

Natural Resources and Environmental Issues

Volume 7 *University Education in Natural Resources*

Article 50

1998

Experience and experiments in integrating ecology and environmental policy in an undergraduate curriculum

Clare Ginger

School of Natural Resources, University of Vermont, Burlington

Deane Wang

School of Natural Resources, University of Vermont, Burlington

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/nrei>

Recommended Citation

Ginger, Clare and Wang, Deane (1998) "Experience and experiments in integrating ecology and environmental policy in an undergraduate curriculum," *Natural Resources and Environmental Issues: Vol. 7*, Article 50.

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/nrei/vol7/iss1/50>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Natural Resources and Environmental Issues by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



EXPERIENCE AND EXPERIMENTS IN INTEGRATING ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY IN AN UNDERGRADUATE CURRICULUM

Clare Ginger¹ and Deane Wang²

¹ Assistant Professor, School of Natural Resources,
University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405; cginger@nature.snr.uvm.edu.

² Associate, School of Natural Resources,
University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405; Deane.Wang@uvm.edu.

ABSTRACT: In 1986 the School of Natural Resources (SNR) embarked on a project to define and implement an undergraduate core curriculum that integrates natural and social science in the context of natural resource education. Currently this curriculum includes seven courses (21 credits) taken over five semesters beginning in the student's first semester and ending in their last year. At the outset, the students take two separate introductory courses, one introducing them to concepts in natural science and the other introducing them to natural resources from a social and cultural perspective. This paper describes the next phase of their curricular experience, a set of three courses taken concurrently. The overall goals of the courses are to introduce and integrate theories and approaches to analysis in ecology and social science as applied to environmental issues. This paper describes our use of the concept of integration in the context of natural resource education and three models for incorporating it into this portion of the SNR Core Curriculum. Our working definition of integration involves three levels: (1) process-logistics integration, (2) content integration, and (3) framework integration. The first is related to the process of establishing and delivering the courses including management of instructor, student, and teaching assistant roles across the disciplines. The second is related to bringing together ecological and social science knowledge to provide different views of a single natural resource case or issue. The third is related to recognizing and using conceptual frameworks shared across ecological and social science.

We used three different models to incorporate these levels of integration into the set of three courses. On one end of the spectrum, three instructors taught three distinct courses. One addressed ecology, a second one addressed social science, and a third linked the other two through the use of natural resource case studies. At the other end of the spectrum, two instructors (one ecology specialist, one public policy specialist) co-taught the courses. In this model, the classes were combined into a 7-credit block, and we taught in a collaborative learning and teaching environment using case studies and student-directed projects. The third model is intermediate. It included two primary instructors and a secondary instructor. The two primary instructors each taught a distinct course (one in ecology, the other in social science) while working together with the secondary instructor to create the third component. This third component provided a complementary set of case studies, exercises, and papers which supported student learning in the ecology and social science courses while requiring students to bring together material from both.

This paper discusses the three models and compares them based on the three levels of integration. The paper also assesses the implications of the course models relative to instructor and student effort and success in achieving content and framework integration. We conclude that no single approach is necessarily best, but rather, the three taken together represent a set of tradeoffs and different opportunities for instructor and student learning and effort. Development of this component of the SNR Core Curriculum continues to evolve. An important part of this evolution is a search for a working definition of integration appropriate to undergraduate natural resource education. In our view, it is important that this search continue to include interaction and debate among members of the entire SNR faculty.