## Dose-Response: An International Journal

Volume 9 | Issue 4 Article 12

12-2011

# **DEADLY RADON IN MONTANA?**

John Hart Sherman College of Chiropractic, Spartanburg, SC

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umass.edu/dose\_response

### Recommended Citation

 $Hart, John \ (2011) \ "DEADLY RADON IN MONTANA?," \textit{Dose-Response: An International Journal: Vol. 9: Iss. 4, Article 12.} \\ Available at: \ https://scholarworks.umass.edu/dose_response/vol9/iss4/12$ 

This Letter to the Editor is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. It has been accepted for inclusion in Dose-Response: An International Journal by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. For more information, please contact scholarworks@library.umass.edu.

Dose-Response, 9:619–620, 2011 Formerly Nonlinearity in Biology, Toxicology, and Medicine Copyright © 2011 University of Massachusetts ISSN: 1559-3258 DOI: 10.2203/dose-response.11-051.Hart



#### **DEADLY RADON IN MONTANA?**

John Hart 

Sherman College of Chiropractic

In his letter, Puskin (2011) criticizes ecological measurements for radon concentration that were used in my analyses (Hart, 2011a, b) but then cites a study (Turner et al, 2011) to support his view that also used ecological measurements for radon estimates. In the other study that Puskin cites, namely Darby et al, 2005, it is unclear how the radon measurements were obtained. Indeed one peculiar statement is found in this latter citation: "For homes where radon measurements were unobtainable, we estimated the concentration from measurements in the homes of controls" [emphasis added] (Darby, 2005).

Case control and ecological studies on radon share a common weakness. Rather than determining *actual individual absorptions*, both designs provide *estimates of population exposures*, though case control estimates are purportedly more individualized (e.g., measurements taken from individual homes). On the other hand, direct evidence, in the form of actual individual absorptions, along with corresponding clinical findings, is available for those who are interested. Radium, which decays by alpha emission to radon (U.S. EPA, 2011a), has been found to have a relatively large margin of safety. This margin of safety was found in a study of approximately 500 persons who had skeletal mean doses of < 1000 cumulative rads, yet they had "no signs or symptoms of clinically significant radiogenic effects" (Evans, 1974).

Puskin also notes the confounding effect that smoking can have on data analysis. However, my comments (Hart, 2011a, b) were made in regard to "deadly radon" (Schontzler, 2010) rather than "deadly radon when confounded by smoking." Indeed, the U.S. EPA states, without qualifying the confounding effect of smoking in the statement, that "overall, radon is the second leading cause of lung cancer" (U.S. EPA, 2011b).

#### REFERENCES

Darby S, Hill D, Auvinen A, Barros-Dios JM, Baysson H et al. 2005. Radon in homes and risk of lung cancer: collaborative analysis of individual data from 13 European case-control studies. *British Medical Journal*. 330: 223-227.

Evans RD. 1974. Radium in man. Health Physics. 27:497-510.

Address correspondence to John Hart, DC, MHSc, Assistant Director of Research, Sherman College of Chiropractic, P.O. Box 1452, Spartanburg, SC 29304; Phone: 864-578-8770, ext. 232; Email: jhart@sherman.edu

#### J. Hart

- Hart J. 2011a. Deadly radon in Montana? [Letter]. Dose Response. 9(2):293-295.
- Hart J. 2011b Deadly radon in Montana? A Rebuttal. [Letter]. Dose Response. 9(2):299-300.
- Puskin JS. 2011. Deadly radon in Montana? Dose Response. 9(2):442.
- Schontzler G. 2010 (Sept 12). MSU nursing professor wins \$350,000 to fight deadly radon. *Bozeman Daily Chronicle*. [Cited 2010 Sept 15]. Available from: http://www.bozemandailychronicle.com/news/article\_dc155cf2-be33-11df-96cc-001cc4c03286.html
- Turner MC, Krewski D, Chen Y, Pope CA, Gapstur S, Thun MJ. 2011. Radon and lung cancer in the American Cancer Society Cohort. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention*. 20: 438-448.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Radium. 2011a. [Cited 2011 Oct 11]. Available from: http://www.epa.gov/rpdweb00/radionuclides/radium.html
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Health risks. 2011b. [Cited 2011 Oct 4]. Available from: http://www.epa.gov/radon/healthrisks.html