

1 **Schmidt-hammer exposure ages from periglacial patterned ground (sorted circles)**
2 **in Jotunheimen, Norway, and their interpretative problems**

3

4 Stefan Winkler¹, John A. Matthews², Richard W. Mourné³ and Peter Wilson⁴

5

6 ¹ Department of Geological Sciences, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New
7 Zealand

8 ² Department of Geography, Swansea University, Swansea, U.K.

9 ³ Department of Geography and Environmental Management, University of the West of
10 England, Bristol, U.K.

11 ⁴ Environmental Sciences Research Institute, Ulster University, Coleraine, U.K.

12

13

14 **Abstract**

15 Periglacial patterned ground (sorted circles and polygons) along an altitudinal profile at
16 Juvflya in central Jotunheimen, southern Norway, is investigated using Schmidt-hammer
17 exposure-age dating (SHD). The patterned ground surfaces exhibit R-value distributions
18 with platycurtic modes, broad plateaus, thin tails, and a negative skew. Sample sites located
19 between 1500 and 1925 m a.s.l. indicate a distinct altitudinal gradient of increasing mean
20 R-values towards higher altitudes interpreted as a chronological function. An established
21 regional SHD-calibration curve for Jotunheimen yielded mean boulder exposure ages in the
22 range 6910 ± 510 to 8240 ± 495 years ago. These SHD ages are indicative of the timing of
23 patterned ground formation, representing minimum ages for active boulder upfreezing and
24 maximum ages for the stabilization of boulders in the encircling gutters. Despite
25 uncertainties associated with the calibration curve and the age distribution of the boulders,
26 the early-Holocene age of the patterned ground surfaces, the apparent cessation of major
27 activity during the Holocene Thermal Maximum (HTM) and continuing lack of late-Holocene
28 activity, clarify existing understanding of the process dynamics and palaeoclimatic
29 significance of large-scale sorted patterned ground as an indicator of a permafrost
30 environment. The interpretation of SHD ages from patterned ground surfaces remains
31 challenging, however, owing to their diachronous nature, the potential for a complex history
32 of formation, and the influence of local, non-climatic factors.

33

34 **Keywords**

35 Sorted circles, periglacial patterned ground, alpine permafrost, Schmidt-hammer
36 exposure-age dating (SHD), RockSchmidt, Holocene climatic variations, Jotunheimen

37

38

39 **Introduction**

40

41 During the past few decades the Schmidt hammer has been applied to estimating the age
42 of landforms of periglacial, glacial, and mass movement origin such as rock glaciers
43 (Frauenfelder *et al.* 2005; Kellerer-Pirklbauer *et al.* 2008; Rode and Kellerer-Pirklbauer
44 2011; Matthews *et al.* 2013), pronival ramparts (Matthews *et al.* 2011; Matthews and Wilson
45 2015), snow-avalanche impact ramparts (Matthews *et al.* 2015), moraines (Matthews and
46 Shakesby 1984; Evans *et al.* 1999; Aa and Sjøstad 2000; Winkler 2005, Ffoulkes and
47 Harrison, 2014), rock fall/avalanches (Nesje *et al.* 1994; Aa *et al.* 2007), fluvial terraces
48 (Stahl *et al.* 2013) and boulder streams (Wilson *et al.*, submitted). Initially it was used only
49 as a relative-age dating technique based on the principle of relating compressional strength
50 of a bedrock or boulder surface to its degree of surface weathering and, hence, its exposure
51 age (McCarroll 1994; Goudie 2006; Shakesby *et al.* 2006). Subsequent improvement during
52 the last 10 years has seen the combination of Schmidt-hammer relative-age dating with
53 absolute dating techniques, in particular TCND (terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide dating;
54 Winkler 2009), and the development of Schmidt-hammer exposure-age dating (SHD), which
55 enables the calculation of local or regional calibration curves and provides absolute age
56 estimates for the landforms investigated (Matthews and Owen 2010; Matthews and Winkler
57 2011; Shakesby *et al.* 2011; Matthews and McEwen 2013; Stahl *et al.* 2013; Winkler 2014).
58 The Schmidt hammer has also been used in integrated, multi-proxy approaches to dating
59 (e.g. Böhlert *et al.* 2011). Apart from the predominant chronological and
60 palaeoenvironmental applications, Schmidt-hammer data may also reveal valuable
61 information about the formation processes and dynamics of the landforms investigated, for
62 example rock glaciers (Scapozza *et al.* 2014) and snow-avalanche impact ramparts
63 (Matthews *et al.* 2015).

64

65 Unlike those typical high mountain landforms mentioned above, periglacial patterned ground
66 has not yet been subjected to detailed investigated in the context of SHD. Cook-Talbot
67 (1991) used Schmidt-hammer R-values in her relative-age evaluation of patterned ground
68 in the Glittertinden massif of eastern Jotunheimen, southern Norway, but did not produce
69 exposure ages. Calibrated-age estimates of exposure ages of clasts within patterned ground
70 surfaces potentially provide insights into the processes and dynamics of sorted patterned
71 ground formation as well as their chronology by revealing, for example, for how long the
72 majority of clasts have been exposed at the surface or when the clast-rich margins were
73 established or became inactive (see, for example, Washburn 1956, 1979; Goldthwait 1976;
74 French 1988, 2007; Hallet 1990, 2015; Kessler *et al.* 2001; Matsuoka *et al.* 2003; Peterson
75 and Krantz 2008; Ballantyne 2013; Warburton 2013, for more details of patterned ground
76 formation and its classification).

77

78 A well-known, previously described and mapped occurrence of patterned ground on the
79 Juvflya plateau in central Jotunheimen, southern Norway, has been selected as a test area
80 (Ødegård *et al.* 1987, 1988). Various types of sorted patterned ground (circles, polygons,
81 nets, steps and stripes) occur in this area over an altitudinal range of ~ 1950-1500 m a.s.l.
82 extending down towards the relatively gently-dipping upper slopes of Bøverdalen and
83 Visdalen to the north and east, respectively. Supporting the selection of this particular test
84 area is the availability of information about regional permafrost limits (Ødegård *et al.* 1992,
85 1996; Isaksen *et al.* 2002, 2011; Harris 2009; Lilleøren and Etzelmüller 2011; Lilleøren *et al.*
86 2012) and an established chronology of regional deglaciation and Holocene climate
87 variability (Follestad and Fredin 2007; Matthews and Dresser 2008; Nesje *et al.* 2008; Nesje
88 2009). Furthermore, regional (Matthews and Owen 2010) and local (Matthews *et al.* 2014)
89 calibration curves for SHD are available without the necessity to obtain additional local
90 samples for terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide dating (TCND) or other independent dating
91 techniques.

92

93 This first study of the potential of SHD in the context of patterned ground was carried out
94 under the reasonably well understood environmental conditions of central Jotunheimen. It
95 has the following specific objectives:

96 (a) To describe the characteristics of Schmidt-hammer measurements obtained from
97 boulder surfaces associated with sorted circles, and compare the results to those reported

98 from other landforms, especially those characterised by diachronous surfaces or long-term,
99 continuous formation processes (e.g. rock glaciers and pronival ramparts).

100 (b) To investigate whether Schmidt-hammer measurements associated with sorted circles
101 exhibit variations between sites located at different altitudes, and interpret any altitudinal
102 gradient detected with reference to the timing of deglaciation, rates of rock weathering,
103 periglacial processes, and climate.

104 (c) To apply regional and local SHD calibration curves, and hence obtain absolute-age
105 estimates, for the boulder surfaces, determine the active or relict status of the sorted circles,
106 and interpret the landforms in the light of existing palaeoclimatic evidence and current
107 understanding their dynamics.

108

109

110 **Study area**

111

112 The study area, Juvflya, is a small high-level plateau typical of the southern Norwegian
113 mountain area of central Jotunheimen (Figure 1). These plateaux are usually related to a
114 pre-glacial 'paleic surface' (Gjessing 1978; Nesje and Whillans 1994) and contrast sharply
115 with the surrounding deeply-incised valleys and the overshadowing mountain peaks and
116 cirques of Pleistocene origin (Figure 2a). The central part of Juvflya constitutes flat to gently
117 sloping terrain of some 8 – 10 km² at an altitude between 1850 and 1950 m a.s.l. (Figure
118 2b). Towards the edge of the plateau, there is a transition towards the upper slopes of
119 Bøverdalen to the north (Figure 2a) and Visdalen to the east with gradually increasing slope
120 angles but also several small 'benches' of flatter terrain (e.g. Dugurdsmålkampen and
121 Svartkampan).

122

123 A variety of patterned ground features dominate the surface of Juvflya, the benches and the
124 adjacent transitional upper valley slopes (Figures 2c, d). Between 1750 and 2000 m a.s.l.
125 Ødegård *et al.* (1987, 1988) report a 15 – 50 % surface cover of patterned ground at slope
126 angles less than 10°. Whereas they show the flat terrain is dominated by sorted circles and
127 sorted polygons, sorted stripes and boulder tongues dominate where slope angles are
128 between 3 and 17°. Sorted steps are reported from slopes between 2 and 11° but as
129 Ødegård *et al.* (1988) point out, the complex interaction of factors – surface material

130 (substrate), vegetation, soil moisture content etc. – make it difficult to relate specific
131 patterned ground features to specific slope angle thresholds.

132

133 The study was restricted to sorted circles and polygons (simplifying the term “sorted nets
134 and polygons” used by Ødegård *et al.* 1988) on flat terrain. This decision was primarily driven
135 by the fact that sorted stripe dynamics are affected by slope-related processes that
136 potentially complicate any interpretations of landform age and origins (Harris 1988; French
137 2007; Feuillet *et al.* 2012). An isolated occurrence of patterned ground at 1500 m a.s.l. was
138 selected as the lower end of an altitudinal profile that includes an additional four sites at
139 altitudes of 1550, 1750, 1850 and 1925 m a.s.l. respectively (Figure 1). The diameters of
140 the fine-grained centres of the sorted circles at the study sites usually vary between 2 to 4
141 m and are encircled by coarse (stone) gutters filled with clasts with an average long axis
142 between 30 and 80 cm (Figures 2e,f). The width of the gutters between the fine-grained
143 centres at most sites range between 1 and 2 m. The diameters of individual sorted circles
144 are therefore up to about 6 m and rarely less than 3m. Individual boulders within the gutters
145 may project above the fine-grained circles by 10 – 30 cm (never > 50 cm). The widest gutters
146 commonly exhibit a depth of a few tens of cm.

147

148 At the lower two sites, the fine centres tend to be covered by mid-alpine tundra-like
149 vegetation and the boulders are heavily covered by a variety of lichen species. The sorted
150 circles at these two sites are therefore clearly relict. Although their centres have a sparser
151 cover of high-alpine species, the patterned ground at the higher altitude sites also appear to
152 be relict (cf. Ødegård *et al.* 1992) with little evidence of recent cryoturbation disturbing the
153 boulder distribution. With the exception of a small area around Juvasshøi, all the patterned
154 ground below about 2000 m a.s.l. has developed in till (Ødegård *et al.* 1987; see below),

155

156 A meteorological station at Juvasshøi (1894 m a.s.l.) reports a average mean annual air
157 temperature (MAAT) of -3.5°C for the period AD 2000 to 2014 with annual variability ranging
158 from -2.49°C (2014) to -5.37°C (2010; eKlima data base by met.no). Ødegård *et al.* (1992)
159 calculated a MAAT of -2.6°C at 1500 m a.s.l. to -6.4°C at 2200 m a.s.l. These data
160 correspond quite well to the 1km-grided MAAT normals (1971 – 2000) between -2.0 and
161 -4.0°C given for our five study sites by the SeNorge data base (met.no). Ødegård *et al.*
162 (1992) measured a mean annual ground temperature (MAGT) between -2.1 and -2.3°C in

163 a borehole near Juvasshytta and gave additional data for shallow MAGT from
164 Dugurdsmålkampen ($-0,7^{\circ}\text{C}$), Galdehøi (-4.2 to -4.4°C) and a site near Juvvatnet, the lake
165 close to Vesle-Juvbreen (-1.7 to -1.9°C). They also mention strong winds typical for Juvflya
166 resulting in little snow cover and a (late) maximum snow depth of 0.5 m in May. During field
167 work for this study in late July 2015, all of Juvflya was largely snow-free whereas in most
168 other parts of central Jotunheimen the terrain above about 1200 m a.s.l. retained snow-
169 cover after a snowy winter and an unusual cold spring season. Isaksen *et al.* (2011) give
170 800 to 1000 mm as mean annual precipitation (MAP) for the Galdhøpiggen area including
171 Juvflya.

172

173 A number of studies have concluded that the lower limit of discontinuous permafrost in
174 Jotunheimen lies at about 1450 m a.s.l. (Ødegård *et al.* 1992, 1996; Isaksen *et al.* 2002,
175 2011; Farbrot *et al.* 2011, Lilleøren *et al.*, 2012). Ødegård *et al.* (1987) report an active layer
176 thickness of 1.5 – 2.0 m for the central Juvflya area, which is similar to the range of 1.95 –
177 2.45 m annual thickness reported by Harris *et al.* (2009) from recent borehole monitoring.

178

179 Central Jotunheimen has been at or near the culmination centre/ice divide of the Late
180 Weichselian Scandinavian ice sheet (Mangerud *et al.* 2011). As a consequence, the study
181 area experienced a relative late deglaciation and the till in which the patterned ground has
182 been developed is of local origin. The exact date when Juvflya and the upper slopes of
183 Bøverdalen and Visdalen became ice-free has not precisely been determined, but an early
184 Holocene (Preboreal) deglaciation with a date of c. 9,700 cal. yr BP seems very likely. This
185 is consistent with deglaciation following the Erdalen Event in the late Preboreal (Dahl *et al.*
186 2002; Matthews and Dressser 2008; Nesje 2009; Stroeven *et al.* 2015) and is supported by
187 the size of the well-developed sorted circles (Cook-Talbot 1991; Falch 2001) and recent
188 permafrost studies (Lilleøren *et al.* 2012). Owing to its wind-exposed, leeward position in
189 relation to a dominant westerly air flow and in the light of some studies from the more
190 continental part of southern Norway (Dahl *et al.* 1997; Lie *et al.* 2004) it cannot completely
191 be excluded that ice-free conditions prevailed slightly earlier. A previous deglaciation model
192 of the region predicted, however, a middle- to late-Preboreal deglaciation (Sollid and
193 Trollvika 1991; Holmsen 1982; Sollid and Reite 1983).

194

195 Our study sites are located in the central part of Jotunheimen, on rocks of the early-
196 Proterozoic Jotunheimen complex, which is dominated by pyroxene-granulite gneiss (Lutro
197 and Tveten 1996). This local bedrock type is also the predominant lithology of the till in which
198 the patterned ground has developed at our study sites. A few boulders of different lithology
199 do, however, occur within the till; for example peridotites that crop out in small areas
200 throughout Jotunheimen. They develop a distinct reddish-rusty surface colour when
201 exposed to subaerial weathering and were easily detected and avoided during Schmidt-
202 hammer testing. Furthermore, lithological and mineralogical heterogeneity within the
203 pyroxene-granulite gneiss has not previously limited the application of Schmidt-hammer
204 calibration curves in the region (Matthews and Owen 2010; Matthews and Winkler 2011).

205

206

207 **Methods**

208

209 Schmidt-hammer measurements were performed at all five sites covering the altitudinal
210 range from 1500 to 1925 m a.s.l. (see Figure 1). Tests were restricted to boulders in the
211 coarse gutters of the sorted circles (the fine-grained centres being free of larger clasts with
212 very few exceptions). Gutters were randomly sampled from every suitable boulder (central
213 gutter depressions as well as gutter edges). This sampling design was consistently applied
214 to all sites and Schmidt hammer impacts were made on horizontal or near-horizontal upper
215 surfaces of boulders. Thus, spatial or seasonal variation in snow distribution, depth or
216 duration (and hence long-term weathering rate) are unlikely to have affected the data.
217 Between 190 and 260 individual boulders were tested with one impact each at all sites using
218 mechanical N-type Schmidt hammers with an impact energy of 2.207 Nm for the plunger
219 (Proceq 2004; see also Shakesby *et al.*, 2006 for more technical details). The instruments
220 were tested on a manufacturer's test anvil prior to and after the measurements to ensure
221 proper calibration. All tests were performed on lichen-free areas, avoiding any visible cracks
222 or weaknesses in the boulder surfaces. The requirement of boulders not to move during
223 impacts restricted tests to those with a minimum long axis of 40 cm, but those were
224 numerous and randomly distributed through the gutters. The sparsity of much larger
225 boulders did, however, prevent the application of any test design involving multiple impacts
226 on each boulder.

227

228 The data from each test site were treated as a homogeneous sample. Sample mean
229 R(Rebound)-values and their 95 % confidence intervals ($\alpha = 0.05$) were calculated using the
230 equation:

$$231 \quad \bar{x} \pm ts/\sqrt{(n-1)} \quad (1)$$

232 where \bar{x} = arithmetic mean, s = sample standard deviation, t = Student's t statistic, and n =
233 number of impacts (sample size) following Shakesby *et al.* (2006). Because each area of
234 sorted circles was expected to resemble a diachronous rather than a single-age or
235 synchronous surface (i.e. with a considerable spread of exposure ages as revealed by their
236 R-values), detailed histograms were produced for all sites for further interpretation. Standard
237 statistical analysis of R-values included Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for normality and Mann-
238 Whitney or Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA tests of differences between sites (cf. Schönwiese 1992;
239 Sachs 1999; Lehman 2002) using IBM SPSS Statistics software. The statistical significance
240 of the differences between sites using nonparametric analysis of variance (ANOVA) is
241 appropriate even if samples exhibit non-normal distributions (Sachs 1999). Whereas the
242 Mann-Whitney U-test was used to test pairs of samples, the Kruskal-Wallis H-test was
243 applied simultaneously to three or more samples following standard recommendations
244 (Sachs 1999; Lehmann 2002).

245

246 At sites 2 – 4, additional Schmidt-hammer testing was carried out using the newly introduced
247 electronic N-type RockSchmidt, which has identical impact energy as the mechanical N-type
248 Schmidt hammer (Proceq 2014). The RockSchmidt is basically an improved version of the
249 electronic SilverSchmidt (Proceq 2012; see also Viles *et al.* 2011) designed for rock testing
250 with more specified software and technical improvements, such as a tighter seal of the
251 impact plunger. A larger sample size (750 boulders) was used at each site, again with one
252 impact per boulder, using the same criteria for boulder selection and raw data processing
253 as for the mechanical Schmidt hammer. Although the R-values obtained with the electronic
254 and mechanical Schmidt hammers are not identical for technical reasons, their results have
255 been shown to be interconvertible (Winkler and Matthews 2014). For this study, no
256 conversion has been considered. Instead, the results are presented separately, the
257 measurements being differentiated by use of the terms 'R-values' and 'R_{Rock}-values' for the
258 mechanical hammer and the RockSchmidt, respectively.

259

260 At three locations as near as possible to sites 2, 3, and 4, boulders in fresh road cuts along
261 the access road to Juvasshytta were also measured with the mechanical Schmidt hammer.
262 At these sites, 10 boulders with a non-weathered, fresh appearance were selected with the
263 aim of testing the suitability of the 'young' control points (unweathered rock surfaces of zero
264 age) used for calibration of R-values and the production of SHD ages. In order to obtain
265 approximate R-values for non-weathered rock surfaces with the same lithology as boulders
266 in the patterned ground, five impacts from the same spot were recorded on each boulder.
267 Following procedures from engineering geological rock testing (Poole and Farmer 1980;
268 Aoki and Matsukura 2007), the fifth impact was used as an approximation to the R-value of
269 non-weathered rock surfaces (see also, Matthews et al., 2016).

270

271 The lack of stable boulders of known age that are sufficiently old for use as an 'old' control
272 point, alongside the possible limitations of the boulders from the road cuts as a 'young'
273 control point (see below), mean that it has not proved possible to calculate a new local
274 calibration curve for boulders on Juvflya. Instead, two established calibration curves were
275 initially applied: the local Vesl-Juvbreen curve (Matthews et al. 2014) and the regional
276 Jotunheimen curve (Matthews and Owen, 2010). Dating the mean exposure ages of the
277 boulders from the sample sites by using these existing SHD-calibration curves is quite
278 challenging due to uncertainties in their applicability to the specific rock surfaces and
279 environmental conditions that characterize the sorted circles.

280

281 In principle, the local Vesl-Juvbreen calibration curve (Matthews *et al.* 2014) would be
282 expected to be the more appropriate of the two curves, because of the proximity of its control
283 point locations to the patterned ground sites and hence the closely similar lithology of its
284 control points. This curve is defined by the equation:

$$285 \quad y = 28749.610 - 500.77841x \quad (2)$$

286 where y = surface age in years and x = mean R-value

287 The 'young' control point for this curve was derived from unweathered, recently deposited
288 boulders on the glacier foreland of Vesl-Juvbreen, whereas the 'old' control point was
289 derived from a rare bedrock outcrop outside the glacier foreland.

290

291 The regional Jotunheimen calibration curve of Matthews and Owen (2010) is defined by the
292 equation:

$$293 \quad y = 22986.956 - 347.82608x \quad (3)$$

294 This curve is based on the same general lithology as the patterned ground sites (pyroxene-
295 granulite gneiss) but its 'young' and 'old' control point were both derived from glacially-
296 scoured bedrock outcrops from lower altitudinal zones. The main grounds for regarding the
297 regional Jotunheimen curve as applicable to the boulder surfaces associated with the sorted
298 circles are: (1) the generally similar pyroxene-granulite gneiss bedrock throughout the
299 region; and (2) similarity in roughness characteristics between glacially-scoured bedrock
300 surfaces and glacially-abraded boulder surfaces, which are likely to produce similar R-
301 values after prolonged weathering. See below for further discussion of the appropriateness
302 of the two calibration curves.

303

304 Confidence intervals around the predicted SHD ages reflect the total error (C_t), which
305 combines the calibration error of the calibration curve (C_c) with the sampling error of the
306 patterned ground (C_s) (Matthews and Winkler 2011):

307

$$308 \quad C_t = \sqrt{C_s^2 + C_c^2} \quad (4)$$

309

310 C_c is derived from the confidence intervals associated with the old control point (C_o) and the
311 young control point (C_y), where R_o , R_y and R_s are the mean R-values of the old control point,
312 the young control point and the sampled patterned ground, respectively (Matthews and
313 McEwen 2013):

314

$$315 \quad C_c = C_o - [(C_o - C_y)(R_s - R_o)/(R_y - R_o)] \quad (5)$$

316

317 C_s is derived from the slope of the calibration curve (b), Student's t statistic and the standard
318 error of the mean R-value of the patterned ground, where s is the standard deviation and n
319 is the sample size (Matthews and Owen 2010):

320

$$321 \quad C_s = \pm b [ts/\sqrt{(n-1)}] \quad (6)$$

322

323 Finally, 450 individual boulders were sampled from each of sites 2, 3 and 4 for their clast
324 roundness following the visual comparison method of Powers (1953). The aim was to
325 investigate possible sedimentological differences in the substrate where the patterned
326 ground has developed. Clast roundness differences between the sites were analysed
327 graphically using histograms and compared quantitatively using a numerical index of mean
328 roundness (*ir*) based on assigning a numerical value to each roundness class (very angular,
329 0.5; angular, 1.5 ... to well rounded, 5.5; cf. Powers 1953; Matthews 1987; Tucker 1988).

330

331

332 **Results**

333

334 *The statistical distribution of R-values and R_{Rock}-values*

335 R- and R_{Rock}-values from all sites tested are presented as histograms (Figures 3 and 4) as
336 well as numerical parameters (Figure 5, Table 1). R-values from the mechanical Schmidt
337 hammer and R_{Rock}-values obtained by the RockSchmidt are highly comparable in terms of
338 relative differences between sites, the overall trend, and most other parameters, but the 95
339 % confidence intervals for the R_{Rock}-values are narrower due to the larger sample size. The
340 histograms from both instruments have the same form, confirm the interconvertibility of
341 mechanical and electronic Schmidt-hammer data when allowance is made for the offset in
342 mean values (cf. Winkler and Matthews 2014), and justify SHD using the established
343 calibration curves based on R-values (see below).

344

345 Visual inspection of the histograms reveals differences from those typical of Schmidt-
346 hammer measurements from landforms characterized by synchronous rock surfaces, such
347 as moraines, which usually display symmetrical, unimodal, normal distributions (Matthew
348 and Shakesby 1984; Winkler 2014). The histograms from the patterned ground resemble
349 platykurtic distributions with wide plateaus and thin tails, negative skew and (at all but site
350 1) negative kurtosis. Three of the mechanical Schmidt hammer data sets (sample sites 1, 3,
351 and 4) and all three RockSchmidt data sets do not pass one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov
352 and Shapiro-Wilk tests of normality. Furthermore, it should be noted that the asymmetry of
353 sample sites towards higher R- and R_{Rock}-values tends to increase with altitude, whereas

354 the number of values at the lower end of the measured range clearly decreases. The non-
355 normal distributions, their characteristic shape, and the absence of any clear bi- or
356 polymodal pattern, can all be related to the process of formation of sorted circles and
357 polygons and the exposure of individual clasts to subaerial weathering for varying periods
358 of time (see discussion below).

359

360 *The altitudinal gradient in R-values and R_{Rock} -values*

361 Mean R- and R_{Rock} -values exhibit an increase with altitude and a strong linear trend (Figure
362 6). However, the 95 % confidence intervals associated with particular sample sites exhibit
363 partial overlap (Table 1, Figure 6). The results for the RockSchmidt are unequivocal, with
364 each pair of samples and also the three samples together showing statistically significant
365 differences between their respective distributions (Tables 2 and 3). In contrast, some sample
366 pairs and two tests involving three samples from the mechanical Schmidt hammer indicate
367 differences that are not statistically significant, especially if those sites are within a limited
368 altitudinal range. In fact, all tests which involve sites differing in altitude by 250 m or more
369 exhibit statistically significant differences in their R-value distributions (Table 2).

370

371 *The road-cut data*

372 The results from the road cuts are shown in Table 4. For first impacts, overlapping
373 confidence intervals indicate that none of the mean R-values are statistically significantly
374 different. Thus, the three data sets based on first impacts can legitimately be combined to
375 produce the single overall mean R-value of 62.93 ± 2.09 . Similar reasoning for fifth impacts
376 leads to an overall mean R-value of 66.03 ± 1.21 , which is significantly higher than the
377 overall mean value of the first impacts and therefore the more realistic approximation to the
378 mean R-value of unweathered boulders in the sorted polygons. Nevertheless, owing to the
379 small sample of road-cut boulders, these results should be treated with caution.

380

381 *SHD ages*

382 SHD results from application of the local (Vest-Juvbreen) and regional (Jotunheimen)
383 calibration curves are shown, together with tests of their efficacy against the 'young' road-
384 cut data, in Table 5 where all dates are rounded to the nearest 5 years. SHD ages from all
385 sites range between 7515 ± 940 and 5605 ± 935 years (Vest-Juvbreen curve) and between

386 8240 ± 495 and 6910 ± 510 years (Jotunheimen curve). It should be noted that the SHD
387 ages (mean boulder ages) predicted by both curves exhibit a decrease with altitude. This
388 age gradient of ~1900 and ~1300 years, respectively, over the ~400 m altitudinal range of
389 the sites results from the increase in mean R-value with altitude previously demonstrated in
390 Table 1 and Figures 5 and 6. Although none of the mean boulder ages derived from the
391 Vesl-Juvbreen calibration curve are statistically different according to their relatively broad
392 confidence intervals, the narrower confidence intervals associated with the predictions from
393 the Jotunheimen curve yield several statistically significant differences between the
394 uppermost and lowermost sites (Figure 7).

395

396 Testing of the two calibration curves against the 'young' road cut data interestingly reveals
397 contrasting results (Table 5). Using the Vesl-Juvbreen curve, the unweathered boulders are
398 predicted to have futuristic SHD ages of -2765 ± 1140 years based on first-impact data and
399 -4315 ± 740 years based on fifth impacts. These age estimates deviate widely from the
400 expected result of zero age. In contrast, the Jotunheimen curve predicts SHD ages of 1100
401 ± 735 years based on first impacts and only 20 ± 435 years based on fifth impacts. Thus,
402 only the Jotunheimen curve in combination with fifth-impact data successfully predicts the
403 zero age of the road-cut boulders.

404

405 At each of the five sites, moreover, the differences in the estimated mean SHD ages using
406 the two curves decreases with altitude from 1305 years for site 2 to 725 years at site 5 (Table
407 5). Errors in estimating the true exposure age of the boulders in the sorted circles are
408 therefore unlikely to be as great as the underestimates of ~3000–4000 years for the boulders
409 in the road cuts derived from the Vesl-Juvbreen calibration curve. The differences of ~700–
410 1300 years in the predicted SHD ages between the two curves are, moreover, almost wholly
411 the result of differences in the R-values associated with their 'young' control points. This
412 must be the case because the mean R-values of the 'old' control points for the Jotunheimen
413 and Vesl-Juvbreen curves are almost identical: 38.20 ± 0.56 and 38.04 ± 1.43 , for the
414 Jotunheimen and Vesl-Juvbreen curves, respectively, whereas the mean R-values of the
415 'young' control points are 65.80 ± 0.33 and 57.31 ± 1.03 , respectively (Matthews and Owen
416 2010; Matthews *et al.* 2014). These test results suggest, therefore, that the Jotunheimen
417 curve is by far the better of the two calibration curves for estimating the exposure ages of
418 the boulders in the sorted circles (see detailed discussion below).

419

420 *Clast (boulder) roundness*

421 Results of clast roundness measurements are plotted on Figure 8 and show no significant
422 differences between sites. All samples display a sub-angular mode with considerable
423 quantities of sub-rounded and angular clasts but hardly any very angular clasts. Site 4 (at
424 1550 m a.s.l.) has the lowest *ir* index but there is no altitudinal trend as site 3 (at 1750 m
425 a.s.l.) reveals the highest *ir* index. The sub-angular mode of the surface material coincides
426 roughly with what is expected for tills in mountain environments (Evans and Benn 2004;
427 Lukas *et al.* 2013), perhaps with some local effects resulting from the limited availability of
428 rock outcrops and supraglacial debris, which could provide sources of very angular boulders.

429

430

431 **Discussion**

432

433 *Methodological considerations*

434 Testing both calibration curves against the road-cut boulders demonstrates that it is the
435 young control point used to construct the Jotunheimen curve that renders this curve
436 preferable to the Vesl-Juvbreen curve in the context of SHD dating of the patterned ground
437 landforms at Juvflya. This interpretation is based on the more accurate prediction of the age
438 of the road-cut boulders by the Jotunheimen curve than the Vesl-Juvbreen curve (see
439 above). The reason for this lies in the nature of the boulder surfaces. The boulders in the
440 sorted circles and the boulders in the road cuts are derived from a similar till substrate and
441 are subangular to subrounded (Figure 8). Such boulders have been glacially abraded
442 (Boulton 1978; McCarroll 1991; Shakesby *et al.* 2006; Lukas *et al.* 2013) and are therefore
443 relatively smooth compared to the relatively rough angular and subangular boulders
444 characteristic of the young control point used in construction of the Vesl-Juvbreen calibration
445 curve (Matthews *et al.*, 2014). In contrast, the boulders in the road cuts and the sorted circles
446 both yield relatively high mean R-values that are numerically similar to those derived from
447 the glacially-abraded bedrock used in construction of the Jotunheimen calibration curve
448 (Matthews and Owen 2010).

449

450 Furthermore, the fifth-impact mean R-value from the road cuts (66.03 ± 1.21 ; Table 4) is
451 numerically very close to the mean R-value of the young control point used in the regional
452 Jotunheimen curve (65.80 ± 0.33 ; Matthews and Owen 2010). The fact that the difference
453 between the means of the first and fifth impacts is appreciable (though not quite a statistically
454 significant difference according to the 95 % confidence intervals; Table 4) indicates,
455 however, that there has been some weathering of the boulder surfaces in the road cuts
456 despite their recent excavation. Matthews *et al.* (2016) has shown that the difference
457 between the mean values of the first and fifth impacts of unweathered *abraded* rock surfaces
458 of this rock type should be negligible. The road cut data themselves do not therefore appear
459 to provide suitable boulders for use as young control points, most likely due to subsurface
460 weathering of boulder surfaces that were buried at shallow depth for most of the Holocene
461 prior to their excavation during construction of the road cuts.

462

463 Thus, only the Jotunheimen calibration curve can be regarded as yielding meaningful SHD
464 ages from the sorted circles. Our results demonstrate, moreover, some of the interpretive
465 problems associated with dating these asynchronous land surfaces.

466

467 *SHD ages in relation to the dynamics of sorted circles*

468 The interpretation of SHD ages from patterned ground is far from straightforward. Whereas
469 the boulder exposure ages of synchronous landforms, such as moraines and till sheets, can
470 be clearly related to a single time of formation, the mean boulder exposure age of
471 asynchronous landforms, such as sorted circles, are much more likely to be affected by a
472 relatively long history of development and such factors as inheritance and post-depositional
473 disturbance.

474

475 The starting point for sorted circle formation on Juvflya is assumed to be a till sheet of
476 heterogenic grain-size distribution exposed during deglaciation in the late Preboreal, ~9700
477 years ago. In theory, the oldest boulder exposure ages should therefore coincide with
478 deglaciation as a number of boulders would be exposed on the surface of this till deposit.
479 With the process of patterned ground formation mainly related to active layer dynamics
480 above permafrost (Washburn 1956; French 1988) it is likely to have started immediately
481 after local deglaciation (Lilleøren *et al.* 2012). The significant difference in age of at least
482 ~1,500 years between deglaciation and the oldest of the patterned ground landforms

483 according to the Jotunheimen calibration curve is consistent with an appreciable time lag
484 between deglaciation and stabilization of these features.

485

486 Although some aspects of the detailed mechanics of sorted circle formation are still not fully
487 resolved, upfreezing and lateral frost sorting of boulders are the main processes to be
488 considered when interpreting boulder exposure ages (cf. Washburn 1979; Mackay 1984;
489 Williams and Smith 1989; Hallet 1990, 2015; Van Vliet-Lanoë 1991; Ballantyne and Harris
490 1994; Kessler *et al.* 2001; French 2007; Kääb *et al.* 2014). Upfreezing, involves boulders
491 that were previously buried below the surface becoming exposed to subaerial weathering.
492 Subsequent lateral frost sorting (migration of boulders towards the coarse zones encircling
493 the fine centres) may involve the boulders being tilted or rotated (Kääb *et al.* 2014) prior to
494 their deposition and stabilization as they wedge together. Both upfreezing and lateral frost
495 sorting can occur quite fast, resulting in the formation of well developed patterned ground
496 within a few decades (Ballantyne and Matthews 1982; Harris 1988; Haugland 2004, 2006).
497 However, given a thick till cover with a plentiful boulder content, and suitable environmental
498 conditions, formation may take much longer.

499

500 The mean boulder exposure age of the sorted circles is therefore considered to be primarily
501 indicative of the timing of the upfreezing process and the stabilization of the coarse gutters,
502 provided there was no postdepositional remobilisation of the boulders by the convection-like
503 circulation that characterises the active layer (cf, Hallet 1990, 2015; Kessler *et al.* 2001).
504 Today, frost disturbance of this type seems to be restricted to the fine-grained centres, which
505 are characterised to a greater or lesser extent by patches of bare ground that sometimes
506 exhibit nested smaller-scale patterned ground forms. In contrast, the boulders are almost
507 completely lichen covered with no evidence of recent movement. Accepting that post-
508 exposure modification involving boulders is likely to have been unimportant, the mean
509 boulder exposure age of the investigated sorted circles should simultaneously indicate the
510 timing of (1) the most active upfreezing, and (2) the final stabilization of boulders in the
511 coarse gutters.

512

513 Platycurtic R-value distributions (Figures 3 and 4) and the corresponding wide confidence
514 intervals associated with the SHD ages (Table 5, Figure 8) are consistent with a relatively
515 long period of boulder upfreezing and stabilization. Compared to similar histograms from

516 synchronous land surfaces, such as moraines (Matthews and Winkler 2011; Winkler 2014),
517 the peak plateau is very wide. Schmidt-hammer measurements on rock glaciers also display
518 much narrower R-value distributions related to talus entrainment (Frauenfelder *et al.* 2005;
519 Kellerer-Pirklbauer *et al.* 2008; Rode and Kellerer-Pirklbauer 2011). R-value distribution
520 from relatively inactive pronival ramparts exhibit somewhat broader plateaus (Matthews and
521 Wilson 2015), as do long-active avalanche-impact ramparts (Matthews *et al.* 2015). Only
522 those distributions presented by Matthews *et al.* (2014) from ice-cored moraines are
523 comparable to our distributions from patterned ground, however.

524

525 The rather thin tails towards higher R-values as shown on Figures 3 and 4 support the largely
526 relict status of the sorted circles, and the lichen-encrusted nature of the boulders correspond
527 well with the 'fossil' appearance of the sorted forms on Juvflya mentioned by Ødegård *et al.*
528 (1992). Relict status is also supported by the size of these large sorted forms relative to
529 recently active features, which are much smaller (cf. Ballantyne and Matthews 1982; Cook-
530 Talbot 1991; Haugland 2004). Limited recent active dynamics of the patterned ground may
531 seem inconsistent with the evidence presented by Lilleøren *et al.* (2012) for the continuous
532 existence of mountain permafrost above 1650 – 1700 m in this region throughout the
533 Holocene (see below). With modern permafrost occurrence confirmed for all our sites (see
534 above), the possible reasons for the lack of recent dynamics may, in theory, be related one
535 or more of the following: (a) a decrease of moisture supply within the active layer
536 (Vandenberghe 1988; Van Vliet-Lanoë 1988, 1991; Luoto and Hjort 2004); (b) a change of
537 average freezing rates and/or orientation of the freeze-thaw plane (with slow freezing rates
538 in saturated soils reported as most conducive to upfreezing by Van Vliet-Lanoë 1991); (c) a
539 decrease in frost susceptibility of the surface material (Ødegård *et al.* 1988, mention that the
540 quantity of fines may not be sufficient to support active frost processes); or (d) exhaustion
541 of boulders from the subsurface of the fine-grained centres. The tail on the other end of the
542 distribution towards lower R-values can easily be explained by the presence of boulders
543 exposed shortly after deglaciation or, less likely, inherited from pre-exposure weathering.

544

545 *The Holocene history of the patterned ground in relation to permafrost*

546 Whereas small patterned ground features do not require permafrost (Goldthwaite, 1976;
547 Grab, 2002; French 2007; Ballantyne, 2013), and have been demonstrated in Jotunheimen
548 to form below the lower altitudinal limit of permafrost in recently deglaciated glacier forelands

549 (Harris and Cook 1988; Matthews *et al.* 1998; Haugland 2006), the size of the patterned
550 ground features on Juvflya are consistent with formation within the active layer of underlying
551 permafrost. Permafrost conditions became established in the area soon after deglaciation
552 in the early Holocene (Lilleøren *et al.* 2012) and our proposed timing of the onset of
553 patterned ground formation coincides with this.

554

555 Our SHD ages suggest, moreover, cessation of major frost sorting activity with the onset of
556 the Holocene Thermal Maximum (HTM) at c. 8,000 years ago (Seppä and Birks 2001;
557 Jansen *et al.* 2008; Renssen *et al.* 2012) when Lilleøren *et al.* (2012) postulate a rise of the
558 lower limit of permafrost to 1650 – 1700 m a.s.l. This rise seems to coincide most closely
559 with the SHD ages of the lower two sites (sites 4 and 5) that are currently located below the
560 supposed HTM lower permafrost limit. However, sorted circle formation also decreased at
561 the higher altitude sites. Sites 1-3 would, according to Lilleøren *et al.* (2012), have remained
562 underlain by permafrost throughout the whole of the Holocene. Neither at the lower, nor at
563 the higher altitude sites are there any signs of a substantial re-activation of patterned ground
564 dynamics during late-Holocene climatic deterioration and neoglaciation, the conventional
565 start of which occurred c. 6,000 years ago (Matthews and Dresser 2008; Nesje 2009; Seppä
566 *et al.* 2009; Matthews 2013). The patterned ground landforms seem to have remained
567 essentially as they are today even during the Little Ice Age (LIA) of the last few centuries
568 when the distribution of permafrost in Jotunheimen attained its greatest Holocene extent
569 (Lilleøren *et al.* 2012). The likely explanation for this is that most boulders had already been
570 removed from the circle centres and immobilized within the gutters.

571

572 The gradient involving higher mean R-values with increasing altitude shown by our data
573 seems too robust to be a random artefact of, for example, site selection. The data of Cook-
574 Talbot (1991) does not show any comparable clear altitudinal trend, but her sample sites
575 were not restricted to as small an area as this study. The strength of the gradient is greater
576 than would be expected in relation to chemical weathering (cf. Dahl 1967; André 2002;
577 Nicholson 2008; Matthews and Owen 2011), and the trend of the gradient is the opposite of
578 one based on physical (frost) weathering intensity and efficiency in mountain environments
579 (Caine 1974; Harris 1988). It may therefore be inferred that the altitudinal gradients in R-
580 values and SHD age associated with the sorted circles on Juvflya is determined by
581 chronological factors affecting the stabilization of boulder movement but is not necessarily
582 related in a simple way to climate. It has frequently be pointed out that due to the complex

583 dynamics and the influence of non-climate-related local factors, the palaeoclimatological
584 interpretation of patterned ground is problematic (Washburn 1979; French 1988, 2007;
585 Ødegård *et al.* 1992; Ballantyne and Harris 1994). Our age estimates compared to the
586 Holocene variations of the lower limit and distribution of permafrost in Jotunheimen as
587 described by Lilleøren *et al.* (2012) may be seen as clarifying these concerns.

588

589 *Alternative interpretations of the formation process and age*

590 Being aware of the limitations of our SHD-approach for determining details of both the
591 formation process and the time constraints on sorted circle formation, our data does not *a*
592 *priori* exclude alternative and potentially more complex formation histories. The sorted
593 circles on Juvflya seem to be comparatively large for similar high-mountain environments
594 and a Holocene age (e.g. Washburn 1979; Harris 1988; Williams and Smith 1989; Hallet
595 2015). Such large forms may have required significant thermal-contraction cracking (French
596 2007), a process that does not appear to be characteristic of the permafrost environment at
597 Juvflya at present. This leads to speculation about possible times when a more severe
598 climate may have pertained (cf. Falch, 2001; Winkler 2001). Two alternative hypotheses are
599 considered here.

600

601 The first alternative hypothesis assumes very intense development of sorted circles within
602 a relatively short period of time (several hundred years) during the Younger Dryas-Holocene
603 transition. Permafrost conditions may have been sufficiently severe for thermal-contraction
604 cracking to occur. In this case, at least the general outlines of the features could have been
605 already established at the onset of the Holocene or shortly afterwards during the early
606 Preboreal. Holocene periglacial activity would then merely have modified existing features
607 and led to final stabilization of forms with the onset of the HTM. Although consistent with the
608 lack of any signal for late-Holocene rejuvenation, if this hypothesis was true, older SHD ages
609 would be expected. Without local information about the precise timing of deglaciation at
610 Juvflya this hypothesis must remain speculative. Available regional information (e.g. Barnett
611 *et al.* 2001; Matthews and Dresser 2008; Nesje *et al.* 2008, Nesje 2009) points firmly to a
612 late-Preboreal deglaciation, although it is possible that the Juvflya plateau areas became
613 ice-free at a time when large glaciers still filled the surrounding valleys (cf. Dahl *et al.* 1997).

614

615 The second alternative hypothesis involves the possibility that patterned ground on
616 mountains and plateaux survived glaciation beneath cold-based ice. If this was the case on
617 Juvflya, it is possible that patterned ground formation occurred much earlier and that sorted
618 circles emerged, fully formed, on deglaciation. Until recently, this was considered unlikely
619 as existing reconstructions of the Pleistocene Scandinavian ice-sheet place Jotunheimen in
620 or close to its culmination zone and continuously glaciated even during mild interstadials
621 (Mangerud *et al.* 2011). It was thought, moreover, that the occurrence of block fields and
622 associated 'trimlines' constitute uncontroversial evidence for the existence of nunataks
623 during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM; Nesje *et al.* 1988; Nesje and Dahl 1990). However,
624 it is now generally believed that blockfields can be preserved beneath cold-based ice
625 (Hättestrand and Stroeven, 2002; Ballantyne *et al.*, 2011; Rea, 2013), and Juliussen and
626 Humlum (2007) have presented evidence of blockfield survival of more than one ice sheet
627 on mountain tops in eastern Norway. The latter interpretation implies that sorted circle
628 formation may date from before the LGM and may even be of pre-Weichselian age. Such
629 relatively old ages would not be reflected in our SHD results because rock weathering rates
630 would be near zero beneath cold-based ice sheets. Although this second alternative
631 hypothesis cannot be ruled out completely, we regard it as an unnecessarily complex
632 explanation and reject it pending new evidence.

633

634 **Conclusion**

635

636 This first study of Schmidt-hammer exposure-age dating in the context of patterned ground
637 surfaces (sorted circles and polygons) along an altitudinal gradient from 1500 to 1925 m
638 a.s.l. on Juvflya in central Jotunheimen demonstrates the potential of the technique and
639 allows the following conclusions to be drawn:

- 640 • R-value distributions derived from large samples of boulders exhibit a broad plateau
641 with rather thin tails (platycurtic mode) and are negatively skewed. This distribution
642 reflects the diachronous character of patterned ground that has existed in the
643 landscape over a relatively long period of time.
- 644 • The statistical analyses clearly indicate that large sample sizes are necessary to reveal
645 significant differences in R-values and SHD ages between these boulder surfaces. In
646 this respect, the electronic RockSchmidt can be seen as considerably more efficient
647 than the mechanical Schmidt hammer.

- 648 • The low proportions of relatively high R-values indicate essentially relict landforms with
649 only minor recent process dynamics affecting the fine centres of the landforms.
650 Convective processes are concluded to have been ineffective in relation to boulders
651 since their stabilization in the coarse gutters, where a lack of fines and good drainage
652 limit frost susceptibility and cryoturbation.
- 653 • There is a distinct altitudinal gradient in mean R-values, which increase with altitude,
654 and result in younger SHD ages (mean boulder exposure ages) at higher altitudes.
- 655 • Application of the regional Jotunheimen SHD calibration curve (Matthews and Owen
656 2010) reveals early-Holocene mean boulder exposure ages that range from $6910 \pm$
657 510 to 8240 ± 495 years ago. A local Vesl-Juvbreen calibration curve (Matthews *et al.*
658 2014) produced SHD ages that considerably underestimates the true boulder surface
659 ages because of the unsuitability of its young control point.
- 660 • The SHD ages are interpreted in a twofold way: (1) as minimum ages for sorted circle
661 formation and associated intense boulder upfreezing activity; and (2) simultaneously
662 as maximum ages for the cessation of activity associated with the stabilization of
663 boulders in the coarse gutters.
- 664 • These SHD ages are consistent with the establishment of regional permafrost shortly
665 after deglaciation in the early Holocene (~9700 years ago) and with subsequent
666 gradual decrease in activity, which affected the sites at the lowest altitudes first
667 following the onset of the Holocene Thermal Maximum ~8,000 years ago.
- 668 • Two alternative interpretations are considered: (1) an initial active period of efficient
669 frost sorting during the Younger Dryas-Holocene transition, with completion of sorted
670 circle formation earlier than indicated by the SHD ages; and (2) formation before the
671 Last Glacial Maximum, preservation beneath cold-based ice, and subsequent
672 emergence of sorted circles fully formed following deglaciation. Neither of these
673 alternative hypotheses can be fully rejected on the basis of currently available
674 evidence. .
- 675 • Despite lowering of the altitudinal limits of permafrost, there is no evidence to support
676 reactivation of these relict landforms, either during late-Holocene climatic deterioration
677 and the onset of neoglaciation ~6000 years ago, or during the Little Ice Age of the last
678 few centuries.

- 679 • The complex geodynamic processes involved in sorted circle formation leave the mean
680 boulder exposure age as reflecting a relatively long process of formation and
681 stabilization rather than a defined event. Additionally, the palaeoclimatic interpretation
682 of patterned ground must still be considered problematic as non-climatic factors are
683 potentially involved in the stabilization process.

684

685

686 **Acknowledgments**

687 The fieldwork was carried out on the Swansea University Jotunheimen Research Expedition
688 2015, and this paper constitutes Jotunheimen Research Expeditions, Contribution No..199.
689 We thank Andreas Kellerer-Pirklbauer and an anonymous reviewer for valuable comments
690 on the manuscript.

691

692

693 **Word count: 7757**

694

695

696 **Names and addresses of authors:**

697

698 Stefan Winkler, Department of Geological Sciences, University of Canterbury, Private Bag
699 4800, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand - stefan.winkler@canterbury.ac.nz

700

701 John A. Matthews, Department of Geography, Swansea University, Singleton Park,
702 Swansea, SA2 8PP, Wales, U.K. – j.a.matthews@swansea.ac.uk

703

704 Richard W. Mourné, Department of Geography and Environmental Management,
705 University of the West of England, Frenchay Campus, Coldharbour Lane, Bristol
706 BS16 1QY, U.K. – richard.mourne@uwe.ac.uk

707

708 Peter Wilson, Environmental Sciences Research Institute, Ulster University, Coleraine,
709 BT52 1SA, Northern Ireland, U.K. – p.wilson@ulster.ac.uk

710

711

712

713

714

715 **References**

716

- 717 Aa, A.R. and Sjøstad, J.A., 2000. Schmidt hammer age evaluation of the moraine
718 sequence in front of Bøyabreen, western Norway. *Norsk Geologisk Tidsskrift*, 80, 27 - 32.
- 719 Aa, A.R., Sjøstad, J.A., Sønstegaard, E. and Blikra, L.H., 2007. Chronology of Holocene
720 rock-avalanche deposits based on Schmidt-hammer relative dating and dust stratigraphy
721 in nearby bog deposits, Vora, inner Nordfjord, Norway. *The Holocene*, 17, 955 – 964.
- 722 André, M.F., 2002. Rates of postglacial rock weathering on glacially scoured outcrops
723 (Abisko-Riksgränsen area, 68°N). *Geografiska Annaler*, 64A, 139-150.
- 724 Aoki, H. and Matsukura, Y., 2007. A new technique for non-destructive field measurement
725 of rock-surface strength: an application of the Equotip hardness tester to weathering studies.
726 *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 32, 1759 – 1769.
- 727 Ballantyne, C.K., 2013. Patterned ground. In: Elias, S.A. (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Quaternary*
728 *Science*, 2nd ed., vol. 3, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 452-463.
- 729 Ballantyne, C.K. and Harris, C., 1994. *The Periglaciation of Great Britain*. University Press,
730 Cambridge.
- 731 Ballantyne, C.K. and Matthews, J.A., 1982. The development of sorted circles on recently
732 deglaciated terrain, Jotunheimen, Norway. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 14, 341-354.
- 733 Ballantyne, C.K., McCarroll, D. and Stone, J.O. 2011. Periglacial trimlines and the extent of
734 the Kerry-Cork Ice Cap, SW Ireland. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 30, 3834-3845.
- 735 Barnett, C., Dumayne-Peaty, L. and Matthews, J.A. 2001. Holocene climatic change and
736 tree-line response in Leirdalen, central Jotunheimen, south central Norway. *Review of*
737 *Palaeobotany and Palynology*, 117, 119-137.
- 738 Böhlert, R., Egli, M., Maisch, M., Brandová, D., Ivy-Ochs, S., Kubik, P.W. and Haeberli, W.,
739 2011. Application of a combination of dating techniques to reconstruct the Lateglacial and
740 early Holocene landscape history of the Albula region (eastern Switzerland).
741 *Geomorphology*, 127, 1 – 13.
- 742 Boulton, G.S., 1978. Boulder shape and grain-size distributions of debris as indicators of
743 transport paths through a glacier and till genesis. *Sedimentology*, 25, 773-799.
- 744 Caine, N., 1974. The geomorphic processes of the alpine environment. In: Ives, J.D. and
745 Barry, R.G. (eds.), *Arctic and Alpine Environments*. Methuen, London, 721 – 748.

746 Cook-Talbot, J.D., 1991. Sorted circles, relative-age dating and palaeoenvironmental
747 reconstruction in an alpine periglacial environment, eastern Jotunheimen, Norway:
748 lichenometric and weathering-based approaches. *The Holocene*, 1, 128 - 141.

749 Dahl, R., 1967. Post-glacial micro-weathering of bedrock surfaces in the Narvik district of
750 Norway. *Geografiska Annaler*, 49A, 155-166.

751 Dahl, S.O., Nesje, A. and Øvstedal, J., 1997. Cirque glaciers as morphological evidence for
752 a thin Younger Dryas ice sheet in east-central southern Norway. *Boreas*, 26, 161 - 180.

753 Dahl, S.O., Nesje, A., Lie, Ø., Fordheim, K. and Matthews, J.A., 2002. Timing, equilibrium-
754 line altitudes and climatic implications of two early-Holocene readvances during the Erdalen
755 Event at Jostedalbreen, western Norway. *The Holocene*, 12, 17-25.

756 Evans, D.J.A. and Benn, D.I., 2004. *Practical Guide to the Study of Glacial Sediments*.
757 London, Arnold.

758 Evans, D.J.A., Archer, S. and Wilson, D.J.H., 1999. A comparison of the lichenometric and
759 Schmidt hammer dating techniques based on data from the proglacial areas of some
760 Icelandic glaciers. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 18, 13 - 41.

761 Falch, K., 2001. *Glasi geomorfologi, holocene prosesser og klimautvikling i området*
762 *mellom Galdhøpiggen og Raubergstulen, nordlige Jotunheimen*, Hovedfagsoppgave,
763 Universitetet i Bergen.

764 Farbrodt, H., Hipp, T.F., Etzelmüller, B., Isaksen, K., Ødegård, R.S., Schuler, T.V. and
765 Humlum, O., 2011. Air and ground temperature variations observed along elevation and
766 continentality gradients in southern Norway. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 22, 343
767 – 360.

768 Feuillet, T., Mercier, D., Decaulne, A. and Cossart, E., 2012. Classification of sorted
769 patterned ground areas based on their environmental characteristics (Skagafjörður,
770 Northern Iceland). *Geomorphology*, 139-140, 577 – 587.

771 Ffoulkes, C. and Harrison, S., 2014. Evaluating the Schmidt hammer as method for
772 distinguishing the relative age of Holocene moraines. *Geografiska Annaler*, 96 A, 393 – 402.

773 Follestad, B.A. and Fredin, O., 2007. Late Weichselian ice flow evolution in south-central
774 Norway. *Norwegian Journal of Geology*, 87, 281 – 289.

775 Frauenfelder, R., Laustela, R. and Kääh, A., 2005. Relative age-dating of Alpine rockglacier
776 surfaces. *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie N.F.*, 49, 145 – 166.

- 777 French, H.M., 1988. Active layer processes. In Clark, M.J. (ed.), *Advances in Periglacial*
778 *Geomorphology*. Wiley, Chichester, 151 - 177.
- 779 French, H.M., 2007. *The Periglacial Environment*. 3rd ed., Wiley, Chichester..
- 780 Gjessing, J., 1978. *Norges landformer*. Oslo/Bergen/Tromsø, Universitetsforlaget.
- 781 Goldthwait, R.P., 1976. Frost-sorted patterned ground: a review. *Quaternary Research*, 6,
782 27-35.
- 783 Goudie, A.S., 2006. The Schmidt Hammer in geomorphological research. *Progress in*
784 *Physical Geography*, 30, 703 – 718.
- 785 Grab, S., 2002. Characteristics and palaeoenvironmental significance of relict sorted
786 patterned ground, Drakensberg plateau, southern Africa. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 21,
787 1729-1744.
- 788 Hallet, B., 1990. Self-organization in freezing soils – from microscopic ice lenses to
789 patterned ground. *Canadian Journal of Physics*, 68, 842 – 852.
- 790 Hallet, B., 2015. Stone circles: form and soil kinematics. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
791 *Royal Society A*, 371, 20120357.
- 792 Harris, C. and Cook, J.D., 1988. Micromorphology and microfabrics of sorted circles,
793 Jotunheimen, southern Norway. *Proceedings of the 5th International Permafrost*
794 *Conference*, Trondheim, Tapir 1, 776-783.
- 795 Harris, C., Arenson, L.U., Christiansen, H.H., Etzelmüller, B., Frauenfelder, R., Gruber, S.,
796 Haeberli, W., Hauck, C., Hoelzle, M., Humlum, O., Isaksen, K., Kääh, A., Kern-Luetschg,
797 M.A., Lehning, M., Matsuoka, N., Murton, J.B., Noezli, J., Phillips, M., Ross, N., Seppälä,
798 M., Springman, S.M. and Vonder Mühll, D.V., 2009. Permafrost and climate in Europe:
799 monitoring and modelling thermal, geomorphological and geotechnical responses. *Earth-*
800 *Science Reviews*, 92, 117-171.
- 801 Harris, S.A., 1988. The alpine periglacial zone. In: Clark, M.J. (ed.), *Advances in Periglacial*
802 *Geomorphology*. Chichester, Wiley, 369 - 413.
- 803 Hättestrand, C. and Stroeven, A.P., 2002. A relict landscape in the centre of the
804 Fennoscandian glaciation: geomorphological evidence of minimal Quaternary glacial
805 erosion. *Geomorphology*, 44, 127-143.

806 Haugland, J.E., 2004. Formation of patterned ground and fine-scale soil development within
807 two late Holocene glacial chronosequences: Jotunheimen, Norway. *Geomorphology*, 61,
808 287-301.

809 Haugland, J.E., 2006. Short-term periglacial processes, vegetation succession, and soil
810 development within sorted patterned ground: Jotunheimen, Norway. *Arctic, Antarctic and*
811 *Alpine Research*, 38, 82 – 89.

812 Holmsen, P., 1982. *Jotunheimen - beskrivelse til kvartærgeologisk kart M 1:250.000*. Norges
813 Geologiske Undersøkelse 374 Skrifter 37, Trondheim, Norges Geologiske Undersøkelse.

814 Isaksen, K., Hauck, C., Gudevang, E., Ødegård, R.S. and Sollid, J.L., 2002. Mountain
815 permafrost distribution in Dovrefjell and Jotunheimen, southern Norway, based on BTS and
816 DC resistivity tomography data. *Norsk Geografisk Tidsskrift*, 56, 122 – 136.

817 Isaksen, K., Ødegård, R.S., Etzelmüller, B., Hilbich, C., Hauck, C., Farbrot, H., Eiken, T.,
818 Hagen, J.O. and Hipp, T.F., 2011. Degrading mountain permafrost in Southern Norway:
819 Spatial and temporal variability of ground temperatures, 1999 – 2009. *Permafrost and*
820 *Periglacial Processes*, 22, 361 – 377.

821 Jansen, E., Andersson, C., Moros, M., Nisancioglu, K.H., Nyland, B.F. and Telford, R.J.,
822 2008. The early to mid-Holocene thermal optimum in the North Atlantic. In: Battarbee, R.W.
823 and Binney, H.A. (eds), *Natural Climate Variability and Global Warming*. Wiley-Blackwell,
824 Chichester, 123-137.

825 Juliussen, H. and Humlum, O. 2007. Preservation of block fields beneath Pleistocene ice
826 sheets on Solen and Elgahogna, central-eastern Norway. *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie*
827 *N.F* 51, Supplementary Issue 2, 113 – 138.

828 Kääh, A., Girod, L. and Berthling, I., 2014. Surface kinematics of periglacial sorted circles
829 using structure-from-motion technology. *The Cryosphere*, 8, 1041 – 1056.

830 Kellerer-Pirklbauer, A., Wangensteen, B., Farbrot, H. and Etzelmüller, B., 2008. Relative
831 surface age-dating of rock glacier systems near Hólar in Hjaltadalur, Northern Iceland.
832 *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 23, 137 – 151.

833 Kessler, M.A., Murray, A.B., Werner, T.B. and Hallet, B., 2001. A model for sorted circles as
834 self-organized patterns. *Journal of Geophysical Research Solid Earth*, 106, 13287 – 13306.

835 Lehmann, G., 2002. *Statistik*. Heidelberg, Spektrum.

836 Lie, Ø., Dahl, S.O., Nesje, A., Matthews, J.A. and Sandvold, S., 2004. Holocene fluctuations
837 of a polythermal glacier in high-alpine eastern Jotunheimen, central-southern Norway.
838 *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 23, 1925 - 1945.

839 Lilleøren, K.S. and Etzelmüller, B., 2011. A regional inventory of rock glaciers and ice-cored
840 moraines in Norway. *Geografiska Annaler*, 93 A, 175 – 191.

841 Lilleøren, K.S., Etzelmüller, B., Schuler, T.V., Gisnås, K. and Humlum, O., 2012. The relative
842 age of permafrost – estimation of Holocene permafrost limits in Norway. *Global and*
843 *Planetary Change*, 92-93, 209 – 223.

844 Lukas, S., Benn, D.I., Boston, C.M., Brook, M., Coray, S., Evans, D.J.A., Graf, A., Kellerer-
845 Pirklbauer, A., Kirkbride, M.P., Krabbendam, M., Lovell, H., Machiedo, M., Mills, S.C., Nye,
846 K., Reinardy, B.T.J., Ross, F.H. and Signer, M., 2013. Clast shape and clast transport paths
847 in glacial environments: a critical review of methods and the role of lithology. *Earth-Science*
848 *Reviews*, 121, 96 – 116.

849 Luoto, M. and Hjort, J., 2004. Generalized linear modeling in periglacial studies: Terrain
850 parameters and patterned ground. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 15, 327 – 338.

851 Lutro, O. and Tveten, E., 1996. *Årdal - berggrunnsgeologiske kart M 1:250.000*, Trondheim,
852 Norges Geologiske Undersøkelse.

853 Mackay, J.R., 1984. The frost heave of stones in the active layer above permafrost with
854 downward and upward freezing. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 16, 439 – 446.

855 Mangerud, J., Gyllencreutz, R., Lohne, Ø. and Svendsen, J.I., 2011. Glacial history of
856 Norway. In: Ehlers, J., Gibbard, P.L. and Hughes, P.D. (eds.), *Quaternary Glaciations –*
857 *Extent and Chronology*. Amsterdam, Elsevier, 279 – 298.

858 Matsuoka, N., Abe, M. and Ijiri, M., 2003. Differential frost heave and sorted patterned
859 ground: field measurements and a laboratory experiment. *Geomorphology*, 52, 73-85.

860 Matthews, J.A., 1987. Regional variation in the composition of Neoglacial end moraines,
861 Jotunheimen, Norway: an altitudinal gradient in clast roundness and its possible
862 palaeoclimatic significance. *Boreas*, 16, 173-188.

863 Matthews, J.A., 2013. Neoglaciation in Europe. In: Elias, S.A. (ed.), *Encyclopedia of*
864 *Quaternary Science*, 2nd ed., vol.2, Elsevier, Rotterdam, 257 - 268.

865 Matthews, J.A. and Dresser, P.Q., 2008. Holocene glacier variation chronology of the
866 Smørstabbtindan massif, Jotunheimen, southern Norway, and the recognition of century- to
867 millennial-scale European Neoglacial events. *The Holocene*, 18, 181 – 201.

868 Matthews, J.A. and McEwen, L.J., 2013. High-precision Schmidt-hammer exposure-age
869 dating of flood berms, Vetlestølsdalen, alpine southern Norway: first application and some
870 methodological issues. *Geografiska Annaler*, 95 A, 185 - 195.

871 Matthews, J.A. and Owen, G., 2010. Schmidt hammer exposure-age dating: developing
872 linear age-calibration curves using Holocene bedrock surfaces from the Jotunheimen-
873 Jostedalsbreen region of southern Norway. *Boreas*, 39, 105 - 115.

874 Matthews, J.A. and Owen, G., 2011. Holocene chemical weathering, surface lowering and
875 rock weakening rates on glacially eroded bedrock surfaces in an alpine periglacial
876 environment, Jotunheimen, southern Norway. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 22,
877 279-290.

878 Matthews, J.A. and Shakesby, R.A., 1984. The status of the 'Little Ice Age' in southern
879 Norway: a relative-age dating of Neoglacial moraines with Schmidt hammer and
880 lichenometry. *Boreas*, 13, 333 - 346.

881 Matthews, J.A. and Wilson, P., 2015. Improved Schmidt-hammer exposure ages for active
882 and relict pronival ramparts in southern Norway, and their palaeoenvironmental implications.
883 *Geomorphology*, 246, 7 – 21.

884 Matthews, J.A. and Winkler, S., 2011. Schmidt-hammer exposure-age dating (SHD):
885 Application to early Holocene moraines and a reappraisal of the reliability of terrestrial
886 cosmogenic-nuclide dating (TCND) at Austanbotnbreen, Jotunheimen, Norway. *Boreas*, 40,
887 256 – 270

888 Matthews, J.A., Shakesby, R.A., Berrisford, M.S. and McEwen, L.J., 1998: Periglacial
889 patterned ground on the Styggedalsbreen glacier foreland, Jotunheimen, southern
890 Norway: micro-topographic, paraglacial and geoecological controls. *Permafrost and*
891 *Periglacial Processes*, 9, 147 - 166.

892 Matthews, J.A., Shakesby, R.A., Owen, G. and Vater, A.E., 2011. Pronival rampart
893 formation in relation to snow-avalanche activity and Schmidt-hammer exposure-age dating
894 (SHD): three case studies from southern Norway. *Geomorphology*, 130, 280 – 288.

895 Matthews, J.A., Nesje, A. and Linge, H., 2013. Relict talus-foot rock glaciers at Øyberget,
896 Upper Ottadalen, Southern Norway: Schmidt hammer exposure ages and
897 palaeoenvironmental implications. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, 24, 336 – 346.

898 Matthews, J.A., Winkler, S. and Wilson, P., 2014. Age and origin of ice-cored moraines in
899 Jotunheimen and Breheimen, southern Norway: insights from Schmidt-hammer exposure-
900 age dating. *Geografiska Annaler*, 96, 531-548.

901 Matthews, J.A., McEwen, L. and Wilson, P., 2015. Schmidt-hammer exposure-age dating
902 (SHD) of snow-avalanche impact ramparts in southern Norway: approaches, results and
903 implications for landform age, dynamics and development. *Earth Surface Processes and*
904 *Landforms*, 40, 1705 – 1718.

905 Matthews, J.A., Owen, G., Winkler, S., Vater, A.E., Wilson, P., Mourne, R.W. and Hill, J.,
906 2016. A rock-surface microweathering index from Schmidt hammer R-values and its
907 preliminary application to some common rock types in southern Norway. *Catena* (in press).

908 McCarroll, D., 1991. The Schmidt hammer, weathering and rock surface roughness. *Earth*
909 *Surface Processes and Landforms*, 16, 477-80.

910 McCarroll, D., 1994. The Schmidt hammer as a measure of degree of rock surface
911 weathering and terrain age. In: Beck, C. (ed.), *Dating in Exposed and Surface Contexts*.
912 University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque, 29-45.

913 Nesje, A., 2009. Late Pleistocene and Holocene alpine glacier fluctuation in Scandinavia.
914 *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 28, 2119 – 2136.

915 Nesje, A. and Dahl, S.-O. 1990. Autochthonous block fields in southern Norway:
916 implications for the geometry, thickness, and isostatic loading of the Late Weichselian
917 Scandinavian ice sheet. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 5, 225 – 234.

918 Nesje, A. and Whillans, I.M., 1994. Erosion of Sognefjord, Norway. *Geomorphology*, 9, 33
919 - 45.

920 Nesje, A., Dahl, S.-O., Anda, E. and Rye, N. 1988. Block fields in southern Norway:
921 significance for the Late Weichselian ice sheet. *Norsk Geologisk Tidsskrift* 68, 149 - 169.

922 Nesje, A., Blikra, L.H. and Anda, E., 1994. Dating rockfall-avalanche deposits from the
923 degree of rock-surface weathering by Schmidt hammer tests: a study from Norangsdalen,
924 Sunnmøre, Norway. *Norsk Geologisk Tidsskrift*, 74, 108 - 113.

925 Nesje, A., Bakke, J., Dahl, S.O., Lie, Ø. and Matthews, J.A., 2008. Norwegian mountain
926 glaciers in the past, present and future. *Global and Planetary Change*, 60, 10 - 27.

927 Nicholson, D.T., 2008. Rock control on microweathering of bedrock surfaces in a
928 periglacial environment. *Geomorphology*, 101, 655-665.

929 Ødegård, R.S., Sollid, J.L. and Liestøl, O., 1987. *Juvflya – Kvartærgeologi og geomorfologi*
930 *M 1:10.000*. Geografisk Institutt, Universitetet I Oslo.

931 Ødegård, R.S., Sollid, J.L. and Liestøl, O., 1988. Periglacial forms related to terrain
932 parameters in Jotunheimen, southern Norway. *Permafrost: V International Conference on*
933 *Permafrost in Trondheim, Norway, August 1988, Trondheim, Vol.3, Tapir, Trondheim, 59 –*
934 *61*.

935 Ødegård, R.S., Sollid, J.L. and Liestøl, O., 1992. Ground temperature measurements in
936 mountain permafrost, Jotunheimen, southern Norway. *Permafrost and Periglacial*
937 *Processes, 3, 231 - 234*.

938 Ødegård, R.S., Hoelzle, M., Johansen, K.V. and Sollid, J.L., 1996. Permafrost mapping and
939 prospecting in southern Norway. *Norsk Geografisk Tidsskrift, 50, 41 – 53*.

940 Peterson, R.A. and Krantz, W.B., 2008. Differential frost heave model for patterned ground
941 formation: corroboration with observations along a North American Arctic transect. *Journal*
942 *of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences 113(G3), G03S04*.

943 Poole, R.W. and Farmer, I.W., 1980. Consistency and repeatability of Schmidt hammer
944 rebound data during field testing. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics, Mining*
945 *Sciences and Geomechanical Abstracts, 17, 167 – 171*.

946 Powers, M.S., 1953. A new roundness scale for sedimentary particles. *Journal of*
947 *Sedimentary Petrology, 23, 117-119*.

948 Proceq. 2004. *Operating instructions Betonprüfhammer N/NR- L/LR*. Proceq SA,
949 Schwerzenbach.

950 Proceq. 2012. *Operating instructions SilverSchmidt & Hammerlink*. Proceq SA,
951 Schwerzenbach.

952 Proceq. 2014. *Operating instructions RockSchmidt & Rocklink*. Proceq SA, Schwerzenbach.

953 Rea, B.R., 2013. Blockfields (Felsenmeer). In: Elias, S.A. (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Quaternary*
954 *Science, 2nd ed., vol. 3, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 523-534*.

955 Renssen, H., Seppä, H., Crosta, X., Goosse, H. and Roche, D.M., 2012. Global
956 characterization of the Holocene thermal maximum. *Quaternary Science Reviews, 48, 7-*
957 *19*

958 Rode, M. and Kellerer-Pirklbauer, A., 2011. Schmidt-hammer exposure-age dating (SHD)
959 of rock glaciers in the Schöderkogel-Eisenhut area, Schladminger Tauern Range, Austria.
960 *The Holocene*, 22, 761 – 771.

961 Sachs, L., 1999. *Angewandte Statistik*. 9th ed, Berlin, Springer.

962 Schönwiese, C-D., 1992. *Praktische Statistik für Meteorologen und Geowissenschaftler*,
963 Berlin/Stuttgart, Bornträger.

964 Scapozza, C., Lambiel, C., Bozzini, C., Mari, S. and Conedera, M., 2014. Assessing the
965 rock Glacier kinematics on three different timescales: a case study from the Swiss Alps.
966 *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 39, 2056 – 2069.

967 Seppä, H. and Birks, H.J.B., 2001. July mean temperature and annual precipitation trends
968 during the Holocene in the Fennoscandian tree-line area: pollen-based climate
969 reconstructions. *The Holocene*, 11, 527–539.

970 Seppä, H., Bjune, A.E., Telford, R.J., Birks, H.J.B. and Veski, S., 2009. Last nine-thousand
971 years of temperature variability in Northern Europe. *Climate of the Past*, 5, 523–535.

972 Shakesby, R.A., Matthews, J.A. and Owen, G., 2006. The Schmidt hammer as a relative-
973 age dating tool and its potential for calibrated-age dating in Holocene glaciated
974 environments. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 25, 2846 – 2867.

975 Shakesby, R.A., Matthews, J.A., Karlén, W. and Los, S.O., 2011. The Schmidt hammer as
976 a Holocene calibrated-age dating technique: testing the form of the R-value-age
977 relationship and defining the predicted-age errors. *The Holocene*, 21, 615 – 628.

978 Sollid, J.L. and Reite, J.A., 1983. The last glaciation and deglaciation of Central Norway.
979 In: Ehlers, J. (ed.), *Glacial deposits of North-West Europe*. Rotterdam, Balkema, 41 - 59.

980 Sollid, J.L. and Trollvika, J.A., 1991. *Oppland fylke, kvartærgeologi og geomorfologi*
981 *1:250.000*. Oslo, Institutt for naturgeografi – Universitetet I Oslo.

982 Stahl, T., Winkler, S., Quigley, M., Bebbington, M., Duffy, B. and Duke, D., 2013. Schmidt
983 hammer exposure-age dating (SHD) of late Quaternary fluvial terraces in New Zealand.
984 *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 38, 1838 - 1850.

985 Stroeven, A.P., Hättestrand, C., Kleman, J., Heyman, J., Fabel, D., Fredin, O.,
986 Goodfellow, B.W., Harbor, J.M., Jansen, J.D., Olsen, L., Caffee, M.W., Fink, D., Lundqvist,
987 J., Rosqvist, G.C., Strömberg, B. and Jansson, K.N., 2015. Deglaciation of Fennoscandia.
988 *Quaternary Science Reviews* (published online). doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.09.016

- 989 Tucker, M., 1988. *Techniques in Sedimentology*. Blackwell, London.
- 990 Vandenberghe, J., 1988. Cryoturbations. In: Clark, M.J. (ed.), *Advances in Periglacial*
991 *Geomorphology*. Wiley, Chichester, 179 - 198.
- 992 Van Vliet-Lanoë, B., 1988. The genesis of cryoturbations and their significance in
993 environmental reconstruction. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 3, 85 – 96.
- 994 Van Vliet-Lanoë, B., 1991. Different frost heave, load casting and convection: converging
995 mechanisms; a discussion of the origin of cryoturbations. *Permafrost and Periglacial*
996 *Processes*, 2, 123 – 139.
- 997 Viles, H., Goudie, A., Grab, S. and Lalley, J., 2011. The use of the Schmidt Hammer and
998 Equotip for rock hardness assessment in geomorphology and heritage science: a
999 comparative analysis. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 36, 320 – 333.
- 1000 Warburton, J., 2013. Patterned ground and polygons. In: Giordano, J.R. and Harbour, J.M.
1001 (eds.), *Treatise on Geomorphology, Vol. 8 Glacial and Periglacial Geomorphology*.
1002 Elsevier, Amsterdam, 298-312.
- 1003 Washburn, A.L., 1956. Classification of patterned ground and review of suggested origins.
1004 *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 67, 823 - 865.
- 1005 Washburn, A.L., 1979. *Geocryology*. 2nd ed., Arnold, London.
- 1006 Williams, P.J. and Smith, M.W., 1989. *The Frozen Earth*. University Press, Cambridge.
- 1007 Wilson, P., Matthews, J.A. and Mourne, R.W. (submitted) Relict blockstreams at
1008 Insteheia, Valldalen-Tafjorden, southern Norway: their nature and Schmidt-hammer
1009 exposure age. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*.
- 1010 Winkler, S. (2001): *Untersuchungen zur Klima- und Morphodynamik in skandinavischen*
1011 *Gebirgsregionen während des Holozän - ein Vergleich ihrer Wechselwirkungen und*
1012 *Prozeßsysteme im überregionalen Kontext kaltgemäßigter maritimer Gebirgsregionen*.
1013 Unpublished 'Habilitation' thesis, University of Trier, Germany,
- 1014 Winkler, S., 2005. The 'Schmidt hammer' as a relative-age dating technique: potential and
1015 limitations of its application on Holocene moraines in Mt Cook National Park, Southern
1016 Alps, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics*, 48, 105 – 116.
- 1017 Winkler, S., 2009. First attempt to combine terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide (^{10}Be) and
1018 Schmidt hammer relative-age dating: Strauchon Glacier, Southern Alps, New Zealand.
1019 *Central European Journal of Geosciences*, 1, 274 - 290.

1020 Winkler, S., 2014. Investigation of late-Holocene moraines in the western Southern Alps,
1021 New Zealand, applying Schmidt-hammer exposure-age dating (SHD). *The Holocene*, 24,
1022 48 – 66.

1023 Winkler, S. and Matthews, J.A., 2014. Comparison of electronic and mechanical Schmidt
1024 hammers in the context of exposure-age dating: Are Q- and R-values interconvertible?
1025 *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 39, 1128 - 1136.

1026

1027

1028 **Tables**

1029

1030 **Table 1 – R- and R_{Rock}-values for the sites tested**

1031

Site	mean ± 95% CI ⁽¹⁾	σ	skewness	kurtosis	boulders (n)
Mechanical Schmidt					
Site 1 (1,925 m a.s.l.)	45.81 ± 1.24	10.18	-0.822	0.198	260
Site 2 (1,850 m a.s.l.)	46.22 ± 1.37	9.75	-0.260	-0,151	195
Site 3 (1,750 m a.s.l.)	44.64 ± 1.46	10.27	-0.387	-0,457	190
Site 4 (1,550 m a.s.l.)	42.94 ± 1.51	10.93	-0.167	-0.602	200
Site 5 (1,500 m a.s.l.)	42.40 ± 1.31	10.75	-0.189	-0.574	260
RockSchmidt					
Site 2	55.03 ± 0.77	10.71	-0.426	-0.203	750
Site 3	53.37 ± 0.79	11.02	-0.482	-0.265	750
Site 4	51.12 ± 0.86	12.05	-0.216	-0.602	750

1032

1033 ⁽¹⁾ Mean of R-values (mechanical Schmidt-hammer) and R_{Rock}-values (RockSchmidt) with 95 %
 1034 confidence intervals ($\alpha = 0.05$).

1035

1036

1037

1038
1039
1040

Table 2 – Results of Mann-Whitney ANOVA tests of differences between pairs of sites in R-values and R_{Rock}-values

Sites (paired)	H ₀ ⁽¹⁾	α ⁽²⁾	boulders (n)	Δ altitude
Mechanical Schmidt				
Sites 1-2	retain	0.788	455	75 m
Sites 1-3	retain	0.127	450	175 m
Sites 1-4	reject	0.001	460	375 m
Sites 1-5	reject	0.000	520	425 m
Sites 2-3	retain	0.226	385	100 m
Sites 2-4	reject	0.004	395	300 m
Sites 2-5	reject	0.000	455	350 m
Sites 3-4	retain	0.095	390	200 m
Sites 3-5	reject	0.023	450	250 m
Sites 4-5	retain	0.643	460	50 m
RockSchmidt				
Sites 2-3	reject	0.007	1500	100 m
Sites 2-4	reject	0.000	1500	300 m
Sites 3-4	reject	0.000	1500	200 m

1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046

⁽¹⁾ H₀ = Distribution of values is the same across both samples (decision at $\alpha = 0.05$).

⁽²⁾ Asymptotic significance level (2-tailed test)

1047 **Table 3 – Results of Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA tests of differences between three or**
 1048 **more sites in R-values and R_{Rock}-values**

1049

Sites (clustered)	H₀⁽¹⁾	α⁽²⁾	boulders (n)	altitudinal range
Mechanical Schmidt				
Sites 1-2-3	Retain	0.278	645	175 m
Sites 2-3-4	Reject	0.014	585	300 m
Sites 3-4-5	Retain	0.066	650	250 m
Sites 1-2-3-4	Reject	0.001	845	375 m
Sites 2-3-4-5	Reject	0.001	845	350 m
Sites 1-2-3-4-5	Reject	0.000	1105	425 m
RockSchmidt				
Sites 2-3-4	Reject	0.000	2500	300 m

1050

1051 ⁽¹⁾ H₀ = Distribution of values is the same across both samples (decision at α = 0.05).

1052 ⁽²⁾ Asymptotic significance level (test statistics adjusted for ties)

1053

1054 **Table 4 – R-values for boulders surfaces from road cuts ('young' unweathered**
 1055 **surfaces)**

1056

Road cut	1 st impact ± 95% CI ⁽¹⁾	mean	5 th impact ± 95% CI ⁽²⁾	mean	boulders (n)
Cut near site 2 (1,850 m a.s.l.)	61.2 ± 4.37		64,9 ± 2.64		10
Cut near site 3 (1,750 m a.s.l.)	61.6 ± 3.72		65.9 ± 1.86		10
Cut near site 4 (1,550 m a.s.l.)	66.0 ± 1.78		67.3 ± 1.60		10
Mean (all sites)	62.93 ± 2.09		66.03 ± 1.21		30

1057

1058 ⁽¹⁾ Mean R-value with 95 % confidence interval ($\alpha = 0.05$) first impacts of the Schmidt hammer (see
 1059 text).

1060 ⁽²⁾ Mean of R-value with 95 % confidence interval ($\alpha = 0.05$) for fifth impacts from the same spots
 1061 as the first impacts.

1062

1063

1064

1065 **Table 5 – SHD ages (mean boulder surface exposure ages \pm 95% confidence**
 1066 **intervals) for sample sites applying two calibration curves**

1067

Sites	Vesl-Juvbreen curve	Jotunheimen curve
1	5810 \pm 890	7055 \pm 465
2	5605 \pm 935	6910 \pm 510
3	6395 \pm 980	7460 \pm 540
4	7245 \pm 1015	8050 \pm 560
5	7515 \pm 940	8240 \pm 495
1 st impacts ⁽¹⁾	-2765 \pm 1140	1100 \pm 735
5 th impacts ⁽²⁾	-4315 \pm 740	20 \pm 435

1068

1069

1070 ⁽¹⁾ Mean of 1st impacts of all road-cut test sites combined (see Table 4 and text for explanation)

1071 ⁽²⁾ Mean of 5th impacts of all road-cut test sites combined

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076 **Figure captions**

1077

1078 **Figure 1**

1079 Study sites (numbered 1 - 5) in the vicinity of the Juvflya plateau area of central
1080 Jotunheimen, southern Norway. The locations and view directions of the overview
1081 photographs (Figures 2a – d) are indicated.

1082

1083 **Figure 2 a - f**

1084 Study area, patterned ground features, and Schmidt-hammer test sites: (a) the typical
1085 landscape looking northwards towards Bøverdalen from the Juvflya plateau; (b) the central
1086 part of Juvflya with its dense patterned ground cover; (c) close-up of typical sorted polygons
1087 near Juvasshytta; (d) sorted polygons merging downslope into sorted stripes on the
1088 southwest-facing slope of Juvasshøi; (e) sorted polygons at site 2; (f) sorted polygons at site
1089 4 (see Figure 1 for location and view direction of photographs; the location of Figures 2e
1090 and f are the same as the place marks for sites 2 and 4, respectively).

1091

1092 **Figure 3**

1093 Histograms of R-values (mechanical Schmidt hammer) obtained at sites 1 - 5 using a 2-
1094 unit class interval.

1095

1096 **Figure 4**

1097 Histograms of R_{Rock} -values (electronic RockSchmidt) obtained at sites 2 - 4 using a 1-unit
1098 class interval.

1099

1100 **Figure 5**

1101 Mean R- and R_{Rock} -values for the test sites with their 95 % confidence intervals.

1102

1103 **Figure 6**

1104 The altitudinal gradients in mean R- and R_{Rock}-values for sites 1-5. Linear regression lines,
1105 regression equations and coefficients of determination (R² values) are depicted, and 95 %
1106 confidence interval are shown for each site.

1107

1108 Figure 7

1109 Altitudinal variation in the SHD ages and their 95% confidence intervals for sites 1-5,
1110 based on the Jotunheimen calibration curve. The roman numbers refer to the subregional
1111 neoglacial events as identified by Matthews and Dresser (2008) in the nearby
1112 Smørstabbtindan Massif, Jotunheimen.

1113

1114 Figure 8

1115 Results of the clast roundness measurements at sites 2 - 4. The abbreviations stand for very
1116 angular (VA), angular (A), subangular (SA), subrounded (SR), and rounded (R) clasts. The
1117 index of roundness (*ir*) is also shown for each site.

1118