



The *Hemileia vastatrix* effector HvEC-016 suppresses bacterial blight symptoms in coffee genotypes with the S_H 1 rust resistance gene

Thiago Maia, Jorge L. Badel, Gustavo Marin-Ramirez, Cynthia de M. Rocha, Michelle B. Fernandes, José C. F. da Silva, Gilson M. de Azevedo-Junior and Sérgio H. Brommonschenkel

Departamento de Fitopatologia and National Institute for Plant-Pest Interactions/Instituto de Biotecnologia Aplicada a Agropecuária-BIOAGRO, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa, MG 36570-000, Brazil

Author for correspondence: Sérgio H. Brommonschenkel Tel: +55 31 3899 2938 Email: shbromo@ufv.br

Received: 8 August 2016 Accepted: 16 October 2016

New Phytologist (2017) **213:** 1315–1329 **doi**: 10.1111/nph.14334

Key words: avirulence protein, coffee rust, effector delivery, effector prediction, *Hemileia vastatrix*, necrosis suppression, plant immunity, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. garcae.

Summary

• A number of genes that confer resistance to coffee leaf rust $(S_H 1 - S_H 9)$ have been identified within the genus *Coffea*, but despite many years of research on this pathosystem, the complementary avirulence genes of *Hemileia vastatrix* have not been reported.

• After identification of *H. vastatrix* effector candidate genes (*HvECs*) expressed at different stages of its lifecycle, we established an assay to characterize HvEC proteins by delivering them into coffee cells via the type-three secretion system (T3SS) of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. garcae (*Psgc*).

• Employing a calmodulin-dependent adenylate cyclase assay, we demonstrate that *Psgc* recognizes a heterologous *P. syringae* T3SS secretion signal which enables us to translocate HvECs into the cytoplasm of coffee cells. Using this *Psgc*-adapted effector detector vector (EDV) system, we found that HvEC-016 suppresses the growth of *Psgc* on coffee genotypes with the S_H1 resistance gene. Suppression of bacterial blight symptoms in S_H1 plants was associated with reduced bacterial multiplication. By contrast, HvEC-016 enhanced bacterial multiplication in S_H1 -lacking plants.

• Our findings suggest that HvEC-016 may be recognized by the plant immune system in a S_H 1-dependent manner. Thus, our experimental approach is an effective tool for the characterization of effector/avirulence proteins of this important pathogen.

Introduction

Coffee leaf rust, caused by the biotrophic pathogen *Hemileia vastatrix*, is the most important fungal disease affecting coffee production. Although the use of fungicides can control the disease efficiently, the economically most favorable alternative is the use of resistant cultivars because it reduces the cost of production and has no negative impact on the environment. However, the fungus overcomes coffee resistance very rapidly, which makes it difficult for the producer to avoid the use of fungicides (Várzea & Marques, 2005). To date, 49 races of the pathogen have been described (Gichuru *et al.*, 2012). Such a large number of races is consistent with the high genetic variability reported for the fungus (Nunes *et al.*, 2009; Maia *et al.*, 2013). In addition, these various races provide an important framework for understanding the genetics of coffee resistance against rust.

Challenge of coffee plants with different races of *H. vastatrix* resulted in the identification of at least nine genes (S_H 1– S_H 9) that confer resistance to leaf rust (Rodrigues-Junior *et al.*, 1975; Bettencourt & Rodrigues-Junior, 1988), of which only the S_H 3 locus has been mapped and shown to correspond to a complex of three

to five genes on a homeologous chromosome from three different *Coffea* genomes (Ribas *et al.*, 2011). S_H genes generally confer a post-haustorial resistance that results in the hypersensitive response (Martins & Moraes, 1996; Silva *et al.*, 2002; Ramiro *et al.*, 2009). The assumption that the gene-for-gene theory (Flor, 1942) applies to the *Coffea*–*H. vastatrix* pathosystem has been used to infer the possible genotypes of different races of the pathogen (Noronha-Wagner & Bettencourt, 1967). Hence, it can be assumed that the determination of an incompatible interaction between a particular race of the fungus and a resistance host genotype is based on the recognition of the product of avirulence (*Avr*) genes in the pathogen.

It is now widely accepted that pathogens secrete effector proteins to promote acquisition of nutrients and to subvert host defense responses (Dodds *et al.*, 2009; Panstruga & Dodds, 2009). Some of these effector proteins are recognized directly or indirectly by plant resistance proteins, eliciting a series of responses referred to as effector triggered immunity (ETI) that in many cases culminate in a programmed cell death known as the hypersensitive response (HR) (Stergiopoulos & de Wit, 2009; Dodds & Rathjen, 2010). Effector proteins recognized by the plant immune system affect the pathogen's virulence phenotype, and therefore, some are referred to as Avr proteins and their coding genes as Avr genes. In the absence of their corresponding resistance proteins, effectors can contribute to virulence (Grant et al., 2006; Jones & Dangl, 2006).

Effector complements of numerous filamentous plant pathogens have been predicted based on particular characteristics of their amino acid sequences. The presence of a signal for secretion, a small size, and absence of additional targeting sequences or transmembrane domains are common characteristics among predicted effectors (Kamoun, 2006; Kämper et al., 2006; Haas et al., 2009; Stergiopoulos & de Wit, 2009; Kemen et al., 2011; Saunders et al., 2012; Sperschneider et al., 2015). Amino acid motifs have been identified in particular taxonomic groups of filamentous pathogens, most notoriously the RxLR (Birch et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2008), CHxC (Kemen et al., 2011) and LFLAK motifs in oomycetes (Haas et al., 2009), and the [YFC] xW motif in rust (Hacquard et al., 2012; Talhinhas et al., 2014) and powdery mildew fungi (Godfrey et al., 2010; Spanu et al., 2010). Nonetheless, candidate effector proteins from a vast number of fungi have been assumed based on their lack of similarity of the mature protein to any amino acid sequence deposited in the databases (Cantu et al., 2011, 2013; Duplessis et al., 2011; Fernandez et al., 2012; Hacquard et al., 2012; Saunders et al., 2012; Garnica et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2013; Bruce et al., 2014; Link et al., 2014; Nemri et al., 2014; Talhinhas et al., 2014). Candidate effectors that are expressed preferentially during infection have been prioritized for functional analysis. Despite the rapid progress in effector prediction, the verification of candidates as bona fide effectors using functional analysis is lagging behind.

Recently, at least 500 putative H. vastatrix secreted proteins were predicted using bioinformatics pipelines (Fernandez et al., 2012; Cristancho et al., 2014; Talhinhas et al., 2014). In this predicted secretome, the authors identified proteins showing similarity to haustorially expressed secreted proteins (HESPs) from the flax rust fungus Melampsora lini (Catanzariti et al., 2006), and to the bean rust transferred protein 1 (RTP1), found previously in other rust fungi (Kemen et al., 2005; Vieira et al., 2012; Pretsch et al., 2013). Eighty-two putative secreted proteins were found to be highly enriched in cysteine residues, with nearly 60% of them containing the [YFC]xW motif (Talhinhas et al., 2014). However, a large number of these reported sequences are truncated and no functional analysis of candidate H. vastatrix effectors has been performed.

The lack of efficient methods for genetic manipulation of both organisms and the obligate biotrophy of the pathogen have limited the use of traditional methods to validate effector and S_H genes in the Coffea-H. vastatrix pathosystem. An interesting alternative to overcome this limitation is to use candidate effectors as molecular probes to detect specific phenotypic alterations when expressed in particular host genotypes, which may be indicative of an effector function (Vleeshouwers et al., 2008). For instance, effector proteins with avirulence activity can be identified in these assays because their recognition by host resistance proteins elicits a rapid tissue collapse. Even though Agrobacterium-mediated

transient expression is an alternative method, it is still difficult to routinely apply it to the Coffea-H. vastatrix pathosystem due to the low susceptibility of coffee mesophyll cells to infection by Agrobacterium (van Boxtel et al., 1995). A method used extensively to express effectors from obligate biotrophic pathogens in the host cytoplasm is the effector detector vector (EDV) system (Sohn et al., 2007). This strategy was shown to be successful for cloning the Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis avirulence gene ATR39 (Goritschnig et al., 2012) and for identifying effectors that suppress plant defense responses (Cabral et al., 2011; Fabro et al., 2011; Badel et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016).

Delivery of effector candidates using the EDV system requires a nonpathogenic (e.g. Pseudomonas fluorescens EtHAn) or an adapted pathogenic bacterium expressing a type-three secretion system (T3SS) for protein translocation into the host cytoplasm. An interesting possibility to implement an EDV system in the Coffea-H. vastatrix pathosystem is to use Pseudomonas syringae pv. garcae (Psgc), the causal agent of bacterial leaf blight of coffee (Amaral et al., 1956). This bacterial disease has been reported in several countries in America, Asia and Africa (Amaral et al., 1956; Ramos & Shavdia, 1976; Oliveira et al., 1991; Korobko & Wondimagegne, 1997; Belan et al., 2013). Symptoms caused by the bacterium are characterized by irregular dark-brown patches on leaves that may or may not be surrounded by a yellow ring (Zambolim et al., 2005; Pozza et al., 2010). Application of a Psgc-adapted EDV system to functionally characterize effectors will represent an important advancement in our ability to better understand the molecular mechanisms underlying the Coffea-H. vastatrix interaction. In this study we identify additional candidate H. vastatrix effectors based on bioinformatics prediction from transcriptomic data obtained from different prebiotrophic and biotrophic phases of the H. vastatrix infection cycle. We confirm eukaryotic secretion, provide information about the intron/ exon structure for a select group of these candidates and identify an H. vastatrix effector that suppresses the necrosis caused by Psgc 1202 in coffee plants carrying the S_H 1 resistance gene.

Materials and Methods

Single pustule H. vastatrix isolate Hv-01, previously characterized as race II in our laboratory, was reproduced on seedlings of cultivar Catuaí Vermelho IAC 44 (Coffea arabica) and used for expressed sequence tags (ESTs) generation from different stages of the fungus lifecycle (Supporting Information Methods S1–S4). A bioinformatics pipeline was designed in order to predict secreted proteins of unknown function, rich in cysteine, and specific to H. vastatrix (Methods S5). For obtaining biological confirmation of the secretion prediction made by bioinformatics tools, the secretion of effector candidate proteins from H. vastatrix was confirmed in yeast (Methods S6). Information about the intron-exon structure of a select group of effector candidate genes was accessed by aligning the genomic DNA and cDNA sequences (Methods S7). Expression profiles of candidate H. vastatrix effector genes were accessed by RT-PCR and realtime quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) (Methods S8, S9). Methods for functional analysis of effectors using

EDV system and Psgc 1202 are described in Methods S10-S12. All H. vastatrix effector candidate sequences predicted have been deposited in the NCBI database (GenBank) with the accession numbers KX670432-KX670525.

Results

Candidate effectors predicted from the pre-biotrophic phase of the *H. vastatrix* infection cycle

Expressed sequence tags from germinating urediniospores at 16 h of incubation (Fig. S1) were used for the cDNA library construction named HEVA-Sanger (Fig. 1; Notes S1). In total, 146 proteins that contained a secretion signal and no transmembrane domains were predicted. Of the 146 predicted secreted proteins, 49 (33.6%) have no significant similarity (BLASTP E-value > 10^{-5}) to sequences deposited in the nonredundant NCBI (nr-NCBI) databases (Fig. 1a). Fifty-eight predicted proteins (39.7%) show significant similarity with proteins of unknown function, including Melampsora larici-populina secreted proteins (Table S1). Twenty-nine predicted proteins (19.9%) show similarity with hydrolytic enzymes, including glycoside hydrolases, chitin deacetylase, carbohydrate esterases and proteases (Table S1). Ten (6.9%) predicted proteins show similarity to proteins with other functions, including superoxide dismutase and disulfide isomerase. Two sequences (1.4%) were deposited in the nr-NCBI databases already as H. vastatrix proteins; these correspond to HvEC-033 and HvEC-045 (Table S2).

The 49 proteins that do not show significant similarity to any sequence deposited in the nr-NCBI databases can correspond to

(a)

secreted proteins unique to H. vastatrix. We hypothesized that these proteins are candidate effectors expressed during the prebiotrophic phase of the H. vastatrix lifecycle and therefore we named them *H. vastatrix* effector candidates (HvECs). We define HvECs as secreted proteins with no significant similarity to amino acid sequences present in other organisms, that is, proteins identified only in H. vastatrix. Among the 49 proteins with no similarity to sequences in other organisms, 34 had full-length sequences and vary from 71 to 289 amino acids; 17 contain four or more cysteine residues in the mature peptide sequence (Table S2). No significant Pfam domains (E-value cut-off 1×10^{-5}) were found in these candidate effectors.

We used BLASTN to compare the open reading frame (ORF) sequences of the 34 full-length ESTs with those reported previously (Fernandez et al., 2012; Cristancho et al., 2014; Talhinhas et al., 2014) in order to identity novel candidate H. vastatrix effectors. Our analysis revealed that HvEC-032 and HvEC-120 (highlighted yellow in Table S2) were not reported by these authors. In addition, 15 ORFs show similarity with ESTs previously reported (Fernandez et al., 2012; Cristancho et al., 2014; Talhinhas et al., 2014), but the sequences reported by those authors were truncated (highlighted blue in Table S2). We also confirmed the full-length sequence of 17 candidate effectors previously reported (not highlighted in Table S2).

Candidate effectors predicted from the biotrophic phase of the H. vastatrix infection cycle

In order to obtain a substantial set of ESTs from the H. vastatrix biotrophic phase, we constructed and analyzed two independent

Fig. 1 Bioinformatics pipeline used to predict the Hemileia vastatrix secretome. (a) Analysis of sequences obtained from HEVA and CAHV libraries made from germinated urediniospores of isolate Hv-01, and from leaves of Catuaí Vermelho IAC 44 (Coffea arabica) infected with Hv-01, respectively. (b) Analysis of unique sequences obtained from cDNA libraries made from the biotrophic phase of the infection cycle (after filtering out sequences obtained from germinated urediniospores and common sequences found in both CAHV-Sanger and CAHV-454 libraries). ORF, open reading frame.





cDNA libraries. We initially sequenced 12 290 clones from a cDNA library (CAHV-Sanger) made from infected coffee leaves at 12 d post-inoculation (dpi) using Sanger technology. We obtained 4305 unigenes with average size of 469 bp (Notes S1). We also sequenced a normalized cDNA library (CAHV-454) obtained from infected leaves at different time points during infection (48 and 72 h post-inoculation (hpi), and 9 dpi) using 454-GS-FLX technology. Sampling at different time points was designed in order to maximize the identification of transcripts at several early stages of the interaction. Assembly of 629 890 reads resulted in 43 763 contigs with an average size of 867 bp and an average depth of coverage of 5.3X (Notes S1).

Seventy-five percent of contigs from the CAHV-454 library and 70% of the unique sequences from the CAHV-Sanger library show homology (*E*-value $< 10^{-5}$) with sequences from *Coffea* spp. (Fig. 1a). Sequences that do not show homology with coffee ESTs were subjected to a bioinformatics pipeline in order to predict HvECs. Fifty-seven percent (325 sequences) of the 573 predicted secreted proteins from the CAHV-454 library do not show similarity with proteins deposited in nr-NCBI databases and can be considered unique to H. vastatrix (Fig. 1a). A total of 125 secreted proteins show similarity to fungal proteins, including two predicted to be similar to rust transferred protein (RTP1) from Uromyces fabae (Kemen et al., 2005). Our analysis revealed a third *H. vastatrix* protein with significant similarity to RTP1, but our pipeline did not detect it as a secreted protein. These three proteins are referred to hereafter as HvRTP-01A (contig CAHV_rep_c13089), HvRTP-01B (CAHV_c1569) and HvRTP-01C (CAHV_c5217), respectively. Three H. vastatrix proteins predicted to be secreted show similarity to haustorially expressed secreted proteins (HESPs) from M. lini (Catanzariti et al., 2006). These predicted secreted proteins included two similar to HESP-178 and one similar to HESP-379 (Table S3).

Bioinformatics analysis of the secretome predicted in both biotrophic libraries (CAHV-454 and CAHV-Sanger combined; no similarity in the nr-NCBI database) (Fig. 1b) allowed the identification of 130 full-length ORFs that encode soluble and secreted proteins with no similarity to any of the 5832 ESTs originated from germinated urediniospores and presumably expressed in planta. In order to establish a prioritization scheme to undertake functional analysis of the predicted effectors, we selected the 72 sequences with the higher number of reads per contig and/or sequences that code for cysteine-rich proteins. PCR amplification using cDNA synthesized from RNA obtained from different structures of the fungus, as well as using genomic DNA, demonstrated that 13 of the 72 sequences were amplified from noninoculated plants and were considered as nonannotated coffee genes (Notes S2). The other 60 sequences (including two variants of HvEC-004) were amplified exclusively from fungal DNA and were considered authentic HvECs (Notes S2; Table S4). Primer sequences and amplicon sizes are shown in Tables S5 and S6.

Thirty-seven of the 60 HvECs contain >4 cysteine residues and 30 HvECs contain \geq 5% cysteine residues in their predicted protein sequences (Table S4). Thirteen HvECs whose mature peptide contain >5% cysteine residues and whose size range from 107 to 126 amino acids showed conservation of at least four of their cysteine residues (Fig. 2). We also included within the selected HvECs five (HvEC-002, HvEC-006, HvEC-053, HvEC-054, and HvEC-074) that do not contain cysteine residues in their mature peptides (Table S4) taking into account that not all effector proteins are rich in cysteine residues (Dodds *et al.*, 2004; Catanzariti *et al.*, 2006; Gout *et al.*, 2006; Bowen *et al.*, 2009). None of the selected candidate effectors contain Pfam domains in their primary sequences, except for HvEC-056 whose N-terminus shows significant similarity (*E*-value = 7.8×10^{-6}) to the CFEM (PF05730) domain, which is composed of eight invariably spaced cysteine residues and is specific to fungi (Kulkarni *et al.*, 2003).

In order to validate our prediction of the secretion signal, seven selected candidate effectors from the HEVA-Sanger library and 11 from the CAHV-libraries were cloned *in frame* with the invertase sequence in the expression vector pYST-1 (Lee *et al.*, 2006) and screened for secretion in yeast. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4742 (YIL162w) expressing the chimeric invertase grew on selective medium containing sucrose as the sole carbon source (Fig. S2), demonstrating that the predicted secretion signals are functional in yeast.

Expression profiles of candidate H. vastatrix effectors

We used RT-PCR in order to identify candidate *H. vastatrix* effectors expressed preferentially during its interaction with the coffee plant. Each of the 60 *HvECs* selected from the biotrophic phase libraries was amplified by PCR from samples obtained from dormant and germinated urediniospores, as well as from infected coffee leaves collected at 24 hpi and 12 dpi using genespecific primers (Notes S2). In addition, we evaluated the expression *in planta* of the three genes coding for proteins similar to RTP1 identified in the *H. vastatrix* transcriptome. Our results showed that 35 *HvECs* and *HvRTP-01C* accumulated transcripts in fungal structures during prebiotrophic and biotrophic phases (Notes S2). Twenty-four *HvECs*, *HvRTP-01A* and *HvRTP-01B* had transcript accumulation exclusively and/or preferentially during the interaction of the fungus with the plant (Notes S2).

In order to investigate the expression profile of these 24 HvECs, HvRTP-01A, HvRTP-01B and HvRTP-01C, we ran RTqPCR on samples collected from three biological replicates of infected plants at 24, 48 and 72 hpi, and 9 and 15 dpi. We also included in our analysis samples from dormant and germinated urediniospores (Fig. 3). Expression profiles observed for HvRTP1 homologs were similar to those previously reported for H. vastatrix (Fernandez et al., 2012) and consistent with differential expression observed for M. larici-populina RTP1 homologs (Hacquard et al., 2012) (Fig. 3a). HvECs showed different expression patterns and could be assigned to six groups according to their peaks of highest transcript accumulation: in urediniospores (Fig. 3b); at 24 hpi (Fig. 3c); at 72 hpi (Fig. 3d); at 9 dpi (Fig. 3e); at 9 and 15 dpi (Fig. 3f); and at 15 dpi (Fig. 3g). In general, the selected HvECs did not show transcript accumulation in urediniospores (except for HvEC-064). Therefore, they were confirmed to be associated with the biotrophic phase of the

	HvEC-058	MNIL-YVFGLLISLVACDLQFGALEIYSSKDCSDQGQGRQFP
	HvEC-066	MLQIKIL-FVLSFVSLSLQ-GSMSIYNQANCQGQGTPLNFT
	HvEC-065	MKILSFSLVLLISNLFDDIR-GEFTIYLKNTCKGDSPGIYLT
	HvEC-099	MKYSFWSLCVFAVSISCSHQ-GVRIYASPNCTGTPLNTSI-
	HvEC-055	MKFLPVFSVLLVSLGRYSNS-AIVQLFTDTNCQTGYNYFNYT
	HvEC-089	MMVL-FVFLLPISLVGRSHQ-GYVQVFPRNDCSGYKNFLKFS
	HvEC-081	MKIS-TVLCVLVISLACRSS-AQSTYIVAVNTFTDDFCTKGKRINNLD
	HvEC-063	MLQMKFLSVLCLLLSSFVNFSLL-GDIYVYNEHGCAGQPQYFPFD
	HvEC-057	MSLLRFFLFVSLACLWYNAK-AYDL-GCDSFRKDSQTQQYNCSNGGKTVQCG
	HvEC-064	MNFS-YILLLLALVIGISCA-NSSAPITNTTCLSFQYRTSTNNYK
	HvEC-071	MIYLSLLPLLLSSIANPSFQ-GQLFFYNVSSCIGAPNTANYN
	HvEC-102	MK-LNIV-IVFLLFVCVVASDYDYKLHRGENCQP-L-PDELETQE
	HvEC-095	MKLL-LVFSYVLLLADFSMQ-GHVMAFSDKNCTG-K-PLTSYPFT
		_
	HvEC-058	L-NKPGRSQSERVQHSAGHAVRMIVDRKNFGVCTGF-VYNDNASIYSPVQVIADDT
	HvEC-066	D-DYNLNSVCKQVNGSFSPCAKFSTNSPFTSSCIGY-VFHDSSCTVLWGRIRPDDANT
	HvEC-065	S-DDGCVRLKAS-GHSVVYESPPGPYKNC-GYALYHDNQCSSDTLMSTIIST
	HvEC-099	YPAPLGCTRFDQA-GNSVQVTKC-GVDIFHDSQCNINASLLAIGDNSV
	HvEC-055	E-KANTRFGCKSEPFGAAHSLKMFPTPGNYIEC-QVDLFSDNRCGSRINPSIVPN
	HvEC-089	E-NGVYNGACLSLHPVPGNSISVQTGPSHLDGC-VANIFSDSKCGHIIRPVRPDPN
	HvEC-081	S-RNKGAGQQIQTQTG-VNSTFVNIDPGTKYNC-VVNFYFDHYCKTIYTRVPPN
	HvEC-063	D-SKGDKQASNQVNGSFHPGAKFTASPEYRIGC-YAHFYHDARSVVPWGTPIPAQPSDLG
	HvEC-057	SCQYPSANHOVYPGNTKHNINSLKCEWGYSTAS-ANRGTPEAL
	HvEC-064	C-EPPQNMICDKCDARTAKECDLMGLNSRHEKSVRCSFAYGIQPD-G
	HvEC-071	E-SPQGTSRCFTIEGSAPHAVVMLPDRFHPGNC-LSSFFHNNKCSDLLGEVNGS
	HvEC-102	F-LRNHTNQQVIVPQGNYSSLSVQTQPELSIELYCDTGQHNKSNTFKINQNPT
	HvEC-095	K-DSYVSSPOIQVFERAAQSIRLYVEDVDIGRO-ISRAFHSGNO-DWTTAFKETLKSK
	HVEC-058	
	HVEC-066	TTVTCQA-L-KQGVFSIQVRCG
	HVEC-005	QAPICON-LDIDGI-WSIKIVOPLIII
	HVEC-099	GPCLIAN-L-IRGIWSARIRINPP
	HVEC-055	
	HVEC-089	
o acid	HVEC-061	
	HVEC-063	
	HVEC-05/	KVALSTAFTSFIVIQUTKCDNNLPPPAK
`	HVEC = 0.004	
;	HVEC-0/1	
lone	HVEC-102	
	HVEC-095	NNINGQNQNGIWSIILSQANKAXNNÔ

Fig. 2 Alignment of 13 complete amino acid sequences from cysteine-rich HvECs exhibiting a regular spacing pattern of cysteine residues. Cysteine residues are shown in black boxes. Alignment was done using KALIGN2 (Lassmann *et al.*, 2009).

H. vastatrix infection cycle. Because *HvEC-064* showed an expression profile that indicates that it is preferentially expressed in urediniospores, we subjected to RT-qPCR the seven *HvECs* identified in germinated urediniospores and whose secretion was validated in yeast (Fig. S2; Table S2). Our results indicate that their expression is further induced *in planta* (Fig. 4).

Intron-exon structure of H. vastatrix HvEC genes

Aimed at determining whether genes coding for candidate effectors contain introns, we amplified 35 of them by PCR from *H. vastatrix* Hv-01 genomic DNA. We obtained amplicons ranging from 216 (*HvEC-043*) to 1014 (*HvEC-016*) nucleotides (Notes S3). We obtained two amplicons for *HvEC-035* (375 and 625 bp, respectively) indicating the presence of at least two homologous genes in the *H. vastatrix* Hv-01 genome. In order to determine the intron–exon junctions, the ORFs predicted from cDNA were aligned with the genomic sequences (Notes S4). Twenty-four of the 35 genomic sequences analyzed have introns, most of them (15 sequences) with two introns (Notes S3, S4). Canonic GT/AC splicing sites were identified flanking 50 predicted introns, which corresponds to 84.7% of the total number of introns analyzed (Notes S4). The *HvEC-016* gene consists of five exons (Notes S4). The number of exons observed in *HvEC-016* is consistent with the mean exon number per gene identified in complete genome sequences of the rust fungi *M. larici-populina* and *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* (Duplessis *et al.*, 2011).

Pseudomonas syringae pv. *garcae* recognizes T3SS signals and secretes adenylate cyclase into plant cells

We established an effector translocation system based on the EDV system (Sohn *et al.*, 2007). In this system, the candidate effector is fused *in frame* to the secretion signal of the *P. syringae* effector AvrRps4 under the control of the *avrRps4* promoter.

We first investigated whether *Psgc* 1202 was able to translocate the AvrRpm1 T3SS::CyaA fusion expressed in pNR527 (Upadhyaya *et al.*, 2014) into cells of Catuaí Vermelho IAC 44 plants. We did not use the T3SS signal of AvrRps4 to test adenylate cyclase (CyaA) translocation due to the nucleocytoplasmic localization of this effector in the plant cell (Wirthmueller *et al.*, 2007; Upadhyaya *et al.*, 2014). We therefore used the T3SS signal of AvrRpm1 because the colocalization of this effector with calmodulin in the plasma membrane makes it appropriate for



Fig. 3 Expression patterns of a select group of candidate *Hemileia vastatrix* effectors from biotrophic phase libraries. Transcript accumulation was determined by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) in samples obtained from dormant urediniospores (U), urediniospores germinated on polystyrene plates (G), infected leaves at 24 h, 48 h and 72 h post-inoculation (hpi), and 9 d and 15 d post-inoculation (dpi). The candidate effectors in (a) were grouped based on homology and in (b–g) based on their highest peak of transcript accumulation. (a) Candidate effectors coding for proteins similar to RTP1 from *Uromyces fabae* (Kemen *et al.*, 2005). (b) *HvEC* with highest peaks in dormant and germinated urediniospores. (c) *HvECs* with highest peaks at 24 hpi. (d) *HvECs* with highest peak at 72 hpi. (e) *HvECs* with highest peak at 9 dpi. (f) *HvECs* with highest peaks at 9 and 15 dpi. (g) *HvECs* with highest peak at 15 dpi. Bars represent mean relative expression (transcript accumulation of the *HvEC* normalized using the mean transcript accumulation of the *H. vastatrix* endogenous genes cytochrome c oxidase subunit III, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, and β-tubulin). Vertical bars indicate SEM relative expression. Effector names are indicated using a simplified nomenclature without the initial *HvEC*.

New Phytologist (2017) **213**: 1315–1329 www.newphytologist.com



Fig. 4 Expression patterns of seven HvECs from the germinated urediniospores library. Transcript accumulation was determined by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) in samples obtained from dormant urediniospores (U), urediniospores germinated on polystyrene plates (G), infected leaves at 24 h, 48 h and 72 h postinoculation (hpi), 9 d and 15 d postinoculation (dpi). The candidate effectors were grouped based on their highest peak of transcript accumulation: (a) highest peak at 24 hpi; (b) highest peak at 48 hpi; (c) highest peak at 72 hpi; (d) highest peak at 15 dpi; and (e) highest peaks at 24, 48 and 72 hpi. Bars represent mean relative expression (transcript accumulation of the HvEC normalized using the mean transcript accumulation of the Hemileia vastatrix endogenous genes cytochrome c oxidase subunit III, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, and β -tubulin). Vertical bars indicate SEM relative expression. Effector names are indicated using a simplified nomenclature without the initial HvEC.

CyaA-based translocation assays (Upadhyaya *et al.*, 2014). A significant accumulation of cAMP was observed in leaves infiltrated with *Psgc* 1202 (pNR527) at 18 and 24 hpi, whereas low levels were detected in leaves inoculated with 10 mM MgCl₂ (Fig. 5). These results demonstrate that *Psgc* 1202 is able to recognize the AvrRpm1 T3SS signals and to translocate effector proteins into cells of coffee plants using this signal sequence.

HvEC-016 attenuated the disease symptoms caused by *P. syringae* pv. *garcae* in coffee plants carrying the S_H 1 resistance gene

We tested the hypothesis that some HvECs delivered by the *Psgc* 1202 T3SS into coffee genotypes can alter the normal phenotype caused by the bacterium in an S_{H} -dependent manner. We infiltrated *Psgc* 1202 expressing individual *HvECs* into coffee genotypes used to differentiate *H. vastatrix* races, each genotype containing one or different combinations of known S_H genes (Table 1). We delivered 30 effector candidates using the EDV

system and found that only HvEC-016 consistently and reproducibly suppressed or attenuated the disease symptoms caused by *Psgc* 1202 on coffee genotypes carrying the S_H 1 resistance gene (Fig. 6). The mature HvEC-016 is a protein of 201 amino acids, containing seven cysteine residues (Table S4). When the coffee genotypes Catuaí, H147/1 and H420/10 were challenged with *Psgc* 1202 expressing *HvEC-016*, we observed the development of water-soaked lesions at 48 hpi, and then tissue necrosis similar to bacterial blight of coffee, which began to develop 72 hpi (Fig. 6c,i,j). By contrast, the same bacterial suspension was unable to cause symptoms in coffee genotypes containing the resistance gene S_H 1, alone or in combination with other S_H genes (Fig. 6b,d–h).

HvEC-016 restricts multiplication of *P. syringae* pv. *garcae* in coffee genotypes carrying the S_H 1 resistance gene

We then investigated whether the suppression of necrosis caused by expression HvEC-016 in coffee genotypes carrying S_{H1} was



Fig. 5 *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *garcae* (*Psgc*) 1202 translocates a heterologous reporter protein into *Coffea arabica* cells via the T3SS. Coffee leaf samples were taken at 0, 18 and 24 h post-infiltration with suspensions at 4×10^7 colony forming units (CFU) ml⁻¹ of *Psgc* 1202 carrying the pNR527 expression vector. The mock treatment consisted of infiltration of 10 mM MgCl₂ without bacteria. Intracellular cAMP in coffee leaves was determined using ELISA. Bars represent mean of three biological replicates and the vertical bars indicate \pm SE. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between the two means within the same time point (Student's *t*-test, *P* < 0.05). The experiment was repeated two times with similar results.

 Table 1
 Differential reaction of coffee genotypes toward Hemileia

 vastatrix Hv-01, Psgc 1202 (pEDV6), and Psgc 1202 (pEDV6::HvEC-016)

	S _H genes	Hv-01	<i>Psgc</i> 1202	
Coffee genotypes ^a			pEDV6	pEDV6::HvEC-016
128/2 – Dilla & Algue	1	R	S	R
Bourbon – 'Catuaí'	5	S	S	S
1343/269 – H. Timor	6	R	S	S
134/4 – S 12 Kaffa	1 ,4	R	S	R
87/1 – Geisha	1 ,5	R	S	R
32/1 – DK 1/5	2,5	R	S	S
33/1 – S 288-23	3,5	R	S	S
110/5 – S 4 Agaro	4,5	R	S	S
1006/10 – KP 532	1 ,2,5	R	S	R
H153/2	1 ,3,5	R	S	R
H152/3	2,4,5	R	S	S
H419/20	5,6,9	R	S	S
HW 17/12	1 ,2,4,5	R	S	R
H147/1	2,3,4,5	R	S	S
H420/10	5,6,7,9	R	S	S
644/18 - H. Kawisari	?	R	S	S

The experiment was performed three times with similar results. ^aCoffee genotypes used as differentials for *H. vastatrix* races from the Plant Pathology Department at the Universidade Federal de Viçosa. S, susceptible; R, resistant; ?, S_H genes that have not been identified. S_H1 gene and its respective phenotype, when challenged by *Psgc* expressing HvEC-016, are in bold.

associated with restriction of bacterial multiplication *in planta*. We infiltrated leaves of the coffee genotype Dilla & Algue, which only expresses the S_{H1} resistance gene, with *Psgc* 1202 carrying pEDV6::*HvEC-016* and compared its population with those reached by *Psgc* 1202 wild-type (WT) and *Psgc* 1202 carrying the empty vector at different time points between 0 and 120 hpi.

Although we did not observe any rapid development of macroscopic tissue collapse suggestive of HR elicitation in S_H 1containing plants inoculated with *Psgc* 1202 expressing *HvEC-016*, HvEC-016 caused a significant reduction in the bacterial population at all time points (Fig. 7). The bacterial numbers in leaves inoculated with *Psgc* 1202 expressing *HvEC-016* were approximately one log lower than in those inoculated with *Psgc* 1202 WT or *Psgc* 1202 expressing the empty vector. These results suggested a possible association between the phenotypic change caused by HvEC-016 and expression of S_H 1 in the plant, resulting in increased resistance against bacterial infection. These results demonstrate that our experimental approach of effector delivery into the coffee cytoplasm using the EDV system was successful for the identification of candidate *H. vastatrix* effectors potentially recognized by the plant immune system.

In order to further scrutinize a possible association between the restriction in bacterial multiplication caused by HvEC-016 and S_{H1} , we inoculated 134/4 S.12 Kaffa, 87/1 Geisha, 1006/10 KP 532, H153/2, 635/3 and HW 17/12, six additional coffee accessions with different genetic backgrounds, but expressing S_{H1} . These plant accessions were classified previously into different coffee physiological groups (Notes S5). In all cases, the number of bacterial cells recovered from leaves inoculated with Psgc 1202 (pEDV6:: HvEC-016) were significantly lower than those recovered from leaves inoculated with Psgc 1202 WT or Psgc 1202 carrying the empty vector (Fig. 8). We observed that different accessions sustain different levels of bacterial growth. For instance, although the highest bacterial numbers recovered from 134/4 S.12 Kaffa, 1006/10 KP 532 and 635/3 were in the order of 10⁶ colony forming units (CFU) ml⁻¹, those recovered from 87/1 Geisha, H153/2 and HW 17/12 were in the order of 10⁵ CFU ml⁻¹, suggesting that these genotypes have different levels of basal resistance against Psgc 1202 (Fig. 8). Nonetheless, such a difference in basal resistance did not compromise the restriction of bacterial multiplication caused by HvEC-016. These results strongly suggest that the restriction of necrosis caused by HvEC-016 is associated with expression of S_{H1} .

HvEC-016 enhances multiplication of *P. syringae* pv. *garcae* in coffee genotypes lacking the S_H 1 resistance gene

We investigated subsequently whether HvEC-016 could enhance the ability of *Psgc* 1202 to multiply in coffee plants lacking the S_{H1} resistance gene. We inoculated Catuaí plants with *Psgc* 1202 expressing *HvEC-016* and compared its bacterial population to those reached by *Psgc* 1202 WT and *Psgc* 1202 expressing the empty vector at several time points after infiltration. Catuaí contains S_{H5} , but not S_{H1} . HvEC-016 caused a significant increase of the bacterial cell numbers at all time points compared with the control strains (Fig. 9a). This enhancement of bacterial multiplication does not seem to be associated to the S_{H7} -independent genetic background because it was also caused by HvEC-016 in Caturra plants, which also only carry S_{H5} (Fig. 9b). These observations indicate that HvEC-016 contributes to *Psgc* 1202 multiplication *in planta*. We did not detect any alteration in the severity of the disease

New Phytologist

Fig. 6 HvEC-016 suppresses necrosis caused by Pseudomonas syringae pv. garcae (Psgc) 1202 in coffee genotypes carrying the S_{H1} resistance gene. Symptoms observed in leaves of coffee genotypes carrying different rust resistance genes from a subset of differentials used to identify Hemileia vastatrix races. (a) Schematic representation of coffee leaf infiltration with Psgc 1202 expressing either pEDV6:: HvEC-016 (left panel) or empty pEDV6 (right panel). (b) 128/2 Dilla & Algue, resistance gene $S_H 1$. (c) 'Catuaí Vermelho IAC 44', resistance gene $S_H 5$. (d) 134/4 S.12 Kaffa, resistance genes S_H 1,4. (e) 87/1 Geisha, resistance genes S_H 1,5. (f) 1006/10 KP 532, resistance genes S_H 1,2,5. (g) H153/2, resistance genes S_H 1,3,5. (h) HW 17/12, resistance genes S_H 1,2,4,5. (i) H147/1, resistance genes S_{H2} , 3, 4, 5. (j) H420/10, resistance genes $S_{H}5, 6, 7, 9$. Leaves were infiltrated with bacterial suspensions at 2×10^7 colony forming units (CFU) ml⁻¹. Pictures were taken at 6 d post-infiltration. The experiment was conducted more than three times with similar results.





Fig. 7 HvEC-016 suppresses the ability of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *garcae* (*Psgc*) 1202 to multiply in S_H 1-containing Dilla & Algue plants (*Coffea arabica*). Leaves were infiltrated with bacterial suspensions of *Psgc* 1202 wild-type (light grey bars), *Psgc* 1202 expressing the empty vector (dark grey bars) or *Psgc* 1202 expressing pEDV6::*HvEC-016* (black bars) at 1 × 10⁴ CFU ml⁻¹. Bars represent mean of bacterial numbers recovered from three biological replicates of infected leaves at the indicated time points after infiltration (h post-inoculation, hpi). Vertical lines indicate ± SE. For each time point, means labelled with different letters are significantly different (ANOVA and Tukey's test; *P* < 0.05). The experiment was conducted three times with similar results.

symptoms caused by *Psgc* 1202 expressing *HvEC-016* in S_H -lacking plants as compared with the control strains. Expression of *HvEC-016* in *Psgc* 1202 does not cause any change in the ability of the bacterium to grow *in vitro*, demonstrating that the observed plant phenotypes are not associated with altered bacterial physiology (Fig. S3).

Discussion

We set out to undertake an alternative approach that combined conventional and high-throughput sequencing technologies to

generate high-quality data and to predict the *H. vastatrix* secretome from transcriptome data. We also implemented a tissue sampling strategy to increase the chances to obtain effector sequences from several different stages of the coffee rust infection. Here we report a total of 94 *Hemileia*-specific candidate effector genes, referred to as *HvECs*, of which 14 have not been reported previously. We provide for 34 earlier partial effectors full-length sequences, and confirm 46 effector sequences already published (Fernandez *et al.*, 2012; Cristancho *et al.*, 2014; Talhinhas *et al.*, 2014). We identified genes encoding proteins with significant similarity to the previously reported RTP1 (Kemen *et al.*, 2005;



Fig. 8 HvEC-016 restricts multiplication of Pseudomonas syringae pv. garcae (Psgc) 1202 in S_H 1-carrying coffee genotypes with different genetic backgrounds. Leaves were infiltrated with bacterial suspensions of Psgc 1202 wild-type (light grey bars), Psgc 1202 carrying empty vector (dark grey bars), and Psgc 1202 expressing pEDV::HvEC-016 (black bars) at 1×10^4 colony forming units (CFU) ml⁻¹. Bars represent mean of bacterial numbers recovered from three biological replicates of infected leaves at the indicated time points after infiltration (h postinoculation, hpi). Vertical lines indicate errors of the mean values. For each time point. means labelled with different letters are significantly different (ANOVA and Tukey's test; P < 0.05). (a) 134/4 S.12 Kaffa (S_H1,4); (b) 87/1 Geisha (S_H1,5); (c) 1006/10 KP 532 (S_H1,2,5); (d) H153/2 (S_H1,3,5); (e) 635/3 (S_H1,4,5); (f) HW 17/12, (S_H1,2,4,5). The experiment was repeated two times with similar results.

Fig. 9 HvEC-016 enhances the ability of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *garcae* (*Psgc*) 1202 to multiply in *S*_H1-lacking coffee genotypes (*Coffea arabica*). (a) 'Catuaí Vermelho IAC 44' (*S*_H5). (b) 'Caturra' (*S*_H5). Leaves were infiltrated with bacterial suspensions of *Psgc* 1202 wild-type (light grey bars), *Psgc* 1202 carrying empty vector (EV, dark grey bars), or *Psgc* 1202 expressing pEDV::*HvEC016* (black bars) at 1×10^4 colony forming units (CFU) ml⁻¹. Bars represent mean of bacterial numbers recovered from three biological replicates of infected leaves at the indicated time points after infiltration (h post-inoculation, hpi). Vertical lines indicate \pm SE values. For each time point, means labelled with different letters indicate statistically significant difference (ANOVA and Tukey's test; *P* < 0.05). The experiment was conducted three times with similar results.

Vieira *et al.*, 2012; Pretsch *et al.*, 2013) and HESP proteins (Dodds *et al.*, 2006; Barrett *et al.*, 2009) in the *H. vastatrix* secretome, providing validation for our prediction.

Most *HvECs* genes selected for further characterization in this study encode amino acid sequences enriched in cysteine residues. Thirteen of the HvECs showed similar cysteine spacing patterns. In *M. larici-populina* several effector families subject to positive selection were shown to have similar cysteine spacing patterns despite low amino acid similarity among their members (Hacquard *et al.*, 2012). The disulfide bonds are likely necessary to maintain the protein structure, whereas the variable regions can provide the sequences required to play

specific effector functions (Hacquard et al., 2012). In this scenario, significant changes in the amino acid sequences other than in the position of the cysteine residues can be tolerated without altering the folding topology, making cysteinerich proteins good candidates for involvement in recognition and specificity (Templeton et al., 1994; Povolotskaya & Kondrashov, 2010). A high number of cysteine residues could play an important role in effector evolution allowing a rapid diversification and contributing to the emergence of new pathotypes. Among the rust fungi, H. vastatrix is the species with the longest latent period (c. 20 d between infection and sporulation). In addition, its pustules produce can

96

urediniospores for up to 5 months (McCain & Hennen, 1984). A highly diversified repertoire of *H. vastatrix* effectors may be associated with defence suppression in order to establish an intimate relationship with the coffee plant for long periods of time.

In agreement with the lack of recognizable domains in most effector proteins previously predicted in other fungal pathogens, we detected a Pfam domain in only one of the candidate H. vastatrix effectors. Screening of 19 avirulence proteins for Pfam domains showed that only the Cladosporium fulvum effectors Avr4 and Ecp6 have significant similarity with Pfam domains, Chitin Binding Module (PF14776) and LysM (PF01476) domains, respectively (Saunders et al., 2012). The presence of functional domains in effector proteins can aid in deciphering their functions. For instance, it is now well established that Avr4 and Ecp6 are chitin-binding proteins that play important roles in hyphal protection and perturbation of chitintriggered immunity during C. fulvum infection, respectively (van den Burg et al., 2006; van Esse et al., 2007; de Jonge et al., 2010). However, the CFEM domain (acronym for common in several fungal extracellular membrane) found in candidate effector HvEC-056 is not associated with a known biochemical activity or overall sequence similarity, but with the positions of eight conserved cysteine residues in the mature peptide (Kulkarni et al., 2003). Although the biochemical function for this domain has not been elucidated, CFEM-containing proteins have been identified in the secretomes of several plant pathogenic fungi, including Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Guyon et al., 2014), Cronartium quercuum f. sp. fusiforme (Pendleton et al., 2014), Fusarium virguliforme (Abeysekara & Bhattacharyya, 2014) and Melampsora spp. (Joly et al., 2010).

Transcript accumulation profiles indicated that expression of most HvECs analysed in this study are observed as early as 24 hpi and remain active until 15 dpi. Histology observations of compatible interactions between H. vastatrix and Coffea plants have shown that at 24 hpi the fungal structures most frequently observed in infection sites are appressoria, primary hyphae and primary haustoria; at 48 and 72 hpi most infection sites are occupied by haustorial mother cells and secondary haustoria; and at 15 dpi, 90% of infection sites are occupied by secondary haustoria (Matsuoka & Vanetti, 1993; Silva et al., 2002, 2008; Ganesh et al., 2006; Ramiro et al., 2009; Vieira et al., 2012). It is tempting to speculate that *H. vastatrix* begins to modulate the host physiology as early as during penetration of stomata, with many effectors being secreted from primary hyphae, appressoria and/or primary haustoria. Other effectors, like those induced at later times, may be haustorium-specific because their induction is correlated with the presence of these structures in infected tissue. Yet, other effectors expressed at late stages could prime urediniospores for their encounter with the coffee leaf surface. The expression patterns of HvECs are very variable with effectors showing highest peaks at different time points after infection, suggesting that they play key roles at different stages during the infection process. In any case, the majority of HvECs analyzed in this study were expressed preferentially (highest peaks of relative transcript accumulation), presumably after the differentiation of haustoria in the mesophyll. Expression of effectors HvEC-050, HvEC-053 and HvEC-059 was high throughout the whole infection process, suggesting that high gene expression levels of these effectors are required to establish and maintain a biotrophic lifestyle. Hemileia vastatrix genes coding for proteins similar to RTP1 showed different patterns of transcript accumulation suggesting that they can be expressed from different fungal structures as well. Immunofluorescence microscopy studies demonstrated that RTP1 homologs from M. larici-populina are expressed not only in the haustorium as previously reported for U. fabae (Kemen et al., 2005), but also in intercellular hyphae in the mesophyll layer of the plant tissue (Hacquard et al., 2012). More recently, Kemen et al. (2013) showed that the RTP1 protein exhibits variable localization in the extra-haustorial matrix and in the interior of the host cell during infection. It is intriguing that expression of HvRTP-01C, which was not detected by our bioinformatics pipeline as a secreted protein, is strongly induced at 48 hpi and remains high until 15 dpi. Lack of a signal for secretion in HvRTP1-01C suggests that it could also play a role during the infection process from within the fungal cell to stabilize and protect the haustorium from degradation.

We successfully adapted the EDV system (Sohn *et al.*, 2007) for delivery of candidate *H. vastatrix* effector proteins into coffee cells. *Psgc* 1202 translocated adenylate cyclase by recognition of the T3SS signal of AvrRpm1 present in the chimera of the well-characterized construct pNR527 (Upadhyaya *et al.*, 2014). This result is consistent with the presence of T3SS genes in the recently published draft genome sequence of *Psgc* ICMP 4323 (Thakur *et al.*, 2016). Furthermore, a gene coding for a protein exhibiting 74.2% identity to AvrRps4 is also present in the *Psgc* ICMP 4323 genome. The T3SS signal sequence of this protein shows 67% identity to that of AvrRps4, supporting the notion that *Psgc* delivers an AvrRps4-family protein into coffee cells during infection.

Delivery of a select group of HvECs into coffee plants using the EDV system revealed that HvEC-016 suppresses the necrosis caused by *Psgc* in genotypes containing the S_{H1} resistance gene. The suppression of necrotic symptoms in S_H 1-genotypes was associated with a decrease in bacterial multiplication. Both suppression of necrotic symptoms and restriction of bacterial growth were observed in coffee accessions belonging to different physiological groups, but carrying the S_H l gene. We observed that a higher level of basal resistance against Psgc 1202 infection in some coffee accessions did not compromise the reduction in bacterial cell numbers caused by HvEC-016 in S_{H1} plants. These results suggest that HvEC-016 may be recognized by the immune system of the coffee plant in an $S_H l$ -dependent manner, halting the development of the necrotic symptom characteristic of bacterial blight, and making this H. vastatrix-secreted protein a good candidate for avirulence protein. Nonetheless, our results do not allow us to rule out the possibility that the suppression of bacterial blight symptoms could be due to the involvement of a yet unidentified factor present in the S_H1-carrying plant genotypes. Currently, due to unavailability of genetic transformation methods

for both coffee and H. vastatrix it will be difficult to corroborate this hypothesis. The S_{H1} gene has not yet been cloned and thus, conventional transient coexpression assays in other plant species, such as Nicotiana benthamiana, are not possible at the moment. Notably, H. vastatrix isolate 178a (race XIV), which is also avirulent on S_{HI} plants, carries the same allele of HvEC-016 as that of isolate Hv-01 (race II) (Fernandez et al., 2012; Talhinhas et al., 2014). Also, consistent with the expression profile observed in our study, HvEC-016 was identified in all three libraries of isolate 178a (interaction, appressoria and germinating urediniospores) screened by these authors. An alternative interpretation for the suppression of necrotic symptoms in S_{H1} plants is that HvEC-016 suppresses the activity of some Psgc 1202 effectors rendering the bacterium less virulent. This idea is consistent with reports indicating that effectors from pathogens belonging to different kingdoms interact with the same subset of highly connected cellular hubs (protein complexes) to promote parasitism (Mukhtar et al., 2011). Our observations that Psgc 1202 expressing HvEC-016 supresses necrotic symptoms and reduces bacterial multiplication in S_{H1} plants with diverse genetic backgrounds and that HvEC-016 contributes to Psgc 1202 multiplication in SH1-lacking genotypes not only rule out a possible interference of HvEC-016 with the function of bacterial effectors, but also strengthen the possibility of this candidate being a bona fide H. vastatrix effector. To support this finding, we are currently developing large coffee populations segregating for S_{H1} . These populations will be phenotyped for both resistance to Hv-01 and HvEC-016 suppression of bacterial blight symptoms. In addition, we are trying to obtain naturally occurring strains or EMS-induced mutants of *H. vastatrix* able to overcome S_H 1 resistance. Cosegregation of resistance to Hv-01 with HvEC-016 suppression of bacterial blight, as well as identification of sequence polymorphisms in *HvEC-016* alleles associated with breaking of S_{H1} resistance will allow us to conclude that HvEC-016 is indeed an *H. vastatrix* avirulence gene corresponding to S_H 1.

The EDV system has been used widely to functionally characterize effectors from a variety of filamentous plant pathogens (Sohn et al., 2007; Cabral et al., 2011; Fabro et al., 2011; Goritschnig et al., 2012; Badel et al., 2013; Caillaud et al., 2013; Sharma et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016). These bacterial delivery systems translocate effectors directly into the cytoplasm of plant cells in which they play their biological roles. Hence, their recognition by resistance proteins must occur inside the plant cell. Most cytoplasmic R proteins identified so far are nucleotide-binding site and leucine rich repeat proteins (NBS-LRR) (Rafiqi et al., 2009; Takken & Tameling, 2009). Using bacterial delivery systems, Goritschnig et al. (2012) identified the Hevea arabidopsidis avirulence gene ATR39 among a large set of candidate effectors and were able to clone its cognate resistance gene RPP39, coding for a CC-NBS-LRR protein. Moreover, a candidate avirulence effector (PGTAUSPE-10-1) from P. graminis f. sp. tritici was identified using a similar bacterial delivery system based on *P. fluorescens* EtHAn. PGTAUPE-10-1 elicited an HR specifically on wheat line W3534 expressing the resistance gene Sr22 (Upadhyaya et al.,

2014). Analysis of the $S_H\beta$ locus in *C. arabica* demonstrated the presence of candidate genes coding for CC-NBS-LRR proteins (Ribas *et al.*, 2011), supporting the notion that *H. vastatrix* avirulence proteins are recognized in the cytoplasm of coffee cells. Several studies have demonstrated that ETI can be reconstructed by co-expression of cognate avirulence and resistance genes in heterologous plants (van der Hoorn *et al.*, 2000; Abramovitch *et al.*, 2003; Armstrong *et al.*, 2005). Cloned *H. vastatrix* avirulence genes may be used as molecular probes to detect specific phenotypic interactions with candidate coffee NBS-LRRs in heterologous systems speeding up the cloning of corresponding resistance genes. Also, heterologous expression of avirulence proteins will allow to determine their subcellular localization (Rafiqi *et al.*, 2010; Petre *et al.*, 2015) and to identify their potential plant targets (Selin *et al.*, 2016; Toruño *et al.*, 2016).

Acknowledgements

We thank Jonathan D. G. Jones for providing the pEDV6 vector, N. M. Upadhyaya for providing plasmid pNR527 and Peter van Esse for reviewing our manuscript. This research was supported by Brazilian Government Agency CNPq grant 559602/2009-0.

Author contributions

S.H.B., T.M. and J.L.B. planned and designed the research experiments; T.M., G.M-R., C.d.M.R., M.B.F., J.C.F.d.S. and G.M.d.A-J. performed experiments; T.M., J.L.B. and S.H.B. analysed data; and T.M. and J.L.B. wrote the manuscript.

References

- Abeysekara NS, Bhattacharyya MK. 2014. Analyses of the xylem sap proteomes identified candidate *Fusarium virguliforme* proteinacious toxins. *PLoS ONE* 9: e93667.
- Abramovitch RB, Kim YJ, Chen S, Dickman MB, Martin GB. 2003. *Pseudomonas* type III effector AvrPtoB induces plant disease susceptibility by inhibition of host programmed cell death. *EMBO Journal* 22: 60–69.
- Amaral JF, Teixeira C, Pinheiro ED. 1956. A bacterium causing halo blight of coffee. Arquivos do Instituto Biológico 23: 151–155.
- Armstrong MR, Whisson SC, Pritchard L, Boss JI, Venter E, Avrova AO, Rehmany AP, Böhme U, Brooks K, Cherevach I et al. 2005. An ancestral oomycete locus contains late blight avirulence gene Avr3a, encoding a protein that is recognized in the host cytoplasm. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 102: 7766–7771.
- Badel JL, Piquerez SJM, Greenshields D, Rallapalli G, Fabro G, Ishaque N, Jones JD. 2013. In planta effector competition assays detect Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis effectors that contribute to virulence and localize to different plant subcellular compartments. Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions 26: 745–757.
- Barrett LG, Thrall PH, Dodds PN, van der Merwe M, Linde CC, Lawrence GJ, Burdon JJ. 2009. Diversity and evolution of effector loci in natural populations of the plant pathogen *Melampsora lini*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 26: 2499–2513.
- Belan LL, Pozza EA, Freitas MLO, Souza RM, Jesus-Junior WC, Oliveira JM. 2013. Diagrammatic scale for assessment of bacterial blight in coffee leaves. *Journal of Phytopathology* 162: 801–810.
- Bettencourt AJ, Rodrigues-Junior CJ. 1988. Principles and practice of coffee breeding for resistance to rust and other diseases. In: Clarke RJ, Macrae R, eds. *Coffee*. London, UK: Elsevier Applied Science, 199–234.

- Birch PRJ, Rehmany AP, Pritchard L, Kamoun S, Beynon JL. 2006. Trafficking arms: oomycete effectors enter host plant cells. *Trends in Microbiology* 14: 8– 11.
- Bowen JK, Mesarich CH, Ress-George J, Cui W, Fitzgerald A, Win J, Plummer KM, Templeton MD. 2009. Candidate effector gene identification in the ascomycete fungal phytopathogen *Venturia inaequalis* by expressed sequence tag analysis. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 10: 431–448.
- van Boxtel J, Berthouly M, Carasco C, Dufour M, Eskes A. 1995. Transient expression of β-glucuronidase following biolistic delivery of foreign DNA into coffee tissues. *Plant Cell Reports* 14: 748–752.
- Bruce M, Neugebauer KA, Joly DL, Migeon P, Cuomo CA, Wang S, Akhunov E, Bakkeren G, Kolmer JA, Fellers JP. 2014. Using transcription of six *Puccinia triticina* races to identify the effective secretome during infection of wheat. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 4: 520.
- van den Burg HA, Harrison SJ, Joosten MH, Vervoort J, de Wit PJ. 2006. *Cladosporium fulvum* Avr4 protects fungal cell walls against hydrolysis by plant chitinases accumulating during infection. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* 19: 1420–1430.
- Cabral A, Stassen JHM, Seidl MF, Bautor J, Parker JE, van den Ackerveken G. 2011. Identification of *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis* transcript sequences expressed during infection reveals isolate-specific effectors. *PLoS ONE* 6: e19328.
- Caillaud M-C, Asai S, Rallapalli G, Piquerez S, Fabro G, Jonathan DGJG. 2013. A downy mildew effector attenuates salicylic acid-triggered immunity in arabidopsis by interacting with the host mediator complex. *PLoS Biology* 11: e1001732.
- Cantu D, Govindarajulu M, Kozik A, Wang M, Chen X, Kojima KK, Jurka J, Michelmore RW, Dubcovsky J. 2011. Next generation sequencing provides rapid access to the genome of *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*, the causal agent of wheat stripe rust. *PLoS ONE* 6: e24230.
- Cantu D, Segovia V, MacLean D, Bayles R, Chen X, Kamoun S, Dubcovsky J, Saunders DGO, Uauy C. 2013. Genome analyses of the wheat yellow (stripe) rust pathogen *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* reveal polymorphic and haustorial expressed secreted proteins as candidate effectors. *BMC Genomics* 14: 270.
- Catanzariti AM, Dodds PN, Lawrence GJ, Ayliffe MA, Ellis JG. 2006. Haustorially-expressed secreted proteins from flax rust are highly enriched for avirulence elicitors. *Plant Cell* 18: 243–256.
- Cristancho MA, Botero-Rozo DO, Giraldo W, Tabima J, Riaño-Pachón DM, Escobar C, Rozo Y, Rivera LF, Durán A, Restrepo S *et al.* 2014. Annotation of a hybrid partial genome of the coffee rust (*Hemileia vastatrix*) contributes to the gene repertoire catalog of the Pucciniales. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 5: 594.
- Dodds PN, Lawrence GJ, Catanzariti AM, Ayliffe MA, Ellis JG. 2004. The *Melampsora lini AvrL567* avirulence genes are expressed in haustoria and their products are recognized inside plant cells. *Plant Cell* 16: 755–768.
- Dodds PN, Lawrence GJ, Catanzariti A-M, Teh T, Wang CIA, Ayliffe MA, Kobe B, Ellis JG. 2006. Direct protein interaction underlies gene-for-gene specificity and coevolution of the flax resistance genes and flax rust avirulence genes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 103: 8888–8893.
- Dodds PN, Rafiqi M, Gan PHP, Hardham AR, Jones DA, Ellis JG. 2009. Effectors of biotrophic fungi and oomycetes: pathogenicity factors and triggers of host resistance. *New Phytologist* 183: 993–1000.
- Dodds PN, Rathjen JP. 2010. Plant immunity: towards an integrated view of plant–pathogen interaction. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 11: 539–548.
- Duplessis S, Cuomo CA, Lin YC, Aerts A, Tisserant E, Veneault-Fourreya C, Joly DL, Hacquard S, Amselem J, Cantarel BL et al. 2011. Obligate biotrophy features unraveled by the genomic analysis of rust fungi. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 108: 9166–9171.
- van Esse HP, Bolton MD, Stergiopoulos I, Wit PJGM, Thomma BPHJ. 2007. The chitin-binding *Cladosporium fulvum* effector protein Avr4 is a virulence factor. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 20: 1092–1101.
- Fabro G, Steinbrenner J, Coates M, Ishaque N, Baxter L, Studholme DJ, Körner E, Allen RL, Piquerez SJM, Rougon-Cardoso A *et al.* 2011. Multiple candidate effectors from the oomycete pathogen *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis* suppress host plant immunity. *PLoS Pathogens* 7: e1002348.
- Fernandez D, Tisserant E, Talhinha P, Azinheira H, Vieira A, Petitot AS, Loureiro A, Poulain J. 2012. 454-pyrosequencing of *Coffea arabica* leaves

- infected by the rust fungus *Hemileia vastatrix* reveals *in planta*-expressed pathogen-secreted proteins and plant functions in a late compatible plant–rust interaction. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **13**: 17–37.
- Flor HH. 1942. Inherence of pathogenicity in *Melampsora lini*. *Phytopathology* 32: 653–669.
- Ganesh D, Petitot AS, Silva MC, Alary R, Lecouls AC, Fernandez D. 2006. Monitoring of the early molecular resistance responses of coffee (*Coffea arabica* L) to the rust fungus (*Hemileia vastatrix*) using real-time quantitative RT-PCR. *Plant Science* 170: 1045–1051.
- Garnica DP, Upadhyaya NM, Dodds PN, Rathjen JP. 2013. Strategies for wheat stripe rust pathogenicity identified by transcriptome sequencing. *PLoS ONE* 8: e67150.
- Gichuru EK, Ithiru JM, Silva MC, Pereira AP, Várzea VMP. 2012. Additional physiological races of coffee leaf rust (*Hemileia vastatrix*) identified in Kenya. *Tropical Plant Pathology* 37: 424–427.
- Godfrey D, Böhlenius H, Pedersen C, Zhang Z, Emmersen J, Thordal-Christensen H. 2010. Powdery mildew fungal effector candidates share Nterminal Y/F/WxC-motif. *BMC Genomics* 11: 317.
- Goritschnig S, Krasileva KV, Dahlbeck D, Staskawicz BJ. 2012. Computational prediction and molecular characterization of an oomycete effector and the cognate Arabidopsis resistance gene. *PLoS Genetics* 8: e1002502.
- Gout L, Fudal I, Kuhn ML, Blaise F, Eckert M, Cattolico L, Balesdent MH, Rouxel T. 2006. Lost in the middle of nowhere: the *AvrLm1* avirulence gene of the Dothideomycete *Leptosphaeria maculans*. *Molecular Microbiology* 60: 67– 80.
- Grant SR, Fisher EJ, Chang JH, Mole BM, Dangl JL. 2006. Subterfuge and manipulation: type III effector proteins of phytopathogenic bacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology* **60**: 425–449.
- Guyon K, Balagué C, Roby D, Raffaele S. 2014. Secretome analysis reveals effector candidates associated with broad host range necrotrophy in the fungal plant pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum. BMC Genomics* 15: 336.
- Haas BJ, Kamoun S, Zody MC, Jiang RH, Handsaker RE, Cano LM, Grabherr M, Kodira CD, Raffaele S, Torto-Alalibo T *et al.* 2009. Genome sequence and analysis of the Irish potato famine pathogen *Phytophthora infestans. Nature* 461: 393–398.
- Hacquard S, Joly DL, Lin YC, Tisserant E, Feau N, Delaruelle C, Legué V, Kohler A, Tanquay P, Peter B et al. 2012. A comprehensive analysis of genes encoding small secreted protein identifies candidate effectors in *Melampsora larici-populina* (poplar leaf rust). *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* 25: 279– 293.
- van der Hoorn RAL, Laurent F, Roth R, de Wit PJ. 2000. Agroinfilltration is a versatile tool that facilitates comparative analyses of Avr9/Cf-9-induced and Avr4/Cf-4-induced necrosis. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* 13: 439–446.
- Jiang RHY, Tripathy S, Govers F, Tyler BM. 2008. RXLR effector reservoir in two *Phytophthora* species is dominated by a single rapidly evolving superfamily with more than 700 members. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *USA* 105: 4874–4879.
- Joly DL, Feau N, Tanguay P, Hamelin RC. 2010. Comparative analysis of secreted protein evolution using expressed sequence tags from four poplar leaf rusts (*Melampsora* spp.). *BMC Genomics* 11: 422.
- Jones JDG, Dangl L. 2006. The plant immune system. *Nature* 444: 323–329. de Jonge R, van Esse HP, Kombrink A, Shinya T, Desaki Y, Bours R, van der
- Krol S, Shibuya N, Joosten MH, Thoma BP. 2010. Conserved fungal LysM effector Ecp6 prevents chitin-triggered immunity in plants. *Science* **329**: 953–955.
- Kamoun S. 2006. A catalogue of the effector secretome of plant pathogenic oomycetes. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 44: 41–60.
- Kämper J, Kahmann R, Bölker M, Ma LJ, Brefort T, Saville BJ, Banuett F, Kronstad JW, Gold SE, Müller O *et al.* 2006. Insights from the genome of the biotrophical fungal plant pathogen *Ustilago maydis. Nature* 444: 97–101.
- Kemen E, Gardiner A, Schultz-Larsen T, Kemen AC, Balmuth AL, Robert-Seilaniantz A, Bailey K, Holub E, Studholme DJ, Maclean D *et al.* 2011. Gene gain and loss during evolution of obligate parasitism in the white rust pathogen of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS Biology* **9**: e1001094.
- Kemen E, Kemen A, Ehlers A, Voegele R, Mendgen K. 2013. A novel structural effector from rust fungi is capable of fibril formation. *Plant Journal* 75: 767–780.

Kemen E, Kemen AC, Rafiqi M, Hempel U, Mendgen K, Hanh M, Voegele R. 2005. Identification of a protein from rust fungi transferred from haustoria into infected plant cells. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 18: 1130–1139.

Korobko A, Wondimagegne E. 1997. Bacterial blight of coffee (*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *garcae*) in Ethiopia. In: Rudoldh K, Burr TJ, Mansfield JW, Stead D, Vivian A, Von KietzeleJ, eds. Pseudomonas syringae *and related pathogens*. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Springer Netherlands, 538–541.

Kulkarni RD, Kelkar HS, Dean RA. 2003. An eight-cysteine-containing CFEM domain unique to a group of fungal membrane proteins. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* 28: 118–121.

Lassmann T, Frings O, Sonnhammer ELL. 2009. Kalign2: high-performance multiple alignment of protein and nucleotide sequences allowing external features. *Nucleic Acids Research* 37: 858–865.

Lee SJ, Kelley BS, Damasceno CMB, Jonh BS, Kim BS, Kim BD, Rose JKC. 2006. A functional screen to characterize the secretomes of eukaryotic pathogens and their host *in planta*. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 19: 1368–1377.

Link TI, Lang P, Scheffler BE, Duke MV, Graham MA, Cooper B, Tucker ML, van de Mortel M, Voegele RT, Mendgen K *et al.* 2014. The haustorial transcriptomes of *Uromyces appendiculatus* and *Phakopsora pachyrhizi* and their candidate effector families. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 15: 379–393.

Liu C, Pedersen C, Schultz-Larsen T, Aguilar GB, Madriz-Ordeñana K, Hovmøller MS, Thordal-Christensen H. 2016. The stripe rust fungal effector PEC6 suppresses pattern-triggered immunity in a host species-independent manner and interacts with adenosine kinases. *New Phytologist*. doi: 10.1111/nph.14034.

Maia TA, Maciel-Zambolim E, Caixeta ET, Mizubuti ESG, Zambolim L. 2013. The population structure of *Hemileia vastatrix* in Brazil inferred from AFLP. *Australasian Plant Pathology* 42: 533–542.

Martins EMF, Moraes WBC. 1996. Development of *Hemileia vastatrix* in coffee plants with genetic and induced resistance. *Journal of Phytopathology* 144: 519–526.

Matsuoka K, Vanetti CA. 1993. Mudanças histopatológicas causadas por *Hemileia vastatrix* em cafeeiros apresentando diferentes níveis de resistência. *Fitopatologia Brasileira* 18: 484–493.

McCain JW, Hennen JF. 1984. Development of the uredinial thallus and sorus in the orange coffee rust fungus, *Hemileia vastatrix*. *Phytopathology* 74: 714–721.

Mukhtar MS, Carvunis AR, Dreze M, Epple P, Steinbrenner J, Moore J, Tasan M, Galli M, Hao T, Nishimura MT *et al.* 2011. Independently evolved virulence effectors converge onto hubs in a plant immune system network. *Science* 333: 596–601.

Nemri A, Saunders DG, Anderson C, Upadhyaya NM, Win J, Lawrence GJ, Jones DA, Kamoun S, Ellis JG, Dodds PN. 2014. The genome sequence and effector complement of the flax rust pathogen *Melampsora lini. Frontiers in Plant Science* 5: 98.

Noronha-Wagner M, Bettencourt AJ. 1967. Genetic study of the resistance of *Coffea* spp. to leaf rust: identification and behavior of four factors conditioning disease reaction in *Coffea arabica* to twelve physiologic races of *Hemileia vastatrix. Canadian Journal of Botany* 45: 2021–2031.

Nunes CC, Maffia LA, Mizubuti ESG, Brommonschenkel SH, Silva JC. 2009. Genetic diversity of populations of *Hemileia vastatrix* from organic and conventional coffee plantations in Brazil. *Australasian Plant Pathology* 38: 445–452.

Oliveira JR, Romeiro RS, Muchovej JJ. 1991. Population tendencies of Pseudomonas cichorri and P. syringae pv. garcae in young and mature coffee leaves. Journal of Phytopathology 131: 210–214.

Panstruga R, Dodds PN. 2009. Terrific protein traffic: the mystery of effector protein delivery by filamentous plant pathogens. *Science* 324: 748–750.

Pendleton AL, Smith KE, Feau N, Martin FM, Grigoriev IV, Hamelin R, Nelson CD, Burleigh JG, Davis JM. 2014. Duplications and losses in gene families of rust pathogens highlight putative effectors. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 5: 299.

Petre B, Saunders DGO, Sklenar J, Lorrain C, Win J, Duplessis S, Kamoun S. 2015. Candidate effector proteins of the rust pathogen *Melampsora laricipopulina* target diverse plant cell compartments. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 28: 689–700.

Povolotskaya IS, Kondrashov FA. 2010. Sequence space and the ongoing expansion of the protein universe. *Nature* 465: 922–926.

Pozza EA, Carvalho VL, Chalfoun SM. 2010. Sintomas de injurias causadas por doenças do cafeeiro. In: Guimarães RJ, Mendes ANG, Baliza DP, eds. Semiologia do Cafeeiro. Lavras, Brasil: Editora UFLA, 67–106. Pretsch K, Kemen A, Kemen E, Geiger M, Mendgen K, Voegele R. 2013. The rust transferred proteins – a new family of effector proteins exhibiting protease inhibitor function. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 14: 96–107.

Rafiqi M, Bernoux M, Ellis JG, Dodds PN. 2009. In the trenches of plant pathogen recognition: role of NB-LRR proteins. Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology 20: 1017–1024.

Rafiqi M, Gan PHP, Ravensdale M, Lawrence GJ, Ellis JG, Jones DA, Hardham AR, Dodds PN. 2010. Internalization of flax rust avirulence proteins into flax and tobacco cells can occur in the absence of the pathogen. *Plant Cell* 22: 2017–2032.

Ramiro DA, Escoube J, Petitot AS, Nicole M, Maluf MP, Fernandez D. 2009. Biphasic haustorial differentiation of coffee rust (*Hemileia vastatrix* race II) associated with defence responses in resistant and susceptible coffee cultivars. *Plant Pathology* **58**: 944–955.

Ramos AH, Shavdia LD. 1976. A dieback of coffee in Kenya. Plant Disease Report 60: 831–835.

Ribas AF, Cenci A, Combes MC, Etienne H, Lashermes P. 2011. Organization and molecular evolution of a disease-resistance gene cluster in coffee trees. *BMC Genomics* 12: 240.

Rodrigues-Junior CJ, Bettencourt AJ, Rijo L. 1975. Races of the pathogen and resistance to coffee rust. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*. 13: 49–70.

Saunders DGO, Win J, Cano LM, Szabo LJ, Kamoun S, Raffaele S. 2012. Using hierarchical clustering of secreted protein families to classify and rank candidate effectors of rust fungi. *PLoS ONE* 7: e29847.

Selin C, Kievit TR, Belmonte MF, Fernando WGD. 2016. Elucidating the role of effectors in plant-fungal interactions: progress and challenges. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 7: 600.

Sharma S, Sharma S, Hirabuchi A, Yoshida K, Fujisaki K, Ito A, Uemura A, Terauchi R, Kamoun S, Sohn KH *et al.* 2013. Deployment of the *Burkholderia glumae* type III secretion system as an efficient tool for translocating pathogen effectors to monocot cells. *Plant Journal* 74: 701–712.

Silva MC, Guerra-Guimarães L, Loureiro A, Nicole MR. 2008. Involvement of peroxidases in the coffee resistance to orange rust (*Hemileia vastatrix*). *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* 72: 29–38.

Silva MC, Nicole M, Guerra-Guimarães L, Rodrigues-Junior CJ. 2002. Hypersensitive cell death and post-haustorial defence responses arrest the orange rust (*Hemileia vastatrix*) growth in resistance coffee leaves. *Physiological* and Molecular Plant Pathology 60: 169–183.

Sohn KH, Lei R, Nemri A, Jones JDG. 2007. The downy mildew effector proteins ATR1 and ATR13 promote disease susceptibility in *Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell* **19**: 4077–4090.

Spanu PD, Abbott JC, Amselem J, Burgis TA, Soanes DM, Stüber K, Ver Loren van Themaat E, Brown JKM, Butcher SA, Gurr SJ *et al.* 2010. Genome expansion and gene loss in powdery mildew fungi reveal tradeoffs in extreme parasitism. *Science* 330: 1543–1546.

Sperschneider J, Gardiner DM, Dodds PN, Tini F, Covarelli L, Singh KB, Manners JM, Taylor JM. 2015. EffectorP: predicting fungal effector proteins from secretomes using machine learning. *New Phytologist* 210: 743–761.

Stergiopoulos I, de Wit PJ. 2009. Fungal effector proteins. Annual Review of Phytopathology 47: 233–263.

Takken FLW, Tameling IL. 2009. To nibble at plant resistance proteins. *Science* 324: 744–746.

Talhinhas P, Azinheira HG, Vieira B, Loureiro A, Tavares S, Batista D,
Morin E, Petitot AS, Paulo OS, Poulain J *et al.* 2014. Overview of the functional virulent genome of the coffee leaf rust pathogen *Hemileia vastatrix* with an emphasis on early stages of infection. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 5: 1–17.

Templeton MD, Rikkerink EHA, Beever RE. 1994. Small, cysteine-rich proteins and recognition in fungal–plant interactions. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions.* 7: 320–325.

Thakur S, Weir BS, Guttman DS. 2016. Phytopathogen genome annoucement: draft genome sequences of 62 *Pseudomonas syringae* type and pathotype strains. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*. 29: 243–246.

Toruño TY, Stergiopoulos I, Coaker G. 2016. Plant pathogen effectors: cellular probes interfering with plant defenses in a spatial and temporal manner. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **54**: 18.1–18.23.

Upadhyaya NM, Mago R, Staskawicz BJ, Ayliffe MA, Ellis JG, Dodds PN. 2014. A bacterial type III secretion assay for delivery of fungal effector proteins into wheat. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*. 27: 255–264.

Várzea VMP, Marques DV. 2005. Population variability of *Hemileia vastatrix* vs. coffee durable resistance. In: Zambolim L, Zambolim-Maciel EM, Várzea VMP, eds. *Durable resistance to coffee leaf rust*. Viçosa, Brazil: UFV Press, 53–74.

Vieira A, Talhinhas P, Loureiro A, Thürich J, Duplessis S, Fernandez D, Silva MC, Paulo OS. 2012. Expression profiling of genes involved in the biotrophic colonisation of *Coffea arabica* leaves by *Hemileia vastatrix*. European Journal of Plant Pathology 133: 261–277.

Vleeshouwers VG, Rietman H, Krenek P, Champouret N, Young C, Oh SK, Wang M, Bouwmeester K, Vosman B, Visser RG *et al.* 2008. Effector genomics accelerates discovery and functional profiling of potato disease resistance and *Phytophthora infestans* avirulence genes. *PLoS ONE* 3: e2875.

Wirthmueller L, Zhang Y, Jones JD, Parker JE. 2007. Nuclear accumulation of the *Arabidopsis* immune receptor RPS4 is necessary for triggering EDS1dependent defense. *Current Biology* 17: 2023–2029.

Zambolim L, Vale FXR, Zambolim EM. 2005. Doenças do Cafeeiro. In: Kimati A, Amorim L, Rezende JAM, Bergamin FilhoA, Camargo LEA eds. *Manual de Fitopatologia*, 4th edn. Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil: Agronomica Ceres, 165–180.

Zheng W, Huang L, Huang J, Wang X, Chen X, Zhao J, Guo J, Zhuang H, Qiu C, Liu J et al. 2013. High genome heterozygosity and endemic genetic recombination in the wheat stripe rust fungus. *Nature Communications* 4: 2673.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information tab for this article:

Fig. S1 Light microscopy pictures showing urediniospores of *Hemileia vastatrix* Hv-01 germinated on polystyrene plates for 16 h.

Fig. S2 Secretion of HvECs in yeast.

Fig. S3 HvEC-016 does not alter multiplication of *Pseudomonas* syringae pv. garcae 1202 in vitro.

Table S1 Similarity of *Hemileia vastatrix* secreted proteins expressed

 in germinated urediniospores to other fungal proteins

Table S2 Selected *HvECs* with full-length ORFs identified in theHEVA-Sanger library

Table S3 Predicted proteins from the *Hemileia vastatrix* secre-tome showing significant similarity to haustorially-expressed pro-teins from other rust fungi

Table S4 Selected HvECs with full-length ORFs identified inCAHV libraries

Table S5 Primers used to amplify full-length *HvECs* from cDNA by PCR to validate the secretion of corresponding proteins in yeast, and/or from genomic DNA to determine their number of introns

Table S6 Primers used for RT-PCR

Methods S1 Urediniospores germination.

Methods S2 HEVA-Sanger library construction and sequence analysis.

Methods S3 CAHV-Sanger library construction and sequence analysis.

Methods S4 CAHV-454 library construction and sequence analysis.

Methods S5 Secretome prediction.

Methods S6 Yeast secretion assay.

Methods S7 Identification of *HvECs* introns.

Methods S8 Nonquantitative RT-PCR.

Methods S9 Quantitative RT-PCR.

Methods S10 Bacterial strains and EDV constructions.

Methods S11 Plant material and assays.

Methods S12 CyaA assay.

Notes S1 Sequencing statistics for cDNA libraries from *Hemileia vastatrix* prebiotrophic and biotrophic phases of the infection cycle.

Notes S2 Fungal origin and expression of candidate *Hemileia* vastatrix effectors.

Notes S3 Number of introns of a select group of *HvEC* genes.

Notes S4 Alignment of genomic and cDNA nucleotide sequences of candidate *Hemileia vastatrix* effector genes.

Notes S5 Coffee genotypes carrying S_{H1} used to determine the effect of HvEC-016 on multiplication of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *garcae* 1202.

Please note: Wiley Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any Supporting Information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.