

# Edinburgh Research Explorer

# Twenty-first century glacier slowdown driven by mass loss in High Mountain Asia

Citation for published version:

Dehecq, A, Gourmelen, N, Gardner, AS, Brun, F, Goldberg, D, Nienow, P, Berthier, E, Vincent, C, Wagnon, P & Trouve, E 2018, 'Twenty-first century glacier slowdown driven by mass loss in High Mountain Asia, Nature Geoscience. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0271-9

#### Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1038/s41561-018-0271-9

#### Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

#### **Document Version:**

Peer reviewed version

#### Published In:

Nature Geoscience

**General rights** 

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Download date: 12 .lun 2020

# Twenty-first century glacier slowdown driven by mass loss in High Mountain Asia

Amaury Dehecq<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Noel Gourmelen<sup>3,4</sup>, Alex S. Gardner<sup>1</sup>, Fanny Brun<sup>5,6</sup>, Daniel Goldberg<sup>3</sup>, Peter W. Nienow<sup>3</sup>, Etienne Berthier<sup>6</sup>, Christian Vincent<sup>5</sup>, Patrick Wagnon<sup>5</sup>, Emmanuel Trouvé<sup>2</sup>

- 1. Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA
- 2. Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, LISTIC, F-74000 Annecy, France
- 3. School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH8 9XP, UK
- 4. IPGS UMR 7516, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, Strasbourg, France
- 5. Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, Grenoble INP, IGE, F-38000 Grenoble, France
- 6. LEGOS, Université de Toulouse, CNES, CNRS, IRD, UPS, F-31400 Toulouse, France

<sup>\*</sup> Email: amaury.dehecq@jpl.nasa.gov

Glaciers in High Mountain Asia have experienced heterogeneous rates of loss since the 1970s. Yet, the associated changes in ice flow that lead to mass redistribution and modify the glacier sensitivity to climate are poorly constrained. Here we present observations of changes in ice flow for all glaciers in High Mountain Asia over the period 2000-2017, based on one million pairs of optical satellite images. Trend analysis reveals that in nine of the eleven surveyed regions, glaciers show sustained slowdown concomitant with ice thinning. In contrast, the stable or thickening glaciers of the Karakoram and West Kunlun regions experience slightly accelerated glacier flow. Up to 94% of the variability in velocity change between regions can be explained by changes in gravitational driving stress, which in turn is largely controlled by changes in ice thickness. We conclude that, despite the complexities of individual glacier behaviour, decadal and regional changes in ice flow are largely insensitive to changes in conditions at the bed of the glacier and can be well estimated from ice thickness change and slope alone.

Glaciers are thinning world-wide, at an increasing rate since the turn of the 21<sup>st</sup> century [1], 15 with a mean mass balance of -0.42 m w.e.vr<sup>-1</sup> (meter water-equivalent per year) [2]. Glaciers 16 on the Tibetan Plateau and surrounding ranges (Figure 1), referred to as High Mountain Asia 17 (HMA), are no exception despite regionally-contrasted evolution: some regions are experiencing close to global mean rates of mass loss, e.g. Spiti Lahaul ( $-0.37 \pm 0.09 \text{ m w.e.yr}^{-1}$ ), West Nepal  $(-0.34 \pm 0.09 \text{ m w.e.yr}^{-1})$  or Nyaingêntanglha  $(-0.62 \pm 0.23 \text{ m w.e.yr}^{-1})$  [3], whereas glaciers north-west of the Tibetan Plateau (West Kunlun Shan, Karakoram, East Pamir) are near equilibrium or slightly gaining mass [3-5]. This contrasted pattern has persisted since the 1970s [6]. 23 In response to these mass changes, glacier flow is expected to change, thereby affecting 24 ice fluxes, hypsometry (ice area-altitude distribution) and glacier mass balance. However, the link between these different components and, in particular, the flow response of glaciers to mass change are poorly understood at regional scales [7]. Dynamic mass redistribution is particularly critical in regional glacier models used to estimate glacier contributions to sea-level change [8– 10 and water resources [11] but is generally represented by empirical scalings, which lack a physical representation of glacier flow [12]. A few studies have attempted to model ice flow at regional scales, taking into account ice deformation [12, 13] or basal sliding [14, 15], but the 31 justification of model choice is generally undermined by the lack of velocity observations [16]. Field measurements demonstrate that ice flow of land-terminating glaciers fluctuates with 33 mass changes at decadal scales [17, 18]. Ref. [7] analysed ice velocity changes over recent decades using single satellite image pairs from 6 glacierized regions in the world. They conclude that ice flow slowed in regions with negative mass balance but found no clear relation between mass balance and velocity change. The slowdown of several land-terminating glaciers has been observed locally in HMA, concomitant with negative mass balance [19–22] but no observation of velocity changes exist at regional scales. In this study we measure regional changes in the flow of HMA glaciers using systematic 40 feature-tracking of repeat satellite images collected between 2000-2017. We discuss regional differences in velocity trends with regards to known ice thickness changes over a similar period. Finally, we estimate the contribution of changes in gravitational driving stress to the observed changes in surface velocity and discuss the best representation of ice flow in models of glacier

evolution.

# 46 Interannual changes in glacier velocities

We derive glacier surface velocities by applying feature-tracking to 907,142 panchromatic Landsat-7 image pairs (15 m resolution) separated by less than 545 days using JPL auto-RIFT software [23] (Methods section). We generate a mean velocity field for 94% of all glaciers in HMA from an error-weighted average of all velocity fields over the period 1999-2017 at 240 m resolution. Annual velocities are obtained similarly at yearly interval for the period 2000-2017 (insufficient data was available for 1999) with glacier coverage ranging from 83 to 89% (Figure S1). Image pairs span one year on average, centred around June, with little interannual variability (Figures S2 and S3). Consequently, our results are relatively insensitive to seasonal fluctuations in ice flow. The velocity uncertainty, estimated over ice-free terrain, varies with the number of available image pairs and changes in radiometric quality [24]. The median uncertainty of the annual velocity fields is around 2 m/yr, with a minimum ( $\sim 0.8$  m/yr) for the central and eastern Himalaya and a maximum (3 m/yr) for the Tibetan Plateau (Figure S4). Examples of velocity maps are shown on Figure S5 (a.c.e). To extract regional velocity trends, we conduct our analysis on glacier areas with surface 60 velocities that significantly exceed the estimated uncertainty. We select pixels with a mean velocity greater than 5 m/yr over glaciers larger than 5 km<sup>2</sup> (areas from ref. [25]). Accumulation zones have larger measurement uncertainties due to low image contrast and have experienced little elevation change [3]. For this reason, we restrict our analysis to the lower half of each glacier, which approximately represents the ablation zone. Glaciers known to experience surges [26] (surge-type) are included in the general analysis but their regional response is also quantified and discussed separately. Our observations are uniformly distributed across altitude in the ablation zone and glacier size (above 5 km<sup>2</sup>) and are therefore representative of the diversity of glaciers in HMA (Supplementary section 1). To characterize regional changes in ice flow, we examine anomalies in annual velocity for 70 each region. We define the velocity anomaly as the vector difference between the annual velocity and the mean velocity, projected to the orientation of the mean velocity (Methods). This scalar variable is positive if the ice flow accelerated along a flow line and negative if it slowed down. This approach ensures that the uncertainty in velocity change is symmetrically centred on zero (Figure S8) as opposed to simply differencing the velocity magnitude (Figure S9). We calculate, for each year and 11 subregions in HMA, the median anomaly over pixels with observations in

ard all years, and compute a linear trend over the period 2000-2017 (Methods).

The results (Figure 1) show that the largest velocity changes (slowdown) occur for glaciers in Nyainqêntanglha (-37.2  $\pm$  1.1 %/decade) and Spiti Lahaul (-34.3  $\pm$  4.5 %/dec). Smaller but significant slowdowns are observed along the Himalayan range with decreasing amplitude towards the East: West Nepal (-21.0  $\pm$  2.3 %/dec), East Nepal (-17.0  $\pm$  1.0 %/dec) and Bhutan (-14.5  $\pm$  1.3 %/dec). Contrasted trends are observed in the north-western regions, with negative trends in the Hindu-Kush (-9.8  $\pm$  2.9 %/dec), Pamir (-9.4  $\pm$  1.6 %/dec) and Tien Shan (-6.4  $\pm$  1.0 %/dec) while a small but significant speed-up is observed for the Karakoram (3.6  $\pm$  1.2 %/dec) and West Kunlun (4.0  $\pm$  2.1 %/dec). Finally, the inner Tibetan Plateau (TP) displays a negative trend (-8.2  $\pm$  2.3 %/dec). Very few observations of glacier velocity changes exist in HMA for validation, but our results show good agreement with both field [20] and remote sensing [22] observations (Supplementary section 2).

Our results reveal that changes in velocity are not always monotonic over the study period.

Most regions in the north-west (Pamir, Hindu-Kush, Spiti Lahaul and West Nepal) experienced
a pronounced slowdown until 2005-2008 with more stable conditions since. On the contrary,
East Nepal and Nyainqêntanglha experienced a steady and continuous slowdown while Bhutan
experienced a slight increase in its rate of slowdown after 2008. These patterns are consistent
with glacier mass balance and elevation change trends [27]. It is noteworthy that the strongest
trends are generally observed over 2003-2008, coinciding with the period of observations of the
satellite altimeter ICESat, suggesting that elevation changes derived from ICESat are potentially more negative than the longer term trend [3].

Our analysis focuses on results determined from a single sensor (Landsat 7) due to biases that we identified between velocities derived from different Landsat missions (Supplementary section 3). However, trends estimated between 1988 and 2017 with over 2 millions image pairs from the Landsat missions 5-8, and accounting for inter-mission biases, lead to similar results despite larger uncertainties, except for a break in trend observed for Spiti Lahaul and Nyainqêntanglha around year 2000 (Figure S12). This is consistent with stable conditions observed in Spiti Lahaul for the 1990s [28, 29].

# Correlation between regional velocity trends and mass bal-

#### 106 ance

Trends in velocity anomalies are calculated for each 240-m pixel over the period 2000-2016 107 (Methods section) to match the observation period of glacier thickness change [3]. Examples of velocity trend maps are shown on Figure S5. The results are presented as a median velocity trend on a 1° x 1° grid (Figure 2a) next to rates of elevation change (Figure 2b). The similarity 110 between patterns of velocity and thickness change, the latter being largely driven by differences 111 in mass balance sensitivity to temperature [30] and different climatic conditions [31], suggests that the spatial variability in velocity change is also influenced by regional differences in climate and glacier sensitivity to temperature. Slowdown along the Himalayan range, Nyainqêntanglha 114 or Tien Shan, for example, is associated with ice thinning, whilst stable or increased glacier flow is observed along with stable to positive mass balance around the Tibetan Plateau and Tarim basin (the so-called "Karakoram anomaly"). Some trends differ however, notably the speedup 117 observed in western Tien Shan in a region of negative mass balance and areas of slowdown in 118 West Kunlun, a region of positive mass balance. The regional velocity trend derived for these regions is particularly sensitive to the selected area and the discrepancies are likely related to the incomplete spatial sampling of the velocities, or to the large flow variability of these regions, caused by surge activity for instance. At regional scales, changes in glacier velocity 122 and glacier-wide mass balance are strongly correlated (Figure 3a, R<sup>2</sup>=0.76). This relationship implies that surface velocity change can be used as a proxy for regional glacier evolution in 124 areas and during periods where regional glacier mass balance is not available. This possibility 125 is especially interesting since surface velocity is more easily obtained from remote-sensing than elevation measurements.

# <sup>128</sup> Ice dynamical response to thickness change

Ice flow is primarily controlled by the driving stress (horizontal component of the ice weight per unit area), which causes ice deformation (creep) and sliding over or deformation of the bed [32]. Observations (ref. [32] section 8.3) have shown that surface velocity due to creep is a function of the glacier thickness and driving stress. Basal velocity on the other hand is poorly constrained due to complexities at the glacier bed (bed roughness, type of bed) and is

often represented by a power-law of the driving stress [33, 34]. In these theoretical frameworks, the surface velocity  $U_s$  observed by remote sensing, the sum of both contributions, is therefore expected to respond instantaneously to a change in driving stress. Field-based studies on the other hand have observed a relationship between mass balance and ice flow changes with a lag of 1-3 years, suggested to be the time taken to diffusively propagate a change in mass load [17, 18].

Here, we assume that surface velocity can be represented by the relationship (see Methods and Supplementary section 5):

$$U_s = C\tau^m \tag{1}$$

where C and m are unknown parameters and  $\tau$  is the driving stress. By allowing for differing values of the exponent m, this relationship encompasses flow due to both ice deformation and basal sliding (see Methods). C is likely to vary spatially and depends on local parameters such as ice rheology, valley shape and bed roughness, while m is related to the processes leading to ice flow. We further assume that C and m do not vary significantly with time over the study period. In these conditions, a change in velocity  $\delta U_s$  is related to a change in driving stress  $\delta \tau$  by:

$$\log\left(1 + \frac{\delta U_s}{U_s}\right) = m\log\left(1 + \frac{\delta \tau}{\tau}\right) \tag{2}$$

with m to be determined.

To test these hypotheses, we calculate the change in driving stress, associated with the 150 changes in thickness observed by ref [3], along glacier centre flow lines for the period 2000-151 2016. Measurements of ice thickness are required to calculate the exact driving stress (equation 152 10), but are unavailable across the whole HMA. We therefore use modelled thickness estimates that have uncertainties of  $\sim 25\%$  [35, 36]. We use the ice surface elevation from the Shuttle 154 Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) version 3 [37] for year 2000 and from an application of 155 elevation change rates over the period 2000-2016 [3] for year 2016. Ice thickness and elevation are extracted along glacier center flow lines at 50-m spacing to calculate a relative change in 157 thickness and driving stress between 2000 and 2016. We perform the calculations for 2894 158 glaciers larger than 5 km<sup>2</sup> and calculate a median driving stress change in ablation zones for 159 each subregion, that we compare with median velocity changes calculated over the same points.

To identify a possible lag of a few years between driving stress and velocity change, we compare the driving stress change over 2000-2016 with the observed velocity anomaly trends for three periods: 2000-2016 (instantaneous response), 2001-2017 (1 year lag) and 2003-2017 (~3 year lag). Larger lags are not considered due to the lack of observations after 2017, which increases uncertainties in later trends.

Our results show that changes in driving stress can explain up to 94% of the inter-regional variability in the observed velocity change with a 3-year lag (Figure 3b,  $R^2$ =0.94). We also observe that the strength of the correlation is improved with a 3-year lag as opposed to a 1-year lag ( $R^2$ =0.85) or no lag ( $R^2$ =0.75) (Figure S17). Possible explanations for this lag are the diffusive propagation of the thickness change, or adjustment of the bed and subglacial environment to thickness change, that cause a delay in the velocity response [17]. A least-squares regression indicates that the velocity change is best represented by the power m=4.0 (68% confidence interval [3.4-4.7]) of the change in driving stress.

The change in driving stress is a combination of change in thickness and slope (Eq. 10). Glacier thinning is generally more pronounced at lower elevations [3], causing an increase in slope, that in turn counteracts the reduction in driving stress caused by the thinning. Our results show that the change in driving stress obtained by taking into account the change in thickness and slope is reduced by 15% as compared to accounting for thickness alone (Figure S18). The change in slope indeed offsets the impact of the thinning at lower elevations, but thickness change remains the main contributor to the change in driving stress.

174

179

180

Many glaciers in HMA, mostly located in the Karakoram, Pamir, West Kunlun and Tien 181 Shan [26], experience surges, i.e velocity fluctuations primarily driven by internal glacier instabilities as opposed to climate (Ref. [32] chap. 12). It is important to determine whether such 183 glaciers must be considered separately for future projections. Surge-type glaciers are identified 184 using previous studies [5, 38, 26, 39] and from the data generated as part of this study (Supple-185 mentary section 4). Our results do not differ significantly when surge-type glaciers are excluded, 186 in particular the annual velocity anomaly time-series (Figure S14) or the velocity trend map 187 (Figure S15). The power-law relationship between driving stress and velocity change remains 188 unaltered with surge-type glaciers both included (Figure 3b, black-edge dots) or excluded (Figure 3b, grey-edge dots,  $R^2=0.95$ ). The fact that surge-type glaciers have a similar regional 190 average response as other glaciers is likely due to the heterogeneity in surge characteristics 191 (onset, duration) within a region [39], which tends to average out over sufficiently large spatial

and temporal scales. As a consequence, surging behaviour does not need to be considered to correctly estimate the average flow response of glaciers at regional scales.

# 195 Implications for regional glacier models

Our findings have important consequences for understanding, and thus modelling, glacier re-196 sponse to environmental forcing. Our results show that the main driver of decadal and regional 197 velocity change is the change in driving stress (Figure 3b), primarily attributable to changes in thickness (Figure S18). This is supported by ref. [40] who showed that changes in basal and 199 surface velocity of the Argentière glacier, French Alps, are driven by thickness change. This 200 implies that ice flow response to external forcing over decadal time scales can be estimated from the glacier's slope and thickness alone, which are pre-requisites for any glacier flow model. More complex factors such as basal conditions, ice rheology or lateral drag associated with 203 thinning or changing melt regimes, and largely unknown at regional scales, play only a minor 204 role on decadal flow variability and for the range of change in driving stress observed here. It is important to note however that driving stress alone does not explain the large inter-glacier 206 variability in our observations (Figure S19). A possible explanation is the uncertainty in indi-207 vidual glacier thicknesses used to calculate the driving stress [36]. Another possible explanation 208 is that changes in subglacial water pressure associated with inputs of surface meltwater, known to play a significant role in driving seasonal fluctuations in surface velocity [41, 40] have a larger 210 contribution at the glacier scale, as opposed to the regional scale. 211

Another uncertainty in glacier modelling is the fraction of basal sliding, known to be impor-212 tant in temperate and polythermal valley glaciers [42, 43, 40]. A change in driving stress will 213 impact both basal sliding and ice deformation. Our results suggest that surface velocity evolves 214 with the power m=4 of the driving stress. This is consistent with sliding theories incorporat-215 ing cavitation (ice-bed decoupling in the lee of obstacles when the subglacial pressure is high) [44] leading to an exponent m larger than 3 (see Methods). Furthermore, because changes 217 in thickness and driving stress are very strongly correlated (Figure S18), creep velocity is a 218 function of the fourth power of the driving stress, also compatible with our results (see Methods). This implies that both contributions evolve similarly with the driving stress and their 220 relative contribution remain the same even as driving stress varies. It follows that we cannot separate the contribution of changes in basal sliding and creep velocity to the surface velocity

change. More importantly, it also means that surface glacier velocity change can be modelled and parametrised without a-priori knowledge or assumptions regarding the fractional contri-224 bution of basal sliding. It must be noted however that the value retrieved for m is strongly conditioned by the uncertainty in current thickness estimates. An error in the exponent mwould lead to an error in ice transport to lower elevations and ice melt (an underestimation of 227 m would lead to an overestimate of mass transport and melt in a thinning scenario, see Supple-228 mentary 6), with large implications for future estimates of glacier mass changes. However, the complex relationship between ice flow, ice redistribution and mass balance makes it difficult 230 to estimate the impact on the final mass budget. We therefore encourage studies combining 231 observations of decadal glacier flow changes and glacier models to better constrain and reduce 232 uncertainties in glacier dynamics.

234

235

238

230

In this study, we documented the evolution of surface velocities in the ablation zone of glaciers larger than 5 km<sup>2</sup> in High Mountain Asia between 2000-2017, providing an unprecedented and detailed picture of glacier flow response to recent climate change. Our results reveal regionally-heterogeneous trends in surface velocity that parallel changes in ice thickness. Regions of rapid thinning show the largest rates of slowdown (Nyainqêntanglha, Spiti Lahaul) while regions near balance or gaining mass have experienced a slight speedup (Karakoram, West Kunlun). The strong relationship between regional glacier mass balance and velocity changes reveals a quasi-instantaneous response of ice flow to climate forcing and suggests that surface velocity can be used as a proxy for glacier state at decadal scales. Analysis along glacier flow lines shows that, at regional scales, 94% of the observed velocity changes can be explained by changes in driving stress, the latter being primarily controlled by changes in ice thickness. Our results suggest that changes in glacier flow in response to mass changes can be estimated in regional glacier models from ice thickness and slope alone, despite poorly constrained basal conditions and rates of basal sliding. These conclusions emphasize the important role played by ice dynamics in the glaciers response to environmental forcing and will lead to improved modelling of climate-glacier feedbacks and estimates of glacier contributions to hydrology and sea-level change.

# Methods

274

275

277

278

280

#### Surface velocity

The JPL autonomous Repeat Image Feature Tracking (auto-RIFT version 0.9) processing scheme [23] was applied to all Landsat 4, 5, 7 and 8 Collection 1 LT1 images acquired over HMA between 1985 and 2017 with 60% cloud cover or less, as indicated in the image metadata. 256 The images are pre-processed using a 5 by 5 Wallis operator to normalize for local variability in image radiance caused by shadows, topography and sun angle. For Landsat 4 and 5, alongtrack artefacts [45] are removed using Fourier filtering and a Principal Component Analysis 259 of bands 1 to 4 is used, whereas for Landsat 7 and 8 panchromatic (Band 8) images are used 260 (15 m pixel size). Missing data in Landsat 7 images introduced after the Scan Line Corrector 261 failure (SLC-off) are filled with random data so that they do not contribute to the amplitude of 262 the correlation peak. Pre-processed image pairs were searched for matching features by finding 263 local normalized cross correlation (NCC) maxima at sub-pixel resolution by oversampling the 264 correlation surface by a factor of 16 using a Gaussian kernel and identifying the location of maximum correlation. The use of a Gaussian kernel greatly reduces the sensitivity of subpixel 266 displacement estimates to "pixel-locking" [46]. A sparse (1/4 of full search) NCC search is first 267 used to determine areas of coherent correlation between image pairs. Results from the sparse search guide a dense search with search centres spaced such that there is no overlap between adjacent template windows. For HMA, image pixels located within a 2 km buffer of glacier 270 surfaces were searched with a 240 m by 240 m search window. Image pixels located more 271 than 2 km from a glacier were searched with a 480 m by 480 m search window with areas of unsuccessful retrievals searched with a 960 m by 960 m window. 273

Image geometry between image pairs is highly stable, but images suffer from x and y geolocation errors of typically  $\sim 15$  m. To correct for geolocation errors the component velocities are tied to stable surface wherein the median of each velocity component  $(V_x, V_y)$  is set to zero over non-glacier surface. Velocity fields were also contaminated by match blunders (e.g. matching along shadow edges or of surfaces obscured by cloud in one of the two images). Component velocities that deviate by more than 3 times the interquartile range from the median of all co-located pixels are assumed to be gross outliers and are removed. The uncertainty of each image-pair velocity field is set equal to the standard deviation in component velocities measured

2 over stable surface.

Annual velocity maps are created by taking the error-weighted average of all image-pair velocity fields having a centre-date that fall within that calendar year. A mean velocity field  $(\vec{V_0})$  is then created by taking the error weighted average of all annual velocity maps. The uncertainty of the merged velocities is estimated on a pixel basis by propagating the uncertainty of each measurement:

$$\sigma_X = \sqrt{\frac{\sum \sigma_{i,X}^2}{N}} \tag{3}$$

Where X denotes the component x or y,  $\sigma_i$  is the uncertainty of each individual velocity field as estimated from the stable areas and N is the number of observations contributing to the weighted average. An effective date and pair time span are estimated for each pixel as a weighted average of the individual pairs' date and time span. Using this approach, we calculated yearly velocity maps from 1985 to 2017 that were derived from 2,287,223 unique image pairs (Landsat 4: 367, Landsat 5: 836,616, Landsat 7: 907,142, Landsat 8: 543,098). For our analysis, we excluded velocity estimates with large uncertainties, i.e. where  $\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2} > 5$  m/yr and N < 5.

#### Velocity change

We estimate the velocity change compared to the mean velocity  $\vec{V_0}$ . We define the velocity anomaly as the value of the difference vector  $\vec{V_t} - \vec{V_0}$  projected on the mean velocity vector:

$$dv = \frac{(\vec{V_t} - \vec{V_0}) \cdot \vec{V_0}}{\|\vec{V_0}\|} = \frac{(V_{x,t} - V_{x,0}) \cdot V_{x,0} + (V_{y,t} - V_{y,0}) \cdot V_{y,0}}{\|\vec{V_0}\|}$$
(4)

The difference in velocity magnitude is typically used to characterize velocity change [7, 47, 48, 298 22]. However, if each component of the velocity can be considered as following a symmetrical 299 distribution, the distribution of the velocity magnitude, by definition, is skewed towards positive values with a non-zero mean. In the case of normally distributed noise, the velocity magnitude 301 follows a Rice distribution that has a biased mean [49]. This bias decreases with the velocity 302 magnitude and increases with the standard deviation of the velocity components (noise). A 303 consequence of this bias is an apparent negative velocity trend in slow-moving areas when estimating changes between the earlier Landsat missions with a higher noise and the newer 305 mission with a reduced noise (Figure S9). This bias affects velocity trends in areas where 306 the velocity is not significantly larger than the noise. The proposed velocity anomaly has the advantage of having a noise that is symmetrically distributed around 0 that will not introduce a bias in the mean value (Figure S8), even for slow-moving areas.

Region of Interest. We restrict our analysis to the relatively fast moving part (mean velocity 310 greater than 5 m/yr) of the ablation area of glaciers larger than 5 km<sup>2</sup>. Glaciers smaller than several square kilometres tend to have velocities below our uncertainty threshold, few 312 measurements, and narrow tongues of width similar to the correlation window, which highly 313 decreases the confidence in these measurements. The ablation zone is approximated as all points located below the glacier median elevation  $z < (z_{max} + z_{min})/2$  where z is the pixel elevation and  $z_{min}$  and  $z_{max}$  are the minimum and maximum altitude of the glacier to which the pixel 316 belongs.  $z_{min}$  and  $z_{max}$  are extracted from the RGI 6.0 inventory [25] and z is extracted from 317 the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) topography version 3 [37]. These points are later referred as the Region of Interest (RoI). 319

Glaciers surges. We exclude glaciers with reported surge activity for parts of the analysis. We use inventories from previous studies [5, 38, 26, 39] (Supplementary section 4). We also exclude glaciers that were not identified as surging in those inventories but display a behaviour typical of surge events (temporally and spatially limited speed-up, slowdown in an upper zone and acceleration at the tongue or reverse, thinning in an upper zone and thickening of the tongue or reverse). The outlines of surging glaciers are provided as supplementary data.

320

323

324

Velocity anomaly time series. To calculate the temporal evolution of the velocity anomaly
for a given region, it is necessary to calculate statistics on pixels with observations for all years.
However, as some years have lower spatial coverage, there is a compromise to be made between
temporal and spatial coverage. The mask of common pixels is estimated as follows. The
intersection of all valid pixels for all selected years is computed. If the coverage of the common
mask is less than 25% of the RoI, the year with least coverage is excluded and the previous steps
are repeated. As a result, years that do not meet the coverage criteria are excluded. Finally,
for each region, the median and interquartile range of the velocity anomalies on the common
mask are calculated. A trend in the regional velocity anomalies is calculated with uncertainty
for the period 2000-2017 following the same methodology as below.

#### 336 Velocity trends

We calculate a trend in velocity anomalies over the study period 2000-2016 for each 240 m by 240 m pixel of the annual velocity maps using a linear regression:

$$dv(x,y) = a(x,y) \times t + b(x,y) \tag{5}$$

Where dv is the velocity anomaly for the pixel located at position (x,y), t is the year of observation, a and b are the parameters of the linear regression estimated at each pixel. To account for outliers, we perform the regression iteratively by removing observations with residuals larger than 3 standard deviations. The standard error  $\sigma_a$  (resp.  $\sigma_b$ ) of the parameters a (resp. b) are estimated from the regression covariance matrix. We interpolate the velocity for year 2000 from the linear regression parameters:

$$V_{2000} = V_0 + a \times 2000 + b \tag{6}$$

and compute a velocity change relative to year 2000 (percentage change per decade) as:

$$ddv = a/V_{2000} \times 10 \tag{7}$$

We estimate the uncertainty in the velocity trend using a Monte-Carlo method by randomly drawing the first and last velocities of the study period from a Gaussian distribution determined from the regression uncertainty and then calculating the associated velocity change ddv. We repeat the operation 200 times and calculate the standard deviation of the distribution  $\sigma_{ddv}$ . Finally, we exclude all pixels with observations in less than 50% of years or  $\sigma_{ddv} > 30\%/\text{dec}$ .

We generate the regional map of velocity trends by extracting the median, standard deviation  $\sigma_{1^{\circ}}$  and number of observations  $N_{1^{\circ}}$  of the velocity trend for 1° x 1° bounding boxes. The standard error is calculated as:

$$\epsilon_{1^{\circ}} = \frac{\sigma_{1^{\circ}}}{\sqrt{N_{1^{\circ}}}} \tag{8}$$

### Impact of the driving stress $^{354}$

355 Ice surface velocity is taken as:

$$U_s = C\tau^m \tag{9}$$

where C and m are unknown parameters and  $\tau$  is the driving stress (assumed equal to the basal stress), defined as:

$$\tau(x) = \rho g H(x) \frac{\partial S}{\partial x}(x) \tag{10}$$

with  $\rho$  the ice density, g the gravitational acceleration, H(x) the ice thickness and S(x) the ice surface at the position x along a given flow line. In general, C and m are poorly-constrained and likely to vary spatially. The only hypothesis we make in our analysis regarding these parameters are that they do not change over the time interval of interest (2000-2016). A change in driving stress  $\delta \tau$  hence induces a change in velocity according to:

$$U_s + \delta U_s = C(\tau + \delta \tau)^m \tag{11}$$

63 Which can be rewritten as:

376

$$1 + \frac{\delta U_s}{U_s} = \left(1 + \frac{\delta \tau}{\tau}\right)^m \tag{12}$$

If our hypotheses are correct, a linear relationship is expected between  $log(1 + \frac{\delta U_s}{U_s})$  and  $log(1 + \frac{\delta \tau}{\tau})$  with a slope m.

Surface velocity is the sum, in various proportion, of basal sliding and ice deformation. By allowing for differing values of the exponent m, this model can encompass a range of sliding laws, such as those proposed for flow over hard beds with obstacles and without cavitation (m = 2; ref. [33]); flow over deformable sediment (m = 1; ref. [50]); or empirically-derived laws from glacier observations (m = 3; ref. [34]). Note that  $m \leq 3$  for all of the above sliding laws; however, when subglacial pressure is high enough, cavitation (ice-bed decoupling in the lee of obstacles) is known to occur. Theoretical work suggests that basal drag is bounded independently of sliding velocity [44]. Heuristically we represent this as  $m \gg 1$ : it is not a physical model, yet it retains the quality that sliding with cavitation may be more sensitive to changes in driving stress than without.

Velocity due to ice deformation is represented as (ref. [32], section 8.3):

$$U_d = \frac{2Af}{n+1}\tau^n H \tag{13}$$

 $^{377}$  A is the temperature-dependent creep parameter, f the valley shape factor and n the exponent of Glen's flow law [51]. For shear stresses taking place in a glacier, a value of n=3 is generally assumed (Ref. [32] section 3.4.4). Assuming all parameters are constant with time, changes in driving stress  $\delta \tau$  and thickness  $\delta H$  lead to:

$$1 + \frac{\delta U_d}{U_d} = \left(1 + \frac{\delta \tau}{\tau}\right)^3 \left(1 + \frac{\delta H}{H}\right) \tag{14}$$

Considering that  $\frac{\delta H}{H} \approx \frac{\delta \tau}{\tau}$  across all regions investigated (Figure S18, R<sup>2</sup>=0.97), this can be rewritten as:

$$1 + \frac{\delta U_d}{U_d} = (1 + \frac{\delta \tau}{\tau})^4 \tag{15}$$

also compatible with our observations suggesting m=4. This relationship is also compatible with a contribution, in various proportions, of basal sliding and ice deformation to the surface velocity (Supplementary section 5).

386 387

In practice, we compute the change in driving stress as follows:

- (1) We extract ice thickness  $H_{2000}$  and elevation  $S_{2000}$  for year 2000 along centre flow lines at 50 m spacing (Figure S16a). The centre flow lines have been obtained using the method proposed by ref. [52] for each glacier of the RGI 5.0. We use ice thickness data provided by ref. [35]. The data has been validated with ground measurements and the 1- $\sigma$  uncertainty estimated to 25%. These estimates might not well represent local variations in thickness but provide a good evaluation of a glacier's average thickness [36]. We use the Digital Elevation Model (DEM) from the C-band Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM-C) version 3 acquired in February 2000, available at 1 arc-sec ( $\sim$ 30 m) [37].
- (2) We use elevation change rates, obtained from a series of ASTER-derived DEMs for the period 2000-2016 [3], to estimate the ice surface  $S_{2016}$  and thickness  $H_{2016}$  for year 2016. To account for gaps in the data and variability across the glacier, we calculate a mean elevation change for 50 m altitude bands at each glacier, instead of the centre line value, assuming that elevation changes are most strongly dependent on mean elevation. We calculate the uncertainty of the elevation trends for each altitude band using the same methodology as [3].
  - (3) We calculate the surface slope  $(\frac{\partial S_{2000}}{\partial x})$  and  $\frac{\partial S_{2016}}{\partial x}$  for both periods using a second order central difference scheme.
- 404 (4) We calculate the driving stress along the flow line using Equation 10 and apply a
  405 Gaussian filter of standard deviation l = 2H to account for the longitudinal coupling of the
  406 stress [53] (Figure S16b).
- (5) We calculate a relative change in thickness  $(\frac{\delta H}{H} = \frac{H_{2016} H_{2000}}{H_{2000}})$ , driving stress  $(\frac{\delta \tau}{\tau} = \frac{\tau_{2016} \tau_{2000}}{\tau_{2000}})$  and associated uncertainties for each point along the flow lines.

For comparison with the calculated driving stress, the trend in velocity anomalies is extracted along the centre flow lines at 50 m spacing. Points with uncertainty in the input parameters  $\frac{\delta U_s}{U_s}$ ,  $\frac{\delta \tau}{\tau}$  and  $\frac{\delta H}{H}$  larger than 30% are excluded. Finally, a median value of all points within the RoI is calculated for each region for both the calculated driving stress and the observations.

414

402

403

Uncertainty. We use a Monte-Carlo method to estimate the uncertainty due to the input 415 parameters. We randomly draw (see below) H and  $\delta H$  to generate an ice surface and thickness. 416 We calculate a thickness change and shear stress change profile and repeat the operation 200 417 times. We then compute the 68% confidence interval of the distribution in each point. H has an uncertainty of approximately 25% and is positive. Therefore, H is multiplied by a factor drawn 419 from a log-normal distribution with mean 1 and standard-deviation 0.25. The random factor 420 is drawn for each glacier individually, to account for the fact that errors in thickness are likely correlated for a single glacier due to the way ice thickness is modelled.  $\delta H$  is drawn from a 422 Gaussian distribution whose mean and standard deviation are estimated from the distribution 423 of values in the elevation band considered. As the  $\delta H$  values are drawn independently at each 424 point, this can create step changes in the glacier profile, but, the smoothing used to account for the longitudinal stress coupling re-establishes a spatial correlation between neighbouring points. We calculate the regional uncertainty from each point's uncertainty  $\sigma_i$  as:

$$\sigma_{reg} = \frac{\sqrt{\sum \sigma_i}}{N_{eff}} \tag{16}$$

where  $N_{eff}$  is the number of independent points, calculated as the total number of points divided by 40. Here, we consider an average correlation length of 2 km as dictated by the smoothing (or an average thickness of 250 m), thus 40 points at 50 m spacing.

# Data availability

The mean and annual velocity fields will be made publicly available in early 2019 as part of the NASA MEaSUREs - ITS\_LIVE project and will be distributed though the National Snow and Ice Data centre. Data can be made available immediately through request to the authors.

# References

[1] Zemp, M., Frey, H., Gärtner-Roer, I., Nussbaumer, S. U., Hoelzle, M., Paul, F., Haeberli,
W., Denzinger, F., Ahlstrøm, A. P., Anderson, B., Bajracharya, S., Baroni, C., Braun,
L. N., Cáceres, B. E., Casassa, G., Cobos, G., Dávila, L. R., Delgado Granados, H.,
Demuth, M. N., Espizua, L., Fischer, A., Fujita, K., Gadek, B., Ghazanfar, A., Hagen,
J. O., Holmlund, P., Karimi, N., Li, Z., Pelto, M., Pitte, P., Popovnin, V. V., Portocarrero,
C. A., Prinz, R., Sangewar, C. V., Severskiy, I., Sigurðsson, O., Soruco, A., Usubaliev, R.,

- and Vincent, C. Historically unprecedented global glacier decline in the early 21st century.

  Journal of Glaciology 61(228), 745–762, September (2015).
- [2] Gardner, A. S., Moholdt, G., Cogley, J. G., Wouters, B., Arendt, A. A., Wahr, J., Berthier,
  E., Hock, R., Pfeffer, W. T., Kaser, G., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Bolch, T., Sharp, M. J.,
  Hagen, J. O., van den Broeke, M. R., and Paul, F. A Reconciled Estimate of Glacier
  Contributions to Sea Level Rise: 2003 to 2009. Science 340(6134), 852–857, May (2013).
- [3] Brun, F., Berthier, E., Wagnon, P., Kääb, A., and Treichler, D. A spatially resolved estimate of High Mountain Asia glacier mass balances from 2000 to 2016. Nature Geoscience
   10(9), 668–673, September (2017).
- [4] Kääb, A., Treichler, D., Nuth, C., and Berthier, E. Brief Communication: Contending
   estimates of 2003-2008 glacier mass balance over the Pamir-Karakoram-Himalaya. The
   Cryosphere 9(2), 557-564, March (2015).
- [5] Gardelle, J., Berthier, E., Arnaud, Y., and Kääb, A. Region-wide glacier mass balances
   over the Pamir-Karakoram-Himalaya during 1999–2011. The Cryosphere 7(4), 1263–1286
   (2013).
- <sup>457</sup> [6] Zhou, Y., Li, Z., Li, J., Zhao, R., and Ding, X. Glacier mass balance in the Qinghai–Tibet <sup>458</sup> Plateau and its surroundings from the mid-1970s to 2000 based on Hexagon KH-9 and <sup>459</sup> SRTM DEMs. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **210**, 96–112, June (2018).
- <sup>460</sup> [7] Heid, T. and Kääb, A. Repeat optical satellite images reveal widespread and long term decrease in land-terminating glacier speeds. *The Cryosphere* **6**(2), 467–478, April (2012).
- 462 [8] Radić, V., Bliss, A., Beedlow, A. C., Hock, R., Miles, E., and Cogley, J. G. Regional 463 and global projections of twenty-first century glacier mass changes in response to climate 464 scenarios from global climate models. *Climate Dynamics* **42**(1-2), 37–58, January (2014).
- [9] Marzeion, B., Jarosch, A. H., and Hofer, M. Past and future sea-level change from the surface mass balance of glaciers. *The Cryosphere* **6**(6), 1295–1322, November (2012).
- [10] Huss, M. and Hock, R. A new model for global glacier change and sea-level rise. Frontiers
   in Earth Science 3, 54, September (2015).

- <sup>469</sup> [11] Huss, M. and Hock, R. Global-scale hydrological response to future glacier mass loss.

  Nature Climate Change 8(1), 135–140, January (2018).
- <sup>471</sup> [12] Clarke, G. K. C., Jarosch, A. H., Anslow, F. S., Radić, V., and Menounos, B. Projected deglaciation of western Canada in the twenty-first century. *Nature Geoscience* 8(5), 372–377, May (2015).
- 474 [13] Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Bierkens, M. F. P., Lutz, A. F., and Immerzeel, W. W. Impact 475 of a global temperature rise of 1.5 degrees Celsius on Asia's glaciers. *Nature* **549**(7671), 476 257–260, September (2017).
- [14] Immerzeel, W. W., Beek, L. P. H. v., Konz, M., Shrestha, A. B., and Bierkens, M. F. P.
   Hydrological response to climate change in a glacierized catchment in the Himalayas.
   Climatic Change 110(3-4), 721–736, February (2012).
- [15] Shea, J. M., Immerzeel, W. W., Wagnon, P., Vincent, C., and Bajracharya, S. Modelling
   glacier change in the Everest region, Nepal Himalaya. The Cryosphere 9(3), 1105–1128
   (2015).
- [16] Azam, M. F., Wagnon, P., Berthier, E., Vincent, C., Fujita, K., and Kargel, J. S. Review
   of the status and mass changes of Himalayan-Karakoram glaciers. *Journal of Glaciology* 64(243), 61–74, January (2018).
- <sup>486</sup> [17] Span, N. and Kuhn, M. Simulating annual glacier flow with a linear reservoir model.

  <sup>487</sup> Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 108(D10), 4313 (2003).
- <sup>488</sup> [18] Vincent, C., Soruco, A., Six, D., and Le Meur, E. Glacier thickening and decay analysis from 50 years of glaciological observations performed on Glacier d'Argentière, Mont Blanc area, France. *Annals of glaciology* **50**(50), 73–79 (2009).
- [19] Quincey, D. J., Luckman, A., and Benn, D. Quantification of Everest region glacier
   velocities between 1992 and 2002, using satellite radar interferometry and feature tracking.
   Journal of Glaciology 55(192), 596–606 (2009).
- [20] Azam, M. F., Wagnon, P., Ramanathan, A., Vincent, C., Sharma, P., Arnaud, Y., Linda,
   A., Pottakkal, J. G., Chevallier, P., Singh, V. B., and others. From balance to imbalance: a

- shift in the dynamic behaviour of Chhota Shigri glacier, western Himalaya, India. *Journal*of Glaciology **58**(208), 315–324 (2012).
- Sugiyama, S., Fukui, K., Fujita, K., Tone, K., and Yamaguchi, S. Changes in ice thickness
   and flow velocity of Yala Glacier, Langtang Himal, Nepal, from 1982 to 2009. Annals of
   Glaciology 54(64), 157–162 (2013).
- [22] Neckel, N., Loibl, D., and Rankl, M. Recent slowdown and thinning of debris-covered
   glaciers in south-eastern Tibet. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 464, 95–102, April
   (2017).
- [23] Gardner, A. S., Moholdt, G., Scambos, T., Fahnstock, M., Ligtenberg, S., van den Broeke,
   M., and Nilsson, J. Increased West Antarctic and unchanged East Antarctic ice discharge
   over the last 7 years. The Cryosphere 12(2), 521–547, February (2018).
- Dehecq, A., Gourmelen, N., and Trouve, E. Deriving large-scale glacier velocities from
   a complete satellite archive: Application to the Pamir–Karakoram–Himalaya. Remote
   Sensing of Environment 162, 55–66 (2015).
- [25] Pfeffer, W. T., Arendt, A. A., Bliss, A., Bolch, T., Cogley, J. G., Gardner, A. S., Hagen,
   J.-O., Hock, R., Kaser, G., Kienholz, C., and others. The Randolph Glacier Inventory: a
   globally complete inventory of glaciers. Journal of Glaciology 60(221), 537 (2014).
- [26] Sevestre, H. and Benn, D. I. Climatic and geometric controls on the global distribution
   of surge-type glaciers: implications for a unifying model of surging. *Journal of Glaciology* 61(228), 646–662 (2015).
- [27] Wang, Q., Yi, S., and Sun, W. Consistent interannual changes in glacier mass balance
   and their relationship with climate variation on the periphery of the Tibetan Plateau.
   Geophysical Journal International 214(1), 573–582, July (2018).
- [28] Vincent, C., Ramanathan, A., Wagnon, P., Dobhal, D. P., Linda, A., Berthier, E., Sharma,
   P., Arnaud, Y., Azam, M. F., Jose, P. G., and Gardelle, J. Balanced conditions or slight
   mass gain of glaciers in the Lahaul and Spiti region (northern India, Himalaya) during the
   nineties preceded recent mass loss. The Cryosphere 7(2), 569–582, April (2013).

- [29] Mukherjee, K., Bhattacharya, A., Pieczonka, T., Ghosh, S., and Bolch, T. Glacier mass 523 budget and climate reanalysis data indicate a climatic shift around 2000 in Lahaul-Spiti, 524 western Himalaya. Climatic Change 148(1-2), 219–233, May (2018). 525
- [30] Sakai, A. and Fujita, K. Contrasting glacier responses to recent climate change in high-526 mountain Asia. Scientific Reports 7(1), 13717, October (2017). 527
- [31] Forsythe, N., Fowler, H. J., Li, X.-F., Blenkinsop, S., and Pritchard, D. Karakoram 528 temperature and glacial melt driven by regional atmospheric circulation variability. Nature 529 Climate Change 7(9), 664–670, September (2017). 530
- [32] Cuffey, K. M. and Paterson, W. S. B. The physics of glaciers. Elsevier, Burlington, MA 531 01803, USA, 4th edn. edition, (2010). 532
- [33] Weertman, J. On the sliding of glaciers. J. Glaciol 3(21), 33–38 (1957). 533
- [34] Bindschadler, R. The Importance of Pressurized Subglacial Water in Separation and 534 Sliding at the Glacier Bed. Journal of Glaciology 29(101), 3–19 (1983). 535
- [35] Huss, M. and Farinotti, D. Distributed ice thickness and volume of all glaciers around the 536 globe. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface 117(F4), F04010 (2012). 537
- [36] Farinotti, D., Brinkerhoff, D. J., Clarke, G. K. C., Fürst, J. J., Frey, H., Gantayat, P., 538 Gillet-Chaulet, F., Girard, C., Huss, M., Leclercq, P. W., Linsbauer, A., Machguth, H., 539 Martin, C., Maussion, F., Morlighem, M., Mosbeux, C., Pandit, A., Portmann, A., Ra-540 batel, A., Ramsankaran, R., Reerink, T. J., Sanchez, O., Stentoft, P. A., Singh Kumari, 541 S., van Pelt, W. J. J., Anderson, B., Benham, T., Binder, D., Dowdeswell, J. A., Fischer, 542 A., Helfricht, K., Kutuzov, S., Lavrentiev, I., McNabb, R., Gudmundsson, G. H., Li, H., 543 and Andreassen, L. M. How accurate are estimates of glacier ice thickness? Results from 544 ITMIX, the Ice Thickness Models Intercomparison experiment. The Cryosphere 11(2), 545 949–970, April (2017).
- [37] Farr, T. G., Rosen, P. A., Caro, E., Crippen, R., Duren, R., Hensley, S., Kobrick, M., 547 Paller, M., Rodriguez, E., Roth, L., and others. The shuttle radar topography mission. 548 Reviews of geophysics 45(2), RG2004 (2007). 549

546

- [38] Rankl, M., Kienholz, C., and Braun, M. Glacier changes in the Karakoram region mapped
   by multimission satellite imagery. The Cryosphere 8(3), 977–989 (2014).
- Mukherjee, K., Bolch, T., Goerlich, F., Kutuzov, S., Osmonov, A., Pieczonka, T., and
   Shesterova, I. Surge-Type Glaciers in the Tien Shan (Central Asia). Arctic, Antarctic,
   and Alpine Research 49(1), 147–171, February (2017).
- Vincent, C. and Moreau, L. Sliding velocity fluctuations and subglacial hydrology over the
   last two decades on Argentière glacier, Mont Blanc area. Journal of Glaciology 62(235),
   805–815, October (2016).
- Nienow, P. W., Hubbard, A. L., Hubbard, B. P., Chandler, D. M., Mair, D. W. F., Sharp,
   M. J., and Willis, I. C. Hydrological controls on diurnal ice flow variability in valley glaciers.
   Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface 110(F4), F04002, October (2005).
- [42] Copland, L., Sharp, M. J., Nienow, P., and Bingham, R. G. The distribution of basal
   motion beneath a High Arctic polythermal glacier. *Journal of Glaciology* 49(166), 407–414 (2003).
- [43] Kääb, A. Combination of SRTM3 and repeat ASTER data for deriving alpine glacier
   flow velocities in the Bhutan Himalaya. Remote Sensing of Environment 94(4), 463–474,
   February (2005).
- [44] Schoof, C. The effect of cavitation on glacier sliding. Proceedings of the Royal Society A:
   Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 461(2055), 609–627, March (2005).
- [45] Scherler, D., Leprince, S., and Strecker, M. R. Glacier-surface velocities in alpine terrain
   from optical satellite imagery—Accuracy improvement and quality assessment. Remote
   Sensing of Environment 112(10), 3806–3819, October (2008).
- [46] Stein, A. N., Huertas, A., and Matthies, L. Attenuating stereo pixel-locking via affine
   window adaptation. In *Proceedings 2006 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and* Automation, 2006. ICRA 2006., 914–921. IEEE, (2006).
- [47] Tedstone, A. J., Nienow, P. W., Gourmelen, N., Dehecq, A., Goldberg, D., and Hanna,
   E. Decadal slowdown of a land-terminating sector of the Greenland Ice Sheet despite
   warming. Nature 526(7575), 692–695, October (2015).

- <sup>578</sup> [48] Mouginot, J. and Rignot, E. Ice motion of the Patagonian Icefields of South America: <sup>579</sup> 1984-2014. *Geophysical Research Letters* **42**(5), 1441–1449, March (2015).
- [49] Gudbjartsson, H. and Patz, S. The Rician distribution of noisy MRI data. Magnetic
   resonance in medicine 34(6), 910-914 (1995).
- [50] MacAyeal, D. R. Large-scale ice flow over a viscous basal sediment: Theory and application
   to ice stream B, Antarctica. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 94(B4), 4071–
   4087, April (1989).
- [51] Glen, J. W. The Creep of Polycrystalline Ice. Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 228(1175), 519–538, March (1955).
- [52] Kienholz, C., Rich, J. L., Arendt, A. A., and Hock, R. A new method for deriving glacier
   centerlines applied to glaciers in Alaska and northwest Canada. The Cryosphere 8(2),
   503–519, March (2014).
- [53] Kamb, B. and Echelmeyer, K. A. Stress-gradient coupling in glacier flow: I. Longitudinal
   averaging of the influence of ice thickness and surface slope. *Journal of Glaciology* 32(111),
   267–284 (1986).
- [54] Azam, M. F., Ramanathan, A., Wagnon, P., Vincent, C., Linda, A., Berthier, E., Sharma,
   P., Mandal, A., Angchuk, T., Singh, V., and Pottakkal, J. Meteorological conditions,
   seasonal and annual mass balances of Chhota Shigri Glacier, western Himalaya, India.
   Annals of Glaciology 57(71), 328–338, March (2016).

# $_{ extsf{597}}$ $\operatorname{\mathbf{Acknowledgements}}$

We thank Matthias Huss for providing the thickness and centre flow line data, Julie Gardelle,
Tobias Bolch, Melanie Rankl and Heidi Sevestre for providing data from their surge-inventory
as well as glacier and basin outlines. We thank Ann Rowan and two anonymous reviewers for
comments and suggestions that greatly improved the quality of the paper. Initial research was
conducted during AD graduate program, with a doctoral fellowship from the Centre National
d'Etude Spatial (CNES) and from the Savoie region. NG and AD were supported by funding from the European Space Agency Dragon 3 programme. EB acknowledges support from

- the French Space Agency (CNES). AG and AD were supported by funding from the NASA
- <sup>606</sup> Cryosphere and MEaSUREs Programs and research was conducted at the Jet Propulsion Lab-
- oratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and
- 608 Space Administration.

# 609 Author contributions statement

- 610 A.D., N.G. and A.G. designed the study. A.G. generated the velocity fields. A.D. conducted
- the analysis with inputs from A.G and N.G., A.D. developed the model with inputs from D.G.
- and P.N. F.B. provided the elevation change data. All authors interpreted the results. A.D.
- led the writing of the paper and all co-authors contributed to it.

# Additional information

<sup>615</sup> Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.D.

# 616 Competing financial interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

# 618 List of Figures

619	1	Annual glacier velocity anomalies for High Mountain Asia (2000-2017).	
620		The centre map shows the study area and 11 subregions with glaciers highlighted	
621		in cyan. Each inset shows a time series of the annual velocity anomaly (change	
622		along flow direction relative to the mean velocity) for each subregion. Black lines	
623		represent the median anomaly, colour bars the interquartile range and colour lines	
624		the linear trend over 2000-2017. Coverage of observations common to all years	
625		(top), velocity trend (middle) and velocity trend relative to the mean velocity	
626		(bottom), with 68% confidence interval, are reported in each inset (dec = decade).	26
627	2	Glacier velocity and thickness trends for High Mountain Asia (2000-	
628		<b>2016).</b> (a) Map of median velocity trend on a 1° x 1° grid. Dot colour represents	
629		the median velocity trend and its size represents the total glacierized area. The	
630		standard error is represented by a grey dot whose area is proportional to the	
631		uncertainty and the size of the original dot. (b) Same figure for thickness change,	
632			27
633	3	Observed velocity change versus mass balance and driving stress change	
634		for 11 subregions of HMA. Mass balances (a) are from ref. [3]. Velocity and	
635		driving stress change (b) are median values for glacier centre flowlines. Panel b	
636		uses logarithmic scales following equation 2. The results presented here are ob-	
637		tained with a lag of 3 years between velocity and driving stress changes. Coloured	
638		dots with black edges include all glaciers, grey edges exclude surge-type glaciers.	
639		A linear regression is displayed in grey with coefficient of determination (R <sup>2</sup> )	
640		and slope provided in the bottom right corner. All error bars represent the 68%	
641		confidence interval	28