

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Functional and chemical characterisation of XAF

Citation for published version:

Nguyen-Phan, C-T & Fry, SC 2019, 'Functional and chemical characterisation of XAF: a heat-stable plant polymer that activates xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTH) ', Annals of Botany, pp. 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcz050

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1093/aob/mcz050

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Annals of Botany

Publisher Rights Statement:

The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Annals of Botany Company. All rights reserved.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1	submitted to Ann Bot 30 July 2018
2	response received 2 January 2019
3	revision submitted 10 February 2019
4	
5	Functional and chemical characterisation of XAF: a heat-stable plant polymer that
6	activates xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTH)
7	
8	Tu C. Nguyen-Phan ^{<i>a</i>} and Stephen C. Fry *
9	The Edinburgh Cell Wall Group, Institute of Molecular Plant Sciences, The University of
10	Edinburgh, Daniel Rutherford Building, The King's Buildings, Max Born Crescent,
11	Edinburgh EH9 3BF, UK.
12	^a Present address: Institute of Molecular, Cell and Systems Biology, University of Glasgow,
13	University Avenue, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK
14	
15	*Corresponding author at: The Edinburgh Cell Wall Group, Institute of Molecular Plant
16	Sciences, The University of Edinburgh, Daniel Rutherford Building, The King's Buildings,
17	Max Born Crescent, Edinburgh EH9 3BF, UK.
18	Running title: Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase activating factor (XAF)
19	
20	E-mail addresses: Camtu.nguyenphan@glasgow.ac.uk (T.C. Nguyen-Phan), S.Fry@ed.ac.uk
21	(S.C. Fry).

1 Abstract

2	Background and aims: Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTH) proteins that
3	possess xyloglucan endotransglucosylase (XET) activity contribute to cell-wall assembly and
4	remodelling, orchestrating plant growth and development. Little is known about in-vivo XET
5	regulation, other than at the XTH transcriptional level. Plants contain 'cold-water-extractable,
6	heat-stable polymers' (CHPs) which are XTH-activating factors (XAFs) that desorb and
7	thereby activate wall-bound XTHs. Since XAFs may control cell-wall modification in vivo,
8	we have further explored their nature.
9	Methods: Material was cold-water-extracted from 25 plant species; proteins were
10	precipitated by heat-denaturation, then CHP was ethanol-precipitated. For XAF assays, CHP
11	(or sub-fractions thereof) was applied to washed Arabidopsis thaliana cell walls, and
12	enzymes thus solubilised were assayed radiochemically for XET activity. In some
13	experiments, the CHP was pre-treated with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), alkali (NaOH) or
14	glycanases.
15	Key results:
16	• CHP specifically desorbed wall-bound XTHs, but not β-glucosidases, phosphatases or
17	peroxidases.
18	• CHP preparations from 25 angiosperms all possessed XAF activity but had no
19	consistent monosaccharide composition.
20	• Of eleven individual plant polymers tested, only gum arabic and tamarind xyloglucan
21	were XAF-active, albeit less so than CHP.
22	• On gel-permeation chromatography, XAF-active cauliflower CHP eluted with
23	molecular weight ~7,000–140,000, though no specific sugar residue(s) co-eluted
24	exactly with XAF activity.

1	• Cauliflower XAF activity survived cold alkali and warm dilute TFA (which break
2	ester and glycofuranosyl linkages respectively), but was inactivated by hot 2M TFA
3	(which breaks glycopyranosyl linkages).
4	• Cauliflower XAF activity was remarkably stable to diverse glycanases and
5	glycosidases.
6	Conclusions: XAFs are naturally occurring heat-stable polymers that specifically desorb
7	(thereby activating) wall-bound XTHs. Their XAF activity considerably exceeds that of gum
8	arabic and tamarind xyloglucan, and they were not identifiable as any major plant
9	polysaccharide. We propose that XAF is a specific, minor, plant polymer that regulates
10	xyloglucan transglycosylation in vivo, and thus wall assembly and restructuring.
11	
12	Key words: Cell wall, XET (xyloglucan endotransglucosylase activity), XTH (xyloglucan
13	endotransglucosylase/hydrolase), Arabidopsis thaliana, Brassica oleracea (cauliflower),
14	XAF (XET activating factor), functional properties, sugar composition, enzymic digestion,
15	plant polymer (heat-stable), wall-bound enzymes.
16	
17	APPENDIX: Abbreviations
18 19	AGP, arabinogalactan–protein; CHP, cold-water-extractable heat-stable polymer; MES, morpholinoethanesulphonic acid; PL, polylysine; PyAW, pyridine/acetic acid/water (1:1:98; nH 4.7, surlass at hermion state d). XAE, XTH activation factors XET, molecular to the state distribution of the state of the state distribution of the state of the stat

- pH 4.7, unless otherwise stated); XAF, XTH activating factor; XET, xyloglucan
- endotransglucosylase (activity); XTH, xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (protein); XXXGol, borohydride-reduced heptasaccharide of xyloglucan (xylose₃.glucose₃.glucitol).

24	Word count	
25	Abstract	292
26	Intro	1083
27	M&M	1299
28	Results	2969
29	Discussion & Conclusions	2672
30	Fig. legends	1797
31	References	1905
32	Total (Intro, M&M, Res, Discn, Concl, Legends)	9820

1 INTRODUCTION

2 The susceptibility of the primary cell wall to turgor-driven expansion is the principal factor that controls plant cell growth (Cosgrove, 1993; Fenwick et al., 1999). The tensile skeleton of 3 the PCW is established through the interlinking of cellulose microfibrils and non-cellulosic 4 5 matrix (Fry, 1989; Hayashi, 1989; McCann et al., 1990; Carpita and Gibeaut, 1993), and the loosening of this network is integral to cell expansion (Passioura and Fry, 1992). In the 6 7 primary walls of dicots and non-poalean monocots, xyloglucan and pectin are the most 8 abundant matrix polysaccharides (Pauly et al., 1999). The major tension-bearing structure in 9 such walls is often proposed to be a xyloglucan-cellulose complex, possibly via local xyloglucan/cellulose nodes (Park & Cosgrove, 2015). 10 Enzymes of xyloglucan metabolism, especially those that cleave or "cut and paste" the 11 backbone, are of interest because of their important role in controlling wall assembly, 12 extensibility and turnover. Six GH families from micro-organisms include xyloglucan 13 14 endohydrolases: GH 5, 7, 12, 16, 44 and 74 (Gilbert et al., 2008). In contrast, the only plant enzymes known to cleave the xyloglucan backbone are the xyloglucan 15 endotransglucosylase/hydrolases (XTHs; EC.2.4.1.207), which are in family GH16 (Rose et 16 17 al., 2002). Arabidopsis has 33 XTHs (Yokoyama & Nishitani, 2001), all but two of which possess essentially only xyloglucan endotransglucosylase (XET), the "cutting-and-pasting" 18 19 activity, whereas XTH31 and XTH32 exert predominantly the hydrolytic ("cutting only") activity (Zhu et al., 2012). 20 XET action was first noted in vivo (Baydoun & Fry, 1989; Smith & Fry, 1991) and the XET 21 activity of extracted enzymes was then detected in vitro (Farkaš et al., 1992; Fry et al., 1992; 22

23 Nishitani & Tominaga, 1992). XET-active enzymes have been found in all land-plants tested

24 (Fry et al., 1992; Stratilová et al., 2010) and in some charophytes (Fry et al., 2008).

1	XET action <i>in vivo</i> can re-structure pairs of existing wall-bound xyloglucan chains
2	(Thompson & Fry 2001) and can attach newly secreted xyloglucan chains to existing wall-
3	bound ones (Thompson et al., 1997). XTH proteins may thereby contribute to both wall-
4	loosening, facilitating cell expansion, and wall assembly, depending on the molecular size,
5	location and age of the participating xyloglucan chains (Maris et al., 2009; Thompson & Fry,
6	2001; Van Sandt et al., 2007; Nishitani and Matsuda, 1982; Osato et al., 2006). Correlative
7	evidence supporting a role for XTHs in wall loosening includes the observation that
8	extractable XET activity correlates with various aspects of plant physiology, such as seedling
9	growth (Farkaš et al., 1992; Fanutti et al., 1993), later cell expansion (Fry et al., 1992),
10	somatic embryogenesis (Hetherington and Fry, 1993) and fruit ripening (Redgwell and Fry,
11	1993; Miedes and Lorences, 2009; Brummell, 2006, Goulao et al., 2007). Correlative
12	evidence for the role of XTHs in wall assembly or tightening includes the finding that
13	expression of AtXTH22 (formerly known as TCH4), a touch-inducible protein, was rapidly
14	upregulated by hormones (IAA and 24-epibrassinolide) and by touch, darkness, heat shock
15	and cold shock, leading to alterations in plant elongation (Braam, 1992, Braam & Davis,
16	1990; Xu et al., 1995). Lee et al. (2005) confirmed that several XTH genes are up- and down-
17	regulated in touched and darkness-treated arabidopsis, correlating with changes in growth
18	rate.

More direct evidence for positive roles of specific XTHs in growth comes from molecular
biological experiments: for example, a decrease in *AtXTH18* mRNA abundance by RNAi
resulted in a significant reduction in the epidermal cell length of the arabidopsis primary root
(Osato *et al.*, 2006); and higher expression of a *Brassica campestris* homologue of *AtXTH19*in arabidopsis evoked a pronounced increase in cell expansion (Maris *et al.*, 2009).
Although many studies focusing on the regulation of XTHs have monitored *XTH* gene

expression and extractable XET enzyme activity, little is known about how the action of pre-

1 formed XTH molecules may be regulated in vivo. Such regulation may be important for wall 2 assembly and growth regulation.

The attachment and detachment of XTHs to and from the primary cell wall may be important 3 for governing their action in vivo. We assume that an XTH molecule that is firmly bound to 4 5 the wall would be able to act on very few (or no) xyloglucan chains, because of the exact 6 siting of the enzyme relative to that of its polysaccharide substrate — especially relative to 7 the very rare (one per polysaccharide molecule) non-reducing terminal glucose residue which 8 must serve as the acceptor substrate during the XTH-catalysed interpolymeric transglycosylation reaction. Thus, firmly wall-bound, immobile XTHs may exert little or no 9 10 influence on wall assembly and remodelling. On the other hand, a solubilised (thus diffusible) XTH molecule is able to forage for xyloglucan substrates throughout the wall matrix and act 11 sequentially on several xyloglucan chains, thereby having an appreciable effect on cell-wall 12 13 properties. 14 Takeda and Fry (2004) discovered that endogenous cold-water-extractable, heat-stable

polymer(s) (CHP) from cauliflower florets act as an XTH-activating factor (XAF), promoting 15

the XET activity of XTHs. The effects of CHP were weakly mimicked by certain anionic 16

17 polysaccharides e.g. hypochlorite-oxidised (thus anionic) xyloglucan,

carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) and citrus pectin, and by gum arabic; in contrast, certain 18

19 other polyanions (e.g. alginate, λ -carrageenan, homogalacturonan and

20 methylglucuronoxylan) had the opposite effect. The results suggested that a limited range of

21 acidic wall polysaccharides may contribute to the regulation of XET action in vivo (Takeda

22 and Fry, 2004; Takeda et al., 2008).

XTHs have a tendency to bind to various surfaces, including chromatography columns 23

24 (Hrmova et al., 2007) and cellulose (Sharples et al., 2017). The activity of cellulose-

25 associated XTH was promoted by 18 out of 4216 tested xenobiotics (especially

1 anthraquinones and flavonoids; Chormova et al., 2015), though none of these compounds had 2 such an effect when all components were cellulose-free (thus soluble), suggesting that the promotion of activity was only observed when XTH-cellulose interactions were occurring. 3 Sharples et al. (2017) showed that cauliflower CHP exerts its XAF activity principally by 4 5 (re-)solubilising XTHs from surfaces (including cellulose, glass-fibre, glass and plastics) to 6 which these enzymes tend to bind. Likewise, and of more direct botanical relevance, cell 7 walls prepared from cauliflower florets, mung bean shoots and arabidopsis cell-cultures each 8 contained endogenous, tightly bound, inactive XTHs, which were rapidly solubilised, and 9 consequently activated, by the XAF of cauliflower CHP. A convenient quantitative assay for XAF acting on the natively sequestered XTHs of arabidopsis cell walls was developed and 10 this is exploited in the present paper. We have therefore been able to investigate further the 11 physiology and biochemistry of the unidentified endogenous CHPs that possess XAF activity 12 13 — agents that solubilise XTHs from their binding sites in the cell wall, activating them and 14 enabling xyloglucan re-structuring in vivo.

15

16 MATERIALS AND METHODS

17 Materials

18 The following were from Sigma–Aldrich Life Science (Gillingham, Dorset, UK): horseradish

19 peroxidase (193 purpurogallin U mg⁻¹ solid), Driselase, α -amylase (from *Bacillus*

20 *licheniformis*), larch arabinogalactan, gum arabic, cellulose powder, carboxymethylcellulose,

- 21 citrus pectin, birch-wood xylan, homogalacturonan ('polygalacturonic acid'), blue dextran,
- soluble starch, polylysine, bovine serum albumin (BSA) and general chemicals e.g. buffers.
- 23 The Driselase was partially purified as described by Fry (2000). Tamarind xyloglucan was a
- 24 generous gift of Mr K. Yamatoya, Dainippon Pharmaceutical Co., Osaka, Japan. Nasturtium

1 (*Tropaeolum majus*) xyloglucan was isolated as before (McDougall & Fry, 1989).

2 Xylohexaose, arabino-octaose, potato galactan (containing 3% arabinose residues), cellulase 3 (unable to digest xyloglucan; from Aspergillus niger), β-mannanase (Bacillus sp.), αglucosidase (yeast maltase) and endopolygalacturonase (Aspergillus aculeatus) were from 4 5 Megazyme, Bray, Ireland. A β-1,3-galactosidase ('exo-β-1,3-galactanase') from *Clostridium* thermocellum was bought from NZYTech (Haltwhistle, Northumberland, UK). XEG was a 6 generous gift from Novozymes, Bagsværd, Denmark. [³H]XXXGol was from EDIPOS 7 (http://fry.bio.ed.ac.uk/edipos.html) and had specific radioactivity $\sim 100 \text{ MBg } \mu \text{mol}^{-1}$. Merck 8 silica-gel 20×20 cm TLC plates were from VWR. Solvents and scintillants were from Fisher 9 Scientific. 10

11

12 **Preparation of CHPs**

CHPs were prepared from cauliflower florets and 24 other plant materials as described (Sharples *et al.*, 2017). In brief, the tissue was homogenised in cold water and filtered, and the soluble material was incubated at 100°C for 1 h, and filtered again. The filtrate was frozen, thawed, and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 30 min, and polymers were precipitated from the clear supernatant with 70% ethanol (16 h at 4°C). The dried pellet (CHP) was re-dissolved water or buffer, usually at 2 mg ml⁻¹, and stored at -20°C until use. Conductivity was read with a Jenway 4060 conductivity meter.

20

21 XAF assay

22 Crude cell walls from *Arabidopsis thaliana* cell-suspension cultures were isolated, water-

washed, and used in XAF assays as before (Sharples *et al.*, 2017). In brief, the cell walls were

24 dispensed into the wells of a 96-well plate (giving the equivalent of 15–18 µg dry weight per

1	well, though the cell walls were not routinely dried), re-washed in water, and incubated in 66
2	μ l (final volume) of a putative XAF solution [unless otherwise stated, made up in 200 mM
3	MES (Na ⁺ , pH 5.5) and 75 mM NaCl]. After 30 min shaking at 20°C, the cell-wall
4	suspension was centrifuged and supernatant assayed for XET activity (based on Fry et al.,
5	1992): 20 µl of supernatant was transferred into a new 96-well plate, and mixed with 20 µl of
6	radioactive XET reaction mixture (containing [³ H]XXXGol, tamarind xyloglucan, BSA and
7	chlorobutanol) so that the final reaction mixture (40 $\mu l)$ contained 100 mM MES, 37.5 μM
8	NaCl, 2 mg ml ^{-1} xyloglucan and 2.5 mg ml ^{-1} BSA and 0.25% chlorobutanol. The quantity of
9	[³ H]XXXGol was 1.0 kBq per 40-µl assay for Fig. 1, and 0.5 kBq for all other experiments.
10	After 16 h incubation at 20°C, the yield of [³ H]polysaccharide (XET reaction product) was
11	assayed.

13 Assay of four enzyme activities potentially solubilised from arabidopsis walls

14 A 1.5-ml aliquot of arabidopsis cell-wall suspension (approx 0.45 mg dry weight) was

sequentially incubated (30 min each, with gentle shaking) in (i) 7.5 ml 0.075 M NaCl

16 containing 0.2 M MES, pH 5.5; (ii) 7.5 ml CHP (2 mg ml⁻¹) in (i); and (iii) 7.5 ml 1 M NaCl

17 containing 0.2 M MES, pH 5.5. After each 30-min incubation, the suspension was

18 centrifuged, all the supernatant was removed and kept, and the residual cell walls were

- 19 resuspended in the next extractant.
- 20 Each extract was assayed for four enzyme activities:
- 21 β -Glucosidase. The extract (500 µl) was added to 500 µl 5 mM p-nitrophenyl β -D-
- 22 glucopyranoside in 0.2 M MES, pH 5.5. At the desired time-point, the reaction was stopped
- by addition of 1 ml 1 M Na₂CO₃ and the A_{400} of the released *p*-nitrophenol was read.
- 24 *Phosphatase.* As above but with *p*-nitrophenyl phosphate (Na⁺) as substrate.

1	Peroxidase. Extract (100 µl) was added to 3 ml of a reaction mixture containing 133 mM o-
2	dianisidine and 133 mM H_2O_2 , 167 mM NaH_2PO_4 and 133 mM MES (Na^+ , final pH 5.5), and
3	incubated at 20°C for 30 min. A_{420} was monitored every 30 s (Fry, 2000). HRP (1 ng in 100
4	µl sample) was used as a positive control.
5	XET. The extract (20 μ l) was added to 20 μ l of a reaction mixture containing 0.5 kBq
6	$[^{3}H]XXXGol (0.5 \text{ kBq}), 0.4\% (w/v)$ tamarind xyloglucan, 0.25% BSA and 0.5% (w/v)
7	chlorobutanol. After incubation for 0, 4, 8, 16 or 24 h, the reaction was stopped with formic
8	acid and the procedure was continued as described for the XAF assay.
9	
10	Acid hydrolysis and TLC
11	A ~200- μ g portion of each of the 25 CHP preparations was incubated in 200 μ l of 2 M
12	trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) at 120°C for 1 h. The hydrolysate was dried and redissolved in
13	water, then the whole ~100 μ g was analysed by thin-layer chromatography (TLC).
14	Column fractions of cauliflower CHP were also subjected to TFA hydrolysis essentially as
15	above. In addition, the same fractions were digested with Driselase: the sample was incubated
16	with 0.17% Driselase in PyAW for 24 h and the digestion was stopped by heating to 120°C
17	for 1 h.
18	Dionex HPLC methodology (high-pressure anion-exchange chromatography) was as
19	described by O'Rourke et al. (2015). For HPLC of Driselase digests, the yield of each sugar
20	
	was corrected for the small yield (if any) produced by Driselase autolysis. TLC was on $20 \times$
21	was corrected for the small yield (if any) produced by Driselase autolysis. TLC was on 20×20 cm silica-gel plates. The solvent was ethyl acetate/pyridine/acetic acid/water (6:3:1:1) and
21 22	was corrected for the small yield (if any) produced by Driselase autolysis. TLC was on 20×20 cm silica-gel plates. The solvent was ethyl acetate/pyridine/acetic acid/water (6:3:1:1) and sugars were stained with thymol/H ₂ SO ₄ (Jork <i>et al.</i> , 1994). Sugar spots on TLCs were
21 22 23	was corrected for the small yield (if any) produced by Driselase autolysis. TLC was on 20×20 cm silica-gel plates. The solvent was ethyl acetate/pyridine/acetic acid/water (6:3:1:1) and sugars were stained with thymol/H ₂ SO ₄ (Jork <i>et al.</i> , 1994). Sugar spots on TLCs were quantified with Photoshop software. The ellipse tool (fixed size 0.87×0.61 cm) was centred
21 22 23 24	was corrected for the small yield (if any) produced by Driselase autolysis. TLC was on 20×20 cm silica-gel plates. The solvent was ethyl acetate/pyridine/acetic acid/water (6:3:1:1) and sugars were stained with thymol/H ₂ SO ₄ (Jork <i>et al.</i> , 1994). Sugar spots on TLCs were quantified with Photoshop software. The ellipse tool (fixed size 0.87×0.61 cm) was centred on the spot of interest, and the 'mean intensity' was measured in the green channel (which is

1 complementary to the more-or-less magenta stained spots). To correct for the background 2 colour of the plate, we subtracted that 'mean intensity' from a blank zone at the same R_F on 3 the same chromatogram (a typical blank mean was 220 pixels), and the corrected result is 4 plotted on graphs as "Photoshop pixels". A high "Photoshop pixels" value, corrected in this 5 way, indicates an intense TLC spot. For example, the most intense galactose spot (given by 6 lettuce leaf CHP) gave a value of 181 (= 220 - 39), whereas the least intense one (spinach 7 leaf CHP) gave a value of 81 (= 220 - 139).

8

9 Enzymic digestion of cauliflower CHP

The susceptibility of cauliflower CHP to the following hydrolytic enzymes was tested. The
following experimental details refer to the two experiments described in Fig 9a.

12 CHP (2 mg ml⁻¹) was incubated with Driselase (3 μ g ml⁻¹), XEG (8 μ g ml⁻¹), β -galactosidase

13 $(0.0013 \text{ U} \mu l^{-1})$ or cellulase, mannanase, endo-polygalacturonase, α -glucosidase (all at

14 $0.0167 \text{ U} \mu l^{-1}$) in PyAW (pH 4.7) at 20°C for 24 h. Each enzyme reaction in experiment 1

15 was stopped by heating at 120° C for 1 h and the digest centrifuged. The supernatant was then

16 dried *in vacuo*, and the residue was redissolved in water and assayed for XAF activity. In

17 experiment 2, the β -1,3-galactosidase reaction was done as above but in PyAW (3:11:2000,

pH 5.6) at 55°C for 4 h; the α -glucosidase digestion was done in 1% lutidine and 0.3% acetic

19 acid, pH 6.6, at 20°C for 48 h and stopped by addition of 100 μ l formic acid; and the α -

20 amylase reaction was exactly as in experiment 1.

21

22 Gel-permeation column chromatography

Bio-Gel P-2 and Sepharose CL-6B columns with bed volume 100 ml were used. These were
washed with approximately two column volumes of PyAW (1:1:98) containing 0.5%
chlorobutanol. A 4-ml sample containing CHP (2 mg ml⁻¹) plus internal markers (0.1 mg
blue dextran, 0.5 mg glucose and sometimes 0.3 kBq [¹⁴C]glucose) was applied, and 2-ml
fractions were collected with PyAW as eluent. The A₂₈₀ and A₆₂₀ of each fraction was
measured, and fractions were then dried in a SpeedVac and re-dried from 100 µl of water.

8 **RESULTS**

9 Cauliflower CHP acts synergistically with CaCl₂ in XAF assays

The ability of CHP to solubilise XTHs from arabidopsis cell walls was mimicked by NaCl 10 (Sharples et al., 2017) and we now show a similar effect with CaCl₂ (Fig. 1). The effect 11 12 plateaued above about 30 mM CaCl₂, but the CHP effect did not plateau even at the highest concentration tested (1.8 mg ml $^{-1}$; Fig. 1). The relative effect of CHP was greatest (34-fold 13 14 promotion) in the absence of CaCl₂, but strong CHP effects (4.6- to 9.1-fold promotion) and much higher absolute XET activities - were still detected in the presence of 15 mM 15 CaCl₂, indicating synergy between CHP and the inorganic salt (Table S1). This observation, 16 together with the previous finding that certain anionic polysaccharides promote the XET 17 activity of de-salted XTH preparations particularly well if a sub-optimal concentration of salt 18 19 is also present (Takeda & Fry, 2004), led us to assay XAF activity in all subsequent experiments by suspending the washed arabidopsis cell walls in a solution containing 75 mM 20 NaCl [buffered with 200 mM MES (Na⁺), pH 5.5, which itself has a low ionic strength and 21 22 has been shown (Takeda & Fry, 2004; confirmed in the present work) to have no appreciable XAF activity]. The data show that CHP can solubilise XTHs from washed arabidopsis walls 23 and that solubilisation causes these enzymes to acquire detectable XET enzymic activity. 24

2 BSA minimises binding of solubilised XTHs to tube walls

3 Dilute XTH solutions tend to lose XET activity by binding to tube walls (Hrmova et al., 4 2007; Sharples et al., 2017). In glassware, this tendency was minimised if the glass surface 5 was blocked by polylysine pre-treatment; however, this proved unreliable in the case of 6 plastic vessels. We therefore tested several agents for their ability to minimise the loss of 7 XTHs in three types of plastic tube (Fig. 2) and thus to enable a steady reaction rate during XET assays conducted in such tubes. Solubilised arabidopsis XTHs were incubated in the 8 9 tube for 5.5 h in the presence or absence of the agent to be tested, and then any remaining soluble enzyme was assayed for XET activity. BSA had the strongest ability to maintain 10 soluble XET activity, presumably by preventing solubilised XTHs from binding to the tube 11 walls; Triton X-100 was also somewhat effective (Fig. 2). Additional NaCl, and pre-12 treatment of the plastic with polylysine were ineffective (Fig. 2), unlike in glass tubes 13 14 (Sharples et al., 2017). BSA was the only agent which led to the measured XET activity 15 being proportional to the concentration of added enzyme: in all three types of plastic, reducing the concentration of the crude enzyme solution from 50% (v/v) to 15% (v/v) 16 17 decreased the measured XET reaction rate by about 70%, as expected (Fig. 2). Therefore, BSA (2.5 mg ml⁻¹) was included in the reaction mixture used in all subsequent XET assays. 18

19

20 The XAF activity of cauliflower CHP specifically solubilises XTHs

CHPs from across the plant kingdom solubilise XET activity from washed arabidopsis cell
walls (Sharples *et al.*, 2017). We next tested whether they also solubilise other enzyme
activities. To answer this, we examined which arabidopsis wall enzyme activities were
solubilised by, sequentially: low salt, low salt plus cauliflower CHP, and high salt (Fig. 3).

1 After each extractant, all the solution was removed from the cell walls and the next extractant 2 was then applied. Moderate activities of phosphatase and peroxidase were solubilised by low 3 salt alone; after low salt, CHP in low salt solubilised almost no additional activity of these 4 two enzymes, even though large amounts of them remained within the walls, as demonstrated 5 by the effectiveness of subsequently applied high salt. Very little (3% of the total) β -6 glucosidase was solubilised by low salt alone, after which CHP in low salt solubilised an 7 additional 15%; again, however, by far the most effective extractant was high salt (82% of the total activity), which thus had a strong effect that CHP was incapable of. In contrast, 8 9 solubilisation of XET activity differed strongly: low salt alone solubilised very little, after which CHP in low salt solubilised much more, and subsequent high salt solubilised no further 10 11 XET activity (Fig. 3). Thus, cauliflower CHP exerted a unique effect, relatively specific for solubilisation of XTHs. 12

13

14 CHP has a stronger XAF effect on more dilute cell-wall suspensions

The concentration of arabidopsis cell walls had a strong influence on the effective XAF 15 activity of 2 mg ml⁻¹ cauliflower CHP. The effect of CHP increased from a 1.16-fold 16 promotion to an 8-fold promotion as the cell wall concentration was decreased from 183 to 17 18 18 µg per 66 µl (Fig. 4a). The effect then remained almost unchanged at ~8-fold as the cellwall concentration was decreased from 18 to 8 µg per 66 µl (Fig. 4a). In the absence of buffer 19 and NaCl, water solubilised very little XET activity, even from the highest concentration of 20 21 cell walls (see \triangle datapoint in Fig. 4a). These data led us to select 15–18 µg walls per 66 µl as the routine concentration when testing CHP samples for XAF activity in subsequent 22 experiments. 23

1 The dose–response curve of CHP indicates two distinct XAF effects

As expected, the XAF activity of cauliflower CHP was concentration-dependent; however,
the relationship was not linear (Fig. 4b). The shape of the curve suggests two distinct effects
of CHP: one saturating at very low CHP concentrations ('*K*_m' roughly 0.035 mg ml⁻¹), and
the other not saturating until much higher concentrations.

6

7 The sugar composition of CHPs from diverse plants does not correlate with their XAF 8 activities

9 The above work confirms that cauliflower floret CHP has XAF activity. We have also shown that CHPs from all other plant materials tested possess XAF activity when assayed on 10 arabidopsis walls (Sharples et al., 2017). The XAF activities of CHPs were independent of 11 their conductivity (Sharples et al., 2017; and present manuscript Fig. S1). Thus the XAF 12 activity is not due simply to an ionic effect of the charged polymers present in CHPs. Note 13 14 that most of the XAF values in Fig. S1 are within the range (500–2500 cpm per 16 h) where 15 XAF activity is approximately proportional to cauliflower CHP concentration (Fig. 4b); thus cpm as reported in Fig. S1 is likely to be on an approximately linear scale. The highest ionic 16 strengths of 2 mg ml⁻¹ CHP solutions (those from spinach leaves and tobacco stems) were 17 equivalent to ~15 mM NaCl, a concentration at which NaCl itself has negligible XAF activity. 18 19 This confirms that the XAF activity of CHPs is not a simple ionic effect, and the results suggest that specific polymers in CHPs are responsible for XAF activity. 20 To characterise further these specific polymers, we acid-hydrolysed each CHP preparation, 21 revealing that they were all rich in galactose and arabinose residues (Fig. 5). The Man content 22 varied from very high (e.g. in asparagus and spring-onion leaf CHPs) to almost undetectable 23 (in spinach and tobacco leaf CHPs). Glucose, xylose and rhamnose contents also varied 24

widely (Fig. 5). Moderate proportions of uronic acids were detectable in most CHPs, and a
spot corresponding to the lactone of glucuronic acid (formed from anionic glucuronate during
acid hydrolysis) was abundant in some samples. The CHPs from asparagus and spring onion
leaves contained an unidentified sugar (Unk1; possibly an *O*-methylhexose), and most of the
CHPs yielded one or two fast-migrating sugars (Unk2 and Unk3) plus two slow-migrating
ones (probably aldobiouronic acids) (Fig. 5).

There was no positive correlation between the XAF activity (always assayed at 2 mg ml⁻¹
CHP) and the levels of any given sugar residue in the different CHPs (Fig. S2). Indeed,
galactose, arabinose and possibly xylose residues showed significant <u>negative</u> correlations.

10

All authentic polysaccharides tested have much lower XAF activity than cauliflower CHP

To define further which polymers in cauliflower CHP might be responsible for XAF activity, 13 we assayed a selection of eleven authentic polysaccharides. None of these (even though 14 tested at 5 mg ml⁻¹) was more than 28% as effective as 2 mg ml⁻¹ cauliflower CHP (Fig. 6). 15 Unexpectedly, tamarind xyloglucan exhibited some XAF activity, i.e. appeared able to 16 solubilise XTHs from arabidopsis walls (Fig. 6). This effect was not simply due to the ability 17 of the additional xyloglucan (contributing an extra 2.5 mg ml $^{-1}$ after dilution into the reaction 18 mixture), to serve as donor substrate in the XET assay: the reaction mixture routinely 19 contained 2 mg ml⁻¹, an optimal xyloglucan concentration. Changing from 2 to 4.5 mg ml⁻¹ 20 21 certainly would not cause the 11-fold promotion in measured XET reaction rates suggested 22 by the difference between buffer only (sample 13) and +tamarind xyloglucan (sample 1); indeed, higher concentrations of non-radioactive xyloglucan may decrease the production of 23 ³H-labelled products as the additional non-radioactive xyloglucan competes with the 24

[³H]XXXGol as acceptor substrate (Purugganan *et al.*, 1997). Nasturtium-seed xyloglucan,
 which had been purified by Cu²⁺ precipitation (McDougall & Fry, 1989), lacked the XAF
 activity of tamarind-seed xyloglucan (Fig. 6).

Gum arabic, an anionic mucopolysaccharide possessing type-II arabinogalactan side-chains,
was about as effective as tamarind xyloglucan in the XAF assay, agreeing with its ability to
're-activate' XTHs that had been lost from solution (Takeda & Fry, 2004). Another type-II
arabinogalactan but lacking a protein core, from larch, had no XAF activity.

8 Another anionic polysaccharide, carboxymethylcellulose (CMC), was only weakly effective,

9 and a further one, homogalacturonan, was inactive — both observations again agreeing with
10 the data of Takeda & Fry (2004).

11

12 Size distribution and sugar residue composition of XAF-active CHP fractions

13 When cauliflower CHP was size-fractionated on Sepharose CL-6B (Fig. 7a), most XAF 14 activity eluted in the K_{av} range 0.34–0.82 (indicating molecular weight \approx 140,000 to 7,000 by 15 reference to dextran standards; Steele *et al.*, 2002), where K_{av} 0 and K_{av} 1 are defined by the 16 elution positions of blue dextran and glucose respectively (Fig. 7b). Thus cauliflower XAF 17 has a fairly broad range of sizes, but the smallest ($M_r < 7,000$) and largest ($M_r > 140,000$) 18 polymers in CHP have little or no activity.

We attempted to identify CHP constituents that correlate with XAF activity. Certain fractions absorbed at 280 nm (ultraviolet), indicating proteins or phenolic groups (Fig. 7b), but these were not the main XAF-active fractions. Because some of the last-eluting fractions with high XAF-activity overlapped with the second peak of A_{280} (which itself did not appear to be associated with a discrete activity peak), we pooled the relatively early-eluting active fractions to use as partially purified XAF in the subsequent analyses (e.g. in Fig. 8a; see later). 1 Acid hydrolysis of the Sepharose fractions released at least nine monosaccharides, which 2 were quantified by HPLC (Fig. 7c, d). All active fractions contained arabinose and galactose, 3 and fraction 31+32, which had the highest XAF activity, also had highest galactose and 4 arabinose levels. However, the levels of these sugars in individual fractions were not proportional to XAF activity. For example, fraction 39+40 had high XAF activity but little 5 arabinose and galactose. The best correlation with XAF activity was generally shown by 6 7 xylose and fucose, components of xyloglucan, although fraction 29+30 had high XAF activity without detectable fucose. 8

9 Digestion with Driselase (Fig. 7e, f) instead of acid also gave arabinose and galactose. These sugars are not efficiently released by Driselase from cell-wall glycoproteins such as 10 arabinogalactan-proteins (AGPs) and extensins, and thus the majority of the Driselase-11 generated arabinose and galactose probably arose from polysaccharides such as pectins, 12 xyloglucan and arabinoxylans. The XAF peak overlapped with the peaks of Driselase-13 14 generated isoprimeverose [α -xylosyl-(1 \rightarrow 6)-glucose], glucose and fucose, again consistent with xyloglucan (Fig. 7e, f). Xylose and xylobiose [β -xylosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-xylose] in Driselase 15 digests, which arise from xylans rather than xyloglucan (Thompson & Fry, 1997), correlated 16 17 less well with XAF activity.

In conclusion, the major XAF peak overlapped with the xyloglucan peak on gel-permeation
chromatography, though these peaks did not closely match (Fig. 7). No other major
polysaccharide class showed better co-elution. However, authentic xyloglucans had zero or
much less XAF-activity than cauliflower CHP (Fig. 6) and therefore xyloglucans are unlikely
to be the major XAF-active polymers of cauliflower. More probably, XAF activity is due to
minor polymers that make little contribution to total sugar composition.

24

1 Furanosyl and ester linkages are not essential for XAF activity, but pyranosyl-like

2 linkages are

The XAF activity of cauliflower CHP was completely destroyed by 'severe' acid hydrolysis (conditions routinely used for analytically converting polysaccharides to monosaccharides: 2 M TFA, 120°C, 60 min; Fig. 7a). To further define the acid sensitivity of XAF, we treated cauliflower CHP for various times under 'mild' acid (0.1 M TFA at 85°C) or 'moderate' acid conditions (2.0 M TFA, 100°C), and then re-assayed for XAF activity (Fig. 8a). Mild acid did not affect XAF within 60 min, whereas the moderate acid destroyed it with a half-life of about 8 min.

We used two authentic oligosaccharides to demonstrate the effects of the mild and moderate 10 11 acid: furanosidically linked arabino-octaose (Araf-8) and pyranosidically linked xylohexaose 12 (Xylp-6). Mild acid rapidly cleaved Araf-8, such that the octasaccharide had ~50% disappeared within 4 min and been completely hydrolysed to the monosaccharide within 64 13 14 min (Fig. 8b). Mild acid cleaved Xylp-6 more slowly, ~50% of the hexasaccharide remaining intact after 32 min. In the moderate acid (Fig. 8c), Araf-8 and Xylp-6 were both completely 15 hydrolysed to the monosaccharide, taking <4 and ~32 min respectively. Concurrently, the 16 only monosaccharide released from cauliflower CHP by mild acid was arabinose (Fig. 8b) 17 (the major furanosidically linked sugar in plant polysaccharides and glycoproteins), 18 19 paralleling the release of arabinose from Araf-8. Moderate acid released all arabinose from CHP in <4 min, and then gradually released galactose and galacturonate (detectable by 32 20 min; Fig. 8c). The XAF data in Fig. 8a thus show that highly acid-labile (furanosidically 21 linked) residues are not required for XAF activity; however, pyranose-linked sugar residues 22 (or other residues with similar acid-resistance) are essential. 23

Dilute alkali at room temperature cleaves ester bonds (Euranto, 1969). However, the XAF
 activity of cauliflower CHP survived at least 8 h in 0.48 M NaOH at room temperature (Fig.
 8a). Therefore ester-linked groups are not essential for XAF activity.

4

5 XAF-active cauliflower CHP withstands all polysaccharide-digesting enzymes tested

6 Susceptibility to enzymic digestion can indicate the nature of an unidentified active principle, 7 and this approach was applied to the XAF activity of cauliflower CHP. Eight commercial 8 enzyme preparations were applied to the CHP; the enzymes were then denatured and the 9 remaining CHP was re-assayed for XAF activity (Fig. 9a). Xyloglucan endoglucanase (XEG) 10 caused a moderate loss of XAF activity, superficially suggesting that part of the XAF activity 11 was due to xyloglucan. However, Driselase, which is an enzyme mixture capable of digesting essentially all plant cell-wall polysaccharides except rhamnogalacturonan-II (Fry, 2011), 12 caused only a slight loss of XAF activity, indicating that the majority of the XAF activity was 13 not due to any major wall polysaccharide, including xyloglucan. 14 Cellulase (of a type unable to digest xyloglucan), β -mannanase, α -amylase, α -glucosidase, 15

endopolygalacturonase and β -1,3-galactosidase ('exo-galactanase') did not inactivate XAF, 16 indicating that the activity was not dependent on a cellulose-like polymer, mannan, starch or 17 18 homogalacturonan, nor terminal 1,3-linked galactose residues of (arabino)galactans. The activity of the tested enzymes was verified by the ability of most of them to release mono-19 20 and/or oligosaccharides from certain CHP components: this included α -amylase and β -1,3galactosidase (Fig. 9e), β -mannanase and endopolygalacturonase (similar TLCs; not shown). 21 α -Glucosidase (maltase) did not release glucose from the starch present in CHP (Fig. 9e), but 22 it did partially hydrolyse commercial maltohexaose (data not shown). [Although the ' β -1,3-23 galactosidase' (CtGan43A; GH43) is stated by the manufacturers to be an exo-acting 24

galactanase, which should thus yield only the free monosaccharide galactose, we found a
 predominance of oligosaccharide products, indicating endo-hydrolysis (Fig. 9e).] Denatured
 cellulase and α-glucosidase themselves exerted slight XAF activity (Fig. 9a).

4 Among the enzymes tested, only XEG showed some (moderate) ability to inactivate XAF; therefore we tested its effect, both before and after denaturation with formic acid, on three 5 6 XAF-active polymers: cauliflower CHP, tamarind xyloglucan and gum arabic (Fig. 9c). 7 Surprisingly, CHP, which again lost a proportion of its XAF activity when treated with XEG, 8 was equally inactivated by acid-denatured XEG. The acid treatment completely abolished the XEG activity itself, as shown by the inability of denatured XEG to destroy the XAF activity 9 10 of tamarind xyloglucan (Fig. 9c). The moderate XAF activity of gum arabic, reported in Fig. 6 and confirmed here, was unaffected by XEG (either native or denatured; Fig. 9c). We also 11 confirmed that the XEG preparation did not release detectable mono- or oligosaccharides 12 13 from gum arabic, whereas it completely digested xyloglucan (Fig. S3). In conclusion, the susceptibility of cauliflower CHP to enzymes differed substantially from tamarind 14 15 xyloglucan's and gum arabic's.

The ability of XEG to reduce the XAF activity of CHP was re-confirmed in Fig. 9b, which 16 17 shows by gel-permeation chromatography that XEG partially inactivates all XAF-active size 18 classes of CHP. Again, the size distribution of XAF activity approximately agreed with that of xyloglucan (fractions 15–21), as shown by TLC of the XEG digestion products (Fig. 9d). 19 The oligosaccharide profiles generated from CHP fractions 15-21 were typical of dicot 20 vegetative tissue xyloglucan: they appeared to include XXXG, O-acetyl-XXFG (not resolved 21 22 from XXLG and/or XLXG), XXFG, O-acetyl-XLFG and XLFG. XEG also yielded a trace of 23 free glucose, especially from the K_{av} -0 material (fractions 9+10 in this experiment). The presence of contaminating β -glucosidase and α -amylase in the XEG preparation was shown 24 25 by its ability to release glucose from both cellohexaose and maltohexaose during prolonged

incubations at a high enzyme concentration (333 μg ml⁻¹; Fig. 9e), and the presence of a trace
 of contaminating β-galactosidase was also demonstrated (Fig. S3b).

In summary, the major XAF-active components of cauliflower CHP largely resisted all
carbohydrate-digesting enzymes tested. Thus, although CHP contains abundant sugar
residues, and acid hydrolysis of pyranosyl linkages destroys XAF activity, we did not find
any carbohydrase preparation — even the highly potent fungal enzyme mixture 'Driselase'
— capable of completely destroying it. Saccharide structures necessary for XAF activity
must be quantitatively minor components of total CHP.

9

10 **DISCUSSION**

11 <u>Functional characteristics of XAF</u>

Since XAF is an endogenous regulator of xyloglucan transglycosylation, potentially 12 13 modulating cell-wall loosening and/or assembly in vivo, we have now further explored the 14 nature and action of this unidentified plant polymer. Our principal source was cauliflower floret CHP — a preparation containing high-molecular-weight substances that were cold-15 16 water extractable and not coagulated by subsequent boiling, thus likely to be polysaccharides 17 or heavily glycosylated proteins. The present work follows up that of Sharples et al. (2017), who found that CHP is able to desorb XTHs from both inert and biological surfaces, 18 including glass, plastics, cellulose and plant cell walls. In the present paper, we provide new 19 information on the physiology and chemistry of the XAF-active CHP. 20 21 We show that CaCl₂ can augment the ability of CHP to solubilise XET activity from 22 arabidopsis walls. The CaCl₂ and CHP effects are synergistic rather than additive (Fig. 1; Table S1), indicating that they have different modes of action; cauliflower CHP does not 23

simply act as a non-specific polyanion, capable of breaking ionic bonds that hold XTHs in the

cell wall. This conclusion is supported by confirmation that the XAF activities of CHPs from
 25 species of plant do not correlate with their conductivities (Fig. S1).

The XAF activity of CHP is also not due simply to a general protein effect. For example, 3 BSA does not solubilise XTHs from arabidopsis cell walls (data not shown), and is thus not 4 5 itself XAF-active. However, BSA does help to keep previously solubilised XTHs in solution, 6 preventing their re-adsorption to the washed arabidopsis cell walls or to vial surfaces (Fig. 2). 7 A detergent (Triton X-100) and high salt do not have this effect. We therefore routinely 8 added BSA to minimise the subsequent loss of solubilised XTHs due to rebinding to the washed arabidopsis walls and/or the tube surfaces. 9 The action of XAF in solubilising XTHs is dose-dependent, as expected; however, the dose-10 11 response curve is not linear (Fig. 4b). The shape of the curve suggests two distinct effects of CHP: one saturating at very low CHP concentrations ('Michaelis constant', K_m , ≈ 0.035 mg 12 ml⁻¹), and the other not saturating until much higher concentrations ($K_m \approx 4 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$). This 13 observation may indicate that some XTH-wall bonds are labile and easily broken by low 14 15 concentrations of CHP, whereas others are stronger and require higher a CHP concentration. Strong XTH-wall bonding could be either a characteristic of certain XTH isozymes, or a 16

feature of the specific wall components to which they are attached. It is also tenable that there could be two or more XAFs differing in $K_{\rm m}$.

The relative XAF effect of CHP is stronger when acting on dilute cell-wall suspensions (<20 μ g per 66 μ l) than on higher wall concentrations (Fig. 4a). As a baseline, we note that pure water solubilised almost no XTH from washed arabidopsis cell walls, even at the highest concentration of walls (\triangle datapoint). Compared with this, the routine NaCl/MES medium alone solubilised large amounts of XTH from concentrated suspensions of cell walls (O datapoints), but almost none from lower concentrations. The O—O curve resembles a titration: a likely explanation for this is that the NaCl initially solubilises a constant

1	proportion of the wall's XTH, but when the concentration of this is low almost all of it binds
2	to the plastic surface of the 96-well plate during the 0.5 h incubation before the solution is
3	transferred into the XET assay mixture containing BSA. On the other hand, at higher cell-
4	wall concentrations (above about 20 μ g walls per 66 μ l), enough enzymes have been
5	solubilised by the NaCl/MES to saturate all the plastic's binding sites. Increasing the wall
6	concentration beyond this threshold results in all additional solubilised XTH remaining in
7	solution. Contrasting with this scenario, when 2 mg ml ^{-1} CHP is added (\bullet datapoints),
8	almost all solubilised XTH always remains in solution, regardless of its concentration,
9	because the plastic's sites are already occupied by the CHP polymers. To maximise the
10	effective XAF activity of CHP preparations, we therefore routinely kept the cell wall
11	concentration below the threshold of 20 μ g per 66 μ l.
12	
13	Cauliflower floret XAF solubilises XTHs but not three other wall enzyme activity classes
14	The conclusion that the XAF activity of cauliflower CHP is due to a unique CHP-XTH
15	interaction rather than to a general protein/salt/detergent effect is supported by the
16	observation that CHP solubilises only XET activity (i.e., XTHs) rather than any of the other
17	tested wall enzyme activities including β -glucosidase, peroxidase or phosphatase (Fig. 3).
18	The latter three activities, like XTHs, are well established to be ionically bound within plant
19	cell walls (Wei et al., 2015; Jamet et al., 2006; Minic et al., 2007), and we confirmed that
20	they are present in salt-extractable form in arabidopsis walls, albeit unaffected by CHP.
21	
22	Sugar composition of XAF-active CHPs from diverse plants
23	Sharples et al. (2017) detected XAF activity in the CHPs obtained from all plants tested,

24 including monocots and dicots and at various stages of plant development. Our new results

confirm that the XAF activities of diverse CHP preparations are not simply determined by
 their ionic strengths (Fig. S1).

Furthermore, there is no positive correlation between the levels of any given monosaccharide 3 residue in diverse CHPs and their measured XAF activities (always assayed at 2 mg ml⁻¹; Fig. 4 5 5). All CHP preparations are rich in galactose and arabinose residues, which may be derived 6 from arabinogalactans of type I [i.e. based on a $(1\rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-galactan backbone, as found in the neutral side-chains of the pectic domain rhamnogalacturonan-I] and/or type II [based on a 7 $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - β -D-galactan backbone; AGP-related] (Seymour and Knox, 2002), and possibly also 8 9 from the hemicelluloses xyloglucan plus arabinoxylan (though these are a less likely major source because these two hemicelluloses tend to contain mainly galactose or arabinose 10 respectively, not both (Shibuya et al., 1983, Scheller and Ulvskov, 2010). The consistently 11 high galactose and arabinose content, in both high- and low-XAF-activity CHPs, makes it 12 impossible to positively ascribe XAF activity to polymers containing these residues. Indeed, 13 galactose and arabinose residues showed a significant negative correlation with XAF activity 14 (Fig. S2). This may indicate that the active principle is not one of the major galactose- and 15 arabinose-rich polymers, and that the major polymers effectively dilute out the true but 16 17 quantitatively minor XAF-active principle with inert material. This idea does not preclude the possibility that the quantitatively minor active principle is a specific polymer rich in galactose 18 and arabinose. 19

There is a 5-fold range of xylose residue content, the highest concentrations being found in an eclectic range of species (dicots and a monocot) and tissues: arabidopsis stems, flowers and cell-cultures, rose cell-cultures, carrot leaves, mature celery petioles, tobacco stems and crocus flowers. Xylose may possibly arise from water-extractable xyloglucans, which are reported to be present in some tissues (Jacobs & Ray, 1975; de Castro *et al.*, 2015). The negative correlation between xylose content and XAF activity could possibly indicate that the

1	active principle does not contain xylose; alternatively, as argued for galactose and arabinose,
2	it is possible that XAF is a minor xylose-containing polymer and that co-occurring major
3	xylose-containing polymers effectively dilute out the active principle.
4	Some CHP preparations, especially those from the Asparagales (asparagus, onion, snowdrop,
5	crocus), have a high mannose residue content. Cold-water-extractable mannose-rich polymers
6	include glucuronomannans (Kato et al., 1977) and some galactomannans (Moreira & Filho,
7	2008), whereas most β -(1 \rightarrow 4)-mannans tend to be inextractable in cold, neutral water.
8	However, some highly XAF-active CHPs (e.g. from spinach and tobacco leaf CHPs) were
9	almost devoid of mannose, so glucuronomannans etc. are unlikely to be the XAF active
10	principle. Likewise, the levels of uronic acid, rhamnose, glucose and three unidentified sugar
11	residues failed to correlate with XAF activity (Fig. 5, Fig. S2).
12	One approach that might characterise the elusive XAF-active polymers of cauliflower CHP is
13	to fractionate them and look for a specific size-class of polymers exhibiting XAF activity.
14	The results (Fig. 7) show that the active principle has a wide M_r range (~7,000–140,000) so it
15	cannot be ascribed to any specific glycoprotein. The broad Mr range indicates polydispersity
16	- e.g. XAF is a population of a polysaccharide or a glycoprotein with variation in its
17	carbohydrate moieties. Furthermore, no specific building block (either TFA- or Driselase-
18	released; Fig. 7c-f) shows a size distribution mimicking that of XAF activity (Fig. 7a) and
19	that might thus be deemed necessary or sufficient for XAF activity.

21 <u>Eleven authentic plant polymers exhibit little or no XAF activity</u>

Another approach that might lead to the identification of the XAF of CHP is to test variousauthentic polysaccharides or glycoproteins for XAF activity. Of eleven authentic polymers

1	tested at 5 mg ml ⁻¹ , only gum arabic and tamarind xyloglucan possessed appreciable XAF
2	activity (Fig. 6), though they were less effective than 2 mg ml ^{-1} cauliflower floret CHP.
3	Gum arabic is an AGP with a protein core to which numerous polysaccharide units (type-II
4	arabinogalactans) are attached: these consist of a $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - β -D-galactan backbone with long
5	$(1\rightarrow 3)$ - α -L-arabinan chains attached to the 6-position of some of the backbone residues; in
6	addition, short side-chains containing α -D-galacturonate, β -D-glucuronate, α -L-rhamnose, α -
7	L-arabinose are attached to some 2-, 4- and 6-positions of the galactan backbone (Nie et al.,
8	2013; Lopez-Torrez et al., 2015; Andersen et al., 2017). Larch arabinogalactan, which in
9	contrast to gum arabic has no XAF activity, is another type-II arabinogalactan; it is also
10	(slightly) anionic and has a $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - β -D-galactan backbone, but differs from gum arabic in
11	lacking a protein core and in having only short side-chains (β -D-galactose, α -L-arabinose and
12	β -D-glucuronic acid) attached only at the 6-position (Willför <i>et al.</i> , 2002). Likewise, a
13	$(1\rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-galactan (related to type-I arabinogalactan) from potato lacks XAF activity. Our
14	results show that the AGP gum arabic possesses XAF activity, whereas other
15	(arabino)galactans lacking a protein core do not.
16	The XAF activity of tamarind-seed xyloglucan was unexpected. It is possible that the wall-
17	bound XTHs are held within the walls by an association with endogenous xyloglucan but can
18	dissociate from this and re-attach to soluble exogenous xyloglucan. Curiously, nasturtium-
19	seed xyloglucan does not exhibit XAF activity. Both tamarind- and nasturtium-seed
20	xyloglucans are non-ionic and devoid of fucose residues; the main structural difference
21	between them is that the major octasaccharide building block is XXLG in tamarind and
22	XLXG in nasturtium (Fanutti et al., 1996). It is possible that XTHs have a greater propensity
23	to bind to xyloglucans with the XXLG unit. Another difference between the two xyloglucan
24	preparations is that only the nasturtium xyloglucan had been purified by Cu ²⁺ precipitation

1	(McDougall & Fry, 1989). It might be speculated at this point that only the tamarind
2	xyloglucan preparation is contaminated by traces of heat-stable plant glycoproteins with XAF
3	activity; however, the latter hypothesis is discredited by the results of Fig. 9c (see below).

5 <u>Stability of cauliflower XAF to acid and alkali</u>

6 A further way of defining the nature of XAF is to identify a specific treatment that destroys 7 its activity. For example, loss of activity upon treatment with cold dilute alkali would suggest the involvement of an essential ester-linked moiety (Euranto, 1969) such as a methyl, acetyl, 8 feruloyl or *p*-coumaroyl ester, all of which occur in certain plant polysaccharides (Fry, 2000). 9 10 However, the XAF activity of cauliflower CHP survives in 0.48 M NaOH at 20°C for at least 8 h (Fig. 8a), suggesting that XAF does not have an indispensable ester group. 11 In contrast, the XAF activity of cauliflower CHP is completely destroyed by the 'severe' acid 12 conditions routinely used for monosaccharide residue analysis of cell wall polysaccharides (2 13

14 M TFA, 120°C, 60 min; Fig. 7a). This could indicate the presence of an essential glycosidic

15 (or potentially peptide) bond within XAF. Susceptibility to <u>graded</u> acid hydrolysis potentially

16 gives clues to the nature of the XAF-active components since different types of glycosidic

17 linkage differ in acid lability — in particular, furanosyl linkages are more labile than

18 pyranosyl.

XAF activity survives mild acid treatment (0.1 M TFA at 85°C) for at least an hour (Fig. 8a),
conditions which completely hydrolyse the furanose sugar linkages in the model compound
arabino-octaose (Fig. 8b). Thus, XAF does not have an indispensable glycofuranose residue
— the principal examples of which in plant polymers are arabinose (e.g. in arabinogalactans,
rhamnogalacturonan-I and arabinoxylans; Kotake et al., 2016), apiose and aceric acid (in
rhamnogalacturonan-II; Stevenson et al., 1988), fructose (in fructans; Ritsema & Smeekens,

1	2003) and ribose (in RNA). Indeed, arabinose is the sole monosaccharide released in
2	detectable amounts from cauliflower CHP under these mild acid conditions.
3	Moderately severe acid treatment (2 M TFA at 100°C) does reduce XAF activity in a time-
4	dependent manner with a half-life of ~8 min and complete loss by 32 min (Fig. 8a),
5	concomitant with the release of galactose and galacturonic acid from CHP, and cleavage of
6	the pyranosidically linked model substrate xylohexaose (Fig. 8c). An 8-min half-life under
7	these conditions would be exceptionally short for all but the most acid-labile peptide linkages
8	such as Asp-Pro (Rittenhouse & Marcus 1984). The data therefore suggest the presence in
9	CHP of XAF-essential sugar pyranose linkages, which are present in almost all plant
10	polysaccharides except arabinans and fructans. Indeed, cauliflower CHP does contain a wide
11	range of pyranose-linked sugar building blocks including those diagnostic of
12	arabinogalactans or AGPs (giving high levels of galactose on hydrolysis), xyloglucan
13	(glucose, xylose, galactose and fucose), xylans (xylose), mannans (mannose), pectins
14	(galacturonic acid, rhamnose and galactose) and starch (glucose) (Fig. 7c-f).
15	
16	Stability of cauliflower XAF to seven specific polysaccharide hydrolases and Driselase
17	If XAF activity is due to a specific type of polysaccharide present in CHP, this activity
18	should be lost upon digestion with an appropriate glycanase or glycosidase. However, our
19	data show that cauliflower XAF is remarkably stable to all eight such hydrolase preparations
20	tested. Only XEG causes a modest loss of XAF activity, although most of the XAF
21	withstands prolonged XEG treatment (Fig. 9b) under conditions that fully digest tamarind
22	xyloglucan (Fig. S3b). Between them, the enzymes tested should be capable of hydrolysing
23	most plant polysaccharides. Remarkably, cauliflower XAF activity also withstands Driselase,
24	a highly potent commercial mixture of basidiomycete enzymes that digests plant primary cell

walls to mono- and disaccharides (typically to 98% completion; Gray *et al.*, 1993). The
resistance of XAF activity to all these hydrolases, both pure and mixed, excludes the great
majority of common plant polysaccharides as XAF candidates.

4 Denatured cellulase and α -glucosidase themselves exert slight XAF activity (Fig. 9a), possibly owing to the presence of heat-stable (glyco?)-proteins present in these enzyme 5 preparations. Biological effects of inactive enzymes, e.g. mutated xylanases (Enkerli et al., 6 1999) and fragmented invertases (Basse et al., 1992), have been reported before. Such effects 7 8 of the utilised enzymes were not sufficient to interfere in the interpretation of our study of 9 XAF activity. 10 Curiously, the partial destruction of cauliflower CHP's XAF activity by native XEG was equally caused by acid-denatured XEG (Fig. 9c). The thoroughness of the acid denaturation 11 is confirmed by the fact that the denatured XEG was unable to destroy the XAF activity of 12

13 tamarind xyloglucan. Thus the effect of XEG on cauliflower CHP may be due to a minor

14 contaminating enzyme which resists denaturation by acid treatment (Fig. 9c). As expected,

15 XEG does not affect the XAF activity of gum arabic. The data show that the XAF activity of

16 tamarind xyloglucan is indeed due to xyloglucan, and not a contaminating polymer, and that

17 the XAF activity of gum arabic is not due to contaminating xyloglucan. Importantly,

18 cauliflower CHP contains at least two XAFs: one type (a minority) that is destroyed by

19 denatured XEG and is thus not xyloglucan, plus a second type that resists active XEG, and is

21

20

22 Conclusion

thus also not xyloglucan.

Our study demonstrates a potential role for XAF — a specific, quantitatively minor, plant
polymer — in the control of cell-wall properties, e.g. extensibility and thus cell expansion

1	and/or wall assembly, by solubilisation of xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolases from
2	their binding sites in the cell wall. XAF does not solubilise or activate other wall enzymes,
3	including peroxidase, β -glucosidase or phosphatase. We suggest that XAF, present in the
4	apoplast, may modulate the action of endogenous XTHs. XAF may thus be a hitherto
5	overlooked factor regulating the action restructuring of xyloglucan in vivo.
6	
7	Funding
8	This work was supported by the UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research
9	Council (BBSRC) [grant number BB/D00134X/1] and the Vietnamese Government.
10	
11	Acknowledgements
12	We thank Mr Tim Gregson for the HPLC work.
13	
14	Literature cited
15	Andersen MCF, Boos I, Ruprech C, Willats WGT, Pfrengle F, Clausen MH. 2017.
16	Synthesis and application of branched type II arabinogalactans. The Journal of Organic
17	<i>Chemistry</i> 82 : 12066-12084.
18	Basse CW, Bock K, Boller T. 1992. Elicitors and suppressors of the defense response in
19	tomato cells. Purification and characterization of glycopeptide elicitors and glycan
20	suppressors generated by enzymatic cleavage of yeast invertase. The Journal of Biological
21	Chemistry 267 : 10258–10265.

Baydoun EA-H, Fry SC. 1989. *In vivo* degradation and extracellular polymer-binding of
 xyloglucan nonasaccharide, a naturally-occurring anti-auxin. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 134: 453-459.

Braam J. 1992. Regulated expression of the calmodulin-related TCH genes in cultured
arabidopsis cells: induction by calcium and heat shock. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 89 : 3213-3216.

Braam J, Davis RW. 1990. Rain-, wind-, and touch-induced expression of calmodulin and
calmodulin-related genes in arabidopsis. *Cell* 60 : 357-364.

9 Brummell DA. 2006. Cell wall disassembly in ripening fruit. *Functional Plant Biology* 33:
10 103-119.

Carpita NC, Gibeaut DM. 1993. Structural models of primary cell walls in flowering plants:
 consistency of molecular structure with the physical properties of the walls during growth.

13 *The Plant Journal* **3** : 1-30.

14 Chormova D, Franková L, Defries A, Cutler SR, Fry SC. 2015. Discovery of small

15 molecule inhibitors of xyloglucan endotransglucosylase (XET) activity by high-throughput

screening. *Phytochemistry* **117** : 220-236.

Cosgrove DJ. 1993. Wall extensibility: its nature, measurement and relationship to plant cell
growth. *New Physiologist* 124 : 1-23.

19 De Castro M, Miller JG, Acebes JL, Encina A, García-Angulo P, Fry SC. 2015. The

- 20 biosynthesis and wall-binding of hemicelluloses in cellulose-deficient maize cells: An
- example of metabolic plasticity. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* **57** : 373-387.

22 Enkerli J, Felix G, Boller T. 1999. The enzymatic activity of fungal xylanase is not

necessary for its elicitor activity. *Plant Physiology* **121** : 391–397.

1	Euranto E. 1969. Esterification and ester hydrolysis. In S. Patai, ed. Carboxylic acids and
2	esters. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

3 Fanutti C, Gidley MJ, Reid JSG. 1993. Action of a pure xyloglucan endo-transglycosylase

4 (formerly called Xyloglucan-specific endo- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-glucanase) from the cotyledons of

- 5 germinated nasturtium seeds. *The Plant Journal* **3** : 691-700.
- 6 Fanutti C, Gidley MJ, Reid JSG. 1996. Substrate subsite recognition of the xyloglucan
- 7 endo-transglycosylase or xyloglucan-specific endo- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-glucanase from the cotyledons
- 8 of germinated nasturtium (*Tropaeolum majus* L.) seeds. *Planta* **200** : 221-228.

9 Farkaš V, Sulová Z, Stratilová E, Hanna R, Maclachlan G. 1992. Cleavage of xyloglucan

- 10 by nasturtium seed xyloglucanase and transglycosylation to xyloglucan subunit
- 11 oligosaccharides. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 298: 365-370.

12 Fenwick KM, Apperley DC, Cosgrove DJ, Jarvis MC. 1999. Polymer mobility in cell

13 walls of cucumber hypocotyls. *Phytochemistry* **51** : 17-22.

- Fry SC. 1989. The structure and functions of xyloglucan. *Journal of Experimental Botany*40: 1-11.
- Fry SC. 2000. *The growing plant cell wall: Chemical and metabolic analysis*. Reprint edition.
 Caldwell, New Jersey: Blackburn Press.
- 18 Fry SC. 2011. Cell wall polysaccharide composition and covalent crosslinking. In Annual
- 19 Plant Reviews, Vol. 41: Plant Polysaccharides, Biosynthesis and Bioengineering, pp 1–42,
- 20 edited by P. Ulvskov, Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- 21 Fry SC, Smith RC, Renwick KF, Martin DJ, Hodge SK, and Matthews KJ. 1992.
- 22 Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase, a new wall-loosening enzyme activity from plants.
- 23 *Biochemical Journal* **282** : 821-828.

- **1** Fry SC, Mohler KE, Nesselrode BHWA, Franková L. 2008. Mixed-linkage β-glucan :
- 2 xyloglucan endotransglucosylase, a novel wall-remodelling enzyme from *Equisetum*
- 3 (horsetails) and charophytic algae. *The Plant Journal* **55** : 240–252.
- 4 Gilbert HJ, Stalbrand H, Brumer H. 2008. How the walls come crumbling down: recent
- 5 structural biochemistry of plant polysaccharide degradation. *Current Opinion in Plant*
- 6 *Biology* **11** : 338-348.
- 7 Goulao LF, Santos J, Sousa I, Oliveira CM. 2007. Patterns of enzymatic activity of cell
- 8 wall-modifying enzymes during growth and ripening apples. *Postharvest Biology and*
- 9 *Technology* **43** : 307-318.
- 10 Gray DF, Fry SC, Eastwood MA. 1993. Uniformly ¹⁴C-labelled plant cell walls: production,
- analysis and behaviour in rat gastrointestinal tract. *British Journal of Nutrition* **69** : 177–188.
- Hayashi T. 1989. Xyloglucans in the primary cell wall. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology* 40 : 139-168.
- 14 Hetherington PR, Fry SC. 1993. Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase activity in carrot cell
- suspensions during cell elongation and somatic embryogenesis. *Plant Physiology* 103 : 987992.
- Hrmova M, Farkaš V, Lahnstein J, Fincher GB. 2007. A barley xyloglucan xyloglucosyl
 transferase covalently links xyloglucan, cellulosic substrates, and (1,3;1,4)-β-D-glucans. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 282 : 12951-12962.
- 20 Jacobs M, Ray PM. 1975. Promotion of xyloglucan metabolism by acid pH. Plant
- 21 *Physiology* **56** : 373-376.
- Jamet E, Canut H, Boudart G, Pont-Lezica RF. 2006. Cell wall proteins: a new insight
 through proteomics. *Trends in Plant Science* 11 : 33-39.

Jork H, Funk W, Fischer W, Wimmer H. 1994. *Thin-layer chromatography: Reagents and* detection methods. In physical and chemical detection methods: activation reactions, reagent
 sequences, reagent II. Vol. 1b. VCH publisher, Weinheim.
 Kato K, Watanabe F, Eda S. 1977. Interior chains of glucuronomannan from extracellular
 polysaccharides of suspension-cultured tobacco cells. *Agriculture and Biology and Chemistry* 41 : 539-542.

Kotake T, Yamanashi Y, Imaizumi C, Tsumuraya Y. 2016. Metabolism of L-arabinose in
plants. *Journal of Plant Research* 129 : 781-792.

9 Lee D, Polisensky DH, Braam J. 2005. Genome-wide identification of touch- and darkness10 regulated arabidopsis genes: a focus on *calmodulin-like* and *XTH* genes. *New Phytologist*11 165 : 429-444.

12 Lopez-Torrez L, Nigen M, Williams P, Doco T, Sanchez C. 2015. Acacia senegal vs.

13 *Acacia seyal* gums – Part 1: composition and structure of hyperbranched plant exudates.

14 *Food Hydrocolloids* **51** : 41-53.

Maris A, Suslov D, Fry SC, Verbelen J-, Vissenberg K. 2009. Enzymic characterization of
two recombinant xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTHs) proteins of arabidopsis
and their effect on root growth and cell wall extension. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60 :
3959-3972.

McCann MC, Wells B, Roberts K 1990. Direct visualization of cross-links in the primary
plant cell wall. *Journal of Cell Science* 96 : 323-334.

21 McDougall GJ, Fry SC. 1989. Structure-activity relationships for xyloglucan

22 oligosaccharides with antiauxin activity. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **89** : 883-887.

23 Miedes E, Lorences EP. 2009. Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTHs) during

tomato fruit growth and ripening. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **166** : 489-498.

1	Minic Z, Jamet E, Negroni L, der Garabedian PA, Michel Z, Jouanin L. 2007. A sub-
2	proteome of Arabidopsis thaliana mature stems trapped on concanavalin A is enriched in cell
3	wall glycoside hydrolases. Journal of Experimental Botany 58: 2503-2512.
4	Moreira LRS, Filho EXF. 2008. An overview of mannan structure and mannan-degrading
5	enzyme systems. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 79: 165-178.
6	Nie S-P, Wang C, Cui SW, Wang Q, Xie M-Y, Phillips GO. 2013. The core carbohydrate
7	structure of Acacia seyal var. seyal (gum arabic). Food Hydrocolloids 32: 221-227.
8	Nishitani K, Masuda Y. 1982. Acid pH-induced structural changes in cell wall xyloglucan
9	in Vigna angularis epicotyl segments. Plant Science Letter 28: 87-94.
10	Nishitani K, Tominaga R. 1992. Endo-xyloglucan transferase, a novel class of
11	glycosyltransferase that catalyses transfer of a segment of xyloglucan molecule to another
12	xyloglucan molecule. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 267: 21058-21064.
13	O'Rourke C, Gregson T, Murray L, Sadler IH, Fry SC. 2015. Sugar composition of the
14	pectic polysaccharides of charophytes, the closest algal relatives of land-plants: presence of
15	3-O-methyl-D-galactose residues. Annals of Botany116: 225–236.
16	Osato Y, Yokoyama R, Nishitani K. 2006. A principal role for AtXTH18 in Arabidopsis
17	thaliana root growth: a functional analysis using RNAi plants. Journal of Plant Research
18	119 : 153-162.
19	Park YB, Cosgrove DJ. 2015. Xyloglucan and its interactions with other components of the
20	growing cell wall. Plant and Cell Physiology 56: 180-194.
21	Passioura JB, Fry SC. 1992. Turgor and cell expansion: beyond the Lockhart equation.

22 Journal of Plant Physiology **19** : 565-576.

1	Pauly M, Albersheim P, Darvill A, York WS. 1999. Molecular domains of the cellulose/
2	xyloglucan network in the cell wall of higher plants. <i>The Plant Journal</i> 20 : 629–639.
3	Purugganan MM, Braam J, Fry SC. 1997. The arabidopsis TCH4 xyloglucan
4	endotransglycosylase: substrate specificity, pH optimum, and cold tolerance. Plant
5	<i>Physiology</i> 115 : 181–190.
6	Redgwell RJ, Fry SC. 1993. Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase activity increases during
7	kiwifruit (Actinidia deliciosa) ripening. Plant Physiology 103: 1399-1406.
8	Rittenhouse J, Marcus F. 1984. Peptide mapping by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
9	after cleavage at aspartyl-prolyl peptide bonds in sodium dodecyl sulphate-containing buffers.
10	Analytical Biochemistry 138: 442-448.
11	Ritsema T, Smeekens S. 2003. Fructans: beneficial for plants and humans. Current Opinion
12	<i>in Plant Biology</i> 6 : 223-230.
13	Rose JK, Braam J, Fry SC, Nishitani K. 2002. The XTHs family of enzymes involved in
14	xyloglucan endotransglucosylation and endohydrolysis: Current perspectives and a new
15	unifying nomenclature. Plant Cell Physiology 43: 1421-1435.
16	Scheller HV, Ulvskov P. 2010. Hemicelluloses. Annual Review of Plant Biology 61 : 263-
17	289.
18	Seymour GB, Knox JP. 2002. Pectins and their manipulation. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing
19	Ltd.
20	Sharples SC, Nguyen-Phan TC, Fry SC. 2017. Xyloglucan
21	endotransglucosylase/hydrolases (XTHs) are inactivated by binding to glass and cellulosic
22	surfaces, and released in active form by a heat-stable polymer from cauliflower florets.

23 Journal of Plant Physiology **218** :135-143.

1	Shibuya	N,	Misaki A	, Iwasaki '	T. 1983 .	The structure	of	arabinoxy	lan and
---	---------	----	----------	-------------	------------------	---------------	----	-----------	---------

- 2 arabinoglucuronoxylan isolated from rice endosperm cell wall. Agriculture and Biology
- **3** *Chemistry* **47** : 2223-2230.

4 Smith RC, Fry SC. 1991. Endotransglycosylation of xyloglucans in plant cell suspension
5 cultures. *Biochemical Journal* 279 : 529-535.

- 6 Stevenson TT, Darvill AG, Albersheim P. 1988. Structural features of the plant cell-wall
- 7 polysaccharide rhamnogalacturonan-II. *Carbohydrate Research* **182** : 207-226.

8 Stratilová E, Ait Mohand F, Rehulka P et al.. 2010. Xyloglucan endotransglycosylases

9 (XETs) from germinating nasturtium (*Tropaeolum majus*) seeds: isolation and

10 characterization of the major form. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* **48** : 207–215.

Takeda T, Fry SC. 2004. Control of xyloglucan endotransglucosylase activity by salts and
 anionic polymers. *Planta* 219 : 722-732.

13 Takeda T, Miller JG, Fry SC. 2008. Anionic derivatives of xyloglucan function as acceptor

14 but not donor substrates for xyloglucan endotransglucosylase activity. *Planta* **227** : 893-905.

Thompson JE, Fry SC. 1997. Trimming and solubilization of xyloglucan after deposition in

the walls of cultured rose cells. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **48** : 297–305.

Thompson JE, Fry SC. 2001. Restructuring of wall-bound xyloglucan by transglycosylation
in living plant cells. *The Plant Journal* 26 : 23-34.

- 19 Thompson JE, Smith RC, Fry SC.1997. Xyloglucan undergoes interpolymeric
- transglycosylation during binding to the plant cell wall in vivo: evidence from ${}^{13}C/{}^{3}H$ dual
- 21 labelling and isopycnic centrifugation in caesium trifluoroacetate. *The Biochemical Journal*
- **327** : 699-708.

1	Van Sandt VST, Suslov D, Verbelen J-P, Vissenberg K. 2007. Xyloglucan
2	endotransglucosylase activity loosens a plant cell wall. Annals of Botany 100: 1467-1473.
3	Wei H, Brunecky R, Donohoe BS et al 2015. Identifying the ionically bound cell wall and
4	intracellular glycoside hydrolases in late growth stage arabidopsis stems: implications for the
5	genetic engineering of bioenergy crops. Frontiers in Plant Science 6: 1-14.
6	Willför S, Sjöholm R, Laine C, Holmbom B. 2002. Structural features of water-soluble
7	arabinogalactans from Norway spruce and Scots pine heartwood. Wood Science and
8	<i>Technology</i> 36 : 101–110.
9	Xu W, Purugganan MM, Polisensky DH, Antosiewicz DM, Fry SC, Braam J. 1995.
10	Arabidopsis TCH4, regulated by hormones and the environment, encodes a xyloglucan
11	endotransglycosylase. The Plant Cell 7: 1555-1567.
12	Yokoyama R, Nishitani K. 2001. A comprehensive expression analysis of all members of a
13	gene family encoding cell-wall enzymes allowed us to predict cis-regulatory regions involved
14	in cell-wall construction in specific organs of arabidopsis. Plant and Cell Physiology 42:
15	1025-1033.
16	Zhu XF, Shi YZ, Lei GJ et al 2012. XTH31, encoding an in vitro XEH/XET-active enzyme,
17	regulates aluminium sensitivity by modulating in vivo XET action, cell wall xyloglucan
18	content, and aluminum binding capacity in arabidopsis. <i>Plant Cell</i> 24 : 4731-4747.

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Cauliflower CHP and CaCl₂ synergistically solubilise XET activity from arabidopsis
cell walls.

Washed arabidopsis cell walls were incubated for 30 min in 66 µl of buffer [0.18 M MES
(Na⁺), pH 5.5] containing various combinations of CHP and CaCl₂. After centrifugation, 20
µl of supernatant was incubated with 20 µl of XET reaction mixture also containing 0.5%
BSA and the yield of [³H]polysaccharide at 16 h was determined ±SE (n = 4). Data from two
representative experiments (Expt 1, dashed lines; Expt 2, solid lines), covering different
concentration ranges, are shown.

10

Fig. 2. Ability of various agents to prevent loss of solubilised XTHs due to binding to tubewalls.

XTHs were solubilised from washed arabidopsis cells in 180 mM MES (Na⁺, pH 5.5), 13 containing 338 mM NaCl, for 1 h. The enzyme solution was then diluted into sufficient 180 14 mM MES (pH 5.5), containing various additives, to give 15% or 50% of the initial enzyme 15 concentration (in a total final volume of 20 μ l) in three types of container (see x-axis): a well 16 of a 96-well plate (96WP), or a 0.5-ml Eppendorf tube (Epp), or a PCR tube. The additives 17 18 were as indicated in the box. When NaCl was the additive, it was in addition to the 50 or 169 mM carried over with the enzyme extract. In the case of polylysine, the containers had been 19 pretreated by filling with 0.5% (w/v) polylysine, incubating for 16 h, then water-washing and 20 21 re-drying prior to addition of the enzyme extracts; thus no soluble polylysine remained. The 20-µl solutions were then incubated in these containers for 5.5 h, permitting possible 22

binding to the tube walls, after which 20 μ l of XET substrate mixture was added; the XET

reaction-products (radioactive polysaccharide) were measured after a further 16 h. Data show

- the mean of two determinations ± range. Asterisks indicates data which are significantly
 different from the relevant 'untreated' sample: *, p ≤ 0.01; **, p ≤ 0.001.
- 3

Fig. 3. Enzyme activities solubilised from arabidopsis cell walls by cauliflower CHP or high
salt.

6 Washed *Arabidopsis* cell walls were incubated in 0.2 M MES (Na^+), pH 5.5, containing,

7 sequentially, (i) 0.075 M NaCl, (ii) 2 mg/ml CHP with 0.075 M NaCl, and (iii) 1.0 M NaCl,

8 for 30 min in each solution. After each extractant, all the solution was removed from the cell

9 walls and the next extractant was then applied. Aliquots of each extract were assayed for (a)

10 β -d-glucosidase, (b) phosphatase, (c) peroxidase and (d) XET activity. In (a) and (b) the

11 yellow *p*-nitrophenol product was assayed at 400 nm; in (c) the reddish peroxidase product

12 was assayed at 420 nm [and a standard of commercial horseradish peroxidase (HRP) was also

13 assayed]; in (d) [³H]polysaccharide formed by XET activity was measured. The deceleration

14 of reaction rate in (c) was not reversed by additional H_2O_2 (data not shown) and may indicate

15 gradual denaturation of the solubilised peroxidase. Error bars represent SE (n = 4).

16

Fig. 4. Solubilisation of arabidopsis cell-wall-bound XTH by cauliflower CHP: effect ofvarying cell wall and CHP concentrations.

(a) Effect of cell wall concentration. A suspension of washed arabidopsis cell walls (3 to 66
µl) was washed several further times with water and the washings were removed. The slightly
moist wall pellet (equivalent to 8.3–183 µg dry weight) was incubated in 66 µl of 0.075 M
NaCl containing 0.2 M MES with 2 mg/ml CHP (solid symbols) or without CHP (open
symbols) for 30 min. Solubilised enzymes were then assayed for XET activity by the normal

1 method for 16 h in the presence of 0.25% BSA. \triangle = water (without CHP) used in place of

2 NaCl/MES buffer. Data are mean of four determinations \pm SE.

3 (b) Effect of CHP concentration. Details as in (a), but the cell-wall concentration was always
20 µg per 66 µl and the CHP concentration was varied. Data are mean of two determinations
5 ± range. The curve is fitted according to the equation for two superimposed hyperbolae,

6
$$y = [(V_{\max 1} \times x) / (K_{\max 1} + x)] + [(V_{\max 2} \times x) / (K_{\max 2} + x)],$$

- 7 with $K_{m1} = 0.035$ mg/ml and $K_{m2} = 39$ mg/ml.
- 8

9 Fig. 5. Sugar residue composition of diverse CHPs.

(a) CHPs (100 μg) were hydrolysed in 2 M TFA at 120°C, and the sugars were analysed by
TLC with thymol staining.

(b) XAF activity of a 2 mg/ml CHP solution (y-axis shows Bq of radioactive polysaccharide
formed in 16 h by the XTHs solubilised from arabidopsis walls).

14 Samples were: arabidopsis (1, stem; 2, leaf; 3, flower); snowdrop (4, leaf; 5, flower; 6, stem);

15 crocus (7, leaf; 8, flower); cell-cultures (9, rose; 10, arabidopsis; 11, spinach); carrot (12,

root; 13, leaf); 14, spinach leaf; 15, asparagus shoot; celery (16, mature petiole; 17, young

17 whole leaf); 18, watercress shoot; 19, lettuce leaf; 20, parsley leaf; spring onion (21, basal

18 stem + leaf; 22, leaf); tobacco (23, leaf; 24, stem); 25, cauliflower floret. Sample 26 was a

19 TFA-only control. Scientific names listed in Fig. S1. Abbreviations: ABUAs, aldobiouronic

- 20 acids; Ara, arabinose; Fuc, fucose; Gal, galactose; GalA, galacturonic acid; Glc, glucose;
- 21 GlcA, glucuronic acid; GlcA(L), glucuronolactone; Man, mannose; Rha, rhamnose; Rib,
- 22 ribose; Unk, unknown; Xyl, xylose. Black sugar labels are authentic markers; green labels
- 23 (left) are sugars derived from the plant CHPs.

1 Fig. 6. XAF activity of cauliflower CHP and various commercial polysaccharides.

Authentic polysaccharides were dissolved (or suspended in the case of cellulose) at 5 mg/ml
in the standard NaCl/MES buffer. Cauliflower CHP was dissolved in the same buffer but at 2
mg/ml. Each polysaccharide solution/suspension was assayed in triplicate for XAF activity
— the ability to solubilise XET activity from washed arabidopsis walls. Data are means ± SE
(n=3). Asterisk indicate statistically significant difference from the buffer sample: *, p ≤ 0.05;
**, p ≤ 0.001.

8 Polysaccharides tested: tamarind xyloglucan; nasturtium xyloglucan; larch-wood

9 arabinogalactan; gum arabic (*Acacia*); cellulose powder; CMC, carboxymethylcellulose;

10 esterified citrus fruit pectin; potato galactan; birch-wood xylan; homogalacturonan; soluble

starch; cauliflower CHP. Samples 'buffer' and 'water' had no added polysaccharide: Buffer,

12 buffer in water; Water, water only.

13

14 Fig. 7. Size fractionation and sugar residue composition of XAF-active cauliflower CHPs.

15 Cauliflower CHPs were passed through Sepharose CL-6B. For panels \mathbf{a} and $\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{f}$, the fractions

16 were paired and tested for XAF activity and sugar residue composition; for example,

17 fractions 31 + 32 were pooled and the result is plotted at 31.5 on the x-axis. Arrows indicate

18 void volume (V_0 ; K_{av} 0) and totally included volume (V_i ; K_{av} 1). Vertical dashed lines

19 demarcate the major XAF-active fractions.

(a) XAF activity before and after treatment with TFA: 3.8% of each paired fraction was dried
and an equivalent portion was hydrolysed (in 2 M TFA at 120°C for 1 h) then dried, after
which both samples were assayed for XAF activity. Data are mean of two determinations

 $\pm range.$

- 1 (b) All fractions were assayed individually for the internal markers blue dextran and
- 2 [¹⁴C]glucose and for endogenous UV-absorbing components (A_{280}). The K_{av} 0.32–0.66 zone
- 3 was pooled for further analysis, e.g. in Fig. 8a.
- 4 (c, d) Sugars released by acid hydrolysis (TFA): 1.8% of each paired fraction was hydrolysed
- 5 (in 2 M TFA at 120°C for 1 h) and analysed by HPLC. The peak of glucose in fractions 17–
- 6 20 is mainly derived from the added blue dextran.
- 7 (e, f) Sugars released by enzymic hydrolysis (dris): 0.28% of each paired fraction was
- 8 digested with Driselase and analysed by HPLC. Abbreviations as in Fig. 5, and Xyl2 =

9 xylobiose.

- 10
- 11 Fig. 8. Acid lability and alkali stability of XAF-active cauliflower CHPs.
- 12 (a) The relatively high-M_r, XAF-active fractions of cauliflower CHP eluting from Sepharose
- 13 CL-6B (equivalent to the K_{av} 0.32–0.66 zone marked in Fig. 7b) were pooled, dried, treated
- 14 with either 0.1 M TFA (85° C) or 2.0 M TFA (100° C) or 0.48 M NaOH (20° C), and then
- assayed for XAF activity. Data are mean of at least three determinations \pm SE.
- 16 (b,c) Effect of the two acid treatments on authentic oligosaccharides and the sugar
- 17 components of XAF-active fractions of cauliflower CHP eluting from Sepharose CL-6B [b,
- 18 hydrolysis in 0.1 M TFA (85°C); c, hydrolysis in 2.0 M TFA (100°C)]. The products were
- 19 resolved by TLC and stained with thymol $-H_2SO_4$. S = substrate in water; 0'-64' = substrate
- 20 in TFA heated for the time indicated in minutes.

21

Fig. 9. Digestion of cauliflower CHP by various commercial enzymes.

1 (a) Effect of enzymes on XAF activity of CHP. Dried CHP (0.4 mg) was incubated with 300 2 µl solution of the named enzymes [in PyAW (pH 4.7) at 20°C for 24 h unless otherwise 3 stated]; (1) and (2) refer to two independent experiments: in (2), the galactosidase was used at pH 5.6 and 55°C for 4 h and the α -glucosidase was in 1% lutidine and 0.3% acetic acid, pH 4 6.6, at 20°C for 48 h. None = buffer in place of enzymes; this result was set as 100% XAF 5 6 activity within each experiment; in these cases, 'CHP + enzyme' (black bar) was CHP in 7 buffer and 'enzyme only' (grey bar) was buffer alone. Data are means of at least 4 assays \pm 8 SE. Asterisks indicate statistically significant difference from the 'none (1)' sample: *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.001. 9

(b) Effect of XEG on XAF activity of individual CHP fractions from a Sepharose column
(same column run as shown in Fig. 7). Fractions from a Sepharose CL-6B column were
treated with 5.2 μg/ml XEG for 1.5 h at 20°C, then the reaction was stopped by heating at
120°C for 70 min; after centrifugation, the supernatant was assayed for XAF activity. Equal
volumes of each Sepharose fraction were assayed for XAF activity without XEG treatment (–
XEG). Data are mean of two determinations ±range.

16 (c) Effect of XEG on three different XAF-active substrates. XEG (7.8 μg/ml; in PyAW

17 1:1:98) was incubated with CHP (2 mg/ml), tamarind xyloglucan (XyG; 5 mg/ml), gum

18 arabic (GA; 5 mg/ml) or water ('none'), and incubated at 20°C for 1.5 h. Controls were

19 without XEG ('untreated') or with XEG that had been denatured in 22% formic acid at 20°C

20 and then freed of the acid *in vacuo*. Enzyme remaining after incubation with active XEG was

21 denatured in 22% formic acid. Each solution was then assayed for XAF activity, and the yield

of $[^{3}H]$ polysaccharide is reported. Data are mean of two determinations \pm range.

23 (d) Xyloglucan oligosaccharides produced by XEG digestion of the Sepharose fractions.

24 One-sixth of each fraction shown in (b) was analysed by TLC; panels (b) and (d) are aligned.

- 1 (e) TLC of digestion products. The α -amylase, β -galactosidase and α -glucosidase CHP
- 2 digests (from panel (a), experiment 2) were analysed by TLC. Controls lacked CHP ('enz
- 3 only') or enzyme ('CHP only'). In addition, authentic disaccharides (C6, cellohexaose; M6,
- 4 maltohexaose) were treated with XEG (83 μ g/ml , PyAW 1:1:98, 24 h, as in panel a).

1 <u>Caption for Supplementary Table</u>

- 2 Table S1. Effect of $CaCl_2$ and cauliflower CHP on solubilisation of XET activity from
- 3 arabidopsis cell walls
- 4

5 <u>Captions for Supplementary Figures</u>

- Figure S1. Lack of a strong relationship between ionic strength of diverse CHPs and theirXAF activity.
- 8 Figure S2. Scattergrams showing the relationship between sugar residue composition of
- 9 diverse CHPs and their XAF activity.
- 10 Fig. S3. Effect of XEG on gum arabic and xyloglucan.



Fig. 1. Cauliflower CHP and $CaCl_2$ synergistically solubilise XET activity from arabidopsis cell walls.

Washed arabidopsis cell walls were incubated for 30 min in 66 μ l of buffer [0.18 M MES (Na⁺), pH 5.5] containing various combinations of CHP and CaCl₂. After centrifugation, 20 μ l of supernatant was incubated with 20 μ l of XET reaction mixture also containing 0.5% BSA and the yield of [³H]polysaccharide at 16 h was determined ±SE (n = 4). Data from two representative experiments (Expt 1, dashed lines; Expt 2, solid lines), covering different concentration ranges, are shown.



Container and enzyme concentration



XTHs were solubilised from washed arabidopsis cells in 180 mM MES (Na⁺, pH 5.5), containing 338 mM NaCl, for 1 h. The enzyme solution was then diluted into sufficient 180 mM MES (pH 5.5), containing various additives, to give 15% or 50% of the initial enzyme concentration (in a total final volume of 20 µl) in three types of container (see *x*-axis): a well of a 96-well plate (96WP), or a 0.5-ml Eppendorf tube (Epp), or a PCR tube. The additives were as indicated in the box. When NaCl was the additive, it was *in addition* to the 50 or 169 mM carried over with the enzyme extract. In the case of polylysine, the containers had been pretreated by filling with 0.5% (w/v) polylysine, incubating for 16 h, then water-washing and re-drying prior to addition of the enzyme extracts; thus no soluble polylysine remained.

The 20-µl solutions were then incubated in these containers for 5.5 h, permitting possible binding to the tube walls, after which 20 µl of XET substrate mixture was added; the XET reaction-products (radioactive polysaccharide) were measured after a further 16 h. Data show the mean of two determinations \pm range. Asterisks indicates data which are significantly different from the relevant 'untreated' sample: *, p ≤ 0.01; **, p ≤ 0.001.



Fig. 3. Enzyme activities solubilised from arabidopsis cell walls by cauliflower CHP or high salt.

Washed *Arabidopsis* cell walls were incubated in 0.2 M MES (Na⁺), pH 5.5, containing, sequentially, (i) 0.075 M NaCl, (ii) 2 mg/ml CHP with 0.075 M NaCl, and (iii) 1.0 M NaCl, for 30 min in each solution. After each extractant, all the solution was removed from the cell walls and the next extractant was then applied. Aliquots of each extract were assayed for (a) β -D-glucosidase, (b) phosphatase, (c) peroxidase and (d) XET activity. In (a) and (b) the yellow *p*-nitrophenol product was assayed at 400 nm; in (c) the reddish peroxidase product was assayed at 420 nm [and a standard of commercial horseradish peroxidase (HRP) was also assayed]; in (d) [³H]polysaccharide formed by XET activity was measured. The deceleration of reaction rate in (c) was not reversed by additional H₂O₂ (data not shown) and may indicate gradual denaturation of the solubilised peroxidase. Error bars represent SE (n = 4).





(a) Effect of cell wall concentration. A suspension of washed arabidopsis cell walls (3 to 66 μ I) was washed several further times with water and the washings were removed. The slightly moist wall pellet (equivalent to 8.3–183 μ g dry weight) was incubated in 66 μ I of 0.075 M NaCl containing 0.2 M MES with 2 mg/ml CHP (solid symbols) or without CHP (open symbols) for 30 min. Solubilised enzymes were then assayed for XET activity by the normal method for 16 h in the presence of 0.25% BSA. Δ = water (without CHP) used in place of NaCl/MES buffer. Data are mean of four determinations ± SE.

(b) Effect of CHP concentration. Details as in (a), but the cell-wall concentration was always 20 μ g per 66 μ l and the CHP concentration was varied. Data are mean of two determinations \pm range. The curve is fitted according to the equation for two superimposed hyperbolae,

$$y = [(V_{\max 1} \times x) / (K_{m1} + x)] + [(V_{\max 2} \times x) / (K_{m2} + x)],$$

with $K_{m1} = 0.035$ mg/ml and $K_{m2} = 39$ mg/ml.



Fig. 5. Sugar residue composition of diverse CHPs.

(a) CHPs (100 µg) were hydrolysed in 2 M TFA at 120° C, and the sugars were analysed by TLC with thymol staining.

10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

(b) XAF activity of a 2 mg/ml CHP solution (y-axis shows Bg of radioactive polysaccharide formed in 16 h by the XTHs solubilised from arabidopsis walls).

Samples were: arabidopsis (1, stem; 2, leaf; 3, flower); snowdrop (4, leaf; 5, flower; 6, stem); crocus (7, leaf; 8, flower); cell-cultures (9, rose; 10, arabidopsis; 11, spinach); carrot (12, root; 13, leaf); 14, spinach leaf; 15, asparagus shoot; celery (16, mature petiole; 17, young whole leaf); 18, watercress shoot; 19, lettuce leaf; 20, parsley leaf; spring onion (21, basal stem + leaf; 22, leaf); tobacco (23, leaf; 24, stem); 25, cauliflower floret. Sample 26 was a TFA-only control. Scientific names listed in Fig. S1.

Abbreviations: ABUAs, aldobiouronic acids; Ara, arabinose; Fuc, fucose; Gal, galactose; GalA, galacturonic acid; Glc, glucose; GlcA, glucuronic acid; GlcA(L), glucuronolactone; Man, mannose; Rha, rhamnose; Rib, ribose; Unk, unknown; Xyl, xylose. Black sugar labels are authentic markers; green labels (left) are sugars derived from the plant CHPs.

0

2 3

1



Fig. 6. XAF activity of cauliflower CHP and various commercial polysaccharides.

Authentic polysaccharides were dissolved (or suspended in the case of cellulose) at 5 mg/ml in the standard NaCl/MES buffer. Cauliflower CHP was dissolved in the same buffer but at 2 mg/ml. Each polysaccharide solution/suspension was assayed in triplicate for XAF activity — the ability to solubilise XET activity from washed arabidopsis walls. Data are means \pm SE (n=3). Asterisk indicate statistically significant difference from the buffer sample: *, p ≤ 0.05; **, p ≤ 0.001.

Polysaccharides tested: tamarind xyloglucan; nasturtium xyloglucan; larch-wood arabinogalactan; gum arabic (*Acacia*); cellulose powder; CMC, carboxymethylcellulose; esterified citrus fruit pectin; potato galactan; birch-wood xylan; homogalacturonan; soluble starch; cauliflower CHP. Samples 'buffer' and 'water' had no added polysaccharide: Buffer, buffer in water; Water, water only.



Fig. 7. Size fractionation and sugar residue composition of XAF-active cauliflower CHPs.

Cauliflower CHPs were passed through Sepharose CL-6B. For panels **a** and **c**–**f**, the fractions were paired and tested for XAF activity and sugar residue composition; for example, fractions 31 + 32 were pooled and the result is plotted at 31.5 on the *x*-axis. Arrows indicate void volume (V_0 ; K_{av} 0) and totally included volume (V_i ; K_{av} 1). Vertical dashed lines demarcate the major XAF-active fractions.

(a) XAF activity before and after treatment with TFA: 3.8% of each paired fraction was dried and an equivalent portion was hydrolysed (in 2 M TFA at 120°C for 1 h) then dried, after which both samples were assayed for XAF activity. Data are mean of two determinations ±range.

(b) All fractions were assayed individually for the internal markers blue dextran and [¹⁴C]glucose and for endogenous UVabsorbing components (A_{280}). The K_{av} 0.32–0.66 zone was pooled for further analysis, e.g. in Fig. 8a.

(c, d) Sugars released by acid hydrolysis (TFA): 1.8% of each paired fraction was hydrolysed (in 2 M TFA at 120°C for 1 h) and analysed by HPLC. The peak of glucose in fractions 17–20 is mainly derived from the added blue dextran.

(e, f) Sugars released by enzymic hydrolysis (dris): 0.28% of each paired fraction was digested with Driselase and analysed by HPLC. Abbreviations as in Fig. 5, and Xyl2 = xylobiose.



Fig. 8. Acid lability and alkali stability of XAF-active cauliflower CHPs.

(a) The relatively high-M_r, XAF-active fractions of cauliflower CHP eluting from Sepharose CL-6B (equivalent to the K_{av} 0.32–0.66 zone marked in Fig. 7b) were pooled, dried, treated with either 0.1 M TFA (85°C) or 2.0 M TFA (100°C) or 0.48 M NaOH (20°C), and then assayed for XAF activity. Data are mean of at least three determinations ±SE.

(b,c) Effect of the two acid treatments on authentic oligosaccharides and the sugar components of XAF-active fractions of cauliflower CHP eluting from Sepharose CL-6B [b, hydrolysis in 0.1 M TFA (85°C); c, hydrolysis in 2.0 M TFA (100°C)]. The products were resolved by TLC and stained with thymol– H_2SO_4 . S = substrate in water; 0'– 64' = substrate in TFA heated for the time indicated in minutes.



Fig. 9. Digestion of cauliflower CHP by various commercial enzymes.

(a) Effect of enzymes on XAF activity of CHP. Dried CHP (0.4 mg) was incubated with 300 μ l solution of the named enzymes [in PyAW (pH 4.7) at 20°C for 24 h unless otherwise stated]; (1) and (2) refer to two independent experiments: in (2), the galactosidase was used at pH 5.6 and 55°C for 4 h and the α -glucosidase was in 1% lutidine and 0.3% acetic acid, pH 6.6, at 20°C for 48 h. None = buffer in place of enzymes; this result was set as 100% XAF activity within each experiment; in these cases, 'CHP + enzyme' (black bar) was CHP in buffer and 'enzyme only' (grey bar) was buffer alone. Data are means of at least 4 assays ± SE. Asterisks indicate statistically significant difference from the 'none (1)' sample: *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.001.

(b) Effect of XEG on XAF activity of individual CHP fractions from a Sepharose column (same column run as shown in Fig. 7). Fractions from a Sepharose CL-6B column were treated with 5.2 µg/ml XEG for 1.5 h at 20°C, then the reaction was stopped by heating at 120°C for 70 min; after centrifugation, the supernatant was assayed for XAF activity. Equal volumes of each Sepharose fraction were assayed for XAF activity without XEG treatment (–XEG). Data are mean of two determinations ±range.

(c) Effect of XEG on three different XAF-active substrates. XEG (7.8 μg/ml; in PyAW 1:1:98) was incubated with CHP (2 mg/ml), tamarind xyloglucan (XyG; 5 mg/ml), gum arabic (GA; 5 mg/ml) or water ('none'), and incubated at 20°C for 1.5 h. Controls were without XEG ('untreated') or with XEG that had been denatured in 22% formic acid at 20°C and then freed of the acid *in vacuo*. Enzyme remaining after incubation with active XEG was denatured in 22% formic acid. Each solution was then assayed for XAF activity, and the yield of [³H]polysaccharide is reported. Data are mean of two determinations ± range. (d) Xyloglucan oligosaccharides produced by XEG digestion of the Sepharose fractions. One-sixth of each fraction shown in (b) was analysed by TLC; panels (b) and (d) are aligned.

(e) TLC of digestion products. The α -amylase, β -galactosidase and α -glucosidase CHP digests (from panel (a), experiment 2) were analysed by TLC. Controls lacked CHP ('enz only') or enzyme ('CHP only'). In addition, authentic disaccharides (C6, cellohexaose; M6, maltohexaose) were treated with XEG (83 µg/ml , PyAW 1:1:98, 24 h, as in panel a).