Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 407, 1529–1564 (2010)

doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17064.x

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-abstract/407/3/1529/1067895 by California Institute of Technology user on 21 May 2020

How do massive black holes get their gas?

Philip F. Hopkins* and Eliot Quataert

Department of Astronomy and Theoretical Astrophysics Center, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Accepted 2010 May 18. Received 2010 May 17; in original form 2009 December 14

ABSTRACT

We use multiscale smoothed particle hydrodynamic simulations to study the inflow of gas from galactic scales (~10 kpc) down to ≤ 0.1 pc, at which point the gas begins to resemble a traditional, Keplerian accretion disc. The key ingredients of the simulations are gas, stars, black holes (BHs), self-gravity, star formation and stellar feedback (via a subgrid model); BH feedback is not included. We use ~ 100 simulations to survey a large parameter space of galaxy properties and subgrid models for the interstellar medium physics. We generate initial conditions for our simulations of galactic nuclei (\$300 pc) using galaxy-scale simulations, including both major galaxy mergers and isolated bar-(un)stable disc galaxies. For sufficiently gas-rich, disc-dominated systems, we find that a series of gravitational instabilities generates large accretion rates of up to $\sim 1-10 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}} \,\mathrm{yr^{-1}}$ on to the BH (i.e. at $\leq 0.1 \,\mathrm{pc}$); this is comparable to what is needed to fuel the most luminous quasars. The BH accretion rate is highly time variable for a given set of conditions in the galaxy at \sim kpc. At radii of ≥ 10 pc, our simulations resemble the 'bars-within-bars' model of Shlosman et al., but we show that the gas can have a diverse array of morphologies, including spirals, rings, clumps and bars; the duty cycle of these features is modest, complicating attempts to correlate BH accretion with the morphology of gas in galactic nuclei. At $\sim 1-10$ pc, the gravitational potential becomes dominated by the BH and bar-like modes are no longer present. However, we show that the gas can become unstable to a standing, eccentric disc or a single-armed spiral mode (m = 1), in which the stars and gas precess at different rates, driving the gas to sub-pc scales (again for sufficiently gas-rich, disc-dominated systems). A proper treatment of this mode requires including star formation and the self-gravity of both the stars and gas (which has not been the case in many previous calculations). Our simulations predict a correlation between the BH accretion rate and the star formation rate at different galactic radii. We find that nuclear star formation is more tightly coupled to active galactic nucleus activity than the global star formation rate of a galaxy, but a reasonable correlation remains even for the latter.

Key words: galaxies: active – galaxies: evolution – quasars: general – cosmology: theory.

1 INTRODUCTION

The inflow of gas into the central parts of galaxies plays a critical role in galaxy formation, ultimately generating phenomena as diverse as bulges and spheroidal galaxies, starbursts and ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs), nuclear stellar clusters and accretion on to supermassive black holes (BHs). The discovery, in the past decade, of tight correlations between the BH mass and host spheroid properties, including mass (Kormendy & Richstone 1995; Magorrian et al. 1998), velocity dispersion (Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000) and binding energy or potential well depth (Aller & Richstone 2007; Hopkins et al. 2007), implies that these phenomena are tightly coupled. It has long been realized that bright, high-Eddington ratio accretion (i.e. a quasar) dominates the accumulation of mass in the supermassive BH population (Soltan 1982; Salucci et al. 1999; Shankar et al. 2004). In order to explain the existence of BHs with masses of ~10⁹ M_☉, the amount of gas required is comparable to that contained in entire large galaxies. Given the short lifetime of the quasar phase $\leq 10^8$ yr (Martini 2004), the processes of interest must deliver a galaxy's worth of gas to the inner regions of a galaxy on a relatively short time-scale.

There is also compelling evidence that quasar activity is preceded and/or accompanied by a period of intense star formation in galactic nuclei (Sanders et al. 1988a,b; Kauffmann et al. 2003; Dasyra et al. 2007). The observed properties of bulges at $z \sim 0$ independently require that dissipative processes (gas inflow) dominate the formation and structure of the inner ~kpc (Ostriker 1980; Carlberg 1986; Gunn 1987; Kormendy 1989; Hernquist, Spergel &

^{*}E-mail: phopkins@astro.berkeley.edu

Heyl 1993). Hopkins et al. (2009a,b) and Hopkins, Cox & Hernquist (2008b) showed that this inner dissipational component can constitute a large fraction of ~5–30 per cent of the galaxy's mass, with stellar (and at some point probably gas) surface densities reaching ~ $10^{11-12} \, M_{\odot} \, \text{kpc}^{-2}$.

On large (galactic) scales, several viable processes for initiating such inflows are well known. Major galaxy-galaxy mergers produce strong non-axisymmetric disturbances of the constituent galaxies; such disturbances may also be produced in some minor mergers and/or globally self-gravitating isolated galactic discs. Observationally, major mergers are associated with enhancements in star formation in ULIRGs, submillimetre galaxies and pairs more generally (e.g. Sanders & Mirabel 1996; Schweizer 1998; Dasyra et al. 2006; Jogee 2006; Woods, Geller & Barton 2006; Veilleux et al. 2009). Numerical simulations of mergers have shown that when such events occur in gas-rich galaxies, resonant tidal torques lead to rapid inflow of gas into the central \sim kpc (Hernquist 1989; Barnes & Hernquist 1991, 1996). The resulting high gas densities trigger starbursts (Mihos & Hernquist 1994, 1996) and are presumed to feed rapid BH growth. Feedback from the starburst and a central active galactic nucleus (AGN) may also be important, both for regulating the BH's growth (Di Matteo, Springel & Hernquist 2005; Hopkins et al. 2005; Johansson, Burkert & Naab 2009b; DeBuhr et al. 2010) and for shutting down future star formation (Springel, Di Matteo & Hernquist 2005a; Johansson, Naab & Burkert 2009a; see, however, DeBuhr et al. 2010).

However, the physics of how gas is transported from $\sim 1 \text{ kpc}$ to much smaller scales remains uncertain (e.g. Goodman 2003). Typically, once gas reaches sub-kpc scales, the large-scale torques produced by a merger and/or a large-scale bar/spiral become less efficient. In the case of stellar bars or spiral waves, there can even be a 'hard' barrier to further inflow in the form of an inner Lindblad resonance, if the system has a non-trivial bulge. In mergers, the coalescence of the two systems generates perturbations on all scales, and so allows gas to move through the resonances, but the perturbations relax rapidly on small scales, often before gas can inflow.

Local viscous stresses - which are believed to dominate angular momentum transport near the central BH (e.g. Balbus & Hawley 1998) – are inefficient at radii of $\geq 0.01-0.1$ pc (e.g. Shlosman & Begelman 1989; Goodman 2003; Thompson, Quataert & Murray 2005). It is in principle possible that some molecular clouds could be scattered on to very low angular momentum orbits, but even the optimistic fuelling rates from this process are generally insufficient to produce luminous quasars (see e.g. Hopkins & Hernquist 2006; Nayakshin & King 2007; Kawakatu & Wada 2008). As a consequence, many models invoke some form of gravitational torques ('bars-within-bars'; Shlosman, Frank & Begelman 1989) to continue transport to smaller radii. As gas is driven into the central kpc by large-scale torques, it will cool rapidly into a discy structure; if this gas reservoir is massive enough, the gas will be self-gravitating and thus again vulnerable to global instabilities (e.g. the well-known bar and/or spiral wave instabilities) that can drive some of the gas to yet smaller radii.

To date, numerical simulations have seen the formation of such secondary bars in some circumstances, such as in adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) simulations of galaxy formation (Escala 2007; Wise, Turk & Abel 2008; Levine et al. 2008) or particle-splitting smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) simulations of some idealized systems (Escala et al. 2004; Mayer et al. 2007). These studies have served as a critical 'proof of concept.' However, these examples have generally been limited by computational expense to studying a single system at one instant in its evolution, and thus it is difficult to assess how the sub-pc dynamics depends on the large parameter space of possible inflow conditions from large radii and galaxy structural parameters.

Alternatively, some simulations simply take an assumed smallscale structure and/or fixed inflow rate as an initial/boundary condition, and study the resulting gas dynamics at small radii (e.g. Schartmann et al. 2009; Dotti et al. 2009; Wada & Norman 2002; Wada, Papadopoulos & Spaans 2009). These studies have greatly informed our understanding of nuclear obscuration on small scales (the 'torus'), and the role of stellar feedback in determining the structure and dynamics of the gas at these radii; it is, however, unclear how to relate this small-scale dynamics to the larger scale properties of the host galaxy. This is critical for understanding BH growth and nuclear star formation in the broader context of galaxy formation.

Observationally, a long standing puzzle has been that many systems, especially those with weaker inflows on large scales (e.g. bar or spiral wave-unstable discs with some bulge, as opposed to major mergers), exhibit no secondary instabilities at $\sim 0.1-1$ kpc – in several cases, torques clearly reverse sign inside these radii (Block et al. 2001; García-Burillo et al. 2005). Whether this is generic, or the consequence of a low duty cycle, or the result of the large-scale inflows simply being too weak in these cases, is not clear. Moreover, even among systems that do show nuclear asymmetries, and that clearly exhibit enhanced star formation and luminous AGN, the observed features at smaller radii are very often not traditional bars. Rather, they exhibit a diverse morphology, with spirals quite common, along with nuclear rings, barred rings, occasional one or three-armed modes, and some clumpy/irregular structures (Martini & Pogge 1999; Peletier et al. 1999; Knapen, Shlosman & Peletier 2000; Knapen et al. 2002; Laine et al. 2002; Greene et al. 2009).

Even if secondary bars or spirals are present at intermediate radii of $\sim 10-100$ pc, it has long been recognized that they will cease to be important at yet smaller scales, when the potential becomes quasi-Keplerian and the global self-gravity of the gas less important; this occurs as one approaches the BH radius of influence, which is $\sim 10 \text{ pc}$ in typical $\sim L_*$ galaxies (Athanassoula et al. 1983; Athanassoula, Lambert & Dehnen 2005; Shlosman et al. 1989; Heller, Shlosman & Englmaier 2001; Begelman & Shlosman 2009). Indeed, in previous simulations and most analytic calculations, the 'bars-within-bars' model appears to break down at these scales (see e.g. Jogee 2006, and references therein). However, local angular momentum transport is still very inefficient at ~ 10 pc, and the gas is still locally self-gravitating, and so should be able to form stars rapidly (e.g. Thompson et al. 2005). Understanding the physics of inflow through these last few pc, especially in a consistent model that connects to gas on galactic scales (~10 kpc), remains one of the key open questions in our understanding of massive BH growth.

In this paper, we present a suite of multiscale hydrodynamic simulations that follow gravitational torques and gas inflow from the kpc scales of galaxy-wide events through to <0.1 pc where the material begins to form a standard thin accretion disc. These simulations include gas cooling, star formation and self-gravity; feedback from supernovae and stellar winds is crudely accounted for via a subgrid model. In order to isolate the physics of angular momentum transport, we do not include BH feedback in our calculations. We systematically survey a large range of galaxy properties (e.g. gas fraction and bulge-to-disc ratio) and gas thermodynamics, in order to understand how these influence the dynamics, inflow rates and observational properties of gas on small scales in galactic nuclei

(\sim 0.1–100 pc). Our focus in this paper is on the results of most observational interest: what absolute inflow rates, star formation rates (SFRs) and gas/stellar surface density profiles result from secondary gravitational instabilities? What is their effective duty cycle? And what range of observational morphologies are predicted? In a future paper (Hopkins & Quataert 2010b, hereafter Paper II), we will present a more detailed comparison between our numerical results and analytic models of inflow and angular momentum transport induced by non-axisymmetric instabilities in galactic nuclei.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe our simulation methodology, which consists of two levels of 'resimulations' using initial conditions motivated by galactic-scale simulations. In Section 3, we present an overview of our results and show how a series of gravitational processes leads to gas transport from galactic scales to sub-pc scales. In Section 4, we quantify the resulting inflow rates and gas properties as a function of time and radius in the simulations. Section 5 summarizes the conditions required for global gravitational instability and significant gas inflow. In Section 6, we show how the physics of accretion induced by gravitational instabilities leads to a correlation (with significant scatter) between star formation at different radii and BH accretion; we also compare these results to observations. In Section 7, we summarize our results and discuss a number of their implications and several additional observational tests. Further numerical details and tests of our methodology are discussed in Appendix A. In Appendix B, we show how the subgrid model of the interstellar medium (ISM) we use influences our results.

2 METHODOLOGY

We use a suite of hydrodynamic simulations to study the physics of gas inflow from ~ 10 kpc to ~ 0.1 pc in galactic nuclei. In order to probe the very large range in spatial and mass scales, we carry out a series of 'resimulations'. First, we simulate the dynamics on galaxy scales. Specifically, we use representative examples of gasrich galaxy-galaxy merger simulations and isolated, moderately bar-unstable disc simulations. These are well resolved down to \sim 100–500 pc. We use the conditions at these radii (at several times) as the initial conditions for intermediate-scale resimulations of the sub-kpc dynamics. In these resimulations, the smaller volume is simulated at higher resolution, allowing us to resolve the subsequent dynamics down to \sim 10-pc scales – these resimulations approximate the nearly instantaneous behaviour of the gas on sub-kpc scales in response to the conditions at ~kpc set by galaxy-scale dynamics. We then repeat our resimulation method to follow the dynamics down to sub-pc scales where the gas begins to form a standard accretion disc.

Our resimulations are not intended to provide an exact realization of the small-scale dynamics of the larger scale simulation that motivated the initial conditions of each resimulation (in the manner of particle-splitting or AMR techniques). Rather, our goal is to identify the dominant mechanism(s) of angular momentum transport in galactic nuclei and what parameters they depend on. This approach clearly has limitations, especially at the outer boundaries of the simulations; however, it also has a major advantage. By not requiring the conditions at small radii to be uniquely set by a larger scale 'parent' simulation, we can run a series of simulations with otherwise identical conditions (on that scale) but systematically vary one parameter (e.g. gas fraction or ISM model) over a large dynamic range. This allows us to identify the physics and galaxy properties that have the biggest effect on gas inflow in galactic nuclei. As we will show, the diversity of behaviours seen in the simulations, and desire to marginalize over the uncertain ISM physics, makes such a parameter survey critical.

This methodology is discussed in more detail below. First, we describe the physics in our simulations, in particular our treatment of gas cooling, star formation and feedback from supernovae and young stars (Section 2.1). We then summarize the galaxy-scale simulations that are used to motivate the initial conditions for subsequent resimulations (Section 2.2). The intermediate-scale resimulations, and the methodology used to construct their initial conditions, are discussed in Section 2.3. Finally, we discuss the nuclear-scale resimulations, which are themselves motivated by the intermediate-scale resimulations (Section 2.4).

2.1 Gas physics, star formation and stellar feedback

The simulations were performed with the parallel TreeSPH code GADGET-3 (Springel 2005), based on a fully conservative formulation of SPH, which conserves energy and entropy simultaneously even when smoothing lengths evolve adaptively (see e.g. Hernquist 1993; Springel & Hernquist 2002; O'Shea et al. 2005). The detailed numerical methodology is described in Springel (2005), Springel & Hernquist (2003) and Springel, Di Matteo & Hernquist (2005b).

The simulations include supermassive BHs as additional collisionless particles at the centres of all progenitor galaxies. In our calculations, the BH's only dynamical role is via its gravitational influence on the smallest scales of $\sim 1-10$ pc. In particular, to cleanly isolate the physics of gas inflow, we do *not* include the subgrid models for BH accretion and feedback that have been used in previous works (e.g. Springel et al. 2005b). During a galaxy merger, the BHs in each galactic nucleus are assumed to coalesce and form a single BH at the centre of mass of the system once they are within a single SPH smoothing length of one another and are moving at a relative speed lower than both the local gas sound speed and relative escape velocities.

In our models, stars form from the gas using a prescription motivated by the observed Kennicutt (1998) relation. Specifically, we use an SFR per unit volume $\dot{\rho}_* \propto \rho^{3/2}$ with the normalization chosen so that a Milky Way-like galaxy has a total SFR of about $1 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

The precise slope, normalization and scatter of the Schmidt-Kennicutt relation, and even whether or not such a relation is generally applicable, are somewhat uncertain on the smallest spatial scales we model here. This is especially true when the dynamical times become short relative to the main-sequence stellar lifetime $(t_{\rm dyn} \sim 10^5 - 10^6 \, {\rm yr}$ in the smallest regions simulated). None the less, there is some observational and physical motivation for the 'standard' parameters we have adopted, even at high surface densities. For the densest star-forming galaxies, observational studies favour a logarithmic slope of $\simeq 1.7$ for the relation between $\dot{\Sigma}_*$ and Σ_g (Bouché et al. 2007), not that different from what our model implements. In addition, Tan, Krumholz & McKee (2006) and Krumholz & Tan (2007) show that local observations imply a constant star formation efficiency in units of the dynamical time (i.e. $\dot{\rho}_* \propto \rho^{1.5}$) at all densities observed, $n \sim 10^{1-6} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ – the highest gas densities in these studies are comparable to the highest gas densities in our simulations ($\sim 10^8 \, M_{\odot}$ of gas inside $\sim 10 \, pc$). Finally, Davies et al. (2007) and Hicks et al. (2009) estimate the SFR and gas surface densities in AGN on exactly the small scales of interest here $(\sim 1-10 \text{ pc})$; they find an SFR-density relation continuous with that implied at 'normal' galaxy densities.

To understand the possible impact of uncertainties in the Schmidt-Kennicutt relation on our conclusions, we have adjusted the slope d ln $\dot{\rho}_*/d \ln \rho$ adopted in our simulations between 1.0 and 2.0 in a small set of test runs, fixing the SFR at Milky Way-like surface densities of ${\simeq}10^9\,M_{\odot}\,kpc^{-2}$ where the observational constraints are tight. This amounts to varying the absolute star formation efficiency on the smallest resolved scales by a factor of ≥ 100 ; gualitatively, this could presumably mimic a wide variety of different physics associated with stellar feedback and star formation. This variation can, unsurprisingly, have a dramatic effect on the quasiequilibrium gas densities at small radii, which are set by gas inflow balancing star formation. However, even over this large range of star formation efficiencies, the qualitative behaviour of the angular momentum transport and gas inflow does not change dramatically; the gas dynamics in a low-star formation efficiency run is similar to that in a run with much higher initial gas content but also higher star formation efficiency. As a result, although the absolute star formation efficiency is clearly somewhat uncertain, we do not believe that this qualitatively affects our conclusions.

The largest uncertainties in our modelling stem from the treatment of the ISM gas physics and the impact of stellar feedback on the ISM. Our simulations are relatively coarse and average over many starforming clumps, H II regions, supernova remnants, etc. As a result, the simulations use a sub-resolution model of a multiphase ISM in which the gas has a sound speed much larger than its true thermal velocity (Springel & Hernquist 2003). Our assumption is that the large-scale gravitational torques produced by bars, spiral waves and other non-axisymmetric features will not depend critically on the small-scale structure of the ISM; although we believe that this is qualitatively correct, more detailed calculations will be required to ultimately assess this assumption. The key role of stellar feedback in this model is to suppress the runaway fragmentation and clumping of gas on small scales. In reality, this likely occurs via turbulence generated by stellar feedback and via the disruption of star clusters and molecular clouds (e.g. Murray, Quataert & Thompson 2010). In our model, all of this physics is 'accounted for' by the large effective sound speed, which increases the Jeans and Toomre masses, thus suppressing the formation of small-scale structure.

Fig. 1 shows the range of effective sound speeds c_s in our calculations as a function of the ISM density *n*, compared to a number of observational constraints (large symbols). The solid lines in Fig. 1 represent analytic approximations to the equation of state, while the small coloured points are representative results from simulations that also include adiabatic cooling/heating and shock heating. We



Figure 1. Effective equation of state of the ISM in our simulations; this accounts for the effects of stellar feedback that are not resolved in our calculations. We plot the effective sound speed c_s (i.e. the turbulent speed generated by feedback) versus average ISM density *n*. The $q_{eos} = 0$ case is an isothermal 'floor' at $c_s = 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The $q_{eos} = 1$ line is the 'maximal feedback' model in Springel & Hernquist (2003), in which the ISM is multiphase above a minimum *n* and all supernova energy goes into pressurizing the 'diffuse' medium. Intermediate q_{eos} interpolate between the two (equation 1). For each q_{eos} , we show an analytic curve for the equilibrium $c_s(n)$ and simulation results (coloured points). We compile measurements of the turbulent or non-thermal velocities in observed systems (black points): local ULIRGs (Downes & Solomon 1998, circles; large/small for the inner/outer observed radii in each), the nuclei of merging LIRGs (Bryant & Scoville 1999, star), the core of M82 (Westmoquette et al. 2007, ×), the central 400 pc of NGC 6240 (Tacconi et al. 1999, triangle), nuclear clumps in NGC 6240 (Iono et al. 2007, pentagon) and the maser disc of NGC 3079 (Kondratko et al. 2005, small squares). The canonical spiral disc velocity dispersion is also shown (large square). At high redshifts, we show recent observations of 'normal' star-forming discs from Förster Schreiber et al. (2006, $z \sim 2$; diamond) and Lemoine-Busserolle et al. (2010, z = 3-4; asterisk), and luminous submillimetre galaxies from Tacconi et al. (2006, inverted triangle). The observations favour a median $q_{eos} \sim 0.125-0.3$, which we adopt as our 'fiducial' models.

adopt a parametrization of the sound speed as a function of density - i.e. the effective equation of state for the ISM gas - following Springel et al. (2005b), Springel & Hernquist (2005) and Robertson et al. (2006a,b). With this model, we can interpolate freely between two extremes using a parameter q_{eos} . At one extreme, the gas has an effective sound speed of 10 km s⁻¹, motivated by, e.g., the observed turbulent velocity in atomic gas in nearby spirals or the sound speed of low density photoionized gas; this is the 'no-feedback' case with $q_{\rm eos} = 0$. The opposite extreme, $q_{\rm eos} = 1$, represents the 'maximal feedback' sub-resolution model of Springel et al. (2005b), based on the multiphase ISM model of McKee & Ostriker (1977); in this case, 100 per cent of the energy from supernovae is assumed to stir up the ISM. This equation of state is substantially stiffer, with effective sound speeds as high as $\sim 200 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$. Note that at the highest densities, c_s begins to decline in all of the models (albeit slowly), as the efficiency of star formation asymptotes but cooling rates continue to increase.

Varying q_{eos} between these two extremes amounts to varying the effective sound speed of the ISM, with the interpolation

$$c_{\rm s} = \sqrt{q_{\rm eos} \, c_{\rm s}^2 [q=1] + (1-q_{\rm eos}) \, c_{\rm s}^2 [q=0]} \,. \tag{1}$$

The resulting sound speeds for $q_{\rm eos} = 0.125$ and 0.25 are shown in Fig. 1; these correspond to more moderate values of $c_{\rm s} \sim$ $30-100 \,{\rm km \, s^{-1}}$ for the densities of interest.

Fig. 1 compares these models to observations of the turbulent (non-thermal) velocities in atomic and molecular gas in a number of systems (large symbols). At low mean densities, $n \leq 0.3-1$ cm⁻³, the turbulent velocity in nearby spirals is $\sim 10 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$. Downes & Solomon (1998) present a detailed study of a number of luminous local starbursts that have significantly higher mean densities; they decompose the observed molecular line profiles into bulk (e.g. rotation) and turbulent motions. We plot their determination of the mean density and turbulent velocities in each system at several radii. We also show the results of similar observations of the core of M82 (Westmoquette et al. 2007), additional nearby LIRGs (Bryant & Scoville 1999), NGC 6240 (Tacconi et al. 1999; Iono et al. 2007) and luminous starbursts at high redshift, $z \sim 2-3$ (Förster Schreiber et al. 2006; Tacconi et al. 2006; Lemoine-Busserolle et al. 2010); at the highest densities, we also show the random velocities observed in the nuclear maser disc in the nearby Seyfert 2 galaxy NGC 3079 (Kondratko, Greenhill & Moran 2005).

The observational results in Fig. 1 favour models with $q_{\rm eos} \approx 0.1-0.3$, albeit with significant scatter. We thus take these values of $q_{\rm eos}$ as our 'standard' choices, although we have carried out numerical experiments over the entire range $q_{\rm eos} = 0-1$. Note that the observations clearly do *not* support a simple no-feedback ($q_{\rm eos} = 0$) model. Within the range $q_{\rm eos} \approx 0.1-0.3$, our results on AGN fuelling are not particularly sensitive to the precise value of $q_{\rm eos}$. Moreover, the functional form $c_{\rm s}(n)$ is also not crucial: simulations using a constant $c_{\rm s} \simeq 50 \,{\rm km \, s^{-1}}$ yield similar results. However, our simulations with $q_{\rm eos} = 0$ and $q_{\rm eos} = 1$ predict results that are inconsistent with observations of galactic nuclei – thus, our results themselves favour $q_{\rm eos} \approx 0.1-0.3$ (see Appendix B).

For the gas densities of interest in this paper, the precise form of the cooling law does not significantly affect our conclusions. This is because the cooling time is almost always much shorter than the local dynamical time (typical $t_{cool} \sim 10^{-6}-10^{-4} t_{dyn}$). As a result the 'sound speed' of the gas is nearly always pinned to the subgrid 'turbulent' value discussed above (this is why the numerical points in Fig. 1 are so close to the analytic models). This is true even when the gas is optically thick to the infrared radiation produced

by dust, as can readily occur in the central ~ 100 pc: the cooling time (diffusion time) is still much less than the dynamical time in the optically thick limit for the radii that we resolve (e.g. Thompson et al. 2005). We have, in fact, experimented with alternative cooling rate prescriptions: including or excluding metal-line cooling, uniformly increasing or decreasing the cooling rate by a factor of ≈ 3 and, in the most extreme case, assuming instantaneous gas cooling (any gas parcel above the cooling floor is assumed to immediately radiate the excess energy in a single time-step). We do not see any significant changes in our results with these variations, simply because the gas always cools rapidly in our calculations (in contrast, in regimes such as the α -disc where the cooling time is comparable to the dynamical time, the details of the cooling function can have a significant effect; see Gammie 2001; Nayakshin, Cuadra & Springel 2007; Cossins, Lodato & Clarke 2009). If the effective minimum $c_{\rm s}$ comes from turbulent velocities, then the effective cooling time around this floor should be given by the turbulent decay time, which can be comparable to the dynamical time (Begelman & Shlosman 2009); this is not included in our calculations. In the presence of such an effective cooling time, local gravitational instability may lead to tightly wound spirals as opposed to fragmentation into starforming clumps. These could be important for angular momentum transport at some radii.

To conclude our discussion of the ISM physics in our simulations, it is important to reiterate that the key role of the subresolution sound speed c_s is that it determines the local Jeans and Toomre criteria, and thus the physical scale on which gravitational physics dominates. The Jeans mass for a disc of surface density Σ and sound speed c_s is given by $M_{\rm I} = (\pi c_s^4)/(4G^2\Sigma)$. For the outer regions of a galactic disc with $\Sigma \sim 10^8 - 10^9 \, M_{\odot} \, kpc^{-2}$ and $c_{\rm s} \sim 10 \,\rm km \, s^{-1}, \ M_{\rm J} \sim 10^6 \,\rm M_{\odot}$, comparable to that of a molecular cloud; the corresponding Jeans length is tens of pc, comparable to that of massive molecular cloud complexes in galaxies. Thus, our sub-resolution model is effectively averaging over discrete molecular clouds and star clusters in galaxies. Large-scale inflows can increase the surface density in the central regions of galaxies, but $c_{\rm s}$ also rises. In our models with $q_{\rm eos} \simeq 0.1$ –0.3, the Jeans mass remains roughly similar down to \sim pc scales, but as a result the Jeans length is significantly smaller in galactic nuclei where the ambient density is much higher. These physical mass and size-scales motivate the numerical resolution in our simulations; in all cases, we ensure that the resolution is sufficient to formally resolve the Jeans mass and length. Higher resolution simulations may be numerically achievable, but can provide only minimal gains in the 'reality' of the simulation without a corresponding increase in the sophistication of the ISM model.

2.2 Large-scale galaxy mergers and bars: 100 kpc to 100 pc

Our galaxy-scale simulations motivate the initial conditions chosen for the smaller scale resimulation calculations described in Sections 2.3 and 2.4. The galaxy-scale simulations include isolated discs (both globally stable and bar unstable) and galaxy–galaxy mergers. We will ultimately focus on a few representative examples, but we chose those having surveyed a large parameter space. These simulations and the methodology used for building the initial galaxies are described in more detail in a series of papers (see e.g. Di Matteo et al. 2005; Cox et al. 2006; Robertson et al. 2006a; Younger et al. 2008). We briefly review the key points here.

For each simulation, we generate one or two stable, isolated disc galaxies, each with an extended dark matter halo with a Hernquist (1990) profile, an exponential disc of gas and stars, and an optional stellar bulge. The initial systems are chosen to be consistent with the observed baryonic Tully–Fisher relation and estimated halo– galaxy mass scaling laws (Bell & de Jong 2001; Kormendy & Freeman 2004; Mandelbaum et al. 2006, and references therein). The galaxies have total masses $M_{\rm vir} = V_{\rm vir}^3/(10GH[z])$ for an initial redshift *z*, with the baryonic disc having a mass fraction $m_{\rm d}$ (typically $m_{\rm d} \simeq 0.041$) relative to the total mass. The system has an initial bulge-to-total baryonic mass ratio B/T and the disc has initial gas fraction $f_{\rm gas}$. The dark matter haloes are assigned a concentration parameter scaled as in Robertson et al. (2006a) for the galaxy mass and redshift following Bullock et al. (2001). Disc scalelengths are set in accordance with the above scaling laws.

In previous papers (referenced above), a large suite of these simulations have been presented, with several hundred simulations of varying equations of state, numerical resolutions, merger orbital parameters, structural properties (e.g. profile shapes, initial bulge-to-disc ratios and scalelengths), initial gas fractions and halo concentrations. In this suite, galaxies have baryonic masses of $\sim 10^8 - 10^{13} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ and gas fractions $f_{\rm gas} = 0$ -1; mergers spanning mass ratios from 1:1 to 1:20 and isolated discs have Toomre Q parameters from 0.1 to 10.

In this work, we focus on galaxies with baryonic masses of $\sim 10^{11} \, M_{\odot}$. Based on the above survey, we select a representative simulation of a gas-rich major merger and that of an isolated disc, to provide the basis for our subsequent resimulations. Some of the salient parameters of these simulations are given in Table 1.

The merger is of equal mass, with $10^{11} \text{ M}_{\odot}$ galaxies that have gas fractions of ~40 per cent at the time of the merger/coalescence. The orbit is a moderately tilted prograde, parabolic case (orbit in Cox et al. 2006). Together this makes for a fairly violent, gas-rich major merger, representative of many of our other gas-rich major merger simulations at both low and high redshifts. The isolated system is a 10^{11} -M $_{\odot}$ disc with $f_{\text{gas}} = 0.4$, B/T = 0.3, scalelength h = 3.2 kpc and $m_{\text{d}} = 0.041$; it has a Toomre Q of the order of 1 and develops a moderate bar (amplitude of ~15 per cent), but the gas encounters an inner Lindblad resonance at ~1–2 kpc.

Small variations in the orbits or the structural properties of the galaxies will change the details of the tidal and bar features on large scales. However, the precise details of these large-scale simulations are not important for our study of the dynamics on small scales (see Appendix A). Rather, the small-scale dynamics depends on global parameters such as the gas mass channelled into the central region, relative to the pre-existing bulge, disc and BH mass. In these respects, we have chosen the simulations summarized in Table 1 to be representative of a broad class of gas-rich systems.

Our galaxy-scale simulations have a spatial resolution – gravitational softening length and minimum adaptive SPH smoothing length – of 50 pc. In the suite described above, the resolution scales with galaxy mass and is ~50–100 pc for $M_* \sim 10^{11}$ -M_☉ systems, but in a subset of higher resolution cases it is as small as 20 pc. In Hopkins et al. (2008a, 2009a), we have demonstrated that this resolution is sufficient to properly resolve not only the mass fractions

Table 1. Galaxy-scale simulations.

Simulation	ϵ	$q_{\rm eos}$	f_{gas}	h/R	B/T(< R)		$\Sigma_{\rm d}(0)$	$\Sigma_{\rm b}(0)$	$M_{\rm tot}(< R) ({\rm M}_{\odot})$	
name	(pc)		(500 pc)	(500 pc)	(300 pc)	(1 kpc)	(M _O)	(kpc^{-2})	(300 pc)	(1 kpc)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		(7) (8)		(9)	
			Merg	ger and isolate	d galaxy si	mulations: p	arameter studies			
-	20, 50, 100, 150	0.0–1.0	0.05-1.0	0.05-0.35	0.1–0.9	0.01–0.5	1.0e9-1.0e11	1.0e9-1.0e12	1.0e8-1.0e11	1.0e8-1.0e1
				Typical gas-r	ich $\sim L_*$ m	erger: initial	conditions			
b3ex(ic)	10, ^{<i>a</i>} 50	0.25	0.80	0.25	0.8	0.4	2.5e8	2.5e8	1.4e8	1.9e9
				Typical gas-	-rich $\sim L_*$ r	nerger: at co	alescence			
b3ex(co)	10, ^{<i>a</i>} 50	0.25	0.45	0.33	0.15	0.25	2.0e10	2.6e9	4.4e9	2.5e10
			Тур	pical gas-rich	$\sim L_*$ merge	er: 10 ⁸ -yr po	st-coalescence			
b3ex(po)	10, ^{<i>a</i>} 50	0.25	0.08	0.37	0.10	0.15	2.3e11	9.1e9	2.8e10	4.6e10
				Bar unsta	ble $\sim L_*$ dis	sc: initial con	nditions			
barex(ic)	10, ^{<i>a</i>} 50	0.25	0.40	0.15	0.55	0.35	1.7e8	2.4e8	1.5e8	1.9e9
				Bar unsta	ble $\sim L_*$ dis	sc: at peak of	f inflow			
barex(pk)	10, ^{<i>a</i>} 50	0.25	0.48	0.20	0.13	0.23	1.1e9	2.5e8	5.2e8	3.8e9
				Bar unstab	le $\sim L_*$ disc	e: after bar ro	elaxation			
barex(re)	10, ^{<i>a</i>} 50	0.25	0.04	0.11	0.16	0.18	1.2e10	5.5e9	5.6e9	1.5e10

Note. Parameters describing representative examples of our galaxy-scale simulations of galaxy–galaxy mergers and unstable isolated discs. The top row gives the range spanned in each parameter across our suite of simulations. Subsequent rows pertain to specific examples at chosen times in their evolution. (1) Simulation name/ID. (2) Minimum smoothing length (in pc). (3) Equation of state parameter (Fig. 1). (4) Gas fraction $\equiv M_{gas}/(M_{gas} + M_{*,disc})$ of the discy/cold component (inside the given radius). (5) Scaleheight of the discy/cold component within the given radius (median |z|/R; the plane of the disc is defined by the total angular momentum vector). (6) Bulge-to-total mass ratio inside a given radius (bulge here includes all spherical components: stellar bulge, dark matter halo and BH). (7) Maximum surface density of the discy/cold component (gas plus stars) – for an exponential profile, the surface density is nearly constant at radii below the disc scalelength. Otherwise, averaged over a couple times our minimum smoothing length. (8) Average surface density inside 300 pc for the bulge component. (9) Total mass enclosed inside a given radius. All simulations include BHs, but these are dynamically unimportant on these scales. ^aFor each of the two simulations here, we have also run three ultra high-resolution simulations which also act as a moderate-resolution intermediate-scale simulation (~10⁷ particles). They are identical in initial conditions to the standard merger and isolated disc run here, with initial gas fraction equal to, one-half and one-quarter that shown (six simulations in total).

but also the spatial extent of the 'starburst' formed from gas which loses angular momentum in a merger or via a strong bar instability. However, to assess how much of this gas can ultimately fuel a central BH requires that we determine the dynamics on even smaller spatial scales.

2.3 Intermediate scales: resimulating from 1 kpc to 10 pc

In order to follow the behaviour of gas inflow on smaller scales, we resimulate the central regions of interest at higher resolution, in a series of progressively smaller scale runs. We begin by selecting a number of representative outputs from the galaxy-scale simulations described above, near the peak of activity. We select several snapshots in the gas-rich merger at key epochs: early close encounters of the two galaxies, just at nuclear coalescence (which is the peak of star formation in the nuclear region) and at the 'end' of the merger (roughly $\sim 10^8$ yr after the final coalescence). We also select snapshots typical of isolated, moderately bar-unstable systems, at times where a bar and some inflow has developed; for comparison, we also consider a fully stable (pre-bar) galaxy disc. In each case, we focus on the central 0.1-1 kpc region, which includes the majority of the gas that has been driven in from larger scales. Some of the representative properties of these snapshots, at these scales and times, are outlined in Table 1.

Our approach to resimulating the nuclear region is to use the larger scale simulations to motivate the initial conditions of a smaller scale calculation (a 'zoom-in' or 'resimulation'). We do so by decomposing the potential, density and velocity distributions of the gas, stars and dark matter at a given time in the larger scale simulation using the basis expansion proposed in Hernquist & Ostriker (1992). This allows us to not only reconstruct a smoothed density profile, but also to include the asymmetric structures from the larger scale simulation (if desired) and to define where the potential is noise-dominated.¹ From these stellar, gas and dark matter distributions, we repopulate the gas and stars in the central regions (the scale we wish to resimulate; generally out to an outer radius of \sim 1–2 kpc) and use this as the initial condition for a new simulation that we run for several local dynamical times. To be conservative, we typically initialize only a small amount of gas in the inner parts of the resimulation,² since the larger scale simulation from which the initial condition is drawn has little information about the gas properties on small scales; in Appendix A, we show that the subsequent dynamics does not depend significantly on these details of the initial conditions.

We have carried out a total of \sim 50 simulations at these intermediate scales, which together span a wide range in the key parameters: the equation of state of the gas and the relative mass fraction in a pre-existing bulge, gas disc and stellar disc. Table 2 summarizes the key properties of physical importance in several of these simulations (some numerical studies and surveys of initial condition, which turn out to have little effect on the key results, are not listed). Because our general approach is to systematically survey the initial conditions, we do not identify every simulation in Table 2 with an exact snapshot from Table 1; rather, they should be considered a systematic parameter survey of possible intermediate-scale conditions, motivated by the typical range of sub-kpc conditions seen in our galaxy-scale simulations. Dark matter is present, but is dynamically irrelevant at these scales. We have also varied the mass of the central BH, but at these scales it is still dynamically unimportant. Although the initial conditions for our calculations are drawn from galaxy-scale simulations, the dynamics on small scales depends primarily on a few key properties of the simulation (f_{gas} and B/T) and is thus insensitive to many of the details of the galaxy on larger scales.

Our intermediate-scale simulations typically involve $\simeq 10^6$ particles, with a force resolution of a few pc and a particle mass of $\approx 10^4 \, M_{\odot}$. The duration of the simulation is $\sim 10^7 - 10^8 \, yr$ – this is many dynamical times at small radii, but small compared to the dynamical time at larger radii.³ These resimulations can thus be thought of as a probe of the instantaneous behaviour of the gas at small radii given the inflow conditions set at larger radii.

We discuss a number of numerical tests and variations about this basic methodology in Appendix A. Specifically, we show that our results are not sensitive to including properties of the larger scale galaxy in which the simulation should be embedded, such as the \sim kpc-scale tidal potential. They are also not sensitive to whether we initialize an axisymmetric gas/potential distribution or whether the initial condition includes the non-axisymmetric modes present in the larger scale simulation. The reason is that instabilities due to selfgravity can grow exponentially from shot-noise in the simulation even given an initially axisymmetric structure. Thus, the presence of initial asymmetries on ~100-pc scales does not have a significant effect on the resulting transport of gas to smaller radii; the transport is determined by the presence (or absence) of internal instabilities in the gas on small scales. Finally, because the 'initial' densities on small scales are intentionally initialized to be low relative to their values later in the simulation, our results are not particularly sensitive to how we initialize gas at small radii in the resimulation; this is important because there is no reliable information about these small scales in our larger scale simulation.

To check that our resimulation approach has not introduced any artificial behaviour, we have run a small number of ultra-high-resolution galaxy-scale simulations, the inner properties of which can be compared to the intermediate-scale resimulations summarized here. For these very high-resolution calculations there is continuous inflow from large scales, so they can be self-consistently evolved for many dynamical times. The expense of these calculations, however, limits the survey of initial conditions possible. We have six such simulations: three mergers, identical in mass and geometry to our canonical case in Table 1, but with initial $f_{gas} =$ 0.2, 0.4, 0.8 and $\sim 10^7$ particles, which gives SPH smoothing lengths of ~ 10 pc. While not quite as high resolution as our resimulation runs, these provide an important check on the results of the latter and are run self-consistently for 4×10^9 yr. We will show results from these ultra-high-resolution simulations at several points; we find

¹ As one continues the expansion to include arbitrarily small-scale modes, the best-fitting mode amplitude will eventually yield an amplitude consistent with the shot-noise in the simulation, roughly at the scale of the median interparticle spacing; we discard higher mode numbers as they are particle-noise dominated.

² To avoid numerical effects from a step-function cut-off in the mass profile at small radii, we typically truncate the gas mass profile with a $\Sigma_{\text{gas}} \propto (R/R_0)^2$ power law inside of a radius $R_0 \sim 3-5\epsilon$ in the parent simulation (ϵ is the minimum smoothing length). Gas within this radius (<1 per cent of the resimulated mass) is initialized with circular orbits.

³ To ensure there are no later time phenomena of interest, and to study the relaxed structure of the stellar remnant produced by each resimulation, we evolve most for $\gtrsim 2 \times 10^8$ yr, by which time all the gas is exhausted. We find that there is no qualitatively new behaviour at these later times.

Table 2.	Intermediate-sca	le resimulations	$(\sim 10 - 1000 \text{pc})$
----------	------------------	------------------	-------------------------------

Simulation	ϵ (pc)	$q_{ m eos}$	f_{gas} (500 pc) (4)	<i>h/R</i> (500 pc) (5)	B/T(< R) (100 pc) (300 pc)		$\frac{\Sigma_{\rm d}(0)}{(\rm M_{\odot}kpc^{-2})}$		$M_{\rm tot}(< R) ({\rm M_{\odot}})$ (100 pc) (300 pc)	
(1)	(2)	(3)			(*******)	(6)		(7) (8)		(9)
If9b5 ^a	1.0	0, ^b 0.175, 0.25	0.90	0.30	0.5	0.15	1.0e10	1.1e10	5.4e8	2.9e9
If9b5thin	1.0	0.125, 0.25	0.90	0.08,0.16	0.4	0.2	1.0e10	1.1e10	5.4e8	2.9e9
If9b5res	0.3,1,3,10	0.125	0.90	0.30	0.5	0.15	1.0e10	1.1e10	5.4e8	2.9e9
If9b5q	1.0	0, 0, ^c 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1	0.90	0.30	0.5	0.15	1.0e10	1.1e10	5.4e8	2.9e9
Ilowresq	3.0	0, 0.25, 1	0.95	0.27	0.0	0.0	6.0e10	0.0	1.2e9	4.9e9
If1b1late	1.0	0.125	0.096	0.25	0.06	0.03	1.0e11	1.1e10	3.4e9	2.2e10
If1b0late	1.0	0.25	0.091	0.28	0.002	0.003	6.0e10	5.0e8	1.3e9	5.3e9
If1b0lateL ^d	2.0	0.25	0.091	0.28	0.005	0.01	6.0e10	5.0e8	7.4e9	8.5e9
If3b3mid	1.0	0.125	0.34	0.25	0.3	0.15	3.1e10	2.0e10	1.3e9	7.2e9
If3b3midRg ^e	1.0	0.125	0.45, 0.20, 0.05	0.3,0.5	0.26	0.12	3.6e10	2.0e10	1.5e9	9.2e9
If1b3Lmid	1.0	0.25	0.10	0.30	0.07	0.10	6.4e10	1.6e9	1.4e9	5.7e9
If1b3LmidL ^d	2.0	0.25	0.10	0.30	0.15	0.25	6.4e10	1.6e9	8.4e9	1.1e10
If5b4mbul	1.0	0.25	0.50	0.24	0.40	0.55	7.4e8	1.9e8	0.3e8	1.3e8
If5b8mbul	1.0	0.25	0.50	0.24	0.80	0.90	7.4e8	3.1e9	1.2e8	5.3e8
If9b11owm	1.0	0.25	0.90	0.15	0.03	0.06	6.4e9	1.2e7	1.3e8	5.4e8
If3b9dsk	1.0	0.25	0.32	0.22	0.95	0.80	1.6e9	1.1e11	2.1e9	6.2e9
If3b9dskL ^d	2.0	0.25	0.32	0.22	0.71	0.62	1.6e9	1.1e11	8.0e9	9.3e9
IfXb2gas	1.0	0.25	0.16, 0.32, 0.50	0.26	0.16	0.08	3.6e10	1.0e10	1.3e9	7.7e9
Inf28b2	1.0	0.20	0.20, 0.80	0.25	0.51	0.28	6.3e9	3.0e8	3.6e8	1.7e9
Inf28b4	1.0	0.20	0.20, 0.80	0.25	0.67	0.43	3.3e9	3.0e8	2.7e8	1.1e9
Inf28b6	1.0	0.20	0.20, 0.80	0.25	0.78	0.56	3.3e9	6.0e8	4.0e8	1.4e9
Inf28b8	1.0	0.20	0.20.0.80	0.25	0.92	0.81	1.0e9	6.0e8	3.4e8	9.5e8
Inf2b9	1.0	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.96	0.89	5.2e8	6.0e8	3.2e8	8.6e8
Inf28b2h ^f	0.3	0.125	0.20, 0.80	0.25	0.38	0.21	5.5e9	5.0e7	2.3e8	1.1e9
Inf8b2hr ^f	0.3	0.20	0.80	0.25	0.17	0.11	5.5e9	2.5e7	2.2e8	1.1e9
Inf28b9h ^f	0.3	0.125	0.20, 0.80	0.25	0.81	0.75	5.5e9	5.0e10	2.1e9	1.1e10

Note. Parameters describing our resimulations of the 0.01–1 kpc regions from galaxy-scale simulations. Parameters separated by commas denote simulations with otherwise identical initial conditions, re-run with the specified parameter varied. (1) Simulation name/ID. (2) Minimum smoothing length (in pc). (3) Equation of state parameter (Fig. 1). (4) Initial gas fraction of the discy/cold component (inside the given radius). (5) Initial scaleheight of the disc component (inside the given radius). (6) Initial bulge-to-total mass ratio inside a given radius (again, bulge refers to all spherical components). (7) Initial maximum surface density of the discy/cold component (gas plus stars). (8) Initial average surface density inside 300 pc for the bulge component (9) Initial total mass enclosed inside a given radius. All simulations include BHs and dark matter, but these are dynamically unimportant on these scales.

^aA series of seven runs testing different means of constructing initial conditions, described in Appendix A.

^bIsothermal equation of state, but with a large $c_s = 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ cooling 'floor'.

^cCooling allowed down to 100 K, i.e. $c_s = 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

^dSomewhat larger scale simulation (between 'galaxy scale' and standard 'intermediate scale'). Instead of B/T(< R) and $M_{tot}(< R)$ being evaluated at 100 and 300 pc, they are here evaluated at 500 pc and 1 kpc, respectively.

^{*e*}Series where the gas disc profile is allowed to vary independent of the stellar disc profile. The gas has exponential, power-law and truncated power-law profiles, with varying concentrations with respect to the disc (e.g. including an extended gas 'reservoir' at a distance approximately twice the regular nuclear stellar disc length, with surface density profile $\Sigma \propto R^{-1}$).

^{*f*} Very high-resolution simulations which also act as a moderate-resolution nuclear-scale simulations (2×10^7 particles; gas particle mass of $\approx 500 \, M_{\odot}$). A series of six galaxy-scale runs with very high (~ 10 -pc) resolution, used as moderate-resolution intermediate-scale simulations, are also described in the text.

that they are quite similar to our resimulation runs, thus supporting the methodology used for most of our calculations.

The very high-resolution merger calculations also allow us to follow the binary BH pair to much smaller separation (our assumptions lead to a rapid merger below ≈ 10 pc in these calculations). We confirm, in these cases, that the BH–BH merger precedes most of the gas inflows at $\gtrsim 10$ pc, so that the assumption that the binary has merged is probably reasonable for our resimulation calculations. Moreover, the gas mass at ~ 10 pc is large ($\sim M_{BH}$) in the merger simulations. Thus if gas-rich reservoirs indeed drive rapid BH–BH coalescence, the rapid merger of the two BHs should be a reasonable assumption on all of the scales that we simulate (see e.g. Escala et al. 2004; Perets, Hopman & Alexander 2007; Mayer et al. 2007; Perets & Alexander 2008; Cuadra et al. 2009; Dotti et al. 2009).

2.4 Nuclear scales: from 10 to 0.1 pc

The characteristic initial scalelengths of the nuclear discs in our intermediate-scale calculations are ~0.2–0.5 kpc. As we discuss in Section 3, if the gas fraction is sufficiently large, instabilities quickly develop that transport material down to ~1–10 pc, near the resolution limit of our intermediate-scale calculations. Material begins to pile up at these radii because the BH mass dominates the potential and the efficiency of large-scale modes decreases at small radii. In order to understand the dynamics on yet smaller scales, we therefore repeat our 'resimulation' methodology once more. The approach is identical to that described above, but this time using the intermediate-scale simulations with a resolution of $\lesssim 10 \, \text{pc}$ as our 'parent simulation' from which to motivate the initial conditions.

Table 3. Nuclear-scale resimulations ($\sim 0.1-100$ pc).

Simulation	ϵ	$q_{\rm eos}$	f_{gas}	h/R	Мвн	$M_{\rm b/cl}(< R)$		$\Sigma_{\rm d}(0)$	$M_{\rm d}(< R) ({\rm M}_{\odot})$		
name	(pc)	1	(100 pc)	(100 pc)	(M _☉)	(10 pc)	(50 pc)	$(M_{\odot} \text{ kpc}^{-2})$	(1 pc)	(10 pc)	(50 pc)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		(8)	-	(9)	· · ·
Nf8h1c0	0.3	0.0, 0.25	0.75	0.16, 0.26	2.9e7	1.8e5	3.0e5	7.5e10	1.0e5	1.5e6	1.0e8
Nf8h1c0hola	0.3	0.25	0.75	0.24	2.9e7	1.8e5	3.0e5	7.4e10	1.0e3	1.2e6	1.0e8
Nf5h2c1	0.1	0.25	0.50	0.24	3.0e8	1.2e7	8.0e7	1.2e11	3.6e5	2.4e7	1.6e8
Nf28h1c1	0.1	0.25	0.19, 0.75	0.27	3.0e7	1.3e7	2.8e7	7.5e10	3.6e5	2.4e7	1.6e8
Nf7h12c0dsk	0.1	0.25	0.70	0.23	2.9e7, 3.0e8	0.0	0.0	4.5e9	1.0e4	0.9e6	6.0e6
Nf5h1c0	0.1	0.25	0.48	0.25	2.9e7	0.0	0.0	1.2e11	3.0e5	2.4e7	1.6e8
Nf5h1c2	0.1	0.25	0.48	0.25	2.9e7	3.0e7	9.0e7	1.2e11	3.0e5	2.4e7	1.6e8
Nf8h1c0thin	0.1	0.125	0.75	0.16, 0.27	3.0e7	1.8e5	0.3e7	7.6e10	2.0e5	1.5e7	1.0e8
Nf5h1c1thin2	0.1	0.125, 0.25	0.50	0.07, 0.14	3.0e7	3.0e5	1.2e7	1.2e11	2.0e5	2.4e7	1.6e8
Nf8h1c1qs	0.1	0, 0.125, 0.25, 1	0.75	0.28	3.0e7	3.0e6	1.4e7	7.3e10	2.0e5	1.7e7	1.2e8
Nf8h2c1	0.1	0.25	0.75	0.2, 0.33	3.0e8	3.6e6	1.4e7	7.6e10	2.0e5	1.9e7	1.2e8
Nf1h1c1low	0.1	0.25	0.08	0.25	3.0e7	3.5e6	1.4e7	1.7e11	4.7e5	3.7e7	2.5e8
Nf3h1c1mid	0.1	0.20	0.26	0.23	3.0e7	3.5e6	1.4e7	2.1e11	6.0e5	4.6e7	3.0e8
Nf6h12c2dsk	0.1	0.25	0.57	0.22	2.9e7, 3.0e8	7.2e6	2.6e7	4.4e9	1.1e5	8.1e6	3.4e7
Nf8h1c3dskM	0.1	0.25	0.75	0.30	2.9e7	1.6e7	7.1e7	7.4e10	3.5e5	3.0e7	1.7e8
Nf8h1c1dens	0.1	0.25	0.75	0.25	3.0e7	3.6e6	1.4e7	3.8e11	1.1e6	8.1e7	5.3e8
Nf8h1c1ICs ^b	0.1	0.25	0.75	0.28	3.0e7	3.1e6	1.4e7	7.3e10	2.0e5	1.7e7	1.2e8
Nf8h1c1thin	0.1	0.125, 0.18	0.75	0.08, 0.17	3.0e7	3.1e6	1.4e7	7.3e10	2.0e5	1.7e7	1.2e8
Nf2h2b2	0.1	0.20	0.20	0.25	3.0e7	6.5e6	2.0e7	3.0e11	8.1e4	7.0e7	4.2e8
Nf8h2b2	0.1	0.20	0.80	0.25	3.0e7	1.3e7	4.0e7	1.5e11	4.7e4	3.5e7	2.1e8
Nf2h2b4	0.1	0.20	0.20	0.25	3.0e7	6.5e6	2.1e7	1.5e11	4.3e4	3.5e7	2.1e8
Nf8h2b4	0.1	0.20	0.80	0.25	3.0e7	1.3e7	4.0e7	7.7e10	2.4e4	1.7e7	1.1e8
Nf2h2b5	0.1	0.20	0.20	0.25	3.0e7	6.5e6	2.1e7	7.7e10	2.1e4	1.8e7	1.1e8
Nf28h2b6	0.1	0.20	0.20, 0.80	0.25	3.0e7	1.1e7	3.7e7	3.8e10	1.1e4	8.8e6	5.3e7
Nf8h2b8	0.1	0.20	0.80	0.25	3.0e7	1.3e7	4.1e7	1.5e10	4.7e3	3.5e6	2.1e7
Nf28h2b9	0.1	0.20	0.20, 0.80	0.25	3.0e7	1.1e7	3.8e7	9.6e9	2.7e3	2.2e6	1.3e7
Nf8h2b1h	0.015	0.20	0.80	0.25	3.0e7	6.4e6	2.0e7	1.5e11	5.2e4	3.5e7	2.1e8
Nf8h2b3L	0.1	0.20	0.80	0.25	3.0e7	2.3e7	1.1e8	1.5e11	9.3e3	3.9e7	4.9e8
Nf8h2b4q	0.1	0, 0.02, 0.06, 0.12, 0.25, 0.35, 0.5, 0.7, 1	0.80	0.25	3.0e7	1.3e7	4.0e7	7.7e10	2.4e4	1.7e7	1.1e8

Note. Parameters describing our nuclear-scale resimulations of the sub-100-pc regions from intermediate-scale simulations. (1) Simulation name/ID. (2) Minimum smoothing length (in pc). (3) Equation of state parameter (Fig. 1). (4) Initial gas fraction of the discy/cold component. (5) Initial scaleheight of the discy component. (6) BH mass (M_{\odot}). (7) Initial bulge or nuclear stellar cluster mass, inside the given radius. (8) Initial maximum surface density of the discy/cold component (gas plus stars). (9) Initial mass of the discy component (gas plus stars) inside a given radius (does not include the BH mass or, if significant, nuclear star cluster/bulge mass). Dark matter is insignificant on these scales.

^{*a*}Central 'hole' is extended in disc out to 5 pc.

^bSimulations with no central deficit of matter; the initial density from the larger scale simulation is extrapolated in to $r \rightarrow 0$. Also expanded into series of initial conditions, as described in Appendix A.

We again carried out ~50 such simulations, typically with ~10⁶ particles, and a force/spatial resolution of ~0.1 pc (particle mass of ~100 M_☉). The properties of these simulations are summarized in Table 3. The simulations are evolved for ~10⁵-10⁶ yr; this is large compared to the dynamical time at the smallest radii of ~0.1 pc, but very small relative to the dynamical time of the larger scale simulations from which the initial conditions are drawn. The characteristic spatial scale of the resimulated material is initially ~10-30 pc. As described in Appendix A, we carried out a number of numerical tests of the robustness of these simulations.

At radii of \sim pc, the parameters that determine the dynamics are largely the equation of state of the gas, the mass of the BH, the mass of the nuclear disc formed by the inflow from larger scales and the gas fraction of that nuclear disc. Since the BH dominates the spherical component of the potential at these radii, the 'bulge' mass at these radii is only of secondary importance; we include it but find that it makes little difference.

As in Section 2.3, we have checked the results of these 'resimulations' by carrying out a small subset of ultra-high-resolution runs. These extend from ~ 0.3 to 1000 pc and follow inflow from larger

scales deep into the potential of the BH; because they resolve larger spatial scales than our typical 'nuclear-scale' simulation, these can be run self-consistently for 2×10^8 yr. Specifically, we have five such high-resolution intermediate-scale simulations (see Table 2), three with initial $f_{\rm gas} = 0.8$ (a low, intermediate and high B/T case) and two with $f_{\rm gas} = 0.2$ (low and high B/T). They have $\sim 10^7$ particles and gravitational softening lengths of ~ 0.3 pc. We show the results from these runs explicitly at several points; we find that they are completely consistent with our survey of resimulations, which cover a larger parameter space of galaxy/BH properties but are more limited in the dynamic range.

It is important to note upfront that our simplified treatment of the ISM physics becomes particularly suspect on nuclear scales of \sim pc. At these radii, our assumption that we can average over the dynamics of stellar winds, supernovae, H II regions, etc., and define an effective ISM equation of state may break down. None the less, we believe that the efficient angular momentum transport found here is likely generic, so long as some of the gas is prevented from forming stars and the gas fraction is sufficiently high that instabilities generated by self-gravity are initiated. The fact that the main-sequence lifetime of a massive star is *longer* than the local dynamical time on small scales probably increases the efficacy of stellar feedback and decreases the fraction of the gas turned into stars per dynamical time (Murray et al. 2010).

At scales of $\ll 0.1$ pc, the potential is fully Keplerian and viscous heating is sufficient to stabilize the disc against its own self-gravity (i.e. $Q \gtrsim 1$; Goodman 2003). At these radii, the system begins to approach a traditional accretion disc. Given the cessation of star formation and the deep potential well of the BH, we assume that the inflow rate at ~0.1 pc is a reasonable proxy for the true accretion rate on to the BH. Because our simulations are not well suited to describe the physics of the disc on scales of $\lesssim 0.1$ pc, we do not perform a further 'zoom-in'.

3 OVERVIEW: FROM KPC TO SUB-PC SCALES

Using the numerical simulations described in Section 2, we now describe how gas is transported from \gg kpc scales to \ll pc scales. Initially, our discussion is somewhat qualitative; we focus on emphasizing the key physics at play and our key results. In Section 5 we discuss the relevant stability criteria more quantitatively and outline some specific criteria necessary for 'interesting' gas inflow.

Fig. 2 shows an illustrative example of the results of our resimulations on various scales. We plot gas surface density maps, with colour encoding the gas effective sound speed, from scales of ~100 kpc to <1 pc. The simulation in this case is a fairly gas-rich major merger ($f_{\rm gas} \sim 30-40$ per cent at the time of the final coalescence) of two 5×10^{10} -M_☉ baryonic mass galaxies. The smaller scale resimulations were carried out just after the coalescence of the two nuclei, which is near the peak of star formation activity, but when the system is still quite gas-rich. The initial systems had pre-existing bulges of approximately one-third the disc mass and BHs initialized on the $M_{\rm BH}-\sigma$ relation (~10⁷ M_☉). Each panel is rotated so that the view is close to 'face-on' with respect to the total angular momentum vector of the gas plotted in the image. Viewed edge-on, much of the gas forms a modestly thin ($H/R \lesssim 0.3$) discy distribution at all radii.

3.1 Large scales: mergers and bars

From ~ 100 kpc to $\gtrsim 100$ pc, the gas flows are well resolved by our galaxy-scale simulation. In mergers, the final collision of the two galaxies yields strong torques that efficiently cause most of the gas to flow to the centre on a time-scale approximately equal to a few dynamical times. This process has been described in detail in e.g. Hopkins et al. (2009c) and references therein, but for completeness we briefly summarize the important physics. The secondary/merging galaxy does not *directly* torque the gas. Rather, the torques on the gas are dominated by local torques from stars originally in the same disc as the gas. The merger induces triaxial 'sloshes' and bar-like structures in the stars, i.e. non-axisymmetric modes, supported by radial and/or random orbits. These are also induced in the gas. However, because the gas is dissipational, the gaseous modes slightly lead those in the stars. The stellar disturbance, being physically close to, trailing and in near-resonance with the gas, produces a strong torque that removes angular momentum from the gas and easily dominates the total torque (torques directly from the secondary galaxy, from the primary halo, and hydrodynamic torques from shocks or internal clump collisions, are all ≤ 10 per cent effects; see e.g. Barnes & Hernquist 1996; Barnes 1998; Hopkins et al. 2009c).

After the two galactic nuclei coalesce, the disturbances in the stellar component of the galaxy relax away in a number of crossing times. Until they relax, gas inflows continue. Moreover, the coalescence of the nuclei completes much more rapidly, in a time-scale close to a single crossing time, at least in a *major* merger. Thus, a significant fraction of the gas inflows can occur in the background of a rapidly relaxing stellar potential, in the wake of the nuclear coalescence. This is the stage illustrated in Fig. 2.

The gas that loses angular momentum flows in to radii of $\sim 0.5-1$ kpc (for an $\sim L_*$ system), where it participates in a nuclear starburst and builds a dense central stellar mass concentration, critical for establishing the structural properties and size of the remnant spheroid. At these scales, the system is often gas-dominated for a short period of time owing to these inflows (provided the merger is sufficiently gas-rich). However, as the gas forms stars, the central region will quickly become more stellar-dominated; because these stars form out of the gaseous disc, in the relaxing potential, they are *not* themselves violently relaxed. This is important for the subsequent evolution of the system because of the presence of disc instabilities that would be suppressed by a larger dispersion-supported (spherical) component in the very central region.

The general scenario summarized here can be applied not just to major mergers, but also to minor mergers, fly-by encounters and even sufficiently bar-unstable stellar discs. The details will be different, but the above qualitative steps and the exchange of angular momentum between gas and stars are robust, ultimately leading to inflow to sub-kpc scales. The subsequent evolution depends largely on how much material is efficiently channelled to small radii (relative to the bulge and BH mass), not on how that material gets there.

3.2 Morphology and gas transport from 1 kpc to 10 pc

3.2.1 General behaviour

The gas infalling from large radii begins to 'pile up' at radii of \sim 0.1–1 kpc, rather than continuously flowing in to yet smaller radii. This is because the torques from the disturbances at large radii become less efficient at small radii. This happens for three reasons as follows. (1) In the merger context, the stellar perturbation at small radii relaxes after coalescence, decreasing the efficiency of gas inflow. (2) The rapid gas inflow implies that the system becomes increasingly gas-dominated at radii of ~100 pc, even if the initial disc gas fraction is low, ~ 0.1 . Because the primary angular momentum sink of the gas is the local stars, when the system becomes locally gas-dominated, angular momentum transfer is actually less efficient (see Hopkins et al. 2009c,e). (3) The gas can encounter the equivalent of an inner Lindblad resonance. This is especially important for unstable gas bars, minor mergers and disturbances induced by early passages. For the case of coalescence following major mergers, the disturbance is not a single mode, but a series of modes at all scales. As such, there is often no formal inner Lindblad resonance or angular momentum barrier (each mode may have such a barrier, but these are spread over a wide range of scales; there is thus a means to overcome the barrier associated with any single mode).

Fig. 2 shows the outcome of gas pile-up in the central kpc using an intermediate-scale resimulation (middle row). In this case, the intermediate-scale simulation is a high-resolution resimulation of the larger scale gas distribution at a given epoch in a gas-rich major merger. The gas density reached from the larger scale inflows is quite large $-\!\sim\!10^{10}\,M_\odot$ worth of gas has formed a discy component



Figure 2. Example of our multiscale simulations used to follow gas flows from $\sim 100 \text{ kpc}$ to $\sim 0.1 \text{ pc}$. Each row is a separate simulation, with the initial conditions for the intermediate- and nuclear-scale simulations taken from the output of the larger scale runs in the row above it. Each panel shows the projected gas density (intensity) and effective sound speed (colour; blue is gas with an effective $c_s \sim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ through yellow at $\sim 100-200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). Each image is rotated to project the gas density 'face-on' relative to its angular momentum vector. From top left to bottom right, panels zoom in to the nuclear region around the BH, with resolution spanning a factor of $\sim 10^6$ in radius. Top: large-scale gas-rich galaxy–galaxy major merger simulation, just after the coalescence of the two nuclei [run b3ex(co) in Table 1]. The apparent second nucleus is actually a clump formed from gravitational instability. Middle: a higher resolution resimulation of the conditions in the central kpc (run If3b3midRg in Table 2). Despite the fact that the background potential is largely relaxed on these scales, the very large gas inflows lead to a strongly self-gravitating disc on ~0.5-kpc scales that develops a strong spiral instability, leading to efficient angular momentum transport to ~10 pc. Again, some clumping appears (there is only one nucleus). Bottom: high-resolution resimulation of the central ~30 pc of the intermediate-scale simulation, with a resolution of ~0.1 pc (run Nf5h1c2 in Table 3). The potential is quasi-Keplerian, suppressing traditional bar and spiral instabilities, but the large inflows lead to a self-gravitating system that develops a standing eccentric disc mode (single-armed m = 1). The stellar and gaseous eccentric discs precess relative to one another on ~1-10 pc scales and drive efficient inflows of ~10 M_☉ yr⁻¹ into the central 0.1 pc.

with a scalelength of 0.3 kpc and an average surface density of $\sim 10^{10} \, M_{\odot} \, \rm kpc^{-2}$. This is a large fraction of the galaxy mass – larger than the pre-existing bulge within these radii. The small-scale gas disc is therefore strongly self-gravitating. Indeed, we see from Fig. 2 that it quickly develops unstable, non-axisymmetric modes.⁴ This is essentially the 'bars-within-bars' scenario predicted by Shlosman et al. (1989), although the morphology of the system is clearly not a simple bar; this is an important point to which we return below. The strength of the modes that develop in this resimulation *depends* on the fact that there is star formation in the gas – as the gas turns into stars *in situ*, these stars develop non-axisymmetric modes, and the two precess relative to one another. As in the galactic-scale torques discussed above, this produces particularly efficient angular momentum transport. These processes ultimately lead to a significant amount of gas flowing down to ~10 pc.

3.2.2 Diversity in morphologies and inflow strengths

For our fiducial parametrization of the ISM equation of state, the disc-to-bulge ratio and gas fraction on $\simeq 0.1-0.3$ kpc scales have the largest influence on the dynamics and angular momentum transport in our intermediate-scale simulations (for discussion of the role of $q_{\rm EOS}$ and sub-resolution physics, see Appendix B). Fig. 3 illustrates this by showing the ~ 100 -pc-scale morphology of a representative subset of our intermediate-scale resimulations, each after a couple of local dynamical times of evolution ($\sim 10^7-10^8$ yr). These are sorted by gas fraction f_g and B/T.⁵

As Fig. 3 shows, systems with very large $B/T \ge 0.9$ (top row) are globally stable, as expected analytically. In the extremely gasrich, large B/T, case (top right), local Toomre-scale instabilities develop - if such small clumps were infinitely long-lived, their orbits would decay via dynamical friction and allow for some transport of gas to the centre. However, because the clumps are dense, they quickly turn into stars – such a mechanism is largely a means to move stars to the galactic nucleus, not gas (and in fact leads to nuclear stellar clusters much larger than those observed; see Appendix B for a more detailed discussion). Previous claims that such clump-sinking could efficiently fuel BH growth (see e.g. the discussion in Wada et al. 2009; Kawakatu & Wada 2008, and references therein) have neglected star formation and have thus dramatically over-estimated the inflow of gas via this process. Local clumping instabilities like these do, of course, occur, forming molecular clouds and star clusters. However, there are both theoretical and observational arguments that suggest that they quickly dissolve on a few local dynamical times, probably via feedback from some combination of stellar winds, H II regions and radiation pressure (Larson 1981; Blitz 1993; Bonnell et al. 2006; Krumholz, Matzner & McKee 2006; Allen et al. 2007; Elmegreen 2007). We also note that, under certain conditions, such local instabilities could instead produce small-scale, tightly wound spiral waves instead of leading to fragmentation (e.g. Lodato & Rice 2004; Rice, Lodato & Armitage 2005; Boley et al. 2006); however, this generally occurs when the cooling time is comparable to or larger than the dynamical

⁵ The other parameters of the simulations are not all identical, representing the properties of the simulations from which they are selected, but the key qualitative behaviour depends primarily on these two parameters.

time, which is not the case in these simulations (although it will also depend on the turbulent decay time which, if sufficiently large, can allow turbulence to suppress runaway clumping and star formation).

Fig. 3 demonstrates that the strength of global non-axisymmetric modes increases dramatically with decreasing B/T. In fact, as soon as the bulge and disc are comparable (second row from top), prominent modes appear. In the disc-dominated cases (bottom row), very large angular momentum transport occurs even in cases without much total gas. Of course, at each B/T, increasing the gas content makes the system more vulnerable to local instabilities as well – usually making the overall inflow more clumpy and time variable. In several cases, the large-scale mode (e.g. a spiral arm) becomes self-gravitating and globally fragments (not necessarily into smaller sub-units, but as a whole), leading to a major coalescence – what is almost (dynamically speaking) a scaled-down merger in the central regions!

Fig. 3 also demonstrates an important point seen in Fig. 2. Although the instabilities seen in our simulations qualitatively resemble the 'bars-within-bars' idea of secondary instabilities once the gas density is sufficiently high, the morphologies vary widely and are not restricted to traditional bars (although these certainly do appear). At similar gas fraction and B/T, we find that the strength of angular momentum transfer is generally similar, but we also find that the visual morphology (and the precise modes important for transport) can vary widely, depending on time and on the details of the gas, stellar disc, bulge, halo profiles and the precise equation of state. Thus, global quantities such as the mass profile and accretion rate are comparatively robust, but the observational classification of these systems would vary widely.⁶ Indeed, Fig. 3 shows traditional bars and spirals, nuclear rings, crossed or barred rings, single- or three-armed systems, flocculent discs and clumpy, irregular morphologies. These all appear, with no obvious preference for one or another as a whole, in our simulations.

This feature of our simulations may account for a number of observational results in the literature. For example, surveys of AGN have often found that although nuclear *bars* on these scales only appear in some fraction of sources (not necessarily much larger than the fraction of non-AGN in which they appear), there are ubiquitous asymmetric gas structures of *some* sort, similar to those modelled here (see e.g. Laine et al. 2002; Martini & Pogge 1999; Peletier et al. 1999; Sakamoto et al. 1999; García-Burillo et al. 2005; Krips et al. 2007; Haan et al. 2009). We discuss this further in Section 7.

3.3 Towards the accretion disc: eccentric discs at ~parsec

From \sim 500 to \sim 10 pc, our intermediate-scale simulations successfully demonstrate efficient angular momentum transport via a wide range of gravitationally unstable modes. Near the smallest radii in these simulations, however, the systems encounter yet another angular momentum barrier. At that point, gas has reached the BH radius of influence, i.e. the BH begins to contribute non-trivially to the potential, which becomes quasi-Keplerian. This halts further inflow because the disc is no longer strongly self-gravitating and

⁴ These modes develop almost identically even if we initialize the resimulation to be perfectly smooth and remove all external tidal forces (see Appendix A). It is thus not sensitive to the larger scale environment; rather, the system is simply strongly globally unstable.

⁶ In detail, the angular momentum transport does depend on the structure of the unstable mode/perturbation. The precise dependence will be discussed in Paper II. At the dimensional level, the torques and inflow scale in the same manner independent of the detailed mode morphology, so long as the stellar torques are sufficiently strong to cause orbit crossing and shocks in the gas. The details of the specific modes driving such shocks amount to numerical factors of \sim a few in the torques.



How do massive black holes get their gas? 1541

Figure 3. Images of the morphologies of the secondary instabilities that develop on intermediate scales (~100 pc), as a consequence of galactic-scale torques bringing gas into the central kpc. Each panel shows projected gas density (intensity) and local SFR (colour, from blue through yellow) in the central kpc. We sort the simulations by the parameters that have the largest impact on the dynamics: the bulge-to-disc ratio (disc being both stellar and gaseous) within 300 pc and gas fraction $M_{gas}/(M_{gas} + M_{*, disc})$: from top – bulge-dominated systems with $B/T \gtrsim 0.8$ to bottom – disc-dominated systems with $B/T \lesssim 0.1$, and from left – systems with $f_{gas} \lesssim 0.1$ to right – systems with $f_{gas} \gtrsim 0.8$. Global instabilities become more prominent with decreasing B/T; local instabilities (clumping/fragmentation and/or more tightly wound spirals) become more prominent with increasing f_{gas} . The simulations at fixed B/T and/or f_{gas} are similar, but include variation in e.g. the initial mass profile shapes; this contributes to the dramatic diversity of morphologies on nuclear scales. Almost all systems that are not entirely bulge-dominated develop strong large-scale instabilities that efficiently transport gas to ~10 pc. Observationally, these would be categorized as a mix of bars, spirals, nuclear rings, crossed rings, fragmentation/clump instabilities and single- or triple-armed spirals.

so is less susceptible to global modes. In addition, the gas generally encounters an inner Lindblad resonance associated with the intermediate-scale bar.

Indeed, it is widely appreciated that both 'bars-within-bars' and the direct or induced torques due to perturbations from mergers, close passages and large-scale bars do not produce efficient angular momentum transfer at radii of $\sim 0.1-10 \text{ pc}$ (see e.g. the discussion in Athanassoula et al. 1983, 2005; Shlosman et al. 1989; Heller et al. 2001; Begelman & Shlosman 2009, and references therein). As a consequence, this is often considered the most difficult-to-explain regime of gas inflow and angular momentum transport.

We find, however, that efficient angular momentum transfer continues in our smaller scale resimulations for sufficiently gas-rich, disc-dominated systems. Fig. 4 shows this with images spanning a range of f_g and B/T; we will discuss this physics in more detail in Sections 4 and 5. These results show that, so long as the gas density is sufficiently large (relative to the BH mass), the system develops a precessing eccentric disc (an $m = 1 \mod 2$) that drives gas down to sub-pc scales of ~ 0.1 pc. As before, stars rapidly form out of the disc, leading to a similar mode in both the stars and gas; these modes precess about the BH relative to one another with slightly different pattern speeds, leading to crossing orbits, dissipation of energy and angular momentum in the gas, and thus net inflow. This is, once again, an instability that depends primarily on the presence of sufficient gas at small radii in the first place. We find that this condition is met at some point(s) in time in all of our simulations with significant gas mass and instability at $\sim 100 \,\mathrm{pc}$, i.e. in those simulations that meet the 'bars-within-bars' criteria in Section 3.2 and Fig. 3.

4 INFLOW RATES AND GAS PROPERTIES

Fig. 5 shows the time-dependent inflow rates through several annuli, for the same set of multiscale resimulations shown in Fig. 2. These demonstrate and quantify the general scenario summarized above: on large scales, coalescence and the final stages of the merger drive a large quantity of gas into the central few hundred pc, with inflow rates of $\sim 100-300 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}} \,\mathrm{yr^{-1}}$. The total duration of this phase is a few times 10⁸ yr. During this time, the gas accumulates at small radii of ~ 100 pc; simulating the dynamics on this scale for $\sim 10^7$ yr, we find that secondary gravitational instabilities develop that drive further inflows into the central $\sim 10 \,\mathrm{pc}$, with inflow rates of $\sim 10-200 \, M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}$. Zooming-in yet again during one epoch of significant inflow to $\lesssim 10$ pc, our smallest scale simulations resolve the rapid formation of an eccentric nuclear disc around the central BH; the accretion rate into the central 0.1 pc, which is likely a reasonable proxy for the accretion rate on to the BH, reaches $\sim 1-10 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot} yr^{-1}}$. This is sufficient to fuel a luminous AGN at the Eddington rate.

Fig. 5 also demonstrates that the small-scale accretion rate can be highly time variable. This is in part not only a consequence of the accretion of individual clumps/clouds (e.g. Fig. 4), but also a consequence of the fact that gravitationally unstable perturbations rapidly grow, dissipate and generate other structures; depending on, e.g., the state of precession of the stellar versus gaseous disc, the system can transition between inflow and outflow at a given radius. Even on the largest scales, the inflow is still highly variable although coherent over a time much longer than the dynamical time because it is driven by the global torques involved in the merger. Because of the variability in \dot{M} on different scales, we do not expect every merger (or an isolated galaxy with a large-scale bar), at every time, to exhibit significant inflow from large scales all the way down to the BH. This is important – after all, a large fraction of observed mergers are not bright quasars. In addition, the large variation in the physical conditions at small radii for a relatively fixed set of conditions at larger radii demonstrates that great care must be taken when trying to correlate the galactic structure at ~0.1–1 kpc with the BH accretion rate (BHAR) in order to constrain the physics of AGN fuelling.

Fig. 6 shows the same calculation of the inflow rates, but for resimulations of an isolated disc galaxy with a moderate bar instability (the case in Table 1). The system develops a fairly strong bar at about a kpc (representing a perturbation to the potential of $\delta \Phi / \Phi \sim 0.15$), but this is still much weaker than the major merger case shown in Fig. 5; moreover, the pre-existing bulge with B/T = 0.3 means that there is an inner Lindblad resonance at a few hundred pc. The net result is that the overall inflow is much weaker, and there can be outflow as well as inflow on small scales (the system overcomes the Lindblad resonance for short periods of time, leading to inflow followed by outflow as it re-equilibrates). Resimulating smaller scales, the weak inflows produced by the bar lead to low gas mass (\sim 1–10 per cent) relative to the bulge mass on small scales, and global secondary instabilities do not develop. Inflow/outflow rates from the bar at 0.3–1 kpc are characteristically $\sim 0.5-10 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot} yr^{-1}}$, similar to observed local barred galaxies (Quillen et al. 1995; Jogee, Scoville & Kenney 2005; Haan et al. 2009); at ~ 10 pc they do not exceed $1 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, and at <0.1 pc they are characteristically $\ll 0.1 \, M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}$. At these low accretion rates our calculations are not reliable, but the key point is that in the low gas fraction limit, gravitational torques drive very little accretion from \sim kpc to $\lesssim 0.1$ pc, even in the presence of a modest bar at \sim kpc.

Fig. 7 shows an example of the torques driving the inflows in our high accretion rate simulations. We show the radial torque profile at one time in one of our nuclear-scale simulations, broken down into gravitational torques from the stars and gas in the nuclear disc (versus the large-scale tidal field) and hydrodynamic torques (largely from pressure forces); note that negative torques drive inflow. The average gravitational torque in an annulus between R_0 and $R_0 + \Delta R$, from sources *j*, is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\text{grav}, j} \equiv \frac{1}{M_{\text{gas}}[\Delta R]} \int_{R_0}^{R_0 + \Delta R} \rho_{\text{gas}}(\boldsymbol{r}) [\boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{a}_{\text{grav}, j}]_z \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r} \, \mathrm{d}\cos\theta \, \mathrm{d}\phi$$
$$= \frac{1}{M_{\text{gas}}[\Delta R]} \sum_{i \text{ in } \Delta R} m_i [\boldsymbol{r}_i \times \nabla \Phi_j(\boldsymbol{r}_i)]_z, \qquad (2)$$

where $M_{\text{gas}}[\Delta R] = \sum m_i$ is the gas mass in the annulus; the sum over *i* in the second expression refers to the gas particles inside the annulus, while Φ_j is the gravitational potential generated by the torquing particles of interest (gas, stars, etc.).⁷ The radius *r* is defined with respect to the BH, and we focus on the *z* component of τ , where the *z*-axis is defined to be the angular momentum vector of the disc (torques in the other directions are negligible, so this is almost identical to plotting τ). The torques from pressure forces are

⁷ For small separations between two particles *i* and *j*, $\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j < \epsilon$, we include the GADGET force softening to be self-consistent (equivalent, inside a softening length, to the potential of a Plummer sphere), but this has little effect on our results.



How do massive black holes get their gas? 1543

Figure 4. Images of the instabilities that develop in our small-scale (~ 10 pc) nuclear resimulations with 0.1-pc resolution. Each panel shows projected gas density (intensity) and local SFR (colour, from blue through yellow) in the central kpc. Each of these simulations can be thought of as a simulation of the corresponding nuclear scales from Fig. 3. The simulations extend into the BH radius of influence. The primary parameters of importance are the ratio of the gas mass to the BH mass (or BH plus bulge/star cluster mass, when the latter is present) and the gas fraction in the discy component: top to bottom is decreasing BH/stellar mass while the disc gas fraction increases from left to right. A strong m = 1 mode is generic for reasonable BH/stellar mass and gas fractions – this corresponds to an eccentric, globally precessing (non-winding) disc (or a single-armed spiral), a mode that is special to the quasi-Keplerian potential. The resulting torques drive inflows of up to $10 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ at <0.1-pc scales (Fig. 5), sufficient to fuel a luminous quasar.



Figure 5. Time-dependent inflow rate through various radii, for the example simulation shown in Fig. 2. Main: gas inflow rate into the central 300 pc in the large-scale galaxy merger simulation, as a function of time since the beginning of the merger. The large spike follows the coalescence of the two nuclei. The dotted line shows the instantaneous inflow rates; the solid line shows the inflow rate averaged over 5 local dynamical times. Top right: inflow rates into the central ~10 pc in the intermediate-scale resimulation for a small time interval just after coalescence. Top left: inflow rates into 0.1 pc in our smallest scale resimulation, initialized with conditions from the previous intermediate-scale simulation after it has evolved for several local dynamical times. The accretion rate reaches $1-10 \, M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}$, sufficient to fuel a luminous quasar. Note the episodic, highly variable nature of accretion/inflow at each scale.

similarly defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathrm{P},j} \equiv \frac{1}{M_{\mathrm{gas}}[\Delta R]} \int_{R_0}^{R_0 + \Delta R} \rho_{\mathrm{gas}}(\boldsymbol{r}) [\boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{a}_{\mathrm{P}}]_z \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r} \, \mathrm{d}\cos\theta \, \mathrm{d}\phi$$
$$= \frac{1}{M_{\mathrm{gas}}[\Delta R]} \sum_{i \text{ in } \Delta R} m_i \left[\boldsymbol{r}_i \times \frac{1}{\rho(\boldsymbol{r}_i)} \nabla P(\boldsymbol{r}_i) \right]_z, \qquad (3)$$

where the pressures and densities are determined in standard fashion from the SPH quantities. Note that the BH can and does move (with typical amplitude $R \sim 0.1 R[M_d(< R) \sim 0.1 M_{BH}]$) in response to the m = 1 modes on nuclear scales; but since we are interested in the inflow on to the BH itself, we evaluate these torques about its instantaneous position (rather than, say, the centre of mass of the system). The results are qualitatively similar in Fig. 7 if we fix the central position (albeit quantitatively altered within $\ll 10$ pc at a factor of ~ 2 level), but are less directly relevant to interpreting inflow on to the BH.

The qualitative behaviour shown in Fig. 7 is representative of all of our simulations. At essentially all radii, gravitational torques dominate hydrodynamic torques. Moreover, the gravitational torques themselves are dominated by torques from stars, not the torques of the gas on itself; specifically, the stars that are important are in the same asymmetric perturbation as the gas. This is also the case on larger scales (\gtrsim 100 pc), for both mergers and barred systems (see Barnes & Hernquist 1996; Hopkins et al. 2009c). Torques from the spherical component of the system (e.g. the halo and/or bulge stars) are negligible at all radii. Unsurprisingly, the torques from the large-scale tidal field, defined here as the torques from the \gg 100-pc scales of the parent simulation from which the initial conditions of this resimulation were drawn, become significant only at the outer boundary of our resimulation (see Appendix A2). Fig. 7 shows that there are several sign changes in the torque profile. reflecting the specific state of the system at this time; the torque is time variable, but we find that there are sign changes as well in a time-averaged sense. Overall, the net rate of change of the angular momentum of the gas very closely tracks the time-averaged gravitational torque from the stars. Hydrodynamic torques never induce very strong torques (greater than those shown), whereas the stellar gravitational torques can be a factor of \sim 10–100 larger than in Fig. 7 at some times.

The fact that the torques on the gas are dominated by stars is robust and occurs for two reasons. First, the stars contribute significantly



Figure 6. Time-dependent inflow rate through various radii, as in Fig. 5, but for a simulation in which the large-scale inflow into the central kpc is weak (simulation barex in Table 1). Specifically, this is a gas-rich disc with B/T = 0.3, which is moderately bar unstable. Compared to a major merger, the inflow to <300 pc is much weaker, and the presence of an inner Lindblad resonance at small radii leads to both outflow and inflow from the bar. Because of the much lower gas supply to the inner part of the galaxy, secondary instabilities do not efficiently develop that can transport gas further in.

to the mass on the scales of $\gtrsim 0.1$ pc that we focus on here (where star formation can occur). For typical star formation efficiencies, it is difficult to have the gas mass \gg 50 per cent of the total mass for a reasonable fraction of the lifetime of the system. On large scales, galaxies are known to not be so gas-rich (although they certainly can reach \sim 50 per cent gas fraction). On small scales, if the star formation efficiency is a few per cent per dynamical time, then even a pure gas inflow from larger scales is likely to only remain gasdominated for ~ 10 local dynamical times (on the smallest scales, $\sim 10^6$ yr) – thus for the majority of the time during which inflow is continued, the system will contain a significant stellar mass. This is consistent with direct observations of sub-kpc regions of starburst galaxies (Downes & Solomon 1998; Bryant & Scoville 1999) and the \sim 1–10 pc nuclear scales around AGN (Hicks et al. 2009). On sufficiently small scales, $\leq 0.01-0.1$ pc, star formation will become inefficient, but at precisely those radii, we have (by definition) essentially reached the α -disc.

Even if the gas mass is comparable to or greater than the stellar mass, the self-torque of the gas on itself is much weaker than the torques from the stars on the gas. This has been demonstrated in detail in the case of large-scale perturbations from galaxy mergers, bars and spiral waves (Noguchi 1988; Barnes & Hernquist 1996; Barnes 1998; Berentzen et al. 2007; Hopkins et al. 2009c). We show that it is also true for smaller radii in Fig. 7. We discuss this in detail in a subsequent paper (Paper II) but briefly outline the key physics here.

It is well known that the magnitude of the self-torque in a pure gaseous or pure stellar disc is of second order in the mode amplitude ($\propto a^2$, where a is the fractional magnitude of the asymmetry; see e.g.Lynden-Bell & Kalnajs 1972). Moreover, Kalnajs (1971) showed (with the exact solution for a logarithmic spiral) that the torques are further suppressed by powers of $\sim kR$ and m, where k (m) is the radial (azimuthal) wavenumber of the mode. This is despite the fact that the instantaneous torque on gas/stars moving through a perturbation has no such suppression and is linear in a. For small mode amplitudes, the gas orbits periodically (in the testparticle limit) in response to the perturbation and the positive and negative linear terms exactly cancel because of the symmetry on either 'side' of the mode. In a mixed stellar+gas system, however, the two perturbations are not exactly in phase because of dissipation in the gas. Perhaps most importantly, the gas can be driven into shocks by a sufficiently strong perturbation in the stars, leading to strong dissipation. As a result, the symmetric response of the gas is broken, and the net torque from stars on the gas is linear in a



Figure 7. Radial profile of the specific torque in a representative nuclearscale simulation (Nf8h1c1qs in Table 3) during a stage of rapid BH accretion. The torque per unit mass acting on the gas is averaged over narrow radial annuli at one time; negative torques decrease the gas angular momentum. The total gravitational torque (black line) is divided into the torque from stars in the same disc as the gas (purple), gas (red) and the contribution from the large-scale tidal field (orange). The hydrodynamic torques from pressure forces and artificial viscosity (green) are comparatively small. Inflow at all radii is dominated by gravitational torques, largely from nearby stars in the same m = 1 pattern as the gas.

and largest in the global limit. At the order of magnitude level, the inflow rate is thus given by

$$\dot{M}_{\rm grav} \sim |a| \Sigma_{\rm gas} R^2 \,\Omega.$$
 (4)

Note that expressed in terms of a viscosity v such that $\dot{M} \simeq 3\pi v \Sigma_{gas}$, equation (4) corresponds to $v \simeq (a/3\pi)V_c R$, where $V_c = R\Omega$ is the local circular velocity. Equivalently, the inflow time of the gas is $\sim a^{-1}\Omega^{-1}$. Typical values of *a* are $\sim 0.01-0.3$ in our simulations when significant inflow is present (see Section 5). We stress that, as described above, equation (4) differs fundamentally from the dimensional expectation for self-torques, which is of second order in *a* and suppressed by terms in *kR* and *m*.

Fig. 8 shows a number of properties of our fiducial simulations from Figs 2 and 5, for each of the three spatial scales we consider: galactic (~kpc), intermediate (~10-100 pc) and nuclear $(\sim 0.1-10 \text{ pc})$. We show the stellar and gaseous surface densities, the local SFR, the gas inflow rate in comparison to equation (4), and the vertical scaleheight and Toomre Q of the gas disc. We stress that Fig. 8 shows three independent simulations but that the smaller scale simulations were initialized with properties drawn from the larger scale simulations; this explains the approximate, but not exact, continuity between the different scales. Although Fig. 8 is quite instructive, it can also be misleading to focus on the results of an individual simulation. The reason is that there is large variation in time and potentially large scatter introduced by modest differences in galaxy properties (due to e.g. large-scale fragmentation of a spiral arm biasing the results of a given simulation). For this reason, we believe that it is extremely important to consider the statistical properties of a large number of simulations that vary the precise initial conditions, gas fraction, bulge to disc ratio, etc. In what follows, we now discuss the statistical properties of the simulations summarized in Tables 1-3. In doing so, we will show a number of figures that contain the results of many of our simulations. In these figures, the critical point to focus on is not the results of any given simulation (which can be hard to pick out) but rather the ensemble properties of all of the simulations (e.g. median, scatter, etc.). Recall that the



Figure 8. Properties of representative galactic (right-hand panels), intermediate (middle panels) and nuclear-scale (left-hand panels) simulations as a function of radius at a single time. The simulations are the same as in Figs 2 and 5 and the time chosen corresponds to near the peak of activity, with significant gas inflow to small radii. Note that the initial/boundary conditions for the smaller scale simulations were taken from the larger scale simulations shown, so the results are reasonably continuous at the radial boundaries (despite the fact that these do not represent a self-consistent single simulation). Top: gas and stellar surface density. Second-from-top: enclosed SFR in a given radius. Middle: instantaneous inflow/outflow rate through the annulus (black line); note that there are several sign changes as a function of radius. Also shown is the order of magnitude estimate of the accretion rate produced by gravitational torques from a non-axisymmetric mode of amplitude |a|, $\dot{M} \sim |a| \Sigma_{gas} R^2 \Omega$ (dashed red line; Section 4). Second-from-bottom: scaleheight z_0/R of the gas. Bottom: Toomre Q parameter of the gas.

parameter space spanned by our simulations includes systematic surveys in the gas fraction, bulge (or BH)-to-disc ratio and subgrid equation of state of the gas.

Fig. 9 compares the order of magnitude estimate in equation (4) with the true inflow rate in the simulations, as a function of radius; as in Fig. 8, we show the results of simulations on all three



Figure 9. Comparison of the true instantaneous inflow rate in the simulations (dM/dt) to the simple dimensional scaling $|a| \sum_{\text{gas}} R^2 \Omega(R)$ expected for pure gravitational torques, where |a| is the magnitude of the strongest non-axisymmetric mode at a given radius *R* (directly measured in the simulations; with typical values of ~0.01–0.3). Each solid line denotes a different simulation, with dotted lines showing the radii near the boundaries of our resimulations, where the exact profile shape is suspect; colours correspond to initial gas fractions as in Fig. 13. Thick dot–dashed lines correspond to ultra-high-resolution simulations. The simulations are shown during the active/strong inflow phases, as in Fig. 5. The inflow rate in the simulations is consistent with being produced by gravitational torques over 5 orders of magnitude in radius, with relatively little scatter (0.3–0.5 dex at all radii).

of the spatial scales we have simulated, with dotted lines showing results near the radial boundary of a given calculation. In calculating $\dot{M}_{\rm grav}$ in equation (4), we approximate *a* using the magnitude of the larger of the m = 1 and m = 2 Fourier components of the surface density distribution within R (see Section 5). The results in Fig. 9 are at the peak of activity for each simulation with significant inflow, i.e. when \dot{M} is near-maximum. Modulo a constant numerical pre-factor, the simple scaling in equation (4) works well over the entire dynamic range of our simulations, with a scatter of \sim 0.3–0.5 dex at any radius. The same equation also works for our ultra-high-resolution simulations, which provide a smooth interpolation over the boundaries in our typical 'resimulation' calculations. The agreement in Fig. 9 demonstrates clearly that the inflow rates do not follow the second-order expectations from self-torques in the absence of dissipation. In Paper II, we show that more detailed modelling of the gas response (accounting for e.g. the details of the perturbation structure) can both predict the overall amplitude of \dot{M} in Fig. 9 and reduce the scatter to <0.3 dex.

It is also important to note that the inflow rate in equation (4) is independent of the sound speed c_s , i.e. of the thermodynamics of the gas. Rather, the inflow rate is determined by the magnitude of the non-axisymmetric gravitational potential. This is one of the reasons why we believe that our results are likely to be relatively robust, even given the significant uncertainties in the ISM physics. By contrast, if the transport were dominated by local viscous stresses, the accretion rate would be given by $\dot{M}_{\rm visc} \sim 3\pi v \Sigma_{\rm gas}$, where $v \simeq \alpha c_s^2 / \Omega$. For large-scale spiral waves, the angular momentum flux associated with the wave corresponds to an effective viscosity that is at most $v \sim c_s r$ (Goodman 2003). Comparing this with the inflow rate induced by orbit crossing (equation 4) highlights that the dominant effect of the non-axisymmetric potential is that the stellar potential induces crossing orbits in the gas; the direct transport by spiral waves in the gas is smaller by at least a factor of $\sim c_s/V_c$. We find



Figure 10. Properties of the gas discs in our simulations. Top: scaleheight z_0/R of the gas as a function of radius, for simulations with significant inflows. Bottom: Toomre *Q* parameter of the gas. The inflows are robustly self-gravitating ($Q \sim 1$) and discy, with $z_0/R \leq 0.2$. Each solid line denotes a different simulation, with dotted lines showing the radii near the boundaries of our resimulations, where the exact profile shape is suspect; colours correspond to initial gas fractions as in Fig. 13. Thick lines correspond to ultra-high-resolution simulations. The range in behaviour emphasizes the importance of a large, statistically representative suite of simulations.

that in simulations that are initially 100 per cent gas (even those initialized with already-strong mode amplitudes), the torques tend to remain fairly weak for a few dynamical times until the stellar component is non-negligible, at which point strong shocks appear, the stellar and gaseous modes develop a phase shift and the inflow rates rise sharply. The pure gas limit is, however, more sensitive to the thermodynamics of the gas and should be studied in more detail in future work. In our calculations, we find that it is critical to include stars, gas and star formation to properly capture inflow in galactic nuclei: it is the interplay between the stars and gas, and the competition between star formation and inflow, that sets the net accretion rate at small radii on to the BH.

Our interpretation and analysis of the inflow rates assume that the gas resides in a self-gravitating, at least modestly thin, disc. To demonstrate this explicitly, Fig. 10 shows the vertical scaleheight and Toomre Q parameter of the gas as a function of radius. For each simulation, at a given radius R we determine the scaleheight z_0 of the gas by fitting the gas density distribution to a Gaussian with dispersion z_0 (after projecting to the plane perpendicular to the net angular momentum vector). At large radii, there is scatter owing to the difference between mergers (with sizeable z_0/R) and unstable discs. From ~ 1 to 100 pc, z_0/R ranges from ~ 0.05 to 0.25; this is determined by our assumed ISM equation of state as well as kinematic features such as twists and misalignments. At the smallest radii, z_0/R begins to decrease rapidly because the potential is increasingly dominated by the BH (the circular velocity increases but the maximum sound speeds are finite). Note that, at all radii, the minimum SPH smoothing length $h_{\rm sml} \lesssim z_0$, so that the disc thickness is at least modestly resolved. Our simulated discs are always reasonably thin because the cooling time is always short compared to the dynamical time, so that the sound speed in the simulation reliably tracks that of our subgrid model.

Fig. 10 also shows the Toomre Q parameter of the gas, defined as $Q \equiv c_{\rm s} \kappa / \pi G \Sigma_{\rm d}$, where κ is the local epicyclic frequency and $\Sigma_{\rm d}$ is the total surface density of the disc (gas plus stars). With some scatter, systems largely lie near $Q \sim 1$. This is broadly expected for self-regulating discs; in the simulations, $Q \sim 1$ is a consequence of star formation, the assumed stellar feedback and gravitational dynamics.

4.1 Gas density profiles

Fig. 11 shows the gas surface density as a function of time, at different radii, in the high-inflow simulation from Figs 2 and 5.⁸ Comparison of Figs 5 and 11 clearly indicates that there is a reasonable correlation between high inflow rates and high gas surface densities at the same radii. However, the correlation is not necessarily one to one because of the complex time-dependent dynamics on small scales. In some cases, the inflow leads the high surface density (e.g. 0.4 Myr at 0.1 pc or ~2–4 Myr at 10 pc), in which case the large \dot{M} causes the high Σ , rather than the other way around. Occasionally, \dot{M} and Σ can even be anticorrelated (e.g. at 10 Myr on 10-pc scales).

Fig. 12 shows the gas density profiles as a function of radius for our ensemble of simulations (including the individual simulations shown in Figs 5 and 6); for the gas-rich systems in the left-hand panel, these surface density profiles are at the peak of activity, i.e. when \dot{M} is relatively high. During these active phases, the gas reaches a quasi-equilibrium density distribution. For a given annulus, the net accretion rate to smaller radii is typically a small fraction of the total rate at which gas is supplied to that annulus. Thus to first approximation, the surface density can be estimated by considering the competition between star formation and inflow in a given annulus. If the SFR surface density scales $\propto \Sigma_{gas}^{3/2},$ as in Kennicutt (1998), then setting the SFR inside some radius equal to the timeaveraged inflow rate $\dot{M}_{\rm in}$ gives an expected $\langle \Sigma_{\rm gas} \rangle \propto \dot{M}_{\rm in}^{2/3} R^{-4/3}$. Given $\dot{M}_{\rm in} \propto R^{1/2}$, which is reasonably consistent with Fig. 5, this implies $\Sigma_{\rm gas} \propto R^{-1}$, similar to the results for the gas-rich systems in Figs 11 and 12 (although slightly steeper than the numerical results). There is of course significant variation: we show the results from our large suite of simulations not only to emphasize the variation introduced by initial and boundary conditions, treatment of star formation and gas physics, etc., but also to highlight the robust average behaviour and the dependence on global quantities such as gas fraction and inflow rate at larger radii (see Fig. 13 for the colour scheme).

Note that the gas surface densities achieved on small scales can be extremely large, $\sim 10^{11}-10^{12} \, M_{\odot} \, \rm kpc^{-2}$ in the central $\sim 0.1-10 \, \rm pc$; this is comparable to, or even somewhat larger than, the highest surface density stellar systems known (Hopkins & Hernquist 2010; Hopkins et al. 2010). And it is a factor of $\sim 10^4$ larger than the initial gas surface density at small radii (shown in Fig. 12 for comparison)! Assuming that a significant fraction of the gas eventually turns into stars, the relic stellar density profiles expected from these gas-rich



Figure 11. Gas surface density Σ_{gas} at different radii as a function of time, for the simulations shown in Fig. 5. Top: large-scale galaxy merger simulation. The box shows the time interval resimulated in the panel below. Middle: intermediate-scale resimulation. The box again shows the time interval for the smaller scale resimulation. Bottom: nuclear-scale resimulation. Comparing with Fig. 5, high inflow rates are generally correlated with higher local surface densities, but the relation is not one to one.

simulations are similar to the observed profiles of nearby 'cusp' ellipticals (e.g. Kormendy et al. 2009), which are indeed believed to be direct descendants of gas-rich mergers. However, estimating the 'final' stellar profile at small radii, where our simulations are run for only a modest number of local dynamical times, requires a careful correction for the effects of duty cycle, so we defer a more detailed comparison to future work.

Fig. 12 (right-hand panel) also shows the gas surface density profiles for cases in which the initial large-scale gas inflows are not strong (e.g. Fig. 6). Most of these simulations represent either extremely gas-poor mergers or (more commonly) systems that are weakly bar unstable or bar unstable but with significant bulge

⁸ Note that for the resimulations, the density at small r is intentionally initialized to be extremely small, but rapidly rises at very early times.



Figure 12. Left: gas surface density profiles during the strong inflow phases, i.e. when the accretion rate at small radii peaks. Each solid line denotes a different simulation, with dotted lines showing the radii near the boundaries of our resimulations, where the exact profile shape is suspect; colours correspond to initial gas fractions as in Fig. 13. Thick lines are the ultra-high-resolution simulations. Each simulation consists of resimulations of the nuclear dynamics during gas-rich galaxy–galaxy mergers, as in Figs 5 and 11. The dashed red line shows the initial gas density profile of the large-scale simulations [run b3ex(ic) in Table 1]. The gas density profile is quasi-steady over the entire active phase, with star formation offsetting inflow; the time variation in Σ_{gas} for one example is shown in Fig. 11. Right: gas surface density profiles for simulations of isolated barred-disc galaxies and the corresponding resimulations. In cases with a very small pre-existing bulge, the central gas density can increase by an order of magnitude, but not the 2–4 orders of magnitude seen in the left-hand panel.

components (such that bars form efficiently, but inflow stalls at a large inner Lindblad resonance). In such cases, the large-scale dynamics cannot increase the gas density at sub-kpc scales very far above the initial value of $\sim 10^8 - 10^9 \, M_{\odot} \, \rm kpc^{-2}$. Without this enhancement, the system is stable against secondary instabilities, and so there is little gas inflow at small radii (e.g. Fig. 6).

In both the strong and weak inflow cases in Fig. 12, our small subset of ultra-high-resolution simulations (dot–dashed thick lines; six galaxy-scale runs that resolve down to \sim 10 pc and five intermediatescale runs that extend to <1 pc) are fully consistent with the larger suite of lower resolution resimulation runs. These high-resolution simulations have continuous inflow from large to small radii and can therefore be run self-consistently for a much larger number of dynamical times than our typical resimulation. In spite of this advantage, we find that our resimulation technique yields very similar results.

5 CONDITIONS FOR (IN)STABILITY

In the preceding sections, we have presented results for cases in which gas inflow from large scales both is and is not sufficient to trigger secondary instabilities at small radii, leading to efficient gas inflow down to ~ 0.1 pc. Here we provide a more quantitative assessment of the conditions under which there is significant inflow of gas to sub-pc scales.

Fig. 13 shows how several different measures of the efficiency of angular momentum transport and gas inflow depend on the initial gas fraction $f_{\rm gas}$ and the 'bulge' to total ratio B/T – the same parameters that strongly influence the morphology of the gas (Figs 3 and 4). We show results for a few different equations of state $q_{\rm eos}$ (see Fig. 1). The quantities we use to define the efficiency of gas inflow are the gas mass within 10 and 0.1 pc $M_{\rm gas}(< R)$ (top panels), the inflow rates within the same radii (middle panels) and the for-

mal amplitude of the non-axisymmetric gravitational perturbations at ~ 1 and ~ 100 pc (bottom panels). For the inflow rate (middle panels), we show both the time average and 'peak' values to convey the variability as a function of time in the simulation.

The mode amplitude is measured in the standard fashion from the surface density distribution at a given radius, using

$$|a_{\mathrm{m}}(R,t)| = \frac{||\int_{0}^{2\pi} \Sigma(R,\phi) \exp\left(\mathrm{i}\,m\,\phi\right) \mathrm{d}\phi||}{\int_{0}^{2\pi} \Sigma(R,\phi) \,\mathrm{d}\phi}.$$
(5)

We measure the amplitude from the stellar disc since it is slightly more robust to local clumping, but using the gas surface density gives similar results. For simplicity, we show the results for the largest amplitude mode in each case, but this is almost always m =1 in the nuclear-scale simulations (right-hand panels) and an m = 2bar or m = 1 spiral in the intermediate-scale simulations (left-hand panels). We measure the relevant amplitude at radii slightly larger than the radii where the inflow is measured, since it is this nonaxisymmetry that drives the inflow (it is also somewhat less noisy at larger radii).

The 'bulge-to-total' ratio in Fig. 13 is defined as the mass fraction in *all* spherical components within the specified radius, since these all serve to suppress instability in the same manner (e.g. any BH, bulge, halo and/or nuclear star cluster would qualify). Gas fraction is defined as the gas fraction of the *discy* component within the same radius.

Fig. 13 shows clearly that there is a very strong trend of inflow strength with B/T, in the expected sense. Strongly disc-dominated systems have characteristic inflow rates 3 orders of magnitude higher than strongly 'bulge-dominated' systems; likewise mode amplitudes and gas masses inside small radii are higher by 1–2 orders of magnitude.

There are several interesting additional results in Fig. 13. First, within the range of $q_{\rm cos}$ that we consider (motivated by the



Figure 13. Inflow strengths as a function of B/T for several initial gas fractions (colours) and ISM equations of state q_{cos} (symbol type), for a subset of our simulations. Large symbols in each refer to the subset of ultra-high-resolution simulations. Top: total gas mass inside an inner radius of 10 pc (left; from our intermediate-scale resimulations) or 0.1 pc (right; from our nuclear-scale resimulations), near the peak of accretion in each simulation. 'Bulge' (B) refers to any spherical term in the potential (bulge+halo+BH). Middle: gas inflow rate through the relevant inner radius. Solid points denote the time-averaged inflow rate over the full resimulation while open points are the maximum seen in any individual snapshot (to give some sense of the duty cycle). Bottom: amplitude of the dominant non-axisymmetric mode in the stars (generally m = 2 at intermediate scales, m = 1 at nuclear scales), averaged over the peak/strong inflow phase. Inflow properties scale broadly with B/T as expected, but the large scatter and sensitivity to other parameters make a large parameter survey critical.

observations in Fig. 1), the inflow properties do not depend significantly on the ISM physics. This is consistent with our previous arguments; so long as the sub-resolution model is sufficient to prevent catastrophic fragmentation and runaway star formation, gravitational torques that are reasonably independent of the ISM microphysics dominate the angular momentum redistribution. Note that there is also no distinguishable difference between the results of our ultra-high-resolution runs (shown with large symbols) and our standard resimulations. Secondly, the inflow properties depend much more strongly on B/T than on the initial gas fraction; this is because the stellar disc generates the same instabilities as the gas disc. In fact, the collisional nature of gas means that it cannot support certain strong modes (Toomre 1977), so the transport can be less efficient in the fully gas-dominated limit (the gas-rich systems

Thirdly, there is considerable scatter at all B/T. This is in no small part due to the time-variable nature of the small-scale dynamics (Section 3). In addition, however, a quantity such as B/T is not a global invariant; it depends on both position and time in these simulations. As such, some simulations with a given B/T at the specified radius can have a larger or smaller B/T at some larger radius, leading to more or less efficient inflow from those scales that in turn leads to more or less efficient inflow to smaller scales. Identifying B/T at a given radius and time is thus a crude measure of stability. Moreover, the torques and inflow rates depend on the precise structure of the modes involved; a significant portion of the scatter in Fig. 13 reflects the difference between e.g. bars, spiral arms and loosely or tightly wrapped waves. This large scatter highlights the importance of using a large suite of simulations to conduct parameter surveys and build statistical samples - any individual system, studied for a small amount of time, can be highly nonrepresentative.

5.1 Intermediate (sub-kpc) scales

For the intermediate-scale results shown in the left-hand panel of Fig. 13, we can gain some analytic understanding of the behaviour by following Shlosman et al. (1989), who discuss the criteria for secondary instabilities ('bars-within-bars'). It is well established that a self-gravitating disc becomes unstable to large-scale gravitational modes roughly when the Ostriker–Peebles criterion is met, i.e. when $T_{\rm rot}/W > t_{\rm crit}$, where $T_{\rm rot} \sim M_d V_c^2$ is the kinetic energy of rotation (in the rotationally supported – i.e. kinematically cold – component), $W \sim GM^2/R$ is the potential energy and $t_{\rm crit}$ is a threshold value of $\simeq 0.15$ –0.26, depending on the nature of the instability (e.g. Bardeen 1975; Aoki, Noguchi & Iye 1979). If a mass fraction $f_d = 1 - B/T$ is in the disc, the condition for instability generically becomes

$$f_{\rm d} > f_{\rm crit}(t_{\rm crit}, a/R),$$
 (6)

where *a* is the bulge scalelength, *R* is the disc scalelength and the exact functional form of f_{crit} depends on the profiles of the disc and bulge. For Kuzmin and Plummer profiles for the disc and bulge, respectively, we find that this instability criterion becomes

$$\frac{M_{\rm d}[< R]}{M_{\rm b} + M_{\rm d}[< R]} > \left(\frac{3\pi t_{\rm crit}}{4[1 - 2t_{\rm crit}]} \frac{R}{a}\right)^{1/2} \qquad (R \ll a) \tag{7}$$

$$\left(\frac{3\pi t_{\text{crit}}}{16} \left[1 + (a/R)^2\right] \frac{R}{a}\right) \qquad (R \sim a), \qquad (8)$$

where M_d [< *R*] is the total (stars + gas) disc mass within *R* and M_b is the total bulge mass.

If the discy material of interest has a scalelength comparable to that of the bulge (generally true for the intermediate-scale simulations here), equation (6) simply becomes $B/T \leq 1 - t_{\rm crit} \simeq 0.7$ –0.8. This is reasonably consistent with the fact that the inflow rate and interior gas mass in the intermediate-scale simulations in Fig. 13 (left-hand column) asymptote for $B/T \gtrsim 0.6$ –0.7.

Shlosman et al. (1989) show that an initial gas disc must be compressed to at least $R_{\text{new}}/R_i \sim (1.0-2.5) f_{\text{gas}}^2/[1 + 0.2 (B/T)^2]$ to satisfy equation (6), again for systems in which the disc and bulge initially have similar scalelengths. For the case of major mergers, we can compare this to Covington et al. (2008) and Hopkins et al. (2009a,d)'s estimates of how much angular momentum the gas loses during the merger. These authors find that the gas often inflows until it becomes self-gravitating – as a result, secondary bar instabilities should be ubiquitous. More quantitatively, they find $R_{\text{new}}/R_i \approx f_{\text{gas}}/(1 + f_{\text{gas}}/f_0)$ with $f_0 \approx 0.2$ –0.3. Using this, we estimate that mergers with reasonable gas fractions of $\gtrsim 0.3$ –0.5 will lead to secondary instabilities and rapid inflow. For isolated disc galaxies with bars, the critical criterion is whether the inner Lindblad resonance lies inside or outside of R_{new} ; this will generally *not* be true in low- f_{gas} or high-*B/T* systems.

5.2 Nuclear (pc) scales

Inside the radius where the BH begins to dominate the gravitational potential, it becomes increasingly difficult for the system to be globally gravitationally unstable in the sense of $T_{\rm rot}/W > t_{\rm crit}$. Specifically, in the potential of the BH this criterion implies that the disc would no longer be unstable inside a radius $R_{\rm min} \sim 10 \,\mathrm{pc} \,(M_{\rm BH}/10^8 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}})^{1/2} \,(\Sigma_{\rm d}/10^{11} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot} \, kpc^{-2}})^{-1/2}$. This confirms our earlier claims (e.g. Section 3.3) that the character of the instabilities responsible for angular momentum transport must change near the BHs' radius of influence. Indeed, we see numerically that the transport on small scales is dominated by m = 1modes (e.g. Fig. 4).

A full discussion of the origin of the m = 1 modes is beyond the scope of this paper; we will present a detailed analytic analysis (in simplified BH+disc models) of their structure, growth rates and pattern speeds in future work (in preparation). We can, however, present a simple analysis indicating how we believe the modes arise. For m = 1 modes, $\Omega - \kappa/m \to 0$ in a Keplerian potential. It is well known that this allows very low-frequency (low pattern speed Ω_p) modes to be present in a Keplerian potential (e.g. Tremaine 2001). Moreover, Tremaine (2001) showed that the only modes that can be global and exert coherent strong torques over a large dynamic range in a quasi-Keplerian potential are these m = 1 modes. We find that the modes in the simulations indeed have small Ω_p , i.e. $\Omega_{\rm p} \ll \Omega$ and m = 1, deep in the potential well of the BH. Note that for a collisionless particle, rather than the stellar+fluid discs of interest here, this simply corresponds to a standing elliptical Keplerian orbit. Small Ω_p / Ω (and wavenumber m = 1) is important because it implies near-resonance conditions at small radii; this allows the stars to produce strong torques on the gas, which drives orbit crossings, shocks and angular momentum loss, even when the mass of the disc at small radii is much less than the BH mass (see e.g. Chang et al. 2007). Following Tremaine (2001) and expanding the equations of motion for a linear mode in a nearly Keplerian potential (in the WKB limit), it is straightforward to show that the magnitude of the induced velocities $(\delta v/V_c)$ from a given mode scale as $\delta v/V_{\rm c} \sim (\delta \Sigma/\Sigma) M_{\rm d}(< R)/M_{\rm BH} = a M_{\rm d}(< R)/M_{\rm BH}$ for all $m \neq 1$; for m = 1, however, $\delta v / V_c \sim a$. In other words, the conventional wisdom that torques from global modes are strongly suppressed in the spherical potential of a BH is generally true, but the resonance condition that $\kappa \simeq \Omega$ in Keplerian potentials allows for large coherent eccentricities, self-gravitating collective effects and torques from m = 1 modes at arbitrarily small disc-to-BH masses. As a result, although we certainly see higher-m modes in our small-scale simulations, the global structure is almost always dominated by a coherent global m = 1 eccentric disc.

However, Tremaine (2001) also showed that slow modes with pattern speeds $\Omega_p \ll \Omega$ are linearly stable in quasi-Keplerian potentials. How, then, do these modes arise in the simulations? To start, we consider the dispersion relation for linear waves in the

WKB limit (see e.g. Lau & Bertin 1978), which is given by

$$\left(\frac{\omega - m\Omega}{\Omega}\right)^2 = 1 + \nu - 2\tilde{f}_{d}A\left(|kr|^2 + m^2\right)^{1/2} + A\left(|kh|^2 + \frac{m^2h^2}{r^2}\right),$$
(9)

where

$$\tilde{f}_{\rm d} \equiv \frac{\pi \, \Sigma_{\rm d} \, R^2}{M_{\rm enc}(< R)} \approx \frac{M_{\rm d}(< R)}{M_{\rm tot}(< R)},\tag{10}$$

$$A = 1 + \frac{2m^2(3-\nu)}{(\nu+1)(|kr|^2 + m^2)},$$
(11)

$$\nu \equiv 1 + 2 \,\frac{\partial \ln V_{\rm c}}{\partial \ln R} \approx \frac{d \ln M_{\rm enc}(< R)}{d \ln R} \,, \tag{12}$$

and $h = c_s/\Omega$ is the disc thickness. We now consider the limit of equation (9) such that kr is modest. Of course, our analytic treatment of such quasi-global gravitational modes should be considered with due caution, as the WKB approximation necessary to derive the dispersion relation is not justified. But this nevertheless points towards the conditions under which global gravitational instability may be present.⁹ In this limit, equation (9) will have growing modes if the right-hand side is negative, which for a cold disc ($h \ll r$) requires

$$\tilde{f}_{\rm d} > \frac{1}{2m} \frac{(1+\nu)^2}{7-\nu} \,. \tag{13}$$

As $\nu \to 0$, i.e. as the potential becomes Keplerian, equation (13) suggests that m = 1 modes can become unstable when $\tilde{f}_d \gtrsim 0.1$. This is consistent with the fact that we find the m = 1 modes at nearly all *B/T* (Fig. 13). Equation (13) also allows for the instability of higher-*m* modes, but these cannot exert coherent strong torques on the gas nor can they propagate efficiently to small radii, so they do not dominate the structure or inflows we see in our simulations.

Because the disc in general will have more mass at large radii, any instability will grow outside-in; for massive discs, the most unstable point is where $M_d(< R) \sim 0.5 - 1M_{BH}$ ($\tilde{f}_d \sim 1/3 - 1/2$). Here modes can grow on a dynamical time. Equation (9) shows that this growth is for fast modes: overstabilities with $\operatorname{Re}(\omega) \sim m \Omega$. In the simulations, the slow modes typically dominate the torques at sub-pc scales. However, we find that the fast mode itself drives the slow modes. Specifically, the m = 1 mode first appears around the most unstable point R_{crit} predicted above, where $M_{\rm d}(< R_{\rm crit}) \sim 0.5$ –1 $M_{\rm BH}$. This rapidly leads to an eccentric disc at these radii. As the instability causes gas to lose angular momentum, the gas falls inwards and begins turning into stars. The eccentric mass distribution at R_{crit} then in turn efficiently *induces* a similar eccentricity at smaller radii. As noted in Tremaine (2001), the m =1 slow mode may be linearly stable, but it can be excited in the first place - and will be long-lived - in response to an external asymmetry in the potential. Our interpretation of the simulations is that the unstable self-gravitating disc near $\sim R_{\rm crit}$ provides the asymmetry that generates the slow, low pattern speed, m = 1 mode

⁹ Note also that equation (9) is derived with the WKB approximation, but including terms up to second order in $k R^{-1}$ (dropping out-of-phase terms), which makes it somewhat more accurate for the large-scale modes of interest here. Specifically, this leads to the additional enhancement of the growth rate $A \sim 1 + \Gamma \sin i$, where $\Gamma \equiv \partial \ln \Omega / \partial \ln R$ and *i* is the arm pitch angle; this approximates (at this order) the effects of the swing amplifier, an important contribution to the instability criterion.

at smaller radii. The pattern speed $\Omega_p = \Omega(R_{\rm crit})$ is conserved as the mode moves in, but the circular speed $\Omega(R)$ is rapidly increasing at smaller radii near the BH, so the mode at small radii is indeed a slow mode – for the typical parameters here, the pattern speed is $\Omega_p \sim 1-5 \,\mathrm{km} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1} \,\mathrm{pc}^{-1}$, set by the circular speeds at $\sim 10-100 \,\mathrm{pc}$, where $M_{\rm d}(< R) \sim M_{\rm BH}$ (for comparison, $\Omega \gtrsim 1000 \,\mathrm{km} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1} \,\mathrm{pc}^{-1}$ at $R < 1 \,\mathrm{pc}$). The slow mode at small radii responsible for the angular momentum transport is therefore not a local small-scale instability but part of a global instability beginning at larger radii. In the future, we plan to explore the origin of this global $m = 1 \,\mathrm{mode}$ in more detail. Calculating the dynamics of the gas and stars and including self-gravity and star formation are all important for capturing the inward propagation of these modes.

Similar m = 1 modes have been studied previously as a mechanism for accretion in fluid discs (Adams, Ruden & Shu 1989; Shu et al. 1990; Ostriker, Shu & Adams 1992; Bournaud et al. 2005), both in the galactic context and in protoplanetary or protostellar discs (e.g. Banerjee, Pudritz & Holmes 2004; Bolev et al. 2006; Vorobyov & Basu 2006, 2009; Krumholz, Klein & McKee 2007; Cai et al. 2008). In a purely gaseous disc, wave packets can propagate through the outer Lindblad resonance (OLR) to $r \to \infty$, eventually becoming simple sound waves (Adams et al. 1989). Because the waves can freely escape to the outer boundary, infinite pure gaseous discs in nearly-Keplerian potentials do not support strong growing m = 1 modes, and the mode growth is quite sensitive to the description of the disc boundary. However, for a stellar disc, the mode cannot propagate beyond the OLR where $\Delta \equiv \kappa^2 - m (\Omega - \Omega_p)^2 = 0$. Refraction of the stellar waves at this boundary is important and implies that global m = 1 modes can grow even when the disc extends to $R \gg R_{OLR}$. As a result, we shall show in subsequent work that the m = 1 modes in quasi-Keplerian stellar discs are relatively insensitive to the outer boundary conditions. This is also implicit in Tremaine (2001), where for many of the modes the outer radius of the disc could be arbitrarily large. The ability of stellar m = 1 modes to grow more readily again highlights the importance of considering the two component stellar + gas systems when considering gas inflow in galactic nuclei.

6 ACCRETION VERSUS STAR FORMATION

Given the gas inflows modelled here, our results imply a correlation between the accretion rate on to the central BH – and hence the AGN luminosity – and the SFRs on larger scales. Fig. 14 shows this correlation for a number of our simulations. We take the inflow rate at 0.1 pc as the AGN accretion rate, which corresponds to a bolometric luminosity of

$$L_{\text{bol, AGN}} = \epsilon_{\text{r}} \, \dot{M}_{\text{BH}} \, c^2 \approx 5.7 \times 10^{45} \left(\frac{\epsilon_{\text{r}}}{0.1}\right) \left(\frac{\dot{M}_{\text{BH}}}{\text{M}_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1}}\right) \text{erg s}^{-1},$$
(14)

where the radiative efficiency is $\epsilon_r = 0.1$. Fig. 14 compares the BHAR to the total SFR inside a (projected) radius R, at a few representative radii: true nuclear scales (R < 10 pc), more readily observable nuclear scales (R < 100 pc), the smallest scales observable in most moderate to high-redshift systems (R < 1 kpc) and the total SFR, integrated over all radii ($R \rightarrow \infty$). For each point, in Fig. 14, the projected SFR(< R) is technically the median over ~ 100 sightlines, but projection effects are negligible. For the larger radii, we measure the SFR in an appropriate intermediate- or galaxy-scale simulation. The BHAR is then determined from that in the appropriate resimulation central properties at the given time. Because a given



BHAR [M_©yr⁻¹] 1 0.1 0.1 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.1 1 10 100 0.1 10 100 1000 1 $R_{SF} < 50 \text{ kpc}$ $R_{SF} < 1 \text{ kpc}$ 10 10 BHAR [M_©yr⁻¹ 1 1 0.1 0.1 0.01 0.01 10 100 1000 1 10 100 1000 1 SFR(<R) [M_{\odot} yr⁻¹] SFR(<R) [M_{\odot} yr⁻¹] Figure 14. The predicted relationship between the BHAR and the SFR inside a given radius. For each simulation, we plot the SFR integrated within the

Figure 14. The predicted relationship between the BHAR and the SFR inside a given radius. For each simulation, we plot the SFR integrated within the indicated projected radius, at different times during the simulation, compared with the <0.1-pc BHAR from appropriate resimulation. The characteristic time-scale for variability at small radii – which sets the variability in the BHAR – is much shorter than the corresponding time-scale at large radii; at fixed SFR, each system can thus have a variety of BHARs. Nevertheless, the BHAR correlates with the SFR, with the least scatter for the nuclear star formation (top left). The correlations seen here are a natural consequence of the fact that high gas densities, and thus high SFRs, are necessary to trigger secondary instabilities and drive accretion on small scales.

dynamical time in a galaxy-scale simulation corresponds to many dynamical times (and simulation outputs) on very small scales, the number of points is larger in the plots going out to larger scale.

10

Not surprisingly, Fig. 14 shows that there is a correlation between the accretion rate and SFR, on all scales. There is significant scatter in this correlation, although the scatter is less when the SFR is evaluated inside a small nuclear annulus. If we assume a linear proportionality between the BHAR and SFR in some annulus, we find

$$\dot{M}_{\rm BH} \sim \dot{M}_* (R < 10\,\rm pc) \tag{15}$$

$$\sim 0.1 \,\dot{M}_*(R < 100 \,\mathrm{pc})$$
 (16)

$$\sim 0.03 \,\dot{M}_*(R < 1 \,\mathrm{kpc})$$
 (17)

$$\sim 0.003 \, \dot{M}_*(\text{total})$$
 (18)

for the median relation in the simulations, which corresponds to a bolometric luminosity ratio of $L_{\rm bol, SF}/L_{\rm bol, AGN} \sim (0.01, 0.1, 0.3, 2.5)$ at $(R < 10 \, {\rm pc}, R < 100 \, {\rm pc}, R < 1 \, {\rm kpc}, R < \infty)$, respectively, or to a ratio of the star formation-powered infrared luminosity to the hard X-ray luminosity from the AGN of $L_{\rm IR, SF}/L_{\rm HX, AGN} \sim (0.2, 2, 6, 60)$ in the same annuli.

In greater detail, the correlations are not precisely linear. Parametrizing the correlation between star formation and BH accretion as a power law, $\dot{M}_{\rm BH} \propto \dot{M}_{*}^{\alpha}$, we find that the above correlations are approximately valid for $\dot{M}_{\rm BH} \sim 0.1-10 \,\rm M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}$, but α changes from near-linear at small scales ($R < 10 \,\rm pc$) to somewhat superlinear ($\alpha \sim 1.5$) on large scales. For the total SFR, we find

$$\dot{M}_{\rm BH} \sim 0.5 \,\rm M_{\odot} \,\rm yr^{-1} \left(\frac{\dot{M}_{*}}{50 \,\rm M_{\odot} \,\rm yr^{-1}}\right)^{1.5}.$$
 (19)

However, we caution that our simulations do not include the appropriate physics to resolve the variety of processes that can produce low accretion rates of $\ll 0.1 \, M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}$ nor do they sample a large regime of galaxies with total SFRs of $\lesssim 1 \, M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}$, so the above fits should not be used in the limits of weak accretion and star formation. The qualitative point is none the less important: non-axisymmetric instabilities due to self-gravity become inefficient at low gas densities (low SFRs), and so other mechanisms must be important for fuelling at least some of the low-luminosity AGN population.

It is notable that even during the active phases modelled here, the *average* relation between the accretion rate and total SFR is such that the systems are most often not AGN dominated in a bolometric sense (although the two are certainly comparable, in these phases). In other words, even the most active systems will be consistent with SFR-dominated properties except for a small fraction of the time; this is consistent with observations such as the far-infrared-radio correlation (Wang et al. 2008; Riechers et al. 2009; Walter et al.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-abstract/407/3/1529/1067895 by California Institute of Technology user on 21 May 2020

2009). However, the scatter in accretion rates at a fixed SFR is large, and most of the growth in BH mass comes from the rare, short-lived times when the systems scatter to higher $L_{\rm AGN}/L_{\rm SF}$. Roughly, we can estimate these duty cycles with the scatter in Fig. 14 – about \sim 30 per cent of the systems in 'peak' AGN phases have sufficient accretion rates ($\dot{M}_{\rm BH} \gtrsim 0.01$ \dot{M}_*) so as to be AGN-dominated.

The predictions in Fig. 14 can be compared to a number of observations. Wu & Cao (2006) compile total SFR estimators in ~100 nearby AGN ranging in luminosity from low-ionization nuclear emission regions (LINERS; $\dot{M}_{\rm BH} < 0.01 \,\rm M_{\odot} \,\rm yr^{-1}$) to Palomar– Green (PG) quasars ($\dot{M}_{\rm BH} \sim 1 - 5 \,\rm M_{\odot} \,\rm yr^{-1}$). The sample details are discussed in Satyapal et al. (2005), but the authors find that they are reasonably robust to the choice of SFR or BHAR indicator. Silverman et al. (2009) consider a similar compilation in more luminous systems in the Cosmological Evolution Survey (COSMOS), spanning a redshift range $z \sim 0.3$ –1.1. At total SFR values of $>10 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot} yr^{-1}}$, these samples agree fairly well. Specifically, for $\dot{M}_{*} \sim 10-1000 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot} \,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, these different compilations are consistent with a median $\dot{M}_{\rm BH} \sim 0.001-0.01 \, \dot{M}_{*}$, with a factor of ~ 3 dispersion; this is quite similar to our results shown in Fig. 14. On the other hand, Ho (2005) used OII line emission to argue that the SFR associated with luminous type 1 AGN is at best comparable to the BHAR, inconsistent with the median-integrated galaxy predictions in Fig. 14. This may be because obscuration makes O II an imperfect proxy for star formation in dense, obscured, starbursts. In addition, luminous type 1 AGN are probably, by selection, on the tail end of the BHAR/SFR distribution (and could, in principle, be in an AGN feedback-dominated stage of evolution not modelled here). Indeed, Kim, Ho & Im (2006) followed up Ho's work and found enhanced star formation in type 2 quasars, similar to our predictions here.

Wang et al. (2007) consider spatially resolved estimates of nuclear luminosity and emission-line (SFR) profiles in a range of Seyferts and quasars (mostly at low redshift) at spatial scales from ~0.05 to 3 kpc (see Imanishi 2002, 2003; Imanishi & Wada 2004). Their results are similar to those in a variety of other studies (see e.g. Kauffmann et al. 2003; Evans et al. 2006; Kewley et al. 2006; Schawinski et al. 2009). At both R < 100 pc [where the observations span a dynamic range in SFR(< 100 pc) ~ 0.1–10 M_☉ yr⁻¹] and R < 1 kpc [SFR(< 1 kpc) ~ 1–100 M_☉ yr⁻¹], our results are reasonably consistent with these observations, in both normalization and scatter. A small sample is studied at extremely high resolution in Davies et al. (2007), where star formation and AGN luminosity are resolved at ~10–30 pc scales. They find that, within these radii, the luminosity from star formation is ~1–5 per cent of that from the BH, again consistent with our prediction here.

7 DISCUSSION

We have presented high-resolution SPH simulations of the dynamics of gas in the central regions of galaxies, in order to study gas inflow from galactic scales ~10 kpc down to the scales of a canonical AGN accretion disc (~0.1 pc). We use the properties of galacticscale simulations – both mergers and isolated galaxy discs – to initialize new higher resolution 'resimulations' that focus on the \lesssim 100-pc dynamics. This technique is then applied a second time to follow the gas to ~0.1 pc. By intention, our calculations do not employ particle splitting and are not all exact realizations of the small-scale dynamics associated with a single, specific larger scale simulation. Our 'resimulations' should not be taken as such an exact realization. Instead, since it is not practical to directly solve the 'full' problem (from ~10 kpc to 10^{-5} pc), we have focused on understanding and isolating the key physics involved. To provide a more physical model, however, we use initial conditions drawn from a variety of larger galaxy-scale simulations, rather than an ad hoc set-up. This approach allows us to systematically survey, for the first time, how a large variety of initial conditions and galaxy properties affect gas dynamics in galactic nuclei.

It is still not feasible, with any numerical scheme, to simultaneously model the small scales of an AGN accretion disc and those of a galaxy for cosmological time-scales. For this reason, direct 'zoom-in' approaches have thus far been fairly limited, usually evolving each region for just a few local dynamical times. Our numerical approach attempts to overcome this severe limitation. First, the smallest regions of each simulation are simulated for many local dynamical times. Even more importantly, we quantify the dynamics on small scales using ~ 100 simulations of a large range of initial conditions and galaxy properties. This approach does not require an exact mapping between one large-scale simulation and another on smaller scales (although we often interpret our results in this manner). For example, even if our nuclear-scale simulations of the central $\sim 100 \,\mathrm{pc}$ of a galaxy are not self-consistently matched to those of a specific larger scale simulation, they still represent valid simulations of a given set of initial conditions of a bulge+stellar disc+gas disc+BH system, evolved for many dynamical times. As such, we can still use them to understand the physics of angular momentum transport and BH fuelling at these radii (given these initial conditions). This methodology allows us to survey a wide range of initial conditions and galaxy properties and isolate the physical parameters that are most important for the physics of gas inflows on small scales in galactic nuclei. We have also checked our 'resimulation' approach by carrying out a small number of ultra-highresolution simulations that bridge the gap between different resimulated regions; we find in all cases that these ultra-high-resolution simulations validate our resimulation methodology.

Our calculations demonstrate that for sufficiently gas-rich, discdominated systems, which have a large inflow of gas into ~kpc scales, there is a cascade of non-axisymmetric gravitational instabilities that ultimately produces BHARs as high as ~10 M_☉ yr⁻¹ at ≤ 0.1 pc (Fig. 13). Moreover, we have explicitly shown that these conditions are satisfied during major mergers of gas-rich galaxies, thus providing theoretical support for the connection between mergers and BH growth. It is, however, also important to stress that our work only implies that galaxy mergers are a sufficient condition for fuelling a central quasar, not that they are necessary: similar inflows can be obtained in isolated gas-rich, bar or spiral wave-unstable galactic discs.

In broad terms, our results support the 'bars-within-bars' scenario proposed in Shlosman et al. (1989). However, we show that the secondary instabilities on intermediate scales (~10-100 pc) exhibit a diverse range of morphologies, including standard nuclear spirals, bars, rings, barred rings, one- or three-armed spirals, and irregular/clumpy discs and streams (Figs 2 and 3). This is very important for comparing to real galaxies: observations have generally found that nuclear bars are not ubiquitous in AGN (perhaps not substantially more common than in non-active systems). However, these same observations have found that some form of asymmetric nuclear structure is ubiquitous, with the most common features being nuclear spirals and rings very similar to those seen in Fig. 3 (see Martini & Pogge 1999; Peletier et al. 1999; Knapen et al. 2000, 2002; Laine et al. 2002; Martini et al. 2003; Greene et al. 2009, and references therein). Our work shows that these observations are not necessarily in conflict with the hypothesis that gravitational torques dominate inflow on sub-kpc scales in AGN. Rather there is a large diversity in the observational manifestation of these gravitational torques. 'Bars-within-bars' should not be taken too literally. Instead, a more accurate characterization would be: 'its non-axisymmetric features all the way down' (or 'stuff-within-stuff').

This diversity in observational appearance owes both to the effects of global parameters, such as gas fraction and bulge-to-total ratio, and to more subtle variations induced by the relative scale-lengths of discs and bulges, the precise profile shapes, the thick-ness/dispersion in the sub-kpc bulge, stellar disc and gaseous disc components. Observationally, these parameters are all seen to vary considerably even in isolated galaxies, let alone in chaotic merging systems; as a result, we expect the morphological diversity exhibited in Figs 2 and 3 to be the norm.

Once the gas reaches the BH's radius of influence ($\sim 10 \text{ pc}$ for a typical $\sim L_*$ system), the potential becomes quasi-Keplerian and spherical (dominated by the BH itself); the system is thus no longer bar unstable. However, the gas is still locally self-gravitating and prone to forming stars if it does not inflow rapidly. Indeed, this is traditionally the range of radii at which it has been the most difficult to produce the large accretion rates needed for luminous AGN and quasars (Shlosman, Begelman & Frank 1990; Thompson et al. 2005). We find, however, that new large-scale non-axisymmetric modes arise at ~pc scales and robustly allow for continued gas transport to smaller radii. Specifically, for sufficiently gas-rich, discy systems, we find an eccentric/lopsided disc or a one-armed spiral instability (an m = 1 mode); see Fig. 4. This leads to large inflow rates of $\sim 1-10 \, M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}$ into the central 0.1 pc, comparable to what is needed to explain the brightest quasars observed. At radii of $\leq 0.01-0.1$ pc, the accretion flow is no longer self-gravitating and *local* viscous heating is sufficient to maintain $Q \ge 1$ and transport gas to the BH (Goodman 2003; Thompson et al. 2005); the disc is approaching a canonical thin Keplerian accretion disc.

The m = 1 modes seen here have been studied previously as a mechanism for accretion on to BHs and protostars (Adams et al. 1989; Shu et al. 1990; Ostriker et al. 1992), but have largely been neglected in studies of fuelling luminous AGN. This is in part because most previous simulations neglected either star formation or the self-gravity of the gas and stars (owing to computational limits or, of course, to the fact that these complications are not present in the case of a protostar). Moreover, previous calculations have shown that although near-Keplerian potentials can support the 'slow' pattern speed m = 1 modes we find here, such modes are linearly stable (Tremaine 2001). Why, then, are these modes ubiquitous in our simulations? We find that the inclusion of stars and gas, self-gravity, live star formation and some model for stellar feedback are all important for the behaviour of these instabilities. In particular, we typically find a complex interplay between the gas and stars (Sections 4 and 5.2). The system often develops the m =1 modes first near corotation, where the disc and BH contribute comparably to the potential; the mode growth is faster in the stellar distribution, which can support self-crossing orbits and which is much less sensitive to the outer properties of the disc. As gas streams move through the lopsided stellar distribution, they are torqued, experience orbit crossing and lose angular momentum and energy (as in e.g. Chang et al. 2007). As the gas moves to smaller radii, the stars at larger radii provide a less efficient angular momentum sink. However, star formation ensures that new stars are formed in situ (themselves in a lopsided distribution), allowing the gas to continue to flow in. This process leads to the m = 1 mode propagating inwards into the gravitational potential well of the BH, from the larger radii where it originated. The torques and inflow rates are thus quite different in stellar+gas systems as compared to pure gas discs. In particular, orbit crossing induced by the stars can lead to much more efficient gas inflow; we will study this analytically in Paper II.

Our calculations show that the inflow of gas on sub-kpc scales, including the BHAR at ≤ 0.1 pc, is highly time variable (Fig. 5). This variability is a result of gravitationally unstable modes forming, damping and re-forming, and the fact that individual clumps or dense regions can dominate the inflow rate at a given time. The 'duty cycle' for large inflow rates is modest, even in systems with a large time-averaged accretion rate. In one of our most violent simulations, a massive nuclear ring forms at ~kpc; owing to an inner Lindblad-like resonance, the system has an outflow from sub-kpc scales and inflow from the merger at larger radii. Since the outflow/inflow rates are large, the ring soon becomes strongly self-gravitating and globally collapses, leading to one of the largest net accretion rates into $\sim 10 \,\mathrm{pc}$ in any of our simulations. However, more than \sim 95 per cent of the time during the 'active' phase, the system has strong outflow from the sub-kpc region. Moreover, because the gravitational modes driving accretion at large scales (e.g. the merger), at ~ 100 pc (secondary instabilities) and at $\sim pc$ (the lopsided nuclear disc) are physically separate, their variability on short time-scales is not fully coupled. This is clearly important when relating observed torques on large scales to AGN accretion rates on small scales. In many observed AGN or barred discs (with a proper inner Lindblad resonance), there are no strong inflows (and may even be outflows) observable at \sim kpc (Block et al. 2001; García-Burillo et al. 2005; Haan et al. 2009; Durbala et al. 2009; Stoklasová et al. 2009). Our simulations demonstrate that this can in fact be the case even in systems with large time-averaged accretion rates.

The key parameter determining the qualitative behaviour at each scale in our simulations is the ratio of the total mass in a rotationally supported disc (gas and stars) to the pre-existing mass in any spherical component (bulge, halo or BH); see Fig. 13 and Section 5. There is, of course, a considerable literature studying the instability of self-gravitating discs in disc/bulge systems, which is applicable to the intermediate scales ($\sim 10-100 \text{ pc}$) in our simulations (see e.g. Bardeen 1975; Narayan, Goldreich & Goodman 1987; Raha et al. 1991; Christodoulou, Shlosman & Tohline 1995; Earn & Sellwood 1995: Bournaud & Combes 2002: Athanassoula 2008: Dubinski, Berentzen & Shlosman 2009). As outlined in Shlosman et al. (1989), most of these criteria amount to variations on the Ostriker-Peebles criterion that the kinetic energy of rotation inside some radius be $\gtrsim 20$ per cent of the potential energy. This requires increasing the surface density of discy material within the pre-existing bulge/halo effective radius to a value comparable to, or equal to, that of the bulge/halo. For major, gas-rich mergers $(f_{\text{gas}} \gtrsim 0.3)$, this condition is almost always met. However, for gas-poor mergers, or for isolated barred galaxies, it is not clear how often systems will in fact trigger secondary instabilities. We find cases where weak large-scale bars trigger no significant subsequent activity on smaller scales (Fig. 6).

In the gravitational potential of the central BH, the criterion for further inflow is somewhat altered, although we believe that it is qualitatively similar (Section 5.2): lopsided m = 1 modes are dynamically important provided that the total mass in the discy component is $\sim 0.1-1M_{\rm BH}$ in the central ~ 10 pc (Fig. 13; note the large scatter even at fixed disc to BH mass). We find that this criterion is generally satisfied, so long as the larger scales ($\sim 10-100$ pc) are themselves unstable. The critical barrier, then, to triggering a cascade of instabilities leading to significant BH accretion is the presence of a large self-gravitating gas inflow at $\sim a$ kpc.

There is significant evidence that galaxies in fact meet the criteria outlined here for AGN fuelling. Studies of ongoing gas-rich mergers find that the gas densities reach and exceed the self-gravity threshold, with gas densities similar to those predicted here (Figs 11-12; see e.g. Hibbard & Yun 1999; Tacconi et al. 1999; Laine et al. 2003; Iono et al. 2007; Schweizer & Seitzer 2007). Similarly, studies of early-type galaxies find that the stellar surface densities can be separated into a distinct 'dissipational' component - the stars at small radii which must have formed in a dissipational starburst - and a 'dissipationless' envelope of violently relaxed stars (see Hopkins et al. 2008b). The starburst relic component is inferred to have formed from self-gravitating gas; indeed, this appears to define its size-mass relation (Hopkins et al. 2009a). In addition, the criterion for significant gas inflow given a modest pre-existing bulge corresponds to a surface density in the rotationally supported component of $\sim 10^{11} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot} \, kpc^{-2}}$ at $\sim 10 \,\mathrm{pc}$ (Fig. 12), for an $\sim L_*$ system. This is comparable to the characteristic stellar surface density observed at these radii in massive spheroids (see Hopkins et al. 2010, and references therein).

One of the strong predictions of this work is that the link between high gas surface densities and inflow leads to a correlation between the BHAR and star formation (Section 6). We have assumed a star formation law in which $\dot{\rho}_* \propto \rho^{1.5}$, which corresponds to a fixed star formation efficiency per local dynamical time. This is supported by models of turbulence-regulated star formation, in which the efficiency per dynamical time is a weak function of ambient conditions such as the Mach number of the turbulence (Krumholz & McKee 2005). With this assumption, we predict a correlation between BH accretion and the star formation at various scales in galactic nuclei, albeit one with significant scatter (Fig. 14). These predictions agree reasonably well with current observations (Wu & Cao 2006; Wang et al. 2007; Silverman et al. 2009). In the future, it will be interesting to explore the possibility that the star formation efficiency in galactic nuclei is significantly lower than the mean ISM value, as has been suggested by several authors (Thompson et al. 2005; Begelman & Shlosman 2009; Larson 2009).

If the asymmetric stellar structures found here are long-lived (decay times of ~Gyr), they should in principle be observable around nearby massive BHs. One tantalizing possibility is that the ubiquitous eccentric stellar and gaseous discs we find at \sim 1– 10 pc may explain the nuclear stellar disc seen in M31, which has been the subject of considerable study (see e.g. Lauer et al. 1993; Tremaine 1995; Salow & Statler 2001; Sambhus & Sridhar 2002; Peiris & Tremaine 2003; Bender et al. 2005). Hopkins & Quataert (2010a) examine this possibility in detail and show that the spatial scales of \sim 1–10 pc, moderate eccentricities and stellar masses of $\sim 0.1 - 1 M_{\rm BH}$ found in our simulations are all comparable to those observed. Eccentric nuclear discs have also been observed (albeit in less detail) around a number of other massive but inactive BHs, including NGC 4486b (Lauer et al. 1996), M83 (Thatte, Tecza & Genzel 2000) and VCC 128 (Debattista et al. 2006). This strongly suggests that we can probe the instabilities that produced the growth of massive BHs in the 'fossil' relics of that accretion.

The dominant uncertainties in the models presented here are our treatment of the ISM physics, star formation and feedback. Lacking a full microphysical understanding of these processes – or the resolution to directly incorporate such a model – it is necessary to adopt a sub-resolution prescription for their impact. Our model is that stars form at all radii, in local, self-gravitating clumps, with a fixed efficiency relative to the local dynamical time. In addition, feedback from stars (supernovae, radiation, stellar winds/outflows, etc.) contributes, potentially along with cosmic rays and magnetic

fields, to generating a turbulent velocity that is much larger than the thermal sound speed of the ISM. It is this turbulent 'sound speed' that is included in our ISM equation of state (Fig. 1). Observations suggest that these assumptions are at least plausible even at the small scales we model here: a local Kennicutt-Schmidt relation holds in nuclear starbursts and on small scales in galaxies (Kennicutt 1998; Kennicutt et al. 2007; Bigiel et al. 2008) and star formation efficiencies per dynamical time do not appear to evolve even in very dense regions (comparable to the highest gas densities modelled here; see, e.g. Tan et al. 2006; Krumholz & Tan 2007). Moreover, AGN are observed to have associated nuclear star formation on scales as small as a pc; the densities of stars formed in situ at these scales (Davies et al. 2007; Hicks et al. 2009) are reasonably consistent with our predictions. Large turbulent and/or non-thermal gas velocity dispersions are also ubiquitous, from starburst nuclei on ~kpc scales to the sub-pc scales around AGN probed by water masers (Downes & Solomon 1998; Tacconi et al. 1999; Kondratko et al. 2005; Iono et al. 2007; Westmoquette et al. 2007); these observations motivate our choice of sub-resolution feedback models (Fig. 1). To study the impact of ISM uncertainties on our results, we explicitly used different star formation efficiencies in some of our simulations; although this does have a quantitative effect on the resulting gas densities and inflow rates, the physical processes that we have identified as driving accretion remain the same.

As noted in Section 2, the primary consequence of the large effective sound speed in our models is to increase the Jeans and Toomre masses, thus suppressing the formation of small-scale structure. That is, we effectively smooth over the smaller scales on which our treatment of the ISM physics is not appropriate. This implies that in our model, most of the gas, most of the time, resides in relatively diffuse structures, rather than being bound to very compact clusters, as would be the case if we did not include stellar feedback and/or a subgrid sound speed (see Appendix B). We suspect that this approach is reasonable for the global gravitational dynamics highlighted in this paper. In particular, our calculations indicate that over a remarkably large dynamic range, from 0.1 pc to 10 kpc, the torques and angular momentum transport are gravitational and scale simply with the magnitude of the asymmetry in the potential, not with the sound speed of the gas (Fig. 9 and Section 4). In Paper II, we will present a more detailed comparison between analytic models and our numerical results that supports this conclusion.

In our calculations, we have neglected AGN feedback in order to study how gas gets down to a BH in the first place. To the extent that feedback is important on these scales, our simulations may better approximate the 'early' stages of BH growth, when the BH is still relatively small (compared to e.g. the still-forming bulge), and before it reaches a critical mass or luminosity at which feedback becomes dynamically important. When BH growth becomes self-regulated, inflow and outflow are coupled, and the problem of AGN fuelling must be addressed with a model that includes AGN feedback as a function of gas properties and spatial scale.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Phil Chang, Lars Hernquist, Norm Murray and Volker Springel for helpful discussions during the development of this work and the referee for a careful reading of the text and a number of very useful suggestions. PFH and EQ were supported by the Miller Institute for Basic Research in Science, University of California Berkeley. EQ was also supported in part by NASA grant NNG06GI68G and the David and Lucile Packard Foundation.

- Adams F. C., Ruden S. P., Shu F. H., 1989, ApJ, 347, 959
- Allen L. et al., 2007, in Reipurth B., Jewitt D., Keil K., eds, Protostars and Planets V. Univ. of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ, p. 361
- Aller M. C., Richstone D. O., 2007, ApJ, 665, 120
- Aoki S., Noguchi M., Iye M., 1979, PASJ, 31, 737
- Athanassoula E., 2008, MNRAS, 390, L69
- Athanassoula E., Bienayme O., Martinet L., Pfenniger D., 1983, A&A, 127, 349
- Athanassoula E., Lambert J. C., Dehnen W., 2005, MNRAS, 363, 496
- Balbus S. A., Hawley J. F., 1998, Rev. Modern Phys., 70, 1
- Banerjee R., Pudritz R. E., Holmes L., 2004, MNRAS, 355, 248
- Bardeen J. M., 1975, in Hayli A., ed., Proc. IAU Symp. 69, Dynamics of the Solar Systems. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 297
- Barnes J. E., 1998, in Kennicutt R. C. Jr, Schweizer F., Barnes J. E., Friedli D., Martinet L., Pfenniger D., eds, Saas-Fee Advanced Course Vol. 26, Galaxies: Interactions and Induced Star Formation. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 275
- Barnes J. E., Hernquist L. E., 1991, ApJ, 370, L65
- Barnes J. E., Hernquist L., 1996, ApJ, 471, 115
- Begelman M. C., Shlosman I., 2009, ApJ, 702, L5
- Bell E. F., de Jong R. S., 2001, ApJ, 550, 212
- Bender R. et al., 2005, ApJ, 631, 280
- Berentzen I., Shlosman I., Martinez-Valpuesta I., Heller C. H., 2007, ApJ, 666, 189
- Bigiel F., Leroy A., Walter F., Brinks E., de Blok W. J. G., Madore B., Thornley M. D., 2008, AJ, 136, 2846
- Blitz L., 1993, in Levy E. H., Lunine J. I., eds, Protostars and Planets III. Univ. of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ, p. 125
- Block D. L., Puerari I., Knapen J. H., Elmegreen B. G., Buta R., Stedman S., Elmegreen D. M., 2001, A&A, 375, 761
- Böker T., Sarzi M., McLaughlin D. E., van der Marel R. P., Rix H.-W., Ho L. C., Shields J. C., 2004, AJ, 127, 105
- Boley A. C., Mejía A. C., Durisen R. H., Cai K., Pickett M. K., D'Alessio P., 2006, ApJ, 651, 517
- Bonnell I. A., Dobbs C. L., Robitaille T. P., Pringle J. E., 2006, MNRAS, 365, 37
- Bouché N. et al., 2007, ApJ, 671, 303
- Bournaud F., Combes F., 2002, A&A, 392, 83
- Bournaud F., Combes F., Jog C. J., Puerari I., 2005, A&A, 438, 507
- Bryant P. M., Scoville N. Z., 1999, AJ, 117, 2632
- Bullock J. S. et al., 2001, MNRAS, 321, 559
- Cai K., Durisen R. H., Boley A. C., Pickett M. K., Mejía A. C., 2008, ApJ, 673, 1138
- Carlberg R. G., 1986, ApJ, 310, 593
- Chang P., Murray-Clay R., Chiang E., Quataert E., 2007, ApJ, 668, 236
- Christodoulou D. M., Shlosman I., Tohline J. E., 1995, ApJ, 443, 551
- Cossins P., Lodato G., Clarke C. J., 2009, MNRAS, 393, 1157
- Côté P. et al., 2006, ApJS, 165, 57
- Covington M., Dekel A., Cox T. J., Jonsson P., Primack J. R., 2008, MNRAS, 384, 94
- Cox T. J., Dutta S. N., Di Matteo T., Hernquist L., Hopkins P. F., Robertson B., Springel V., 2006, ApJ, 650, 791
- Cuadra J., Armitage P. J., Alexander R. D., Begelman M. C., 2009, MNRAS, 393, 1423
- Dasyra K. M. et al., 2006, ApJ, 638, 745
- Dasyra K. M. et al., 2007, ApJ, 657, 102
- Davies R. I., Sánchez F. M., Genzel R., Tacconi L. J., Hicks E. K. S., Friedrich S., Sternberg A., 2007, ApJ, 671, 1388
- Debattista V. P., Ferreras I., Pasquali A., Seth A., De Rijcke S., Morelli L., 2006, ApJ, 651, L97
- DeBuhr J., Quataert E., Ma C.-P., Hopkins P., 2010, MNRAS, 406, L55
- Di Matteo T., Springel V., Hernquist L., 2005, Nat, 433, 604
- Dotti M., Ruszkowski M., Paredi L., Colpi M., Volonteri M., Haardt F., 2009, MNRAS, 396, 1640
- Downes D., Solomon P. M., 1998, ApJ, 507, 615
- Dubinski J., Berentzen I., Shlosman I., 2009, ApJ, 697, 293

- Durbala A., Buta R., Sulentic J. W., Verdes-Montenegro L., 2009, MNRAS, 397, 1756
- Earn D. J. D., Sellwood J. A., 1995, ApJ, 451, 533
- Elmegreen B. G., 2007, ApJ, 668, 1064
- Escala A., 2007, ApJ, 671, 1264
- Escala A., Larson R. B., Coppi P. S., Mardones D., 2004, ApJ, 607, 765
- Evans A. S., Solomon P. M., Tacconi L. J., Vavilkin T., Downes D., 2006, AJ, 132, 2398
- Ferrarese L., Merritt D., 2000, ApJ, 539, L9
- Ferrarese L. et al., 2006a, ApJ, 644, L21
- Ferrarese L. et al., 2006b, ApJS, 164, 334
- Förster Schreiber N. M. et al., 2006, ApJ, 645, 1062
- Gammie C. F., 2001, ApJ, 553, 174
- García-Burillo S., Combes F., Schinnerer E., Boone F., Hunt L. K., 2005, A&A, 441, 1011
- Gebhardt K. et al., 2000, ApJ, 539, L13
- Goodman J., 2003, MNRAS, 339, 937
- Greene J. E., Zakamska N. L., Liu X., Barth A. J., Ho L. C., 2009, ApJ, 702, 441
- Gunn J. E., 1987, in Faber S. M., ed., Nearly Normal Galaxies. From the Planck Time to the Present. Springer-Verlag, New York, p. 455
- Haan S., Schinnerer E., Emsellem E., García-Burillo S., Combes F., Mundell C. G., Rix H.-W., 2009, ApJ, 692, 1623
- Heller C., Shlosman I., Englmaier P., 2001, ApJ, 553, 661
- Hernquist L., 1989, Nat, 340, 687
- Hernquist L., 1990, ApJ, 356, 359
- Hernquist L., 1993, ApJ, 404, 717
- Hernquist L., Ostriker J. P., 1992, ApJ, 386, 375
- Hernquist L., Spergel D. N., Heyl J. S., 1993, ApJ, 416, 415
- Hibbard J. E., Yun M. S., 1999, ApJ, 522, L93
- Hicks E. K. S., Davies R. I., Malkan M. A., Genzel R., Tacconi L. J., Sánchez F. M., Sternberg A., 2009, ApJ, 696, 448
- Ho L. C., 2005, ApJ, 629, 680
- Hopkins P. F., Hernquist L., 2006, ApJS, 166, 1
- Hopkins P. F., Hernquist L., 2010, MNRAS, 402, 985
- Hopkins P. F., Quataert E., 2010a, MNRAS, 405, L41
- Hopkins P. F., Quataert E., 2010b, MNRAS, submitted
- Hopkins P. F., Hernquist L., Martini P., Cox T. J., Robertson B., Di Matteo T., Springel V., 2005, ApJ, 625, L71
- Hopkins P. F., Hernquist L., Cox T. J., Robertson B., Krause E., 2007, ApJ, 669, 67
- Hopkins P. F., Hernquist L., Cox T. J., Dutta S. N., Rothberg B., 2008a, ApJ, 679, 156
- Hopkins P. F., Cox T. J., Hernquist L., 2008b, ApJ, 689, 17
- Hopkins P. F., Cox T. J., Dutta S. N., Hernquist L., Kormendy J., Lauer T. R., 2009a, ApJS, 181, 135
- Hopkins P. F., Lauer T. R., Cox T. J., Hernquist L., Kormendy J., 2009b, ApJS, 181, 486
- Hopkins P. F., Cox T. J., Younger J. D., Hernquist L., 2009c, ApJ, 691, 1168
- Hopkins P. F., Hernquist L., Cox T. J., Kereš D., Wuyts S., 2009d, ApJ, 691, 1424
- Hopkins P. F., Somerville R. S., Cox T. J., Hernquist L., Jogee S., Kereš D., Ma C.-P., Robertson B., Stewart K., 2009e, MNRAS, 397, 802
- Hopkins P. F., Murray N., Quataert E., Thompson T. A., 2010, MNRAS, 401, L19
- Imanishi M., 2002, ApJ, 569, 44
- Imanishi M., 2003, ApJ, 599, 918
- Imanishi M., Wada K., 2004, ApJ, 617, 214
- Iono D. et al., 2007, ApJ, 659, 283
- Jogee S., 2006, in Alloin D., ed., Lecture Notes in Physics Vol. 693, Physics of Active Galactic Nuclei at all Scales. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 143
- Jogee S., Scoville N., Kenney J. D. P., 2005, ApJ, 630, 837
- Johansson P. H., Naab T., Burkert A., 2009a, ApJ, 690, 802
- Johansson P. H., Burkert A., Naab T., 2009b, ApJ, 707, L184
- Kalnajs A. J., 1971, ApJ, 166, 275

- Kauffmann G. et al., 2003, MNRAS, 346, 1055
- Kawakatu N., Wada K., 2008, ApJ, 681, 73
- Kennicutt R. C. Jr, 1998, ApJ, 498, 541
- Kennicutt R. C. Jr, et al., 2007, ApJ, 671, 333
- Kewley L. J., Groves B., Kauffmann G., Heckman T., 2006, MNRAS, 372, 961
- Kim M., Ho L. C., Im M., 2006, ApJ, 642, 702
- Knapen J. H., Pérez-Ramírez D., Laine S., 2002, MNRAS, 337, 808
- Knapen J. H., Shlosman I., Peletier R. F., 2000, ApJ, 529, 93
- Kondratko P. T., Greenhill L. J., Moran J. M., 2005, ApJ, 618, 618
- Kormendy J., 1989, ApJ, 342, L63
- Kormendy J., Freeman K. C., 2004, in Ryder S., Pisano D., Walker M., Freeman K., eds, Proc. IAU Symp. 220, Dark Matter in Galaxies. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 377
- Kormendy J., Richstone D., 1995, ARA&A, 33, 581
- Kormendy J., Fisher D. B., Cornell M. E., Bender R., 2009, ApJS, 182, 216
- Krips M. et al., 2007, A&A, 468, L63
- Krumholz M. R., McKee C. F., 2005, ApJ, 630, 250
- Krumholz M. R., Tan J. C., 2007, ApJ, 654, 304
- Krumholz M. R., Matzner C. D., McKee C. F., 2006, ApJ, 653, 361
- Krumholz M. R., Klein R. I., McKee C. F., 2007, ApJ, 656, 959
- Laine S., Shlosman I., Knapen J. H., Peletier R. F., 2002, ApJ, 567, 97
- Laine S., van der Marel R. P., Rossa J., Hibbard J. E., Mihos J. C., Böker T., Zabludoff A. I., 2003, AJ, 126, 2717
- Larson R. B., 1981, MNRAS, 194, 809
- Larson R. B., 2009, Nature Phys., 6, 96
- Lau Y. Y., Bertin G., 1978, ApJ, 226, 508
- Lauer T. R. et al., 1993, AJ, 106, 1436
- Lauer T. R. et al., 1996, ApJ, 471, L79
- Lauer T. R. et al., 2007, ApJ, 664, 226
- Lemoine-Busserolle M., Bunker A., Lamareille F., Kissler-Patig M., 2010, MNRAS, 401, 1657
- Levine R., Gnedin N. Y., Hamilton A. J. S., Kravtsov A. V., 2008, ApJ, 678, 154
- Lodato G., Rice W. K. M., 2004, MNRAS, 351, 630
- Lynden-Bell D., Kalnajs A. J., 1972, MNRAS, 157, 1
- McKee C. F., Ostriker J. P., 1977, ApJ, 218, 148
- Magorrian J. et al., 1998, AJ, 115, 2285
- Mandelbaum R., Seljak U., Kauffmann G., Hirata C. M., Brinkmann J., 2006, MNRAS, 368, 715
- Martini P., 2004, in Ho L. C., ed., Coevolution of Black Holes and Galaxies. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, p. 169
- Martini P., Pogge R. W., 1999, AJ, 118, 2646
- Martini P., Regan M. W., Mulchaey J. S., Pogge R. W., 2003, ApJ, 589, 774
- Mayer L., Kazantzidis S., Madau P., Colpi M., Quinn T., Wadsley J., 2007, Sci, 316, 1874
- Mihos J. C., Hernquist L., 1994, ApJ, 431, L9
- Mihos J. C., Hernquist L., 1996, ApJ, 464, 641
- Murray N., Quataert E., Thompson T. A., 2010, ApJ, 709, 191
- Narayan R., Goldreich P., Goodman J., 1987, MNRAS, 228, 1
- Nayakshin S., King A., 2007, MNRAS, submitted (arXiv:0705.1686)
- Nayakshin S., Cuadra J., Springel V., 2007, MNRAS, 379, 21
- Noguchi M., 1988, A&A, 203, 259
- O'Shea B. W., Nagamine K., Springel V., Hernquist L., Norman M. L., 2005, ApJS, 160, 1
- Ostriker J. P., 1980, Comments Astrophys., 8, 177
- Ostriker E. C., Shu F. H., Adams F. C., 1992, ApJ, 399, 192
- Peiris H. V., Tremaine S., 2003, ApJ, 599, 237
- Peletier R. F. et al., 1999, ApJS, 125, 363
- Perets H. B., Alexander T., 2008, ApJ, 677, 146
- Perets H. B., Hopman C., Alexander T., 2007, ApJ, 656, 709
- Quillen A. C., Frogel J. A., Kenney J. D. P., Pogge R. W., Depoy D. L., 1995, ApJ, 441, 549
- Raha N., Sellwood J. A., James R. A., Kahn F. D., 1991, Nat, 352, 411
- Rice W. K. M., Lodato G., Armitage P. J., 2005, MNRAS, 364, L56

- Riechers D. A. et al., 2009, ApJ, 703, 1338
- Robertson B., Hernquist L., Cox T. J., Di Matteo T., Hopkins P. F., Martini P., Springel V., 2006a, ApJ, 641, 90
- Robertson B., Bullock J. S., Cox T. J., Di Matteo T., Hernquist L., Springel V., Yoshida N., 2006b, ApJ, 645, 986
- Sakamoto K., Okumura S. K., Ishizuki S., Scoville N. Z., 1999, ApJ, 525, 691
- Salow R. M., Statler T. S., 2001, ApJ, 551, L49
- Salucci P., Szuszkiewicz E., Monaco P., Danese L., 1999, MNRAS, 307, 637
- Sambhus N., Sridhar S., 2002, A&A, 388, 766
- Sanders D. B., Mirabel I. F., 1996, ARA&A, 34, 749
- Sanders D. B., Soifer B. T., Elias J. H., Madore B. F., Matthews K., Neugebauer G., Scoville N. Z., 1988a, ApJ, 325, 74
- Sanders D. B., Soifer B. T., Elias J. H., Neugebauer G., Matthews K., 1988b, ApJ, 328, L35
- Satyapal S., Dudik R. P., O'Halloran B., Gliozzi M., 2005, ApJ, 633, 86
- Schartmann M., Meisenheimer K., Klahr H., Camenzind M., Wolf S., Henning T., 2009, MNRAS, 393, 759
- Schawinski K. et al., 2009, MNRAS, 396, 818
- Schweizer F., 1998, in Kennicutt R. C. Jr, Schweizer F., Barnes J. E., Friedli D., Martinet L., Pfenniger D., eds, Saas-Fee Advanced Course Vol. 26, Galaxies: Interactions and Induced Star Formation. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 105
- Schweizer F., Seitzer P., 2007, AJ, 133, 2132
- Shankar F., Salucci P., Granato G. L., De Zotti G., Danese L., 2004, MNRAS, 354, 1020
- Shlosman I., Begelman M. C., 1989, ApJ, 341, 685
- Shlosman I., Frank J., Begelman M. C., 1989, Nat, 338, 45
- Shlosman I., Begelman M. C., Frank J., 1990, Nat, 345, 679
- Shu F. H., Tremaine S., Adams F. C., Ruden S. P., 1990, ApJ, 358, 495

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-abstract/407/3/1529/1067895 by California Institute of Technology user on 21 May 2020

- Silverman J. D. et al., 2009, ApJ, 696, 396
- Soltan A., 1982, MNRAS, 200, 115
- Springel V., 2005, MNRAS, 364, 1105
- Springel V., Hernquist L., 2002, MNRAS, 333, 649
- Springel V., Hernquist L., 2003, MNRAS, 339, 289
- Springel V., Hernquist L., 2005, ApJ, 622, L9
- Springel V., Di Matteo T., Hernquist L., 2005a, ApJ, 620, L79
- Springel V., Di Matteo T., Hernquist L., 2005b, MNRAS, 361, 776
- Stoklasová I., Ferruit P., Emsellem E., Jungwiert B., Pécontal E., Sánchez S. F., 2009, A&A, in press, preprint (arXiv:0905.3349)
- Tacconi L. J., Genzel R., Tecza M., Gallimore J. F., Downes D., Scoville N. Z., 1999, ApJ, 524, 732
- Tacconi L. J. et al., 2006, ApJ, 640, 228
- Tan J. C., Krumholz M. R., McKee C. F., 2006, ApJ, 641, L121
- Thatte N., Tecza M., Genzel R., 2000, A&A, 364, L47
- Thompson T. A., Quataert E., Murray N., 2005, ApJ, 630, 167
- Toomre A., 1977, ARA&A, 15, 437
- Tremaine S., 1995, AJ, 110, 628
- Tremaine S., 2001, AJ, 121, 1776
- Veilleux S. et al., 2009, ApJ, 701, 587
- Vorobyov E. I., Basu S., 2006, ApJ, 650, 956
- Vorobyov E. I., Basu S., 2009, MNRAS, 393, 822

Rosario D. J., de Grijs R., 2007, ApJ, 671, 358

Woods D. F., Geller M. J., Barton E. J., 2006, AJ, 132, 197

© 2010 The Authors. Journal compilation © 2010 RAS, MNRAS 407, 1529-1564

Wise J. H., Turk M. J., Abel T., 2008, ApJ, 682, 745

- Wada K., Norman C. A., 2002, ApJ, 566, L21
- Wada K., Papadopoulos P., Spaans M., 2009, ApJ, in press (arXiv:0906.5444)
- Walter F., Riechers D., Cox P., Neri R., Carilli C., Bertoldi F., Weiss A., Maiolino R., 2009, Nat, 457, 699
- Wang J.-M., Chen Y.-M., Yan C.-S., Hu C., Bian W.-H., 2007, ApJ, 661, L143

Westmoquette M. S., Smith L. J., Gallagher J. S. III, O'Connell R. W.,

Wang R. et al., 2008, ApJ, 687, 848

Wu Q., Cao X., 2006, PASP, 118, 1098

APPENDIX A: NUMERICAL TESTS

We have performed a number of tests of our methodology, in an effort to ensure both that we have captured the most important physics in our simulations and that there are no artificial effects occurring due to numerical or resolution artefacts. We describe some of the key tests here. For general tests of GADGET-3, we refer the reader to Springel (2005), Springel & Hernquist (2002, 2003) and Springel et al. (2005b).

A1 Resolution tests

For the initial galaxy-scale simulations (Table 1), resolution tests have been extensively described previously (Di Matteo et al. 2005; Cox et al. 2006; Robertson et al. 2006a; Hopkins et al. 2009a); these range from $\sim 10^5$ to $\sim 10^7$ particles and spatial resolutions/softenings from ~ 20 to ~ 100 pc. For our purposes, the quantities of interest (e.g. the gas inflows to ~ 100 -pc scales) are well converged (see e.g. appendix B of Hopkins et al. 2009a).

We have performed a series of analogous resolution tests on our intermediate-scale (Table 2) and small-scale simulations (Table 3), covering a similar span in particle number. For the intermediatescale simulations, we have varied the SPH smoothing length and gravitational softening length from <1 to ~10 pc. We find that the results of particular importance for this paper, e.g. the total gas mass that loses angular momentum and flows to smaller scales, are well converged for all the resolutions of $\leq 10 \,\mathrm{pc}$ used here. This is not surprising because for physical reasons (discussed in the main text), the material reaches an angular momentum barrier at ~ 10 pc. For our small-scale simulations, we find similar behaviour for all force resolutions of $\ll 1$ pc (experimenting with a range of softenings from 0.02 to 1 pc), and with good convergence at higher particle numbers of $\gtrsim a$ few 10⁵. Given the range of resolutions explored, comparison with Fig. 10 demonstrates that, especially in our high-resolution studies, even the vertical structure of the gaseous discs is well resolved (in the highest resolution cases, the typical $h_{\rm sml}/R < 0.01$). Fig. A1 shows an example resolution test varying from 5×10^5 to 2×10^7 particles.

A2 Do the results depend on large-scale tidal fields?

Because we are simulating the properties of large-scale nonaxisymmetric systems, one might worry that the tidal forces from large radii could be important. This is certainly the case, e.g. in cosmological simulations. In the present context, the question is



Figure A1. Example resolution test for a nuclear-scale simulation. The same initial conditions resimulated with the particle number shown (lower resolution and nominal cases of Nf8h2b1h; see Table 3). For $\geq 0.5 \times 10^6$ particles, our results are converged.

whether we need to include the matter distribution at $\sim 10 \text{ kpc}$ in real time to understand the dynamics of material at $\lesssim 100 \text{ pc}$.

We assess this directly as follows. For a given resimulation of the nuclear regions, we determine the matter distribution of the larger scale simulation from which the resimulation is drawn and analytically fit the non-axisymmetric contributions to the potential at all radii.¹⁰ Fig. A2 compares the results from a resimulation, in which we include the large-scale non-axisymmetric potential at \geq kpc, with our standard approach, in which we do not include this potential and in which the matter at large radii is not included in the resimulation (so the information is lost). This is simulation If9b5 in Table 2, an $\sim 0.01-1$ kpc resimulation of the galactic nucleus during a galaxy-galaxy merger simulation at the peak of nuclear activity, just after the coalescence of the two galactic nuclei. At large scales, tidal tails are still present (these will take up to several Gyr to fully relax), and the system is largely unrelaxed outside of a few hundred pc, so this is a time when the large-scale effects would a priori be the most important. In the simulations shown in Fig. A2 (left-hand panel), we analytically fit the large-scale tidal field in the galaxygalaxy merger simulation at multiple times and interpolate it in time in our resimulation.

Fig. A2 shows that there is effectively no difference between the simulations with and without the large-scale tidal field, at any of the radii of interest. Including large-scale tidal forces does somewhat truncate the large spiral wave pattern that appears as a secondary instability in the intermediate-scale simulation, but this truncation occurs only on the largest radii of \gtrsim 500 pc, radii that we are *not* trying to model in detail in the resimulation. Most importantly, the scales which the resimulation is intended to accurately represent, \leq 100 pc, are indistinguishable in the two simulations. The reason for this is ultimately that the mass profile is a relatively steep function of radius. If a mass δM is enclosed in an annulus at a radius r, then the tidal force it produces is $\propto a\delta M/r^3$, where a is the magnitude of the non-axisymmetric component of the mass distribution at that annulus. The contribution of a given large radius to the tidal force at small radii is thus $\propto \rho(r)$, the local mass density. This, however, is always a decreasing function of (increasing) radius, so that material at larger radii makes little contribution to the tidal force. This can be compared with the role of tidal forces in determining the angular momentum of large-scale dark matter structures and ultimately (via these structures) dark matter haloes; since the largescale matter field has $\rho \sim$ constant on average, there are significant contributions to tidal forces from all radii. This is why including the information about large-scale tidal fields is critical for simulations of cooling and galaxy formation, but it is not important when following the further inflows of material in individual galaxies.

We have performed similar experiments to those shown here for other intermediate-scale simulations (in both mergers and isolated galaxies) and for our smallest scale simulations (\sim 0.1–10 pc), and reach the same conclusions in each case.

A3 Do the results depend on initial conditions?

A reasonable question about our resimulation technique is whether it might be sensitive to the precise initial conditions in the nuclear disc that is initialized at high resolution. In particular, there is little

¹⁰ To do this, we adopt the radial basis expansion set proposed in Hernquist & Ostriker (1992), with standard spherical harmonics. This is similar to the density modelling described in Section 2 and can be performed to arbitrary order, but only the first few terms are not noise-dominated.

1560 P. F. Hopkins and E. Quataert



Figure A2. Simulations testing the effects of large-scale tidal forces in our resimulations. Left: resimulation of the central \sim 10 pc–kpc of a larger scale galaxy merger simulation, in which the full time-dependent potential from the large-scale simulation is analytically included in the resimulation. We show the gas density and SFR density as in Fig. 3, three large-scale dynamical times after the beginning of the simulation. Middle: same resimulation, but without the additional potential from the large-scale material; i.e. the gravitational potential is only from the 'live' material being resimulated in the central kpc. The image is at the same point in time. Right: gas density profiles of both resimulations at the peak of the resulting inflows. Because the density declines rapidly with radius, the large-scale density/potential fields make no significant difference to the dynamics at the these scales.

detailed information about the structure or dynamics of the nuclear disc in the original larger scale simulation, precisely because it lacked the necessary resolution to study the small-scale nuclear dynamics. Here we show that our results do not depend on the details of how we initialize the resimulations in the nuclear region. The fundamental reason for this is that the nuclear dynamics is governed by instabilities that are self-consistently generated on small scales. These instabilities depend primarily on the gross structural



Figure A3. Effects of initial conditions on our resimulations of galactic nuclei. Panels (a)–(e) show the gas density and SFR density 10^8 yr after the beginning of the simulation. Bottom right: surface density profiles of all five simulations at the same time. (a) Resimulation of the central ~kpc from a larger scale merger simulation (as in Fig. A2). The initial conditions are taken exactly from the larger scale simulation, i.e. with inherited non-axisymmetric modes. (b) Same as (a), but in this case we initialize azimuthally averaged (i.e. axisymmetric) profiles. (c) Even more idealized: we initialize an axisymmetric bulge+disc+halo+BH system with analytic profile shapes; the mass and radius of each component are the same as those of the material in the original large-scale simulation at these radii, but the profile shapes are not. (d) Same as (a), but with random seed non-axisymmetric modes having order unity random amplitudes and phases. (e) Same as (a), but the gas at ≤ 100 pc is removed so that there is initially a central 'hole' in the gas distribution. Comparison of (a)–(e) demonstrates that the precise initial conditions have little effect on the mode dynamics and gas transport. Morphologically, systems with stronger (weaker) initial seeds develop modes slightly more quickly (slowly), but this time shift has little significant or lasting effect on the dynamics.

properties of the nucleus and rapidly lose memory of the initial conditions.

Fig. A3 shows the results of five different resimulations, each with different initial conditions. These are all ~100-pc resimulations of the central regions from a galaxy-merger simulation (intermediatescale simulation If9b5 in Table 2), just after the coalescence of the two galaxy nuclei. Panel (a) is a rigorous resimulation of the matter distribution in the central kpc of the large-scale simulation, with the matter initialized 'as-is' from the large-scale simulation. Panel (b) is the same except that we do not include any of the non-axisymmetric modes in the density, pressure, potential, etc., in the initial conditions; i.e. we azimuthally average the initial conditions used in panel (a). Panel (c) is even more idealized: the system is axisymmetric and with exponential disc and Hernquist profiles for the 'discy' and 'spherical' components in the resimulation, respectively. The masses and effective radii of these components match the original simulation, but the profiles are these simple analytic approximations rather than the true profile from the larger scale simulation. To test whether the presence of particular non-axisymmetries is important, panel (d) includes non-axisymmetric structure in the initial conditions, but the precise modes are randomly chosen in phase and amplitude and so do not match the actual non-axisymmetries from panel (a). Finally, all of the above simulations include gas at small radii in the initial conditions; this is because the finite smoothing length in the larger scale simulation spreads gas out over a region of ~ 100 pc even though the dynamics below this scale is completely incorrect. In order to be as conservative as possible, panel (e) considers an initial 'hole' in the gas distribution at radii that are not resolved in the large-scale simulation; for numerical reasons, the 'hole' is a sharp power-law cut-off inside 100 pc. This could represent a very hard angular momentum barrier, such as a strong inner Lindblad resonance.

The images and surface density plots in Fig. A3 are 10⁸ yr after the beginning of the simulation. There is remarkably little difference in the mode structure visible in the images. More quantitatively, the surface density profiles are very similar, with significant gas inflow to ~ 10 pc. This demonstrates that the precise initial conditions used in the resimulations are not important for most of our results. The fundamental reason for this is that the instabilities that dominate the dynamics arise from the internal structure of the material; they depend on the mass and scalelengths of the rotationally and dispersion-supported components, but not on the initial seed amplitudes of various modes. In the case of axisymmetric initial conditions (panel b), the initial perturbations take slightly longer to appear because the initial power present to be amplified is smaller (it is due to particle noise), and in the case of strong initial modes (panel d), the instability is slightly more developed at the fixed time shown here. However, these time shifts have little long-term effect on the evolution of the system.

The robustness of our conclusions to resolution, tidal fields and initial conditions is also demonstrated by the fact that our ultra-highresolution simulations, discussed at length in the text, give identical



Figure A4. Illustration of one of our ultra-high-resolution simulations (Inf28b2h). The gas density distribution is shown face-on, as in Fig. A3, in most panels and edge-on at bottom right. The initial conditions are those of a typical intermediate-scale resimulation, but with $\sim 10^7$ particles and sub-pc resolution. This obviates the need for a secondary 'resimulation' of the nuclear scales. The large-scale modes fuel gas inwards and here lead to a large nuclear gas mass, which then forms a lopsided m = 1 mode around the BH and drives further inflow. The eccentric nuclear gas disc is clearly visible and similar to those in our nuclear-scale resimulations; in fact there is no statistical difference, given similar boundary conditions.

1562 P. F. Hopkins and E. Quataert

results to our standard resimulated runs. These ultra-high-resolution simulations allow us to bridge the boundaries of our standard resimulations and so do not suffer the potential negative effects considered here. Fig. A4 shows an illustrative example of one such simulation about mid-way through the period of peak activity. The simulation is initialized as one of our typical intermediate-scale resimulations, but with ~10⁷ particles, giving a final resolution of \leq pc. On large scales, the dynamics is similar to our other intermediate-scale runs, with an m = 2 mode forming rapidly (there are non-trivial mode amplitudes from m = 1-3). The inflow leads to large gas masses at small radii; by the active phase shown in Fig. A4, the lopsided, eccentric nuclear disc is evident. The properties of this disc are statistically indistinguishable from those in our nuclear-scale resimulations, given similar boundary conditions (compare e.g. Fig. 2).

APPENDIX B: ISM PHYSICS

As discussed extensively in Sections 2 and Section 7, the largest a priori uncertainty in our modelling is the question of what physics should be included to describe the behaviour of the ISM. Our approach is to include a large 'turbulent' sound speed in the equation of state, as a subgrid model for the effects of feedback by stellar winds, radiation pressure and supernovae on the ISM. We choose the turbulent velocity largely by comparison to observed systems (Fig. 1). The resulting turbulent velocities of ~30–100 km s⁻¹ are also reasonably consistent with theoretical estimates of the feedback required to maintain $Q \sim 1$ and disrupt molecular clouds in starburst environments (Thompson et al. 2005; Murray et al. 2010).

To illustrate the importance of including a subgrid model, in this appendix we compare the results of our standard models



Figure B1. Examples of the problems that occur if systems are evolved without some 'feedback' to prevent runaway cooling and fragmentation. Top left: gas density in such an initially smooth resimulation of the central \sim kpc (unlike in the main text, here darker areas represent higher density to highlight the fragmentation). The system here can cool to 10⁴ K and has no feedback ($q_{eos} = 0$); the image is shown after $\sim 2 \times 10^6$ yr (less than the global dynamical time). Top right: the same initial conditions but for our fiducial model – a moderate subgrid ('turbulent') sound speed of $\sim 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}(q_{eos} = 0.125)$ in dense star-forming regions. In the no-feedback $q_{eos} = 0$ case, gas clumps at the Jeans scale and rapidly turns into stars, leading to a severe violation of the observed global Kennicutt (1998) relation. Bottom left: stellar density profiles after 10⁷ yr, for simulations with no feedback (two examples shown, with different cooling floors as labelled) and our fiducial $q_{eos} = 0.125$ model; the initial density is just 10¹⁰ M_☉ kpc⁻² at small radii. We also show the observed stellar mass density profiles of massive cusp ellipticals in Virgo from Kormendy et al. (2009), chosen to have the same mass at >0.5–1 kpc. In the absence of feedback, runaway fragmentation and the inability of clumps to dissolve inevitably lead to the formation of an extremely massive nuclear stellar cluster with a mass and surface density at least an order of magnitude larger than any observed. Bottom right: the accretion rate into the central 10 pc for both gas (dotted) and *already-formed* stars (solid). In the $q_{eos} = 0$ models, sinking clumps provide large gas accretion rates of $\sim 10-100 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, but the stellar inflow rate typically exceeds the gas inflow rate by a factor of ~ 10 ; this is inconsistent with the observed stellar densities at small radii. Our fiducial, moderate-feedback case, by contrast, drives primarily gas – not stars – to small radii.

with a simulation in which the ISM is isothermal at $\sim 10^4$ K, i.e. $c_s \simeq 10 \text{ km s}^{-1} (q_{eos} = 0)$. We have experimented with a range of isothermal cooling floors from $c_s = 1$ to $15 \text{ km s}^{-1} (100-3 \times 10^4 \text{ K})$, but the results here are generic to this range. Fig. B1 shows several of the key results comparing the $c_s \simeq 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ simulation with our fiducial $q_{eos} = 0.125$ simulation, for a resimulation on ~ 100 -pc scales. From the images it is clear that after just a few local dynamical times in the intermediate-scale disc, the simulation without feedback has violently fragmented into large clumps. It is important to stress that in this simulation we fully resolve the Jeans and Toomre lengths so the fragmentation is physical, not numerical.

The difficulty with the $c_{\rm s} \simeq 10 \ {\rm km \, s^{-1}} (q_{\rm eos} = 0)$ simulation is that, absent a full model for how feedback can either suppress their formation or suppress their internal star formation, the only possibility is that the clumps 'run away' and turn efficiently into stars. The runaway and final consequences of the fragmentation for star formation and the IMF are sensitive to details of the cooling rates and cooling function, but the end result of runaway star formation is inevitable if the gas is allowed to be arbitrarily cold and has a small cooling time (Gammie 2001; Thompson et al. 2005; Navakshin et al. 2007). This has several dramatic effects. First, the global star formation efficiency is significantly enhanced - for the same mean gas surface density, most of the gas will turn into stars in \sim 1–4 local dynamical times absent feedback. This is a factor of 10-50 higher than implied by the Schmidt-Kennicutt laws. For comparison, our fiducial $q_{eos} = 0.125$ simulation has a star formation efficiency per dynamical time of a few per cent, much more consistent with observations. Because of the rapid and efficient star formation in the $c_s = 10 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ simulation, the clumps quickly convert a large fraction of their mass to stars; the resulting massive stellar clusters sink and coalesce via clump–clump scattering and/or dynamical friction to the centre of the galaxy. As the bottom-right panel of Fig. B1 shows, most of the mass flowing into the central ~10 pc in the $c_{\rm s} \simeq 10 {\rm ~km~s^{-1}}$ simulation is in the form of stars, rather than gas. This results in the formation of an extremely massive nuclear star cluster, with a mass of ~10⁹ M_☉ inside the central ~10–20 pc – a factor of at least ~10 larger than observed nuclear star clusters (Böker et al. 2004; Côté et al. 2006; Ferrarese et al. 2006a). Indeed, the resulting stellar surface density at small scales (left-hand panel of Fig. B1) significantly exceeds the highest nuclear densities observed in any cusp ellipticals (Ferrarese et al. 2006b; Lauer et al. 2007; Kormendy et al. 2009) or for that matter any nuclear star clusters, globular clusters, nuclear discs or other highdensity stellar systems (Hopkins et al. 2010).

In contrast to the constant $c_s \simeq 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ simulation, our fiducial simulation with significant subgrid feedback does not clump up into many dense star clusters. This is also not completely physical since in reality we expect that the ISM should have significant substructure on the scales we model (including e.g. molecular clouds and star clusters). However, when such self-gravitating gaseous clumps form, observations strongly suggest that are likely to be short-lived and/or to inefficiently form stars. Our subgrid model assumes that most of the mass remains in a diffuse ISM, rather than becoming bound in dense star clusters. Not only is the latter physically implausible, but Fig. B1 demonstrates that it strongly violates observational constraints. Note that there are conditions under which even an extremely cold gaseous disc could avoid catastrophic fragmentation (see e.g. Lodato & Rice 2004; Rice et al. 2005; Boley



Figure B2. Effects of varying the assumed efficiency of stellar feedback on the resulting nuclear eccentric disc, given identical inflow conditions at 100 pc. We show the gas surface density with colour indicating a specific SFR (as Fig. A3), for several choices of q_{eos} (from the survey Nf8h2b4q). The range shown corresponds to subgrid turbulent velocities ranging from ~20 to 100 km s⁻¹ in the high SFR regions of the ISM. The efficiency of feedback affects the level of substructure. However, the general character and appearance of the lopsided disc mode are similar over the entire plausible range. Provided the catastrophic fragmentation in Fig. B1 can be avoided, our qualitative conclusions are independent of q_{eos} .

et al. 2006; Krumholz et al. 2007), particularly the case in which the cooling time is sufficiently long such that continued cooling is offset by gravitational heating (giving rise to sustained local structure such as tightly wound spiral arms, but not runaway fragmentation). However, the cooling rates under typical conditions in our simulations are much too rapid to reach this regime; we could, of course, modify the cooling function to suppress the cooling rate and/or mimic some continuous heating term – but this is effectively equivalent to adopting a new subgrid feedback/microphysics model, and we find that it has the same qualitative effects.

Fig. B2 shows the effects of varying the efficiency of feedback from supernovae and massive stars, encapsulated in the parameter $q_{\rm eos}$. Specifically, we show results of a set of nuclear-scale simulations (Nf8h2b4q in Table 3) having $q_{\rm eos} = 0.02-0.40$ (effective turbulent velocities of ~20-100 km s⁻¹), roughly the lower and upper limits allowed by observational constraints for the systems of interest in Fig. 1. Fig. B2 shows that the choice of sub-resolution

model has a significant effect on the amount of resolved substructure in the simulation. This is not surprising since larger turbulent velocities raise the Jeans mass/length, above which gravity is the dominant force. The key point, however, is that all of the simulations show a similar nuclear lopsided disc. In terms of the properties of inflow and *global* instability discussed throughout this paper, the differences produced by changing $q_{\rm eos}$ are similar to the differences produced by somewhat different galaxy properties. The fundamental reason for the weak dependence on the subgrid model is that the torques in our simulations are primarily determined by gravity, not hydrodynamic forces or viscosity. The primary role of the subgrid feedback model is simply to prevent catastrophic fragmentation of the galactic gas. Provided this can be accomplished, our qualitative conclusions do not depend on the details of the feedback model.

This paper has been typeset from a T_EX/LAT_EX file prepared by the author.