**Original Article** 

# Crossed cerebellar diaschisis in acute ischemic stroke: Impact on morphologic and functional outcome

Wolfgang G Kunz<sup>1</sup>, Wieland H Sommer<sup>1</sup>, Christopher Höhne<sup>2</sup>, Matthias P Fabritius<sup>1</sup>, Felix Schuler<sup>1</sup>, Franziska Dorn<sup>3</sup>, Ahmed E Othman<sup>4</sup>, Felix G Meinel<sup>1</sup>, Louisa von Baumgarten<sup>2</sup>, Maximilian F Reiser<sup>1</sup>, Birgit Ertl-Wagner<sup>1</sup> and Kolja M Thierfelder<sup>1</sup>

# ICBFM

brought to you by

CORE

Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism 2017, Vol. 37(11) 3615–3624 © Author(s) 2017 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/0271678X16686594 journals.sagepub.com/home/jcbfm



#### Abstract

Crossed cerebellar diaschisis (CCD) is the phenomenon of hypoperfusion and hypometabolism of the contralateral cerebellar hemisphere caused by dysfunction of the related supratentorial region. Our aim was to analyze its influence on morphologic and functional outcome in acute ischemic stroke. Subjects with stroke caused by a large vessel occlusion of the anterior circulation were selected from an initial cohort of 1644 consecutive patients who underwent multiparametric CT including whole-brain CT perfusion. Two experienced readers evaluated the posterior fossa in terms of CCD absence (CCD–) or presence (CCD+). A total of 156 patients formed the study cohort with 102 patients (65.4%) categorized as CCD– and 54 (34.6%) as CCD+. In linear and logistic regression analyses, no significant association between CCD and final infarction volume ( $\beta = -0.440$ , p = 0.972), discharge mRS  $\leq 2$  (OR = 1.897, p = 0.320), or 90-day mRS  $\leq 2$  (OR = 0.531, p = 0.492) was detected. CCD+ patients had larger supratentorial cerebral blood flow deficits (median: 164 ml vs. 115 ml; p = 0.001) compared to CCD–patients. Regarding complications, CCD was associated with a higher rate of parenchymal hematomas (OR = 4.793, p = 0.035). In conclusion, CCD is frequently encountered in acute ischemic stroke caused by large vessel occlusion of the anterior circulation. CCD was associated with the occurrence of parenchymal hematoma in the ipsilateral cerebral infarction but did not prove to significantly influence patient outcome.

#### **Keywords**

Acute stroke, brain recovery, cerebral blood flow, intracranial hemorrhage, neuroradiology

Received 28 July 2016; Revised 25 October 2016; Accepted 26 November 2016

# Introduction

Crossed cerebellar diaschisis (CCD) is the phenomenon of a decreased cerebellar perfusion and glucose metabolism secondary to a supratentorial malfunction of brain tissue in the contralateral hemisphere, first described by Baron et al.<sup>1–3</sup> It can be detected through changes in electrical activity, cerebral blood flow, or cerebral metabolic rates for glucose and oxygen using methods such as electroencephalography, singlephoton emission-computed tomography (SPECT), or positron emission tomography (PET).<sup>4,5</sup> CCD has been reported in supratentorial tumors,<sup>6</sup> epilepsy,<sup>7</sup> encephalitis,<sup>8</sup> and cerebral infarction.<sup>9</sup> Animal studies suggest that CCD is explained by deactivation of cerebellar neurons caused by reduction of excitatory impulses via the corticopontocerebellar tract.<sup>5,10</sup> In

#### **Corresponding author:**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Radiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Hospital Munich, Munich, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Hospital Munich, Munich, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Neuroradiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Hospital Munich, Munich, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Eberhard Karls University, Tuebingen, Germany

Wieland H Sommer, Institute for Clinical Radiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Hospital Munich, Marchioninistr. 15, Munich 81377, Germany. Email: wieland.sommer@med.lmu.de

ischemic stroke, CCD has been observed during the acute, subacute, and chronic phase.<sup>11,12</sup> Current scientific concepts attribute acute CCD to functional neuronal deafferentiation, whereas chronic CCD probably reflects a state of transneuronal degeneration.<sup>13</sup> In ischemic stroke due to large anterior circulation vessel occlusion, CCD has been shown to be present in about one-third of the affected patients in the acute phase.<sup>14,15</sup>

While the original definition of CCD was based on the idea that it is a transient condition,<sup>16</sup> several publications have reported CCD up to decades after the index stroke.<sup>17-20</sup> Regarding the reversibility of CCD, however, several serial SPECT and PET studies demonstrated that some patients show complete reversal of CCD during follow-up.<sup>11,13,21–23</sup> With respect to functional outcome, the majority of studies in stroke patients using SPECT or PET investigated subacute and chronic CCD, reflecting the fact that these imaging methods are not eligible to routinely assess perfusion in the acute stroke setting. Several studies with relatively small sample sizes report correlations with clinical severity scales for subacute<sup>11,13,24,25</sup> and chronic CCD.<sup>26,27</sup> Yet, the two largest studies on subacute CCD report no independent predictive value after statistical correction for the infarct hypoperfusion volume.<sup>28,29</sup> For acute CCD, no correlations to clinical severity scales were established so far.<sup>11,25,26</sup> However, current sample sizes are too small to draw general conclusions regarding its clinical impact.

Unlike SPECT and PET, computed tomography perfusion imaging is a method that reliably demonstrates areas of hypoperfusion in clinical routine stroke workup.<sup>30</sup> Advances in imaging technology meanwhile allow to cover the entire brain tissue at a reasonable radiation dose (whole-brain CT perfusion, WB-CTP).<sup>31</sup> While recent WB-CTP studies on stroke patients have shown the occurrence of CCD in the acute phase to be dependent on the location of the supratentorial perfusion deficit and the severity of supratentorial hypoperfusion,<sup>14</sup> the key question of the clinical impact of CCD in acute ischemic stroke remains unanswered.

Therefore, the aim of the present whole-brain CT perfusion study was to determine the influence of CCD occurrence in the acute phase on morphologic and functional outcome in patients with acute ischemic stroke due to large anterior circulation vessel occlusion.

#### Material and methods

#### Study design and population

The institutional review board of the LMU Munich (Ethikkommission der Medizinischen Fakultät der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München) approved this retrospective study according to the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 (and as revised in 2013) and waived requirement for informed consent. Our initial cohort consisted of 1644 consecutive patients who had undergone WB-CTP due to suspected stroke between April 2009 and June 2014.

Out of this cohort, we included all subjects with

- (1) occlusion of the internal carotid (ICA), carotid T and/or middle cerebral artery (MCA),
- (2) perfusion deficit in the MCA territory on WB-CTP, and
- (3) follow-up confirmed ischemic infarction.

We excluded patients with

- any abnormality of the posterior vasculature on CT angiography (CTA),
- (2) cerebellar infarction or other cerebellar pathology on initial or follow-up imaging,
- (3) missing follow-up imaging, or
- (4) incomplete coverage of the cerebellum or non-diagnostic quality of WB-CTP.

Out of the initial 1644 patients, 323 patients had an ICA, carotid T, or MCA occlusion. Out of these, 20 patients with missing follow-up imaging, 89 with abnormalities of the posterior circulation, 14 with pathologies of the cerebellum, 6 with acute cerebellar infarction on follow-up imaging and 38 with incomplete cerebellar coverage or non-diagnostic quality of WB-CTP were excluded. The remaining 156 patients formed the final study population.

# CT acquisition, CT perfusion processing and follow-up imaging

All patients underwent a standardized multiparametric CT protocol consisting of non-enhanced CT (NECT), single-phase CT angiography (spCTA), and WB-CTP. The acquisition protocol has been described in detail before.<sup>32</sup> The source image processing was performed with SYNGO Volume Perfusion CT Neuro software using a semi-automated deconvolution algorithm (Auto Stroke MTT) on a dedicated workstation (Syngo MMWP, VA 21A; Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany). A series of 31 color-coded slices was generated for each of the hemodynamic parameters cerebral blood flow (CBF), cerebral blood volume (CBV), mean transit time (MTT), time to drain (TTD), and time to peak (TTP). Follow-up imaging was performed with MRI in 56% and NECT in 44% of patients as previously described.<sup>14</sup> The median time from initial WB-CTP imaging to follow-up imaging was two days for MRI (range: 1-49) and one day for NECT (range: 1-16).

#### Image analysis

The assessment of presence of CCD was performed qualitatively by two independent readers (one neurologist with nine years and one radiologist with seven years of experience in CTP reading, respectively) blinded to all clinical data and the location of supratentorial infarctions by cropping all images to the posterior fossa. In case of disagreement, a consensus was reached in a separate session. Only perfusion anomalies in the cerebellar hemisphere contralateral to the supratentorial lesion were counted as CCD positive. Figure 1 shows representative examples of CCD- and CCD+ patients.

The extent of the supratentorial ischemic region was assessed on NECT using the semi-quantitative Alberta Stroke Program Early Computed Tomography Score (ASPECTS).<sup>33</sup> CBF and CBV deficit volume and final infarction volume were determined as previously described.<sup>31</sup> The primary morphologic outcome parameter was final infarction volume. As secondary morphologic outcome, we determined parameters that represent the morphologic course from ischemia to infarction using an approach comparable to previous studies.<sup>34–37</sup> To quantify the change from the initial hemodynamic impairment to the final infarcted tissue, we used the following calculated parameters as surrogates: [final infarction volume/CBF deficit volume], [final infarction volume/(CBF deficit volume - CBV deficit volume)], and [CBF deficit volume - final infarction volume]. The rationale of these parameters is illustrated in supplementary Figure 1. All available follow-up imaging prior to discharge was assessed for subacute stroke complications. Hemorrhagic infarction and parenchymal hematoma were categorized as type I and II according to the European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study (ECASS) criteria.<sup>38</sup> Parenchymal hematoma type II development has a negative influence on functional outcome.<sup>39</sup> Intracranial hemorrhage (ICH) remote to the infarction area was classified as extraischemic. The presence of space-occupying edema was defined as previously described and assessed using follow-up NECT on day  $3 \pm 2.40$ 

### Acute stroke therapy

Intravenous thrombolysis (IVT) was administered to eligible patients at a dose of 0.9 mg/kg bodyweight



**Figure 1.** Examples of CCD- and CCD+ patients. Patient examples of acute ischemic stroke without and with signs of crossed cerebellar diaschisis (CCD). NECT, supratentorial CBF map, infratentorial CBF and MTT map, and follow-up DWI are depicted from for a CCD- (a) and a CCD+ patient (b). NECT: non-enhanced CT; CBF: cerebral blood flow; MTT; mean transit time; DWI: diffusion-weighted imaging.

lation therapy was halted for 24 h. Endovascular therapy (EVT) was performed as a mechanical stent retriever thrombectomy procedure either under general anesthesia or, whenever deemed appropriate by the interventional neuroradiologist and the anesthesiologist, under conscious sedation. All procedures were performed in a triaxial fashion using a distal access catheter and a microcatheter to deploy a stent retriever device. All used stent retrievers in this cohort were latest generation devices (Solitaire, ev3 Neurovascular, Irvine, CA, USA; Preset, phenox GmbH, Bochum, Germany; Trevo, Concentric Medical, Mountain View, CA, USA). After affirmation of recanalization, the catheter material was removed.

If IVT was given, preexisting antiplatelet or anticoagu-

In general, therapy with aspirin (100 mg/day) was initiated immediately after admission to the stroke unit. Exclusion criteria were intracerebral hemorrhage and IVT administration. In case of IVT, aspirin therapy was suspended for at least 24 h and initiated only after repeated imaging to exclude IVT-related hemorrhage. In case of cardioembolic stroke, the decision to start anticoagulation was made individually depending on the size of infarction.

# Functional outcome data

The functional outcome evaluation in this study was based on the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score<sup>41</sup> determined on admission and the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score<sup>42</sup> assessed on admission, on discharge and 90 days after the stroke event. Furthermore, the premorbid mRS score representing patient disability prior to the current stroke event was estimated by taking detailed medical history of the patient whenever possible. Patients were excluded from the functional outcome analysis in case of premorbid mRS > 1, missing clinical documentation, second stroke event, or death to other cause within 90 days. None of the included patients had a history of premorbid ischemic injury to the ipsilateral MCA territory. Detailed characteristics of excluded patients are provided in supplementary Table 1.

# Statistical analysis

We performed all statistical analyses using SPSS Statistics 23 (IBM, Armonk/NY, USA). Normal

distribution was evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. In case of non-normal distribution, we applied the Chi-squared test for categorical and the Mann-Whitney-U test for continuous variables to identify significant differences between patients classified as CCD+ and CCD-. Univariate linear regression analysis was used to test the association between predictors and continuous morphologic outcome variables. Logistic binary regression analysis was used between predictors and the categorical functional outcome variables. All metric and normally distributed variables are reported as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation: non-normally distributed variables are presented as median (interquartile range). Categorical variables are presented as frequency and percentage. P values below 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

# Results

#### Patient characteristics

A total of 156 patients were included for WB-CTP reading and statistical analysis. Mean age was 73 years (IQR: 58-82). Sixty-nine (44%) patients were male. Out of the 156 evaluated patients, 102 were classified CCD negative (65.4%), and 54 (34.6%) CCD positive. CCD + patients showed significantly larger supratentorial CBF and CBV deficit volumes compared to CCD- patients (each with p < 0.001). No statistically significant differences between these two groups were found in terms of time from symptom onset, NIHSS on admission, ASPECTS, final infarction volume, cardiovascular risk factors or etiology of stroke (each with p > 0.05). Simply comparing the two groups, CCD+ patients had worse discharge mRS scores (p = 0.008). Detailed characteristics of CCD+ and CCD- patients are shown in Table 1.

# Association of CCD with morphologic outcome

For the analysis of morphologic outcome, all 156 patients were included. In the linear regression analysis, no statistically significant associations were evident between the presence of CCD and any of the morphologic outcome parameters (each with p > 0.05). Results are shown in Table 2. Additional per-patient plots of CBF deficit and final infarction volume stratified for treatment groups and CCD status are provided in supplementary Figure 2.

# Association of CCD with functional outcome

According to our exclusion criteria for the analysis of functional outcome, we excluded patients with premorbid mRS > 1 (N = 16), missing documentation (N = 5),

Table 1. Characteristics of CCD- and CCD+ acute ischemic stroke patients.

Patient dataAge73 (58–82)72 (55–81)74 (67–82)Male sex69 (44.2%)45 (44.1%)24 (44.4%)Time from symptom onset153 (103–282)164 (103–301)147 (98–268)NIHSS on admission14 (9–17)13 (8–16)15 (11–17)TreatmentIV thrombolysis102 (66.7%)64 (64.6%)38 (70.4%)Endovascular therapy57 (37.3%)37 (37.4%)20 (37.0%)ImagingASPECTS9 (7–10)9 (7–10)9 (7–9)Occluded vesselsICA57 (36.5%)39 (38.2%)18 (33.3%)Carotid T13 (8.3%)9 (8.8%)4 (7.4%)MI segment of MCA87 (55.8%)55 (53.9%)32 (59.3%)M2 segment of MCA6 (3.8%)4 (3.9%)2 (3.7%)CBF deficit volume133 (86–191)115 (67–181)164 (123–205)CBV deficit volume43 (12–87)25 (8–83)50 (28–95)CBF-CBV mismatch %65 (39–85)65 (39–89)64 (42–79)Final infarction volume33 (10–85)23 (7–83)53 (12–122)Functional outcome	0.069 0.969 0.658
Age73 (58–82)72 (55–81)74 (67–82)Male sex69 (44.2%)45 (44.1%)24 (44.4%)Time from symptom onset153 (103–282)164 (103–301)147 (98–268)NIHSS on admission14 (9–17)13 (8–16)15 (11–17)TreatmentIV thrombolysis102 (66.7%)64 (64.6%)38 (70.4%)Endovascular therapy57 (37.3%)37 (37.4%)20 (37.0%)ImagingASPECTS9 (7–10)9 (7–10)9 (7–9)Occluded vesselsICA57 (36.5%)39 (38.2%)18 (33.3%)Carotid T13 (8.3%)9 (8.8%)4 (7.4%)M1 segment of MCA87 (55.8%)55 (53.9%)32 (59.3%)M2 segment of MCA6 (3.8%)4 (3.9%)2 (3.7%)CBF deficit volume133 (86–191)115 (67–181)164 (123–205)CBF deficit volume43 (12–87)25 (8–83)50 (28–95)CBF-CBV mismatch %65 (39–85)65 (39–89)64 (42–79)Final infarction volume33 (10–85)23 (7–83)53 (12–122)Functional outcome	0.069 0.969 0.658
Male sex $69 (44.2\%)$ $45 (44.1\%)$ $24 (44.4\%)$ Time from symptom onset $153 (103-282)$ $164 (103-301)$ $147 (98-268)$ NIHSS on admission $14 (9-17)$ $13 (8-16)$ $15 (11-17)$ TreatmentIV thrombolysis $102 (66.7\%)$ $64 (64.6\%)$ $38 (70.4\%)$ Endovascular therapy $57 (37.3\%)$ $37 (37.4\%)$ $20 (37.0\%)$ Imaging ASPECTS $9 (7-10)$ $9 (7-10)$ $9 (7-9)$ Occluded vessels $ICA$ $57 (36.5\%)$ $39 (38.2\%)$ $18 (33.3\%)$ Carotid T $13 (8.3\%)$ $9 (8.8\%)$ $4 (7.4\%)$ MI segment of MCA $87 (55.8\%)$ $55 (53.9\%)$ $32 (59.3\%)$ M2 segment of MCA $6 (3.8\%)$ $4 (3.9\%)$ $2 (3.7\%)$ CBF deficit volume $133 (86-191)$ $115 (67-181)$ $164 (123-205)$ CBV deficit volume $43 (12-87)$ $25 (8-83)$ $50 (28-95)$ CBF-CBV mismatch % $65 (39-85)$ $65 (39-89)$ $64 (42-79)$ Final infarction volume $33 (10-85)$ $23 (7-83)$ $53 (12-122)$ Functional outcome	0.969 0.658
Time from symptom onset $153 (103-282)$ $164 (103-301)$ $147 (98-268)$ NIHSS on admission $14 (9-17)$ $13 (8-16)$ $15 (11-17)$ TreatmentIVIVIVIVIV thrombolysis $102 (66.7\%)$ $64 (64.6\%)$ $38 (70.4\%)$ Endovascular therapy $57 (37.3\%)$ $37 (37.4\%)$ $20 (37.0\%)$ ImagingIVIVIVIVASPECTS $9 (7-10)$ $9 (7-10)$ $9 (7-9)$ Occluded vesselsICA $57 (36.5\%)$ $39 (38.2\%)$ $18 (33.3\%)$ Carotid T $13 (8.3\%)$ $9 (8.8\%)$ $4 (7.4\%)$ MI segment of MCA $87 (55.8\%)$ $55 (53.9\%)$ $32 (59.3\%)$ M2 segment of MCA $35 (22.4\%)$ $23 (22.5\%)$ $12 (22.2\%)$ M3 segment of MCA $6 (3.8\%)$ $4 (3.9\%)$ $2 (3.7\%)$ CBF deficit volume $133 (86-191)$ $115 (67-181)$ $164 (123-205)$ CBF-CBV mismatch % $65 (39-85)$ $65 (39-89)$ $64 (42-79)$ Final infarction volume $33 (10-85)$ $23 (7-83)$ $53 (12-122)$ Functional outcomeIVIVIVIV	0.658
NIHSS on admission   14 (9–17)   13 (8–16)   15 (11–17)     Treatment   IV   IV thrombolysis   102 (66.7%)   64 (64.6%)   38 (70.4%)     Endovascular therapy   57 (37.3%)   37 (37.4%)   20 (37.0%)     Imaging   Imaging <td></td>	
Treatment     IV thrombolysis   102 (66.7%)   64 (64.6%)   38 (70.4%)     Endovascular therapy   57 (37.3%)   37 (37.4%)   20 (37.0%)     Imaging   ASPECTS   9 (7–10)   9 (7–10)   9 (7–9)     Occluded vessels   1CA   57 (36.5%)   39 (38.2%)   18 (33.3%)     Carotid T   13 (8.3%)   9 (8.8%)   4 (7.4%)     M1 segment of MCA   87 (55.8%)   55 (53.9%)   32 (59.3%)     M2 segment of MCA   35 (22.4%)   23 (22.5%)   12 (22.2%)     M3 segment of MCA   6 (3.8%)   4 (3.9%)   2 (3.7%)     CBF deficit volume   133 (86–191)   115 (67–181)   164 (123–205)     CBF deficit volume   43 (12–87)   25 (8–83)   50 (28–95)     CBF-CBV mismatch %   65 (39–85)   65 (39–89)   64 (42–79)     Final infarction volume   33 (10–85)   23 (7–83)   53 (12–122)     Functional outcome   20 (0.0)   0 (0.0)   0 (0.0)	0.108
IV thrombolysis   I02 (66.7%)   64 (64.6%)   38 (70.4%)     Endovascular therapy   57 (37.3%)   37 (37.4%)   20 (37.0%)     Imaging ASPECTS   9 (7–10)   9 (7–10)   9 (7–9)     Occluded vessels   ICA   57 (36.5%)   39 (38.2%)   18 (33.3%)     Carotid T   I3 (8.3%)   9 (8.8%)   4 (7.4%)     MI segment of MCA   87 (55.8%)   55 (53.9%)   32 (59.3%)     M2 segment of MCA   35 (22.4%)   23 (22.5%)   12 (22.2%)     M3 segment of MCA   6 (3.8%)   4 (3.9%)   2 (3.7%)     CBF deficit volume   I33 (86–191)   I15 (67–181)   I64 (123–205)     CBV deficit volume   43 (12–87)   25 (8–83)   50 (28–95)     CBF-CBV mismatch %   65 (39–85)   65 (39–89)   64 (42–79)     Final infarction volume   33 (10–85)   23 (7–83)   53 (12–122)     Functional outcome   2 (0.0)   0 (0.0)   0 (0.0)	
Endovascular therapy   57 (37.3%)   37 (37.4%)   20 (37.0%)     Imaging ASPECTS   9 (7–10)   9 (7–10)   9 (7–9)     Occluded vessels   ICA   57 (36.5%)   39 (38.2%)   18 (33.3%)     Carotid T   I3 (8.3%)   9 (8.8%)   4 (7.4%)     M1 segment of MCA   87 (55.8%)   55 (53.9%)   32 (59.3%)     M2 segment of MCA   35 (22.4%)   23 (22.5%)   12 (22.2%)     M3 segment of MCA   6 (3.8%)   4 (3.9%)   2 (3.7%)     CBF deficit volume   I33 (86–191)   I15 (67–181)   I64 (123–205)     CBV deficit volume   43 (12–87)   25 (8–83)   50 (28–95)     CBF-CBV mismatch %   65 (39–85)   65 (39–89)   64 (42–79)     Final infarction volume   33 (10–85)   23 (7–83)   53 (12–122)     Functional outcome   Encel With DSC   0 (0.0)   0 (0.0)   0 (0.0)	0.473
Imaging ASPECTS   9 (7–10)   9 (7–10)   9 (7–9)     Occluded vessels   ICA   57 (36.5%)   39 (38.2%)   18 (33.3%)     Carotid T   I3 (8.3%)   9 (8.8%)   4 (7.4%)     M1 segment of MCA   87 (55.8%)   55 (53.9%)   32 (59.3%)     M2 segment of MCA   35 (22.4%)   23 (22.5%)   12 (22.2%)     M3 segment of MCA   6 (3.8%)   4 (3.9%)   2 (3.7%)     CBF deficit volume   I33 (86–191)   I15 (67–181)   164 (123–205)     CBV deficit volume   43 (12–87)   25 (8–83)   50 (28–95)     CBF-CBV mismatch %   65 (39–85)   65 (39–89)   64 (42–79)     Final infarction volume   33 (10–85)   23 (7–83)   53 (12–122)	0.996
ASPECTS 9 (7-10) 9 (7-10) 9 (7-9)   Occluded vessels ICA 57 (36.5%) 39 (38.2%) 18 (33.3%)   Carotid T I3 (8.3%) 9 (8.8%) 4 (7.4%)   M1 segment of MCA 87 (55.8%) 55 (53.9%) 32 (59.3%)   M2 segment of MCA 35 (22.4%) 23 (22.5%) 12 (22.2%)   M3 segment of MCA 6 (3.8%) 4 (3.9%) 2 (3.7%)   CBF deficit volume I33 (86–191) I15 (67–181) I64 (123–205)   CBV deficit volume 43 (12–87) 25 (8–83) 50 (28–95)   CBF-CBV mismatch % 65 (39–85) 65 (39–89) 64 (42–79)   Final infarction volume 33 (10–85) 23 (7–83) 53 (12–122)	0.172
Occluded vessels ICA 57 (36.5%) 39 (38.2%) 18 (33.3%)   Carotid T I3 (8.3%) 9 (8.8%) 4 (7.4%)   MI segment of MCA 87 (55.8%) 55 (53.9%) 32 (59.3%)   M2 segment of MCA 35 (22.4%) 23 (22.5%) 12 (22.2%)   M3 segment of MCA 6 (3.8%) 4 (3.9%) 2 (3.7%)   CBF deficit volume I33 (86–191) I15 (67–181) I64 (123–205)   CBV deficit volume 43 (12–87) 25 (8–83) 50 (28–95)   CBF-CBV mismatch % 65 (39–85) 65 (39–89) 64 (42–79)   Final infarction volume 33 (10–85) 23 (7–83) 53 (12–122)	0.163
ICA 57 (36.5%) 39 (38.2%) 18 (33.3%)   Carotid T 13 (8.3%) 9 (8.8%) 4 (7.4%)   MI segment of MCA 87 (55.8%) 55 (53.9%) 32 (59.3%)   M2 segment of MCA 35 (22.4%) 23 (22.5%) 12 (22.2%)   M3 segment of MCA 6 (3.8%) 4 (3.9%) 2 (3.7%)   CBF deficit volume 133 (86–191) 115 (67–181) 164 (123–205)   CBV deficit volume 43 (12–87) 25 (8–83) 50 (28–95)   CBF-CBV mismatch % 65 (39–85) 65 (39–89) 64 (42–79)   Final infarction volume 33 (10–85) 23 (7–83) 53 (12–122)	0 5 4 5
Carolid T 13 (8.3%) 7 (6.8%) 4 (7.4%)   MI segment of MCA 87 (55.8%) 55 (53.9%) 32 (59.3%)   M2 segment of MCA 35 (22.4%) 23 (22.5%) 12 (22.2%)   M3 segment of MCA 6 (3.8%) 4 (3.9%) 2 (3.7%)   CBF deficit volume 133 (86–191) 115 (67–181) 164 (123–205)   CBV deficit volume 43 (12–87) 25 (8–83) 50 (28–95)   CBF-CBV mismatch % 65 (39–85) 65 (39–89) 64 (42–79)   Final infarction volume 33 (10–85) 23 (7–83) 53 (12–122)	0.545
M1 segment of MCA 87 (53.8%) 55 (53.9%) 32 (59.3%)   M2 segment of MCA 35 (22.4%) 23 (22.5%) 12 (22.2%)   M3 segment of MCA 6 (3.8%) 4 (3.9%) 2 (3.7%)   CBF deficit volume 133 (86–191) 115 (67–181) 164 (123–205)   CBV deficit volume 43 (12–87) 25 (8–83) 50 (28–95)   CBF-CBV mismatch % 65 (39–85) 65 (39–89) 64 (42–79)   Final infarction volume 33 (10–85) 23 (7–83) 53 (12–122)	0.701
M2 segment of MCA 35 (22.4%) 23 (22.5%) 12 (22.2%)   M3 segment of MCA 6 (3.8%) 4 (3.9%) 2 (3.7%)   CBF deficit volume 133 (86–191) 115 (67–181) 164 (123–205)   CBV deficit volume 43 (12–87) 25 (8–83) 50 (28–95)   CBF-CBV mismatch % 65 (39–85) 65 (39–89) 64 (42–79)   Final infarction volume 33 (10–85) 23 (7–83) 53 (12–122)	0.523
M3 segment of MCA 6 (3.8%) 4 (3.9%) 2 (5.7%)   CBF deficit volume 133 (86–191) 115 (67–181) 164 (123–205)   CBV deficit volume 43 (12–87) 25 (8–83) 50 (28–95)   CBF-CBV mismatch % 65 (39–85) 65 (39–89) 64 (42–79)   Final infarction volume 33 (10–85) 23 (7–83) 53 (12–122)   Functional outcome 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	0.963
CBF deficit volume 133 (86–191) 115 (67–181) 164 (123–205)   CBV deficit volume 43 (12–87) 25 (8–83) 50 (28–95)   CBF-CBV mismatch % 65 (39–85) 65 (39–89) 64 (42–79)   Final infarction volume 33 (10–85) 23 (7–83) 53 (12–122)   Functional outcome	0.946
CBV deficit volume 43 (12–87) 25 (8–83) 50 (28–95)   CBF-CBV mismatch % 65 (39–85) 65 (39–89) 64 (42–79)   Final infarction volume 33 (10–85) 23 (7–83) 53 (12–122)   Functional outcome 0 (0,0) 0 (0,0) 0 (0,0)	0.001
CBF-CBV mismatch % 65 (39–85) 65 (39–89) 64 (42–79)   Final infarction volume 33 (10–85) 23 (7–83) 53 (12–122)   Functional outcome 0 (0, 0) 0 (0, 0) 0 (0, 0)	0.007
Final infarction volume   33 (10–85)   23 (7–83)   53 (12–122)     Functional outcome   0 (0, 0)   0 (0, 0)   0 (0, 0)	0.456
Functional outcome	0.106
Premorbia mks $0 (0-0) 0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)$	0.936
Admission mRS 5 (4–5) 5 (4–5) 5 (4–5)	0.762
Discharge mRS 4 (3–5) 4 (2–5) 5 (4–5)	0.008
90-day mRS 4 (1-6) 3 (1-5) 4 (3-6)	0.183
Cardiovascular risk factors	
Hypertension 105 (70.0%) 66 (68.0%) 39 (73.6%)	0.479
Atrial fibrillation   73 (48.7%)   45 (46.4%)   28 (52.8%)	0.451
Diabetes mellitus   27 (18.0%)   14 (14.4%)   13 (24.5%)	0.124
Smoking   38 (25.3%)   26 (26.8%)   12 (22.6%)	0.575
Hypercholesteremia   48 (32.0%)   29 (29.9%)   19 (35.8%)	0.455
Etiology of stroke	
Cardioembolic 80 (53.3%) 49 (50.5%) 31 (58.5%)	0.349
Arterio-arterial   38 (25.3%)   24 (24.7%)   14 (26.4%)	0.822
Other 17 (11.3%) 14 (14.4%) 3 (5.7%)	0.105
Unknown 17 (11.3%) 10 (10.3%) 7 (13.2%)	0.592
Complications Hemorrhagic infarction	0.363
Type I 26 (16.7%) 14 (13.7%) 12 (22.2%)	
Type 2 18 (11.5%) 13 (12.7%) 5 (9.3%)	
Parenchymal hematoma	0.026
Type I I2 (7.7%) 5 (4.9%) 7 (13.0%)	
Type 2 2 (1.3%) 0 (0.0%) 2 (3.7%)	
Extraischemic ICH 9 (5.8%) 6 (5.9%) 3 (5.6%)	
Space-occupying edema 30 (19.2%) 19 (18.6%) 11 (20.4%)	0.934

CCD: crossed cerebellar diaschisis; NIHSS: national institutes of health stroke scale; ASPECTS: Alberta stroke program early CT score; ICA: internal carotid artery; MCA: middle cerebral artery; CBF/CBV: cerebral blood flow/volume; mRS: modified Rankin Scale; ICH: intracranial hemorrhage. Note: Values presented are count (percentage) for categorical and median (interquartile range) for ordinal or continuous variables. Proportion analysis tests for categorical variables were performed using the  $\chi^2$  test. Nonparametric tests for non-normally distributed continuous variables were performed using the Mann–Whitney U test, and for ordinal variables using the independent samples median test. Time parameters are measured in minutes; volume parameters are measured in mL. Bold p values indicate statistical significance.

Morphologic outcome	Final infarction <sup>a</sup>		Final infarction/ CBF deficit <sup>a</sup>		Final infarction/ Penumbra <sup>a,b</sup>		CBF deficit – Final infarction <sup>a</sup>	
Independent variables	β	Þ	β	Þ	β	Þ	β	Þ
Age	-0.660	0.116	-0.002	0.593	-0.059	0.018	0.660	0.116
Sex	3.331	0.787	0.011	0.931	0.651	0.373	-3.33I	0.787
NIHSS on admission	2.127	0.032	0.011	0.295	0.012	0.843	-2.127	0.032
ASPECTS	-6.410	0.061	-0.037	0.298	-0.I37	0.496	6.410	0.061
CBF deficit volume	-0.214	0.162	-0.003	0.054	-0.012	0.192	1.214	< <b>0.00 l</b>
CBV deficit volume	1.410	<0.00 l	0.001	0.748	0.018	0.309	-1.410	< <b>0.00 l</b>
CBF-CBV mismatch %	0.795	0.125	-0.008	0.119	-0.06 I	0.048	-0.795	0.125
IV thrombolysis	9.815	0.440	-0.017	0.895	1.186	0.116	-9.815	0.440
Endovascular therapy	-48.198	<0.00 l	-0.202	0.090	-1.301	0.054	48.198	< <b>0.00 l</b>
CCD	-0.440	0.972	0.004	0.975	-0.437	0.552	0.440	0.972
Functional outcome	Admission $mRS \leq 2^{c}$		Discharge $mRS \leq 2^{c}$		Discharge mRS $\leq$ 4 <sup>c</sup>		90-day mRS $\leq$ 2 <sup>c</sup>	
Independent variables	OR	Þ	OR	Þ	OR	Þ	OR	Þ
Age	1.046	0.303	0.966	0.070	0.997	0.880	0.963	0.269
Sex	0.167	0.244	0.402	0.098	0.546	0.224	0.630	0.553
NIHSS on admission	0.438	0.008	0.823	0.003	0.905	0.033	0.782	0.041
ASPECTS	0.557	0.394	1.009	0.963	0.825	0.204	0.960	0.917
CBF deficit volume	0.983	0.321	0.998	0.761	0.995	0.441	1.009	0.462
CBV deficit volume	1.070	0.145	0.978	0.243	0.989	0.459	0.983	0.564
CBF-CBV mismatch %	1.099	0.152	0.961	0.114	0.997	0.878	0.987	0.756
Final infarction volume	0.981	0.285	0.983	0.059	0.990	0.022	0.895	0.026
IV thrombolysis	2.207	0.615	10.400	0.003	1.704	0.327	1.512	0.662
Endovascular therapy	3.080	0.507	0.426	0.120	1.086	0.857	1.300	0.748
CCD	1.703	0.789	1.897	0.320	0.611	0.313	0.531	0.492

Table 2. Predictors of morphologic and functional outcome.

<sup>a</sup>All parameters represent volumetric measures.

<sup>b</sup>Penumbra is defined as CBF deficit volume – CBV deficit volume.

<sup>c</sup>Available data: Admission mRS 131/131, Discharge mRS 131/131, 90-day mRS 72/131.

mRS: modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS: national institutes of health stroke scale; ASPECTS: Alberta stroke program early CT score; CBF / CBV: cerebral blood flow/volume; OR: odds ratio. Note: A univariate linear regression analysis was performed for the indicated morphologic outcome parameters for the complete study population of 156 patients. A binary logistic regression analysis was performed for the indicated functional outcome parameters for the patient selection according to Figure 2. Bold *p* values indicate statistical significance.

second stroke events within 90 days (N=2), and death to non-stroke-related causes within 90 days (N=2). A flow chart of the patient selection is presented in Figure 2. Bar graphs representing admission, discharge, and 90-day mRS scales are shown in Figure 3.

In the binary logistic regression analysis, no statistically significant associations between CCD and functional outcome parameters were evident (each with p > 0.05). Higher NIHSS scores on admission and larger final infarction volume had significant negative associations with favorable outcome parameters, and the administration of IV thrombolysis had a significant positive association with favorable discharge mRS. Results are presented in Table 2. Additional per-patient mRS data plots stratified for treatment groups and CCD status are provided in supplementary Figure 3.

# Association of CCD with subacute stroke complications

In the binary logistic regression analysis, the occurrence of parenchymal hematoma showed a significant association with the presence of CCD. CCD was not associated with the occurrence of hemorrhagic infarction, extraischemic intracranial hemorrhage, or the development of space-occupying edema. The results are presented in Table 3. The results from a regression analysis for parenchymal hematoma development



**Figure 2.** Flow chart of patient selection for functional outcome analysis. ICA: internal carotid artery; MCA: middle cerebral artery; mRS: modified Rankin Scale.



**Figure 3.** Modified Rankin Scale scores of CCD- and CCD+ patients. CCD: crossed cerebellar diaschisis; mRS: modified Rankin Scale.

which additionally incorporates further quantitative CT perfusion parameters are provided in supplementary Table 2.

# Discussion

In our study on patients with acute ischemic stroke due to an anterior circulation occlusion, the presence of CCD as assessed by WB-CTP in the acute phase showed no independent association with morphologic and functional patient outcome. Among the most common complications after acute ischemic stroke, the occurrence of parenchymal hematoma was associated with the presence of CCD. CCD in the setting of ischemic stroke has mainly been studied in the subacute and chronic phase<sup>25,28,29</sup>. Although only few studies compared the phase-specific clinical implications of CCD,<sup>25</sup> the results suggest a negative impact of subacute and chronic CCD, but not acute CCD, on functional outcome.<sup>11,26</sup> As possible associations between the presence of CCD with both functional outcome and complications in acute ischemic stroke are controversial,<sup>28,29</sup> our study makes an important contribution to the significance of CCD as it is the first CT perfusion study on the clinical impact of acute CCD. Recent studies on CCD occurrence and perfusion characteristics suggest that CT perfusion is an appropriate technique to study the phenomenon of CCD.<sup>14,15</sup>

	Hemorrhagic infarction		Parenchymal hematoma		Extraischemic ICH		Space-occupying edema	
Independent variables	OR	Þ	OR	Þ	OR	Þ	OR	Þ
Age	1.008	0.605	1.016	0.586	0.984	0.567	0.966	0.184
Sex	0.611	0.284	0.455	0.287	1.094	0.916	0.982	0.982
NIHSS on admission	1.095	0.023	1.024	0.703	1.047	0.539	1.146	0.059
ASPECTS	0.943	0.641	1.002	0.992	1.051	0.838	0.845	0.373
CBF deficit volume	1.001	0.907	1.001	0.934	0.989	0.358	0.970	0.046
CBV deficit volume	0.978	0.104	1.007	0.693	1.020	0.368	1.038	0.121
CBF-CBV mismatch %	0.969	0.129	1.005	0.877	1.028	0.434	1.035	0.309
Final infarction volume	1.009	0.006	0.998	0.659	1.002	0.780	1.021	<0.001
IV thrombolysis	2.096	0.174	1.869	0.484	4.123	0.239	0.217	0.059
Endovascular therapy	2.478	0.043	0.693	0.602	0.450	0.410	3.412	0.132
CCD	1.371	0.494	4.793	0.035	0.693	0.713	2.886	0.170

Table 3. Predictors of subacute stroke complications.

ICH: intracranial hemorrhage; NIHSS: national institutes of health stroke scale; ASPECTS: Alberta stroke program early CT score; CBF / CBV: cerebral blood flow / volume; OR: odds ratio. Note: A binary logistic regression analysis was performed for the indicated complications for the patient selection according to Figure 2. Bold p values indicate statistical significance.

Building on this, we could show that the mere presence of CCD in the acute phase has no impact on functional outcome in ischemic stroke patients. The original notion of diaschisis being a reversible condition, either in the form of CCD or transhemispheric diaschisis.<sup>43</sup> is not contradicted by our observations as significant clinical improvement was observed in patients with acute CCD. Animal studies of transhemispheric diaschisis have proven reversibility of the acute flow alterations in the subacute phase of stroke. However, as WB-CTP is not established as a method of follow-up imaging due to reasons of radiation hygiene, our study cannot validate the reversibility of CCD in these clinically improved patients. Still, our results support previous reports suggesting that rather chronic than acute CCD is associated with irrevocable brain degeneration<sup>17,28</sup> and impaired neurologic function.<sup>25</sup> The differences, however, might also be partially influenced due to other imaging techniques and inclusion criteria.

In contrast to functional patient outcome and final infarction volume, the occurrence of parenchymal hematoma was associated with the presence of CCD. As opposed to hemorrhagic infarction, parenchymal hematoma (in particular type II) has been shown to impair patient outcomes.<sup>39</sup> Besides IV thrombolysis, risk factors for parenchymal hematoma development are early ischemic changes,<sup>44</sup> prior medication with anticoagulants,<sup>45</sup> severe leukoaraiosis,<sup>46</sup> decreased cerebral blood flow, and increased blood-brain barrier permeability.<sup>47–52</sup> However, we only observed a nonsignificant trend for IV thrombolysis treatment towards parenchymal hematoma development. This might be explained by the sample size, the inclusion criteria, and/or by clinical patient selection for IVT. From a

pathophysiologic point of view, we could not establish an explanation linking CCD with parenchymal hematoma development. Neither size nor severity of the acute ischemic injury had an independent influence in our study population. Yet, after further clinical validation, CCD could potentially serve as a quickly identifiable prognostic parameter in routine clinical stroke work-up.

Similar to the impact of CCD on patient outcome measures, factors influencing occurrence and severity of CCD are controversial. In line with previous studies,<sup>9,11,28,29</sup> we found a significant positive association between the size of the supratentorial perfusion deficit and CCD occurrence. It is important to note, however, that the final infarction volume was not associated with CCD. This result fits well with the missing association between CCD and functional outcome and with the proposed notion that acute CCD is a temporary and potentially reversible condition.

Our data must be interpreted in the context of the study design. As a first limitation, the most commonly used functional outcome measure, mRS at 90 days, was not available for all patients. Due to missing associations of CCD with other outcome measures that were fully available to us (discharge mRS, final infarction volume), we however expect that our results can be confirmed in larger prospective study cohorts. Second, the study was conducted in a retrospective fashion which does not allow a sample size estimation and power analysis. We would, however, like to point out that the present study includes the largest number of patients that were examined in the immediate stroke situation, underscoring the clinical relevance of the Finally, CCD presence was results. assessed

qualitatively in a dichotomized fashion, reflecting current practice in the clinical routine. We cannot rule out that a quantitative approach would lead to different results.

In conclusion, our study suggests that the presence of CCD in the acute phase has no significant impact on functional outcome in patients with ischemic stroke. The association between acute CCD and occurrence of parenchymal hematoma, however, is a noteworthy finding that warrants further research.

#### Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **Declaration of conflicting interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### Authors' contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: WGK, WHS, KMT. Performed the experiments: WGK, WHS, LVB. Analyzed the data: WGK, CH, MPF, FS, WHS, LVB. Wrote the manuscript: WGK, WHS, MPF, FS, FD, AEO, FGM, LVB, MFR, BEW, KMT.

#### Supplementary material

Supplementary material for this paper can be found at http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/suppl/10.1177/0271678X16686594

#### References

- Baron JC, Bousser MG, Comar D, et al. "Crossed cerebellar diaschisis" in human supratentorial brain infarction. *Trans Am Neurol Assoc* 1981; 105: 459–461.
- Baron JC, Rougemont D, Soussaline F, et al. Local interrelationships of cerebral oxygen consumption and glucose utilization in normal subjects and in ischemic stroke patients: A positron tomography study. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 1984; 4: 140–149.
- Yamauchi H, Fukuyama H and Kimura J. Hemodynamic and metabolic changes in crossed cerebellar hypoperfusion. *Stroke* 1992; 23: 855–860.
- 4. Feeney DM and Baron JC. Diaschisis. *Stroke* 1986; 17: 817–830.
- Gold L and Lauritzen M. Neuronal deactivation explains decreased cerebellar blood flow in response to focal cerebral ischemia or suppressed neocortical function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002; 99: 7699–7704.
- Patronas NJ, Di Chiro G, Smith BH, et al. Depressed cerebellar glucose metabolism in supratentorial tumors. *Brain Res* 1984; 291: 93–101.
- Thajeb P, Shih BF and Wu MC. Crossed cerebellar diaschisis in herpes simplex encephalitis. *Eur J Radiol* 2001; 38: 55–58.

- Park CH, Kim SM, Streletz LJ, et al. Reverse crossed cerebellar diaschisis in partial complex seizures related to herpes simplex encephalitis. *Clin Nucl Med* 1992; 17: 732–735.
- Lin DD, Kleinman JT, Wityk RJ, et al. Crossed cerebellar diaschisis in acute stroke detected by dynamic susceptibility contrast MR perfusion imaging. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2009; 30: 710–715.
- Dettmers C, Hartmann A, Rommel T, et al. Contralateral cerebellar diaschisis 7 hours after MCAocclusion in primates. *Neurol Res* 1995; 17: 109–112.
- Sobesky J, Thiel A, Ghaemi M, et al. Crossed cerebellar diaschisis in acute human stroke: A PET study of serial changes and response to supratentorial reperfusion. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2005; 25: 1685–1691.
- Komaba Y, Mishina M, Utsumi K, et al. Crossed cerebellar diaschisis in patients with cortical infarction: Logistic regression analysis to control for confounding effects. *Stroke* 2004; 35: 472–476.
- Liu Y, Karonen JO, Nuutinen J, et al. Crossed cerebellar diaschisis in acute ischemic stroke: A study with serial SPECT and MRI. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2007; 27: 1724–1732.
- Sommer WH, Bollwein C, Thierfelder KM, et al. Crossed cerebellar diaschisis in patients with acute middle cerebral artery infarction: Occurrence and perfusion characteristics. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2016; 36: 743–754.
- Jeon YW, Kim SH, Lee JY, et al. Dynamic CT perfusion imaging for the detection of crossed cerebellar diaschisis in acute ischemic stroke. *Korean J Radiol* 2012; 13: 12–19.
- Kajimoto K, Oku N, Kimura Y, et al. Crossed cerebellar diaschisis: A positron emission tomography study with L-[methyl-11C]methionine and 2-deoxy-2-[18F]fluoro-Dglucose. Ann Nucl Med 2007; 21: 109–113.
- Kim J, Lee SK, Lee JD, et al. Decreased fractional anisotropy of middle cerebellar peduncle in crossed cerebellar diaschisis: Diffusion-tensor imaging-positron-emission tomography correlation study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2005; 26: 2224–2228.
- De Reuck J, Decoo D, Lemahieu I, et al. Crossed cerebellar diaschisis after middle cerebral artery infarction. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 1997; 99: 11–16.
- Srinivasan A, Miller W, Stys P, et al. Crossed cerebellar diaschisis in stroke. *Neurology* 2004; 62: 2130.
- Shih WJ, Huang WS and Milan PP. F-18 FDG PET demonstrates crossed cerebellar diaschisis 20 years after stroke. *Clin Nucl Med* 2006; 31: 259–261.
- Meneghetti G, Vorstrup S, Mickey B, et al. Crossed cerebellar diaschisis in ischemic stroke: A study of regional cerebral blood flow by 133Xe inhalation and single photon emission computerized tomography. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 1984; 4: 235–240.
- Pantano P, Baron JC, Samson Y, et al. Crossed cerebellar diaschisis. Further studies. *Brain* 1986; 109(Pt 4): 677–694.
- Miura H, Nagata K, Hirata Y, et al. Evolution of crossed cerebellar diaschisis in middle cerebral artery infarction. *J Neuroimaging* 1994; 4: 91–96.
- Nuutinen J, Kuikka J, Roivainen R, et al. Early serial SPET in acute middle cerebral artery infarction. *Nucl Med Commun* 2000; 21: 425–429.

- Takasawa M, Watanabe M, Yamamoto S, et al. Prognostic value of subacute crossed cerebellar diaschisis: Single-photon emission CT study in patients with middle cerebral artery territory infarct. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2002; 23: 189–193.
- Serrati C, Marchal G, Rioux P, et al. Contralateral cerebellar hypometabolism: A predictor for stroke outcome? *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 1994; 57: 174–179.
- Szilagyi G, Vas A, Kerenyi L, et al. Correlation between crossed cerebellar diaschisis and clinical neurological scales. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2012; 125: 373–381.
- Infeld B, Davis SM, Lichtenstein M, et al. Crossed cerebellar diaschisis and brain recovery after stroke. *Stroke* 1995; 26: 90–95.
- Laloux P, Richelle F, Jamart J, et al. Comparative correlations of HMPAO SPECT indices, neurological score, and stroke subtypes with clinical outcome in acute carotid infarcts. *Stroke* 1995; 26: 816–821.
- Wintermark M, Flanders AE, Velthuis B, et al. Perfusion-CT assessment of infarct core and penumbra: Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis in 130 patients suspected of acute hemispheric stroke. *Stroke* 2006; 37: 979–985.
- Thierfelder KM, Sommer WH, Baumann AB, et al. Whole-brain CT perfusion: Reliability and reproducibility of volumetric perfusion deficit assessment in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Neuroradiology* 2013; 55: 827–835.
- Beyer SE, von Baumgarten L, Thierfelder KM, et al. Predictive value of the velocity of collateral filling in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2015; 35: 206–212.
- 33. Pexman JH, Barber PA, Hill MD, et al. Use of the Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score (ASPECTS) for assessing CT scans in patients with acute stroke. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2001; 22: 1534–1542.
- Campbell BC, Christensen S, Tress BM, et al. Failure of collateral blood flow is associated with infarct growth in ischemic stroke. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2013; 33: 1168–1172.
- Kamalian S, Kemmling A, Borgie RC, et al. Admission insular infarction > 25% is the strongest predictor of large mismatch loss in proximal middle cerebral artery stroke. *Stroke* 2013; 44: 3084–3089.
- Campbell BC, Mitchell PJ, Kleinig TJ, et al. Endovascular therapy for ischemic stroke with perfusion-imaging selection. N Engl J Med 2015; 372: 1009–1018.
- Lin L, Cheng X, Bivard A, et al. Quantifying reperfusion of the ischemic region on whole-brain computed tomography perfusion. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. Epub ahead of print 26 July 2016. DOI: 10.1177/0271678X16661338.
- 38. Fiorelli M, Bastianello S, von Kummer R, et al. Hemorrhagic transformation within 36 hours of a

cerebral infarct: relationships with early clinical deterioration and 3-month outcome in the European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study I (ECASS I) cohort. *Stroke* 1999; 30: 2280–2284.

- Berger C, Fiorelli M, Steiner T, et al. Hemorrhagic transformation of ischemic brain tissue: Asymptomatic or symptomatic? *Stroke* 2001; 32: 1330–1335.
- 40. Horsch AD, Dankbaar JW, Stemerdink TA, et al. Imaging findings associated with space-occupying edema in patients with large middle cerebral artery infarcts. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2016; 37: 831–837.
- Brott T, Adams HP Jr., Olinger CP, et al. Measurements of acute cerebral infarction: A clinical examination scale. *Stroke* 1989; 20: 864–870.
- van Swieten JC, Koudstaal PJ, Visser MC, et al. Interobserver agreement for the assessment of handicap in stroke patients. *Stroke* 1988; 19: 604–607.
- Andrews RJ. Transhemispheric diaschisis. A review and comment. *Stroke* 1991; 22: 943–949.
- Dzialowski I, Hill MD, Coutts SB, et al. Extent of early ischemic changes on computed tomography (CT) before thrombolysis: Prognostic value of the Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score in ECASS II. *Stroke* 2006; 37: 973–978.
- Trouillas P and von Kummer R. Classification and pathogenesis of cerebral hemorrhages after thrombolysis in ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2006; 37: 556–561.
- Shi ZS, Loh Y, Liebeskind DS, et al. Leukoaraiosis predicts parenchymal hematoma after mechanical thrombectomy in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2012; 43: 1806–1811.
- Aviv RI, d'Esterre CD, Murphy BD, et al. Hemorrhagic transformation of ischemic stroke: Prediction with CT perfusion. *Radiology* 2009; 250: 867–877.
- Campbell BC, Christensen S, Parsons MW, et al. Advanced imaging improves prediction of hemorrhage after stroke thrombolysis. *Ann Neurol* 2013; 73: 510–519.
- Hermitte L, Cho TH, Ozenne B, et al. Very low cerebral blood volume predicts parenchymal hematoma in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2013; 44: 2318–2320.
- Bennink E, Horsch AD, Dankbaar JW, et al. CT perfusion analysis by nonlinear regression for predicting hemorrhagic transformation in ischemic stroke. *Med Phys* 2015; 42: 4610–4618.
- Leigh R, Jen SS, Hillis AE, et al. Pretreatment bloodbrain barrier damage and post-treatment intracranial hemorrhage in patients receiving intravenous tissue-type plasminogen activator. *Stroke* 2014; 45: 2030–2035.
- Mishra NK, Christensen S, Wouters A, et al. Reperfusion of very low cerebral blood volume lesion predicts parenchymal hematoma after endovascular therapy. *Stroke* 2015; 46: 1245–1249.