

学校编码 : 10384

学号 : 17620130153750

厦门大学

博士 学位 论文

创业扶持、信念波动与创新决策

Entrepreneurial Sponsorship, Belief
Change, and Innovation Decision

王容宽

指导教师: 唐炎钊

专业名称: 企业管理

答辩日期: 2017年9月

厦门大学学位论文原创性声明

本人呈交的学位论文是本人在导师指导下, 独立完成的研究成果。本人在论文写作中参考其他个人或集体已经发表的研究成果, 均在文中以适当方式明确标明, 并符合法律规范和《厦门大学研究生学术活动规范(试行)》。

另外, 该学位论文为()课题(组)的研究成果
, 获得()课题(组)经费或实验室的资助, 在(
)实验室完成。(请在以上括号内填写课题或课题组负责人或
实验室名称, 未有此项声明内容的, 可以不作特别声明。)

声明人(签名) :

年 月 日

厦门大学学位论文著作权使用声明

本人同意厦门大学根据《中华人民共和国学位条例暂行实施办法》等规定保留和使用此学位论文，并向主管部门或其指定机构送交学位论文(包括纸质版和电子版)，允许学位论文进入厦门大学图书馆及其数据库被查阅、借阅。本人同意厦门大学将学位论文加入全国博士、硕士学位论文共建单位数据库进行检索，将学位论文的标题和摘要汇编出版，采用影印、缩印或者其它方式合理复制学位论文。

本学位论文属于：

() 1. 经厦门大学保密委员会审查核定的保密学位论文，于年 月 日解密，解密后适用上述授权。

() 2. 不保密，适用上述授权。

(请在以上相应括号内打“√”或填上相应内容。保密学位论文应是已经厦门大学保密委员会审定过的学位论文，未经厦门大学保密委员会审定的学位论文均为公开学位论文。此声明栏不填写的，默认为公开学位论文，均适用上述授权。)

声明人(签名)：

年 月 日

摘要

创业扶持政策往往鼓励和扶持更多人成为创业者。相比高能力个体，通常低能力个体的职业选择（创业或就业）对一般性创业补贴或市场进入扶持更加敏感。因而，创业扶持往往降低了市场参与者对创业者能力的信念。本文采用“政府→信念→其他决策者→创业者”的分析模式，首先论述创业扶持降低市场参与者的信念，然后分析博弈情景下信念下降对高能力创业者创新决策的影响，最后得出创业扶持对创业者创新决策的影响。本文构建了三个模型（分别对应博弈对手为潜在的创新者、潜在的防守者以及潜在的模仿者）。

第一个模型分析了信念下降对创业者序贯创新决策的影响。先行者决定追求高创新水平战略还是低创新水平战略，而后行者决定追求更高创新水平战略还是更低创新水平战略。信念的下降可能带来战略选择效应或战略实施效应。先行者往往选择高创新水平战略，信念下降降低了先行者的创新水平（即战略实施效应）。而后行者可能选择更高创新水平战略，也可能选择更低创新水平战略，这取决于信念的高低以及双方真实能力的相对高低。信念下降既可能影响后行者既定战略的实施（战略实施效应，可正可负）；也可能影响其战略的选择（战略选择效应）。

第二个模型是一个创新降价博弈，关注了创业者与现有企业在顾客争夺上的博弈。当创业者试图抢占现有垄断企业的顾客，现有企业的反应是选择性的。当信念较低，现有企业不做反应，因而信念下降只会使得更少顾客愿意等待，降低了创业者的创新水平。当信念较高，信念下降降低了现有企业降价的积极性，增加了顾客等待，反而提高了创业者的创新水平。

第三个模型探讨了创业者与现有企业之间的创新模仿博弈。在不清楚市场前景的情形下，创业者需要决定实施部分阻碍战略（只保证垄断小市场）还是完全阻碍战略（保证完全垄断）。战略选择效应和战略实施效应同样会出现。给定创业者的战略，信念下降会降低创业者的创新水平（负面的战略实施效应）；但战略选择效应却会显著地提高创业者的创新水平（部分阻碍战略→完全阻碍战略）。

创业扶持降低信念，影响创业者创新决策的战略选择或战略实施。创业者的创新决策既可能因信念的下降而变得更保守，也可能变得更激进。本文还对各模型进

行了拓展或变换，进一步丰富了文章的结论。本文的努力能启发研究者、政府以及创业者从信念波动视角分析创业扶持给创业者创新决策所带来的战略选择和战略实施效应。

关键词：创业扶持；信念波动；创新决策；信息不对称；战略选择；战略实施

厦门大学博硕士论文摘要库

Abstract

Entrepreneurial policy advocates and facilitates people to become entrepreneurs. As the low-ability individuals are more sensitive to entrepreneurship subsidies or the facilitation of market entry, entrepreneurial policy usually drives downs the market players' belief toward a new entrant's or an entrepreneur's ability. A mode as "Government→Belief→Others→Entrepreneur" runs through the analysis of this dissertation: The government entrepreneurial policy drives down the market players' belief toward a new entrant's ability, and the belief change (i.e., belief decline) affects the others' (e.g., the incumbent, the investor) reaction functions which might eventually change the entrepreneur's innovation decision.

The first model is a sequential innovation game with two high-ability entrepreneurs (a leader and a follower). Belief decline might bring about strategic selection effect or strategic implementation effect. The leader always pursues the high-level innovation strategy, and the belief decline decreases the leader's innovation level (i.e., strategic implementation effect). The follower should make a choice between the higher-level innovation strategy and the lower-level innovation strategy. Belief decline might affect the follower's strategic selection (e.g., lower-level innovation strategy→higher-level innovation strategy), or just influence the follower's strategic implementation.

The second model is a sales contest between an entrepreneur and a monopolistic incumbent. When an entrepreneur aims to "steal" customers from the incumbent, the incumbent would response with price reduction but selectively. If the belief is at a low level, no response; if at a high level, price reduction. Without response from the incumbent, belief decline reduces waiting customers, which depresses the entrepreneur's innovation. With response from the incumbent, belief decline reduces the incumbent's price reduction, which

increases the innovation level instead.

The third model is an innovation-imitation game between an entrepreneur and an incumbent (a potential imitator). If the original belief is at a low level, the entrepreneur usually favors complete deterrence strategy; while at a high level, the entrepreneur favors partial deterrence strategy. As the belief goes down, it becomes easier for the entrepreneur to deter the imitation. Belief decline might motivate the entrepreneur to select a more aggressive deterrence strategy (e.g., partial deterrence strategy→complete deterrence strategy) which increases innovation level dramatically, or just facilitate the entrepreneur's strategic implementation (i.e., decreasing the innovation level).

The entrepreneurial policy might affect, via belief change, the entrepreneur's innovation strategy selection or innovation strategy implementation. This work would inspire researchers, policymakers, and entrepreneurs to analyze the entrepreneurial policy's strategic selection effect and strategic implementation effect from a belief change angle.

Keywords: Entrepreneurial policy; Belief change; Innovation decision; Information asymmetry; Strategic selection; Strategic implementation

参考资料

- Acemoglu, D. (1995). Reward Structures and the Allocation of Talent. *European Economic Review*, 39(1), 17-33.
- Acemoglu, D., & Cao, D. (2015). Innovation by Entrants and Incumbents. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 157(May), 255-294.
- Acs, Z., & Szerb, L. (2007). Entrepreneurship, Economic Growth and Public Policy. *Small Business Economics*, 28(2-3), 109-122.
- Acs, Z. J., Audretsch, D. B., & Lehmann, E. E. (2013). The Knowledge Spillover Theory of Entrepreneurship. *Small Business Economics*, 41(4), 757-774.
- Aghion, P., Blundell, R., Griffith, R., Howitt, P., & Prantl, S. (2009). The Effects of Entry on Incumbent Innovation and Productivity. *Review of Economics & Statistics*, 91(1), 20-32.
- Aghion, P., Harris, C., Howitt, P., & Vickers, J. (2001). Competition, Imitation and Growth with Step-by-Step Innovation. *Review of Economic Studies*, 68(3), 467-492.
- Alvarez, S. A., & Barney, J. B. (2007). Discovery and Creation: Alternative Theories of Entrepreneurial Action. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 1(1-2), 11-26.
- Amezcua, A. S., Grimes, M. G., Bradley, S. W., & Wiklund, J. (2013). Organizational Sponsorship and Founding Environments: A Contingency View on the Survival of Business-Incubated Firms , 1994-2007. *Academy of Management Journal*, 56(6), 1628-1654.
- Anderson, S., Baik, A., & Larson, N. (2015). Personalized Pricing and Advertising: An Asymmetric Equilibrium Analysis. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 92(July), 53-73.
- Anokhin, S., & Wincent, J. (2012). Start-Up Rates and Innovation: A Cross-Country Examination. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 43(1), 41-60.
- Anton, J. J., & Yao, D. A. (2004). Little Patents and Big Secrets: Managing Intellectual Property. *Rand Journal of Economics*, 35(1), 1-22.
- Arend, R. J. (2009). Defending against Rival Innovation. *Small Business Economics*, 33(2), 189-206.
- Asoni, A., & Sanandaji, T. (2014). Taxation and the Quality of Entrepreneurship. *Journal of Economics*, 113(2), 101-123.
- Audretsch, D. B. (2003). Standing on the Shoulders of Midgets: The U.S. Small Business Innovation Research Program (SBIR). *Small Business Economics*, 20(2), 129-135.
- Autio, E., & Fu, K. (2015). Economic and Political Institutions and Entry into Formal and Informal Entrepreneurship. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 32(1), 67-94.
- Autio, E., & Rannikko, H. (2016). Retaining Winners: Can Policy Boost High-Growth Entrepreneurship? *Research Policy*, 45(1), 42-55.
- Barzel, Y. (1968). Optimal Timing of Innovations. *Review of Economics & Statistics*, 50(3), 348-355.
- Baumol, W. J. (1990). Entrepreneurship: Productive, Unproductive, and Destructive. *Journal of Political Economy*, 98(5), 893-921.
- Belleflamme, P., & Peitz, M. (2014). Asymmetric Information and Overinvestment in Quality. *European Economic Review*, 66(1), 127-143.
- Benoit, J.-P. (1985). Innovation and Imitation in a Duopoly. *Review of Economic Studies*, 52(1), 99-106.
- Bessen, J., & Maskin, E. (2009). Sequential Innovation, Patents, and Imitation. *Rand Journal of Economics*, 40(4), 611-635.
- Blanchflower, D. G. (2000). Self-Employment in OECD Countries. *Labour Economics*, 7(5), 471-505.
- Blanchflower, D. G., & Oswald, A. J. (1998). What Makes an Entrepreneur? *Journal of Labor Economics*, 16(1), 26-60.
- Boadway, R., & Sato, M. (2011). Entrepreneurship and Asymmetric Information in Input Markets. *International Tax & Public Finance*, 18(2), 166-192.

- Boccard, N., & Wauthy, X. Y. (2010). Equilibrium Vertical Differentiation in a Bertrand Model with Capacity Precommitment. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 28(3), 288-297.
- Bose, A., Pal, D., & Sappington, D. E. M. (2016). All Entrepreneurial Productivity Increases Are Not Created Equal. *Southern Economic Journal*, 82(3), 952-974.
- Bowen, H. P., & De Clercq, D. (2008). Institutional Context and the Allocation of Entrepreneurial Effort. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 39(4), 747-768.
- Bréard, D. (2010). On Production Costs in Vertical Differentiation Models. *Economics Letters*, 109(3), 183-186.
- Braunerhjelm, P., Acs, Z. J., Audretsch, D. B., & Carlsson, B. (2010). The Missing Link: Knowledge Diffusion and Entrepreneurship in Endogenous Growth. *Small Business Economics*, 34(2), 105-125.
- Bryce Campodonico, L. A., Bonfatti, R., & Pisano, L. (2016). Tax Policy and the Financing of Innovation. *Journal of Public Economics*, 135(March), 32-46.
- Cagetti, M., & De Nardi, M. (2006). Entrepreneurship, Frictions, and Wealth. *Journal of Political Economy*, 114(5), 835-870.
- Cantner, U., & Käfers, S. (2012). Picking the Winner? Empirical Evidence on the Targeting of R&D Subsidies to Start-Ups. *Small Business Economics*, 39(4), 921-936.
- Chong, J. C., & Shin, H. S. (1992). A Comment on a Model of Vertical Product Differentiation. *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 40(2), 229-231.
- Coad, A., Daunfeldt, S.-O., Höglund, W., Johansson, D., & Nightingale, P. (2014). High-Growth Firms: Introduction to the Special Section. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 23(1), 91-112.
- Coad, A., & Rao, R. (2008). Innovation and Firm Growth in High-Tech Sectors: A Quantile Regression Approach. *Research Policy*, 37(4), 633-648.
- Colombo, M. G., Cumming, D. J., & Vismara, S. (2016). Governmental Venture Capital for Innovative Young Firms. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 41(1), 10-24.
- Colombo, M. G., Grilli, L., & Murtinu, S. (2011). R&D Subsidies and the Performance of High-Tech Start-Ups. *Economics Letters*, 112(1), 97-99.
- Cooper, A. C. (1993). Challenges in Predicting New Firm Performance. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 8(3), 241-253.
- Coyne, C. J., Sobel, R. S., & Dove, J. A. (2010). The Non-Productive Entrepreneurial Process. *The Review of Austrian Economics*, 23(4), 333-346.
- Cullen, J. B., & Gordon, R. H. (2007). Taxes and Entrepreneurial Risk-Taking: Theory and Evidence for the U.S. *Journal of Public Economics*, 91(7-8), 1479-1505.
- Cumming, D. (2007). Government Policy Towards Entrepreneurial Finance: Innovation Investment Funds. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 22(2), 193-235.
- David, P. A., Hall, B. H., & Toole, A. A. (2000). Is Public R&D a Complement or Substitute for Private R&D? A Review of the Econometric Evidence. *Research Policy*, 29(4-5), 497-529.
- Davidsson, P., & Henrekson, M. (2002). Determinants of the Prevalence of Start-Ups and High-Growth Firms. *Small Business Economics*, 19(2), 81-104.
- De Meza, D., & Webb, D. C. (1987). Too Much Investment: A Problem of Asymmetric Information. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 102(2), 281-292.
- Denicolò, V., & Franzoni, L. A. (2004). Patents, Secrets, and the First-Inventor Defense. *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy*, 13(3), 517-538.
- Dey, D., Lahiri, A., & Zhang, G. (2014). Quality Competition and Market Segmentation in the Security Software Market. *MIS Quarterly*, 38(2), 589-606.
- Dimos, C., & Pugh, G. (2016). The Effectiveness of R&D Subsidies: A Meta-Regression Analysis of the Evaluation Literature. *Research Policy*, 45(4), 797-815.
- Dixit, A. (1980). The Role of Investment in Entry-Deterrence. *Economic Journal*, 90(357), 95-106.
- Donnenfeld, S., & Weber, S. (1995). Limit Qualities and Entry Deterrence. *Rand Journal of Economics*, 26(1),

113-130.

- Du, J., & Mickiewicz, T. (2016). Subsidies, Rent Seeking and Performance: Being Young, Small or Private in China. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 31(1), 22-38.
- Dutta, P. K., Lach, S., & Rustichini, A. (1995). Better Late Than Early: Vertical Differentiation in the Adoption of a New Technology. *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy*, 4(4), 563-589.
- Engelhardt, L. (2012). Expansionary Monetary Policy and Decreasing Entrepreneurial Quality. *Quarterly Journal of Austrian Economics*, 15(2), 172-194.
- Estrin, S., Korosteleva, J., & Mickiewicz, T. (2013). Which Institutions Encourage Entrepreneurial Growth Aspirations? *Journal of Business Venturing*, 28(4), 564-580.
- Evans, A. J. (2016). The Unintended Consequences of Easy Money: How Access to Finance Impedes Entrepreneurship. *Review of Austrian Economics*, 29(3), 233-252.
- Evans, A. J., & Baxendale, T. (2008). Austrian Business Cycle Theory in Light of Rational Expectations: The Role of Heterogeneity, the Monetary Footprint, and Adverse Selection in Monetary Expansion. *Quarterly Journal of Austrian Economics*, 11(2), 81-93.
- Evans, D. S., & Jovanovic, B. (1989). An Estimated Model of Entrepreneurial Choice under Liquidity Constraints. *Journal of Political Economy*, 97(4), 808-827.
- Falck, O., Hebligh, S., & Kipar, S. (2011). Incumbent Innovation and Domestic Entry. *Small Business Economics*, 36(3), 271-279.
- Fritsch, M., & Mueller, P. (2008). The Effect of New Business Formation on Regional Development over Time: The Case of Germany. *Small Business Economics*, 30(1), 15-29.
- Fritsch, M., & Schroeter, A. (2009). Are More Start-Ups Really Better? Quantity and Quality of New Businesses and Their Effect on Regional Development. *Jena Economic Research Papers*, 2009 – 070.
- Fuest, C., & Tillessen, P. (2005). Why Do Governments Use Closed Ended Subsidies to Support Entrepreneurial Investment? *Economics Letters*, 89(1), 24-30.
- Gallini, N. (1992). Patent Policy and Costly Imitation. *Rand Journal of Economics*, 23(1), 52-63.
- Geroski, P. A. (1995). What Do We Know About Entry? *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 13(4), 421-440.
- Ghatak, M., Morelli, M., & Sjöström, T. (2007). Entrepreneurial Talent, Occupational Choice, and Trickle up Policies. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 137(1), 27-48.
- Gifford, S. (1993). Heterogeneous Ability, Career Choice and Firm Size. *Small Business Economics*, 5(4), 249-259.
- Gilboa, I., Postlewaite, A. W., & Schmeidler, D. (2008). Probability and Uncertainty in Economic Modeling. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 22(3), 173-188.
- González, X., & Paz, C. (2008). Do Public Subsidies Stimulate Private R&D Spending? *Research Policy*, 37(3), 371-389.
- Green, J. R., & Scotchmer, S. (1995). On the Division of Profit in Sequential Innovation. *Rand Journal of Economics*, 26(1), 20-33.
- Gretz, R. T., Highfill, J., & Scott, R. C. (2012). R&D Subsidy Games: A Cost Sharing Approach Vs. Reward for Performance. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 37(4), 385-403.
- Grossman, G. M., & Helpman, E. (1991a). Quality Ladders and Product Cycles. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106(2), 557-586.
- Grossman, G. M., & Helpman, E. (1991b). Quality Ladders in the Theory of Growth. *Review of Economic Studies*, 58(1), 43-61.
- Haufler, A., Norbeck, P.-J., & Persson, L. (2014). Entrepreneurial Innovations and Taxation. *Journal of Public Economics*, 113(May), 13-31.
- Henrekson, M., & Johansson, D. (2010). Gazelles as Job Creators: A Survey and Interpretation of the Evidence. *Small Business Economics*, 35(2), 227-244.
- Holcombe, R. G. (2006). Does the Invisible Hand Hold or Lead? Market Adjustment in an Entrepreneurial

- Economy. *Review of Austrian Economics*, 19(2-3), 189-201.
- Holtzeakin, D., Jouffaian, D., & Rosen, H. S. (1994). Sticking It Out: Entrepreneurial Survival and Liquidity Constraints. *Journal of Political Economy*, 102(1), 53-75.
- Hoppe, H. C. (2000). Second-Mover Advantages in the Strategic Adoption of New Technology under Uncertainty. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 18(2), 315-338.
- Hoppe, H. C. (2002). The Timing of New Technology Adoption: Theoretical Models and Empirical Evidence. *The Manchester School*, 70(1), 56-76.
- Hoppe, H. C., & Lehmann-Grube, U. (2001). Second-Mover Advantages in Dynamic Quality Competition. *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy*, 10(3), 419-433.
- Hoppe, H. C., & Lehmann-Grube, U. (2005). Innovation Timing Games: A General Framework with Applications. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 121(1), 30-50.
- Hung, N. M., & Schmitt, N. (1988). Quality Competition and Threat of Entry in Duopoly. *Economics Letters*, 27(3), 287-292.
- Hyttinen, A., Pajarinen, M., & Rouvinen, P. (2015). Does Innovativeness Reduce Startup Survival Rates? *Journal of Business Venturing*, 30(4), 564-581.
- Inci, E. (2013). Occupational Choice and the Quality of Entrepreneurs. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 92(August), 1-21.
- Jensen, R. (1982). Adoption and Diffusion of an Innovation of Uncertain Profitability. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 27(1), 182-193.
- Jensen, R. (2003). Innovative Leadership: First-Mover Advantages in New Product Adoption. *Economic Theory*, 21(1), 97-116.
- Jiang, N., Wang, P., & Wu, H. (2010). Ability-Heterogeneity, Entrepreneurship, and Economic Growth. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 34(3), 522-541.
- Jones, C. I. (1995). R & D-Based Models of Economic Growth. *Journal of Political Economy*, 103(4), 759-784.
- Jones, C. I. (2005). The Shape of Production Functions and the Direction of Technical Change. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 120(2), 517-549.
- Jost, P. J., Schubert, S., & Zschoche, M. (2015). Incumbent Positioning as a Determinant of Strategic Response to Entry. *Small Business Economics*, 44(3), 577-596.
- Jourdan, J., & Kivleniece, I. (2017). Too Much of a Good Thing? The Dual Effect of Public Sponsorship on Organizational Performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 60(1), 55-77.
- Jung, H. (2011). Signaling Quality with New Product Preannouncements: Vaporware and the Role of Reference Quality. *Journal of Business Research*, 64(11), 1251-1258.
- Kalra, A., Rajiv, S., & Srinivasan, K. (1998). Response to Competitive Entry: A Rationale for Delayed Defensive Reaction. *Marketing Science*, 17(4), 380-405.
- Kamien, M. I., & Schwartz, N. L. (1971). Limit Pricing and Uncertain Entry. *Econometrica*, 39(3), 441-454.
- Karaer, Ö, & Erhun, F. (2015). Quality and Entry Deterrence. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 240(1), 292-303.
- Keuschnigg, C., & Nielsen, S. B. (2003). Tax Policy, Venture Capital, and Entrepreneurship. *Journal of Public Economics*, 87(1), 175-203.
- Kihlstrom, R. E., & Laffont, J.-J. (1979). A General Equilibrium Entrepreneurial Theory of Firm Formation Based on Risk Aversion. *Journal of Political Economy*, 87(4), 719-748.
- Kirzner, I. M. (1997). Entrepreneurial Discovery and the Competitive Market Process: An Austrian Approach. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 35(1), 60-85.
- Kleer, R. (2010). Government R&D Subsidies as a Signal for Private Investors. *Research Policy*, 39(10), 1361-1374.
- Kohli, C. (1999). Signaling New Product Introductions. *Journal of Business Research*, 46(1), 45-56.
- Koo, B., & Wright, B. D. (2010). Dynamic Effects of Patent Policy on Sequential Innovation. *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy*, 19(2), 489-512.

- Kwon, I. (2012). Patent Races with Secrecy Patent Races with Secrecy. *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 60(3), 499-516.
- Lambertini, L., & Tampieri, A. (2012). Low-Quality Leadership in a Vertically Differentiated Duopoly with Cournot Competition. *Economics Letters*, 115(3), 396-398.
- Lambson, V. E., & Phillips, K. L. (2007). Market Structure and Schumpeterian Growth. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 62(1), 47-62.
- Lee, S. H., & Phuyal, R. K. (2013). Strategic Entry Deterrence by Limiting Qualities under Minimum Quality Standards. *Japanese Economic Review*, 64(4), 550 – 563.
- Lee, T., & Wilde, L. L. (1980). Market Structure and Innovation: A Reformulation. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 94(2), 429-436.
- Lieberman, M. B. (1987). Excess Capacity as a Barrier to Entry: An Empirical Appraisal. *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 35(4), 607-627.
- Loury, G. C. (1979). Market Structure and Innovation. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 93(3), 395-410.
- Lucas, R. E. (1978). On the Size Distribution of Business Firms. *Bell Journal of Economics*, 9(2), 508-523.
- Lutz, S. (1997). Vertical Product Differentiation and Entry Deterrence. *Journal of Economics*, 65(1), 79-102.
- Manceau, D., Eliashberg, J., Rao, V. R., & Su, M. (2014). A Diffusion Model for Preannounced Products. *Customer Needs and Solutions*, 1(1), 77-89.
- Mason, C., & Brown, R. (2013). Creating Good Public Policy to Support High-Growth Firms. *Small Business Economics*, 40(2), 211-225.
- McCann, B. T., & Vroom, G. (2010). Pricing Response to Entry and Agglomeration Effects. *Strategic Management Journal*, 31(3), 284-305.
- Mingo, S., & Khanna, T. (2014). Industrial Policy and the Creation of New Industries: Evidence from Brazil's Bioethanol Industry. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 23(5), 1229-1260.
- Minniti, M. (2008). The Role of Government Policy on Entrepreneurial Activity: Productive, Unproductive, or Destructive? *Entrepreneurship: Theory & Practice*, 32(5), 779-790.
- Moorthy, K. S. (1988). Product and Price Competition in a Duopoly. *Marketing Science*, 7(2), 141-168.
- Morris, M. H., & Lewis, P. S. (1994). Reconceptualizing Entrepreneurship: An Input-Output Perspective. *SAM Advanced Management Journal*, 59(1), 21-31.
- Morris, S. (1996). The Logic of Belief and Belief Change: A Decision Theoretic Approach. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 69(1), 1-23.
- Motoyama, Y., & Knowlton, K. (2016). From Resource Munificence to Ecosystem Integration: The Case of Government Sponsorship in St. Louis. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 28(5-6), 448-470.
- Motta, M. (1993). Endogenous Quality Choice: Price Vs. Quantity Competition. *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 41(2), 113-131.
- Mukherjee, A., & Pennings, E. (2004). Imitation, Patent Protection, and Welfare. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 56(4), 715-733.
- Murphy, K. M., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1991). The Allocation of Talent: Implications for Growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106(2), 503-530.
- Murphy, K. M., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1993). Why Is Rent-Seeking So Costly to Growth? *American Economic Review*, 83(2), 409-414.
- Murphy, P. J., Liao, J., & Welsch, H. P. (2006). A Conceptual History of Entrepreneurial Thought. *Journal of Management History*, 12(1), 12-35.
- Naudé, W. (2010). Entrepreneurship in the Field of Development Economics. In B. Urban (Ed.), *Frontiers in Entrepreneurship* (pp. 85-114). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Nightingale, P., & Coad, A. (2014). Muppets and Gazelles: Political and Methodological Biases in Entrepreneurship Research. *Industrial & Corporate Change*, 23(1), 113-143.
- Noh, Y. H., & Moschini, G. (2006). Vertical Product Differentiation, Entry-Deterrence Strategies, and Entry Qualities. *Review of Industrial Organization*, 29(3), 227-252.

- Norbäck, P.-J., & Persson, L. (2012). Entrepreneurial Innovations, Competition and Competition Policy. *European Economic Review*, 56(3), 488-506.
- Norman, G., Pepall, L., & Dan, R. (2016). Sequential Product Innovation, Competition and Patent Policy. *Review of Industrial Organization*, 48(3), 289-306.
- Parker, S. C., & Praag, M. V. (2010). Group Status and Entrepreneurship. *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy*, 19(4), 919-945.
- Parker, S. C., & Van Praag, C. M. (2012). The Entrepreneur's Mode of Entry: Business Takeover or New Venture Start? *Journal of Business Venturing*, 27(1), 31-46.
- Pehrsson, A. (2013). Barriers to Entry and Market Strategy: A Literature Review and a Proposed Model. *European Business Review*, 21(1), 64-77.
- Pepall, L. (1997). Imitative Competition and Product Innovation in a Duopoly Model. *Economica*, 64(254), 265-279.
- Plehn-Dujowich, J. M. (2009). Endogenous Growth and Adverse Selection in Entrepreneurship. *Journal of Economic Dynamics & Control*, 33(7), 1419-1436.
- Poschke, M. (2013). Who Becomes an Entrepreneur? Labor Market Prospects and Occupational Choice. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 37(3), 693-710.
- Rasmusen, E., & Yoon, Y.-R. (2012). First Versus Second Mover Advantage with Information Asymmetry About the Profitability of New Markets. *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 60(3), 374-405.
- Reinganum, J. F. (1983). Uncertain Innovation and the Persistence of Monopoly. *American Economic Review*, 73(4), 741-748.
- Reinganum, J. F. (1989). The Timing of Innovation: Research, Development, and Diffusion. *Handbook of Industrial Organization*, 1(5), 849-908.
- Robertson, T. S., Eliashberg, J., & Rymon, T. (1995). New Product Announcement Signals and Incumbent Reactions. *Journal of Marketing*, 59(3), 1-15.
- Rocha, V., Carneiro, A., & Varum, C. A. (2015). Entry and Exit Dynamics of Nascent Business Owners. *Small Business Economics*, 45(1), 63-84.
- Schwartz, M., Goethner, M., Michelsen, C., & Waldmann, N. (2013). Start-Up Competitions as an Instrument of Entrepreneurship Policy: The German Experience. *European Planning Studies*, 21(10), 1578-1597.
- Selove, M. (2014). A Dynamic Model of Competitive Entry Response. *Marketing Science*, 33(3), 353-363.
- Shane, S., & Venkataraman, S. (2000). The Promise of Entrepreneurship as a Field of Research. *Academy of Management Review*, 25(1), 217-226.
- Shane, S. D. (2009). Why Encouraging More People to Become Entrepreneurs Is Bad Public Policy. *Small Business Economics*, 33(2), 141-149.
- Simon, D. (2005). Incumbent Pricing Responses to Entry. *Strategic Management Journal*, 26(13), 1229-1248.
- Smirnov, V., & Wait, A. (2015). Innovation in a Generalized Timing Game. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 42(September), 23-33.
- Sobel, R. S., Clark, J. R., & Lee, D. R. (2007). Freedom, Barriers to Entry, Entrepreneurship, and Economic Progress. *The Review of Austrian Economics*, 20(4), 221-236.
- Strat, T. M. (1990). Decision Analysis Using Belief Functions. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, 4(5-6), 391-417.
- Su, M., & Rao, V. R. (2010). New Product Preannouncement as a Signaling Strategy: An Audience-Specific Review and Analysis. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 27(5), 658-672.
- Takalo, T., & Tanayama, T. (2010). Adverse Selection and Financing of Innovation: Is There a Need for R&D Subsidies? *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 35(1), 16-41.
- Thomas, L. A. (1999). Incumbent Firms' Response to Entry: Price, Advertising, and New Product Introduction. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 17(4), 527-555.
- Turut, O., & Ofek, E. (2012). Innovation Strategy and Entry Deterrence. *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy*, 21(3), 583-631.

- Ueda, M. (2004). Banks Versus Venture Capital: Project Evaluation, Screening, and Expropriation. *Journal of Finance*, 59(2), 601-621.
- Uras, B. R. (2013). Investment Composition and Productivity with Heterogeneous Entrepreneurs. *Journal of Macroeconomics*, 35(1), 104-116.
- Utaka, A. (2008). Pricing Strategy, Quality Signaling, and Entry Deterrence. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 26(4), 878-888.
- Van Praag, C. M., & Versloot, P. H. (2007). What Is the Value of Entrepreneurship? A Review of Recent Research. *Small Business Economics*, 29(4), 351-382.
- Van Stel, A., & Storey, D. (2004). The Link between Firm Births and Job Creation: Is There a Upas Tree Effect? *Regional Studies*, 38(8), 893-909.
- Van Stel, A., Storey, D. J., & Thurik, A. R. (2007). The Effect of Business Regulations on Nascent and Young Business Entrepreneurship. *Small Business Economics*, 28(2), 171-186.
- Vivarelli, M. (2013). Is Entrepreneurship Necessarily Good? Microeconomic Evidence from Developed and Developing Countries. *Industrial & Corporate Change*, 22(6), 1453-1495.
- Vives, X. (2008). Innovation and Competitive Pressure. *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 56(3), 419-469.
- Wallsten, S. J. (2000). The Effects of Government-Industry R&D Programs on Private R&D: The Case of the Small Business Innovation Research Program. *Rand Journal of Economics*, 31(1), 82-100.
- Wang, R.-K., & Hu, D. (2017). Time-Cost Substitutability, Earlycutting Threat, and Innovation Timing. *Economics Letters*, 156(July), 88-91.
- Wennekers, S., Stel, A. V., Thurik, R., & Reynolds, P. (2005). Nascent Entrepreneurship and the Level of Economic Development. *Small Business Economics*, 24(3), 293-309.
- Wennekers, S., & Thurik, R. (1999). Linking Entrepreneurship and Economic Growth. *Small Business Economics*, 13(1), 27-55.
- 陈刚. (2015). 管制与创业——来自中国的微观证据. *管理世界*, (5), 89-99.
- 冯磊东, &顾孟迪. (2016). 纵向产品差异化的序贯创新研究. *科技管理研究*, (3), 77-83.
- 韩玉倩. (2015). 科技创业孵化链条运行机制探究——以中国科技开发院为例. 厦门大学.
- 计国君, &杨光勇. (2013). 存在战略顾客的模仿创新研究. *管理科学学报*, (4), 51-62.
- 彭纪生, &刘春林. (2003). 自主创新与模仿创新的博弈分析. *科学管理研究*, (6), 18-22.
- 齐玮娜, &张耀辉. (2014). 领先还是模仿：基于商业知识溢出的创业决策机制研究. *科学学与科学技术管理*, (7), 128-137.
- 张鹏. (2009). 创业决策博弈与高新技术企业创业特征分析. *科技管理研究*, (1), 146-148.

Degree papers are in the "[Xiamen University Electronic Theses and Dissertations Database](#)". Full texts are available in the following ways:

1. If your library is a CALIS member libraries, please log on <http://etd.calis.edu.cn/> and submit requests online, or consult the interlibrary loan department in your library.
2. For users of non-CALIS member libraries, please mail to etd@xmu.edu.cn for delivery details.

厦门大学博硕士论文摘要库