

The existence of optimal quaternary $[28, 20, 6]$ and quantum $[[28, 12, 6]]$ codes

Research Article

Vladimir D. Tonchev *

Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan 49931-1295, USA

Abstract: The existence of a quantum $[[28, 12, 6]]$ code was one of the few cases for codes of length $n \leq 30$ that was left open in the seminal paper by Calderbank, Rains, Shor, and Sloane [2]. The main result of this paper is the construction of the first optimal linear quaternary $[28, 20, 6]$ code which contains its Hermitian dual code and yields the first optimal quantum $[[28, 12, 6]]$ code.

2010 MSC: 94B05, 94B65, 81-99

Keywords: Quantum code, Optimal code

1. Introduction

We assume familiarity with the basics of classical and quantum error-correcting codes [2], [5].

The *Hermitian* inner product in $GF(4)^n$ is defined as

$$(x, y)_H = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i^2, \quad (1)$$

while the *trace* inner product in $GF(4)^n$ is defined as

$$(x, y)_T = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i y_i^2 + x_i^2 y_i). \quad (2)$$

A code C is *self-orthogonal* if $C \subseteq C^\perp$, and *self-dual* if $C = C^\perp$. A linear code $C \subseteq GF(4)^n$ is self-orthogonal with respect to the trace product (2) if and only if it is self-orthogonal with respect to the Hermitian product (1) [2].

* E-mail: tonchev@mtu.edu

An additive $(n, 2^k)$ code C over $GF(4)$ is a subset of $GF(4)^n$ consisting of 2^k vectors which is closed under addition. An additive code is *even* if the weight of every codeword is even, and otherwise *odd*. Note that an even additive code is trace self-orthogonal, and a linear self-orthogonal code is even [2]. If C is an $(n, 2^k)$ additive code with weight enumerator

$$W(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^n A_j x^{n-j} y^j, \quad (3)$$

the weight enumerator of the trace-dual code C^\perp is given by

$$W^\perp = 2^{-k} W(x + 3y, x - y) \quad (4)$$

In their seminal paper [2], Calderbank, Rains, Shor and Sloane described a method for the construction of quantum error-correcting codes from additive codes that are self-orthogonal with respect to the trace product (2).

Theorem 1.1. [2] *An additive trace self-orthogonal $(n, 2^{n-k})$ code C such that there are no vectors of weight $< d$ in $C^\perp \setminus C$ yields a quantum code with parameters $[[n, k, d]]$.*

A quantum code associated with an additive code C is *pure* if the minimum distance of C^\perp is d ; otherwise, the code is called *impure*. A quantum code is called *linear* if the associated additive code C is linear.

A table with lower and upper bounds on the minimum distance d for quantum $[[n, k, d]]$ codes of length $n \leq 30$ is given in the paper by Calderbank, Rains, Shor and Sloane [2]. In particular, according to Table III on page 1382 in [2], the largest minimum distance d of a known quantum $[[28, 12]]$ code is $d = 5$, while the best upper bound is $d \leq 6$. In the next section, we describe a simple construction of quaternary Hermitian self-orthogonal codes with parameters $[2n + 1, k + 1]$ and $[2n + 2, k + 2]$ from a given pair of Hermitian self-orthogonal $[n, k]$ codes. As an application of this construction, we find the first optimal quaternary linear $[28, 20, 6]$ which contains its dual code and hence yields the first optimal $[[28, 12, 6]]$ quantum code.

An extended version of Calderbank-Rains-Shor-Sloane table for quantum codes [2, Table III], as well as tables with bounds on the minimum distance of linear codes, was compiled by Grassl [4].

2. A doubling construction

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that C_i ($i = 1, 2$) is a linear Hermitian self-orthogonal $[n, k]$ code over $GF(4)$ with generator matrix G_i , and $x^{(i)} \in C_i^\perp$ is a vector of odd weight.*

(a) *The code C' with generator matrix*

$$G' = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} G_1 & G_2 & \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \hline x^{(1)} & 0 \dots 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad (5)$$

is a Hermitian self-orthogonal $[2n + 1, k + 1]$ code with dual distance

$$d(C')^\perp \leq \min(d(C_{11}^\perp), d(C_2^\perp)), \quad (6)$$

where C_{11} is the code spanned by the rows of G_{11} given by (7):

$$G_{11} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} G_1 & \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \hline x^{(1)} & 1 \end{array} \right). \quad (7)$$

(b) The code C'' with generator matrix

$$G'' = \left(\begin{array}{cc|ccc} & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & G_1 & & G_2 & \dots & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & 0 \\ x^{(1)} & & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \dots & 0 & & x^{(2)} & & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad (8)$$

is a Hermitian self-orthogonal $[2n+2, k+2]$ code with dual distance

$$d(C'')^\perp \leq \min(d(C_{11}^\perp), d(C_{22}^\perp)), \quad (9)$$

where C_{22} is the code spanned by the rows of G_{22} given by (10):

$$G_{22} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} & 0 \\ G_2 & \dots \\ \hline & 0 \\ x^{(2)} & 1 \end{array} \right). \quad (10)$$

Proof. The self-orthogonality of C' and C'' follows from the fact that all rows of G' and G'' have even weights, and every pair of rows of G' , as well as every pair of rows of G'' , are pairwise orthogonal. Since the weight of $x^{(1)}$ (resp. $x^{(2)}$) is odd, $x^{(1)}$ does not belong to C_1 , and $x^{(2)}$ does not belong to C_2 , and that implies the dimensions of C' and C'' . The bounds (6), (9) on the dual distance follow trivially by the observation that every codeword of C_{11}^\perp (resp. C_{22}^\perp) extends to a codeword of $(C')^\perp$ (resp. $(C'')^\perp$) by filling in all remaining coordinates with zeros. \square

It is worth mentioning that since C_1 and C_2 are self-orthogonal, their minimum distances are trivial upper bounds on the minimum dual distances $d(C')^\perp$ and $d(C'')^\perp$. For example, if $d(C_1) = 2$ then $d(C')^\perp \leq 2$.

We note also that using codes C_1, C_2 with large minimum distances is a necessary, but not always sufficient condition for large dual distances $d(C')^\perp$ and $d(C'')^\perp$. For example, if $G_1 = G_2$ and $x^{(1)}$ is the all-one vector, then for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, the columns of (5) with indices $i, i+n$ and $2n+1$ determine a codeword of weight 3 in $(C')^\perp$.

These simple observations illustrate that one cannot expect a good general lower bound on $d(C')^\perp$ or $d(C'')^\perp$, and finding codes C_1, C_2 with appropriate generator matrices G_1, G_2 and vectors $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}$ which lead to optimal dual distances $d(C')^\perp$ and $d(C'')^\perp$ is not a trivial task.

Using the connection to quantum codes described in Theorem 1.1, Lemma 2.1 implies the following.

Corollary 2.2. *The existence of quaternary Hermitian self-orthogonal $[n, k]$ codes C_i ($i = 1, 2$) satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 implies the existence of a pure quantum linear $[[2n+1, 2n-2k-1, d']]$ code with $d' \leq \min(d(C_{11}^\perp), d(C_2^\perp))$, and a pure quantum linear $[[2n+2, 2n-2k-2, d'']]$ code with $d'' \leq \min(d(C_{11}^\perp), d(C_{22}^\perp))$.*

We will apply Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 to some self-orthogonal codes of length $n = 2k+1$ being shortened codes of extremal self-dual $[2k+2, k+1]$ codes, that is, self-dual codes having maximum possible minimum distance for the given code length.

Example 2.3. The matrix

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & \omega & \omega \\ 0 & 1 & \omega & \omega & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the generator matrix of a self-orthogonal $[5, 2, 4]$ code C_1 over $GF(4) = \{0, 1, \omega, \omega^2\}$. The code C_1 is a shortened code of the unique (up to equivalence) self-dual $[6, 3, 4]$ code. Applying Lemma 2.1 with

$C_2 = C_1$, $G_2 = G_1$, and $x^{(1)} = x^{(2)}$ being the all-one vector of length 5, gives a self-orthogonal $[11, 3]$ code C' with dual distance 3 and a self-orthogonal $[12, 4]$ code C'' with dual distance 4, which gives optimal quantum $[[11, 5, 3]]$ and $[[12, 4, 4]]$ codes respectively via Corollary 2.2.

Example 2.4. A pair of self-orthogonal $[7, 3]$ codes obtained as shortened codes of the unique (up to equivalence) self-dual $[8, 4, 4]$ code can be used to obtain optimal quantum $[[15, 7, 3]]$ and $[[16, 6, 4]]$ codes.

3. An optimal quantum $[[28, 12, 6]]$ code

The smallest parameters of a self-dual quaternary linear code that yields a quantum code with minimum distance $d \geq 5$ via Corollary 2.2 are $[14, 7, 6]$. The only such code, up to equivalence, is the quaternary extended quadratic residue code q_{14} [6, page 340]. We apply Lemma 2.1 using the pair of self-orthogonal $[13, 6]$ codes C_1, C_2 generated by the following matrices:

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0000100210233 \\ 3000010021023 \\ 3300001002102 \\ 2330000100210 \\ 0233000010021 \\ 1023300001002 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0000113023002 \\ 2000011302300 \\ 0200001130230 \\ 0020000113023 \\ 3002000011302 \\ 2300200001130 \end{pmatrix},$$

where for convenience, the elements ω and ω^2 of $GF(4)$ are written as 2 and 3 respectively. The matrices G_1, G_2 are circulant. The codes C_1, C_2 are cyclic and equivalent to a shortened code of q_{14} .

Choosing $x^{(1)} = x^{(2)}$ to be the all-one vector of length 13, we obtain the generator matrix G' (5) of a self-orthogonal $[27, 7]$ code C' with dual distance 5, and the generator matrix G'' (8) of a self-orthogonal $[28, 8]$ code with dual distance 6. The matrix G'' is available on line at

<http://www.math.mtu.edu/~tonchev/gm28-8.html>

By Corollary 2.2, C' gives a pure optimal quantum $[[27, 13, 5]]$ code, while C'' gives a pure optimal quantum $[[28, 12, 6]]$ code.

An alternative geometric construction of a quantum code with the first parameters, $[[27, 13, 5]]$, was given by the author in [7]. To the best of our knowledge, the quantum code with the second parameters, $[[28, 12, 6]]$, is the first known quantum code with these parameters (a quantum $[[28, 12, 5]]$ code was listed in [2]).

The weight distribution of the $[28, 8]$ code C'' is given in Table 1.

The weight enumerator of the dual $[28, 20]$ code $(C'')^\perp$ is

$$1 + 6240y^6 + 37128y^7 + 314223y^8 + 2044848y^9 + 11883768y^{10} + \dots$$

We note that the code $(C'')^\perp$ is an optimal linear $[28, 20, 6]$ quaternary code: 6 is the best theoretical upper bound on the minimum distance of a quaternary linear $[28, 20]$ code. The largest minimum distance of any previously known $[28, 20]$ code was 5 [3], [4].

Acknowledgments

Magma [1] was used for some of the computations. This research was partially supported by NSA Grant H98230-12-0213. The author wishes to thank the unknown reviewers for noticing some typos and suggesting several improvements of the text layout and notation.

Table 1.

w	A_w
12	39
14	6
16	3198
18	9204
20	18213
22	22854
24	10569
26	1248
28	204

References

- [1] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, J., *Handbook of Magma Functions*, Department of Mathematics, University of Sydney, 1994.
- [2] A. R. Calderbank, E. M. Rains, P. W. Shor, and N. J. A. Sloane, *Quantum error correction via codes over $GF(4)$* , IEEE Trans. Information Theory, 44(4), 1369-1387, 1998.
- [3] A. E. Brouwer, *Tables of linear codes*, <http://www.win.tue.nl/aeb/>.
- [4] M. Grassl, <http://www.codetables.de>.
- [5] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1977.
- [6] G. Nebe, E. M. Rains, N. J. A. Sloane, *Self-Dual Codes and Invariant Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [7] V. D. Tonchev, *Quantum codes from caps*, Discrete Math., 308, 6368-6372, 2008.