A COMPARISON OF CULTIVATION TECHNIQUES FOR SUCCESSFUL TREE ESTABLISHMENT ON COMPACTED SOIL

DANIELLE SINNETT¹*, JANE POOLE^{1,2} AND TONY R. HUTCHINGS¹

¹Forest Research, Alice Holt Lodge, Wrecclesham, Farnham, Surrey, GU10 4LH, UK.

²current address ILRI-ICRAF Research Methods Group, Nairobi, Kenya.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: danielle.sinnett@forestry.gsi.gov.uk

1 Summary

2 Soil compaction is often responsible for the poor establishment of trees on restored 3 brownfield sites. This paper examines the root development, survival and growth of Alnus 4 cordata, Larix kaempferi, Pinus nigra and Betula pendula after cultivation with complete 5 cultivation, a standard industrial ripper and a prototype ripper. The industrial ripper was used 6 in one pass across the experimental plots and the prototype ripper in both two and four 7 passes. Whilst the maximum root depths, after five growing seasons, attained by trees were 8 similar to the target soil loosening depths for the cultivation techniques, the total number of 9 roots suggests that root development was not uniform across the soil profile. All treatments 10 significantly increased both the maximum root depth and total number of roots compared to 11 the untreated control; the complete cultivation had approximately double the number of roots 12 compared with the other treatments. Larger average root diameters and a higher percentage 13 of coarse roots also suggest that roots experienced physical restriction in the control, 2 pass prototype and industrial ripper plots. Similarly, whilst all species had attained significantly 14 15 greater height growth on the treated soils compared with the control, the height of Alnus 16 cordata, Larix kaempferi and Betula pendula was greatest after complete cultivation. The 17 results demonstrate that complete cultivation is the most effective method of alleviating soil 18 compaction for tree establishment.

20 Introduction

21 Soil compaction is often responsible for the poor performance or failure of trees planted as 22 part of the restoration of brownfield sites (Moffat and McNeill, 1994; Moffat and Boswell, 23 1997). Brownfield sites are areas of land that have undergone some form of development in 24 the past, including mineral extraction, waste disposal, industrial activity and commercial or 25 residential development. Soil compaction alters the moisture regime of the soil, often 26 resulting in drought conditions during summer months and waterlogging during wetter 27 periods. It can also impede the growth of roots so that trees are unable to draw water or 28 nutrients at depth, which in turn may have adverse effects of the growth of trees (Greacen 29 and Sands, 1980). Soil compaction and resulting poor root development can also make 30 mature trees more susceptible to wind-throw (Dobson and Moffat, 1993). It is therefore 31 essential that any compaction present at a restored site must be effectively alleviated prior to 32 tree establishment.

Current UK guidance recommends that a soil suitable for tree establishment should be 'rootable' to a depth of at least 1 m and have a bulk density of less than 1.5 g cm⁻³ to at least 0.5 m depth and less than 1.7 g cm⁻³ to 1.0 m depth (Bending *et al.*, 1999). A friable topsoil depth of at least 0.5 m is recommended for vegetation establishment in Australia (DITR, 2006), whilst bulk densities ranging from less than 1.4 g cm⁻³ in clay soils to less than 1.7 g cm⁻³ in loamy sands are recommended for crop production in the US (Soil Quality Institute, 2003).

Compaction may occur during all stages of the restoration process: during soil stripping, storage and reinstatement. Best practice for soil placement is loose tipping which uses a 360 ° excavator to spread soil, without trafficking over the surface and should, therefore, prevent significant soil compaction from occurring, and although terminology may vary it is generally recognised that the soil handling and the trafficking over placed soil should be kept to a minimum. Where soil compaction is already present it is normally alleviated by cultivation. Complete cultivation is recommended in the UK as the most suitable cultivation 47 method when restoring sites for tree planting. Complete cultivation uses an excavator to progressively remove and replace the soil without trafficking over the cultivated soil surface. 48 49 This method is expensive and, for this reason, the cheaper industrial rip method is often 50 favoured by site developers in the UK and is recommended for compaction alleviation 51 following mining operations (WHO and UNEP, 1998; DITR, 2006). Industrial or deep ripping 52 uses a winged tine cultivator pulled by a prime mover to break up compacted soil. This can 53 have significant implications for the success of tree establishment on restored sites, as soils 54 cultivated by industrial ripping often suffer from recompaction, where wetting-drying cycles 55 result in precipitation of fine clay and colloids (Hamza and Anderson, 2005), before the roots 56 have penetrated deep into the soil profile (Moffat and Boswell, 1997).

57 In recent years, research on ripping has improved the process, and evidence of relatively prolonged loosening has been published for soils restored to grassland and arable farming 58 59 (Foot and Spoor, 2003). As part of these developments in ripping technology, the 'Mega-60 Lift', was developed by Tim Howard Engineering Services, Cambridgeshire, UK for land 61 restoration primarily to a woodland end-use. The ripper was designed to loosen soil 62 materials to a depth of 1 m in multiple passes based on the principles outlined in Spoor 63 (1998). The design aimed to meet the bulk density standards required for soils in land 64 restoration to woodland and overcome recompaction problems associated with conventional 65 industrial ripping techniques. If successful, the Mega-Lift could offer an improved ripping 66 technology without significantly increasing the cost of the standard industrial ripping 67 operation even though it did not achieve the same level of soil loosening as a complete 68 cultivation (Sinnett et al., 2006).

Previous studies have shown that the cultivation treatment employed at restored sites has a significant effect on the survival and growth of planted trees (Bending and Moffat, 1997; Moffat and Bending, 2000). Moffat and Bending (2000) found that loose tipping and complete cultivation significantly improved the survival and growth of a range of tree species on three sites compared with conventional industrial ripping techniques. This paper presents the results of a fully replicated field experiment to compare root development after soil 75 loosening using the prototype Mega-Lift ripper, complete cultivation and a standard industrial 76 ripper at a restored sand and gravel quarry. The second objective was to relate root 77 development to tree survival and growth as the basis for recommendations on the use of 78 cultivation techniques for tree establishment.

79 Materials and methods

80 Site details

81 The site is at Warren Heath Plantation in Bramshill Forest, Hampshire, UK (National Grid 82 Reference SU783594, 51°19'N, 0°52'W). The site is still a working sand and gravel 83 extraction quarry that has been subjected to phased excavation and restoration over the past 84 forty years. A 2-4 m deep layer of flint gravel overlies the Tertiary (Eocene) Middle and 85 Upper Bagshot Beds (Sumbler, 1996; Daley and Balson, 1999) in extensive plateau 86 deposits. These gravels are overlain by a stony sandy loam drift (Jarvis et al., 1984). Prior 87 to gravel extraction, the site was almost level at an altitude of 100 m above sea level (Moffat and Boswell, 1997). Average annual rainfall is 657 mm (Meteorological Office, 2005). 88

89 During sand and gravel extraction the soil material is removed and stored on site. The gravel 90 is then removed down to the top of the Bagshot Beds. When the soil was returned, a series of ridges were constructed 30 m wide and 1.5 m high according to Forestry Commission 91 92 (GB) recommendations (Wilson, 1985) to minimise the risk of waterlogging as the site has a 93 relatively high watertable. The ridges were then cross ripped to 0.5 m at a tine spacing of 94 approximately 1.1 m using a winged tine ripper during August 2000. No further operations 95 had been carried out prior to this study. Signs of original ripping were still present with some 96 subsequent soil erosion and resettlement. Natural regeneration of grasses, Juncus spp., 97 heather (Calluna vulgaris L. (Hull)), gorse (Ulex europaeus L.) and Scots pine (Pinus 98 sylvestris L.) had taken place across the site.

© Crown Copyright 2008

99 Study area

The soil is an anthropic regosol (FAO, 1998) which has been created from sand and gravel extraction. Four years after cultivation (i.e. in 2005), soil samples were collected from four depths in each plot in Experiment 1; the soil properties are shown in Table 1. The data suggest that the soil is relatively homogeneous across the site.

104 Due to the destructive nature of root development assessments, two separate experiments 105 were concurrently set up to examine the effects of the cultivation treatments on tree survival, 106 growth and root development. Experiment 1 was used for the invasive assessments of 107 penetration resistance and root development. Experiment 2 was left undisturbed following 108 the cultivation treatment to allow for assessment of tree survival and growth. The cultivation 109 treatments (see below) took place in June 2001 following a dry period when soil conditions 110 were suitable. No further mechanical trafficking over the treatment plots occurred in the five 111 years following cultivation. Following cultivation treatments, the entire site was enclosed with 112 standard forestry fencing to protect trees against rabbit and deer damage (Trout and Pepper, 113 2006). The site was also subject to a pre-planting herbicide application and subsequent 114 weed control was then carried every year by mechanical weeding and with the herbicide 115 glyphosate at a rate of 5 l ha⁻¹.

116 *Cultivation treatments*

117 The study consisted of five treatments:

118 – standard industrial ripping using one pass to 0.9 m depth in the resulting loosened
119 soil profile;

deep ripping using two passes of the Mega-lift ripper to 0.75 m depth in the resulting
 loosened soil profile;

deep ripping using four passes of the Mega-lift ripper to 0.9 m depth in the resulting
 loosened soil profile;

- 124 complete cultivation to 1.1 m;
- 125 an unloosened control.

© Crown Copyright 2008

126 Industrial ripper

127 The industrial ripper was a Mark 7 Simba[™] rooter with a Mark 6 tool carrier. The rooter is a 128 winged three-tine ripper designed for alleviating compaction to 0.9 m on quarries and 129 opencast coal sites (Simba Machinery Limited, 2005). The tines are positioned in a 130 triangular formation with a central tine at the front with two tines set behind at a wider 131 working width. The leg length is 0.95 m, the leg width 7.5 cm and the effective leg spacing 132 1.1 m. The tine point width is tapered from 6 cm (rounded) to 11 cm, the lift height of the 133 wing is 15 cm and the wing starts 16 cm up the leg, reducing the effective breakout depth 134 from 0.95 m to 0.79 m, with a total working width of 3.0 m. The crawler used was a 336 kW 135 45t Fiat Alliss FD31. The crawler made the first cultivated run, turning at the headland to 136 make the second run, turning again to run three and so on until the desired area was 137 cultivated. Only one pass was completed on any given area using the industrial ripper.

138 Mega-Lift ripper

139 The Mega-Lift consists of a five tine ripper mounted onto a tractor / crawler by means of a 140 trailed drawbar, with hydraulic rams to control the depth of the legs and transporting wheels. 141 Tines are positioned in a triangular formation with a central tine at the front. A rear packer 142 leaves the soil surface level and firm. The length of each of tine leg is 1.05 m, leg width is 143 2.5 cm and the effective leg spacing 0.7 m. The tine point width is 3 cm and the lift height of 144 the wing 5 cm. The wing, with a width of 28.5 cm, starts at the base of the leg and 1 cm 145 above the tine point, and the total working width is 3.5 m. The crawler used was a 336 kW 146 45 t Fiat Alliss FD31.

The effectiveness of the Mega-lift ripper at alleviating soil compaction was trialled in both two and four passes, with the aim of loosening to 1.0 m in both cases. Previous field trials (Jones, 2001) found that the Mega-Lift failed to achieve loosening to 1.0 m in two passes, but achieved this depth successfully after four passes. The crawler made the first cultivated run, turning at the headland to make the second run, turning again to run three and so on until the desired area was cultivated. At the end of the final run, the crawler turned back to the first run and started the second pass, running deeper than the first pass to ensure a further 154 loosening of the soil. This process was repeated for the third and fourth passes of the four-155 pass treatment. During the two pass operation, the depths of loosening were aimed at 0.5 156 and 1.0 m in the first and second pass respectively. During the four-pass operation the 157 progressive depths of loosening were intended to reach 0.35, 0.50, 0.75 and 0.9 m from the 158 unloosened soil surface.

159 Complete cultivation

A 99 kW 21 t Komatsu PC210 LC excavator, fitted with 700 mm tracks, was used for the complete cultivation treatment. The Komatsu PC210 LC has a boom length of 12.8 m. The bucket width is 0.95 m and the capacity 1 m³, with teeth 4 x 10 cm spaced at 19 cm intervals. This loosening followed the Profiled Strip Method (Sinnett *et al.*, 2006).

164 Control

165 The control plots received no ground disturbance following the initial restoration in 2000.

166 *Experimental design*

167 Treatment type was randomised within each of three blocks for each experiment giving three 168 replicates of each cultivation method, including the control. The study area for Experiment 1 was divided into three homogeneous blocks with each further divided into five plots of 169 170 dimensions 8 m x 47 m; one for each treatment. Each treatment plot was then divided again 171 into four sub-plots of equal size (8 m x 11.75 m). The study area for Experiment 2 was again 172 divided into three homogeneous blocks with each further divided into five plots of 12 m x 42 173 m; one for each treatment. The treatment plots were then divided again into three sub-plots 174 of equal size (12 m x 14 m). Enough space was left between each plot to allow the 175 movement of an excavator without the need to traffic over the surface of the plots.

176 *Tree establishment*

Trees were notch planted as bare rooted stock during January 2002 in a rhomboidal pattern
with 1.5 m spacing between each tree. Table 2 shows the trees species planted in both
experiments, along with their age and mean height at planting. Tree species were selected

to represent those that are suitable to the site as well as those used in both a communitywoodland and forestry context.

The planting design in Experiment 1 was uniform within each sub-plot so that there was one species in each sub-plot. There were 5 x 5 samples trees in each sub-plot, plus a guard row of trees around each sub-plot, giving 100 sample trees, 25 of each species, in each plot. The locations of the four species were randomised between blocks but not within them.

186 Tree planting position within Experiment 2 was mixed, with two species planted alternately in 187 one row and the other two species planted alternately in the next row, returning back to the 188 first two species in the next row and so on to give one species on each corner of the 189 rhomboidal design. The order of planting was changed in each sub-plot so that the 190 surrounding trees were rotated 120° around the central tree in each sub-plot. There were 6 x 191 8 trees in each sub-plot, plus a guard row of trees around each sub-plot, giving 144 sample 192 trees, 36 of each species, in each plot. The pattern of tree species was randomised between 193 blocks but not within.

194 Assessments

195 *Tree root development*

196 Root development of two adjacent trees in each sub-plot of Experiment 1 was assessed 197 during 2002, 2004 and 2006 i.e. during the first, third and fifth growing seasons, respectively. 198 The rooting assessment methodology was based on that used by Yeatman (1955) and Böhm 199 (1979). A trench was dug alongside the two trees within 0.10 m of the tree stem using an 200 excavator. The trench ran from at least 0.5 m to the left of tree 1 to at least 0.5 m to the right 201 of tree 2 and was approximately 1 m wide and 1.1 m deep. The face of the trench was 'cleaned' with a trowel and a palette knife was used to expose the roots and remove soil 202 203 smearing caused by the excavator bucket. A 'cocktail stick' was placed into the soil profile 204 wherever a root was protruding from the face of the trench, immediately following exposure 205 to minimise the risk of desiccation reducing the visibility of fine roots. Root positions were 206 then recorded for two 1 m sections of the trench, with each tree stem at the 0.5 m position on 207 the horizontal axis and the depth from the soil surface as the vertical axis. Immediately after 208 the placement of the 'cocktail sticks' the co-ordinates of each root and its diameter were 209 measured at the point at which it protruded from the soil using callipers down to a root size of 210 0.1 mm.

211 Tree survival and growth

The heights of all trees in Experiment 2 were measured after planting and at the end of each growing season, between November and February, resulting in tree height data after 1, 2, 3 and 4 growing seasons. The height was measured from the base of the tree to the base of the apical bud. A record of the survival of each tree was also made at the same time. Dead trees were replaced during the spring of 2003 and 2004.

217 Statistical analysis

218 Replacement trees, those in guard rows, and those previously assessed for root 219 development were not included in the analysis. All statistical analysis was carried out in 220 Genstat version 8.1 (Genstat, 2005).

The root development data were used to calculate the average root diameter, maximum root depth and total root number for each tree. The percentages of roots in the root diameter classes used by the Soil Survey (Hodgson, 1976) were also calculated for the fifth growing season (very fine < 1 mm, fine 1-2 mm, medium 2-5 mm and coarse > 5 mm).

225 Maximum root depth, total root number and root diameter, data from Experiment 1 were 226 analysed using the method of residual maximum likelihood (REML). The hierarchical design 227 structure factors (i.e. block, plot, sub-plot) were input as random effects with cultivation 228 methods, species and the cultivation x species interaction as fixed effects. A Wald statistic 229 divided by its degrees of freedom was used to evaluate the significance of cultivation 230 methods, species and the cultivation x species interaction. This value has an approximate F-231 distribution with m, n degrees of freedom, where m is the degrees of freedom for the fixed 232 effect and n is the residual degrees of freedom for that effect. An approximate value for n 233 was chosen by taking into account the size of the variance components of the random effects and the residual variation. Where the fixed effect was significant (*P*<0.05) T-tests were used
to make specific comparisons between species and cultivation methods.

236 Tree survival data in year 4 from Experiment 2 were analysed using generalised linear 237 models with a binomial distribution and a logit link function to assess the significance of 238 changes in survival under different cultivation techniques. The tree height data from 239 Experiment 2 were analysed using analysis of variance (ANOVA). The incremental increase 240 in tree height between planting and year 4 were also calculated and analysed using ANOVA. 241 Percentage cumulative growth was calculated for Experiment 2, being calculated as the 242 percentage increase on year 0 height in year 1, years 1+2, years 1+2+3 and years 1+2+3+4. 243 These data were also analysed using ANOVA. T-tests were used to make specific 244 comparisons between species and cultivation methods.

245 **Results**

246 Tree root development

247 Maximum root depth, total number of roots and mean average root diameter data for the cultivation treatments are presented in Figure1a-c. Generally, the root development data 248 249 suggested that cultivation treatment had a significant affect on all three measurements, 250 although the maximum root depth and total number of roots were influenced earlier than the 251 average root diameter. Species also had a significant affect on the root development in the 252 early years of tree growth, but by the fifth growing season these differences were no longer 253 apparent (Table 3). The interaction between treatment and species was not significant in 254 any growing season for any measurement.

255 Maximum root depth

During the first and third growing seasons the species had a strong influence on maximum root depth (P<0.001), but again, by the fifth year this effect was no longer evident. The species x cultivation interaction was not significant in any sampling year. Cultivation treatment significantly affected maximum root depth in all sampling years (P<0.001) with trees in the cultivated treatments having significantly greater maximum root depths compared with the control treatments; 2 pass Mega-Lift (P=0.005, P=0.005 and P<0.001 respectively), complete cultivation (P=0.009, P<0.001 and P<0.001 respectively), 4 pass Mega-Lift (P=0.002, P<0.001 and P<0.001 respectively) and industrial rip (P=0.004, P<0.001 and P<0.001 respectively). By the fifth growing season the complete cultivation also gave a significantly greater maximum root depth than the 2 pass Mega-Lift (P=0.022).

266 Total number of roots

267 Species had a significant effect on the total number of roots (P<0.001), until the fifth year. The species x cultivation interaction was not significant in any year. The effect of cultivation 268 269 treatments was not significant during the first year. Within the third growing season 270 cultivation treatment began to have a significant effect on the total number of tree roots 271 (P=0.015), with the complete cultivation, 4 pass Mega-Lift and industrial ripper treatments all 272 resulting in a greater number of roots when compared with the control (P=0.006, P=0.021 273 and P=0.027 respectively). By the fifth year cultivation treatment had significantly affected 274 the total number of roots (P<0.001) with all treatments having a significantly greater number 275 of roots compared to the control; 2 pass Mega-Lift (P=0.007), complete cultivation (P<0.001), 276 4 pass Mega-Lift (P=0.009) and industrial ripper (P=0.011). Complete cultivation also 277 resulted in a significantly greater number of roots than the 2 pass Mega-Lift (P=0.006), 4 278 pass Mega-Lift (P=0.005) and industrial ripper (P=0.004), with an average of 151 roots compared to 80, 76 and 73 roots per tree within the 1 m² section of the trench face for the 2-279 280 and 4- pass Mega-Lift and industrial ripper treatments respectively.

281 Average root diameter

During the first and third growing seasons there was no significant effect of cultivation treatment or species x cultivation interaction on the average diameter of the tree roots. During the first year of growth the different species had significantly different average root diameters (P=0.031), but this standardised with time and by the third and fifth years was no longer significant. During the fifth growing season cultivation had a significant effect on average root diameter (P<0.001) but there was no species x cultivation interaction. Trees grown in soils treated by complete cultivation had a smaller average root diameter than those on all of the other treatments, but this was only statistically significant when compared with the control (P=0.004), 2 pass Mega-Lift (P=0.026) and industrial ripper (P=0.007) treatments. The average root diameter of sample trees planted on the control plots were also significantly larger than those on than the 4 pass Mega-Lift plots (P=0.037).

293 Figure 2 shows the percentage of roots in each root diameter size class during the fifth 294 growing season. There was no significant difference between species or the cultivation x 295 species interaction in any size class. There was a significant difference between cultivation 296 treatments for the very fine (P=0.003) and coarse (P=0.003) roots, but not between the fine 297 or medium root diameters. Complete cultivation significantly increased the percentage of 298 very fine roots compared with the control, 2 pass Mega-Lift and industrial ripper treatments 299 (P=0.007, P=0.002 and P=0.014 respectively). The trees grown on the control plots had a 300 higher proportion of roots in the coarse diameter class than the complete cultivation 301 (P=0.006) and 4 pass Mega-Lift plots (P=0.028). In addition, the trees grown on the 2 pass 302 Mega-Lift and industrial ripper plots had a higher percentage in the coarse diameter class 303 than those on the complete cultivation plots (P=0.032 and P=0.029 respectively).

304 Tree survival and growth

305 Survival

306 Table 4 shows the mean percentage survival between the different species and cultivation 307 treatments four years after planting. Tree survival was significantly affected by cultivation 308 treatment (P=0.007), species (P<0.001) and there was a significant species x cultivation 309 interaction (P<0.001). The significant relationships are summarised in Table 5. Survival after four growing seasons across all treatments was generally high; with Italian alder, 310 Japanese larch, Corsican pine and birch exceeding 75 %, 80 %, 60 % and 95 % 311 312 respectively. All forms of soil treatment resulted in larger survival rates than the control for at 313 least one species. Complete cultivation resulted in greater survival of Italian alder compared

to all other treatments, Japanese larch compared with the control and Corsican pinecompared with the 4 pass Mega-Lift.

316 Tree height

317 Figure 3 shows the mean tree height increment after each growing season for each species 318 and cultivation treatment combination. As expected there was a significant effect of species 319 on tree height in all years (P<0.001). At planting and after one year of growth, there was no 320 significant effect of cultivation or species x cultivation interaction. After two, three and four 321 growing seasons there was a significant effect of cultivation treatment (P=0.049, P=0.041322 and P=0.023 respectively) and species x cultivation interaction (P<0.001, P=0.003 and 323 P=0.001 respectively) on tree height. The significant relationships between cultivation 324 treatments and the interactions between species and cultivation for each year are 325 summarised in Table 5.

326 All of the cultivation treatments had a significant positive effect on tree growth of most 327 species compared with their growth in the control plots (Table 5). There were no significant 328 differences between the 2 and 4 pass Mega-Lift and the industrial ripper in the heights of 329 Japanese larch, Corsican pine and birch. The 4 pass Mega-Lift treatment resulted in greater 330 growth of Italian alder than those of either the 2 pass Mega-Lift or industrial ripper. The 331 growth of Italian alder was not significantly different between the 2 pass Mega-Lift, industrial 332 ripper or control plots. Complete cultivation resulted in significantly greater growth of Italian 333 alder, Japanese larch and birch than all other cultivation treatments. Only the complete 334 cultivation resulted in a significant increase in the growth of Corsican pine, and this was not 335 evident until the fourth growing season.

336 Cumulative percentage growth

Figure 4 shows the cumulative percentage growth for each cultivation and species combination. There was a significant effect of species on the cumulative growth after each growing season (P<0.001). There was no significant effect of cultivation treatment after one and two growing seasons, but this effect was significant after three and four growing seasons (P=0.043 and P=0.022 respectively). Again, the industrial ripper, 2 pass and 4 pass Mega-

© Crown Copyright 2008

342 Lift resulted in significantly greater growth than the control for Japanese larch and birch. The 343 growth of Italian alder was also significantly greater after treatment with the 4 pass Mega-Lift 344 compared with the control, 2 pass Mega-Lift and industrial ripper. The complete cultivation 345 resulted in significantly greater growth of the Italian alder, Japanese larch and birch 346 compared with all other treatments and of the Corsican pine compared with the control. The 347 cumulative percentage growth rates in Figure 3 show that the difference between complete 348 cultivation and the other treatments increased between the second and fourth growing 349 seasons, for example in the case of Italian alder: control 54 to 109 %, 2 pass Mega-lift 33 to 350 73 %, 4 pass Mega-Lift 18 to 42 % and industrial rip 47 to 98 %. A similar pattern was found 351 for Japanese larch, whilst for Corsican pine the differences were only larger when complete 352 cultivation is compared with the control and industrial ripper treatments and in birch, only 353 when complete cultivation is compared with the control.

354 Discussion

Comparison of tree root development, survival and growth on the soils treated with different cultivation techniques demonstrate that complete cultivation consistently produced significant improvements in tree performance compared with the other techniques tested. Whilst all the other treatments resulted in significant improvements on tree performance compared with the control plots there were very few significant differences between them.

360 Maximum root depths, measured during the fifth growing season, of the sample trees grown 361 in all treatment plots were significantly greater than those in the control plots. Soil 362 compaction has a detrimental effect on the root development of vegetation; roots are often 363 reported to be severely restricted at penetration resistance values of 1.3 MPa and 1.5 MPa 364 (Boone and Veen, 1994; Zou et al., 2001) with a complete cessation at between 2 and 3 365 MPa (Boone and Veen, 1994; Greacen and Sands, 1980; Taylor and Ratcliff, 1969). The 366 maximum root depths for the 2 and 4 pass Mega-Lift and industrial ripper treatments suggest 367 that the roots were able to penetrate deeper into the soil profile than the penetration 368 resistance values reported by Sinnett et al. (2006) would have suggested based on a 369 restrictive penetration resistance value of 2 MPa. This study, carried out as part of the same 370 experiment at Bramshill, found that a penetration resistance of 2 MPa was reached at 0.21 371 m, 0.24 m, 0.33 m and 0.24 m in the control, 2 pass Mega-Lift, 4 pass Mega-Lift and 372 industrial ripper plots respectively. However, these penetration resistance values were the 373 average values across a soil profile, whilst the maximum root depths reported here may only 374 include a few roots that have penetrated deeper into the soil through cracks and fissures and 375 therefore may not be suggestive of a uniform root distribution throughout the soil profile. The 376 substantial difference in the total number of roots recorded in the trees between the 377 treatments during the fifth growing season suggests that the discrepancy between the 378 maximum rooting depths and the depth at which the penetration resistance is likely to restrict 379 rooting is, in fact, caused by a small number of roots penetrating deeper into the profile 380 through cracks and fissures rather than a uniform increase in rooting depth. Nambiar and 381 Sands (1992) and Sheriff and Nambiar (1995) found that the roots of radiata pine were able 382 to penetrate to a greater depth in a compacted soil by exploiting simulated root channels 383 occupying only 0.2 % of the soil volume. Again, whilst all the treatments resulted in a 384 significant increase of total root numbers in all treatments compared to the control and there 385 was no significant difference between the 2 and 4 pass Mega-Lift and industrial ripper 386 treatments. In contrast, the complete cultivation had significantly greater numbers of roots 387 compared with all the other treatments.

388 The larger average root diameters in the 2 pass Mega-Lift, industrial ripper and, particularly, 389 control plots suggest that the roots were suffering from physical restriction. The roots of 390 trees grown in the 4 pass Mega-Lift treated soils had both significantly smaller average 391 diameters and a smaller percentage of coarse (> 5 mm) roots than those in the control plots. 392 Moreover, those in the complete cultivation plots had both significantly smaller average 393 diameters and percentage of coarse roots than those in the control, 2 pass Mega-Lift and 394 industrial ripper plots. It has been reported that an increase in root diameter occurs during 395 root elongation in compacted soils, through increases in both the diameter of the outer cells 396 and the number of cells per unit length of the root causing an increase in the thickness of the

397 cortex (Bengough and Mullins, 1990). Increases in tree root diameter have also been 398 reported following addition of N, P and K (Coutts and Philipson, 1976); however the increase 399 in root diameters observed here are unlikely to be due to differences in soil nutrient status as 400 the soil is relatively homogenous across the treatments. In addition, the complete cultivation 401 produced significantly higher percentages of very fine roots than the control, 2 pass Mega-402 Lift and industrial ripper plots.

403 Whilst the differences between treatments in root distribution, maximum root depth and, to a 404 certain extent, the total number of roots were evident since the first growing season this was 405 mainly confined to differences between the treatments and the control plots. It was not until 406 the fifth growing season that differences among the cultivation treatments, particularly for 407 root diameter, became apparent. This suggests that the root development of trees is 408 relatively slow and it is unlikely that the roots had begun to reach the compacted parts of the 409 soil profile until at least the third growing season and that this was not having a significant 410 effect until the fifth. It has been suggested that although roots may not be able to develop 411 into compact subsoils, they may develop laterally or restrict themselves to less compact 412 areas without any significant effect on productivity (Hamza and Anderson, 2005). Nambiar 413 and Sands (1992) found that the above-ground growth of radiata pine, although significantly 414 reduced by soil compaction, was equivalent to that observed on uncompacted soils when the 415 roots were able to exploit simulated root channels, occupying only 0.2 % of the soil volume, 416 in an otherwise compacted soil. However, in a similar study, Sherriff and Nambiar (1995) 417 found that, although a deeper penetration of roots was observed in simulated root channels, 418 this did not equate to an increase in growth compared to a uniformly compacted soil unless it 419 was coupled with fertiliser addition, suggesting that the presence of channels alone is not 420 necessarily enough to overcome the adverse effects of compaction. This study also 421 suggests that the availability of cracks and fissures was not enough to compensate for the 422 overall compaction with the species studied here.

423 All treatments provided some improvement on the tree growth and root development 424 compared to the control, but there were very few little consistent differences between the 2

425 and 4 pass Mega-Lift and industrial ripper treatments. Although the maximum root depth 426 data suggest that roots are able to penetrate to the target depths of loosening for each 427 cultivation treatment, the data on tree growth, total number of roots and root diameter all 428 suggest that the performance of the trees is significantly better on the soils treated with 429 complete cultivation compared to any other technique tested in this study. There is also a 430 general pattern of tree performance against treatment; complete cultivation > 4 pass Mega-431 Lift = industrial ripper = 2 pass Mega-Lift > control. This pattern was also observed when 432 considering potential tree performance based on soil penetration resistance using both a 433 penetrometer and a 'lifting driving tool' at this site (Sinnett et al., 2006).

434 The differences in survival rates between the treatments builds on Moffat and Bending's 435 (2000) work which found that the cultivation technique used had a significant effect of the 436 survival of common alder, grey alder, Corsican pine and Japanese larch at restored sites. In 437 their study, complete cultivation produced higher survival in common alder and Japanese 438 larch compared with ripping after three growing seasons. They reported differences in 439 survival between the two treatments that were more dramatic than those observed in this 440 study; ripping resulted in a reduction of between 10 and 20 % depending on the species. 441 Survival of Corsican pine was lower than for the other species across all treatments, with the 442 literature suggesting that this is commonly the case as Corsican pine is difficult to establish 443 and often suffers from high mortality rates (Jinks and Kerr, 1999).

444 Differences in tree height and growth observed between treatments were more pronounced 445 and consistent than those for survival, suggesting that cultivation had a more significant 446 impact on tree growth than mortality. These data also have the same pattern between 447 treatments as the root development work, demonstrating the importance of root development 448 to above-ground biomass production. The current study at Bramshill found Italian alder 449 heights of 134 cm, 79 cm and 70 cm after three growing seasons on plots treated with 450 complete cultivation, industrial ripper and the control respectively. Moffat and Bending 451 (2000) also found a significant improvement in Italian alder and Japanese larch height, 452 measuring approximately 240 cm and 160 cm respectively after three growing seasons, on the Streets Lane restored colliery following complete cultivation compared with ripping. The differences in Italian alder height are likely to be due to the different soil conditions between the Bramshill and Streets Lane sites; the heights at Bramshill are comparable to the height of Italian alder found after three growing seasons at the Shaw landfill site of 104 cm (Bending and Moffat, 1997).

This study recorded heights of Japanese larch of 156 cm, 118 cm and 80 cm for the complete cultivation, industrial ripper and control treatments respectively. Again, Moffat and Bending (2000) also found a significant improvement in Japanese larch height at the Maesgwyn colliery following complete cultivation compared with ripping recording heights of approximately 125 cm and 50 cm respectively.

The height of birch trees after four growing seasons ranged from 158 cm in the control plots to 299 cm on the plots treated with complete cultivation. These are smaller than the control trees in studies conducted by van Hees and Clerkx (2003) and Rey and Jarvis (1997) who found heights of four-year-old birch trees to be 320 and 375 cm on natural soils. This may be due to the limiting factors imposed on restored sites compared to their controls in natural soils.

469 The height of Corsican pine was generally similar across all treatments, with the only 470 significant difference occurring between the complete cultivation and the control. When 471 growth was considered as cumulative growth rates the other treatments suggested an 472 improvement of the growth of Corsican pine compared to the control. The height of Corsican 473 pine in this study is comparable with those found by Jinks and Kerr (1999) on natural soils at 474 around 100 cm compared with their 90 cm and, after three growing seasons were 475 substantially greater than those reported by Bending and Moffat (1997) on three landfill sites. 476 Corsican pine is a slow growing species in the early years, and, as has been stated earlier, is 477 often difficult to establish (Jinks and Kerr, 1999), so that any differences between treatments 478 are small and the significance of them masked by the variation within the treatments. Moffat 479 and Bending (2000) also reported no significant differences between the height of Corsican 480 pine following loose tipping compared to ripping after five growing seasons. In order to

© Crown Copyright 2008

481 overcome these problems with the assessment of treatment effects on Corsican pine it may
482 prove beneficial to carry out height assessments after a longer period of time than this study
483 allowed.

The differences in the rate of growth between cultivation treatments provides further evidence that the complete cultivation is the most effective treatment and suggests that the trees in this study are not recovering from the initial differences in growth, in fact the differences in tree heights between the treatments appear to be increasing with time.

488 The tree performance data reported here support the findings presented in Sinnett et al. 489 (2006) that the Mega-Lift ripper is not as effective at alleviating soil compaction as the 490 complete cultivation. The Mega-Lift ripper is significantly cheaper than the complete 491 cultivation method at £744 per ha using four passes compared with £1500 per ha (Jones, 492 2001). However, its comparable cost with the standard industrial ripper, at £700 per ha, 493 together with the reported limitations concerning its handling with more widely available 494 tractors than the Fiat Alliss FD31 used in this study (Jones, 2001) mean that it is unlikely to 495 provide any added benefit to the greening of restored sites over the standard industrial 496 ripper.

497 Whilst it is recognised that the use of the complete cultivation method has significant cost 498 implications for any restoration project the results presented in this paper would suggest that 499 it has greatly improves the performance of trees. The height data after four growing seasons 500 suggests that this method increases the height of Italian alder, Japanese larch, Corsican pine 501 and birch by 100, 40, 12 and 22 % respectively, over the industrial ripper and by 27, 28, 3 502 and 24 % respectively, over the 4 pass Mega-Lift. This has important implications for both 503 commercial forestry and community woodland development in terms of increased timber 504 production and quick aesthetic improvements on restored sites.

505 The experiments of tree performance on the former sand and gravel quarry at Bramshill 506 Forest demonstrate that whilst the Mega-Lift ripper provided benefits over the control, it did 507 not perform well compared with the complete cultivation and was generally no better than the 508 standard industrial ripper. After four growing seasons complete cultivation remains the most

509 effective method of alleviating compaction in terms of both root development and tree 510 growth. Although equivalent tree performance can be achieved with complete cultivation to 511 that for loose tipping, its large cost underlines the importance of preventing soil compaction 512 from occurring at the soil placement stage of the restoration process.

514 Acknowledgments

515 We are grateful to Kirsten Foot for initial experimental design and set up, and the Forest 516 Research Technical Support Unit staff at Alice Holt for assisting with experimental set up and 517 maintenance. We also thank Oliver Rendle, Matt Williams, Jamie Awdry, Jim Page, Vicki 518 Lawrence, Bob Bellis and Jaqui Neal for conducting field measurements, Andy Moffat for 519 technical guidance and Bruce Nicoll for reviewing the paper. Funding received from the 520 Forestry Commission is also gratefully acknowledged.

522 **References**

- Bending, N.A.D., McRae, S.G. and Moffat, A.J. 1999 Soil-forming Materials: Their use in land
 reclamation. The Stationery Office, London.
- 525 Bending, N.A.D. and Moffat, A.J. 1997 *Tree Establishment on Landfill Sites*. Department of 526 the Environment, Transport and the Regions, The Stationery Office, Norwich.
- 527 Bengough, A.G. and Mullins, C.E. 1990 Mechanical impedance to root growth: a review of 528 experimental techniques and root growth responses. *Soil. Sci.* 41, 341-358.
- Böhm, W. 1979 *Methods of Studying Root Systems*. Ecological Studies 33. Springer-Verlag,
 Heidelberg.
- Boone, F.R. and Veen, B.W. 1994 Mechanisms of crop responses to soil compaction. In *Soil Compaction in Crop Production*. Eds. B.D. Soane and C. van Ouwerkerk. pp. 237264. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 534 Coutts, M.P. and Philipson, J.J. 1976 The influence of mineral nutrition on the root 535 development of trees. *J. Exp. Bot.* 27, 1102-1111.
- 536 Daley, B. and Balson, P. 1999 *British Tertiary Stratigraphy*. Geological Conservation Review
 537 Series. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.
- 538 Dobson, M.C. and Moffat, A.J. 1993 *The Potential for Woodland Establishment on Landfill* 539 *Sites.* Department of the Environment, HMSO, London.
- 540 DITR 2006 *Mine Rehabilitation*. Leading Practice Sustainable Development Program for the 541 Mining Industry, Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.
- 542 FAO 1998 *World reference base for soil resources*. Food and Agricultural Organisation of the
 543 United Nations, Rome.
- 544 Foot, K.J. and Spoor, G. 2003 Breaking restored ground ripping really works. *Mineral* 545 *Planning* 94, 6-9.
- 546 Genstat 2005 *The Guide to GenStat Release 8.1 Part 2: Statistics*. VSN International, 547 Oxford.

- 548 Greacen, E.L. and Sands, R. 1980 Compaction of forest soils. A Review. *Aust. J. Soil Sci.*549 18, 163-89.
- Hamza, M.A. and Anderson, W.K. 2005 Soil compaction in cropping systems: A review of the
 nature, causes and possible solutions. *Soil Tillage Res.* 82, 121-145.
- Hodgson, J.M. 1976 Soil Survey Field Handbook: Describing and Sampling Soil Profiles.
 Technical Monograph Number 5. Soil Survey, Harpenden.
- Jarvis, M.G., Allen, R.H., Fordham, S.J., Hazelden, J., Moffat, A.J. and Sturdy, R.G. 1984 *Soils and their Use in South-East England*. Bulletin of the Soil Survey of England
 Wales 15, Harpenden.
- Jinks, R.L. and Kerr, G. 1999 Establishment and early growth of different plant types of
 Corsican pine (*Pinus nigra* var. *maritima*) on four sites in Thetford Forest. *Forestry* 72,
 293-304.
- Jones, B.J. 2001 *Deep cultivation trials*. Forest Research Technical Development Branch
 Internal Report 600A/22/01. Unpublished. Forestry Commission, Dumfries,
 Scotland.
- 563 Meteorological Office 2005 Average annual rainfall (mm) over the period 1971-2000 from 564 *Meteorological Office Integrated Data Archive System (MIDAS)*. Meteorological 565 Office, Exeter, UK.
- 566 Moffat, A.J. and Bending, N.A.D. 2000 Replacement of soil and soil-forming materials by 567 loose tipping in reclamation to woodland. *Soil Use Manage.* 16, 75-81.
- 568 Moffat, A.J. and Boswell, R.C. 1997 The effectiveness of cultivation using the winged tine on 569 restored sand and gravel workings. *Soil Tillage Res.* 40, 111-124.
- 570 Moffat, A.J. and McNeill, J.D. 1994 *Reclaiming Disturbed Land for Forestry*. Forestry 571 Commission Bulletin 110, HMSO, Edinburgh.
- 572 Nambiar, E.K.S. and Sands, R. 1992. Effects of compaction and simulated root channels in
 573 the subsoil on root development, water uptake and growth of radiata pine. Tree Phys.
 574 10, 297-306.

© Crown Copyright 2008

- 575 Rey, A. and Jarvis, P.G. 1997 Growth response of young birch trees (*Betula pendula* Roth.)
 576 after four and a half years of CO₂ exposure. *Ann. Bot.* 80, 809-816.
- 577 Sheriff, D.W. and Nambiar, E.K.S. 1995. Effect of subsoil compaction and three densities of 578 simulated root channels in the subsoil on growth, carbon gain and water uptake of 579 *Pinus radiata. Aust. J. Plant Physiol.* 22, 1001-13.
- 580 Simba Machinery Limited 2005 *Specification sheet for Simba: The World's best rooter* 581 *covering Marks 6, 7, and 8.* Received from Simba International, Lincolnshire.
- 582 Sinnett, D., Poole, J. and Hutchings, T.R. 2006 The efficacy of three techniques to alleviate 583 soil compaction at a restored sand and gravel guarry. *Soil Use Manage.* 22, 362-371.
- Spoor, G. 1998 Loosening of compacted restored sites prior to tree crop establishment:
 Equipment and operational specifications. Report to Forestry Commission Technical
 Development Branch, Rugeley.
- 587 Soil Quality Institute 2003 *Soil Compaction: Detection, Prevention, and Alleviation*. Soil 588 Quality – Technical Agronomy Note No. 17, Soil Quality Institute, USDA, Auburn.
- Sumbler, M.G. 1996 British Regional Geology: London and the Thames Valley. British
 Geological Survey, HMSO, London.
- Taylor, H.M. and Ratcliff, L.F. 1969 Root growth pressures of cotton, peas and peanuts. *Agron. J.* 61, 398-402.
- 593 Trout, R. and Pepper, H. 2006 *Forest Fencing*. Forest Research Technical Guide, Forestry 594 Commission, Edinburgh.
- van Hees, A.F.M. and Clerkx, A.P.P.M. 2003 Shading and root-shading relations in saplings
 of silver birch, pedunculate oak and beech. *For. Ecol. Manage*. 176, 439-448.
- 597 WHO and UNEP 1998 *Mine Rehabilitation for Environment and Health Protection A*598 *Training Manual.* UN, New York.
- Wilson, K. 1985 A Guide to the Reclamation of Mineral Workings for Forestry. Forestry
 Commission Research and Development Paper 141, Forestry Commission,
 Edinburgh.

- 602 Yeatman, C.W. 1955 *Tree Root Development on Upland Heaths*. Forestry Commission
 603 Bulletin 21, HMSO, London.
- Zou, C., Penfold, C., Sands, R., Misra, R.K. and Hudson, I. 2001 Effects of soil air-filled
 porosity, soil matric potential and soil strength on primary root growth of radiata pine
 seedlings. *Plant Soil* 236, 105-115.

Table 1: Mean physical soil properties at Warren Heath Plantation (n=56). Values in
 parenthesis indicate standard deviation.

610 **Table 2: Species, age and mean height at planting of tree.**

Table 3: Mean total number of roots, average root diameter and maximum rooting

612 depths of each species in Experiment 1 (Year 1 n=48, Year 3 n=50, Year 5 n=49).

613 **Table 4: Percentage survival of four year old trees in Experiment 2 after different** 614 **cultivation treatments (n=180).**

Table 5: Significant relationships in tree survival and growth (P<0.05) between species

616 **x cultivation treatment interactions in Experiment 2 four years after planting (n=180).**

Figure 1: Mean (a) maximum root depth, (b) total number of roots and (c) average root diameter per tree within the 1 m² section of the trench face in Experiment 1 after different cultivation treatments (Year 1 n=48, Year 3 n=50, Year 5 n=49; error bars indicate standard error of differences). Letters indicate where measure is significantly more than (a) control, (b) 2 pass Mega-Lift, (c) complete cultivation, (d) 4 pass Mega-Lift and (e) industrial ripper.

Figure 2: Mean percentage of roots in each root diameter class in the fifth growing season in Experiment 1 after different cultivation treatments (n=49; error bars indicate standard error of differences).

Figure 3: Mean tree heights over four years in Experiment 2 after different cultivation
 treatments (n=180).

Figure 4: Mean cumulative tree growth over four years in Experiment 2 after different
cultivation treatments (n=180; error bars indicate standard error of differences).

Table 1: Mean physical soil properties at Warren Heath Plantation (n=56). Values in

632 parenthesis indicate standard deviation.

Depth	Organic	Sand ^a (%)	Silt ^a (%)	Clay ^a (%)	Stoniness ^b	Textural
(cm)	matter ^a (%)				(%)	class ^c
0 – 20	7.8 (2.0)	73.5 (2.7)	20.3 (2.8)	6.3 (1.2)	10.5 (3.8)	Sandy loam
20 – 40	6.7 (2.0)	74.4 (2.5)	17.7 (3.4)	7.9 (1.7)	8.2 (3.1)	Sandy loam
60 – 80	6.4 (1.5)	73.8 (3.1)	18.8 (2.9)	7.4 (1.7)	10.0 (2.5)	Sandy loam
80 – 100	5.7 (1.5)	74.7 (2.2)	16.5 (2.7)	8.8 (1.3)	12.0 (2.8)	Sandy loam

633

^a as a percentage of <2 mm fraction; ^b as a percentage of total soil, n=80; ^c USDA system

634 **Table 2: Species, age and mean height at planting of tree.**

Common name	Latin name	Age	Mean height at planting in cm	
		-	Experiment 1	Experiment 2
			(n=2160)	(n=1500)
Italian alder	Alnus cordata Desf.	1/0	34.8 (0.5)	33.0 (0.7)
Silver birch	<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth	½u½	47.4 (0.4)	47.3 (0.6)
Corsican pine	Pinus nigra subsp. laricio (Poir.) Maire	1u1	12.1 (0.3)	13.5 (0.7)
Japanese larch	Larix kaempferi (Lamb.) Carr.	1+1	26.9 (0.4)	25.9 (0.5)

635 1/0 = 1 year old (1 year seedling), $\frac{1}{2}u^{1/2} = 1$ year old (undercut in situ in the first growing season), 1+1 = 2 years old (1 year seedling, 1

636 year transplant), 1u1 = 2 years old (undercut in situ in the second growing season). Values in parenthesis indicate standard error

637 Table 3: Mean total number of roots, average root diameter and maximum rooting depths of

	Year 1	Year 3	Year 5	
Total number of roots				
Alder	32.8 (3.1) ^a	30.9 (8.6) ^{ab}	92.8 (17.6)	
Birch	27.9 (3.1) ^a	49.9 (8.6) ^b	95.7 (17.6)	
Corsican pine	18.6 (3.1) ^b	11.9 (8.6) ^a	58.5 (17.6)	
Japanese larch	17.1 (3.1) ^b	19.2 (8.6) ^a	65.4 (17.6)	
Average root diameter				
Alder	0.8 (0.1) ^{ab}	1.2 (0.2) ^a	1.3 (0.2)	
Birch	0.9 (0.1) ^{ab}	1.1 (0.2) ^a	1.4 (0.2)	
Corsican pine	0.9 (0.1) ^a	1.0 (0.2) ^a	1.5 (0.2)	
Japanese larch	0.7 (0.1) ^b	1.2 (0.2) ^a	1.4 (0.2)	
Maximum rooting depth				
Alder	59.4 (5.0) ^a	57.7 (5.7) ^{ab}	75.9 (5.3)	
Birch	54.1 (5.0) ^{ab}	71.1 (5.7) ^b	79.7 (5.3)	
Corsican pine	42.7 (5.0) ^{bc}	43.9 (5.7) ^a	86.2 (5.3)	
Japanese larch	37.8 (5.0) ^c	50.1 (5.7) ^a	76.7 (5.3)	

638 each species in Experiment 1 (Year 1 n=48, Year 3 n=50, Year 5 n=49).

639 Subscript letters indicate significant differences (*P*<0.05) between species. Values in parenthesis indicate standard error.

Table 4: Percentage survival of four year old trees in Experiment 2 after different cultivation

641 treatments (n=180).

	Mean survival (%))		
Treatment	Italian alder	Japanese larch	Corsican pine	Birch
Industrial ripper	93.5 (2.94)	92.6 (3.13)	75.0 (5.14)	99.1 (1.13)
2 pass Mega-Lift	92.6 (3.07)	94.4 (2.70)	69.4 (5.19)	96.3 (2.24)
4 pass Mega-Lift	95.4 (2.51)	98.1 (1.61)	63.9 (5.74)	98.1 (1.61)
Complete cultivation	100.0 (0.0)	99.1 (1.13)	79.6 (4.77)	99.1 (1.13)
Control	75.0 (5.03)	84.3 (4.28)	73.1 (5.14)	95.4 (2.50)

642 Values in parenthesis indicate standard error

Table 5: Significant relationships in tree survival and growth (P<0.05) between species x

			Signifi	nificant differences between treatments (P<0.05)			
Treatment	Species	Measure	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Years 0-4	
Industrial	Italian	Survival				а	
ripper	alder	Height					
		Cumulative growth					
	Japanese	Survival					
	larch	Height	а	а	а	а	
		Cumulative growth	а	а	а		
	Corsican	Survival					
	pine	Height					
	·	Cumulative growth		а	а		
	Birch	Survival					
		Height	а	а	а	а	
		Cumulative growth	а	а	а		
2 pass	Italian	Survival				а	
Mega-Lift	alder	Height				-	
- 0		Cumulative growth			а		
	Japanese	Survival				а	
	larch	Height	а	а	а	a	
		Cumulative growth	а	а	а		
	Corsican	Survival					
	pine	Height					
	•	Cumulative growth	а	а	а		
	Birch	Survival					
		Height	а	а	а	а	
		Cumulative growth	а	a	a		
4 pass	Italian	Survival				а	
Mega-Lift	alder	Height	аe	аe	abe	abe	
- 0		Cumulative growth	ae	ae	ae		
	Japanese	Survival				а	
	larch	Height	а	а	а	а	
		Cumulative growth	а	а	а		
	Corsican	Survival					
	pine	Height					
	•	Cumulative growth	а	а	а		
	Birch	Survival					
	-	Height	а	а	а	а	
		Cumulative growth	а	а	а		
Complete	Italian	Survival				abde	
cultivation	alder	Height	abe	abde	abde	abde	
		Cumulative growth	abe	abde	abde		
	Japanese	Survival				а	
	larch	Height	abde	abde	abde	abde	
		Cumulative growth	abde	abde	abde		
	Corsican	Survival				d	
	pine	Height			а	а	
		Cumulative growth		а	а		
	Birch	Survival					
		Height	abde	abde	abde	abde	
		Cumulative growth	abde	ade	abde		

644 cultivation treatment interactions in Experiment 2 four years after planting (n=180).

- 645 Letters indicate where measure is significantly more than (a) control, (b) 2 pass Mega-Lift, (c) complete cultivation, (d) 4 pass Mega-Lift
- 646 and (e) industrial ripper