by nitrogen and phosphorus but rather to a state where one nutrient is exhausted almost as fast as the other.

Metal toxicity is present when treatment $A^{+}$is significantly higher in MSC than treatment A. Treatments with disodium EDTA additions were used to determine limiting nutrients of reservoir water. Nutrient limitations are indicated in the same manner as those treatments without disodium EDTA additions.

Table 9. Algal bioassay medium constituents and experimental design.

| Treatment | Constituents |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | Control (sample water only) |
| A+ | Control $+1.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{EDTA} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 1^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| B | Control $+4.2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{N} / 1$ as $\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}$ |
| $B+$ | Control $+4.2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{N} / 1$ as $\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}+1.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Na} E D T A 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 1^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| C | Control $+0.093 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{P/1}$ as $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ |
| C+ | Control $+0.093 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{P/1}$ as $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}+1.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Na}$ EDTA $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| D | Control + N + p (as above) |
| D+ | Control $+\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{Na} 2$ EDTA $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (as above) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |

aReservoir water only.

## Trophic State Indices

For a better overall picture of the trophic state of Mt. Dell Reservoir, a number of indices were applied. From these, a relative trophic state range encompassed both optimistic and pessimistic estimates (Bradford and Maiero 1978). The trophic indices applied were Carlson's TSI indices, the LEI model, Vollenweider's (1976) loading model, and a qualitative assessment of indigenous algal groups and species.

Carlson's TSI equations and the LEI model were discussed in the literature review, and the required variables (TP, $S D, C h 1$ ) and ( $T P, S D, C A, T N, D O, P M A C$ ), respectively, were measured as described in a previous section. Carlson's TSI values were calculated monthly tprovide an insight to seasonal fluctuations in the trophic state.

Vollenweider's model was used to predict epilimnetic chlorophyll a. Vollenweider's model equation is:

Ch1 $\left.\underline{a}\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)=0.367\left(\frac{\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{p}} / q_{s}}{\left(1+\sqrt{z} / q_{s}\right.}\right)\right)^{0.91}$
in which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{P}}= \text { areal phosphorus loading } \\
&(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m} 2 / \mathrm{yr})
\end{aligned}
$$

Monthly phosphorus loadings were calculated by multiplying each stream's monthly phosphorus concentration by the average water flow for that month. This study used 15 years of data to calculate an average monthly flow for each stream. The monthly phosphorus loading values were then added to give an average annual phosphorus loading. The average reservoir surface area was calculated by averaging 15 years of data obtained from
the Salt Lake Water Department (SLWD). These data were in the form of water volumes but were converted to surface areas by use of depth-area curves. Phosphorus loading per unit area was calculated by dividing the annual phosphorus loading term by the average reservoir surface area.

The water loading per unit area was calculated by dividing the average inflow plus precipitation by the average reservoir surface area. Mean inflows and areas were calculated from 15 years of SLWD data while precipitation data were obtained from climatological records.

Since the 1980-1981 water year was moderately "dry," Vollenweider's (1976) model was also used with 1980-1981 hydrologic data to see if the predicted mean summer epilimnetic chlorophyll a concentration differed from that predicted with "average" water year data.

The short hydraulic retention time ( 0.16 year) of the reservoir and the fact that releases are timed to match water supply needs may cause great error in Vollenweider's model prediction. Vollenweider assumes that a calendar year is the time frame in which limnological processes occur. With such a short hydraulic retention time and the drawndown required to meet water supply needs, many hydrological parameters (such as reservoir volume, area, and retention time) can vary widely within a year's time. These changes and their effects may be lost when an annual time scale is used.

In order to examine the situation in a shorter time frame, total phosphorus areal and critical loadings (see Vollenweider 1976) were calculated monthly for both the 1980-1981 water year and the "average" water year. These calculations were intended to indicate not only when critical loadings occurred but also the effect of different hydrologic data on total phosphorus areal and critical loadings.

Finally, Palmer's (1969) algal pollution index was used to rate the reservoir water with respect to organic pollution. The presence of certain genera indicates the relative degree of organic pollution (Table 10). Genera present in concentrations of 50 per milliliter are noted and the pollution index values summed. A score of 20 or more indicates high organic pollution whereas scores of 15-19 indicate a possibility of high organic pollution. Lower scores suggest low organic pollution, unrepresentative samples, or some interfering substance. All reservoir water samples collected were analyzed for algal identification and enumeration.

Table 10. Palmer's algal genus pollution index.

| Genus | Pollution Index |
| :---: | :---: |
| Anacyctis | 1 |
| Ankistrodesus | 2 |
| Chlamydomonas | 4 |
| Chlorella | 3 |
| Closterium | 1 |
| Cyclotella | 1 |
| Euglena | 5 |
| Gomphonema | 1 |
| Lepocinclis | 1 |
| Melosira | 1 |
| Micractinium | 1 |
| Navicula | 3 |
| Nitzschia | 3 |
| Oscillatoria | 5 |
| Pandorina | 1 |
| Phacus | 2 |
| Phormidium | 1 |
| Scenedesmus | 4 |
| Stigeoclonium | 2 |
| Synedra | 2 |

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

## Physical and Nutrient Descriptions of the Watershed

Appendix A presents temperatures, flows, specific conductances, and nutrient concentrations for each stream site. Stream temperatures ranged seasonally from 0.0 to 13.0 degrees centigrade. The upper sites were generally one degree cooler than the lower sites.

Temperature corrected specific conductance ranged from 222 to 1538 $\mu m h o s / c m$ although most samples were between 300 and $600 \mu$ mhos/cm. Site PF was generally twice as high in specific conductance as the other sites. Low conductivities (222 and 427) at site PF during mid-winter were most likely due to dilution effects from ice melt. Conductivities generally remained in the $400-600$ range during summer, fall, and winter but decreased by 100 pmhos/cm during spring runoff. In general, downstream sites had higher conductivities than upstream sites.

Stream nutrient loadings usually increased downstream (Table 11). Monthly loading of total phosphorus ranged from 0.32 to $125 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mo}$ with the highest values during spring runoff and associated with the larger flow (see Appendix A). Orthophosphate loadings followed the same trends as total phosphorus but ranged from 0.13 to 33.7 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mo}$. Total soluble inorganic nitrogen (TSIN) loadings also peaked during spring runoff. TSIN loadings ranged from 0.88 to $231 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mo}$. Nutrient loadings generally increased ten fold during spring runoff.

Significant differences between sites were found for all nutrient
loadings tested at the 5 percent level. Paired comparisons based on Friedman Rank Sums revealed only one possible major nutrient source and indicated that one watershed area may be eliminated from further study (Appendix A).

On the Dell Creek system, total phosphorus and orthophosphate loadings did not significantly differ between sites DG and DUP. However, there was a significant difference between total soluble inorganic nitrogen loadings.

The area between these two sites is mainly undisturbed rangeland but it does contain one hay field adjacent to the stream. Omernik (1976, 1977) reported rangeland as having lower inorganic nitrogen stream export values than other land uses whereas agricultural land had the highest values.

On the Parleys Creek system, the nutrient loadings of site $P F$ differed significantly from the nutrient loadings at all other sites. Comparisons of nutrient loadings among the system's other sites did not indicate any significant differences.

Since the nutrient loadings of site PF were significantly lower than those of the combination site (LF + PF) and the nutrient loadings of site LF did not significantly differ from those of site LF + PF, it can be concluded that the upper branch containing site PF does not contribute significant amounts of nutrients to the main stream. Further study is needed to delineate major nutrient sources along the main stream.

Areal nutrient fluxes are presented in Table 12. Total phosphorus areal flux ranged from -24.7 to $26.2 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{mo}$

Table 11. Stream nutrient loadings (kg/month).

| Site | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr . | May | Jun. | Ju1. | Aug . | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total-P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DUP | 3.15 | 3.17 | 2.31 | 3.39 | 1.14 | 3.99 | 1.53 | 8.32 | 81.3 | 19.9 | 16.7 | 6.58 |  |  |  |
| DG | 4.24 | 5.45 | 4.94 | 7.49 | 2.66 | 9.84 | 4.68 | 20.7 | 39.0 | 44.1 | 7.85 | 5.39 | 4.17 | 9.04 | 5.60 |
| PF | b | 0.88 | 0.76 | 0.88 | 0.73 | 0.53 | 0.32 | 6.48 | 42.8 | 14.2 | 1.65 | 2.42 |  |  |  |
| LF | 6.25 | 1.49 | 6.81 | 3.67 | 2.58 | 10.3 | 2.75 | 12.8 | 71.9 | 44.5 | 17.5 | 16.6 |  |  |  |
| PGOLF | 4.35 | 19.8 | a | a | a | a | a | a | 125 | 58.9 | 46.0 | 10.7 |  |  |  |
| PG | 16.1 | 9.61 | 8.17 | 6.70 | 2.61 | 10.4 | 5.18 | 16.8 | 53.8 | 57.5 | 28.3 | 9.93 | 3.64 | 10.5 | 16.6 |
| Ortho-P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DUP | 2.42 | 2.76 | 1.98 | 1.94 | 1.14 | 0.79 | 1.53 | 3.57 | 27.1 | 17.6 | 10.7 | 4.21 |  |  |  |
| DG | 2.94 | 4.73 | 1.53 | 7.28 | 4.20 | 4.22 | 4.46 | 7.93 | 16.6 | 33.7 | 5.87 | 4.23 | 4.17 | 9.04 | 4.20 |
| PF | b | 0.48 | 0.26 | 0.57 | 0.79 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 2.26 | 8.72 | 9.20 | 1.06 | 1.65 |  |  |  |
| LF | 6.25 | 5.28 | 3.52 | 3.49 | 3.52 | 3.08 | 2.57 | 5.53 | 21.1 | 22.8 | 10.8 | 6.83 |  |  |  |
| PGOLF | 4.35 | 7.16 | a | a | a | a | a | a | 24.0 | 31.0 | 21.0 | 6.99 |  |  |  |
| PG | 12.3 | 7.44 | 3.79 | 7.19 | 3.29 | 2.11 | 4.11 | 6.11 | 13.9 | 22.1 | 10.7 | 9.91 | 2.60 | 6.78 | 8.61 |
| TSIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DUP | 4.40 | 7.63 | 6.61 | 2.35 | 6.16 | 2.65 | 7.04 | 11.9 | 45.1 | 41.6 | 18.9 | 4.11 |  |  |  |
| DG | 14.7 | 15.2 | 36.3 | 18.8 | 36.3 | 29.4 | 37.6 | 39.6 | 82.9 | 231 | 109 | 24.7 | 10.4 | 19.8 | 10.9 |
| PF | b | 2.42 | 2.35 | 0.88 | 7.27 | 2.65 | 1.32 | 9.25 | 6.46 | 25.1 | 4.63 | 9.91 |  |  |  |
| LF | 16.9 | 74.9 | 7.05 | 18.4 | 51.7 | 49.3 | 18.4 | 51.1 | 70.5 | 152 | 72.2 | 36.0 |  |  |  |
| PGOLF | 3.26 | 37.2 | a | a | a | a | a | a | 50.5 | 186 | 145 | 37.0 |  |  |  |
| PG | 77.1 | 68.7 | 31.6 | 56.5 | 70.5 | 63.4 | 56.5 | 61.1 | 52.0 | 181 | $\cdot 79.1$ | 56.2 | 38.1 | 33.9 | 39.7 |

Table 12. Areal nutrient flux ( $\mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{mo}$ ) of watershed areas.

| Area | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Phosphorus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 1.01 | 1.02 | 0.74 | 1.09 | 0.37 | 1.28 | 0.49 | 2.68 | 26.2 | 6.40 | 5.37 | 2.12 |
| B | 0.64 | 1.33 | 1.54 | 2.40 | 0.89 | 3.42 | 1.84 | 7.24 | -24.7 | 14.2 | -5.18 | -0.70 |
| C | a | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 1.94 | 12.8 | 4.25 | 0.49 | 0.72 |
| D | 2.54 | 3.04 | 2.77 | 1.49 | 1.05 | 4.19 | 1.12 | 5.20 | 29.2 | 18.1 | 7.11 | 6.75 |
| E | a | 8.45 | a | a | a | a | a | a | 7.62 | 0.15 | 19.9 | -6.15 |
| F | 2.29 | -1.99 | a | a | a | a | a | a | -1.97 | -0.27 | -3.45 | -0.15 |
| Orthophosphate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 0.78 | 0.89 | 0.64 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.49 | 1.15 | 8.72 | 5.66 | 3.44 | 1.35 |
| B | 0.30 | 1.15 | -0.26 | 3.12 | 1.79 | 2.01 | 1.71 | 2.55 | -6.14 | 9.42 | -2.82 | 0.01 |
| C | a | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.68 | 2.61 | 2.75 | 0.32 | 0.49 |
| D | 2.54 | 2.15 | 1.43 | 1.42 | 1.43 | 1.25 | 1.04 | 2.25 | 8.57 | 9.26 | 4.39 | 2.78 |
| E | a | 1.04 | a | a | a | a | a | a | -4.30 | -0.74 | 6.76 | -1.10 |
| F | 1.55 | 0.05 | a | a | a | a | a | a | -1.97 | -1.74 | -2.01 | 0.57 |
| TSIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 1.42 | 2.45 | 2.13 | 0.76 | 1.98 | 0.85 | 2.27 | 3.83 | 14.5 | 13.4 | 6.08 | 1.32 |
| B | 6.02 | 4.43 | 17.4 | 9.62 | 17.6 | 15.6 | 17.9 | 16.2 | 22.1 | 111 | 52.7 | 12.0 |
| C | a | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.26 | 2.18 | 0.79 | 0.40 | 2.77 | 1.93 | 7.51 | 1.39 | 2.97 |
| D | 6.87 | 30.4 | 2.86 | 7.48 | 21.0 | 20.0 | 7.48 | 20.8 | 28.6 | 61.8 | 29.3 | 14.6 |
| E | a | -29.7 | a | a | a | a | a | a | -19.6 | 6.58 | 50.4 | -6.59 |
| F | 14.4 | 6.14 | a | a | a | a | a | a | 0.29 | -0.98 | -12.9 | 3.74 |

[^0]with negative values usually occurring in late spring and summer. This phenomenon may be due to decreased turbulence and settling of particulate phosphorus at downstream areas or to algal uptake. The two watershed areas of Dell Creek, A and $B$, were comparable in areal total phosphorus flux during fall and winter, but were noticeably different during spring and summer. Area $A$ doubled its monthly areal total phosphorus loading during late spring and summer, whereas watershed area B became a sink for total phosphorus. Watershed areas of Lambs and Parleys Creeks followed the same pattern of increased spring and summer areal loading and downstream areas acting as total phosphorus sinks. Orthophosphate areal flux generally exhibited the same trends as total phosphorus except area $E$ acted as an orthophosphate sink during late spring and summer.

Total soluble inorganic nitrogen (TSIN) areal fluxes were variable with minimum and maximum values of -29.7 and $61.8 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{mo}$ respectively. Watershed areas B and D had higher flux rates than other areas throughout the year. These patterns were also present in other nutrient fluxes but were less noticeable. TSIN flux rates were highest during runoff and areas $E$ and $F$ occasionally acted as TSIN sinks during the late spring and summer.

There is a possibility of taking advantage of the downstream areas which act as nutrient sinks. If algal uptake is an important factor, algal growth in these downstream sections could be promoted. Clearing of shaded stream sections could provide more sunlight reaching the stream and consequently enhance algal growth. Increased algal growth and biomass could temporarily reduce stream nutrient loading levels.

On the other hand, clearing shaded stream sections could affect stream biota. Thorup (1966) found that certain aquatic invertebrates inhabited shaded areas while others preferred open
unshaded areas. Clearing shaded areas could drastically change the invertebrate community structure and possibly effect certain invertebrate predators (i.e., fish).

Clearing shaded areas may also alter the temperature regime (Karr and Schlosser 1977). Increased stream temperatures caused by clearing nearstream vegetation could lower in-stream oxygen concentrations, increase stream sediment nutrient release, and reduce invertebrate and fish production.

## Reservoir Sediments

Nutrient concentration status of sediments

The results of the nutrient analyses for Mt. Dell Reservoir sediments are listed in Appendix B. These data indicated a general increase in TP, TN, and $T O C$ concentrations with depth. Sites 1 and 10 contained relatively high concentrations of total available phosphorus, $T P, T N$, and $T O C$. These sites represented seep/spring areas. Horizontal differences were not apparent.

Cluster analyses for the four parameters (Figures 5 to 8) indicated similarities between locations with respect to $\mathrm{TP}, \mathrm{TN}$, and TOC when the two largest clusters in each figure are chosen. Seep/spring areas were grouped with those sites (14-17) which were rarely dewatered, whereas frequently dewatered sites tended to cluster into another group. Cluster analyses with total available phosphorus suggested no discernible grouping with respect to either vertical location or dewatering frequency.

These results may reflect drawdown effects. Kamp-Nielsen and Hargrave (1978) found accumulation of nitrogen, phosphorus, and organic carbon at the base of a steep slope in Lake Esrom. They suggested sediment slumping, turbidity flows, or gradual erosion


Figure 5. Dendogram of sediment total organic carbon. N


Figure 7. Dendogram of total available phosphorus.


Figure 6. Dendogram of sediment total nitrogen.


Figure 8. Dendogram of sediment total phosphorus.
could cause these accumulations. These effects would tend to move finer and lighter particles into deeper areas (Peterson 1981). Schmalz (1971) found this phenomenon in Hyrum Reservoir, Utah. The annual winter drawdown of Mt. Dell Reservoir could be causing a progressive drawdown movement of fine sediment particles to lower elevations.

Unfortunately, nutrient concentration analyses do not necessarily reflect the effects of a drawdown on sediment nutrient uptake and release. Conflicting reports are found in the literature, and it is probable that the effects are case specific. Fox et al. (1977) reported the same or lower nutrient levels in overlying water after a pool simulated drawdown, but Plotkin (1979) and Arenas and De La Lanza (1981) reported ópposite results. Phosphorus concentrations in overlying waters were increased by simulated drawdowns. Gahler (1969) found increases in the soluble phosphorus fraction of sediment samples after freezing. These studies suggest the need for case specific research when determining the effect of a drawdown on sediment nutrient uptake and release.

Sediment nutrient uptake and release

Differences in nutrient uptake and release with substrate type (rarely dewatered or frequently dewatered), light, and time were assessed with three-phase microcosms. Table 13 lists the $F$ values which were significant at the 1 or 5 percent level for 14 different parameters. These data can be found in the Utah Water Research Laboratory Library archives.

The most sensitive parameters to the treatments were the nitrogenous compounds. This would be expected since oxygen concentrations were initially low ( $<5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ) but later increased, and these increases apparently led to nitrification of ammonia to nitrite and nitrate in the lighted
microcosms containing hypolimnetic sediments. Total phosphorus concentrations did not significantly differ with any treatment except time, while orthophosphate concentrations were more sensitive. Significant interactions between time and substrate and time and light occurred. Total phosphorus mass balances were not significantly different in any treatment or interaction, while orthophosphate mass balances were significantly different for most treatments and interactions. These responses may reflect bacterial and oligochaete activities in the hypolimnetic sediment microcosms or algal $\mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{P}$ uptake in the lighted microcosms. Dissolved oxygen concentrations were relatively sensitive to the treatments and responded to time, light, substrate and time, and substrate and light. All other parameters were low or moderate in sensitivity.

The lack of significant differences between total phosphorus concentrations or mass balances between the two sediment types (rarely dewatered and frequently dewatered) implies no significant effects of drawdown on sediment function. However, orthophosphate mass balances varied significantly with sediment type. Neglecting the lighted microcosm results, where algal uptake removed orthophosphate, epilimnetic (frequently dewatered) sediments released orthophosphate, whereas hypolimnetic (rarely dewatered) sediments usually acted as orthophosphate sinks. Dunst et al. (1974) reported one case where the authors suggested sediment desiccation will accelerate microbial conversion of organic forms of nutrients to inorganic forms. On the other hand, the results could simply reflect the sorptive capacities of the sediments (Williams et al. 1970).

Total phosphorus areal fluxes fror the sediments are presented in Figures 9 to 13 and Table 14 . Positive values indicate net releases of total phosphorus from the sediments, whereas negative values represent sediment sinks for total phosphorus. Attached algae

Table 13. Significant effects and interactions on response parameters as affected by experimental treatments.



Figure 9. Sediment and algal total phosphorus flux for microcosms ELL, EL2, and EL3.


Figure 10. Sediment total phosphorus flux for microcosms EDl, ED2, and ED3.


Figure 11. Sediment total phosphorus flux for microcosms HD1, HD2, and HD3.


Figure 12. Sediment and algal total phosphorus flux for microcosms HLl and HL2.


Figure 13. Sediment and algal total phosphorus flux for microcosm HL3.

Table 14. Ranges and means of total phosphorus flux rates ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$-day) for all microcosms.

| Microcosm | Range | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ED1 | -0.538 to +2.773 | +0.660 |
| ED2 | -0.400 to +2.204 | +0.611 |
| ED3 | -0.457 to +1.263 | +0.434 |
| HD1 | -1.212 to +1.579 | -0.049 |
| HD2 | -1.266 to +2.241 | +0.186 |
| HD3 | -2.566 to +1.555 | -0.303 |
| EL1 | -1.294 to +1.720 | -0.141 |
| EL2 | -1.371 to +1.398 | -0.242 |
| EL3 | -1.371 to +4.597 | +0.221 |
| HL1 | -2.696 to +0.866 | -0.376 |
| HL2 | -2.239 to +0.849 | -0.258 |
| HL3 | -11.28 to +21.84 | +2.301 |

were not included in the mass balances of lighted microcosms; thus, the total phosphorus fluxes were essentially exchanges between a combination of sediments and attached algae and a combination of water and free floating algae. The dark microcosms did not contain algae, and bacteria were assumed to be minimal. Thus, total phosphorus fluxes were calculated on a basis of exchange between the sediments and the overlying water.

Total phosphorus flux rates were variable in all situations and did not reach a discernible steady state except in microcosm HL3 (see Figures 9 to 13). Epilimnetic sediments initially exhibited high positive flux rates, 1.0 to $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$-day, but the flux decreased below $2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m} 2$-day after the first 10 days. Epilimnetic sediments and attached algae in illuminated microcosms (EL) acted as total phosphorus sinks, whereas eplimnetic sediments in continual darkness (ED) released total phosphorus. Hypolimnetic sediments in darkness (HD) were more variable through time than the ED or EL microcosms. HD sediment fluxes ranged from -1.21 to $+2.24 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}-\mathrm{day}$. HD sediments initially acted as total phosphorus sinks (settling of particles from initial filling) but later released total phosphorus at rates up to 2.24 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$-day. The total phosphorus flux rates of the first two illuminated microcosms containing hypolimnetic sediments (HL) ranged from -2.70 to $+0.87 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}-\mathrm{day}$ and were usually negative. Microcosm HL3 flux rates were radically different than the other two replicates. Total phosphorus fluxes ranged from -11.3 to $21.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$-day. The initial total phosphorus releases coincided with low oxygen conditions ( 0.0 to $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ ) while the temporary total phosphorus losses to the sediments and attached algae coincided with increased visible attached algae (see Appendix $F$ ) and higher dissolved oxygen concentrations. The steady state total phosphorus flux rate was approximately $0.42 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}-$ day.

Lee (1970) reviewed the factors which affect the transfer of materials between water and sediments. Dissolved oxygen concentration, sediment nutrient composition, pH , mixing, iron dynamics, and temperature are the most frequently studied factors (Mortimer 1941-1942; Syers et al. 1973; Banoub 1977; Lijklema 1977; Lee et al. 1977; Armstrong 1979; Frevert 1980; Holdren and Armstrong 1980). The phosphorus flux rates of microcosm HL3 did seem to reflect an effect of dissolved oxygen concentration, and in general, anaerobic conditions tend to enhance phosphorus releases from sediments. Water temperatures and pH were relatively constant. Iron was not analyzed. Because of mixing in this study, the results may overestimate in-lake release rates of unmixed situations.

The total phosphorus flux rates obtained in this study were comparable to other microcosm studies. Porcella et al. (1970) reported sediment total phosphorus release rates of 9.40 to $47.47 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$-day. Medine (1979) reported steady state release rates of -0.678 to $+0.095 \mathrm{mg} P / \mathrm{m}^{2}$-day. The dark microcosms of this study exhibited total phosphorus release rates of -2.57 to $+2.77 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$-day.

The more reliable flux rates obtained in this study were from dark microcosms. This was so because algal uptake could not be quantified in the lighted microcosms. Since the experiment was run at room temperature $\left(\sim 21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, the resultant flux rates are probably higher than would normally occur in the reservoir. Holdren and Armstrong (1980) have shown increased nutrient release with increased temperatures. With this in mind, the experimental results are perhaps more applicable to summer conditions.

Total summer (June-August) external total phosphorus load was approximately 248 kilograms. Using the mean flux rate of total phosphorus from the dark microcosms, the sediment would con-
tribute a phosphorus load of about 6.79 kilograms. This would only be 2.7 percent of the summer external phosphorus load. In general, Mt. Dell Reservoir sediments do not contribute a significant portion of the phosphorus load during the summer.

To provide an annual perspective, an ED+HD total phosphorus flux rate (Table 14) was used to estimate the mean annual total phosphorus mass loading to Mt. Dell Reservoir. This mass loading was 2.3 percent of the total annual total phosphorus loading to Mt. Dell Reservoir. To get an idea of what could happen during extreme conditions, a theoretical maximum annual total phosphorus mass loading was calculated from the highest total phosphorus flux rate obtained in the study ( $21.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2-}$ day in $H L 3$, anaerobic conditions). Using this flux rate, the sediments could contribute 66.8 percent of the total phosphorus mass loading. Therefore, the sediments could be a major phosphorus source if anaerobic conditions occur.

## General Limnology

The general limnology is summarized in Table 15 and plotted for a number of parameters in Figures 14 to 28 . The raw physical and chemical data can be found in Appendix D. Statistical analyses for detecting nutrient concentration differences between sampling sites can be found in Appendix E. Algal enumerations are presented in Appendix $F$.

Temperature data (Figure 14) indicated a dimictic lake with spring and fall isothermal conditions and winter and summer stratifications. Summer stratification began in May and ended in August with maximum surface temperatures reaching $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in July. The weak stratification patterns during summer were probably due to upper level port discharges which raise the thermocline or prevent thermal increases.

Dissolved oxygen concentrations (Figure 15) indicated hypolimnetic oxygen depletion in the main basin during July and August with minimum concentrations reaching $3.0-4.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. Relatively higher concentrations were present during the summer at depths of 6 to 9 meters below the surface. These values coincided with high chlorophyll $\frac{a}{}$ concentrations. Permanent anoxic conditions were not found in the main basin.

The pH values measured were never below 7.4 (Figure 16). Thus, this reservoir would be classified as an alkaline system. Values of pH ranged from 7.4 to 8.5 with lower values generally found at greater depths. Except during July, pH values did not coincide well with metalimnetic maxima. Seasonally, pH remained relatively stable. In general, horizontal differences in pH were not apparent.

Excluding the March shoreline grab sample, temperature corrected conductivity ranged from 416-752 $\mu \mathrm{mhos} / \mathrm{cm}$ (Figure 17). Conductivity generally increased with depth except during June (site J) and July (site C). Seasonal trends were indicated with generally higher conductivities during fall and winter (550-650 $\mu \mathrm{mhos} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) and low conductivities during May and June (400-500 $\mu \mathrm{mhos} / \mathrm{cm}$ ). High values during fall and winter might be due to low flow-high conductivity strear water inputs and relatively low reservoir volumes while low May and June values could have been due to spring runoff dilution effects with low conductivity stream waters.

Total alkalinity ranged from 104 to $263 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CaCO}_{3} / 1$. Total alkalinity profiles (Figure 18) were generally similar to conductivity profiles with higher values found at lower depths. High alkalinities (200-260 mg $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3} / 1$ ) were found during the winter and low values ( $100-200 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) during spring and summer. Low spring values were probably due to runoff dilution effects.

Table 15. Percent surface illumination at site $C$ and Sechi transparencies.

| Depth (m) | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In_ Ice Cover |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 31.8 | 59.1 | 45.7 | 11.2 | 16.7 | 2.0 |  | 18.2 | 54.3 | 54.5 | 51.4 | 66.7 |
| 2 | 20.5 | 34.1 | 18.9 | 11.1 | 3.6 | 0.8 |  | 1.0 | 21.7 | 29.9 | 28.6 | 36.7 |
| 3 | 18.2 | 18.6 | 8.6 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 |  | 0.4 | 10.2 | 19.5 | 25.0 | 23.3 |
| 4 | 11.4 | 9.1 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  | 0.2 | 4.1 | 13.0 | 16.4 | 14.4 |
| 5 | 9.1 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 | 1.2 | 7.8 | 10.7 | 8.9 |
| 6 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  | 0.8 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 6.7 |
| 7 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| 8 | 1.5 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| 9 | 0.9 |  |  |  | . |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| 10 | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 11 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 12 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 13 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bottom (m) | 22.0 | 15.5 | 13.8 | 13.5 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 21.0 | 22.0 | 28.5 | 27.0 | 23.0 |
| Secchi Transparency (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 1.0 |  | 1.8 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 2.5 |
| J |  | 2.5 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.1 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| P |  | $1.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.5 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| D |  | $1.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.1 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 2.5 |

a0n bottom.


TIME (1980-1981)
Figure 14. Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) isopleth for site $C$ in Mt. Dell Reservoir.


Figure 15. Dissolved oxygen concentration (mg/l) isopleth for site C in Mt. Dell Reservoir.


Figure 16. Isopleth of pH for site C in Mt. Dell Reservoir.


TIME (1980-1981)
Figure 17. Specific conductance ( $\mu$ mhos $/ \mathrm{cm}$, corrected to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) isopleth for site $C$ in Mt. Dell Reservoir.


Figure 18. Total alkalinity (mg/l as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) isopleth for site $C$ in Mt. Dell Reservoir.


TIME (1980-1981)
Figure 19. Total phosphorus concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{L}$ ) isopleth for site C in Mt. Dell Reservoir.


Figure 20. Orthophosphate concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{P} / 1$ ) isopleth for site C in Mt. Dell Reservoir.


Figure 21. Total soluble inorganic nitrogen concentration ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{l}$ ) isopleth for site C in Mt. Dell Reservoir.


Figure 22. Ammonia concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{l}$ ) isopleth for site C in Mt. Dell Reservoir.


TIME (1980-1981)
Figure 23. Nitrate plus nitrite concentration (mg $N / 1$ ) isopleth for site $C$ in Mt. Dell Reservoir.


Figure 24. Organic nitrogen concentration (mg $N / 1$ ) isopleth for site $C$ in Mt. Dell Reservoir.


TIME (1980-1981)
Figure 25. Total nitrogen concentration (mg $N / 1$ ) isopleth for site $C$ in Mt. Dell Reservoir.


Figure 26. Uncorrected chlorophyll concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / 1$ ) isopleth for site C in Mt. Dell Reservoir.


TIME (1980-1981)
Figure 27. Chlorophy11 a concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{l}$ ) isopleth for site C in Mt. Dell Reservoir.


KEY:


Figure 28. Percent composition of algal types in Mt. Dell Reservoir during the study period.

Low summer values may have been due to calcium carbonate precipitation (Messer et al. 1981).

Secchi transparencies ranged from 0.8 to 3.7 m and were similar with respect to location but differed seasonally (Table 15). Lower values were found during the winter when ice cover and high algal populations were present, and during the spring when stream runoff introduced turbidity. Relative vertical illuminations followed the same trend. Maximum light penetrations occurred during late spring and summer whereas lowest penetrations occurred during the winter. The lower limit of the photic zone ( 0.1 percent light level) was consistently found at half of the maximum depth.

Total phosphorus levels normally ranged from 10 to $76 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 1$ while orthophosphorus levels usually ranged from 1 to $30 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{P/1}$ (Figures 19 and 20). A
number of high values (Tables 32 and 33) were obtained from bottom collected water samples, and it was suspected that sediment disturbance caused these high values. Orthophosphorus concentrations were generally higher during the fall and at greater depths. Total phosphorus concentrations varied with depth and season. Higher concentrations were found near the reservoir's bottom or at depths which contained relatively high chlorophyll concentrations.

Nitrogenous compounds followed similar trends. TSIN nitrogen (Figure 21) was highest during the winter and early spring. Although ammonia concentrations were relatively high during fall and winter, $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$-N concentrations were high only in winter (Figures 22 and 23). The highest ammonia concentrations coincided with the lowest dissolved oxygen concentrations. Organic nitrogen (Figure 24) ranged from 0.0 to $1.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ with higher values occurring during the fall. Other seasons usually contained less than $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$. Total nitrogen (Figure 25) ranged from 0.02 to $1.76 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ and followed the same seasonal pattern as organic nitrogen.

Chlorophyll a concentrations ranged from 0.0 to $36.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 1$ while uncorrected values ranged from 0.2 to $53.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 1$ (Figures 26 and 27). Chlorophy11 a concentrations peaked during the winter when copper sulfate was not applied and varied over the other seasons. Summer values of chlorophyll a were generally $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 1$ or less while summer uncorrected values (up to $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 1$ ) were higher than any other season except for the winter algal blooms at the surface. Many months exhibited varied concentrations with depth with the highest values found at $3-12$ meters in depth. During the summer it was common to find relatively high chlorophyll a values below the photic zone.

ANOVA analyses did not indicate significant differences in total phosphorus, orthophosphate, total
nitrogen, TSIN, and chlorophyll concentrations with respect to reservoir location during June, July, or August (Appendix E). However, total phosphorus concentration did significantly differ with location during July. The general lack of significant differences is consistent with most studies. Statistical analyses were not performed with data from other seasons because sites $J$, $D$, and $P$ were dewatered.

Algal counts indicated seasonal changes in algal populations (Figure 28 and Appendix F). Flagellates (mostly Chlamydomonas sp., but also Pedinomonas, Cryptomonas, Carteria, and Trachelomonas spp.) coincided well with chlorophyll a levels. Peak levels were attained during the winter and at depths of 3-12 meters during the summer. Flagellates were present below the photic zone during the summer but were low in numbers. In general, flagellate numbers were higher during the winter and summer than in spring or fall. Diatoms (Navicula, Nitzschia, Melosira, Meridion, Diatoma, and Eunotia spp.) did not exhibit a typical spring bloom. Instead, peak numbers occurred during the fall. One unknown filamentous Cyanophyte type occurred in very low numbers except during the summer when peaks occurred in the upper 6 meters. Another unknown spherical type ( $<2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter) of Cyanophyta occurred in much larger numbers than any other organism. Counts greater than $50 / \mathrm{ml}$ for this organism occurred mainly during the fall and winter, and the month of May in the main basin. Site $J$ contained $>50 / \mathrm{ml}$ counts at depths greater than 3 meters during June and near the bottom and surface during May and August respectively. Site $P$ exhibited the same trend except $>50 / \mathrm{ml}$ counts for May and August were just the opposite relative to depth. Site $D$ consistently contained less than 50 organisms/ml during the summer.

## Nutrient Limitation

The nutrient limitations at sites $C$ (at the downstream end of the reservoir, Figure 1), DG, and PG (near where Dell and Parleys Creeks, respectively, flow into the reservoir, Figure 2) are summarized in Table 16. Algal bioassay maximum standing crops (MSC), a summary of statistical analyses, chemical ratios, and chemical analyses are given in Appendix $G$.

Algal bioassay tests indicated co-limitation for both stream waters for the whole year and Mt. Dell Reservoir water was co-limited throughout most of the year except in March (nitrogen limited) and July (phosphorus limited).

Total soluble inorganic nitrogen (TSIN):orthophosphate ( $\mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{P}$ ) ratios were quite variable (see Appendix G). Water in the reservoir (site C) was generally indicated as phosphorus limited during the winter and spring and nitrogen limited during the summer. Water from stream site DG was generally co-limited throughout the year (Table 16), however, phosphorus limitation occurred during July whereas nitrogen limitation occurred during May, September, October, and December. TSIN: $\mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{P}$ ratios of water from site PG indicated phosphorus limitation during the winter, co-limitation during the summer, and either nitrogen or phosphorus at other times. TSIN: $\mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{P}$ ratios based on total stream loading indicated winter phosphorus limitation, variable spring and summer limitations, and nitrogen limitation during the fall.

Total nitrogen (TN):total phosphorus (TP) ratios of water from site C indicated winter nitrogen limitation, late spring and fall phosphorus limitation, and summer nitrogen or phosphorus limitation. TN:TP ratios of water from site DG did not follow any seasonal

Table 16. Nutrient limitation according to algal bioassays and chemical ratios.a

awhere $\mathrm{P}=$ phosphorus limitation, $\mathrm{N}=$ nitrogen limitation, and $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{P}=$ comlimitation. Missing data is due to inadvertant disposal of sample.
bThe sites are shown in Figures 1 and 2.
trends (Table 16) except during the summer (co-limitation). TN:TP ratios of water from site PG indicated a dominance of co-limitation although phosphorus seemed to be limiting during the fall. Total stream loading TN:TP ratios were similar to the ratios of site PG.

The algal bioassay results suggested that either phosphorus or nitrogen could limit algal productivity. Although most lake management schemes are oriented towards phosphorus control, it may be more practical to control nitrogen. This study found that the area between sites DUP and DG contributed significant amounts of TSIN to Dell Creek. Controlling TSIN inputs from this area could limit algal biomass in the reservoir if nitrogen gas fixation by blue-green algae was minimal.

Unfortunately, blue-green algae were dominant (Figure 28) throughout the year, and their nitrogen fixing capabilities could minimize the effects of nitrogen reduction in the watershed. A potential remedy is suggested in the findings of Horne and Goldman (1972) that sublethal doses of copper sulfate ( $v 5-10 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu} / 1$ ) poison enzymes that are required for nitrogen fixation. Thus, the competitive advantage of blue-green algae during times of nitrogen limitation could be minimized by sublethal doses of copper sulfate.

The copper sulfate applications to Mt. Dell Reservoir could be sublethal. Bartlett et al. (1974) reported that copper was algicidal to Selenastrum capricornutum at $300 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ while complete growth inhibition required $90 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 1$ and initial growth inhibition required 50 $\mu g / l$ copper. Only during July and August did the algal bioassay reservoir water contain copper concentrations greater thar $300 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ (Appendix G). Interestingly, the algal bioassay toxicity tests did not indicate metal toxicity during August although the very Low July MSC values for all treatments suggested either toxicity or laboratory error.

Typical copper sulfate dosages for Mt. Dell Reservoir ranged from 300 to 1200 pounds per treatment and were intended to treat the upper 3 meters of the water column. Assuming that the copper was confined to this zone, these doses would result in a copper concentration range of 60 to $250 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu} / 1 \mathrm{in}$ the uppermost 3 meters of water. Thus, the higher doses of copper sulfate would be algicidal to S. capricornutum. Considering that the indigenous algae take at least 50 years to develop tolerance, concentrations greater than $300 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{l}$ may be required for effective control of indigenous species.

If the copper sulfate applications were indeed sublethal, then the competitive advantage of blue-green algae would be decreased, and a decrease in bluegreen algal dominance would result. Figure 28 indicates a decrease in dominance of blue-green algae during the summer (the major season of copper sulfate application) and thus suggests that the blue-green algae have lost their competitive advantage and that reduction of nitrogen inputs could reduce the algal biomass in the reservoir.

The TN:TP ratios also suggests sublethal doses of copper sulfate. For example, during early spring when copper sulfate is not applied, the reservoir surface water was nitrogen limited (Table 16) and blue-green algae dominated (Figure 28). During the summer copper sulfate application period, however, the dominance of blue-green algae decreased although nitrogen was again limiting.

The TSIN: $\mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{P}$ ratios suggested a direct effect of algae on nutrient limitation. For example, chlorophyll a concentrations were high and orthophosphate levels were low during periods of phosphorus limitation. Therefore, caution should be used in the interpretation of algal populations in a rapidly changing state.

The copper sulfate applications (either algicidal or sublethal) certainly affected algae. These applications killed at least a portion of algae which had utilized some of the $\mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{P}$ in the water column (Figure 29). Lysis of dying cells can liberate much of the cellular phosphorus as $\mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{P}$ in a matter of days (Gachter 1968; Golterman 1973). Thus, rapid recycling of $\mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{P}$ could shift waters from a phosphorus to a nitrogen limitation. In addition, algal luxury uptake of phosphorus may cause nitrogen limitation when in fact phosphorus is the limiting nutrient at the time of sampling (Fitzgerald 1969; Lee 1973). Miller et al. (1974) found that phosphorus limitation decreased as lake water productivity increased, and Vollenweider ( 1975,1976 ) also presented evidence that beyond certain advanced levels of eutrophication, water may
shift from phosphorus to nitrogen limitation. With respect to the conclusions of Miller et al. (1974), Mt. Dell Reservoir surface waters during the summer would be more productive than at other times. During the winter, the reservoir would have low productivity since the waters are phosphorus limited. Perhaps the copper sulfate applications are decreasing algal biomass but keeping algal productivity high. Further research is needed.

Since TSIN: $\mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{P}$ ratios are difficult to interpret if lake conditions change rapidly or algal luxury consumptions exist, Forsberg (1980) recommended the use of TN:TP ratios. These ratios do not account for assimilable forms of nutrients and are therefore less sensitive to changes in algal populations and luxury up-


Figure 29. Surface chlorophyll a and phaeopigment concentrations ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{l}$ ) of site C and copper sulfate applications during the study period.
takes. Forsberg further believes that TSIN: $\mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{P}$ ratios reveal which nutrient limits algal productivity, whereas TN:TP ratios delineate the nutrient which limits algal biomass. Water managers are more likely to be interested in algal biomass than in algal productivity. Thus the TN:TP ratios could be of a more practical use than are algal bioassays or TSIN: $\mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{P}$ ratios.

The TN:TP ratios generally supported Forsberg's opinions with respect to algal biomass. Chlorophyll a levels were highest during the winter. Phosphorus limitation in the reservoir during the summer is of special interest because most trophic state indices are based on summer conditions and the resultant algal biomass. The time patterns of these ratios partially validate the use of phosphorus-chlorophyll a based indices.

TN:TP or TSIN:PO4-P ratios of water from the stream sites did not coincide well with the chemical ratios of reservoir water. This was not unexpected because these ratios probably reflect the dynamics of each type of system (i.e., stream and reservoir) besides the relationship between the two.

For example, the copper sulfate applications apparently affected algal biomass (Figure 29) and may have indirectly affected the reservoir's TSIN: $\mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{P}$ ratios via rapid nutrient recycling, whereas the stream ratios were not influenced by copper sulfate. Hydrologic factors may also have caused the discrepancy between reservoir and stream water chemical ratios. Summer water densities (Appendix $H$ ) indicate that stream water would not enter the reservoir as an overflow but as an interflow or underflow. It is also possible that much of the total phosphorus or total nitrogen entering the reservoir is present as particulates which settle out. Specific evidence for this, however, is lacking.

## Trophic State Indices

The indicated trophic state of the reservoir ranged from oligotrophy to eutrophy according to the indices used (Table 17). Oligotrophy was indicated with summer chlorophyll based indices, the LEI model's oxygen and macrophyte variables, and Palmer's organic pollution index. Summer total phosphorus, total nitrogen, Secchi transparency indices, and Vollenweider's (1976) loading model resulted in mesotrophic or eutrophic classifications.

Carlson's trophic state index (TSI) values for Secchi transparency, total phosphorus, and chlorophyll a were calculated monthly and are presented in Figure 30. According to these data, the reservoir varies from oligotrophy to eutrophy depending on the time of year and the particular TSI. TSI (TP) values suggested eutrophy for most of the year except November and December (mesotrophy). TSI (SD) values indicated eutrophy during the winter and early spring and mesotrophy the rest of the year. Chlorophyll a TSI values indicated mainly oligotrophy except during the winter (eutrophy).

Figure 31 presents monthly areal copper sulfate applications to the reservoir, and these data coincide well with the breakdown of TSI parallelisms. TP and SD TSI values remained relatively parallel during copper sulfate applications whereas chlorophyll a TSI values decrease.

The apparent insensitivity of TP and $S D$ TSI values to copper sulfate applications may be caused by slow sinking rates of dead and dying cells and their associated phosphorus. Slow sinking rates would tend to retain the effect of these variables on epilimnetic conditions. Seasonally, these indices were highest during midwinter and reflected the larger winter algal populations.

Table 17. The trophic state of Mt. Dell Reservoir according to varioustrophlc state indices.

| Method | Parameter of Interest | Value | Trophic State | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carlson's TSI | Total phosphorus | 50.0 | Eutrophic | Carlson (1977) |
| Carlson's TSI | Chlorophyll a | 21.7 | Oligotrophic | Carlson (1977) |
| Carlson's TSI | Secchi transparency | 44.6 | Mesotrophic | Carlson (1977) |
| LEI Model | Total phosphorus | 54.0 | Eutrophic | Porcella et al. (1980) |
| LEI Model | Chlorophyll a | 25.1 | Oligotrophic | Porcella et al. (1980) |
| LET Model | Secchi transparency | 45.4 | Mesotrophic | Porcella et al. (1980) |
| LEI Mode 1 | Macrophytes | 0.0 | 01igotrophic | Porcella et al. (1980) |
| LEI Mode 1 | Dissolved oxygen | 32.1 | 01igotrophic | Porcella et al. (1980) |
| LEI Mode 1 | Total Nitrogen | 65.5 | Eutrophic | Porcella et al. (1980) |
| LEI ModeI. | Composite <br> (using TP) | 31.3 | oligotrophic | Porcella et al. (1980) |
| LEI Mode 1 | Composite (using TN) | 33.6 | oligotrophic | Porcella et al. (1980) |
| Palmer's Index | Algal genera | $<15$ | Oligotrophic | Palmer (1969) |
| Vollenweider's |  |  |  |  |
| - Loading Modela | Chlorophyll a | $9.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | Mesotrophic | Vollenweider (1976) |
| Vollenweider's |  |  |  |  |
| Loading Modela | Total phosphorus | $34 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | Eutrophic | Vollenweider (1976) |

aUsing average hydrologic regime


Figure 30. Carlson's trophic state indices for Mt. Dell Reservoir.


Figure 31. Copper sulfate applied to Mt. Dell Reservoir.

The chlorophyll TSI was more sensitive to copper sulfate applications. Figure 29 illustrates the relationship between copper sulfate application and surface chlorophyll a and phaeopigment concentrations. Surface chlorophyll a and phaeopigment levels were highest during the winter when copper sulfate was not applied and dropped below $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 1$ when copper sulfate was applied.

This suggests the possibility of using Carlson's chlorophyll index for detecting algal perturbation causes. Carlson (1980) presented evidence relating chlorophyll TSI values to zooplankton densities and found that the lowest chlorophyll TSI values coincided with the highest zooplankton densities.

The LEI model of Porcella et al. (1980) suggested an oligotrophic system, regardless of whether total nitrogen or total phosphorus was used in the composite index. Using TP instead of TN, the LEI value was. 31.3 while TN based calculations produced a value of 33.6 . Porcella et al. (1980) suggested that oligotrophic systems have values less than $40-45$ while values greater than 50 indicate eutrophy.

Since the LEI model is in part derived from Carlson's (1977) regression equations, one would expect similar resultant trophic states. This was true when the LEI variables are taken separately (see Table 17). Total phosphorus, Secchi transparency, and chlorophyll a LEI variables indicated trophic states similar to those indicated by Carlson's indices. However, the addition of two other variables (oxygen and macrophytes) caused an oligotrophic description in the composite indices.

The oxygen variable indicated oligotrophy (Table 17) which seems inappropriate in this case because systems with repeated algal blooms are usually not oligotrophic. The summer stratification patterns were very weak and could be indicative of
mixing and reaeration of the hypolimnion. Oxygen concentrations in the hypolimnion may be further complicated by stream water underflows or lower port withdrawals (Wunderlich 1971). This is apparently the case in Mt. Dell Reservoir. The lower port is not used extensively but it is left slightly open to prevent siltation of the intake. Hutchinson (1957) and Charlton (1980) expressed concern over using oxygen deficits in shallow systems because of possible allochthonous organic inputs and morphometric and hydrodynamic complications.

The macrophyte variable represents the percentage of macrophyte coverage. For this reservoir, the percentage would be zero since macrophytes were not present. This essentially reduced the LEI composite model by one variable and certainly weighted the value towards oligotrophy.

Porcella et al. (1980) assumed that high quality lakes generally have few macrophytes. In some cases macrophyte density is more influenced by hydrodynamics than by lake and sediment nutrient concentrations. Lake and reservoir drawdowns have been commonly used as a means of controlling macrophytes (Kadlec 1962; Beard 1973; Dunst et al. 1974; Fox et al. 1977), and this reflects the importance of lake hydrodynamics to macrophyte density. In these cases, the macrophyte densities are generally independent of lake and sediment nutrient concentrations. Therefore, LEI models should not be applied to systems with repeated drawdowns or widely fluctuating water levels because they do not adhere to the general assumption given by Porcella et al. (1980). The LEI model is admittedy in the developmental stage, and modification or elimination of the macrophyte component may broaden its application.

The results of Palmer's algal genus pollution index inferred low organic pollution, unrepresentative sampling, or an interferring substance. This index
may be of limited use for Mt. Dell Reservoir since copper sulfate applications are certainly an interferring substance.

Despite the inconclusiveness of the results, algal identifications and enumerations remain highly useful to water managers (Mcknight et al. 1981; Ridley 1970). Other methods of measuring the algal standing crop do not display algal species changes, whereas enumeration and identifications provide insights to potential problems because of differences among algal species.

Tables 18 and 19 summarize phosphorus loadings to the reservoir and pertinent data required for Vollenweider's (1976) loading model. Appendix I contains hydrologic data used in the calculations.

According to these data, the mean summer epilimnetic chlorophyll a concentration was calculated to be $9.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (99 percent confidence intervals 5.515.5 using Vollenweider's limits) when 15 years of hydrologic data are used. This value differed greatly from the measured summer epilimnetic chlorophyll a concentration of $1981\left(1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$. Vollenweider's model was also used with 1980-1981 hydrologic data. Calculations produced a predicted value of 8.3 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) which also differed from the measured value (Table 20). The predicted values indicated mesotrophy while measured chlorophyll a concentrations indicated oligotrophy.

The discrepancies between measured and predicted chlorophyll a concentrations would appear to be due to the copper sulfate applications. Algae are continually being destroyed throughout the summer with copper sulfate, and algal populations are probably not reaching their "natural" levels.

Comparisons of predicted and measured total phosphorus concentrations may circumvent the complications caused by copper sulfate. The volume
weighted mean total phosphorus concentration during June, the last part of spring runoff and overturn, was about 35 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Predicted total phosphorus concentrations for both situations (15year hydrology and 1980-1981 hydrology) were about 34 and $31 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ respectively. These values are more similar to the measured total phosphorus concentration than predictions of chlorophyll a (Table 20). Therefore, Vollenweider's (1976) loading model could still be used as a management tool for predicting total phosphorus concentrations.

Interestingly, the measured mean summer epilimnetic uncorrected chlorophyll (chlorophyll a + phaeophytin) concentration of $8.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ matched the predicted chlorophyll a concentration when 1980-1981 hydrologic data were used. Can uncorrected chlorophyll concentrations be used in place of chlorophyll a concentration? In this reservoir, uncorrected chlorophyll concentrations may be more representative of "natural" algal biomass levels.

Baker and Adams (1982) applied Vollenweider's (1976) loading model to Intermountain lake and reservoir systems. Systems that did not accurately predict mean summer epilimnetic chlorophyll a concentrations were those which were not completely phosphorus limited throughout the year, those which contained macrophytes, or those that were moderately to highly turbid. Mt. Dell Reservoir does not contain macrophytes and is generally clear. The reservoir was not completely phosphorus limited throughout the year and hence the possibility for poor predictive capabilities. Nevertheless, the similarity between measured and predicted total phosphorus levels indicate that this model could be a useful management tool.

Areal phosphorus loadings and critical loadings were calculated monthly for an average flow year (15year average) and a moderately dry year (1980-1981) to see when critical load-

Table 18. Phosphorus loadings from Parleys Creek (P) and Dell Creek (D).

| Month | Creek | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inflow } \\ & (\mathrm{cfs}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total P } \\ & (\operatorname{mg} / \mathrm{l}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P Loading } \\ & (\mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sep. | D | 2.50 | 0.021 | 3.85 |
|  | P | 5.26 | 0.021 | 8.11 |
| Oct. | D | 2.80 | 0.032 | 6.58 |
|  | P | 4.72 | 0.034 | 11.78 |
| Nov. | D | 3.14 | 0.026 | 5.99 |
|  | P | 4.21 | 0.026 | 8.03 |
| Dec. | D | 3.00 | 0.032 | 7.05 |
|  | P | 3.78 | 0.027 | 7.49 |
| Jan. | D | 3.06 | 0.014 | 3.14 |
|  | P | 3.64 | 0.011 | 2.94 |
| Feb. | D | 3.59 | 0.053 | 13.96 |
|  | P | 3.81 | 0.044 | 12.30 |
| Mar. | D | 8.82 | 0.020 | 12.95 |
|  | P | 7.78 | 0.020 | 11.42 |
| Apr | D | 26.57 | 0.052 | 101.40 |
|  | P | 17.83 | 0.044 | 57.58 |
| May | D | 46.10 | 0.047 | 159.01 |
|  | P | 31.60 | 0.062 | 143.79 |
| Jun. | D | 18.00 | 0.046 | 60.77 |
|  | P | 25.56 | 0.057 | 106.92 |
| Jul. | D | 5.54 | 0.027 | 10.98 |
|  | P | 12.84 | 0.050 | 47.12 |
| Aug. | D | 2.96 | 0.031 | 6.73 |
|  | P | 7.23 | 0.030 | 15.92 |
| Annual Total |  | 254.3 |  | 825.8 |

Table 19. Calculation of the mean summer epilimnetic chlorophyll a concentration in Mt. Dell Reservoir.a

| Annual P Loading (kg) | 825.81 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Average Area ( $104 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ ) | 20.8471 |
| Average Volume ( 106 m ) | 1.8863 |
| Mean Depth (m) Z | 8.69 |
| Average Annual Inflow ( $10^{6} \mathrm{~m}{ }^{3}$ ) | 18.788 |
| Areal P Loading, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}$ (mg/m²/yr) | 3961.22 |
| Areal Water Loading, $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{S}}$ (m/yr) | 90.12 |
| $\underline{L_{p} / \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{s}}}$ | 33.55 |
| $\overline{1+\sqrt{\bar{z} / q_{s}}}$ | 33.55 |
| Predicted Mean Summer Epilimnetic Chlorophyll a Concentration (mg/m3) | 8.98 |

${ }^{\text {aUsing Equation }} 12$ and a 15 -year average hydrologic regime.
ings occurred seasonally and if different flow regimes caused differences in predicted chlorophyll a concentrations.

Figures 32 and 33 indicated relatively low phosphorus loadings except during spring runoff. The upper critical limit was exceeded during the spring in both cases. An average water year had three times the annual areal phosphorus loading of a moderately dry water year during spring runoff.

Despite these phosphorus loading differences, the predicted mean summer epilimnetic chlorophyll a concentrations were comparable (Table 20). This suggests that some sort of limiting effect may arise from the patterns in which the water from the lake is released to the treatment plant for delivery to the city. Vollenweider (1976), Dillon (1974, 1975), and Imboden
(1974) have mentioned the importance of hydraulic flushing rates and their effect on phosphorus retention. High hydraulic flushing rates can lower phosphorus retentions and consequently lower lake phosphorus concentrations and algae.

The general strategy of Mt. Dell Reservoir operators has been to let the reservoir fill during the spring. The reservoir obviously takes longer to fill during "dry" years, and outflows are less. During "wet" years the reservoir fills more rapidly; and after reaching capacity, continuing inflow is released. Thus, phosphorus retentions might be limited during "wet" water years by an increased hydraulic flushing rate.

In summary, it has been concluded that Mt. Dell Reservoir is in a mesotrophic/eutrophic state.

Table 20. Measured and predicted total phosphorus and chlorophyll a levels for Mt. Dell Reservoir.

| Parameter | Predicted Valuea $(1980-1981$ Hydrology) | Predicted Valuea (15 Year Average Hydrology) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Measured Value } \\ (1980-1981) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total phosphorus at spring overturn ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | 31.0 | 33.6 | 34.7 |
| Mean summer epilimnetic chlorophyll a (mg/m3) | 8.3 | 9.0 | 1.0 |
| Mean summer epilimnetic chlorophyll a + phaeophytin (mg/m3) |  |  | 8.3 |

abased on Vollenweider's (1976) loading model.


Figure 32. Areal $P$ loadings to Mt. Dell Reservoir during an average water year (based on a 15-year average).


Figure 33. Areal $P$ loadings to Mt. Dell Reservoir during a dry water year (1980-1981).

## RESTORATION TECHNIQUES

Overview and Impractical Techniques
Numerous lake and reservoir restoration strategies are presently available, and these can be grouped into two general categories: methods which reduce nutrient or sediment loading and methods which deal with the consequences of lake aging (Dunst et al. 1974). The first approach addresses the underlying causes of eutrophication while the second ameliorates its manifestations.

The nutrient loading/trophic response concept (Vollenweider 1968) provides the basic framework for the first approach. Generally speaking, decreased nutrient loading improves water quality. Various methods can reduce external nutrient supplies. Methods for reducing nutrient inflow include wastewater treatment, land treatment to reduce runoff and soil erosion, diversion of nutrient laden inflows, dilution with nutrient poor waters, and treatment to reduce nutrient concentrations in inflowing waters. Other methods reduce in-lake nutrient sources by dredging, bottom sealing, drawdown, and nutrient inactivation/ precipitation.

The second approach deals with such consequences of eutrophication as algae, hypolimnetic anoxia, macrophytes, and sedimentation. Methods include biotic harvesting, lake drawdown, dredging, aeration/circulation, and chemical and biological controls.

The selection of restoration methods should be based on scientific assessment of probable results, cost effectiveness, and various practical considerations. A number of the aforementioned techniques are not considered
viable for the Mt. Dell Reservoir situation. For example, there is no wastewater discharge into the lake. Inflow treatments (see Bernhardt and Schell 1982) can decrease nutrient loading from inflowing waters, but costs are high. Dilution requires a source of nutrient-poor dilution water. Water diversion is only practical for systems where nutrients come from point sources. Bottom sealing with fly ash or polyethylene sheeting may cause more harm than benefits (Cooke 1980), but $\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ inactivation may be feasible if anoxic conditions occur. Biological control of algae was not considered in this study because of the lack of knowledge about this technique (Shapiro 1979). Lake drawdown was not considered because of the relatively rigid annual pattern of lake levels imposed by water demands.

Finally, land treatment to reduce nutrient loading from nonpoint sources was not considered because of inadequate information on what various techniques would achieve. However, this study did suggest that the agricultural and/or the undisturbed rangeland on Dell Creek contributed statistically significant amounts of total soluble inorganic nitrogen (TSIN) to the stream. Further research is required to determine the TSIN contributions from each land use area and methods that would reduce those loadings.

The remaining restoration techniques are mainly in-lake procedures, and these are summarized in Table 21 and discussed on the following pages. In-lake methods are usually short term solutions (Dunst et al. 1974).

Table 21. Summary of feasible restoration techniques and their advantages and disadvantages.

| Restoration Technique | Advantages | Disadvantages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algicides (CuSO 4$)$ | Easy application, immediate results | Development of tolerance in algae, need for repeated treatments, toxicity to zooplankton, benthic animals, and fish |
| Select ive withdrawal | Multiple effects, easily applied | Possible increased algal biomass at lower depths, siltation caused problems of water treatment, elimination of cold water fisheries |
| Aeration/ circulation | Multiple effects | Possible increased turbidity, possible increased nutrient and algal concentrations in photic zone, elimination of cold water fisheries |
| Sediment removal | Economical means of increasing capacity, removal of potential THM precursors | Temporary increased turbidity, temporary elevated nutrient and algal concentrations in water column, destruction of benthic communities |
| Phos phorus inactivation/ precipitation | ```Immediate ef fective- ness, generally innocuous to biota``` | Elevated dissoived aluminum levels, decreased pH and alkalinity, need for repeated treatments |

Feasible Restoration Strategies

## Algicides

Algicides can be a reasonable short term algal bloom control option. Many algicides are available, but the majority are limited to systems that do not supply water for domestic use because of possible side effects to man and other organisms (Dunst et al. 1974). Copper sulfate has been used to control algae for nearly a century, and it is probably the most widely used algicide today (Muchmore 1976). McKnight et al. (1981) reviewed copper sulfate control measures and discussed various advantages and disadvantages. The major disadvantages included the need for repeated treatments; toxicity to other organisms; inadequate control of bluegreen algal blooms; and the development of copper resistant species. Advantages included ease of, application and rapid effect.

The repeated copper sulfate applications to Mt. Dell Reservoir water indicate a strong concern over the presence of algae and perhaps imply ineffective applications. Application decisions have been based on sporadic Secchi transparency readings, visual observations, personal experiences, and algal enumerations of surface water samples. (personal observation). This study showed relatively high chlorophyll levels, i.e. algal biomass, at depths of 3-9 meters (Figures 26 and 27). Therefore, algal enumerations of surface water samples may be inadequate to assess algal biomass or population levels. Likewise, Secchi transparency readings and visual observations may not detect the effects of subsurface algae. Consideration should be given to collecting subsurface water samples for analyses.

Copper sulfate application effectiveness is partially illustrated in Figure 29; but the frequency of applications, up to seven times per month, suggests some type of deficiency.

Algae could be adapting to these applications with vertical migrations to avoid lethal concentrations or by developing resistance. Also, dosages based on surface water analyses may be inadequate for effective control of subsurface algae. Further research is needed to assess the present application effectiveness and detect any deficiencies.

## Selective withdrawal

Selective withdrawals can be used to increase dissolved oxygen concentrations in the hypolimnion (Olszewski 1961; Johnson and Berst 1965; Wirth et al. 1970; Stroud and Martin 1973). They also increase nutrient outputs if hypolimnetic nutrient concentrations are large compared to the rest of the water column (Wright 1967; Martin and Arneson 1978).

This technique could be a reasonable method to increase hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen concentrations in Mt. Dell Reservoir. Under anaerobic conditions, Mt. Dell Reservoir sediments released phosphorus at considerably higher rates than under aerobic conditions. Therefore, it is important to minimize hypolimnetic anoxia in order to reduce sediment $P$ releases.

## Aeration/circulation

Artificial aeration or circulation (whole lake mixing) can be a reasonably successful technique for controlling hypolimnetic anoxia and minimizing sediment nutrient releases (Pastorok et al. 1980). Algal biomass concentrations can also be controlled when mixing creates light limiting conditions (Lorenzen and Mitchell 1973). In addition, aeration induces changes in pH that can shift dominance in the algal community from blue-green species to green algae (Shapiro 1973). The disadvantages of the technique include increased turbidity and possible increased algal biomass if light does not become limiting (Fast 1979).

Figure 28 illustrates the dominance of blue-green species. Some of these cause more taste and odor problems than do green algae, and an aeration induced shift in algal species dominance could provide a more favorable food source for the zooplankton. Many aeration systems exist, and Fast (1979) should be consulted for further details on the types of systems available.

## Sediment removal

Sediment removals are used to deepen lakes and remove contaminated or nutrient laden sediments. Peterson (1981) provided an excellent review of sediment removal as a lake restoration technique, and the following discussion is largely based on his review. He listed seven factors which should be considered in evaluating a sediment removal option.

His first step is to assess the problem. This study has already assessed the problem in limnological terms, and Mt. Dell Reservoir is in a meso-trophic-eutrophic state and has a present capacity that is approximately 91 percent of its potential. Also, the sediments can act as phosphorus sources under aerobic and anaerobic conditions (Table 14). Therefore, sediment removals might be a viable means to reduce sediment nutrient inputs and increase reservoir capacity.

The second and third considerations are to characterize the sediments and determine the sediment removal depth. Cluster analysis (Figures 5 to 8) detected differences between sediments which were dewatered annually and those which were rarely dewatered. These results suggest the possibility of only removing the sediments which are rarely dewatered since these contained the greater amounts of nutrients and organic matter.

Greater removal increases cost, but it also adds to a technique's effectiveness. The purpose of removal is to
expose sediments which are lower in nutrient concentrations. If this technique is chosen, sediment cores should be collected and analyzed to determine an effective removal depth.

The fourth consideration is the environmental problems associated with sediment removal. Adverse effects include increased turbidity, possible resuspension of contaminants, increased nutrient concentrations in the water column, and possibly greater algal biomass if light is not limiting growth. Some of these problems could be inconsequential if dredging occurs during late fall or winter. The reservoir is not used for urban water supply during the winter, and turbidity and algal effects could be ignored. Increased nutrient concentrations could promote algal growth; but in the cases cited by Peterson, this was a short term phenomenon. Dredging can also disrupt or destroy benthic communities.

The last three considerations involve choosing removal methods and disposal areas and selecting a suitable time for sediment removal. Pierce (1970) described types of equipment and practical considerations. Selection of a disposal area could be discussed with Salt Lake City or County personnel. The most reasonable time to remove sediments is during late fall before surface ice has formed. Arrangements could be made so that use of Mt. Dell water is minimized during the dredging activities.

Phosphorus inactivation
and precipitation
Phosphorus inactivation/precipitation has been a commonly used and reasonably successful method for controlling phosphorus concentrations in the water column and phosphorus sediment releases. The following discussion is largely based on reviews by Medine (1979), Cooke and Kennedy (1980), and Dunst et al. (1974).

The most widely used compound to inactivate or precipitate phosphorus is aluminum sulfate $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right) 3\right.$ ). This compound generally removes phosphorus from the water column by precipitation. Phosphorus reacts with aluminum sulfate to form aluminum phosphate precipitates. Algae cannot utilize the phosphorus in these precipitates so the decrease of available phosphorus in the water column reduces algal biomass. Aluminum sulfate can also form aluminum hydroxides in waters with carbonate alkalinities. The resultant floc has sorptive capabilities, and phosphorus can be entrapped within this floc. These flocs effectively control sediment phosphorus releases when they cover the sediments and consequently lower the water column phosphorus concentrations if the sediments are a major phosphorus source.

The previously mentioned reviews cited numerous case studies, and the majority of these were successful in removing phosphorus from the water column. The degree of effectiveness usually varied with the dose and the specific situation, but most authors were satisfied with the overall result (an improvement in water quality and trophic state). Long term effectiveness studies are lacking (Cooke and Kennedy 1980), and it is unknown whether sediment phosphorus inputs can be minimized for long, periods of time with this technique.

Side effects from aluminum sulfate additions vary and are undoubtedly dependent on the dose. Cooke and Kennedy (1980) cited one case where species diversity of planktonic microcrustacea was lowered but mentioned that most authors have not reported any adverse effects to biota other than algae.

Three effects of particular importance to water plant operators are the decreases of pH and alkalinity and the increase in dissolved aluminum (Medine 1979). All three changes, but especially an increase in dissolved
aluminum, complicate water treatment operations.

To avoid these adverse effects, Kennedy and Cooke (1980) suggested doses which would reduce the pH to approximately 6.0. At this pH , phosphorus removal is optimized while undesirable side effects are minimized. The aluminum sulfate concentration required to obtain a pH of 6.0 depends on in-lake pH and alkalinity measurements, and Kennedy and Cooke (1980) provide a graphical means for estimating the proper dose concentration.

Since the critical phosphorus loading of Mt. Dell Reservoir occurred during spring runoff (Figures 32 and 33), phosphorus should be controlled at that time. Based on Kennedy and Cooke's dose estimation procedure and assuming the reservoir is at capacity, 620 x $10^{3}$ kilograms ( $\sim 684$ tons) of $\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right) 3$ would be required for optimal treatment of the whole reservoir when pH and alkalinity are approximately 8.0 and 200 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CaCO}_{3} / 1$ respectively. If the uppermost 10 meters (the major zone of algal biomass) are treated, approximately $383 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{kilograms}$ ( $\sim 420$ tons) would be required for optimum phosphorus removal. Considering the mass of $\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right) 3$ needed and the probable costs, treatment of the water column may not be a reasonable method of controlling algal biomass in Mt. Dell Reservoir:

Phosphorus inactivation would be a more reasonable approach if sediment phosphorus inputs are large. This study determined that sediment phosphorus inputs were less than 5 percent of the total annual phosphorus loading under aerobic conditions but increased to about 67 percent if anoxia occurred. This technique could be used to prevent sediment phosphorus releases to the overlying water, especially if the hypolimnion becomes anaerobic. Using the previously mentioned assumptions and estimation procedure, approximately 8500 kilograms ( 9 tons) would be required to treat the bottom 16 meters.

## CONCLUSIONS

## Watershed Nutrient Sources

1. Total phosphorus, orthophosphate, and total soluble inorganic nitrogen (TSIN) stream loadings ranged from 0.32 to $125,0.13$ to 33.7 , and 0.88 to $231 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mo}$ respectively and were usually highest during the spring runoff period.
2. Downstream areas of Parleys and Dell Creeks acted as nutrient sinks during late spring and summer while upstream areas generally acted as nutrient sources.
3. On an annual basis, the downstream area of Dell Creek contributed statistically significant amounts of TSIN'to Dell Creek.
4. The upper left fork of Parleys Creek did not significantly contribute to stream nutrient loadings.

The Reservoir's Sediments

1. Frequently dewatered sediments contained lower total phosphorus, total nitrogen, and total organic carbon concentrations than do rarely dewatered sediments. Seep/spring area sediments contained higher concentrations of these nutrients than frequently dewatered sediments.
2. Statistical differences in total phosphorus areal flux between the two microcosm sediment types were not demonstrated.
3. The sediments contributed only a small fraction ( $\langle 5 \%$ ) to the total annual or summer total phosphorus load, but anaerobic conditions could increase sediment total phosphorus release to
about 67 percent of the total annual phosphorus load.

## The Reservoir's General Limnology

1. The reservoir was dimictic and exhibited weak summer stratification. Hypolimnetic oxygen depletion occurred, but anoxic conditions did not. Metalimnetic oxygen maxima occurred during the summer at depths of 6 to 9 meters.
2. The reservoir was alkaline with pH values typically about 8.0 and alkalinities usually around 200 mg $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3} / \mathrm{l}$.
3. Nutrient concentrations were generally highest during the fall and at greater depths.
4. Concentrations of algal biomass and numbers peaked during the winter and were often highest at depths of 6 to 9 meters.
5. Statistical differences among sampling sites were not demonstrated for total phosphorus, orthophosphate, total nitrogen, TSIN, and chlorophyll a. Measurement at a single station may be adequate to characterize Mt. Dell Reservoir given the variance in these measurements.

## Limiting Nutrients

1. According to algal bioassays, weter from the surface of Mt. Dell Reservoir and Parleys and Dell Creeks were limited by nitrogen or phosphorus throughout the year.
2. TN:TP and TSIN:PO4-P ratios indicated that the limitation is by
different nutrients at different seasons.

## The Reservoir's Trophic State

1. Different trophic state indices described Mt. Dell Reservoir as oligotrophic, mesotrophic, and eutrophic. Those which indicated oligotrophy, however, were considered invalid because of hydrodynamic complications and the copper sulfate applications. Therefore, Mt. Dell Reservoir was considered to be in a mesotrophic/eutrophic state.
2. Vollenweider's (1976) loading model prediction of total phosphorus
concentration at spring overturn was similar to the mean total phosphorus concentration measured at the end of spring overturn of 1981.
3. Vollenweider's (1976) loading model prediction of mean summer epilimnetic chlorophyll a concentration was different than the measured chlorophyll. a concentration but approximated the measured concentration of uncorrected chlorophyll.
4. According to Vollenweider's (1976) equations, critical phosphorus loadings occurred during spring runoff.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

## Monitoring

1. This study has shown relatively high algal biomass levels at depths of 6 to 9 meters. Surface water collections do not account for this biomass. Similarly, Secchi transparency readings of this study did not extend below 4 meters and did not detect these algae. Therefore, water samples should be taken from interval depths extending to at least half of the maximum depth. The photic zone ( 0.1 percent surface illumination level) usually ends at approximately half of the maximum depth. Sampling to this depth would collect subsurface algal populations inhabiting the photic zone.
2. Algal identifications and enumerations are useful in detecting problem-associated algal species. These procedures should continue but should be extended to involve interval depth sample collection. However, visual observations should not be ignored because they may discover algal clumps and localized areas of algal growth.
3. Secchi disk measurements should be used as a gross indicator of water quality and trophic state. Measurements should be taken as frequently as possible throughout the year. The values could then be incorporated into Carlson's (1977) trophic state index to indicate seasonal or annual changes in trophic state on a numerical scale.
4. Water samples should be collected from the hypolimnion and analyzed for dissolved oxygen concentration (DO). Sediment phosphorus releases are enhanced by anoxia, and monitoring the hypolimnetic DO could reveal situations conducive to high sediment phosphorus release.
5. Total phosphorus and chlorophyll a concentrations could be used to indicate poor water quality conditions and algal biomass levels. Water samples could be sent to national or state certified laboratories for analyses, or the treatment plant operators could perform the analyses if the required equipment were available.

## Restoration Techniques

1. Copper sulfate applications should continue to alleviate the problems caused by nuisance algae. However, the applications should be assessed to determine the most cost effective dose required for a particular situation.
2. Hypolimnetic withdrawals may curb hypolimnetic anoxia and consequently limit sediment $p$ releases. If the hypolimnion becomes anoxic, water should be taken from the lowest port. Since all three outlets unite into a single conduit and silty water from the lower outlet withdrawals contribute to treatment costs, consideration should be given to bypassing water from the lowest port back to the stream. Anoxic waters could then be flushed out of the reservoir without complicating treatment plant operations.

During "dry" water years, all of the reservoir's water may be needed; and this technique may be of limited use. However, hypolimnetic withdrawals may still be used if sediment removal reduced siltation of the lower port. Then hypolimnetic water may be used by the treatment plant without extra water treatments caused by siltation.
3. Whole lake mixing by aeration could be a reasonable means of reducing
hypolimnetic anoxia. However, because of the weak stratification patterns of Mt. Dell Reservoir, a thorough mix may be difficult because the process is less efficient when the reservoir is near isothermal conditions.
4. Sediment removal is often a viable means of increasing reservoir capacity. However, the effectiveness of this technique for decreasing sediment phosphorus releases may be minimal since the sediments were generally not a major phosphorus source. Indeed, exposing sediments with unknown nutrient concentrations could be very risky. Therefore, sediment core samples should be collected and analyzed for total phosphorus, total nitrogen, and total organic carbon before the sediment removal technique is chosen.
5. Aluminum sulfate treatment for water column phosphorus inactivation and limitation of sediment phosphorus releases should be considered if water column and sediment phosphorus concentrations and releases are high. Monitoring hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen concentrations could indicate conditions which enhance sediment phosphorus release.

## Further Research

1. Long term solutions to eutrophication are obviously the most appealing, and watershed management practices cannot be ignored. The results of this study suggested that the lower watershed area of Dell Creek contributed significant amounts of total soluble inorganic nitrogen (TSIN) to the creek. However, the division of the TSIN contribution between agricultural areas and undisturbed rangeland is unknown. Are the TSIN contributions to the creek due to surface runoff, subsurface water movements, or agricultural practices conducive to soil
erosion? These are questions that must be answered before watershed management techniques can be applied. Monitoring surface runoff, groundwater movements and soil erosion could reveal which land use contributes more nutrients to Dell Creek. Although no significant differences in nutrient loading were found for the sampling sites on Parley's Creek, it is still unknown whether certain land uses are major nutrient contributors on a short term basis.
2. The cost effectiveness of various copper sulfate applications should be assessed. More effective and possibly economical doses may arise from such a study. The dosage rate of copper sulfate should depend on alkalinity, pH , temperature, dissolved organic matter, suspended particulates, and algal species tolerance and avoidance behavior. McKnight et al. (1981) outlined a relatively detailed bioassay technique to determine the copper tolerance levels of algae, and the reader is referred to this report for a detailed presentation of the technique. One simple method to determine avoidance behavior of algae and copper sulfate dispersal requires depth and time interval measurements of chlorophyll $a$, phaeophytin, and soluble and particulate copper. Beginning a day or two before copper sulfate is added, these parameters should be analyzed from water samples taken at appropriate depth and time intervals to determine background copper levels and normal vertical migration patterns of algae. Measurements of the same parameters should be taken during and after copper sulfate is applied. Changes in chlorophyll a, phaeophytin, and soluble and total copper through time or by depth should indicate algal migrations and copper dispersal patterns. Studies using this type of technique can be found in Button et al. (1977) and Effler et al. (1980).
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APPENDICES

Appendix A
Physical, Chemical and Statistical Analyses of Stream Sites

Table 22. Physical and chemical analyses for stream sites.

aSamples were not taken because the site was inaccessible.
bSample site was selected in October.

Table 22. Cont inued.

| Site | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | JuI. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TP (mg P/1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DG | 0.021 | 0.032 | 0.026 | 0.032 | 0.022 | 0.053 | 0.020 | 0.052 | 0.047 | 0.046 | 0.027 | 0.031 | 0.024 | 0.032 | 0.036 |
| DUP | 0.033 | 0.054 | 0.035 | 0.033 | 0.026 | 0.068 | 0.026 | 0.063 | 0.090 | 0.043 | 0.053 | 0.064 |  |  |  |
| PG | 0.021 | 0.034 | 0.026 | 0.028 | 0.014 | 0.044 | 0.020 | 0.044 | 0.062 | 0.057 | 0.050 | 0.030 | 0.021 | 0.037 | 0.050 |
| PGOLF | 0.016 | 0.069 | a | a | a | a | a | a | 0.099 | 0.038 | 0.035 | 0.026 |  |  |  |
| PF | b | 0.040 | 0.026 | 0.020 | 0.012 | 0.036 | 0.022 | 0.063 | 0.265 | 0.034 | 0.025 | 0.022 |  |  |  |
| LF | 0.037 | 0.034 | 0.029 | 0.020 | 0.015 | 0.050 | 0.015 | 0.030 | 0.051 | 0.041 | 0.029 | 0.046 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ (mg N/1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\circ}$ DG | 0.030 | 0.045 | 0.013 | 0.005 | 0.028 | 0.013 | 0.006 | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.081 | 0.031 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.003 |
| Dup | 0.023 | 0.089 | 0.006 | 0.002 | 0.019 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.006 | 0.025 | 0.015 | 0.012 |  |  |  |
| PG | 0.030 | 0.060 | 0.011 | 0.002 | 0.024 | 0.011 | 0.024 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.033 | 0.015 | 0.016 | 0.018 | 0.009 | 0.001 |
| PGOLF | 0.035 | 0.065 | a | a | a | a | a | a | 0.003 | 0.022 | 0.014 | 0.007 |  |  |  |
| PF | b | 0.069 | 0.014 | 0.003 | 0.021 | 0.017 | 0.009 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.021 | 0.011 | 0.008 |  |  |  |
| LF | 0.023 | 0.073 | 0.014 | 0.002 | 0.028 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.019 | 0.009 | 0.005 |  |  |  |

$\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{N} / 1)$

| DG | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.34 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.24 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DUP | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| PG | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.02 |
| PGOLF | 0.08 | 0.06 | a | a | a | a | a | a | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.08 |  |  |  |
| PF | b | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.08 |  |  |  |
| LF | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.09 |  |  |  |

aSamples were not taken because the site was inaccessible.
$b_{S}$ ample site was selected in October.

Table 22. Continued.

| Site | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug . | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TSIN (mg N/1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DG | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| DUP | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.04 |  |  |  |
| PG | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| PGOLF | 0.12 | 0.13 | a | a | a | a | a | a | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.09 |  |  |  |
| PF | b | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.09 |  |  |  |
| LF | 0.10 | 0.34 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.10 |  |  |  |
| Organic Nitrogen (mg N/1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\infty}$ DG | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| DUP | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |
| PG | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.3 |
| PGOLF | 0.8 | 0.8 | a | a- | a | a | a | a | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| PF | b | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| LF | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Stream Flow (m3/sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DG | 0.072 | 0.066 | 0.073 | 0.090 | 0.073 | 0.072 | 0.090 | 0.154 | 0.320 | 0.370 | 0.112 | 0.067 | 0.067 | 0.109 | 0.060 |
| DUP | 0.028 | 0.023 | 0.042 | 0.023 | 0.017 | 0.011 | 0.023 | 0.051 | 0.348 | 0.178 | 0.122 | 0.040 |  |  |  |
| PG | 0.296 | 0.109 | 0.121 | 0.100 | 0.091 | 0.091 | 0.100 | 0.148 | 0.335 | 0.389 | 0.218 | 0.128 | 0.067 | 0.109 | 0.128 |
| PGOLF | 0.105 | 0.110 | a | a | a | a | a | a | 0.047 | 0.598 | 0.507 | 0.159 |  |  |  |
| PF | b | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.017 | 0.025 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.040 | 0.062 | 0.161 | 0.025 | 0.042 |  |  |  |
| LF | 0.065 | 0.085 | 0.091 | 0.071 | 0.091 | 0.079 | 0.071 | 0.164 | 0.544 | 0.419 | 0.232 | 0.139 |  |  |  |

asamples were not taken because the site was inaccessible.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Sample site was selected in October.

Table 23. Friedman's rank sum test of annual nutrient mass loading (at 95 percent level). a
A. Orthophosphate

|  |  | Stream Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stream Sites | DUP | PG | LF+PF | LF | PF |  |  |
| DG | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DUP | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PG | 0 | + | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| LF PF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| LF | 0 | 0 | + | + | + |  |  |  |
| PF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. Total Phosphorus

| Stream Sites | Stream Sites |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\text { DG }}$ | DUP | PG | LF+PF | LF | PF |
| DG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DUP | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PG | 0 | + |  |  |  |  |
| $L F+P F$ | 0 | + | 0 |  |  |  |
| LF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PF | + | 0 | + | + | + |  |

C. TSIN

|  |  |  |  | Stream Sites |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stream Sites | DG | DUP | PG | LF+PF | LF |
| DG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DUP | 0 | + |  |  |  |  |
| PG | 0 | + | 0 |  |  |  |
| LF + PF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| LF | + | 0 | + | + | + |  |
| PF |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
\(a_{+}=\)significant difference in annual nutrient mass loading.
\(0=\) significant difference not detected.
```


## Appendix B <br> Chemical Concentrations of Mt. Dell <br> Reservoir Sediments

Table 24. Chemical concentrations of Mt. Dell Reservoir sediments.

| Site | Parameters |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOC | TN | TP | TAP |
|  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ |
| 1 | 24.3 | 2002 | 848 | 69 |
|  | 21.8 | 2002 | 1282 | 63 |
|  | 18.9 | 1998 | 1302 | a |
| 2 | 10.3 | 1177 | 1286 | 30 |
|  | 12.1 | 1062 | 1218 | 33 |
|  | 12.4 | 1143 | 1226 | a |
| 3 | 6.40 | 770 | 898 | 26 |
|  | 5.30 | 771 | 1088 | 27 |
|  | 5.90 | 780 | 1092 | a |
| 4 | 4.30 | 517 | 1152 | 16 |
|  | 5.90 | 372 | 1122 | 17 |
|  | 2.20 | 389 | 1122 | a |
| 5 | 3.20 | 291 | 806 | 9 |
|  | 11.7 | 430 | 882 | 10 |
|  | 2.60 | 396 | 864 | a |
| 6 | 4.10 | 513 | 1206 | 17 |
|  | 3.00 | 580 | 1244 | 17 |
|  | 3.90 | 570 | 1222 | a |
| 7 | 7.80 | 754 | 1232 | 17 |
|  | 5.70 | 771 | 1142 | 19 |
|  | 6.80 | 822 | 1218 |  |
| 8 | 4.50 | 910 | 848 | 28 |
|  | 4.00 | 844 | 1480 | 29 |
|  | 4.30 | 874 | 1362 | a |
| 9 | 12.5 | 597 | 1260 | 33 |
|  | 6.00 | 619 | 1488 | 36 |
|  | 6.30 | 552 | 1472 | a |

aSample was inadvertently not analyzed.

Table 24. Continued.

| Site | Parameters |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOC } \\ & \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{TN} \\ \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T P \\ \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TAP } \\ & \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g} \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | 28.6 | 1687 | 1978 | 36 |
|  | 33.7 | 1755 | 1610 | 43 |
|  | 27.7 | 1744 | 1758 | a |
| 11 | 4.70 | 536 | 966 | 24 |
|  | 4.10 | 628 | 834 | 26 |
|  | 4.30 | 537 | 1214 | a |
| 12 | 6.85 | 422 | 1156 | 14 |
|  | 6.79 | 495 | 1172 | 17 |
|  | 7.52 | 298 | 1168 | 17 |
| 13 | 10.8 | 1010 | 1364 | 21 |
|  | 17.1 | 899 | 1434 | 24 |
|  | 10.8 | 975 | 1422 | 20 |
| 14 | 18.6 | 2055 | 1904 | 18 |
|  | 17.3 | 2116 | 1880 | 21 |
|  | 23.4 | 2083 | 1908 | 22 |
| 15 | 21.1 | 2307 | 2034 | 25 |
|  | 19.7 | 2136 | 2018 | 30 |
|  | 19.6 | 2068 | 1998 | 20 |
| 16 | 22.6 | 2413 | 2096 | 16 |
|  | 27.7 | 2461 | 2014 | 12 |
|  | 24.7 | 2622 | 2088 | 12 |
| 17 | 23.7 | 2629 | 2010 | 11 |
|  | 23.3 | 2372 | 2104 | 20 |
|  | 18.9 | 2616 | 2092 | 15 |

asample was inadvertently not analyzed.

# Appendix $C$ <br> General Observations of the Microcosms and 

Algal Identifications

Table 25. General observations of the microcosms.

| Date | Elapsed Days | Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec. 15 | - | Microcosms filled with sediments. Oligochaetes visible in hypolimnetic sediments. |
| Dec. 16 | - | Medium additions, water very turbid. |
| Dec. 18 | - | Water still turbid but clearing. |
| Dec. 20 | 0 | Microcosms sealed. Initial water samples taken and analyzed. |
| Dec. 23 | 3 | Light/dark cycle started. Gas leaks suspected in dark microcosms. Oligochaetes not visible in HL3 anymore. |
| Dec. 26 | 6 | Analysis day. Strong $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ odor from HL3. |
| Dec. 29 | 9 | ED2 leaking medium from the bottom of the microcosm, sealed with silicon sealant. |
| Dec. 30 | 10 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ odor from HL3 and no visible oligochaete activity. Sediments in HL3 dark brown to black in upper 1 cm . |
| Jan. 1 | 12 | Analysis day. |
| Jan. 2 | 13 | Separation of tygon tubing from acid bulb of HD2, break repaired. HL3 murky in appearance. |
| Jan. 5 | 16 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ odor and murky appearance still present in HL3. |
| Jan. 7 | 18 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ odor and murkiness clearing. HL2 and HL3 water faint green in color. Algae visible above sediments of EL2 and on the water stirrer of ELl. Analysis day. |

Table 25. Continued.

| Date | Elapsed Days | Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 10 | 21 | Algae visible in all lighted microcosms. Oligochaetes active in all H microcosms except HL3. |
| Jan. 13 | 24 | Analysis day. Algal mat forming on sediment surface in HL3. |
| Jan. 19 | 30 | Analysis day. |
| Jan. 22 | 33 | Filamentous algae much denser in EL microcosms but lessening in HL microcosms. |
| Jan. 25 | 36 | Analysis day. Oligochaetes active again in HL3. |
| Jan. 31 | 42 | Analysis day. |
| Feb. 3 | 45 | Epilimnetic sediments all one color, brownish. HL3 brown in uppermost 0.5 cm and gray/black below. HLl rust colored in uppermost 0.5 cm and gray/ black below. HL2 from sediment top to bottom is brown, rust, gray, and black. |
| Feb. 6 | 48 | Analysis day. |
| Feb. 12 | 54 | Final analyses. |

Table 26. Algal identifications from lighted microcosms.

| Microcosm | Day 27 | Day 54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELI |  |  |
|  | Unknown Diatom Synechococcus Scenedesmus | $\frac{\frac{\text { Navicula }}{\text { Anabaena }}}{\frac{\text { Tribonema }}{}}$ |
| EL2 | Unknown Diatom Synechococcus Scenedesmus | $\frac{\frac{\text { Navicula }}{\text { Anabaena }}}{\frac{\text { Tribonema }}{\text { Scenedesmus }}}$ |
| EL3 | Unknown Diatom Synechococcus Scenedesmus | Navicula Anabaena Tribonema |
| HLI | Unknown Diatom Synechococcus Scenedesmus | Navicula <br> Scenedesmus <br> Synechococcus |
| HL2 | Unknown Diatom Synechococcus Scenedesmus | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Navicula }}{\text { Synechococcus }} \\ & \frac{\text { Scenedesmus }}{\text { Anabaena }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| HL3 | No Algae | Scenedesmus <br> Navicula <br> Synechococcus |

Appendix D
Physical and Chemical Analyses of
Mt. Dell Reservoir Waters

Table 27. Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { Site and }} \\ & \text { Depth }(\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | 19.1 | 13.8 | 6.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | $1.5^{\text {a }}$ | 4.1 | 12.7 | 16.2 | 21.2 | 19.7 | 17.8 | 14.7 | 7.8 |
| C3 | 18.7 | 13.5 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 1.5 |  | 4.3 | 12.3 | 14.0 | 20.2 | 19.7 | 17.7 | 15.0 | 8.0 |
| C6 | 18.2 | 13.5 | 6.6 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.6 |  | 4.4 | 10.1 | 11.6 | 15.9 | 18.3 | 17.7 | 15.0 | 8.1 |
| C9 | 17.8 | 13.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.6 |  | 4.4 | 9.1 | 10.3 | 13.8 | 16.7 | 17.7 | 15.0 | 8.1 |
| C12 | 17.6 | 13.4 | 6.5 | 3.6 |  |  |  | 4.2 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 11.9 | 15.4 | 17.2 | 14.7 | 8.0 |
| C15 | 17.4 | 13.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.6 | 6.9 | 9.2 | 11.0 | 14.4 | 17.1 | 14.7 | 8.1 |
| C18 | 17.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.4 | 6.2 | 9.1 | 10.5 | 13.4 | 17.0 |  |  |
| C21 | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | 6.1 | 8.9 | 9.8 | 11.6 |  |  |  |
| C24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.8 | 9.5 |  |  |  |  |
| C27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.7 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |
| JS | 19.5 | 13.9 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 13.0 | 16.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| J2 |  |  | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.9 | 15.4 | 21.0 | 20.1 |  |  |  |
| J3 | 19.2 | 13.6 | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.3 | 14.8 | 20.2 | 20.0 |  |  |  |
| J4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.0 | 13.7 | 18.7 | 19.8 |  |  |  |
| J6 | 18.9 | 13.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.4 | 11.8 | 16.0 | 18.7 |  |  |  |
| J8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.7 | 10.7 | 14.3 | 17.3 |  |  |  |
| J9 | 18.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.3 | 10.4 | 13.7 | 16.7 |  |  |  |
| J10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.7 | 10.1 | 13.1 | 16.4 |  |  |  |
| J12 | 17.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.7 | 9.8 | 12.4 | 15.8 |  |  |  |
| J15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.5 |  | 14.8 |  |  |  |
| PS | $19.4$ | $14.6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.3 | 16.4 | 20.7 | 20.0 |  |  |  |
| PB | 19.4 | 13.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.1 | 11.8 | 15.4 | 19.5 |  |  |  |
| DS | 19.5 | 14.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.2 | 15.8 | 20.8 | 19.9 |  |  |  |
| DB | 19.4 | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.2 | 11.5 | 15.1 | 17.8 |  |  |  |

[^1]Table 28. Dissolved oxygen (mg/l).

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site and } \\ & \text { Depth (m) } \end{aligned}$ | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | 14.0 | 7.3 | 10.0 | 13.3 | 10.4 | 10.8 |  | 14.0 | $8.8{ }^{\circ}$ | 9.0 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 9.9 |
| C3 | 12.5 | 7.3 | 10.0 | 12.5 | 10.2 | 8.8 |  |  |  | 8.8 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 10.1 |
| C6 | 13.4 | 7.2 | 10.1 | 11.4 | 8.0 | 7.5 |  | 11.2 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 12.8 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 6.3 | 10.0 |
| C9 | 11.5 | 7.1 | 10.1 | 11.1 | 8.2 | 6.6 |  | 7.7 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 10.4 | 11.4 | 8.1 | 10.1 | 10.0 |
| C12 | 10.5 | 6.8 | 10.3 | 10.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.8 | 8.3 | 9.3 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 10.0 |
| C15 | 7.5 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 8.1 | 9.9 |
| C18 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.3 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 5.8 |  |  |
| C21 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.6 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 4.9 | 3.3 |  |  |  |
| C24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |
| C 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.8 | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |
| JS |  | 7.4 | 10.2 |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J3 |  | 7.6 | 10.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J6 |  | 7.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS | $15.3$ | $7.6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PB | $15.5$ | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DS |  | 7.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DB |  | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Table 30 . Specific conductance ( $\mu \mathrm{mhos} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) corrected to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Site and Depth (m) | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr | May | Jun. | Jul . | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | 566 | 574 | 602 | 613 | 605 | 597 | $220^{\text {a }}$ | 616 | 500 | 534 | 544 | 560 | 592 | 607 | 650 |
| C3 | 565 | 573 | 604 | 603 | 617 | 617 |  | 616 | 503 | 452 | 546 | 561 | 502 | 634 | 648 |
| C6 | 569 | 574 | 605 | 616 | 637 | 643 |  | 615 | 501 | 442 | 532 | 560 | 502 | 634 | 650 |
| C9 | 577 | 575 | 605 | 630 | 649 | 658 |  | 617 | 513 | 452 | 552 | 545 | 501 | 620 | 651 |
| Cl2 | 579 | 578 | 606 | 646 |  |  |  | 645 | 552 | 452 | 527 | 545 | 507 | 614 | 653 |
| C15 | 580 | 579 |  |  |  |  |  | 696 | 601 | 466 | 531 | 544 | 602 | 640 | 666 |
| C18 | 585 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 743 | 630 | 468 | 551 | 571 | 604 |  |  |
| C2 1 | 581 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 752 | 632 | 474 | 474 | 562 |  |  |  |
| C24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 474 | 470 |  |  |  |  |
| C27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 478 | 472 |  |  |  |  |
| JS | 558 | 574 | 597 |  |  |  |  |  | 498 | 454 | 546 | 564 |  |  |  |
| J2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 501. | 455 | 544 | 562 |  |  |  |
| J3 | 560 | 573 | 602 |  |  |  |  |  | $504{ }^{\circ}$ | 452 | 549 | 562 |  |  |  |
| J4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 501 | 458 | 594 | 563 |  |  |  |
| J6 | 564 | 571 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 490 | 442 | 563 | 576 | - |  |  |
| J8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 490 | 442 | 577 | 576 |  |  |  |
| J9 | 571 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 491 | 446 | 572 | 581 |  |  |  |
| J10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 495 | 444 | 553 | 584 |  |  |  |
| J12 | 574 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 510 | 446 |  | 581 |  |  |  |
| J15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 454 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS | $564$ | $567$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 494 | 538 | 543 | $571$ |  |  |  |
| PB | 565 | 574 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 470 | 570 | 489 | 590 |  |  |  |
| DS | 561 | 570 |  |  | - |  |  |  | 491 | 456 | 460 | 563 |  |  |  |
| DB | 562 | 571 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 479 | 416 | 553 | 482 |  |  |  |

ashoreline grab sample

Table 31. Total alkalinity (mg/1 as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ).

| Site and Depth (m) | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | 230 | 225 | 249 | 263 | 259 | 234 | $120^{\text {a }}$ | 230 | 190 | 190 | 188 | 193 | 213 | 210 | 216 |
| C3 | 227 | 225 | 248 | 250 | 260 | 237 |  | 230 | 194 | 190 | 192 | 195 | 219 | 212 | 218 |
| C6 | 221 | 221 | 246 | 249 | 259 | 244 |  | 228 | 192 | 193 | 192 | 192 | 215 | 210 | 219 |
| C9 | 222 | 223 | 248 | 247 | 263 | 246 |  | 224 | 194 | 196 | 193 | 192 | 217 | 210 | 221 |
| Cl 2 | 224 | 212 | 246 | 245 |  |  |  | 232 | 200 | 195 | 192 | 191 | 216 | 206 | 216 |
| Cl5 | 223 | 227 |  |  |  |  |  | 230 | 212 | 195 | 188 | 196 | 217 | 211 | 220 |
| Cl 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 250 | 224 | 198 | 194 | 198 | 219 |  |  |
| C21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 194 | 196 | 198 |  |  |  |
| C24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 195 | 193 |  |  |  |  |
| C 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 196 | 193 |  |  |  |  |
| JS | 222 | 228 | 244 |  |  |  |  |  | 192 | 194 | 192 | 195 |  |  |  |
| J2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 192 |  | 190 |  |  |  |  |
| J3 |  |  | 245 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 198 |  | 187 |  |  |  |
| J4 |  | 228 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 194 |  | 195 |  |  |  |  |
| J6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 190 | 198 | 195 | 198 |  |  |  |
| J8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 192 |  | 197 |  |  |  |  |
| J9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 197 |  | 198 |  |  |  |
| J10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 202 |  | 194 |  |  |  |  |
| J12 | 223 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 199 |  | 196 |  |  | . |
| J15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 193 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS | 222 | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 192 | 195 | 194 | 200 |  |  |  |
| PB |  | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 188 | 104 | 198 | 194 |  |  |  |
| DS |  | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $196$ | $193$ | $190$ | $193$ |  |  |  |
| DB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 194 | 108 | 206 | 205 |  |  |  |

ashoreline grab sample

Table 32. Total phosphorus ( $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{l}$ ).

ashoreline grab sample.

Table 33. Orthophosphate phosphorus ( $\mu \mathrm{g}$ P/1).

| Site and Depth (m) | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | 11 | 23 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 7 | $767^{\text {a }}$ | 2 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 8 |
| C3 | 12 | 15 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 11 |  | 2 |  | 7 | 15 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 6 |
| c6 | 16 | 18 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 7 |  | 1 |  | 7 | 8 | 6 | 19 | 0 | 13 |
| C9 | 17 | 21 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 17 |  | 3 |  | 7 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 7 |
| Cl 2 | 21 | 31 | 7 | 7 |  |  |  | 7 |  | 6 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 4 | 7 |
| C15 | 40 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | $101^{\text {b }}$ |  | 5 | 6 | 26 | 18 | 3 | 9 |
| - C18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 24 | 38 | 20 |  |  |
| C2 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 34 | 44 |  |  |  |
| C24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 41 |  |  |  |  |
| C27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| JS | 12 | 13 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 7 | 9 |  |  |  |
| J2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| J3 |  |  | 6 | - |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| J4 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| J6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |
| J8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| J9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| J 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| J12 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| J15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS | 11 | 11 |  | . |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 8 | 9 |  |  |  |
| PB |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |
| DS |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 8 | 15 |  |  |  |
| DB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 | 15 | 14 |  |  |  |
| Plant | 25 | 19 | 5 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 23 |  |  |  |

[^2]Table 34. Total soluble inorganic nitrogen (mg N/1), $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}+\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)$.


Table 35. Nitrate and nitrite $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{N}\right)(\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{N} / 1)$.


Table 36. Ammonia $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)(\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{l})$.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site and } \\ & \text { Depth }(\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | Sep. | oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | 27 | 60 | 14 | 2 | 20 | 13 | $56^{\text {a }}$ | 3 | 3 | 14 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| C3 | 30 | 23 | 6 | 7 | 32 | 40 |  | 2 | 3 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 0 |
| C6 | 58 | 43 | 8 | 2 | 24 | 85 |  | 4 | 1. | 14 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 1 |
| C9 | 31 | 61 | 9 | 2 | 28 | 216 |  | 5 | 9 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 19 | 8 | 5 |
| C12 | 26 | 120 | 19 | 2 |  |  |  | 14 | 2 | 18 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 16 | 1 |
| C15 | 124 | 567 |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | 2 | 17 | 17 | 23 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| C18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 330 | 2 | 22 | 43 | 70 | 17 |  |  |
| C21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 27 | 68 | 66 |  |  |  |
| C24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 | 87 |  |  |  |  |
| C27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 | 90 |  |  |  |  |
| JS | 13 | 23 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 15 | 7 | 9 |  |  |  |
| J2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| J3 |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| J4 |  | 118 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| J6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 22 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |
| J8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| J9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  | 7 |  |  |  |
| J10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| J12 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  | 7 |  |  |  |
| J15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS | 18 | $140$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 26 | $7$ | 3 |  |  |  |
| PB |  | 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 22 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |
| DS |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 35 | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |
| DB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 18 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Plant | 50 | 100 | 8 | 2 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 18 |  |  |  |

ashoreline grab sample

Table 37. Organic nitrogen (mg $N / 1$ ), TKN- ( $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ ).

|  | Site and Depth (m) | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CS | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | $2.4{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
|  | C3 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.4 |  | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
|  | C6 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 |  | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.3 |
|  | C9 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 |  | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
|  | Cl 2 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.5 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
|  | C15 | 1.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
|  | C18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 |  |  |
|  | C21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 |  |  |  |
|  | C24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
| $\leftharpoondown$ | C27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | JS | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 |  |  |  |
|  | J2 |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
|  | J3 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.7 |  |  |  |
|  | J4 |  | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | J6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
|  | J8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.6 |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
|  | J9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  | 0.5 |  | 0.9 |  |  |  |
|  | J10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | J 12 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.3$ |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |
|  | J15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PS | 1.2 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 |  |  |  |
|  | PB |  | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 |  |  |  |
|  | DS |  | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 |  |  |  |
|  | DB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  |  |
|  | Plant | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 | 0.4 |  |  |  |

ashoreline grab sample

Table 38. Total nitrogen (mg N/1).

|  | Site and Depth (m) | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CS | 1.05 | 0.78 | 1.33 | 0.92 | 0.66 | 0.49 |  | 0.30 | 0.84 | 0.55 | 0.22 | 0.74 | 0.26 | 1.04 | 0.32 |
|  | C3 | 0.98 | 1.06 | 1.33 | 0.92 | 0.85 | 0.60 |  | 0.20 | 0.44 | 0.37 | 0.23 | 0.12 | 0.43 | 1.43 | 0.21 |
|  | C6 | 1.17 | 1.25 | 1.32 | 0.72 | 0.73 | 0.24 |  | 0.31 | 0.64 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.36 | 1.23 | 0.33 |
|  | C9 | 1.34 | 1.09 | 1.52 | 0.81 | 1.02 | 0.97 |  | 0.17 | 0.55 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 0.92 | 0.38 | 1.42 | 0.43 |
|  | Cl 2 | 0.35 | 1.54 | 1.55 | 0.43 |  |  |  | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.63 | 0.28 | 0.97 | 0.47 |
|  | C15 | 1.76 | 0.71 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.52 | 0.85 | 0.38 | 0.54 | 0.14 | 0.55 | 1.22 | 0.42 |
|  | C18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.95 | 0.04 | 0.46 | 0.61 | 0.80 | 0.76 |  |  |
|  | C21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.34 | 0.48 | 0.72 | 0.33 |  |  |  |
|  | C24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.38 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |
|  | C27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.40 | 0.76 |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | JS | 1.12 | 1.25 | 1.66 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.55 | 0.33 | 0.23 |  |  |  |
|  | J2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.04 |  | 0.64 |  |  |  |  |
|  | J3 |  |  | 1.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.25 |  | 0.72 |  |  |  |
|  | J4 |  | 0.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.04 |  | 0.44 |  |  |  |  |
|  | J6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.43 | 0.02 |  |  |  |
|  | J8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.65 |  | 0.52 |  |  |  |  |
|  | J9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.54 |  | 0.93 |  |  |  |
|  | J10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.44 |  | 0.42 |  |  |  |  |
|  | J12 | 1.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.38 |  | 1.13 |  |  |  |
|  | J15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.30 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PS | 1.23 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.36 | 0.52 | 0.72 |  |  |  |
|  | PB |  | 1.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.24 | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.62 |  |  |  |
|  | DS |  | 0.85 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.37 | 0.22 | 0.52 |  |  |  |
|  | DB |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | 0.14 | 0.57 | 0.54 | 0.54 |  |  |  |
|  | Plant | 0.78 | 0.84 | 1.33 | 0.81 | 0.76 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.41 | 0.45 |  |  |  |

Table 39. Uncorrected chlorophyl1 ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / 1$ ).

| Site and Depth (m) | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | 2.2 | 3.3 | 7.6 | 0.7 | 53.7 | 27.8 | 19.1 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 6.7 | 2.5 |
| C3 | 2.2 | 8.6 | 9.6 | 30.7 | 19.3 | 1.6 | 8.4 | 6.8 | 8.3 | 3.5 | 24.0 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 1.4 |
| C6 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 10.8 | 10.0 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 8.9 | 14.3 | 17.1 | 15.6 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 7.2 | 7.5 |
| C9 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 12.8 | 8.3 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 17.0 | 17.3 | 19.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 3.7 |
| Cl 2 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 9.9 | 5.1 |  |  | 1.1 | 27.3 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 |
| C15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | 24.1 | 13.8 | 1.0 | 14.4 | 5.4 | 8.1 | 6.7 |
| C18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.6 | 12.4 | 11.4 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 5.5 |  |  |
| C21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.1 | 19.3 | 0.7 | -1.3 |  |  |  |
| C24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22.1 | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |
| C 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.2 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| JS | 1.7 | 3.9 | 5.6 |  |  |  |  | 3.7 | 41.3 | 38.2 | 0.4 |  |  |  |
| J2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.7 |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| J3 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 37.5 |  | 3.5 |  |  |  |
| J4 |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 18.0 |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
| J6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.7 | 16.7 | 3.7 | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| J8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.5 |  | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |
| J9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.1 |  | 11.3 |  |  |  |
| J10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.9 |  | 14.1 |  |  |  |  |
| J12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.8 |  | 10.2 |  |  |  |
| J15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS | 1.1 | $3.3$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1.7 | 19.7 | 3.4 | 1.3 |  |  |  |
| PB |  | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.8 | 17.0 | - 2.3 | 1.9 |  |  |  |
| DS |  | 2.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.7 | 15.9 | 1.3 | 1.2 |  |  |  |
| DB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.2 | 15.9 | 8.2 | 2.9 |  |  |  |

Table 40. Chlorophyll a ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / 1$ ), phaeophytin corrected.

| Site and Depth (m) | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | 0.9 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 36.8 | 10.9 | 8.2 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| c3 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 11.9 | 8.0 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 0.6 |
| C6 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| C9 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 16.0 | 6.8 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| Cl 2 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 2.2 |  |  | 0.4 | 10.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| C15 |  | 1.1 |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 9.0 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 2.3 |
| C18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.1 |  |  |
| C21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.7 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| C24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.8 | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |
| C27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.8 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| JS | 0.8 | 1.4 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | 1.6 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| J2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.6 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| J3 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  | 0.8 |  |  |  |
| J4 |  | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.8 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| J6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| J8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.6 |  | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |
| J9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  | 2.8 |  |  |  |
| J10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |  | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 312 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| J15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PS | 0.5 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.7 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| PB |  | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.9 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| DS |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| DB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.7 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 0.1 |  |  |  |

Appendix E
Summary of Statistical Analyses for Detecting Nutrient Concentration Differences between Reservoir Sites

Table 41. ANOVA analyses for nutrient spatial differences in Mt. Dell Reservoir, * = significant with $\alpha=0.05$.

| June (TP) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source (S) | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | F Ratio |
| Between Sites (T) | 3 | 56.85 | 18.95 | 0.0933 |
| Within Sites (E) | 16 | 3250 | 203.1 |  |
| Total | 19 | 3307 |  |  |
| July (TP) |  |  |  |  |
| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | F Ratio |
| I | 3 | 3662 | 1221 | 93.35* |
| E | 16 | 209.2 | 13.08 |  |
| Total | 19 | 3871 |  |  |

August (TP)

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | FRatio |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| T | 3 | $\cdots$ | 389.4 | 129.8 |
| E | 13 | 1905 | 0.8860 |  |
| Total | 16 | 2294 |  |  |

June (Ortho-P)

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | Fratio |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| T | 3 | 156.9 | 52.31 | 1.530 |
| E | 16 | 547.3 | 34.20 |  |
| Total | 19 | 704.2 |  |  |

July (Ortho-P) Log Transformed

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | FRatio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| T | 3 | 0.2518 | 0.0839 | 0.7353 |
| E | 16 | 1.825 | 0.1141 |  |
| Total | 19 | 2.077 |  |  |

August (Ortho-P) Log Transformed

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | FRatio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | 3 | 0.1648 | 0.0549 | 0.7968 |
| E | 13 | 0.8952 | 0.0689 |  |
| Total | 16 | 1.060 |  |  |

June (TSIN) Log Transformed

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | FRatio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| T | 3 | 0.0237 | 0.0079 | 0.5896 |
| E | 16 | 0.2141 | 0.0134 |  |
| Total | 19 | 0.2378 |  |  |

July (TSIN) Log Transformed

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | Fratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | 3 | 0.3771 | 0.1257 | 1.569 |
| E | 16 | 1.281 | 0.0801 |  |
| Total | 19 | 1.658 |  |  |

August (TSIN) Log Transformed

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | FRatio |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | 3 | 0.2661 | 0.0887 | 0.4151 |
| E | 13 | 2.778 | 0.2137 |  |
| Total | 16 | 3.044 |  |  |

Table 41. Continued.

June (TN) Log Transformed

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | FRatio |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| T | 3 | 0.0755 | 0.0252 | 1.385 |
| E | 16 | 0.2916 | 0.0182 |  |
| Total | 19 | 0.3671 |  |  |

July (TN) Log Transformed

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | FRatio |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| T | 3 | 0.0564 | 0.0188 | 0.5767 |
| E | 16 | 0.5221 | 0.0326 |  |
| Total | 19 | 0.5785 |  |  |

August (TN) Log Transformed

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | FRatio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| T | 3 | 0.1684 | 0.0561 | 0.2488 |
| E | 13 | 2.931 | 0.2255 |  |
| Total | 16 | 3.100 |  |  |

June (Phaeophytin Corrected Chl) Log (X + 1) Transformed

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | FRatio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| T | 3 | 0.0910 | 0.0304 | 0.6482 |
| E | 8 | 0.3751 | 0.0469 |  |
| Total | 11 | 0.4661 |  |  |

July (Phaeophytin Corrected Chl) Log ( $X+1$ ) Transformed

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | FRatio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | 3 | 0.1030 | 0.0343 | 1.187 |
| E | 11 | 0.3183 | 0.0289 |  |
| Total | 14 | 0.4213 |  |  |

August (Phaeophytin Corrected Ch1) $\log (X+1)$ Transformed

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | FRatio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $T$ | 3 | 0.0530 | 0.0177 | 0.3627 |
| $E$ | 11 | 0.3907 | 0.0488 |  |
| Total | 14 | 0.4437 |  |  |

June (Uncorrected Ch1) Log (X + 1) Transformed

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | FRatio |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| T | 3 | 0.0910 | 0.0303 | 0.6461 |
| E |  | 8 | 0.3751 | 0.0469 |
| Total | 11 | 0.4661 |  |  |

July (Uncorrected Chi) Log (X +1 ) Transformed

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | FRatio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | 3 | 0.1030 | 0.0343 | 1.187 |
| E | 11 | 0.3183 | 0.0289 |  |
| Total | 14 | 0.4213 |  |  |

August (Uncorrected Ch1) Log (X + 1) Transformed

| S | D.F. | S.S. | M.S. | FRatio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | 3 | 0.0530 | 0.0177 | 0.3627 |
| E | 8 | 0.3907 | 0.0488 |  |
| Total | 11 | 0.4437 |  |  |

## Appendix F

Phytoplankton Enumerations of
Mt. De11 Reservoir Waters
Table 42. Mean counts of diatoms/ml from five subsamples.

| Location | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | 1.4 | 18.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| C3 | 2.8 | 18.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| C6 | 1.2 | 22.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| C9 | 0.8 | 24.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Cl 2 | 2.8 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| C15 | 1.8 | 16.0 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | a | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Cl 8 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | a | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| C21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| C24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a | 0.0 |  |
| C27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a | 0.2 |  |
| JS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| J2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.2 |  |
| J3 |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.0 |
| J4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |  | 0.8 |  |
| J6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| J8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  |
| J9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |  | 1.0 |
| J10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.0 |  | 0.4 |  |
| J12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.2$ |  | 1.0 |
| J15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| PS |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| PB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| DS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| DB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.2 |

[^3]Table 43. Mean counts of flagellates/ml from five subsamples.

asamples mistakenly disposed

Table 44. Mean counts of filamentous cells/ml from five subsamples.

| Location | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Ju1. | Aug. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 2.0 |
| C3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 7.4 | 0.8 |
| C6 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 11.0 | 0.8 |
| C9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.4 |
| C12 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| C15 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | a | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| C18 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | a | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| C21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | a | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| C24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a | 0.2 |  |
| C27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a | 0.0 |  |
| JS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a | 4.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 |
| J2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 7.4 |  |
| J3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.2 |  | 1.4 |
| J4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 9.6 |  |
| J6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 3.2 | 7.6 | 0.4 |
| J8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 2.4 |  |
| J9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  | 0.8 |
| J10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 1.6 |  |
| J12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.8$ |  | 1.0 |
| J15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |
| PS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 7.4 | 8.4 | 2.8 |
| PB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 1.8 | 8.2 | 1.8 |
| DS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.0$ | 6.8 | 10.4 | 1.6 |
| DB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 3.2 | 5.6 | 1.2 |

Table 45. Mean counts of the spherical type/ml from five subsamples.

| Location | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr . | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS | 56.0 | 79.4 | 69.4 | 16.4 | 170.3 | 111.0 | 43.4 | 70.4 | 50.6 | 15.0 | 53.2 | 19.4 |
| C3 | 86.4 | 97.4 | 36.0 | 43.6 | 75.4 |  |  | a | 51.2 | 25.8 | 31.6 | a |
| C6 | 52.6 | 105.8 | 38.6 | 17.4 | - |  |  | 35.4 | 58.8 | 42.8 | 38.4 | 15.2 |
| C9 | 70.4 | 114.8 | 40.6 | 20.8 | 156.5 |  |  | 24.4 | 62.2 | 37.2 | 11.4 | 80.8 |
| C12 | a | a | 20.8 | 22.4 |  |  |  | 54.0 | 60.0 | a | 13.6 | a |
| C15 | a | a | 141.2 |  |  |  |  | 43.4 | a | a | a | a |
| C18 | a |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75.4 | a | a | 9.4 | 25.4 |
| C21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a |  | a | a | 7.2 |
| C24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a | a |  |
| C27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a | a |  |
| JS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a | 18.4 | 15.8 | 15.4 |
| J2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28.2 |  | 18.4 |  |
| J3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.6 |  | 130.0 |
| J4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39.2 |  | 23.2 |  |
| J6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43.4 | 69.0 | 15.2 | 18.4 |
| J8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42.6 |  | 17.8 |  |
| J9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 112.8 |  | 14.4 |
| J10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66.4 |  | 14.2 |  |
| J12 |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75.6 |  | 7.6 |
| J15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79.4 |  |  |
| PS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $65.6$ | $185.5$ | $25.6$ | a |
| PB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41.8 | 135.0 | 72.6 | 29.0 |
| DS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.0 | 23.0 | 31.8 | 18.0 |
| DB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.4 | 48.8 | 21.0 | 19.8 |

[^4]Appendix G

## Algal Bioassay Maximum Standing Crops and

Chemical and Statistical Analyses

Table 46. Algal bioassay MSC values (mg/l) for site DG.

|  | Treatments | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Al | 28.82 | 34.98 | 29.38 | 29.66 | 26.58 | 29.38 | 23.22 | 23.50 | 29.38 | 24.06 | 22.94 | 22.94 |
|  | A2 | 25.74 | 35.26 | 35.54 | 29.66 | 44.22 | 30.50 | 23.50 | 23.22 | 25.46 | 23.78 | 22.10 | 20.98 |
|  | A3 | 26.30 | 34.98 | 31.90 | 29.38 | 47.86 | 31.34 | 23.22 | 23.78 | 26.02 | 23.78 | 22.38 | 21.54 |
|  | B1 | 37.78 | 34.98 | 42.82 | 46.46 | 43.66 | 27.98 | 22.94 | 23.50 | 27.14 | 40.86 | 29.38 | 27.98 |
|  | B2 | 36.94 | 40.86 | 42.26 | 41.42 | 46.46 | 28.82 | 23.22 | 22.94 | 28.82 | 40.86 | 31.62 | 27.14 |
|  | B3 | 36.10 | 38.90 | 43.10 | 47.58 | 45.34 | 30.22 | 24.34 | 23.50 | 28.54 | 41.98 | 31.34 | 27.98 |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | Cl | 27.42 | 34.98 | 43.38 | 30.22 | 29.10 | 22.94 | 30.22 | 23.50 | 23.50 | 24.34 | 23.78 | 21.82 |
|  | C2 | 28.26 | 35.54 | 36.66 | 29.38 | 27.42 | 22.94 | 32.18 | 23.50 | 23.78 | 24.34 | 23.22 | 21.54 |
|  | C3 | 29.66 | 29.66 | 34.14 | 34.14 | 29.66 | 23.50 | 29.66 | 23.22 | 23.50 | 25.18 | 22.94 | 21.54 |
|  | D1 | 92.38 | 80.34 | 90.98 | 100.8 | 103.3 | 94.62 | 63.26 | 48.70 | 51.78 | 90.70 | 74.74 | 79.22 |
|  | D2 | 92.94 | 76.14 | 85.10 | 101.7 | 104.5 | 93.50 | 56.82 | 51.22 | 62.14 | 93.78 | 75.86 | 77.26 |
|  | D3 | 94.06 | 82.86 | 90.70 | 94.34 | 102.2 | 90.70 | 55.14 | 58.50 | 64.94 | 92.94 | 85.66 | 77.82 |

Table 47. Algal bioassay MSC values (mg/l) for site PG.

|  | Treatments | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A1 | 32.74 | 35.54 | 36.10 | 36.94 | 27.98 | 25.18 | 26.02 | 23.78 | 26.58 | 26.86 | 15.74 | 25.18 |
|  | A2 | 32.46 | 34.14 | 39.46 | 36.38 | 28.54 | 25.46 | 26.02 | 23.50 | 27.14 | 25.74 | 15.74 | 24.62 |
|  | A3 | 30.50 | 31.90 | 40.02 | 34.98 | 30.78 | 25.74 | 25.18 | 23.50 | 26.58 | 26.58 | 26.02 | 24.62 |
|  | B1 | 35.54 | 41.42 | 35.26 | 43.66 | 31.90 | 26.58 | 24.34 | 23.22 | 26.02 | 37.78 | 30.78 | 15.74 |
|  | B2 | 35.54 | 42.82 | 40.02 | 37.78 | 38.90 | 25.74 | 28.54 | 21.82 | 26.58 | 38.06 | 28.82 | 27.42 |
|  | B3 | 36.10 | 42.54 | 43.10 | 40.02 | 31.62 | 25.74 | 34.14 | 22.10 | 27.42 | 38.06 | 29.10 | 23.50 |
| $\underset{\omega}{\rightleftarrows}$ | Cl | 36.38 | 35.26 | 40.30 | 31.62 | 30.50 | 26.02 | 26.02 | 31.62 | 29.38 | 26.86 | 31.90 | 24.62 |
|  | C2 | 33.86 | 36.94 | 49.82 | 31.90 | 29.10 | 25.18 | 24.34 | 30.22 | 25.74 | 27.70 | 31.34 | 24.34 |
|  | c3 | 34.14 | 37.50 | 41.98 | 34.70 | 31.34 | 26.02 | 26.02 | 29.66 | 29.38 | 27.42 | 30.78 | 24.06 |
|  | D1 | 102.2 | 90.70 | 92.38 | 78.66 | 103.3 | 79.50 . | 85.38 | 51.50 | 76.98 | 96.86 | 90.42 | 82.30 |
|  | D2 | 102.5 | 87.06 | 87.90 | 78.94 | 104.5 | 73.90 | 79.50 | 50.66 | 71.38 | 94.34 | 81.74 | 82.30 |
|  | D3 | 99.66 | 82.02 | 90.70 | 88.74 | 102.2 | 83.14 | 73.62 | 54.30 | 78.10 | 101.7 | 123.7 | 82.02 |

Table 48. Algal bioassay MSC values (mg/l) for site C.

|  | Treatments | Jan. | Feb. | Mar.a | Apr. | May | Jun. | JuI. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Al | 23.22 | 26.58 | 57.38 | 27.70 | 19.58 | 20.98 | 19.02 | 18.46 | 18.74 | 20.98 | 22.38 | 20.42 |
|  | A2 | 14.34 | 29.38 | 45.90 | 27.70 | 19.58 | 20.14 | 19.30 | 18.46 | 18.46 | 21.26 | 22.38 | 19.30 |
|  | A3 | 24.06 | 26.02 | 51.50 | 28.26 | 19.30 | 19.58 | 19.30 | 18.46 | 18.46 | 21.26 | 21.26 | 18.74 |
|  | A +1 | 24.62 | 29.10 | 57.10 | 28.54 | 26.58 | 22.94 | 19.02 | 18.74 | 20.98 | 24.62 | 24.06 | 20.14 |
|  | A+2 | 13.50 | 27.98 | 51.50 | 28.82 | 26.58 | 20.98 | 19.02 | 18.46 | 20.42 | 24.06 | 23.78 | 20.42 |
|  | A+3 | 24.62 | 28.82 | 54.58 | 31.34 | 16.02 | 20.98 | 19.02 | 18.74 | 20.98 | 24.62 | 25.46 | 20.70 |
| $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{f}}$ | B+1 | 27.98 | 30.78 | 121.5 | 34.14 | 27.98 | 23.50 | 19.02 | 18.74 | 22.38 | 24.90 | 24.90 | 21.54 |
|  | $\mathrm{B}+2$ | 13.50 | 30.82 | 122.4 | 31.62 | 27.98 | 22.94 | 19.02 | 18.74 | 22.94 | 25.18 | 24.62 | 21.26 |
|  | B+3 | 25.74 | 28.54 | 135.3 | 34.42 | 28.82 | 22.66 | 19.02 | 18.46 | 22.94 | 24.90 | 25.46 | 23.22 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}+1$ | 26.86 | 32.46 | 53.46 | 29.10 | 16.02 | 20.42 | 19.58 | 20.42 | 20.42 | 26.02 | 29.38 | 20.98 |
|  | C+2 | 27.42 | 32.18 | 48.14 | 29.66 | 27.42 | 21.26 | 19.58 | 21.26 | 20.70 | 23.78 | 31.34 | 20.98 |
|  | C+3 | 25.18 | 34.98 | 52.62 | 30.78 | 25.74 | 21.26 | 19.58 | 20.70 | 19.86 | 24.90 | 31.62 | 20.98 |
|  | D+1 | 53.74 | 83.70 | 108.4 | 78.66 | 70.82 | 59.06 | 19.58 | 59.34 | 46.18 | 88.46 | 82.30 | 80.06 |
|  | D+2 | 49.54 | 78.10 | 118.7 | 78.94 | 90.70 | 64.66 | 19.58 | 59.62 | 46.18 | 91.54 | 88.18 | 91.82 |
|  | D+3 | 51.62 | 79.22 | 112.5 | 88.74 | 71.10 | 96.62 | 19.86 | 59.06 | 73.34 | 90.70 | 93.22 | 101.9 |

Table 49. Algal bioassay results from Duncan's multiple range tests ( $\alpha=0.05$ ) . $a$

| SITE C |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January |  |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP00 | GRPO 1 | GRP02 | GRP03 |
| Mean | 23.8733 | 24.2467 | 25.7400 | 26.4867 |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 51.6333 |  |  |  |
| February |  |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP00 | GRP01 | GRP02 |  |
| Mean | 27.3267 | 28.6333 | 30.1267 |  |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP02 | GRP03 |  |  |
| Mean | 30.1267 | 33.2067 |  |  |
| Subset 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 80.3400 |  |  |  |
| March |  |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP03 | GRP00 | GRP01 |  |
| Mean | 51.4067 | 51.5933 | 54.3933 |  |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 113.1933 |  |  |  |

aGroups 00, 01, 02, 03, 04 are analogous to treatments A, A+, $\mathrm{B}^{+}, \mathrm{C}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{D}+$ of reservoir water. Groups $01,02,03,04$ are analogous to treatments $A, B, C$, and $D$ of stream waters.

Table 49. Continued.

| March |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subset 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRPO2 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 126.3533 |  |  |  |
| April |  |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP00 | GRP0 1 | GRP0 3 |  |
| Mean | 27.8867 | 29.5667 | 29.8467 |  |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP0 1 | GRP03 | GRP02 |  |
| Mean | 29.5667 | 29.8467 | 33.3933 |  |
| Subset 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 82.1133 |  |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP00 | GRPO1 | GRP03 | GRP0 2 |
| Mean | 19.4867 | $26.3933^{\circ}$ | 26.3933 | 28.2600 |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 77.5400 |  |  |  |
| June |  |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRPO0 | GRPO 3 | GRPO1 | GRP0 2 |
| Mean | 20.2333 | 20.9800 | 21.6333 | 23.0333 |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 73.4333 |  |  |  |

Table 49. Continued.

| July |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRPO 1 | GRPO 2 | GRP00 |  |
| Mean | 19.0200 | 19.0200 | 19.2067 |  |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP03 | GRP04 |  |  |
| Mean | 19.5800 | 19.6733 |  |  |
| August |  |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP00 | GRPO 1 | GRP02 |  |
| Mean | 18.4600 | 18.6467 | 18.6467 |  |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP0 3 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 20.7933 |  |  |  |
| Subset 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Group Mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { GRP04 } \\ 59.3400 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| September |  |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP00 | GRP0 3 | GRPO 1 | GRPO 2 |
| Mean | 18.5533 | 20.3267 | 20.7933 | 22.7533 |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 55.2333 |  |  |  |
| October |  |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP00 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 21.1667 |  |  |  |

Table 49. Continued.

| October |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRPO 1 | GRP03 | GRP02 |  |
| Mean | 24.4333 | 24.9000 | 24.9933 |  |
| Subset 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Group Mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { GRPO4 } \\ 90.2333 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| November |  |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP00 | GRPO 1 | GRP02 |  |
| Mean | 22.0067 | 24.4333 | 24.9933 |  |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP03 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 30.7800 |  |  |  |
| Subset 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 87.9000 |  |  |  |
| December |  |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP00 | GRPO1 | GRP0 3 | GRP0 2 |
| Mean | 19.4867 | 20.4200 | 20.6067 | 22.0067 |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 91.2600 |  |  |  |

SITE DG

January
Subset 1

Group GRPO1
GRP0 3
Mean
26.9533
28.4467

Table 49. Continued.

| January |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP02 |  |  |
| Mean | 36.9400 |  |  |
| Subset 3 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |
| Mean | 93.1267 |  |  |
| February |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP0 3 | GRP01 | GRP02 |
| Mean | 33.3933 | 35.0733 | 38.2467 |
| Subset 2 |  | , |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |
| Mean | 79.7800 |  |  |
| March |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP01 | GRP0 3 |  |
| Mean | 32.2733 | 38.0600 |  |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP0 3 | GRP0 2 |  |
| Mean | 38.0600 | 42.7267 |  |
| Subset 3 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |
| Mean | 88.9267 |  |  |
| April |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRPO 1 | GRP0 3 |  |
| Mean | 29.5667 | 29.6600 |  |

Table 49. Continued.

| April |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subset 2 |  |  |
| Group | GRP02 |  |
| Mean | 45.1533 |  |
| Subset 3 |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |
| Mean | 98.9133 |  |
| May |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |
| Group | GRP03 | GRPO1 |
| Mean | 28.7267 | 39.5533 |
| Subset 2 |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 | GRP0 2 |
| Mean | 39.5533 | 45.1533 |
| Subset 3 |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |
| Mean | 103.3000 |  |
| June |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |
| Group | GRP0 3 |  |
| Mean | 23.1267 |  |
| Subset 2 |  |  |
| Group | GRP02 | GRPO 1 |
| Mean | 29.0067 | 30.4067 |
| Subset 3 |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |
| Mean | 92.9400 |  |

Table 49. Continued.

July
Subset 1

| Group | GRP01 | GRP02 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 23.3133 | 23.5000 |

Subset 2
Group GRP03
Mean 30.6867

Subset 3

| Group | GRP04 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Mean | 58.4067 |

August
Subset 1

Group GRP02
Mean
23.3133

Subset 2

| Group | GRP04 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mean | 52.8067 |

Mean
52.8067

September
Subset 1

Group
Mean
GRPO 3
23.5933

Subset 2

Group GRPO4
Mean $\quad 59.6200$
October

Subset 1

| Group | GRPO1 | GRP03 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 23.8733 | 24.6200 |

Table 49. Continued.

| October |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP02 |  |  |
| Mean | 41.2333 |  |  |
| Subset 3 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |
| Mean | 92.4733 |  |  |
| November |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRPO1 | GRP0 3 |  |
| Mean | 22.4733 | 23.3133 |  |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP02 |  |  |
| Mean | 30.7800 |  |  |
| Subset 3 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |
| Mean | 78.7533 |  |  |
| December |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP03 | GRP01 |  |
| Mean | 21.6333 | 21.8200 |  |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP0 2 |  |  |
| Mean | 27.7000 |  |  |
| Subset 3 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |
| Mean | 78.1000 |  |  |

Table 49. Continued.

## SITE PG

January
Subset 1

Group GRP01
Mean 31.9000
Subset 2
Group GRP03 GRP02
Mean $34.7933 \quad 35.7267$

Subset 3

Group GRP04
Mean 101.4333

February
Subset 1
Group GRP01 GRP03
Mean
33.8600
36.5667

Subset 2

Group GRP02
Mean
42.2600

Subset 3

Group GRP04
Mean 86.5933

March
Subset 1

| Group | GRP01 | GRP02 | GRP03 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 38.5267 | 39.4600 | 44.0333 |

Subset 2

Group GRPO4
Mean 90.3267

RPO
44.0333

Table 49. Continued.

| April |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP03 | GRPOI |  |
| Mean | 32.7400 | 36.1000 |  |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP01 | GRP02 |  |
| Mean | 36.1000 | 40.4867 |  |
| Subset 3 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |
| Mean | 82.1133 |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP01 | GRP03 |  |
| Mean | 29.1000 | 30.3133 |  |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP03 | GRP02 |  |
| Mean | 30.3133 | 34.1400 |  |
| Subset 3 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |
| Mean | 103.3000 |  |  |
| June |  |  |  |
| Subset 1 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP01 | GRPO 3 | GRP0 2 |
| Mean | 25.4600 | 25.7400 | 26.0200 |
| Subset 2 |  |  |  |
| Group | GRP04 |  |  |
| Mean | 78.8467 |  |  |

78.8467

Table 49. Continued.


```
Table 49. Cont inued.
```

October
Subset 2

| Group | GRP02 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Mean | 37.9667 |

## Subset 3

| Group | GRP04 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Mean | 97.6067 |

November
Subset 1

| Group | GRP01 | GRP02 | GRP03 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 25.8333 | 29.5667 | 31.3400 |

Subset 2

Group GRP04
Mean 98.6333
December
Subset 1

| Group | GRP03 | GRP01 | GRP02 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 24.3400 | 24.8067 | 25.5533 |

Subset 2

Group $\quad$ GRP04
Mean 82.2067

Table 50. Algal bioassay control water chemical analyses.

|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total-P (mg/l) | 0.077 | 0.179 | 1.17 | 0.055 | 0.036 | 0.020 | 0.024 | 0.023 | 0.026 | 0.018 | 0.036 | 0.038 |
| Ortho-P (mg/l) | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.767 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.005 |
| $\underset{(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})}{\mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{N}}$ | 0.040 | 0.180 | 0.250 | 0.100 | 0.040 | 0.040 | 0.010 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.020 | 0.190 |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | 0.020 | 0.013 | 0.056 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.014 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.014 | 0.008 | 0.00 | 0.013 |
| TSIN (mg/l) | 0.060 | 0.193 | 0.306 | 0.105 | 0.045 | 0.054 | 0.019 | 0.041 | 0.044 | 0.038 | 0.020 | 0.203 |
| Soluble Copper (mg/l) | - | - | - | - | 0.071 | 0.107 | 0.319 | 0.325 | 0.099 | 0.111 | 0.062 | 0.019 |
| Site DG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total-P (mg/l) | 0.022 | 0.053 | 0.020 | 0.052 | 0.047 | 0.046 | 0.027 | 0.031 | 0.030 | 0.032 | 0.036 | 0.027 |
| Ortho-P (mg/1) | 0.022 | 0.023 | 0.019 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.035 | 0.020 | 0.024 | 0.025 | 0.032 | 0.027 | 0.018 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{N} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / 1) \end{gathered}$ | 0.160 | 0.150 | 0.140 | 0.100 | 0.090 | . 0.160 | 0.340 | 0.130 | 0.080 | 0.060 | 0.240 | 0.050 |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ | 0.028 | 0.013 | 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.081 | 0.031 | 0.010 | 0.031 | 0.007 | 0.001 | 0.007 |
| TSIN (mg/l) | 0.188 | 0.163 | 0.146 | 0.105 | 0.097 | 0.241 | 0.371 | 0.140 | 0.111 | 0.067 | 0.241 | 0.057 |
| Site PG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total-P (mg/1) | 0.014 | 0.044 | 0.020 | 0.044 | 0.062 | 0.057 | 0.050 | 0.030 | 0.026 | 0.037 | 0.036 | 0.017 |
| Ortho-p (mg/1) | 0.014 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.022 | 0.019 | 0.030 | 0.013 | 0.024 | 0.026 | 0.011 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{N} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}) \end{array}$ | 0.280 | 0.260 | 0.210 | 0.150 | 0.050 | 0.150 | 0.120 | 0.150 | 0.190 | 0.110 | 0.020 | 0.120 |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / 1)$ | 0.024 | 0.011 | 0.024 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.033 | 0.015 | 0.016 | 0.014 | 0.009 | 0.003 | 0.007 |
| TSIN (mg/l) | 0.304 | 0.271 | 0.234 | 0.157 | 0.059 | 0.183 | 0.135 | 0.166 | 0.204 | 0.199 | 0.023 | 0.127 |

Table 51. TSIN:Orthophosphate and TN:TP ratios of algal bioassay control waters. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TSIN: Or tho-P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratios | 30.0 | 27.6 | 0.40 | 52.5 | 22.5 | 6.00 | 2.11 | 4.56 | 5.50 | 38.0 | 2.50 | 40.6 |
| TN:TP Ratios | 8.57 | 2.73 | 2.32 | 5.45 | 23.3 | 27.5 | 9.17 | 32.2 | 13.0 | 57.8 | 8.89 | - |
| Site DG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TSIN:Ortho-P Ratios | 8.55 | 7.09 | 7.68 | 5.25 | 4.85 | 6.89 | 18.6 | 5.83 | 4.44 | 2.09 | 8.93 | 3.17 |
| TN:TP Ratios | 35.9 | 3.02 | 17.5 | 5.77 | 21.3 | 20.4 | 13.7 | 14.2 | 10.8 | 49.1 | 1.94 | - |
| Site PG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TSIN:Ortho-P Ratios | 21.7 | 16.9 | 14.6 | 9.81 | 3.69 | 8.32 | 7.11 | 5.53 | 15.7 | 4.96 | 0.88 | 11.5 |
| TN:TP Ratios | 50.0 | 13.0 | 16.0 | 5.91 | 15.5 | 11.9 | 6.80 | 12.3 | 39.0 | 43.8 | 8.40 | - |
| Total Stream Loading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TSIN:Ortho-P Ratios | 32.4 | 14.7 | 11.0 | 7.17 | 4.43 | 7.38 | 11.3 | 5.73 | 7.17 | 3.39 | 3.95 | 5.42 |
| TN:TP Ratios | 59.8 | 8.07 | 16.7 | 5.69 | 17.9 | 15.6 | 8.35 | 13.0 | 24.0 | 46.2 | 6.75 | - |

[^5]
## Appendix H <br> Summer Water Densities of Various

## Strata in Mt. Dell Reservoir

and Stream Sites DG and PG

Table 52. June water densities ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) of stream and reservoir waters.a

| Depth (m) | Stream and Resėrvoir Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG | D | J | C | J | P | PG |
| 0 | 1000.03 | 999.26 | 999.16 | 999.24 | 999.16 | 999.21 | 1000.03 |
| 3 |  |  | 999.41 | 999.53 | 999.41 |  |  |
| 6 |  | 999.82 | 999.80 | 999.82 | 999.80 | 999.87 |  |
| 9 |  |  | 999.95 | 997.27 | 999.95 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 1000.00 | 1000.02 | 1000.00 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  | 1000.03 | 1000.06 | 1000.03 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  | 1000.07 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  | 1000.08 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  | 1000.09 |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  | 1000.10 |  |  |  |

Table 53. July water densities ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) of stream and reservoir waters.a

| Depth (m) | Stream and Reservoir Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG | D | J | C | J | P | PG |
| 0 | 999.69 | 998.33 | 998.33 | 998.29 | 998.33 | 998.40 | 999.82 |
| 3 |  |  | 998.50 | 998.52 | 998.50 |  |  |
| 6 |  | 999.43 | 999.29 | 999.29 | 999.29 | 999.34 |  |
| 9 |  |  | 999.64 | 999.61 | 999.64 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 999.64 | 999.84 | 999.64 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  | 999.94 |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  | 1000.00 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  | 1000.02 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  | 1000.04 |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  | 1000.05 |  |  |  |

abased on temperature and total dissolved solids concentration where total dissolved solids $(\mathrm{mg} / 1)=0.57 \mathrm{x}$ specific conductance.

Table 54. August water densities ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) of stream and reservoir waters.a

| Depth (m) | Stream and Reservoir Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DG | D | J | C | J | P | PG |
| 0 | 999.94 | 998.57 | 998.53 | 998.61 | 998.53 | 998.56 | 1000.02 |
| 3 |  | 998.93 | 998.59 | 998.61 | 998.59 | 998.99 |  |
| 6 |  |  | 999.08 | 998.89 | 999.08 |  |  |
| 9 |  |  | 999.24 | 999.16 | 999.24 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 999.49 | 999.38 | 999.49 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  | 999.52 |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  | 999.68 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  | 999.89 |  |  |  |

## Appendix I <br> Hydrologic Data for Mt. Dell Reservoir

## and Its Inflowing Streams

Table 55. Mean monthly reservoir volumes (ac ft) from 1966 to 1980 and mean monthly total precipitation (inches). (Taken from Parley's Treatment Plant 1982.)a

$a_{\text {Where }} 1$ ac-ft $=1233.5 \mathrm{~m}$ and 1 inch $=2.54 \mathrm{~cm}$
bata unavailable

Table 56. Mean monthly flows (cfs) for Parleys Creek from 1966 to 1982. (Taken from Parley's Treatment Plant 1982.)

|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | JuI. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 | 3.50 | 3.30 | 5.80 | 12.30 | 18.50 | 13.10 | 7.10 | 5.10 | 4.20 | 3.90 | 3.40 | 3.20 |
| 1967 | 2.55 | 2.87 | 4.70 | 8.20 | 27.50 | 32.50 | 15.50 | 8.20 | 5.66 | 4.65 | 4.10 | 3.54 |
| 1968 | 3.43 | 3.73 | 5.10 | 10.10 | 28.40 | 32.60 | 15.10 | 9.20 | 5.70 | 5.20 | 5.30 | 4.00 |
| 1969 | 4.00 | 4.40 | 5.81 | 33.27 | 37.18 | 22.89 | 11.34 | 6.65 | 4.77 | 5.32 | 4.29 | 3.95 |
| 1970 | 3.90 | b | 5.05 | 6.80 | 29.00 | 28.20 | 15.10 | 7.60 | 6.30 | 5.30 | 5.20 | 5.10 |
| 1971 | 6.20 | 6.30 | 9.20 | 23.80 | 31.60 | 33.10 | 18.50 | 9.50 | 6.80 | 6.00 | 5.10 | 4.60 |
| 1972 | 4.53 | 4.82 | 19.72 | 29.60 | 37.78 | 28.63 | 13.88 | 12.40 | 9.76 | 6.35 | 5.13 | 3.83 |
| 1973 | 3.61 | 2.62 | 4.82 | 13.44 | 38.18 | 23.53 | 16.75 | 7.32 | 6.00 | 5.46 | 3.51 | 4.45 |
| 1974 | 3.61 | 3.06 | 13.53 | 25.91 | 44.38 | 28.98 | 13.64 | 7.49 | 4.46 | 3.09 | 3.57 | 3.39 |
| 1975 | 3.61 | 3.79 | 5.43 | 10.68 | 68.02 | 61.23 | 23.84 | 11.18 | 6.80 | 5.84 | 5.24 | 4.72 |
| 1976 | 3.54 | 4.53 | 6.34 | 19.10 | 31.46 | 18.58 | 9.81 | 6.01 | 4.21 | 4.09 | 3.44 | 2.72 |
| 1977 | 2.35 | 2.53 | 2.53 | 4.56 | 7.34 | 5.18 | 3.45 | 1.94 | 2.35 | 3.03 | 2.91 | 2.53 |
| 1978 | 2.59 | 2.67 | 10.74 | 31.19 | 40.21 | 33.17 | 15.24 | 7.98 | 6.10 | 5.09 | 4.80 | 4.28 |
| 1979 | 4.06 | 3.14 | 4.78 | 15.20 | 19.43 | 12.20 | 7.49 | 5.05 | 2.61 | 3.00 | 3.34 | 3.11 |
| 1980 | 4.12 | 4.32 | 5.05 | 20.96 | 32.56 | 23.24 | 12.68 | 6.94 | 5.38 | 5.01 | 4.27 | 3.39 |
| 1981 | 2.98 | 3.24 | 3.63 | 8.96 | 14.06 | 11.75 | 6.04 | 3.16 | 2.99 | 4.19 | 3.81 | 3.67 |
| 1982 | 3.34 | 5.56 | 12.22 | 28.98 | . | a | a | , | a | , | a | , |
| Mean cfs | 3.64 | 3.81 | 7.78 | 17.83 | 31.60 | 25.56 | 12.84 | 7.23 | 5.26 | 4.72 | 4.21 | 3.78 |
| n | 17 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |

adata was obtained before May 1982.
bData unavailable.

Table 57. Mean monthly flows (cfs) for Dell Creek from 1966 to 1982. (Taken from Parley's Water Treatment Plant 1982.)

|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 | 2.60 | 3.00 | 8.10 | 20.80 | 22.10 | 5.90 | 2.20 | 1.10 | 1.30 | 1.70 | 2.10 | 2.30 |
| 1967 | 2.10 | 2.48 | 5.80 | 11.20 | 33.40 | 16.30 | 5.00 | 1.40 | 1.88 | 2.02 | 2.24 | 2.23 |
| 1968 | 2.37 | 3.23 | 6.20 | 16.70 | 40.40 | 19.70 | 5.40 | 4.00 | 2.70 | 3.00 | 3.30 | 2.90 |
| 1969 | 3.40 | 4.03 | 6.65 | 52.39 | 49.48 | 10.55 | 5.41 | 2.94 | 1.81 | 2.89 | 2.89 | 2.76 |
| 1970 | 3.10 | b | 4.71 | 10.30 | 53.10 | 18.00 | 5.20 | 2.40 | 2.50 | 2.90 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| 1971 | 5.70 | 7.80 | 13.00 | 47.4 | 51.70 | 19.00 | 5.40 | 3.80 | 3.30 | 3.80 | 3.90 | 4.00 |
| 1972 | 3.95 | 4.77 | 32.96 | 46.45 | 54.84 | 18.05 | 5.57 | 4.16 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 3.72 | 3.16 |
| 1973 | 3.04 | 3.23 | 4.40 | 23.18 | 62.27 | 16.32 | 5.90 | 3.92 | 3.79 | 3.44 | 5.23 | 3.44 |
| 1974 | 2.93 | 2.77 | 13.49 | 44.06 | 77.25 | 21.19 | 6.44 | 4.12 | 3.04 | 4.06 | 4.65 | 4.22 |
| 1975 | 3.75 | 3.31 | 5.81 | 12.94 | 84.10 | 65.48 | 15.75 | 6.20 | 4.40 | 4.02 | 4.15 | 3.89 |
| 1976 | 3.45 | 3.84 | 6.00 | 21.41 | 28.78 | 8.56 | 4.02 | 2.57 | 1.93 | 2.35 | 2.43 | 2.30 |
| 1977 | 2.22 | 2.16 | 2.51 | 4.66 | 6.64 | 4.38 | 2.18 | 1.22 | 1.18 | 1.59 | 1.83 | 2.25 |
| 1978 | 2.08 | 2.12 | 14.56 | 44.24 | 70.08 | 26.71 | 8.11 | 3.46 | 3.07 | 2.96 | 3.00 | 2.87 |
| 1979 | 3.21 | 2.84 | 4.77 | 20.76 | 38.53 | 11.38 | 3.69 | 2.50 | 1.22 | 1.76 | 2.30 | 2.37 |
| 1980 | 2.95 | 4.26 | 5.89 | 35.94 | 49.39 | 17.89 | 6.15 | 2.62 | 2.43 | 2.35 | 2.75 | 3.09 |
| 1981 | 2.67 | 2.83 | 3.73 | 11.06 | 15.57 | 8.64 | 2.22 | 0.87 | 1.47 | 2.22 | 2.23 | 2.65 |
| 1982 | 2.58 | 4.80 | 11.25 | 28.28 | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| $x$ daily cfs | 3.06 | 3.59 | 8.82 | 26.57 | 46.10 | 18.00 | 5.54 | 2.96 | 2.50 | 2.80 | 3.14 | 3.00 |
| $n$ | 17 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |

aData was obtained before May 1982.
bata unavailable.


[^0]:    aNutrient fluxes not calculated because site PF was not sampled.

[^1]:    Shoreline grab sample

[^2]:    ashoreline grab sample
    $b_{\text {Bottom contamination }}$

[^3]:    aSamples mistakenly disposed

[^4]:    aSamples degraded or mistakenly disposed

[^5]:    $\overline{a_{\text {Weight }}}$

