University of Massachusetts Amherst [ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst](https://scholarworks.umass.edu/)

[Masters Theses](https://scholarworks.umass.edu/masters_theses_2) Contract Contra

November 2014

Deployment and Monitoring of an X-Band Dual-Polarization Phased Array Weather Radar

Lauren Masiunas University of Massachusetts Amherst

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarworks.umass.edu/masters_theses_2](https://scholarworks.umass.edu/masters_theses_2?utm_source=scholarworks.umass.edu%2Fmasters_theses_2%2F101&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Masiunas, Lauren, "Deployment and Monitoring of an X-Band Dual-Polarization Phased Array Weather Radar" (2014). Masters Theses. 101. [https://scholarworks.umass.edu/masters_theses_2/101](https://scholarworks.umass.edu/masters_theses_2/101?utm_source=scholarworks.umass.edu%2Fmasters_theses_2%2F101&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Open Access Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Dissertations and Theses at ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. It has been accepted for inclusion in Masters Theses by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. For more information, please contact [scholarworks@library.umass.edu.](mailto:scholarworks@library.umass.edu)

DEPLOYMENT AND MONITORING OF AN X-BAND DUAL-POLARIZATION PHASED ARRAY WEATHER RADAR

A Thesis Presented

by

LAUREN C. MASIUNAS

Submitted to the Graduate School of the University of Massachusetts Amherst in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN ELECTRICAL AND COMPUTER ENGINEERING

September 2014

Electrical and Computer Engineering

DEPLOYMENT AND MONITORING OF AN X-BAND DUAL-POLARIZATION PHASED ARRAY WEATHER RADAR

A Thesis Presented

by

LAUREN C. MASIUNAS

Approved as to style and content by:

Stephen Frasier, Chair

David McLaughlin, Member

Michael Zink, Member

C. V. Hollot, Department Chair Electrical and Computer Engineering

to the people who supported me, especially Krzysztof, Dave, Rob, Aunties, Dad, and Robin; and to the people who told me I should be a waitress after college: nothing pushes me to work harder than being told I can't possibly succeed.

ABSTRACT

DEPLOYMENT AND MONITORING OF AN X-BAND DUAL-POLARIZATION PHASED ARRAY WEATHER RADAR

SEPTEMBER 2014

LAUREN C. MASIUNAS B.A., SMITH COLLEGE M.S.E.C.E., UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS AMHERST

Directed by: Professor Stephen Frasier

This thesis describes the deployment of MIRSL's X-band dual-polarization Phase-Tilt Weather Radar (PTWR) at the University of Texas at Arlington during spring 2014. While this radar has been used to observe weather in Western Massachusetts, more observations of severe weather were required to determine the limits of its abilities in sensing more rapidly evolving weather systems. This site was chosen also for its proximity to the Dallas-Fort Worth Urban Testbed Network set up by the Center for Collaborative Adaptive Sensing of the Atmosphere (CASA), which provided the ability to compare and calibrate the PTWR data against another well-documented X-band weather radar. A data processing pipeline was developed for converting raw PTWR data to NetCDF format, which allows for easy sharing and mapping of weather data. Finally, this is the first in-depth documentation of the PTWR system and specifically the roof-mounted setup utilized for this deployment.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

$$

APPENDICES

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

A.1 Corner and level scheme of the radar enclosure frame. The first digit indicates corner, while the second indicates level. Corner 0 indicates the back right of the radar, from looking down from above; corner numbers increase clockwise around the frame. Level 0 indicates the ground level I-beam, while Level 3 indicates the topmost beam. 71

INTRODUCTION

Phased array radar technology

There are many problems with the current weather radar systems that have fueled the investigation of electronically scanned radars. One issue is that the mechanically scanned radars currently in use by most national weather services employ large spinning dish antennas that take a long time to scan the whole sky. These radars often provide revisit times on the order of five minutes. The long period between observations can result in severe weather warnings being issued too late. Another problem is that the current system employs a small number of high-power radars spread out over very large areas. Due to the curvature of the Earth, the radar beam is looking high in the sky at points far away from the radar. The weather at these altitudes often does not reflect the weather underneath the clouds; it often does not appropriately detect amount of rain, occurrence of hail, or such destructive forces as tornadoes. These issues and more have motivated interest in electronically scanned systems, which hold the promise to drop revisit times drastically.

The Center for Collaborative Adaptive Sensing of the Atmosphere (CASA) aims to eliminate the problem of the Earth's curvature within the large radar network [1]. CASA is testing this by building a system composed of a large number of short-range, low-power, low-cost dish radars set up on existing buildings and towers. Implementing phased arrays to focus only on areas of interest can drop revisit time and provide earlier warnings and more preparation time for those at risk.

The Multi-function Phased Array Radar (MPAR) is a proposed way to streamline the national radar system [2]. It aims to combine weather surveillance, wind profiling, and air traffic control, reducing redundancies in current radar coverage. It accomplishes this using a single electronically scanned, dual-polarization radar in any one area. It uses the unique ability of pointing an electronically scanned radar beam from one point to another discontiguous point instantaneously without having to scan the whole sky. This uses the multi-functionality of the electronically scanned radar to direct the beam only where it is needed, rather than slowly scanning across the whole sky to look at a few targets of interest. This allows it to perform all of its tasks in a timely manner.

Over a decade ago, the Microwave Remote Sensing Lab (MIRSL) used its expertise in mobile weather radar to build an X-band dual-polarization mobile weather radar (XPOL) [3]. As technology advanced, it became possible to use this as a basis to build an electronically scanned weather radar, which is not hindered by mechanical scanning and can offer significant improvements in temporal resolution for rapidly evolving severe weather. Consequently, MIRSL has developed a mobile X-band dual polarization phased array weather radar at low cost, called the Phase-Tilt Weather Radar (PTWR, Figure I.1) [4]. This radar employs all the benefits of an electronically scanned radar, while also being mobile for serving areas that require better weather detection than is available from the national system.

While the PTWR had been used to observe weather in Western Massachusetts, more observations were required to determine the limits of its abilities in sensing more rapidly evolving weather systems. For this reason, MIRSL deployed the PTWR on a rooftop in Arlington, Texas, an area that typically yields the type of severe weather the PTWR was built to observe. This site was chosen also for its proximity to the Dallas-Fort Worth Demonstration Network set up by CASA [5]. This allows for a qualitative as well as quantitative comparison of weather observations from the CASA radar side-by-side with observations of the same weather system from the PTWR, for better calibration of the PTWR with respect to severe weather.

Figure I.1: The Phase-Tilt Weather Radar during an observing run in Hadley, MA on 31 October 2013.

CASA Dallas Fort Worth Demonstration Network

The PTWR was brought to the University of Texas at Arlington (UTA) for sideby-side comparison with CASA's Dallas-Fort Worth Demonstration Network. This network will deploy as many as eight X-band radars, including the four X-band radars previously deployed in CASA's Oklahoma Demonstration Network, which were refurbished and moved to the Dallas-Fort Worth metroplex. Two were operational during the PTWR deployment: one located at the University of Texas at Arlington (UTA), and one in Midlothian, TX. A map of the CASA radars during the PTWR deployment in spring 2014 can be found in Figure I.2.

The PTWR was deployed roughly 250m away from the CASA radar installed at UTA. This radar, like the other CASA radars, is a mechanically scanned dualpolarization parabolic antenna operating in X-band. The CASA radar and the PTWR were able to illuminate similar volumes at the same time. This provided a good opportunity to compare the benefits and hazards of electronic scanning. Having this side-by-side data for the first time allows for the investigation of phased array data against data from a radar whose products have been well-studied.

There are also two National Weather Service radars in the area. KFWS is a standard NEXRAD WSR-88D radar located in south Fort Worth, to the southwest of UTA. TDFW is an FAA TDWR radar, which are installed at airports where severe weather occurs. It has a narrower beam for high resolution, but typically observes only at lower elevation angles. This C-band radar is located northeast of UTA.

Also in the area during deployment was XPOL, the original MIRSL X-band radar. It was parked at the future location of the Fort Worth CASA radar near Lake Worth in hopes of testing the site. While data from this radar will not be included here, working with this radar while deployed in Fort Worth showed the benefits of the PTWR's roof mounting over the option of "truck turned permanent radar station"

method. This includes easier access to power and internet, as well as significantly fewer wild animals getting into the system and causing malfunctions.

Figure I.2: The CASA DFW Urban Demonstration Network during the spring 2014 deployment of the PTWR. Purple stars indicate working CASA radars, while purple circles indicate CASA radars that were not operating at the time of the deployment. Yellow diamonds indicate an NWS radar, KFWS, and an FAA radar, TDFW. Red pins indicate MIRSL radars in operation at the time, PTWR and XPOL.

CHAPTER 1 HARDWARE DESCRIPTION

During the spring 2014 deployment, the PTWR consisted of a radar enclosure, computer enclosure, and pedestal. This radar setup is explained in this chapter. The radar is designed to scan electronically in azimuth and tilt in elevation using a pedestal, all while being controlled by a host computer. General parameters of the PTWR are listed in Table 1.1 [6]. A simplified system diagram is presented in Figure 1.1.

1.1 Radar enclosure

The radar enclosure contains the microwave components, including the up-down converter, transmit/receive (TR) modules, power supplies, array controller, and the antenna array. A figure of the radar enclosure, opened to show the components inside, can be found in Figure 1.2.

The radar enclosure is 1.47m wide, 0.82m tall, and 0.30m deep. It weighs about 82kg. It is made of an aluminum skeleton with a radome on one side, a metal plate on each side, two plates each on the top and bottom sides, and two metal plates on the back. It sits on a pedestal that enables it to move in elevation and azimuth.

The radome over the antenna array is a .5mm thick teflon sheet attached to a foam backing with an adhesive. The foam is cut into five pieces: one large sheet over the antenna, and four sheets along the border to add support. The teflon is bent around the edges of the radar enclosure and held in place by the plates on the sides of the radar. There are a few screws around the antenna to hold the foam in place.

Table 1.1: PTWR parameters

| Parameter | Specifications |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Radar system dimensions [m] | $1.47 \times 0.82 \times 0.30$ |
| Weight [kg] | 82 |
| Frequency [GHz] | $9.3 - 9.4$ |
| Transmit power (peak) [W] | 60 |
| Pulse compression gain [dB] | up to 20 |
| Duty cycle | up to 30% |
| Beam width $\lceil \circ \rceil$ | 2 (azimuth) x 3.5 (elevation) |
| Integrated cross-pol isolation [dB] | <-15 |
| Range resolution [m] | >37.5 |
| Polarization | alternate H $\&$ V |

Figure 1.1: A simplified system diagram. Solid lines indicate the path of the transmitted or received signal, while dashed lines indicate communication between different domains within the system.

Figure 1.2: Radar enclosure, as seen from the back with the metal plates removed.

Figure 1.3: Simplified schematic for the up-down converter.

The transmission coefficient of this type of radome on a prototype of the PTWR has been found to be less than -0.4dB for an incident angle between 0 and 60◦ of azimuth angle [8], so the electromagnetic properties of this radome are good for the purposes of this radar.

There are some flaws in the radome, as it has been stored outside in extreme temperatures and in the sun and rain. The adhesive has detached in several places, causing the teflon to bubble up from the foam. Because of this, some of the screws holding the foam in place can no longer connect the foam to the frame. There is also a slit in the teflon where it meets the top of the radar enclosure, which has been sealed with duct tape. These degradations in the radar appear to have minimal effects on the data.

1.2 RF subsystem

The RF subsystem is contained within the radar enclosure, mounted on the bottom plate. Inputs and outputs go through the BNC connectors on the side of the radar enclosure, as shown in Figure 1.4. A schematic of the RF system is shown in Figure 1.3.

Figure 1.4: Connections on the side of the radar enclosure. Top are military connectors for power, as explained in Section 1.4.1, while the bottom are BNC connectors for transmit, receive, trigger, and clock, connecting to the Pentek transceiver.

The transceiver is a commercial digital transceiver, Pentek 7140. It is installed as a PCI card in the host computer, as shown in Figure 1.5. It has one transmit and one receive line, as well as a trigger and a clock input. The 100MHz clock is fed from the radar enclosure to the transceiver, as all parts of the system require the same clock. The transceiver also receives a trigger signal from the FPGA, to facilitate timing across the system. These cables are SMA, and come from the outputs at the side of the radar (from the BNC connectors in Figure 1.4), labelled "IF-IN" for transmit, "IF-OUT" for receive, "TRIG" for the trigger, and "CLK" for the clock. Since the PTWR operates in alternate transmit alternate receive (ATAR) mode, only one transmit and one receive line is required.

There is an attenuator on the transmit line, close to the Pentek. On the receive line, there is an anti-aliasing filter centered at 60MHz, then a limiter and a 3dB attenuator. The limiter assures that the Pentek never receives a signal above 10dBm, which would burn out the receiver.

Some of the cables in the RF system are wrapped in foil to increase isolation. At 9.36GHz, especially at the output of the high power amplifier, the signal can leak into the received data and will be visible in the data. The foil minimizes these effects.

The FPGA is connected to the computer via serial port TTYS0. This talks primarily to the xdatalog program described in Chapter 3. It receives a clock signal in order to appropriately time triggers. The FPGA daughter board converts the 100MHz sine wave from the system clock (the same that goes to the transceiver) to a square wave of the same frequency, as required by the FPGA. The triggering signals are generated at the FPGA and sent to the transceiver at every transmission to start recording data. The trigger also passes through a 100-pin connector to the FPGA daughter board, where they are split into four and sent to each of the four line replaceable units (to be explained in Subsection 1.2.2).

1.2.1 Upconversion

A 60MHz-centered chirp or pulse is sent from the transceiver through the IF-in cable (labelled "TX") into the radar enclosure. The signal travels through a bandpass filter with a 60MHz center frequency and 5MHz bandwidth to filter out spurious image signals from the transceiver. It is then mixed with a 1.7GHz phase-locked oscillator up to 1.76GHz. It is amplified and passed through another bandpass filter. It is mixed again with a 7.6GHz PLO to 9.36GHz. Finally, the signal goes through a high-power amplifier with over 40dB gain. This signal then enters the divider network and is split into eight, and those eight signals are split into eight again to produce the sixty-four signals for the TR modules.

With the signal split into sixty-four, plus the losses in the transmission line, there is 18dB of split loss and 3dB of transmission loss; the TR modules have a 30dB gain. Since the TR modules should be saturated with a 0dBm signal, the high power amplifier is necessary to make sure the signal is high enough before being

Figure 1.5: Picture of the Pentek PCI card in the back of the host computer. The top two connectors are for transmit (the bottom of the two is not used). The third connector down is receive, while the bottom is the input for a clock. Only one line on the ribbon cable is used, which is the trigger input from the FPGA.

split, providing roughly 5dBm to every TR module, with slight variations due to temperature variations.

A TR module without its casing is shown in Figure 1.6. Inside each of the 64 TR modules is a common leg shared between transmit and receive mode that includes the attenuators and phase shifters [7]. A switch at either end specifies transmit or receive mode. The signal is then fed through a polarization diversity switch before being transmitted through an antenna element. Each TR module has an FPGA inside with enough memory to save amplitude and phase values for the attenuator and phase shifter, fed from the host computer. Because each TR module can only transmit about 1W of power, the PTWR uses pulse compression techniques to increase sensitivity.

1.2.2 Antenna

The TR modules are connected to the antenna with two cables. Arrows on the TR modules indicate transmit and receive. The upper connector attached to the antenna is H-pol, while the lower connector is V-pol. These cables are SMA at the TR module and SMP at the antenna.

The antenna is made up of 64 dual-polarized antenna elements [8]. Each of these elements is a center-fed microstrip patch array antenna with 32 elements. The 64 antenna elements are broken up into four line replaceable units (LRUs) of 16 antenna elements each. There are also four terminated dummy elements on each side of the array, used to reduce negative effects from diffraction and non-uniform coupling at the array edges. Each of the 64 elements have a TR module capable of independent amplitude and phase control on transmit and receive.

1.2.3 Downconversion

Upon its return, the signal is fed back through the TR modules and into a combiner network, from sixty-four to eight to one. This signal is fed through an LNA to boost it above the noise floor of the receiver. It passes through a switch which is used

Figure 1.6: A TR module without its metal casing, to show the PCB. (a) shows the path of a transmitted H-polarization signal, while (b) shows the path of a received V-polarization signal. The portion of the path both share is the common leg, which includes a digital attenuator and a phase shifter.

primarily for diagnostics (described below) and a bandpass filter. It is then mixed with a 7.6GHz PLO (the same used in upconversion) down to 1.76GHz and again with the 1.7GHz PLO to 60MHz. It is amplified twice to make up for the loss from the mixers and attenuation from the filters. It passes out of the radar enclosure to the transceiver through the IF-out cable (labelled "RX").

The internal calibration switch is used to collect information about the transmitted waveform. When the radar is receiving, the signal comes from the TR modules to the digital transceiver, to record all received data as expected. When the radar is transmitting, the switch changes on every pulse. This allows the radar to receive normally but to record the transmitted waveform for calibration while transmitting the signal.

The switch records the transmitted signal from two places. One input comes through a 30dB coupler, which is fed into an attenuator before entering the switch. The coupler allows the transmitted signal to enter the divider network as expected while also collecting the signal through the switch and back into the receiver. The other input comes from the TR modules just after the LNA. This is important because during transmission, about -30dBm leaks through the transmit-receive switch in the joint leg of the TR modules. Since the signals are in phase, the signal from all 64 TR modules adds coherently. The switch works such that on pulses one and three, the recorded waveform comes through the path that bypasses the TR modules, while on pulses two and four, the signal comes through the path that includes the TR modules.

1.3 Auxiliary hardware

The PTWR was designed to be mounted on a truck; however, for this project, it was mounted on a roof, and so some changes were made to the setup, as shown in Figure 1.7. A climate-controlled enclosure for the host computer, positioner, and power strips was necessary. This computer enclosure is designed to be weatherproof,

though some holes have been cut to allow access for cables; every effort has been made to make these holes water-tight through use of silicone caulking and duct tape. The cords for controlling the radar exit the computer enclosure through a hole in the side of the computer enclosure.

A new mounting technique was contrived, including an extended structure for the radar enclosure and pedestal as well as a base for holding down the radar enclosure and computer enclosure. The system already included a pedestal for tilting and rotating the radar enclosure. Several I-beams were used to raise the radar enclosure and pedestal above the height of the wall surrounding the roof, roughly three feet. Two pieces of 3/4" thick plywood were used for the base. The I-beam structure and the computer enclosure were mounted to these with $3/8$ " stainless steel screws, fed from underneath and countersunk so as not to harm the lining of the roof. Cinder blocks were used as weight to ensure the roof mount would not blow away in severe weather.

The roof space allocated to the PTWR was less than ideal. The view to the east was blocked by a wall roughly 5m high. The south was blocked by a metal structure just over a meter high. To the west of the radar was a large dish antenna. Despite this, the PTWR had a generally unimpeded view to the southwest and northwest.

1.3.1 Computer enclosure

The computer enclosure houses the host computer, positioner controller, and two network-controlled power strips called netBooters. There is also a battery backup for power emergencies that the netBooters are connected to. (The battery backup aspect of the system fortunately never had to be used.) These components are all mounted within the computer enclosure, a large weatherproof Hardigg case with a rack mount. This case also includes the cooling for the system. The case and components are shown in Figure 1.8.

Figure 1.7: The PTWR mounted on the roof of Nedderman Hall at UTA.

Figure 1.8: The components inside the computer enclosure.

The USB hub is for the keyboard and mouse as well as any external hard drives. The positioner and FPGA use the serial ports. This is because the two serial ports at the back of the computer are always named TTYS0 and TTYS1 by the host computer, and they are called specifically in the radar operation code in this way. The FPGA should always be TTYS0, and TTYS1 should always be the positioner, which uses a special female-female cable, and goes to the J2RS232 on the back of the positioner. The netBooters and the pitch and roll sensor use serial to USB adapters, since there are not enough serial ports in the host computer for all serial components. USB ports tend to change names randomly every time the computer is rebooted; this is addressed in Appendix A.

1.3.2 Cooling

For mounting on a roof, cooling and temperature monitoring had to be considered and added to the system, as these would no longer be provided by the truck. A window unit air conditioner was bought at low cost; a hole was cut into the back door of the computer enclosure to act as the "window" through which the air conditioner could be installed. The air conditioner uses an internal temperature sensor to maintain a predetermined temperature. It also has a remote control for easy temperature changing, as it is mounted at the back of the computer enclosure and can be hard to reach.

The TR modules have fans underneath them to keep them cool. The radar enclosure already had four fans mounted on the sides: two fans on the bottom for pulling cool air in from the environment, and two fans at the top that pull the cool air over the TR modules and push the warmed air back out. Repurposed dryer hoses were used as ducting between the computer enclosure and the radar enclosure, and were fed from holes cut in the computer enclosure to the fan openings. Dryer vents have the same diameter as the standard fan, so dryer louvers were installed in the fan

Figure 1.9: The PTWR roof mount cooling system.

openings to make the appropriate connections. The fans were reinstalled within the louvers to pull cool air through the ducting into the radar enclosure at the bottom and pump warm air back to the computer enclosure from the top. Inside the computer enclosure, the ducts for cool air leave from the side with the air conditioning, and the return ducts enter on the side opposite the air conditioner. It is sealed to form a closed loop to avoid problems with humidity and condensation on the radar components. The air inside the computer enclosure was air conditioned, so it stayed cool and dry.

Figure 1.10: Picture of the Arduino Uno-based temperature sensor, including the Uno, the ethernet shield, and the prototyping shield.

1.3.3 Temperature monitoring

While the radar enclosure already had a temperature monitoring system inside, in the form of temperature sensors on the TR modules and a temperature sensor associated with the netBooters, the computer enclosure did not. The air conditioner was dependent upon the computer enclosure temperature, but there was no way of changing the air conditioner's temperature once set and the system closed. Therefore temperature monitoring was critical, since someone on-site would have to be called in to fix the air conditioner. A device was made for these monitoring purposes. Since there were also condensation concerns, a humidity sensor was included in this device to monitor the humidity and temperature and check it against the dew point in the area. This device is shown in Figure 1.10, with a circuit schematic in Figure 1.11.

Figure 1.11: Schematic for the Arduino Uno-based temperature sensor inside the computer enclosure.

The device utilized an Arduino Uno microcontroller, an open-source electronics prototyping board. A DHT22 sensor was used for sensing temperature and humidity. This sensor can detect temperatures from -40 to 125° Celsius with $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ accuracy, and humidity from 0-100% with 2-5% accuracy. (The humidity readings were often quite low, close to 1%. While this seems low, the air conditioner was designed for a much larger space than the computer enclosure and was run continuously for over two months. More testing is required to see how accurate this humidity sensor is.)

An ethernet shield was used to give the device connectivity. The device sent the temperature and humidity readings to a dedicated Twitter feed, @mirslradar, every five minutes; however, as per the Twitter protocol, duplicate tweets were discarded. An example tweet is shown in Figure 1.12. This Twitter feed served as the temperature and humidity monitoring point for the computer enclosure. The humidity

Temp: 32C, Humidity: 1%. Love, MiPAR.

← Reply t3 Retweet * Favorite ... More 2:09 PM - 16 Jun 2014

Figure 1.12: Screenshot of the last post on Twitter before the PTWR was taken down from the roof at UTA.

stayed below 25% for the duration of the deployment, and while the temperature did at times reach above 40◦ Celsius, the computer continued to work without a problem.

1.3.4 Visual monitoring

Also included in the system was a visual and audial monitoring system. This was achieved through the use of a D-Link DCS-2330L network-enabled outdoor security camera (seen on the bottom of the radar enclosure in Figure 1.7). This was mounted to the bottom of the radar enclosure during deployment. A web app as well as a smartphone app exist for viewing the camera when and wherever the operator desires.

This camera can be configured to take a picture or a video when it detects movement; this leads to some stunning photos of storm events as in Figure 1.13. This feature is triggered whenever the radar changes elevation during a scan; it also triggers from lightning, birds, and clouds. This can cause issues as these images are saved to a Micro SD card on the camera, which can fill fast and whose data must be removed manually.

Figure 1.13: Lightning during the deployment on 3 April 2014, as seen from the security camera mounted beneath the radar.

1.4 System power

1.4.1 Radar enclosure power

Power enters the radar enclosure through military connectors on the side, as shown in Figure 1.4. The connections here are powered through the netBooters, so these connections can be controlled remotely, as described in Section 1.4.2. After entering the radar enclosure, power passes to two DC power supplies mounted on the top of the radar enclosure. These power supplies provide the various DC voltages needed by the radar components. They have cartridges inside that specify the output voltages of the supply and can be removed or replaced. They have fans that are positioned to blow hot air out the sides of the radar enclosure, toward the fans blowing air out of the radar enclosure. The DC supplies are attached to the top of the radar enclosure with screws, sealed from the top with silicone caulking and duct tape so no water leaks in through the top of the radar enclosure. They supply 12V to each of the four LRUs, the X-band high-power amplifier, and to the fans underneath the TR

Table 1.2: Power within the radar enclosure. Side connectors indicate the power enters the radar enclosure through the military connectors on the side of the radar enclosure, as shown in Figure 1.4.

| Description | Voltage | Origin | Wire color |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| TR module fans | 12V | DC power supply | black and red |
| TR modules | 12V | DC power supply | black and white |
| TR modules | $7.5V, -10V$ | DC power supply | black and red |
| DC power supply | $120V$ AC | "120V AC" side connector | black and red |
| $Up/down$ converter | 12V | bottom rails | black and red |
| Side fans | $120V$ AC | "Fans" side connector" | orange and blue |
| FPGA | 5V DC | "Control" side connector | black |
| High power amplifier | 12V | DC power supply | red and black |

modules. They also supply 12V, 7.5V, and -10V to the TR modules. An additional 12V line is passed to the voltage rails at the bottom of the radar enclosure to power the components in the up-down converter. One of these becomes the -12V required for the switch in the up-down converter.

The TR modules use three voltages. The power for the TR modules (12V, 7.5V, and -10V) enters through the backplane PCB between the splitter and combiner networks. The diodes on the PCB indicate whether there is power, regardless of color. However, not all the LEDs are currently functional, so checking the voltages is critical if a problem arises. The TR modules use 12V for internal power amplifiers. Quite a bit of amperage is required per LRU (one LRU in transmit mode requires 27A at 12V), so this voltage is fed to each LRU separately on the black and white twisted wires. The red and black twisted wires supply 7.5V to each LRU through the repeater board and is converted into 5V, 3.3V, 2.5V, and 1.2V as needed to the TR modules for the internal FPGAs and other logic. The voltage regulators inside the TR modules contribute to their heat generation. The -10V supplied to the repeater boards are needed for transistors. The voltages enter the TR modules through the 20-pin connector in the backplane.

The FPGA requires 5V DC, so an adapter is used to turn 120V AC from the netBooters into the required DC voltage. The system is configured so that the FPGA is powered on after the rest of the system. If the FPGA is turned on first, it lacks a clock signal, which causes it to turn on in an undefined state. Similarly, the FPGA needs to be the last part of the system to turn off, since when powering down, the FPGA will send a final trigger and set the system into transmit mode.

There are small daughter boards in front of the TR modules. These are repeater boards, designed to keep the signal clean. They are connected to the FPGA by black cables.

1.4.2 netBooter power

The system utilizes netBooters for remote power management; that is, it acts as a power strip where individual outlets can be turned off and on remotely. There are two netBooters, one with eight outlets and one with two. The eight-outlet netBooter setup is described in Table 1.3.

The radar enclosure fans and TR module fans turn on when the radar is powered on, and off when the radar is powered off. The fans can also be controlled by a temperature sensor mounted in the top of the radar enclosure, so that if the temperature increases above a certain threshold temperature (set to 30◦ Celsius), the fans turn on, and when the temperature decreases again (below 28[°]), the fans turn off. The threshold temperatures for fan operation can be controlled over the netBooter software, to be described in Chapter 3. While this functionality was used in the past, it was not part of this deployment, since the cooling system was more complicated than during regular operation on the truck, and more cooling was needed.

| | | netBooter Outlet Description | Default state |
|----------------|---|---|--------------------|
| | 1 | host computer | |
| 1 | 2 | monitor | 1 |
| | 3 | positioner | |
| | 4 | USB splitter | 1 |
| | 5 | computer enclosure fan $0, 1$ on radar on | |
| 1 | 6 | radar main power | $0, 1$ on radar on |
| | 7 | radar enclosure fans | $0, 1$ on radar on |
| | 8 | FPGA | $0, 1$ on radar on |
| $\overline{2}$ | | empty | |
| $\overline{2}$ | 2 | AC | |

Table 1.3: netBooter outlet descriptions.

CHAPTER 2 **OPERATION**

2.1 Remote access

To access the computer remotely, the program TeamViewer is used. This program allows remote desktop access, since the radar has such a wide variety of functions that it is logical to run it through a GUI. TeamViewer can be installed on many operating systems and on mobile devices.

2.2 GUI Description

The system is run through a custom GUI created in Python using the Enthought Canopy GUI utility. Two GUIs currently exist on the host computer: one that implements 1024 gates, which was implemented for preliminary testing of the PTWR, and one that implements 2048 gates. All data sets taken during deployment at UTA have 2048 gates. It is shown in Figure 2.1.

The GUI is accessed through a Desktop icon. This opens the GUI window as well as a Terminal window with four tabs and two additional GtkTerm windows. The Terminal tabs are HTOP, NETBOOTER, AC CONTROL, and XDATALOG. HTOP displays the processes running on the computer, as well as which of sixteen CPUs are in use. All background processes should run on CPUs 1-8, while the radar operations use CPUs 9-16. TeamViewer is not restricted to a specific CPU, so CPUs 9-16 may show some usage even while the radar is not running. The NETBOOTER and AC CONTROL tabs typically are not used; instead, these can be controlled through the GtkTerm, for device access. XDATALOG displays the radar operations processes.

Figure 2.1: GUI used for PTWR operation.

This tab shows the outputs of the radar operation program, xdatalog, and indicates progress as the radar uploads calibrations, scans, and resets.

If an ethernet cable is plugged into the eight-outlet netBooter, a web application is available for power management. This is graphics-based and somewhat easier to use. It can be accessed from the netBooter's IP, which was static while within the UTA network (through the use of a VPN connection into UTA's network). This web application also allows control over outlets based on temperature, using the temperature sensor mounted in the top of the radar box. The web application also provides critical information about the current being drawn by the radar, an indicator of what state the radar is in.

The radar can be turned on using the "RADAR ON" button. Similarly, when operation is complete, "RADAR OFF" cuts power to the radar. As the radar starts up, the XDATALOG tab will display the appropriate outlets turning on. It will turn on outlets 6, 8, 5, and 7, in that order; there is a longer delay of three seconds between 6 (radar on) and 8 (FPGA), since the clock needs to be up and running before the FPGA can be powered. The others are turned on one second after the previous outlet is turned on.

Once the radar is on, the calibrations must be uploaded to each TR module with the "UPLOAD CALSET" button. The TR modules have volatile memory, so the calibrations must be uploaded every time the radar is powered up. Lookup tables for phase shift, digital attenuation, and polarization for each beam are uploaded to the TR modules. While the computer communicates with each TR module, XDATALOG will display the temperature of the TR module to which it is uploading calibrations. If there is a problem with the TR module, it will appear here. Temperatures should be logical; if the listed temperatures are much higher or lower than ambient temperature, or temperature could not be read, likely something is wrong with the module. The radar operates at about 10◦ C above ambient temperature, but this is only after the radar has been running for some time, so when the radar is first powered on, it should be within a few degrees Celsius of ambient temperature.

The available scan settings are very flexible; the PTWR is highly configurable. Fortunately, the standard mode of operation, "VolScan", is a preset in the GUI (shown in Figure 2.1). Other options available are ePPI, which does a 90◦ PPI electronic scan; a chirp and a pulse calibration mode; an ESP mode for different calibration techniques; and a spaced antenna mode. Only the volume scan option was used for typical observations, and the other options will not be investigated in this thesis. The standard mode parameters are described in Table 2.1.

It must be noted that azimuths 220° and 320° in the table refer to degrees clockwise from north. During deployment, a 0° reading from the pedestal meant the radar was facing south, and so readings from the binary files will differ by 180°. This is corrected for in NetCDF conversion, to be described in Chapter 3.

| Parameter | Value | | |
|-------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Center azimuth | 220 or 320° | | |
| Sector | $\pm 45^{\circ}$ | | |
| Elevation | $2 - 18^{\circ}$ | | |
| Elevation step | 4° | | |
| Dwell | 128 pulses | | |
| PRF | $2-3kHz$ | | |
| Range | 50km | | |
| v_a | $\pm 45m/s$ | | |
| Pulse type | chirp | | |
| Pulse width | $20\mu s$ | | |
| Bandwidth | 3 MHz | | |
| Filter | Hann window | | |
| AM factor | \cdot 1 | | |
| FM factor | 1.0 | | |
| Polarization mode | HVHV | | |
| Number of scans | 101 | | |

Table 2.1: Standard operating parameters for the PTWR deployment at UTA.

Figure 2.2: PPI live view in the PTWR GUI.

The GUI has three tabs: Settings, PPI, and A-scope. The PPI tab is a near-live view of reflectivity from the last scan received, as illustrated in Figure 2.2. There is also an option to change the SNR threshold for the field of view; the preset is 0dB.

The A-scope tab shows the power on the H and V channels, as seen in Figure 2.3. The tick rate option determines how quickly the next pulse is shown, in ms. The standard is 20ms. For more time between plot refreshes, this value should be set higher.

These plots are for real-time display only; they are not saved anywhere in a retrievable way. Data processing and plots are not performed in real-time with the current setup, but are made after raw data are collected.

Figure 2.3: A-scope live view in the PTWR GUI.

CHAPTER 3

DATA PROCESSING

3.1 Data acquisition system

The custom GUI that controls the radar has a variety of waveform and pulsing options. The pulsing scheme typically used is such that pulses one (H) and two (V) have a PRF of 2000Hz, while pulses three (H) and four (V) have a PRF of 3000Hz. This allows for a maximum range of roughly 50km, depending on chirp duration. At the sampling frequency used there are 2048 gates per pulse, allowing for about 24m per range gate. Because of the use of $20\mu s$ waveforms, the first 157 gates or 3.75km are within the blind range, where the radar cannot see. The pulsing scheme is therefore H_{T_1} , V_{T_1} , H_{T_2} , V_{T_2} , where T_1 is $1/PRF_1$ or $500\mu s$ and T_2 is $1/PRF_2$ or 333 μ s. For each beam, 128 pulses are transmitted, meaning the $H_{T_1}V_{T_1}H_{T_2}V_{T_2}$ sequence is transmitted 32 times. This pulsing scheme is illustrated in Figure 3.1. The PTWR is a highly flexible system with a wide range of functionality, but for the purposes of this thesis, only this standard pulsing scheme will be considered.

Once a scanning procedure is defined by the user in the GUI (described in Chapter 2), an input parameter file is created and sent to the data acquisition process (DAQ). The DAQ sends a message to the pedestal to move to the appropriate elevation. Then the FPGA begins the process, generating a trigger for all subsystems, including the transceiver.

The DAQ begins collecting data, and pushes the received data from the four pulses from each azimuth angle into the buffer. The data processor then ingests the data. Raw IQ data are passed directly into a file; this is considered "Level 0" processing.

Figure 3.1: The standard pulsing scheme for Texas deployment. Standard PRTs are shown, as well as PRTs used for multilag calculations.

Figure 3.2: The data acquisition process that runs the PTWR, including the new Level 1 and 2 processing. Pulse compression does not happen in real time, so here it is shown in an ideal real-time data processing scheme.

The DAQ is multi-threaded, allowing these sub-processes to occur simultaneously on multiple dedicated CPUs. It relies on semaphores to ensure that the processes are synchronized and do not try to access the same memory location at the same time. The DAQ processes and their mapping to CPUs is shown in Figure 3.2.

3.2 Data processing

A number of new programs have been written in Python for processing PTWR data. These programs are designed to process the data as quickly as possible, taking a folder of raw IQ binary files and processing them into a useable format. New programs can be broken down into three files: one for determining what files are included in a folder, one for processing those files, and one for plotting them. They

are described in more detail in Appendix section A.3, including required Python libraries and operating system tests.

The raw IQ binary files are fed into a Python program called ptwr that processes them and outputs NetCDF files. One binary or NetCDF file contains one PPI. This is not yet implemented in real-time, but done separately after the data collection process so as not to interfere with the data acquisition. This is mainly because use of pulse compression requires two FFT calculations, and it is as yet unclear whether the computer would be able to handle so much computation reliably with the current multithreaded setup.

First, the raw IQ file is read in by the Python process. The structure of the binary files, including how many bytes are included in each section, is described in Figure 3.3. Each file contains a header followed by 91 beams of raw IQ data, in which each beam includes 128 pulses and a beam footer, for a total of 98403800 bytes. The header is two characters, three integers, and eight floating points, for a total of 40 bytes in length. The header includes pulse type, polarization sequence, number of range gates, pulses per beam, filter type, pulse width in microseconds, the PRFs for each pulse in Hz, the pulse bandwidth in Hz, and the FM and AM factors. These are listed in Table 3.1 along with the default values used for this deployment.

Due to a quirk of the system, the first data encountered in the binary file is from the previous scan. This occurs because the transceiver only pulls data from the buffer to the computer when it receives a trigger. Because of this, upon receiving the first trigger of a new scan, the data within the buffer contains the data of the last pulse from the previous scan and pushes that into the new scan file. This is stored as the first beam-length string within the raw binary file, in this case the first four sets of 4096 integers of the file. The scan header data comes after this. Because of this, each file contains an extra HVHV sequence (32768 bytes of data) that is not used, and is missing one HVHV sequence from the last beam.

body repeated 91 times missing one HVHV sequence = 98403800 bytes

Figure 3.3: The structure of a binary file containing one PPI of data. These are saved as .dat files.

| $\rm Header$ | | Bytes Description | Typical value | |
|--------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| char | 1 | pulse type | 0 (pulse), 1 (chirp) | |
| char | 1 | polarization sequence | 4 (HVHV) | |
| int | 2 | range gates | 2048 | |
| int | 2 | pulses per beam | 128 | |
| int | $\overline{2}$ | filter type | 2 (Hann window) | |
| float | $\overline{4}$ | pulsewidth $[\mu s]$ | 20 | |
| float | 4 | PRF for first pulse [Hz] | 2000 | |
| float | 4 | PRF for second pulse Hz | 2000 | |
| float | 4 | PRF for third pulse [Hz] | 3000 | |
| float | 4 | PRF for fourth pulse Hz | 3000 | |
| float | 4 | pulse bandwidth [Hz] | 3000000 | |
| float | $\overline{4}$ | FM factor | 1 | |
| float | 4 | AM factor | \cdot 1 | |

Table 3.1: Header values

After reading in 128 pulses with 4096 integers each, there is a beam footer. The beam footer records the elevation and azimuth readings from the pedestal as float values. It also stores time as an integer. After each beam footer, there is one last pulse of data. If the "processed" option is selected while using the GUI, the data processor also computes reflectivity, which it sends to the GUI in real-time for plotting either via a plan position indicator (PPI) for the full field of view or an A-scope for a pulse-by-pulse view. It is no longer of use in this case, and can be read out but ignored when processing the binary file.

Each pulse is read from the file as a profile of 4096 integers: even indices of the profile are the real part of the pulse while odd indices are the complex value. These are added together as $I + jQ$ to make a total of 2048 complex values for one pulse.

This pulse is further processed to take into account the use of pulse compression. First, a copy of the transmitted pulse is multiplied by a Hanning window, and an FFT is taken. This is multiplied by a raw pulse on which an FFT has been taken. This product is then passed through an inverse FFT. This removes the effects of using a chirp rather than a pulse by taking advantage of the fact that performing a convolution in the time domain is equivalent to multiplication in frequency domain.

3.3 Computation of polarimetric variables

From these pulses, several different autocovariances at different lags can be computed [9]. This is considered "Level 1" processing from Fig 3.2, though it is not saved to file. In this case, the equations used are as follows:

$$
R_{HH}(0) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} H_1 \cdot H_1^* + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} H_2 \cdot H_2^*
$$
 (3.1a)

$$
R_{VV}(0) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} V_1 \cdot V_1^* + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} V_2 \cdot V_2^*
$$
 (3.1b)

$$
R_{HH}(T_{Ha}) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} H_2 \cdot H_1^*
$$
\n(3.1c)

$$
R_{HH}(T_{Hb}) = \frac{2}{N-2} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2-1} H_3 \cdot H_2^*
$$
 (3.1d)

$$
R_{VV}(T_V) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} V_2 \cdot V_1^*
$$
\n(3.1e)

$$
R_{VV}(T_V) = \frac{2}{N-2} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2-1} V_3 \cdot V_2^*
$$
 (3.1f)

$$
R_{HV}(T_1) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} H_2 \cdot V_1^*
$$
\n(3.1g)

$$
R_{VH}(T_1) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} V_1 \cdot H_1^*
$$
\n(3.1h)

$$
R_{HV}(T_2) = \frac{2}{N-2} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2-1} H_3 \cdot V_2^*
$$
\n(3.1i)

$$
R_{VH}(T_2) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} V_2 \cdot H_2^*
$$
 (3.1j)

where N is the number of pulses in a certain polarization, so $N = 64$ in the standard case. H_1 is the first H pulse in an HVHV sequence and H_2 is the second H pulse (and third pulse in total) in the sequence. H_3 refers to the first H pulse of the next sequence.

From these covariances, polarimetric products can be computed. Differential phase is computed as [9]:

$$
\phi_{DP}(T_1) = \frac{1}{2} \arg(R_{VH}(T_1)) - \frac{1}{2} \arg(R_{HV}(T_1))
$$
\n(3.2a)

$$
\phi_{DP}(T_2) = \frac{1}{2} \arg(R_{VH}(T_2)) - \frac{1}{2} \arg(R_{HV}(T_2))
$$
\n(3.2b)

The total differential phase can then be calculated as an average between the differential phase at the two times.

These differential phases can be folded, since R_{HV} and R_{VH} include a velocity component that can fold. Differential phase values are unfolded by multiplying by $e^{j90°}$ and subtracting π from values above 90°. It has been observed that in this radar, with its specific range, frequency, and transmit power, that the maximum range of ϕ_{DP} is 180°, with values above 120° being heavily attenuated. Therefore unfolding in this way maintains the appropriate ϕ_{DP} range.

These values are still typically quite noisy. This is due to a combination of low SNR and a small number of independent samples due to the relatively fast pulsing scheme. To smooth the values, a median filter is applied over a 2km window. A plot of ϕ_{DP} before and after smoothing and initial ϕ_{DP} correction is shown in Figure 3.4. The median filter used unfortunately pads the edges of the data with zeros, which is a problem since these values fall within a range of $\pm 90°$ and the initial values are non-zero. A kilometer of data is repeated at the beginning and end of the data for the sake of better smoothed values.

The initial values of ϕ_{DP} must be close to zero for facilitating attenuation correction, so initial ϕ_{DP} must be calculated and subtracted. To do this, ϕ_{DP} is thresholded on SNR and reflectivity; values that do not meet the requirements are replaced with NAN. The first finite gate is considered the initial ϕ_{DP} , and this value is subtracted from the smoothed ϕ_{DP} values.

Doppler velocities are calculated in many ways and can be found in the ptwr code. However, those that are saved and plotted use the staggered PRT technique [10, eq. 7.6a]:

Figure 3.4: A plot of ϕ_{DP} before (green) and after (blue) smoothing and correcting for initial ϕ_{DP} , for one beam.

$$
v_{crosspol} = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi \cdot (T_1 - T_2)} \cdot \angle \left(\frac{R_{VH}(T_1)e^{-j\phi_{DP}} + R_{HV}(T_1)e^{j\phi_{DP}}}{R_{VH}(T_2)e^{-j\phi_{DP}} + R_{HV}(T_2)e^{j\phi_{DP}}}\right)
$$
(3.3a)

$$
v_{copol} = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi \cdot (T_{Ha} - T_{Hb})} \cdot \angle \left(\frac{R_{HH}(T_{Hb})}{R_{HH}(T_{Ha})}\right) \tag{3.3b}
$$

where T_{Ha} and T_{Hb} are showed in Figure 3.1 and defined as:

$$
T_{Ha} = \frac{2}{f_1} \tag{3.4a}
$$

$$
T_{Hb} = \frac{2}{f_2} \tag{3.4b}
$$

The correlation coefficient is found by first calculating [11, eq. 6.80c]:

$$
\rho_{HV}(T_1) = \left| \frac{R_{HV}(T_1)}{\sqrt{|R_{HH}(0)| |R_{VV}(0)|}} \right| \tag{3.5a}
$$

$$
\rho_{HV}(T_2) = \left| \frac{R_{HV}(T_2)}{\sqrt{|R_{HH}(0)| |R_{VV}(0)|}} \right| \tag{3.5b}
$$

Then, using a correction factor, $\rho_{HV}(0)$ can be calculated as [11, eq. 6.90]:

$$
\rho_{HV}(0) = \rho_{HV}(T_1) \cdot \sqrt[4]{\frac{|R_{HH}(T_{H_a})|}{|R_{HH}(0)|}}
$$
\n(3.6a)

$$
\rho_{HV}(0) = \rho_{HV}(T_2) \cdot \sqrt[4]{\frac{|R_{HH}(T_{H_b})|}{|R_{HH}(0)|}}
$$
\n(3.6b)

Next, the beam-by-beam noise power is calculated as the average of the power values in a beam corresponding to where ρ_{HV} is less than 0.3. From this:

$$
SNR_H = \left| \frac{R_{HH}(0)}{PN_H} - 1 \right| \tag{3.7a}
$$

$$
SNR_V = \left| \frac{R_{VV}(0)}{PN_V} - 1 \right| \tag{3.7b}
$$

where PN_H and PN_V are the beam-by-beam noise power arrays.

With SNR, reflectivity can now be calculated:

$$
Z_H = C_H + 10 \log_{10}(SNR_H \cdot PN) + 20 \log_{10}(range) + 30 \tag{3.8a}
$$

$$
Z_V = C_V + 10 \log_{10}(SNR_V \cdot PN) + 20 \log_{10}(range) + 30 \tag{3.8b}
$$

where C is the radar constant, for which there is one constant for each beam in both H and V polarizations, and PN is an estimate of the noise floor, as calculated by:

$$
PN = kTf \cdot BW \tag{3.9}
$$

where k is the Boltzmann constant in J/K , T is room temperature (300K), and f is the 5 dB noise figure of the system. Differential reflectivity is calculated by simply subtracting V-pol reflectivity from H-pol reflectivity, since this calculation puts reflectivity in dBZ.

An attenuation correction is applied to Z_H and Z_{DR} , using the appropriate correction for X-band [12]:

$$
Z_{H,corrected} = Z_H + .28\phi_{DP}
$$
 (3.10a)

$$
Z_{DR,corrected} = Z_{DR} + .04\phi_{DP}
$$
\n
$$
(3.10b)
$$

where ϕ_{DP} must be in degrees and Z_H and Z_{DR} are in dB.

Normalized coherent power is calculated as [11, eq. 6.87 and 6.88]:

$$
NCP_H = \sqrt[4]{\frac{R_{HH}(T_{H_b})}{R_{HH}(0)}}
$$
(3.11a)

$$
NCP_V = \sqrt[4]{\frac{R_{VV}(T_V)}{R_{VV}(0)}}
$$
\n(3.11b)

Finally, spectrum width is calculated as [10, eq. 7.7]:

$$
\sigma = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\sqrt{2(T_{Ha}^2 - T_{Hb}^2)}} \sqrt{\ln\left(\left|\frac{R_{HH}(T_{H_a})}{R_{HH}(T_{H_b})}\right|\right)}
$$
(3.12)

3.4 Conversion to NetCDF

Once all of the polarimetric variables are calculated, they are saved into a Network Common Data Format (NetCDF) standard file. This is considered "Level 3" processing from Fig 3.2. This data type is the standard developed by UCAR and used by atmospheric scientists, including those at CASA. NetCDF files are self-describing, in that data as well as the names of the variables are saved. In this way, no extra explanation of the data is required, as is the case in binary files, which need to be read in bit by bit.

The same variable standard as CASA is used, making it easier to read NetCDF files from both (as explained in Appendix A.3. This is different from the Cf/Radial standard proposed by NCAR, to be explained in Chapter 5.

The single-value data are saved to the NetCDF as global attributes and are listed in Table 3.2. Those data that are in array form are saved as variables and are listed in Table 3.3. NetCDF variables are defined by specific dimensions; in this case, the dimensions are Radial (91 values) and Gate (2048 values).

Table 3.2: Global attributes saved to NetCDF files.

| Name | Description | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|--|
| RadarName | PTWR Nedderman Hall UTA | | |
| Latitude | 32.732373 | | |
| Longitude | -97.113899 | | |
| Freq | 9.36 [GHz] | | |
| PulseType | Pulse or Chirp | | |
| PolSequence | HVHV | | |
| Pulses | hdrint[1] | | |
| Filter | Hanning | | |
| PulseWidth | hdrfloat[0] | | |
| PRF_H1 | $hdrf$ float $[1]$ | | |
| PRF _{-V1} | hdrfloat[2] | | |
| PRF_H ₂ | $hdrf$ float $[3]$ | | |
| PRF _{-V2} hdrfloat[4] Bandwidth $hdrf$ float $[5]$ | | | |
| | | FMFactor $hdrf$ foat $[6]$ | |
| AMFactor $hdrf$ loat $[7]$ | | | |
| Elevation | Elevation reading from pedestal | | |
| BroadsideAzim Azimuth reading from pedestal $+180^{\circ}$ | | | |
| ZeroRange | Gate at which real data (not noise) starts; set to gate 30 | | |
| UnixTime Time in seconds since 1 January 1970 UTC | | | |
| DataDate | Date in human-readable format, EDT | | |
| NetCDFCreated Date of NetCDF creation | | | |
| CreatedFrom | dat file containing the original data. | | |

| \mathbf{Name} | Dimensions |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Azimuth | Radial |
| DifferentialPhase | Radial, Gate |
| CrossPolCorrelation | Radial, Gate |
| NormalizedCoherentPower | Radial, Gate |
| NormalizedCoherentPowerV | Radial, Gate |
| SignalToNoiseRatio | Radial, Gate |
| SignalToNoiseRatioV | Radial, Gate |
| Reflectivity | Radial, Gate |
| ReflectivityV | Radial, Gate |
| VelocityCrosspol | Radial, Gate |
| VelocityCopol | Radial, Gate |
| SpectralWidth | Radial, Gate |
| DifferentialReflectivity | Radial, Gate |
| CorrectedReflectivity | Radial, Gate |
| CorrectedDifferentialReflectivity | Radial, Gate |
| InitialDifferentialPhase | Radial |
| NoiseFloor | Radial |
| NoiseFloorV | Radial |

Table 3.3: Variables saved to NetCDF files. All data types are 'f8' or 64-bit floating point. When polarization is unspecified, H is assumed.

3.5 NetCDF plotter

Having processed data saved in NetCDF format allows for easy viewing, manipulation, and plotting. A series of plotting tools exist in Python. These include matplotlib [13], a plotting library created to imitate Matlab's plotting environment, and wradlib [14], which is primarily used to make arrays of radar data and easily plots PPIs without needing Cartesian to polar conversions. wradlib heavily relies on matplotlib's axisartist namespace, and so it is highly customizable when making the plots, not requiring any edits to the source code.

The plotter developed can currently accept PTWR and CASA data. Since CASA utilizes adaptive scans, it sometimes scans a full 360◦ view and other times only scans a sector. It also scans clockwise and counter-clockwise. wradlib only accepts clockwise-scanned data, so the plotter first checks that the data scans clockwise, and if it finds counter-clockwise scanning, inverts the data and forces the azimuths to run clockwise. Since CASA infrequently scans the same sectors, the data is often better viewed as 360◦ PPIs, so plotting a full circle is an option when calling the function.

After this, the data is thresholded on reflectivity and SNR. This thresholding is used to create a mask that is applied to all polarimetric variables. Currently, wherever SNR is greater than 0dB and attenuation-corrected H-polarization reflectivity is less than 10dBZ is masked.

Once the data are manipulated into being clockwise and optionally padded for a full 360◦ view, they can be plotted. The program plots six polarimetric variables: attenuation corrected H-polarization reflectivity, attenuation corrected differential reflectivity, differential phase, correlation coefficient, Doppler velocity, and spectrum width. A range axis is applied, as well as custom color bars. These color bars are the same as those used by the Advanced Radar Research Center at the University of Oklahoma, and are similar to those used by the NWS. The color bars include units

Figure 3.5: An example of a six-panel plot made en masse by the plotting program.

and ranges. A separate plot of corrected reflectivity is also saved, on a bigger scale for better viewing. An example of a PTWR plot is shown in Figure 3.5.

CHAPTER 4

OUTCOMES OF TEXAS DEPLOYMENT

4.1 Observations

All efforts were made to take data with the PTWR whenever there was a storm passing through the radius of the radar. The NWS radar, KFWS, was used to determine if there was rain in the area. Since XUTA was co-located with the PTWR and the two radars have a similar range, the CASA web application was used for determining whether rain was visible with the PTWR. A list of observations taken during deployment at UTA are listed in Table 4.1. For all observations, data from CASA XUTA and NEXRAD KFWS is also available.

Though not considered in this thesis, other modes of operation were used apart from the standard. These are shown for thoroughness in Table 4.1. Here, short pulse means a $1\mu s$ pulse, while medium pulse means a $10\mu s$ pulse width. Small BW refers to a bandwidth of 1, 1.5, or 2MHz. Straight PRF refers to a PRF of 2000Hz for all pulses in the pulse sequence, as well as 800 pulses per beam. ESP refers to a straight PRF of 3000Hz and a bandwidth of 3MHz. Calibration indicates a changed PRF, bandwidth, and pulse width, varying by the type of calibration.

4.2 Qualitative comparison with DFW area radars

For a qualitative comparison, corrected reflectivity from the area NEXRAD radar (KFWS) and the TDWR radar (TDFW) were collected. These were taken at 01:11:21 UTC and 01:10:59 UTC, respectively, on 4 April 2014. These can be compared against PTWR data taken at 01:11:32 and XUTA data from 01:12:53, during the

Table 4.1: List of observations taken by PTWR during deployment at UTA. All dates and times are listed in UTC and therefore may not match up with local time, i.e. "afternoon storm" may appear to be at night. During deployment, UTA was six hours behind UTC.

| Date | Observation | Begin End | | | Files Direction Type | |
|----------|-----------------|-----------|-------|-----|----------------------|---|
| 3 April | afternoon storm | 22:34 | 23:25 | 277 | SW | standard, short pulse |
| 4 April | evening storm | 00:22 | 01:44 | 509 | SW, NW | standard |
| 7 April | evening rain | 00:34 | 01:47 | 291 | NW | standard |
| 13 April | light rain | 15:44 | 17:39 | 24 | SW | standard, straight PRF |
| 27 April | heavy rain | 13:30 | 13:45 | 54 | SW | straight, ESP |
| 8 May | morning storm | 13:16 | 14:23 | 435 | NW, SW | standard |
| 8 May | afternoon storm | 19:33 | 21:43 | 428 | NW, SW | standard, straight PRF, medium pulse |
| 12 May | afternoon storm | 19:11 | 22:44 | 380 | NW, SW | standard, short pulse, small BW, calibration |
| 14 May | stratiform rain | 04:08 | 05:09 | 187 | NW | standard, small BW |
| 24 May | stratiform rain | 21:29 | 03:12 | 190 | SW | standard |
| 25 May | evening storm | 20:31 | 02:09 | 682 | SW | standard, straight PRF |
| 9 June | stratiform rain | 12:05 | 13:43 | 177 | NW, SW | standard |
| 9 June | afternoon storm | 19:06 | 20:05 | 282 | NW | standard |
| | | | | | | |

| Parameter | PTWR | XUTA | KFWS | TDFW |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Peak power | 60W | 10.8kW | 500kW | 250kW |
| Frequency | 9.36GHz | 9.41GHz | 3GHz | 5.6GHz |
| Band | X-band | X-band | S-band | C-band |
| Beamwidth (az/el) | $1.8^{\circ} - 2.6^{\circ} / 3.6^{\circ}$ | 1.8° | 1.25° | 0.5° |
| Range resolution | 60 _m | 60 _m | 1km | 150m |
| Range coverage | 45km | 40km | 460km | 460km |
| PRF | 2000-3000Hz | 1600-2400Hz | 320-1300Hz | 800-1120Hz |
| Azimuthal resolution | 1° | 0.5° | 1° | 0.55° |
| Sector | 90° | 360° /adaptive | 360° | 360° |
| Pulse width | $20\mu s$ | $0.6 \mu s$ | $1.57 - 4 \mu s$ | $1.1\mu s$ |
| Pulse compression | yes | \mathbf{n} | \mathbf{n} | \mathbf{n} |

Table 4.2: Characteristics of radar systems in the area during deployment at UTA.

first observation of the deployment. A description of these radars can be found in Table 4.2.

These radars are not co-located and utilize different antennas at different wavelengths, as well as not looking at the same elevation angles (KFWS and TDFW regularly scan much lower than the PTWR and XUTA). However, given that this is the case, reflectivities match up quite well. All radars show high reflectivities in the same area, and the shapes swept out are roughly the same, as seen in Figure 4.1.

4.3 Quantitative comparison with CASA

While data from XUTA tends to look visually similar to PTWR data, it is not exact. One reason is that the area illuminated is not the same: XUTA scans to 5.3◦ and 7.4◦ in elevation, while PTWR scans to 6◦ in elevation. Also, while the

Figure 4.1: Comparison of the PTWR with three other DFW area radars. Range rings occur every 10 km and are centered on the PTWR's location.

beamwidths on the radars are similar in azimuth, XUTA beams occur at half-degree steps, while PTWR beams occur every degree.

To imitate a similar illuminated volume, XUTA data was first averaged in azimuth over 2° : beams were averaged with the next beam over, so a beam occurs every degree. Then these averages PPIs from 5.3◦ and 7.4◦ were averaged together, to get an approximate elevation angle just over 6◦ . From this averaged 6◦ XUTA scan, a better comparison with the PTWR can be made. This has done previously for an observation made on 3 April 2014 [15]; here observations made at the end of the deployment, on 25 May, will be compared to demonstrate the stability of the radar. Averaged PPIs of this observation are shown in Figure 4.2.

To make a histogram of the compared data, XUTA data was interpolated to have the same number of gates as the PTWR. Then, both PPIs were thresholded on PTWR SNR. A histogram of data can be made from these data points relating XUTA versus PTWR values (Figure 4.3).

The data chosen for this comparison visually looks similar once CASA is stretched to illuminate the same volume. However, it highlights some of the limitations of the PTWR; for example, no reflectivity values below roughly 20 dBZ can be seen past twenty kilometers. These differences make the best-fit slope on the histogram less than unity. This is due in part to the lower sensitivity of the PTWR compared to CASA.

4.4 Radar stability

To characterize the stability of the radar over the course of a two-month deployment, statistics for noise floor and initial ϕ_{DP} were computed. These were calculated on a beam-by-beam basis for each day of observations during the deployment.

The initial ϕ_{DP} (Figure 4.4) stayed relatively stable throughout the deployment, differing by about 15◦ over the course of two months One day of observations, DEP5,

Figure 4.2: PPIs of uncorrected reflectivity for a) XUTA at 23:31 UTC at an elevation angle of 5.28◦ averaged over 2◦ in azimuth, b) XUTA at 23:28 EST at an elevation angle of 7.4◦ averaged over 2◦ in azimuth, c) XUTA as an average of elevation angles 5.28 and 7.4°, and d) PTWR at 23:31 UTC at an elevation angle of 6°. All data was taken on 25 May 2014.

Figure 4.3: Histogram comparing CASA and PTWR data from similar scans, averaged for better comparison of illuminated volume.

Figure 4.4: Mean initial ϕ_{DP} plotted by beam for each deployment. Number of PPIs included in each day of observations (DEP) is listed in the legend.

is an obvious outlier by about 10◦ . However, for this day, there was rain within the radar blind range (determined by looking at CASA and NEXRAD data from that day) and so this may indicate a method of judging how much rain is falling between the radar and the end of the blind range.

The noise floor is shown in Figure 4.6. Noise floor is also effected by rain on the radome; DEP5 can again be seen with higher values than the average. Rain changes the antenna temperature, which raises the noise floor by more than a dB in this case. Other differences in noise floor can be attributed to ambient temperature $(0.15$ dB $/$ °C, as found in the laboratory during initial PTWR calibrations).

Using these statistics, uncertainty to be expected in these measurements can be calculated. They are plotted in Figures 4.5 and 4.7. The median RMS uncertainty

Figure 4.5: RMS uncertainty in ϕ_{DP} plotted by beam, taken over eight days of observations. DEP5 is not included in this calculation, as it is considered an outlier.

Figure 4.6: Mean noise floor values plotted by beam for each deployment. Number of PPIs included in each day of observations (DEP) is listed in the legend. DEP 6 is an outlier here and was not included.

Figure 4.7: RMS uncertainty in noise floor plotted by beam, taken over seven deployments. DEP5 and DEP6 are not included in this calculation.

in initial ϕ_{DP} is 4.08°, while the median RMS uncertainty in noise floor is .957 dB. Looking at these plots, it is suggested that this uncertainty is caused by clutter. In the southwest observations, a large clutter field is visible in ϕ_{DP} . This is caused by tall signs and a water tower on Cooper Street, which stretches south and southwest from UTA. This can cause high initial ϕ_{DP} measurements in beams 10 through 25.

It is interesting to note that the noise floor appears to have a periodic trend shared by each day of observations. It is thought that this periodicity is due to losses in the TR modules, caused by different attenuator and phase shifter settings for each beam as well as a large loss in the power combiner. There is not enough gain in the TR modules before this loss, and because of the design of the power combiner, the signal combines coherently while the noise does not.

To investigate this, the mean of each day of observations was subtracted, bringing each line down to the same mean, as plotted in Figure 4.8. The variance, calculated by dividing each data point in a beam by the mean of the beam, is shown in Figure 4.9.

Figure 4.8: Mean noise floor values plotted by beam for each deployment. These lines are the same as Figure 4.6; however, each line has had its mean subtracted to be centered around 0.

Figure 4.9: Mean-subtracted noise floor from Figure 4.8, divided by the mean of the beam to show the variance by beam.

Figure 4.10: Uncertainty in variance from Figure 4.9. This is dominated by beam 65.

The RMS uncertainty in this variance can be calculated as well, as shown in Figure 4.10. The uncertainty on beam 65 is quite high, so a histogram serves better to show the RMS uncertainties (Figure 4.11). This histogram shows that when this periodicity is removed, more than 70 beams have an RMS uncertainty of 0.01 dB. This RMS uncertainty is much closer to the statistically expected variance, which is calculated to be closer 0.003 dB for the number of samples included on the day with the most observations (DEP5).

Figure 4.11: A histogram of uncertainty in noise floor variance. Beam 65 is not included.

CHAPTER 5 FUTURE WORK

This thesis proved that the PTWR, which was designed to be deployed on a truck, can also be converted into a fixed radar system at low cost. This fixed PTWR fits into a van, and can be transported to Texas in as few as three days. It can be set up in less than a day, and can be installed without the use of cranes or helicopters; in fact, only two pieces (radar enclosure and computer enclosure) required heavy lifting by three or so strong people up a single flight of stairs.

Along with this, where the data from the PTWR once required processing by hand done in Matlab, products can now be mass-processed with little effort on any computer that can run Python and the packages required by the program, all of which are free and open-source.

5.1 Ettus transceiver

One of the main problems with the system is that it employs a Pentek 7140 transceiver. This transceiver limits the host computer's abilities drastically due to operating system requirements for the transceiver drivers as well as low reliability. They are very sensitive to being physically bumped, and on a system that now travels in a van from Massachusetts to Texas and back, it is challenging to make sure the Pentek is clear and bump-free.

It has been suggested to upgrade this transceiver to a modifed Ettus transceiver. While this is outside the scope of this thesis, it remains that this upgrade would be a significant improvement over the challenge of the Pentek transceiver. An Ettus has been installed in XPOL; however, the pulsing scheme of that radar is much simpler, for example it does not utilize pulse compression. Much work would be involved to get the Ettus transceiver to operate over the full range of parameters the PTWR is designed to be capable of.

5.2 Cf/Radial NetCDF standard

The National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) has developed a NetCDF standard, Cf/Radial. The Cf/Radial format is attempts to standardize the NetCDF variable and global attribute names.

Unfortunately, the NWS does not use the NetCDF standard. A java program distributed by NCAR will convert the files from the archive service to NetCDF, but these converted files do not conform to the Cf/Radial standard. These files also cannot be read easily, as folding in ϕ_{DP} is still present, causing higher reflectivity values to wrap. Images in this thesis from NEXRAD-run radars were made using NCAR's Integrated Data Viewer (IDV), which reads in NetCDFs and plots them, but is also far from user friendly.

Because of this, it was decided to follow the CASA standard of NetCDF variable naming. If, one day, the Cf/Radial standard is to be upheld by PTWR data as well as CASA, a script could easily be written to pull data out of the existing NetCDFs and reformat the variable and global attribute names to the Cf/Radial standard.

5.3 Py-ART

Py-ART is a toolkit for plotting radar products in Python, similar to wradlib. Py-ART is more widely used than wradlib, and likely will have better support over time as required packages like Matplotlib are upgraded. It claims to be capable of taking NEXRAD data as downloaded from the archive as an input without any special NetCDF conversion. Since it uses matplotlib for plotting, many of the more challenging calls to edit the axes and gridlines will likely remain largely unchanged.

The choice to use wradlib was simply due to this library being suggested first. Likely the use of Py-ART would be more prudent for plotting; however, that was determined not to be within the scope of this thesis, since much of the plotting program was already developed before Py-ART was discovered.

5.4 Integration with CASA network

One upgrade that would be very useful would be integration into the CASA network. CASA uses adaptive scanning, finding areas of a storm system that require closer monitoring, and spend more observation time on those areas while minimally scanning the rest of the sky for new cells. Since there are limitations to the scanning area of the PTWR on the roof of Nedderman Hall, this may be impossible. However, better integration and communication with CASA regarding when to observe and where could mean the difference between a good observation and a bad one. If the PTWR is to be used in a system similar to this in the future, it would be good to know what integration into the CASA system would require.

5.5 Temperature monitoring

Temperature readings are useful for checking that a change in noise floor is from ambient temperature and not some other factor, as well as generally monitoring the radar to make sure it isn't harmed by extreme temperatures. Having a way to monitor the temperature in the computer enclosure was crucial; however, Twitter was not a particularly useful medium through which to receive this information. The largest problem is that despite Twitter's claims that an archive of tweets can be obtained, actually retrieving this archive proves to be extremely difficult, and this problem still has not been resolved.

A different platform should be used for temperature monitoring in the future. One suggestion is to use the website Xively, which was designed with long-term monitoring and statistics in mind. Another is to utilize a RaspberryPi, which is capable of uploading temperature readings to remote data storage, including Google Drive. Since the RaspberryPi essentially runs an operating system, it can run Python scripts. This would allow easy programming of, for example, a script that emails the radar operator if the temperature exceeds some threshold limit.

APPENDIX A A BRIEF USER'S MANUAL

A.1 Roof mount assembly

The new mounting technique for the PTWR involves assembly of many parts; it was built to be modular, so it can be taken apart and fit into a van for easy transportation. It was custom built, having been cut and drilled in the MIRSL machine shop. Because of this, it can be a challenge to put together; this section endeavors to explain how to rebuild the mount.

Two plywood pieces serve as the base of the mount. Bolts go into counter-sunk holes from the bottom of the wood, as not to tear the lining of the Nedderman Hall roof. The plywood has been marked roughly as to the location of the radar and the computer enclosure, however the location of the bolt holes are also a good indicator of where the computer and radar enclosure are to be mounted.

The computer enclosure is mounted to the base with four bolts. Holes have been drilled through the bottom of the computer enclosure for this purpose.

The radar enclosure frame is made of aluminum I-beams and is mounted to the base with eight bolts. It is then built up following a corner and level scheme, as shown in Figure A.1. The first number refers to the corner, while the second number refers to the level above the ground. Bolts connect the I-beams through beveled sections to ensure a flat interface. A portion of the old frame from the truck mount is included as the top portion of the roof mount.

The radar enclosure is attached to the pedestal via bolts that enter tapped holes in the bottom of the radar enclosure. (It is important to note that only some of the

Figure A.1: Corner and level scheme of the radar enclosure frame. The first digit indicates corner, while the second indicates level. Corner 0 indicates the back right of the radar, from looking down from above; corner numbers increase clockwise around the frame. Level 0 indicates the ground level I-beam, while Level 3 indicates the topmost beam.

holes in the pedestal align with holes in the radar enclosure; about eight holes in total are aligned properly.)

A.2 RF subsystem assembly and disassembly

If the power dividers or splitters must be removed, it is important to remember which of the sixteen identical components are for up conversion and which are for downconversion. The power dividers in the upconverter are to the top of the radar enclosure, while the power combiners are to the bottom. This can be remembered through the use of a pneumonic: up (top) is the up(conversion), while down (bottom) is the down(conversion).

These components have also been known to leak through the screwholes when exposed to water. This causes damage to the system, dropping the power transmitted or received significantly. The TR modules have also been known to leak; however, they can catch fire when wet. For these reasons, it is imperative that the seal between the two metal plates making up the top side of the radar enclosure is checked regularly. (To quote Krzysztof, who had the misfortune of replacing a burned TR module: "It's not good to get water into your radar.")

To that end, removing the TR modules from the radar enclosure is not recommended, but sometimes required. To do this, the fans below the TR modules must first be disconnected from power. There are seven places a TR module is connected to the enclosure: four cables and three screws. Two screws are close to the LRU backplane (one on the top and one on the bottom), while the last is close to the antenna (on the bottom of the TR module). The TR modules are held in place by two L-beams running along the length of the antenna array. Replacing a TR module back into the system can be tricky, as the fit is designed to be tight. If it seems an L-beam has slipped or a TR module simply will not fit, the aluminum casing surrounding the module can be filed slightly. When replacing a TR module, the 20-pin connector must be properly aligned; while the SMA cables slide into the backplane nicely, the 20-pin connector can be challenging.

A.3 Data processing programs

Three main programs have been written for data processing, as shown in Table A.1. One is for preliminary information about the data by opening the binary data files and printing the headers to a text file for inspection. One is for full processing of the data, outputting NetCDF files. The last is a program for plotting the data and saving it to an image file.

The preliminary data program, called print_headers, allows easy access to what data is in a folder without processing it first, saving time by not processing unwanted data. It accepts a folder as an input and outputs a text file with the data from all Table A.1: Python programs written for PTWR processing. Inputs column indicates the default inputs; other options are described within the text.

the files in the folder. The first line of the text file lists how many files were found in the folder. It can be called by:

```
>>> import print_headers
```

```
>>> print_headers.go('data/day/')
```
where data/day/ is a folder containing binary files for one day's worth of observations. Often it is easier to run this program on a day-by-day basis, so that it can be easy to find differences in scan type. This also makes it easier to see what date and times are included in the observation run. However, it easily finds files within folders, so it can be run on an entire deployment.

The main processing program, ptwr, processes the raw binary files into radar products in NetCDF format, as described in Chapter 3. It can be run by calling:

```
>>> import ptwr
```
>>> ptwr.go('data/day/',True)

Here, True indicates that the user wants the program to make plots after the data has been processed, by calling mplotall. The program defaults to False for this input, so if only NetCDfs without plots are desired, this can be left blank.

The plotting program, mplotall, can be used to plot any NetCDF files named according to CASA's standards. It can be called by:

>>> import mplotall

>>> mplotall.go('data/day/','ptwr',False)

The first optional input describes the type. Small differences between the PTWR and CASA data requires this. For example, wradlib requires data to sweep clockwise. Sometimes, CASA data sweeps counterclockwise; therefore, if the type is CASA, a check must be done on the direction the data sweeps out. Also, the plotter must make a mask of values set to NAN. NetCDFs created by ptwr output arrays with NANs. However, CASA data uses -99900.0 instead of NAN; any data points with this value must be set to NAN before they can be plotted. This NAN mask is required for plotting with wradlib. Since both CASA and PTWR files can be fed into mplotall, specifying which type of file is being plotted is required. The second optional input describes whether the user wants a plot with a full 360◦ view; here, False indicates sectors of the full PPI are desired.

The title and file name of the six-panel plot will be set to a string including the radar type (PTWR or CASA), the data and time of the observation, and the elevation in degrees. These are then saved in .png format into folders by elevation for easier sorting.

If an empty file list is found for any of these programs, Python will most likely output the following error:

```
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
  File "ptwr.py", line 105, in go
    fid = open(flist[0], 'rb')IndexError: list index out of range
```
If this occurs, often it means the the input folder must be changed. Each program is capable of searching sub-folders for files, but the number of levels between the input folder and the files in question can cause problems in some cases. The programs check whether the folder ends with a slash and appends one as necessary, so when troubleshooting, this is not a problem to be considered.

The radar operation program xdatalog writes the binary files as the super user on the radar computer. Because of this, either the file owner must be changed using the chmod command, or the super user must be logged in. Once this is done, Python can be run and the data can be accessed.

A.3.1 System requirements

The Python programs were written in Aquamacs, an Emacs editor built for OSX, using a MacBook Pro running OSX 10.9 (Mavericks). It was also tested to run on a MIRSL computer running CentOS 6.4, as well as the radar computer, which runs CenOS 5.8. It successfully ran on all of these operating systems.

Part of this OS flexibility comes from the Python distribution used. In all cases, Enthought was the method of installing Python. Enthought ships with Python 2.7.6, as well as useful libraries for mathematics and plotting. Enthought is currently released as Enthought Canopy, which includes many graphical interfaces. In the case of the radar computer, the Enthought Python Distribution (EPD) was necessary to maintain stability of the older OS. However, the radar operation GUI requires libraries specific to EPD, so the Enghought requirement is for both radar operation and data processing.

Rather than write over the existing version of Python, Enthought installs in a separate location and both the pre-existing Python as well as the Enthought distribution can be run. The version can be checked by entering python into the terminal and seeing what version is printed. For example, in OSX:

\$ python

Enthought Canopy Python 2.7.6 | 64-bit | (default, Apr 11 2014, 11:55) [GCC 4.2.1 (Apple Inc. build 5666) (dot 3)] on darwin Type "help", "copyright", "credits" or "license" for more information. >>>

When Enthought is installed, Enthought Python becomes the default that runs from the terminal. It does this by adding the following line to the bash profile:

VIRTUAL_ENV_DISABLE_PROMPT=1 source /pathtoEnthought/User/bin/activate

in which the path to Enthought may vary by operating system. If Enthought is no longer the desired default from the terminal, this line can be commented out of the bash profile with a # at the beginning of the line.

In both Canopy and EPD, a Python package manager is included. This can be accessed graphically in Canopy and in the terminal in EPD using the call enpkg. This is particularly useful, as installing some of the required libraries for wradlib can be a challenge without a Python package manager. Fortunately, most of the required libraries come installed: szlib, numpy, and matplotlib are already included. Other required libraries can be downloaded easily with the package manager: h5py, numpydoc, netCDF4, and gdal can be found through this tool. They can be installed without Enthought, but this has proved challenging compared to the ease of using Enthought's package manager. The only library that must be downloaded and installed manually is wradlib, which can be found easily online. It can be installed by running

\$ cd /pathtowradlib/

\$ python setup.py install

from the terminal.

wradlib's cg_plot_ppi, in the vis module, is used for plotting PPIs without having to first convert to polar coordinates. It has been found that this method, which is employed in the PPI tab on the radar operation GUI due to it being faster, creates undesirable artifacts. This library heavily relies on matplotlib's axisartist namespace, and all plots can be manipulated using calls to this namespace. This makes the plotting function highly customizable; the plots output by mplotall do not look like the standard output due to a great deal of calls to manipulate the gridlines, axes, and colorbars. However, this means it contains the same quirks of matplotlib, including inconsistent naming schemes in ticks and labels: some must be "got" before manipulating, while others can simply be edited. This often requires a trial and error technique, and much of the code in mplotall is dedicated to making these plots consistent and not too busy.

cg_plot_ppi is highly adaptable, as it can be used to plot 360◦ PPIs as well as sectors by merely calling different columns from a data array. It can be fed azimuth values; if none are given, it will assume 360◦ of data were given and create a fake azimuth array based on the number of columns of data given. It can also be passed an array of radii; otherwise, it will assume 1 unit per range bin of data.

A.4 Radar operation notes

A.4.1 GUI operation

Before the radar is powered on, the idle state of the system is roughly 2A. When the radar is on but not transmitting, it draws roughly 6.5A. While transmitting, it draws about 8A, depending on the duty cycle. If the radar does not complete its transmit process correctly (if, for example, the "DATALOG STOP" button is pressed), the TR modules may get stuck in transmit mode. This is not good for the system and may break the TR modules. In this case, the FPGA should be reset using the "RESET FPGA" button, which clears out commands and resets the state machine in the FPGA. If that does not work, the radar should be shut down using the "RADAR OFF" button, cutting power to the TR modules and the FPGA before they are damaged.

When using the PPI and A-scope tabs in the radar operation GUI, "Processed" mode must be used; otherwise, the PPI and A-scope will not display. The scale bar on the PPI viewer can be changed by right-clicking with the mouse and dragging from one desired extreme to another. Zooming out or in is done with the scrolling wheel on a mouse.

The tick rate option in the A-scope tab determines how quickly the next pulse is shown in ms. The standard is 20ms. For more time between A-scope refreshes, this value should be changed to a larger number. However, this will also slow down the speed at which the PPI refreshes.

To close the GUI, click the red circle in the top right-hand corner of the GUI itself. This will close all the other windows as well. When powering down the radar, it is important to check the current from the netBooter before closing the GUI.

All programs required for operating the radar, including the GUI and xdatalog, are stored within one directory. To access these files, simply:

\$ cd home/radarop/Dropbox/PhasedArray/Software

A.4.2 TR module check

Diagnosis of the FPGA can be done through the GtkTerm by connecting to /dev/TTYS0 at the highest speed. Entering "v" for verbose into the command line ensures all relevant data will be printed to the GtkTerm. The command j will reset the FPGA and clear any old commands or data. Checking a specific TR module can be accomplished by entering t (for temperature) and then the hex address (for example, 3F for 64) of the TR module. (When the radar is first powered on and calibrations are uploaded to the TR modules, the modules are again listed by their hex

address.) This ensures communication with the TR module functions correctly, and if the temperature is within a few degrees Celsius of ambient, is a valuable check on the TR module's proper functioning. Before quitting GtkTerm, "V" for quiet mode must be entered, as the radar operation code requires the FPGA to be in quiet mode.

An easier way to check the connectivity with and temperature on the TR modules is to run TRMtemp from the CalSet directory. This will print the temperature of each TR module, which checks connectivity and proper TR module functionality.

A.4.3 netBooters

The netBooters are connected to the computer through two serial to USB connectors, as the radar computer does not have enough serial ports. GtkTerm windows can be used to communicate directly with the netBooters. A full list of netBooter commands can be accessed by typing help. One useful command is pshow, which lists all the outlets and their current state (on or off). To set the state of a particular outlet, pset n v, is used. For this command, n is the number of the outlet and v is the value, so turning outlet 7 on would be entered as pset 7 1. Network settings can also be accessed through GtkTerm.

Unfortunately, the two USB connections with the netBooters, TTYUSB0 and TTYUSB1, are named randomly when the computer first powered on. This is a problem, as the netBooters address is hard-coded into the software. Therefore, when the computer boots, steps must be taken to ensure the radar operation code can access the netBooters properly. GtkTerm can be used to determine which netBooter corresponds to which address: pshow will list either two outlets or eight.

Once the appropriate address for each is determined, this must be checked against the nboot.c program. Line 17, which reads define COMM/dev/ttyusbX, must contain the address of the eight-outlet netBooter. If this is changed, nboot.c must be recompiled by entering make -B nboot in the command line.

The netBooters can be controlled manually through use of the yellow button on the front, without need for GtkTerm or the web application. This button should be pressed until the LED for the outlet to be changed begins to flash. Press and hold the button until the LED changes to the desired state: LED on indicated the outlet is powered, and LED off indicated the outlet is disconnected from power.

A.4.4 Security camera

The security camera has a short ethernet cable that cannot be removed. Because of this, a a male-male connector is required for use. The camera is wifi capable, but the firewalls at both UMass and UTA have requirements that the security camera cannot operate.

The camera has an internal SD card to hold photos and videos. It can be accessed through the screws in the back of the camera. However, the SD card cannot be accessed over the network. The option of saving a photo or video when the camera senses motion can be turned on and off from the web app. This is useful in case storage on the SD card becomes scarce.

A.4.5 Positioner

When the positioner is first powered on, the joystick must be calibrated before it can be used to move the pedestal. Commands will appear on the screen to move the joystick on the positioned to its extremes. Unfortunately, this is required every time the positioner is powered on, including if the system loses power. This is the only part of the roof mount that must be done manually.

A.4.6 TeamViewer

TeamViewer runs automatically when the radar computer is booted up. If the TeamViewer application is closed on the host computer, the computer must be rebooted using the netBooter web application (outlet 1 on the eight outlet netBooter).

Table A.2: Login and password information for setup and operation of the PTWR.

When the computer restarts, TeamViewer will automatically begin running and the computer can be accessed again.

A.5 Login information for radar operations

Many passwords are involved when running the PTWR. These can be hard to keep track of; therefore, a list of useful login and password combinations for operation of the radar are described in Table A.2.

CASA has a web application for viewing the DFW area CASA data in real-time. The website is http://droc1.srh.noaa.gov/dfw/ and requires a login and password, which can be obtained from Eric Lyons (elyons Ocs.umass.edu). This is useful for determining when there is rain in the range of the PTWR, as the radars are co-located and have a similar range.

APPENDIX B

DATASHEETS FOR UP-DOWN CONVERTER

The up-down converter uses commercial parts. These are described in Table B.1. Datasheets for these parts are appended to this Appendix, in the order in which they are found in the up-down converter, as shown in Figure 1.3. It must be noted that the first amplifier in the upconversion and the second amplifier in the downconversion have the same part number.

| Location | Part | Company | Part number |
|----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| up | 1st filter | Lark | $MC60-5-3AA$ |
| up | 1st mixer | Mini-Circuits | ZEM-M2TMH |
| up | 1st amp | Mini-Circuits | $ZJL-4G+$ |
| up | 2nd filter | Lorch | 8EZ4-1800/A100-S |
| up | 2nd mixer | Mini-Circuits | $ZX05-153MHz$ |
| up | power amp | Microwave Amplifiers Ltd | AM49-06-053RB |
| up/down | switch | Narda | S133DH |
| up/down | power splitter/ combiner | Richardson RFPD | RPDC020180A8S |
| down | LNA | Planar | PE2-17-9R5G-2R0-8-12-SFF |
| down | 1st filter | K&L | 4FV20-10400/T3000-O/O |
| down | 1st mixer | Mini-Circuits | $ZX05-153LH+$ |
| down | 2nd mixer | Mini-Circuits | $ZFM-15$ |
| down | 1st amp | Mini-Circuits | $ZFL-500$ |
| down | 2nd amp | Mini-Circuits | $ZJL-4G+$ |
| | | | |

Table B.1: Companies and part numbers for the parts that make up the up/down converter.

NO. OF SECTIONS $2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6$ OR MORE 1.5/1 VSWR BW 0.4 0.7 0.8 0.85 0.9 MIN. ³ dB BW

*Contact Lark Engineering

INSERTION LOSS:

The maximum Insertion Loss at center frequency is equal to:

$$
\frac{\text{LF x (N+ 0.5)}}{\% \text{3 dB BW}} + 0.2
$$

Where:

 $LF = Loss Factor$

 $N =$ Number of Sections

% 3dB BW: 3dB BW (MHz) x 100 CENTER FREQUENCY (MHz)

Example:

A 3 section MC with a center fre- $\frac{1}{2500}$ quency of 700 MHz and a 3dB BW of 70 MHz would be:

> $\frac{4.0 \times 3.5}{10} = \frac{14}{10} = 1.4$ $1.4 + 0.2 = 1.6$ dB

MECHANICAL SPECIFICATIONS MC SERIES

CONNECTORS AVAILABLE ON MC SERIES:

 $.15$

OVER 7 SECTIONS - CONSULT LARK ENGINEERING NOTE: ALL STANDARD UNITS WITH SMA CONNECTORS ARE SUPPLIED WITH H=.40"

The size shown is a standard used by Lark to facilitate low cost, easily reproduced units. Should you require another size, please submit all of your requirements, both electrical and mechanical, to Lark Engineering. This will enable Lark to quote the optimum design for your application.

STOPBAND ATTENUATION

The graphs on the following pages define the normal specification limits on attenuation for Lark's MC and MS bandpass filter series. The minimum level of attenuation in dB is shown as a "number of 3dB bandwidths from center frequency". Since the frequency characteristics vary for differing bandwidths, it is necessary to establish specifications for each bandwidth. The different graphs represent various 3dB percentage bandwidths. Intermediate values should be interpolated. The 3dB percentage bandwidth is defined as follows:

> 3dB Bandwidth (MHz) x 100 Center Frequency (MHz)

The exact relationship is as follows:

3dB Bandwidths From Center Frequency = Rejection Frequency (MHz) - Center Frequency (MHz) 3dB Bandwidth (MHz)

Example:

Given: Center Frequency = 500 MHz Minimum 3dB Bandwidth = 50 MHz Number of Sections = 5

Find: Minimum attenuation levels at 425 MHz and 585 MHz.

3dB BW's from
$$
Fc = \frac{425 - 500}{50} = -1.5
$$

and
$$
\frac{585 - 500}{50} = +1.7
$$

The answer can be read directly from the 10% graph. Using the 5 section curve at the point -1.5 (425 MHz) we find the minimum level of attenuation is 40dB. At +1.7 (585 MHz) the minimum level of attenuation is 39dB.

NOTE: The attenuation curves shown for the "MC" and "MS" series are for our standard designs. Other topologies may be utilized yielding different attenuation characteristics.

For special requirements, please contact our Engineering Department.

Frequency Mixer *Coaxial*

Level 13 (LO Power +13 dBm) 10 to 2400 MHz

Maximum Ratings

Coaxial Connections

Outline Drawing

Features

- low conversion loss, 6.9 dB typ.
- excellent isolation, 43 dB typ. L-R, 44 dB typ. L-I
- wideband, 10 to 2400 MHz

Applications

- VHF/UHF
- cellular • GPS
-

ZEM-M2TMH+ ZEM-M2TMH

CASE STYLE: V37 Connectors Model Price Qty.

SMA ZEM-M2TMH(+) \$114.95 (1-9) SMA $ZEM-M2TMH(+)$ $$114.95$

+ RoHS compliant in accordance with EU Directive (2002/95/EC)

The +Suffix identifies RoHS Compliance. See our web site for RoHS Compliance methodologies and qualifications.

Electrical Specifications

 $m=$ mid band [2f_L to f_U/2]

Typical Performance Data

$\bf\Pi$ Mini-Circuits **Mini-Circuits®**
ISO 9001 ISO 14001 AS 9100 CERTIFIED LO ∮
}}
}} RF **Electrical Schematic**

For detailed performance specs & shopping online see web site

minicircuits.com

Page 1 of 2

REV. A M98898 ZEM-M2TMH DJ/TD/CP/AM 091006

P.O. Box 350166, Brooklyn, New York 11235-0003 (718) 934-4500 Fax (718) 332-4661 *The Design Engineers Search Engine Provides ACTUAL Data Instantly at* ® *IF/RF MICROWAVE COMPONENTS*

Notes: 1. Performance and quality attributes and conditions not expressly stated in this specification sheet are intended to be excluded and do not form a part of this specification sheet. 2. Electrical specifications shea

 L ØM TYP ¢ ₩ Ė В 4 -N

Outline Dimensions ($\frac{inch}{mm}$ **)**

Performance Charts

For detailed performance specs & shopping online see web site

minicircuits.com

IF/RF MICROWAVE COMPONENTS

P.O. Box 350166, Brooklyn, New York 11235-0003 (718) 934-4500 Fax (718) 332-4661 *The Design Engineers Search Engine Provides ACTUAL Data Instantly at* ®

Notes: 1. Performance and quality attributes and conditions not expressly stated in this specification sheet are intended to be excluded and do not form a part of this specification sheet. 2. Electrical specifications shea

Amplifier Coaxial **ZJL-4G+**

50 Ω Medium Power 20 to 4000 MHz

Features

- wideband, 20 to 4000 MHz
- high IP3, +30.5 dBm typ.
- rugged, compact case, 1.07"x0.61" (including mounting bracket)
- protected by US Patent, 6,943,629

Applications

- radar
- instrumentation
- lab use

+ RoHS compliant in accordance with EU Directive (2002/95/EC)

The +Suffix identifies RoHS Compliance. See our web site for RoHS Compliance methodologies and qualifications.

Amplifier Electrical Specifications

1. Flatness specified to 0.75 fU, dynamic range at 2 GHz. Open load is not recommended, potentially can cause damage.

With no load derate max input power by 20 dB

Maximum Ratings

Outline Drawing

Outline Dimensions ($\frac{inch}{mm}$ **)**

P.O. Box 350166, Brooklyn, New York 11235-0003 (718) 934-4500 Fax (718) 332-4661 For detailed performance specs & shopping online see Mini-Circuits web site *The Design Engineers Search Engine Provides ACTUAL Data Instantly From MINI-CIRCUITS At: www.minicircuits.com*

RF/IF MICROWAVE COMPONENTS

REV. A M98898 ZJL-4G 070323 Page 1 of 2

ZJL-4G+ ZJL-4G

Typical Performance Data/Curves

<u>Xorie</u>

P.O. Box 350166, Brooklyn, New York 11235-0003 (718) 934-4500 Fax (718) 332-4661 For detailed performance specs & shopping online see Mini-Circuits web site

The Design Engineers Search Engine Provides ACTUAL Data Instantly From MINI-CIRCUITS At: www.minicircuits.com RF/IF MICROWAVE COMPONENTS

Cavity Filters

- \blacksquare **30 MHz to 40 GHz**
- **B** 3 dB Bandwidths from <0.5 to >66%
- **High "Q", Low Loss**
- **High Power**
- **z** Computer-Aided Designs
- **Helical, Combline, Interdigital**
- **Waveguide**
- **12 Stock Series**

Lorch Microwave's cavity filter designs are available in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 40 GHz and with bandwidth options from less than 0.5% to over 66%. Cavity filters offer the user very low insertion loss, steep skirt selectivity, and narrower bandwidths than discrete component filters. Cavity filter performance is based on parts selection and physical layout of the helical coils, resonators, as well as the shape and size of the cavity housing. Lorch Microwave offers the user 12 unique stock designs to satisfy the majority of applications. At lower frequencies a helical coil is used to excite the electromagnetic field, while a 1/8 to 1/4 wave capacitively loaded design is used at higher frequencies. A cylindrical waveguide design is used to achieve narrow bandwidths and high power operation.

Each filter is custom designed to your exact specification so that you will receive the optimum performance at the lowest cost. Filter performance is easily predicted using our proprietary software, while CAD files are generated for our CNC machine and fabrication center. At Lorch Microwave, even complex designs and working drawings can be generated in a matter of a few hours…not weeks.

Standard cavity filters generally are designed using aluminum as the base metal. As most raw metals are inherently lossy, filter housings are silver plated for improved electrical characteristics and current flow. Brass, copper, aluminum or bi-metal resonators are used to minimize frequency drift over temperature.

1725 N. Salisbury Blvd. · PO Box 2828 · Salisbury, MD 21802 Phone 800.780.2169, 410.860.5100 · Fax 410.860.1949 10 **MICROWAVE** www.lorch.com · lorchsales@lorch.com

The tables, graphs and curves on the following pages have been prepared to enable you to determine an approximation of the electrical performance and physical size you can expect. If by chance your requirements cannot be

met from the units described herein, please contact our technical marketing staff for assistance. With over 30 years of filter designs in our data bank, chances are good that we have successfully solved a similar problem in the past.

Narrowband - 0.5% to 4%

Narrowband (Combline) - 1% to 25%

Wideband (Interdigital) -25% to 66%

Shock 10G Vibration 20G

See pages 12-14 for mechanical outlines and dimensions. Contact factory for specific requirements not listed above.

Cavity Filter Part Number Description

4 CF2 - 1200 / A15 - S / SM

- 1 2 3 4 5 6
- 1. Number of Sections
- 2. Series and Package Size
- 3. Center Frequency, MHz
- 4. Bandwidth and Code (3 dB BW Standard)
- 5. Input Connector
- 6. Output Connector (if different from input)

Designation

Connectors

(1) Requires Minimum Cross Section of 0.88"

(2) Requires SMA Removable Connectors at High Frequencies

Calculating Number of Sections

The following curves show the stopband frequencies normalized to the 3 dB bandwidth for filters with 2 to 13 sections. A ratio of stopband frequency to 3 dB bandwidth is used.

The curve on the next page shows a slightly asymmetric frequency response resulting from the circuit used. Other schematics may be utilized to yield different attenuation characteristics (i.e. steeper on the high frequency side of the passband and shallower on the low side).

Example:

A CF-Series filter has a center frequency of 1000 MHz and a 3 dB bandwidth of 10 MHz. A stopband attenuation of 60 dB is required at 980 MHz and 1030 MHz.

The percentage bandwidth is 1%, calculated as follows:

 $\frac{3 \text{ dB BW (MHz)}}{F_0 \text{ (MHz)}} \times 100 = \frac{10}{1000} \times 100 = 1\%$

For the first stopband requirement: Number of 3 dB bandwidths from center frequency = $\frac{(1000 - 980)}{10} = 2.0$

From the CP/CF series attenuation curve, we find that a minimum of 7 sections are required.

The second stopband requirement is: Number of 3 dB bandwidths from center frequency = $\frac{(1030 - 1000)}{10} = 3.0$

From the CP/CF series attenuation curve, we find that 5 sections minimum are required.

The greater number of sections must always be used to insure full specification compliance; therefore, a 7 section should be used.

Insertion Loss Calculation

Knowing the number of sections, center frequency and bandwidth of the filter, insertion loss may be calculated using the following formula:

$$
Loss = \frac{N - 1.5}{Q \times \%3dBBW} + 0.2
$$

Example: 5CF2-915/25-N

- 1. Percentage BW = 25 / 915 x 100 = 2.7%
- 2. Q from CF series curves = 2.9
- 3. Number of Sections $= 5$

$$
4. \text{Loss} = \frac{5 \cdot 1.5}{2.9 \times 2.7} + 0.2
$$

Example: 9EZ6-8725/1375-S

- 1. Percentage BW = 1375/8725 x 100 = 15.8%
- 2. Q from EZ series curves = 1.1
- 3. Number of Sections = 9

$$
4. \text{Loss} = \frac{9 \cdot 1.5}{1.1 \times 15.8} + 0.2 = 0.63 \text{ dB}
$$

 $\overline{0}$ 3dB Ref BW 10 20 Attenuation dB Attenuation dB $\frac{1}{N-2}$ $\frac{1}{N}$ $\frac{1}{N}$ 30 $N = 3$ $N = 3$ 40 $N = 4$ $N = 4$ 50 $N = 5$ $N = 5$ 60 $N = 7$ $N = 7$ $70 - 4$ -4 -3 -2 -1 1 2 3 4 Number of 3 dB Bandwidths

From Center Frequency

CP and CF Series Attenuation Characteristics

"Q"-CF, CP Series, Narrowband Cavities 5 CF2 4 CF3 3 CFA CF7 Attenuation dB CP Attenuation dB 2 CF7 1 $\overline{0}$. 0.3 0.1 0.5 1.0 5.0 10.0 30.0

1.0

Attenuation dB

Attenuation dB

 0.6 \overline{C}

0.5 1.0 2.0 3.0 5.0 10.0 20.0 30.0

Center Frequency (GHz)

1725 N. Salisbury Blvd. · PO Box 2828 · Salisbury, MD 21802 Phone 800.780.2169, 410.860.5100 · Fax 410.860.1949 www.lorch.com · lorchsales@lorch.com MICROWAVE 13

CP Series

BRH Series

CF2 Series

All dimensions are approximate. Contact factory for actual sizes. All length dimensions are excluding connectors.

Cavity Filter Outline Drawings

p_{19, C}F4 Series Series

CF3 Series

CF4 Series

CF6 Series

CF7 Series

All dimensions are approximate. Contact factory for actual sizes. All length dimensions are excluding connectors.

1725 N. Salisbury Blvd. · PO Box 2828 · Salisbury, MD 21802 Phone 800.780.2169, 410.860.5100 · Fax 410.860.1949 www.lorch.com · lorchsales@lorch.com MICROWAVE 15

EZ3, EZ4, EZ5 Series and IZ3, IZ4, IZ5 Series EZ6, EZ7 Series and IZ6, IZ7 Series

2X 2-56 UNC - 2B

EZ Series Length VS. Number of Sections, 1 - 25% BW

All dimensions are approximate, based on % BW. Contact factory for actual sizes. All length dimensions are excluding connectors. Dimensions for width are a maximum. The final width will vary with frequency.

123 0.5-6.0 6.5 0.75 1.1 1.5 1.9 2.3 2.7 3.1 3.5 3.9 4.3 4.7 5.1 (165.1) (19.1) (28.0) (38.1) (48.3) (58.4) (68.6) (78.7) (88.9) (99.1) (109.2) (119.4) (129.5) IZ4 1.0-8.0 3.5 0.59 1.0 1.2 1.5 1.8 2.1 2.5 2.8 3.2 3.5 3.9 4.2 (88.9) (15.0) (25.4) (30.5) (38.1) (45.7) (53.3) (63.5) (71.1) (81.3) (88.9) (99.1) (106.7) IZ5 2.0-12.0 2.0 0.63 1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.5 1.8 2.0 2.3 2.5 2.8 3.1 (50.8) (16.0) (25.4) (28.0) (30.5) (33.0) (38.1) (45.7) (50.8) (58.4) (63.5) (71.1) (78.7) IZ6 | 4.0-18.0 | 1.25 | 0.50 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.3 (31.8) (12.7) (22.9) (25.4) (28.0) (30.5) (33.0) (38.1) (40.6) (45.7) (50.8) (55.9) (58.4) IZ7 6.0-20.0 1.00 0.50 0.8 0.9 1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 (25.4) (12.7) (20.3) (22.9) (25.4) (28.0) (30.5) (33.0) (35.6) (38.1) (40.6) (43.2) (45.7) **Frequency Width Height Length vs. Number of Sections — Inches (mm) Series (MHz) In. (mm) In. (mm) 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13**

IZ Series Length VS. Number of Sections, 25 - 66% BW

All dimensions are approximate, based on % BW. Contact factory for actual sizes. All length dimensions are excluding connectors. Dimensions for width are a maximum. The final width will vary with frequency.

Coaxial **Frequency Mixer WIDE BAND**

Level 13 (LO Power +13 dBm) 3200 to 15000 MHz

Maximum Ratings

Permanent damage may occur if any of these limits are exceeded.

Coaxial Connections

Outline Drawing

Outline Dimensions ($\frac{inch}{mm}$ **)**

Features

- wide bandwidth, 3200 to 15000 MHz
- low conversion loss, 6.5 dB typ.
- high L-R isolation, 36 dB typ.
- excellent IF BW, DC to 4000 MHz
- rugged construction
- small size
- useable as up and down converter • protected by US patents, 6,790,049 and 7,027,795
- **Applications**
- satellite up and down converters
- defense radar and communications
- line of sight links
- federal fixed service

FREQUENCY

- WIFI • blue tooth
- VSAT
- ISM

Electrical Specifications \blacksquare **CONVERSION LOSS* LO-RF ISOLATION**

1 dB COMPR.: +5 dBm typ. * Conversion loss at 30 MHz IF. σ is a measure of repeatability from unit to unit.

Typical Performance Data Frequency (MHz) Conversion Loss (dB) Isolation L-R (dB) Isolation L-I (dB) VSWR RF Port (:1) VSWR LO Port (:1) RF 10 \overline{C} $+13$ d Bm \overline{C} $+13$ d Bm \overline{C} $+13$ d Bm \overline{C} $+13$ d Bm \overline{C} $+13$ d Bm 3193.10 3223.10 7.79 47.11 20.82 2.39 17.57 3791.60 3821.60 6.32 51.86 19.59 2.88 7.44 4484.60 4514.60 5.92 41.93 16.09 2.33 3.48 5083.10 5113.10 5.94 40.95 12.87 2.75 1.90 5618.60 5648.60 6.29 38.97 14.17 3.46 2.65 6217.10 6247.10 5.90 40.72 16.68 2.79 3.90 6815.60 6845.60 5.61 39.73 18.51 2.14 4.30 7414.10 7444.10 5.33 37.25 16.36 1.52 3.19 8012.60 8042.60 5.50 30.38 12.32 1.56 2.13 8611.10 8641.10 5.72 37.50 19.00 2.22 1.83 9209.60 9239.60 6.31 33.03 22.52 3.30 1.99 10028.60 10058.60 8.48 31.28 17.81 4.62 1.90 10627.10 10657.10 8.76 36.89 23.65 4.95 2.47 11225.60 11255.60 8.77 37.44 29.08 5.33 3.65 11824.10 11854.10 8.51 32.31 34.91 4.78 4.83 12422.60 12452.60 8.67 30.24 37.24 4.13 4.80 13021.10 13051.10 7.66 26.56 37.10 3.67 3.60 13997.60 14027.60 7.84 29.74 30.66 3.20 4.00 14627.60 14657.60 8.55 26.54 22.01 2.75 3.80 15005.60 15035.60 8.82 19.09 16.55 2.05 3.01

Electrical Schematic

For detailed performance specs

Mini-Circuits® ISO 9001 ISO 14001 AS 9100 CERTIFIED
P.O. Box 350166, Brooklyn, New York 11235-0003 (718) 934-4500 Fax (718) 332-4661 The Design Engineers Search Engine (20%/2 Provides ACTUAL Data Instantly at minicircuits.com

& shopping online see web site

Page 1 of 2 REV. OR M111660 ZX05-153MH+ ED-12902/10 DJ/AM 091007

Notes: 1. Performance and quality attributes and conditions not expressly stated in this specification sheet are intended to be excluded and do not form a part of this specification sheet. 2. Electrical specifications shea *IF/RF MICROWAVE COMPONENTS*

ZX05-153MH+

CASE STYLE: FL905

Connectors Model Price Qty.

SMA ZX05-153MH-S+ \$48.95 (1-24 **SMA** ZX05-153MH-S+ \$48.95 (1-24)

+ RoHS compliant in accordance with EU Directive (2002/95/EC)

LO-IF ISOLATION

IP3

The +Suffix has been added in order to identify RoHS Compliance. See our web site for RoHS Compliance methodologies and qualifications.

Performance Charts **ZX05-153MH**+

Notes: 1. Performance and quality attributes and conditions not expressly stated in this specification sheet are intended to be excluded and do not form a part of this specification sheet. 2. Electrical specifications shea

microwave amps

Microwave Amplifiers Ltd

PRODUCT SPECIFICATION AM49-06-053RB

Model no : AM49-9.4S-47-49P

ELECTRICAL PARAMETERS:

Microwave Amplifiers Ltd

PRODUCT SPECIFICATION AM49-06-053RA

Model no : AM49-9.4S-47-49P

Notes:

- 1. TTL pulse control switching times are measured with CW RF input signal applied.
- 2. DC detector is RF coupled shottky barrier diode giving DC output approximately proportional to RF.
- 3. The maximum permissible +V supply is +12.5V.

General Description:

The AM49 power amplifier is a class A linear GaAs fet amplifier designed for optimum RF performance with minimum power consumption. With fast TTL controlled switching, these amplifiers are ideally suited to applications requiring high efficiency with low weight and heat generation and are constructed using the latest GaAs FET devices and high stability composite materials to ensure reliable performance.

Design Features:

Class A Linear design Fast switching speed Unconditional stability Reverse polarity protection Open & short circuit protection Over temperature protection Internally sequenced bias protection Machined from Solid Aluminium alloy enclosure Alocrom or Alodine passivated finish

Environmental Performance:

These products are not supplied qualified to any environmental standards. Design practices are employed which will enable the products to operate in harsh industrial environments, and components of appropriate grade are selected for the operating temperature ranges specified.

This product is not suitable for operation in environments subject to water exposure, or in condensing high humidity.

MTBF:

MTBF is estimated to be 100,000Hrs under normal operating conditions.

CE Certification:

All Microwave Amplifiers Ltd products are supplied CE marked where appropriate.

Warranty:

All Microwave Amplifiers Ltd products are supplied with 2 year warranty.

Products

Passive Components

Integrated Microwave RF Switching
RF Switching Components **Resemblies (IMAs)** Introduction

Solid State PIN Control Products

0.5-18 GHz and 2-18 GHz

Super-Slim High Performance Drop-In PIN Switches

- Reflective and Absorptive
- SPST thru SP6T and Transfer (Standard)
- High Speed
- High Isolation up to 80 dB
- Low Insertion Loss
- Drop-In Applications
- **Integral TTL Drivers**
- **Hermetically Sealed**
- Full MIL Specifications

Description

The super-slim series of broadband, hermetically sealed switches offers fast switching speed and low insertion loss in very compact packages. Both 0.5 to 18 GHz and 2 to 18 GHz models are available. The switches are gold plated and have removable SMA connectors for use in drop-in applications. Superior RF performance is achieved over the entire bandwidth due to the use of selected PIN diodes and optimum RF circuit designs.

All models include integral drivers with reverse voltage protection. The drivers are TTL compatible and are tailored to each RF circuit to give optimum switching performance.

The small size, high speed, broad bandwidth and low insertion loss make these switches ideal for EW systems, automatic test equipment and simulators.

Solid State PIN Control Products

Specifications

Reflective Switches, SMA (F), 0.5 to 18 GHz

4.0

2.0

12-18

Solid State PIN Control Products

Specifications

Reflective Switches, SMA (F), 2 to 18 GHz

Transfer Switch, SMA (F), 2 to 18 GHz

Solid State PIN Control Products

Electrical Specifications

TTL CONTROL LOGIC

Logic 0 (0-0.8 V, 1.6 mA max. sink ω 0.4 V) = Insertion Loss Logic 1 (2.0-5.5 V, 40 μ A max. source @ 2.4 V) = Isolation

FOR TRANSFER SWITCH (XSS323CDHS) Logic 0: J1-J4 and J2-J3 at Insertion Loss Logic 1: J1-J2 and J4-J3 at Insertion Loss

SWITCHING TIME T on = 50% TTL to 90% of RF voltage T off = 50% TTL to 10% of RF voltage

SWITCHING RATE 5 MHz max. PRF @50% duty cycle

DRIVER Reverse voltage protected

SURVIVAL POWER at 25°C (Cold Switching)

1.0 W CW, 20W Peak (1μs max. pulse width, 5% duty cycle) Derate linearly to 50% at +95°C

Environmental Specifications

TEMPERATURE

Operating -54°C to +95°C Storage -65°C to +125°C

HUMIDITY

Per MIL-STD-202F, method 103B, condition B (96 hours at 95% R.H.)

SHOCK Per MIL-STD-202F, method 213B, condition B (75 G, 6 ms)

ALTITUDE

Per MIL-STD-202F, method 105C, condition B (50,000 feet)

VIBRATION Per MIL-STD-202F, method 204D, condition B (.06" double amplitude or 15 G, whichever is less)

THERMAL SHOCK Per MIL-STD-202F, method 107D, condition A (5 cycles)

Options

- Very Low Loss Video Leakage
- Inverted TTL Logic Control
- BCD Decoder Driver
- Package Configuration
- Over Voltage Protection

′⊕

F

Ŧ

65

 $\frac{.22}{(5.6)}$

 (16.5) (5.6)

22

т (12.2)

 $.11$ TYP
(2.8)

TOL: $XX = \pm .01 (.25)$
 $XXX = \pm .005 (.12)$

 $.62$

 (15.9)

SMA CONN. (F) TYP. 2 PLCS

DIA. .080 (2.0)THRU TYP. 4 PLCS.

 $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$

Ŧ

DIA. .018 X .25 (0.45 X 6.35) TYP. 4 PLCS.

.48 (12.2)

7

.11 (2.8) TYP

> **.22 (5.6) TYP**

.62 (15.9)

 \mathbf{I}

t

 (5.6) ¹

T

.20 (5.0) TYP.

酬咐

画

Г

SS213DHS, SS213BDHTS

.14 (3.6) TYP. .82 (20.8)

⊸⊙⊙⊖┤╊╫╢

.32 (8.12) .22 TYP

硎

J1 J2 C -V G +V

> II ШU

.62 (15.9)

SS213DHS-80, SS212DHS, SS212DHTS

Θ

Ô

J1

ċ -V G +V

IJ

 $\llbracket \mathbf{\Psi} \rrbracket$

 $TYP.
(7.3)$

Mu

റ

dd

Þшн

SMA CONN. (F)
TYP. 2 PLACES

J2

TUL

 \leftarrow 14
TYP

 $\frac{1.00}{(25.4)}$

 $\circ\;\circ\;\circ\;\circ$

 (3.6)

 $\frac{.32}{(8.2)}$

DIA. .080 THRU
TYP. 4 PLCS
(DIA 2.0)

DIA. .018 X .25
TYP. 4 PLACES
(DIA 0.45 X 6.35)

Dimensions in inches (mm in parentheses), unless otherwise specified.

Solid State PIN Control Products

Outline Drawings

SS163DHS, SS163BDHTS, SS162DHS, SS162DHTS

XSS323CDHS

Dimensions in inches (mm in parentheses), unless otherwise specified.

2- 18 GHz 8-Way Power Divider/Combiner – RPDC020180A8S (Richardson RFPD)

S-parameters measurement at 9.36 GHz

S22 S33 S44 S55 S66 S77 S88 S99

S21 S31 S41 S51 S61 S71 S81 S91

ISOLATION

POWER DIVIDER #002

POWER DIVIDER #029

POWER DIVIDER #034

 I

SUMMARY TEST DATA ON AMPLIFIER

5715 Industry Lane, Unit 11, Frederick, MD 21704 USA Phone: (301)662-5019 Fax: (301)662-1731 email: sales@planarelec.com

VNA Plot

5715 Industry Lane, Unit 11, Frederick, MD 21704 USA Phone: (301)662-5019 Fax: (301)662-1731 email: sales@planarelec.com

Noise Figure Plot

5715 Industry Lane, Unit 11, Frederick, MD 21704 USA Phone: (301)662-5019 Fax: (301)662-1731 email: sales@planarelec.com

High Frequency, Medium Bandwidth — FV Series

◆ Features:

- **•** Small Package Design, High "Q" Response
- **•** Ruggedized Package Design
- **•** Covers the 500 MHz to 40 GHz Frequency Range
- **•** Combline Design Results in Low Insertion Loss Performance
- **•** 3 dB BW Available from 3-18%
- **•** Designs Available in 2-17 Sections
- **•** Custom Package Designs Available

◆ Specifications:

5 FV 20 — 6575 / T 750 - O / O Connector Code

-
- 7 Input Connector
8 Output Connect **Output Connector**

◆ **To** Order: ◆ **Connectors:**

*Requires .75 W and .75 H

Phone: 410-749-2424 • FAX: 443-260-2268 Email: sales@klmicrowave.com www.klmicrowave.com • www.klfilterwizard.com **Ca v i t y**

r

. . . .

₩

High Frequency, Medium Bandwidth — FV Series

◆ Attenuation:

The adjacent curve is used to determine the out-ofband or stopband attenuation for K&L's combline filters. This curve shows the attenuation as multiples of the 3 dB bandwidth for filters up to 13 sections. The formula for approximate stopband attenuation:

3 dB BW from *f*⁰ ⁼ Reject Frequency-Center Frequency 3 dB BW

Example:

Cavity

ш

. . . .

■■

Center Frequency = 6575 MHz 3 dB Bandwidth = 750 MHz Number of Sections = 6

Find the attenuation at 5600 MHz and 7550 MHz by substituting in the formula:

3 dB BW from $f_0 = 5600 - 6575 = -1.3$ BW 750

3 dB BW from $f_0 = 7550 - 6575 = +1.3$ BW 750

From the 6 section curves -1.3 BW and +1.3 BW yield approximately 54 dB.

Note: For more stringent rejection requirements, contact the factory.

◆ Mechanical:

The mechanical dimensions and mounting hole locations are dependent upon the design parameters specified by the customer. Contact K&L Microwave for details.

Specifying Filters

◆ Explanation of ◆ Multiplexer ◆ Special Packaging Supplemental Codes Four character medium and "1" is used as 3rd character in

(Can be one or two characters) topology code medium topology code.

-
-
- /C Contiguous Multiplexer
- /D Delay Matched /E Equiripple Bandwidth
- /H Half dB Bandwidth
- /N Non-contiguous Multiplexer LP/HP/BP BP
-
- /P Phased Matched 0 Special 0 Special
-
-
-
-
-

/A Amplitude Matched $\begin{array}{ccc} & -Z_{--} \end{array}$ Diplexer $\begin{array}{ccc} & -1 \end{array}$ /B Bessel Response _ M _ _ Multiplexer

◆ Explanation of Topology of Codes

-
-
-
-
-
-
- /Q High Power Requirements 1 Chebyshev 1- Resonant Ladder
	-
- /T Three dB Bandwidth 2 S.E.L.F. 2 Capacitively Coupled "tank" Symmetrical Equiripple 3 - "tank" with Tubular End Sections
- /W Butterworth Response Lumped Filter 4 Lowpass/Highpass Cascade
- /X Special (see explanation on 5 Lumped Tubular or "mesh"
	- page of catalog) 6 Narrowband S.E.L.F.
	- 7 Broadband S.E.L.F.
	- 8 General Parameter
	- 9 Unspecified

◆ Specific Examples for Each Product Line are:

9B111-500/H50-O/O 9 section Chebyshev filter in a **one and a quarter inch tubular,** center frequency 500 MHz with a half dB bandwidth of 50 MHz and SMA female connectors on both ends.

6C42-1000/UW30-O/OP 6 section Butterworth **cavity filter** in a 42 series package, center frequency 1000 MHz, one dB bandwidth 30 MHz, SMA female on input and SMA male on the output.

9ED30-4000/U2000-N/NP 9 section **interdigital filter** in a 30 series package, center frequency of 4000 MHz, its 1 dB bandwidth is 2000 MHz and it has N-type connectors, input female and output male.

6IB33-2500/TA212-O/O 6 section **IB filter**, tank circuit with tubular end sections in an IB package, center frequency 2500 MHz with a 3 dB bandwidth of 212 MHz, SMA female connectors on both ends, amplitude matching is specified.

3MC10-500/TD45-O/OP 3 section **miniature cavity filter,** center frequency of 500 MHz with a 3 dB bandwidth of 45 MHz. Delay matching is specified and the connectors are SMA female on input and SMA male on the output.

◆ Multiplexers

In a multiplexer the second character in the medium and topology code is replaced by a Z in the case of a diplexer and by M in case of any other multiplexer. The lowest and highest passband frequencies are specified in the part number.

◆ Multiplexers

This **diplexer** consists of 2 seven-section combline filters in a 30 series package. The lower channel bandedge is at 3000 MHz and the channels are contiguous passing up to 4500 MHz. All three connectors are SMA female.

Coaxial **Frequency Mixer WIDE BAND**

Level 10 (LO Power +10 dBm) 3200 to 15000 MHz

Maximum Ratings

Permanent damage may occur if any of these limits are exceeded.

Coaxial Connections

Outline Drawing

Outline Dimensions ($\frac{inch}{mm}$ **)**

Features

- wide bandwidth, 3200 to 15000 MHz
- low conversion loss, 6.6 dB typ.
- high L-R isolation, 36 dB typ.
- excellent IF BW, DC to 4000 MHz
- rugged construction
- small size
- useable as up and down converter
- protected by US patents, 6,790,049 and 7,027,795

Applications

- satellite up and down converters
- defense radar and communications
- line of sight links • federal fixed service
- WIFI
- blue tooth
- VSAT
- ISM

ZX05-153LH+

CASE STYLE: FL905

Connectors Model Price Qty.

SMA ZX05-153LH-S+ \$48.95 (1-24 **SMA** ZX05-153LH-S+ \$48.95 (1-24)

+ RoHS compliant in accordance with EU Directive (2002/95/EC)

┬

The +Suffix has been added in order to identify RoHS Compliance. See our web site for RoHS Compliance methodologies and qualifications.

 \top

Electrical Specifications

Typical Performance Data

Electrical Schematic

Mini-Circuits®

For detailed performance specs & shopping online see web site

ISO 9001 ISO 14001 AS 9100 CERTIFIED
P.O. Box 350166, Brooklyn, New York 11235-0003 (718) 934-4500 Fax (718) 332-4661 The Design Engineers Search Engine (2007 2 Provides ACTUAL Data Instantly at *IF/RF MICROWAVE COMPONENTS*

minicircuits.com

Page 1 of 2 REV. OR M111660 ZX05-153LH+ ED-12902/9 DJ/AM 091007

Notes: 1. Performance and quality attributes and conditions not expressly stated in this specification sheet are intended to be excluded and do not form a part of this specification sheet. 2. Electrical specifications shea

Performance Charts *ZX05-153LH+*

Notes: 1. Performance and quality attributes and conditions not expressly stated in this specification sheet are intended to be excluded and do not form a part of this specification sheet. 2. Electrical specifications shea

Frequency Mixer *Coaxial*

Level 10 (LO Power +10 dBm) 10 to 3000 MHz

Maximum Ratings

Coaxial Connections

Outline Drawing

Outline Dimensions (imh **)**

Features

- *SMA version shown* low conversion loss, 6.13 dB typ.
- good L-R isolation, 35 dB typ, L-I, 30 dB typ.
- wideband, 10 to 3000 MHz
- rugged shielded case

Applications

- cellular
- GPS • MMDS
- ISM
- instrumentation

CASE STYLE: K18

+ RoHS compliant in accordance with EU Directive (2002/95/EC)

The +Suffix identifies RoHS Compliance. See our web site for RoHS Compliance methodologies and qualifications.

Typical Performance Data

Electrical Schematic

IF/RF MICROWAVE COMPONENTS

For detailed performance specs & shopping online see web site

minicircuits.com

Notes: 1. Performance and quality attributes and conditions not expressly stated in this specification sheet are intended to be excluded and do not form a part of this specification sheet. 2. Electrical specifications shea

Page 1 of 2 REV. B M113397 ZFM-15 DJ/TD/CP 120306

Performance Charts

ZFM-15 L-R ISOLATION 20 30 40 50 0 600 1200 1800 2400 3000 FREQUENCY (MHz) ISOLATION (dB) $-$ LO=+7 dBm $-$ LO=+10 dBm $-$ LO=+13 dBm

Mini-Circuits®

For detailed performance specs & shopping online see web site

ISO 9001 ISO 14001 AS 9100 CERTIFIED P.O. Box 350166, Brooklyn, New York 11235-0003 (718) 934-4500 Fax (718) 332-4661 *The Design Engineers Search Engine Provides ACTUAL Data Instantly at* ® minicircuits.com

Notes: 1. Performance and quality attributes and conditions not expressly stated in this specification sheet are intended to be excluded and do not form a part of this specification sheet. 2. Electrical specifications shea *IF/RF MICROWAVE COMPONENTS*

Amplifier *Coaxial* **ZFL-500+**

50Ω Low Power 0.05 to 500 MHz

Features

- wideband, 0.05 to 500 MHz
- rugged, shielded case
- low noise, 5.3 dB typ.
- protected by US Patent, 6,943,629

Applications

- instrumentation
- lab use
- VHF/UHF

ZFL-500

+RoHS Compliant

The +Suffix identifies RoHS Compliance. See our web site for RoHS Compliance methodologies and qualifications

> REV. B M125292 ZFL-500 131015
Page 1 of 2

Amplifier Electrical Specifications

Open load is not recommended, potentially can cause damage. With no load derate max input power by 20 dB

Maximum Ratings

anent damage may occur if any of these limits are excee

Outline Drawing

STANDARD

Outline Dimensions (imh **)**

Notes

A. Performance and quality attributes and conditions not expressly stated in this specification document are intended to be excluded and do not form a part of this specification document.
B. Electrical specifications and p

Typical Performance Data/Curves

Notes
A. Performance and quality attributes and conditions not expressly stated in this specification document are intended to be excluded and do not form a part of this specification document.
B. Electrical specifications

Example 1.5 Mini-Circuits[®]

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] D. McLaughlin, D. Pepyne, V. Chandrasekar, B. Philips, J. Kurose, M. Zink, K. Droegemeier, S. Cruz-Pol, F. Junyent, J. Brotzge, D. Westbrook, N. Bharadwaj, Y. Wang, E. Lyons, K. Hondl, Y. Liu, E. Knapp, M. Xue, A. Hopf, K. Kloesel, A. DeFonzo, P. Kollias, K. Brewster, R. Contreras, B. Dolan, T. Djaferis, E. Insanic, S. Frasier, and F. Carr, "Short-wavelength technology and the potential for distributed networks of small radar systems," Bulletin of the American Meterological Society, pp. 1797–1817, Dec. 2009.
- [2] M. Weadon, P. Heinselman, D. Forsyth, W. E. Benner, G. S. Torok, and J. Kimpel, "Multifunction phased array radar," Bulletin of the American Meterological Society, pp. 385–389, Mar. 2009.
- [3] F. Junyent Lopez, "The design, development and initial field deployment of an X-band polarimetric doppler weather radar," Master's thesis, University of Massachusetts Amherst, Sep. 2003.
- [4] K. Orzel, V. Venkatesh, T. Hartley, and S. Frasier, "Development and calibration of a X-band dual polarization phased array radar," in IEEE Radar Conference, Ottawa, Canada, May 2013.
- [5] V. Chandrasekar, H. Chen, B. Philips, D.-j. Seo, F. Junyent, A. Bajaj, M. Zink, J. Mcenery, Z. Sukheswalla, A. Cannon, E. Lyons, and D. Westbrook, "The CASA Dallas Fort Worth Remote Sensing Network ICT for Urban Disaster Mitigation," in EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts, Vienna, Austria, Apr. 2013, p. 6351.
- [6] K. Orzel, V. Venkatesh, R. Palumbo, R. Medina, J. Salazar, A. Krishnamurthy, E. Knapp, D. McLaughlin, R. Tessier, and S. Frasier, "Mobile X-band dual polarization phased-array radar: System requirements and development," in American Meterological Society 35th Conference on Radar Meteorology, Pittsburg, PA, Sep. 2011.
- [7] R. H. Medina, E. J. Knapp, J. L. Salazar, and D. J. McLaughlin, "T/R module for CASA phase-tilt radar antenna array," in 7th European Microwave Integrated Circuits Conferences, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, Oct. 2012, pp. 913–916.
- [8] J. L. Salazar Cerreño, "The feasability of low-cost, dual-polarized, phase-tilt antenna arrays for dense radar networks," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Massachusetts Amherst, Sep. 2012.
- [9] Y. Golestani, V. Chandrasekar, and R. J. Keeler, "Dual Polarized Staggered PRT Scheme for Weather Radars: Analysis and Applications," IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 239–246, Mar. 1995.
- [10] R. J. Doviak and D. S. Zrnić, *Doppler Radar and Weather Observations*, 2nd ed. Dover Publications, 1993.
- [11] V. N. Bringi and V. Chandrasekar, Polarimetric Doppler Weather Radar: Principles and Applications. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [12] S. J. Frasier, F. Kabeche, J. Figueras i Ventura, H. Al-Sakka, P. Tabary, J. Beck, and O. Bousquet, "In-place estimation of wet radome attenuation at X band," Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, vol. 30, pp. 917–928, May 2013.
- [13] J. D. Hunter, "Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment," Computing In Science & Engineering, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 90–95, 2007.
- [14] M. Heistermann, S. Jacobi, and T. Pfaff, "Technical note: An open source library for processing weather radar data (wradlib)," Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, vol. 17, pp. 863–871, Feb. 2013.
- [15] K. Orzel, L. Masiunas, T. Hartley, and S. Frasier, "Deployment of the X-band dual polarization phased array radar in the Dallas-Fort Worth Urban Demonstration Network," in Eigth European Conference on Radar in Meteorology and Hydrology, Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany, Sep. 2014.