1	

Using ecological niche theory to avoid uninformative biodiversity surrogates

2

3	Philip S. Barton ¹⁷ , Martin J. Westgate ¹ , Claire N. Foster ¹ , Kim Cuddington ² , Alan Hastings ³ ,
4	Luke S. O'Loughlin ¹ , Chloe F. Sato ¹ , Michael R. Willig ⁴ , David B. Lindenmayer ^{1,5}

5

⁶ ¹ Fenner School of Environment and Society, The Australian National University, Canberra,

7 ACT, Australia

- 8 ² Department of Biology, University of Waterloo, Canada
- ⁹ ³ Department of Environmental Science and Policy, University of California, Davis, CA,

10 USA

- ⁴ Center for Environmental Sciences & Engineering and Department of Ecology &
- 12 Evolutionary Biology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut, 06269-4210, USA
- ⁵ Sustainable Farms Project, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia

14

15 *Corresponding author: <u>philip.barton@anu.edu.au</u>

16

18 Abstract

19 Surrogates and indicators of biodiversity are used to infer the state and dynamics of species 20 populations and ecosystems, as well as to inform conservation and management actions. 21 Despite their widespread use, few studies have examined how ecological theory can guide the 22 selection or surrogates and indicators, and thus reduce the likelihood of failure or cost of 23 validation. We argue that ecological niche theory and knowledge of the extent to which 24 particular limiting factors (e.g. physiological tolerances, limits to growth rates, or competitive 25 exclusion) affect species distributions, abundance and coexistence could inform the choice of 26 potential surrogates. Focusing on the environmental characteristics that define species niches 27 makes it possible to identify situations where surrogates are likely to be *ineffective*, such as 28 when there is no mechanistic basis for a candidate surrogate to be related to a biodiversity target. We describe two case studies where different candidate surrogate variables are shown 29 30 to have contrasting potential as indicators of sustainable farming. Variables not 31 mechanistically linked to the driver of change or responsive over appropriate timeframes or 32 spatial scales are suggested a priori to be uninformative. The niche concept provides a 33 framework for exploring ecological relationships that can inform the selection or exclusion of 34 potential biodiversity surrogates. We think that this new approach to integrating ecological 35 theory and application could lead to improved effectiveness of biodiversity monitoring and 36 conservation.

37

38

Keywords: Conservation; Indicator; Environmental Change; Proxy; Causal Relationship;
Ecological Theory; Biodiversity Management; Biotic Surrogacy Paradox

41

43 Surrogates provide a way to monitor the state and dynamics of biodiversity

44 Biodiversity surrogates are used widely to make inferences about the status or trend of a 45 biodiversity target of interest that cannot be measured easily or directly (Hunter Jr et al. 2016; 46 Lindenmayer et al. 2015b; O'Loughlin et al. 2018). Here, we define a biodiversity surrogate 47 as an ecosystem attribute (the surrogate) that is intended to provide useful and reliable 48 information about some aspect of biodiversity of interest (the target), but which is too costly 49 or difficult to measure directly (Hunter et al. 2016; Lindenmayer & Likens 2011). Strong 50 demand exists for surrogates to inform decisions about the management of species of 51 conservation concern (Caro 2010), to design reserve networks that efficiently protect 52 biodiversity (Rodrigues & Brooks 2007), or to track biotic responses to anthropogenic 53 disturbances (Hunter et al. 2016). Consequently, the use of biodiversity surrogates has 54 increased rapidly in recent years (Caro 2010; Lindenmayer et al. 2015b; Mellin et al. 2011; 55 Niemi & McDonald 2004; Westgate et al. 2014). In response to this growth, many frameworks have been developed to assist in selecting biodiversity surrogates and indicators 56 57 while considering practical constraints, different surrogate applications, or taxon-specific 58 requirements (e.g. Lindenmayer et al. 2015a; McGeoch 1998; Noss 1990; van Oudenhoven et 59 al. 2012). Yet there have been very few studies that have explicitly examined ecological 60 theory and how it might be used to inform or prioritise the selection of surrogates 61 (Lindenmayer & Likens 2011; Muller & Lenz 2006; Sætersdal & Gjerde 2011). Closing this knowledge gap is critical because there is insufficient time to test the utility or validity of the 62 63 vast array of potential surrogates identified using these existing frameworks. We suggest there 64 are important opportunities to explore the ways in which ecological theory can provide 65 conceptual guidance to the selection of biodiversity surrogates.

66

67 Ecological theory and biodiversity surrogates

68 Ecological theory spans individual organisms, populations, communities, and ecosystems, as 69 well as their interactions, behaviour, and responses to perturbations (Scheiner & Willig 2011). 70 Meanwhile, the application of surrogates in biodiversity conservation and environmental 71 management are as diverse as there are problems and species (Hunter Jr et al. 2016; 72 Lindenmayer et al. 2015b). This means the starting point for improved use of theory in 73 evaluating potential surrogates remains unclear, and there is no framework to guide work in 74 this area. To date, there has only been one review of theory in biodiversity; Sætersdal and 75 Gjerde (2011) looked at whether different classes of species-based surrogates were supported 76 by niche and neutral models of community assembly. Their key finding was that focal species 77 (sensu Lambeck 1997) and surrogates of species richness (e.g. Fleishman et al. 2005) were 78 not supported by either neutral or niche models, but that complementarity and cross-taxon 79 congruence (e.g. Margules & Pressey 2000) was supported by niche models when applied in 80 the context of strong environmental gradients (Sætersdal & Gjerde 2011). This suggests that 81 there is substantial room for improvement in the use of theory to justify or test assumptions 82 about many kinds of surrogates.

83 One way that theory could be useful is by identifying quickly what surrogates are 84 likely to be ineffective. Rather than searching for theoretical support for proposed surrogates, 85 theory could be used to rule out surrogates and to narrow down a potential pool of candidate 86 variables, thus helping to focus effort and further examination. A starting point for theory and 87 surrogates is to give greater attention to the causal mechanisms that might influence surrogate 88 effectiveness and identify the contexts in which associations between a surrogate and its 89 target are likely to be strong (Barton et al. 2015; Sætersdal & Gjerde 2011). In contrast, 90 surrogates chosen only because of empirical correlations with a target, even if easier to 91 measure, may not provide useful information about the target in all circumstances (Barton et

al. 2019). This is a problem because surrogates that perform well in changing environments, 92 93 or in multiple spatial or temporal contexts (e.g. following ecological disturbance), are critical 94 for effective management and conservation. Surrogacy built from purely correlative 95 associations, such as cross-taxonomic surrogates (Heino 2010; Lovell et al. 2007; Westgate et 96 al. 2014; Yong et al. 2018) or focal indicator species (Lambeck 1997; Lindenmayer et al. 97 2014b) has an important role in prioritising some taxa over others, and this might lead to 98 reduced cost or effort in biodiversity management. Surrogates based on correlative 99 associations in one context, however, may be weak or ineffective at another location or 100 different time, resulting in poor decision making about surrogates or where to allocate scarce 101 resources (Westgate et al. 2017).

Ecological constraints or limits to species abundances and distributions are often 102 103 found to be causal, and represent a kind of relationship that has received little attention in the 104 literature on biodiversity surrogates. Despite the plethora of studies of limits on ecological 105 phenomena, like population growth rates, carrying capacity and species distributions (e.g. 106 Abrams 1983; Austin 2007; Danger et al. 2008; Scheiner & Willig 2011; Tilman 1990), very 107 few have explicitly examined ecological constraints to guide the selection of biodiversity 108 surrogates. We suggest that niche theory is useful for establishing clearer expectations and 109 boundaries concerning when and where ecological relationships hold. Niche theory therefore 110 provides an *a priori* set of principles to guide the selection of robust surrogates. Theory 111 concerning ecological constraints provides valuable shortcuts for improved effectiveness of 112 biodiversity monitoring and conservation. This is because a constraining relationship between 113 a surrogate and its target can, for example, be identified intuitively (such as a limiting 114 resource or habitat requirement), and then examined critically (in a range of contexts) to fully 115 understand and develop a predictive relationship. The niche concept encapsulates the idea of

limits and constraints to species, and we argue this might be an effective way to begin linkingecological theory with biodiversity surrogates.

118

119 Niche theory as an integrative framework for surrogates

120 The niche concept, in its broadest sense, describes the set of abiotic and biotic conditions that 121 constrain a species distribution, abundance and coexistence with other species (Chase 2003; 122 Hutchinson 1957). Knowledge of the mechanisms constraining species can contribute to the 123 establishment of *a priori* expectations for when surrogate-target relationships are plausible. We focus on three kinds of ecological constraints that fall under the niche concept. These are: 124 125 (1) limits to species distributions, (2) limits to species abundance, and (3) limits to species coexistence (Table 1). Species' distributions can be considered a special case of abundance in 126 127 the sense that when abundance = 0 a species is not present. However, we have made the 128 deliberate point of treating distribution and abundance separately, as they are often quite 129 different goals in the application of biodiversity surrogates. Whereas knowledge of species 130 distributions and their ranges might be the goal from a reserve-selection perspective, 131 knowledge of species abundances is often the goal for conservation practitioners tasked with managing populations of rare species (Caro 2010). 132 133 Constraints to species distribution, abundance, and coexistence operate at a range of 134 scales (depending on the species), and interact with each other (and many other factors) to 135 form a complex niche space or 'n-dimensional hypervolume' (Blonder et al. 2014; 136 Hutchinson 1957). Yet biodiversity surrogates must focus on what is practical and useful to 137 solve applied ecological and conservation problems (Caro 2010; Lindenmayer et al. 2015b). By focusing on three key constraints we do not attempt to be comprehensive, but rather 138

139 provide a clear point of departure for thinking about how limits to species might inform the

selection of biodiversity surrogates, and identify situations where they are likely to beineffective.

142

143 Limits to species distributions

144 Despite the ubiquity of bioclimatic modelling as an approach for estimating species 145 distributions (Araujo & Peterson 2012), climatic characteristics are not the only dimensions of 146 niche space, which may include many other abiotic and biotic dimensions (Godsoe et al. 147 2017; Mackey & Lindenmayer 2001; Pulliam 2000; Scheele et al. 2017). The most important 148 of these dimensions will have the strongest and most pervasive limiting effect on a species 149 distribution, and might therefore be a suitable surrogate. For example, abiotic variables like 150 water chemistry (e.g. McArthur et al. 2010) or rainfall (e.g. Manzoni et al. 2012), can be 151 constraining mechanisms for a target species or community. When such characteristics attain 152 values beyond a certain limit or range, the conditions for reproduction, growth, or occupancy 153 become less optimal, and ultimately constrain the abundance or distribution of a species. 154 Bioclimatic constraints on biodiversity often work in concert with other biotic factors 155 to determine a species distribution (Mackey and Lindenmayer, 2001). For example, 156 bioclimatic surrogates are sometimes used to identify the potential spread or impact of 157 invasive species (McGeoch et al. 2010), with species distribution models developed for a 158 native range applied to an area of introduction to assist management or facilitate prediction of 159 invasion risk. However, niche theory predicts that the environmental space that a species 160 occupies in its native range (its *realized* or *contemporary* niche) may poorly represent the 161 environmental range that species could potentially occupy (its *fundamental* niche) (Shea & 162 Chesson 2002). This may arise because of biotic constraints operating in its native range, and 163 release from such constraints in its area of introduction. For example, an analysis of invasive 164 fish in the Mediterranean Basin found almost no conservatism of climatic niche from its

native range (Parravicini et al. 2015). For these invasive fish, a climatic niche surrogate
significantly under-estimated invasion risk as it did not consider release from the biotic
interactions (predation or competition) that restricted its native range.

168 In addition, the "invasional meltdown hypothesis" (Simberloff & Von Holle 1999) 169 posits that ecosystem impacts from one invasion might facilitate further invasion (e.g. 170 invader-facilitated invasion, (O'Loughlin & Green 2017)). For example, invasion of the giant 171 African land snail (Achatina fulica) in rainforest on Christmas Island (Australia) occurred 172 only after an abundant native predator was extirpated by other invaders, despite the snail 173 being a prolific invader of tropical rainforest elsewhere (Green et al. 2011). Thus, surrogates 174 developed in one spatial context are unlikely to transfer to a different context due to 175 differences in the abiotic or biotic limitations defining the original niche space.

176

177 Limits to species abundance

178 The abundance of a species observed within its distributional range is driven by the energy 179 surplus gained after meeting the costs of biotic interactions such as competition or predation 180 (Hall et al. 1992). Resource availability is key to meeting energy costs, as well as for 181 fundamental growth or behavioural needs. One of the best-known theories describing 182 constraining resources is Liebig's Law of the Minimum (Danger et al. 2008; Thomas 1929), 183 which states that the scarcest resource will constrain the growth rate of a population. If this 184 constraint is removed, then the next most limiting resource will take its place as the key 185 constraint on growth, and so on (e.g. Hedwall et al. 2017; Thomas 1929). Identification of a 186 limiting resource, or knowledge that a resource is not limiting, might quickly rule in or out a 187 potential surrogate for further investigation.

Soil nutrients (e.g. N- or P-limitation) provide a clear example of how limiting
resources can shape plant species distributions (Tilman 1990) or soil organisms (Mulder &

190 Elser 2009), and therefore may represent an effective mechanistic surrogate for plant 191 abundances in some circumstances. From the perspective of biodiversity surrogacy, the 192 identification of an easily measured but limiting soil nutrient could provide a useful shortcut 193 for inferring something about a biodiversity target such as the occurrence of plant species or 194 composition of a plant community. The concept of limiting resources can be relevant to many 195 other taxa, such as suitable plant hosts for insects or mammalian hosts for parasitic lice, for 196 example. Critical to many forms of resource limitation, therefore, is knowledge of the 197 particular part of a life cycle of an organism that is dependent on the resource (e.g. plant host 198 required for larvae of a butterfly). Limiting environmental or habitat-based resources also 199 might be suitable surrogates of biodiversity. For example, cavity-bearing trees are a popular 200 surrogate for cavity-nesting vertebrates, as they are more easily measured than the cryptic 201 animals that inhabit them (Gibbons & Lindenmayer 2002; Lindenmayer et al. 2014a). Tree 202 cavities are also an essential limiting resource for many species, and extending Liebig's Law, 203 a clear mechanism exists for using cavities as a surrogate as they are a limiting resource for 204 nesting and shelter that constrain population growth and persistence (Lindenmayer et al. 205 2014a). Yet, the strength of that association can differ significantly among different 206 ecosystems, and in some cases, cavity-bearing trees will not accurately represent the status of 207 these vertebrates at all (Pierson et al. 2015). This limitation could be due to different 208 interspecific competitive dynamics or a different hierarchy of limiting food or habitat 209 resources in different regions.

210

211 Limits to species coexistence

Niche and coexistence theory (Abrams 1983; Amarasekare 2003) suggest that ecologically
similar species are more likely to share resources or occupy a similar site. Yet competition
will also limit spatial co-occurrence and shared site occupancy by species that are

215 ecologically similar (Carmel et al. 2017; der Boer 1986). Awareness of these concepts can 216 help identify what species might, or might not, be useful surrogates. One way that 217 competition and exclusion are mediated is via other interspecific interactions, which form part 218 of a species' realized or biotic niche space. Interactions also generally occur between species 219 at a particular location (e.g. a bee visiting a flower, a shark eating a fish), and so interactions 220 require spatial co-existence by definition. Various kinds of competitive, enemy-victim, or 221 mutualistic interactions can constrain a species distribution and its co-existence with other 222 species, and could be used to predict the abundance or site occupancy of a species. The 223 coexistence concept is important for surrogacy because it is common to use the occurrence or 224 abundance of one species (the surrogate) to infer the occurrence or abundance of another 225 species or suite of species (the target) (e.g. Lane et al. 2014; Neeson & Mandelik 2014). This 226 kind of surrogate rests on the assumption that the indicator (species A) and target (species B) 227 occur among a set of sites in a consistent way, perhaps due to a shared habitat or food 228 resources. Species-based surrogates, such as focal species (Lambeck 1997), are nearly always 229 sought within a single taxonomic group, often within the same order or family (Azeria et al. 230 2009; Lane et al. 2014). This approach is based on practicality – members of the same taxon 231 can often be sampled using a single methodology – but also on the idea of niche conservatism 232 in which phylogenetic relatedness is a useful proxy for ecological and functional similarity 233 (Caro 2010).

When taken to extremes, however, this approach contradicts niche theory and the competitive exclusion principle (der Boer 1986; Hardin 1960). This contradiction occurs because an ideal surrogate is functionally identical to the target species and thus overlaps perfectly with its' niche. We term this problem the "*biotic surrogacy paradox*". Even if very similar species do not always exclude each another (Carmel et al. 2017), this does not avoid the biotic surrogacy paradox because the likelihood that two co-occurring species will be

identical in all attributes except observability is extremely low (Figure 1). A solution is to
seek surrogates that reflect functional associations between species that are independent of
their relatedness. Useful candidates include strong mutualisms, such as between butterflies
and their host plants, or even parasite-host relationships. Weaker forms of ecological
association might include shared use of habitat, such as cavity-dependent fauna at their host
trees (Pierson et al. 2015).

246

247 Maximising information about niche constraints

248 So far, we have focused on examples of how ecological constraints might reduce the number 249 of potential biodiversity surrogates, but this does not tell us which of the remaining surrogates 250 is 'best' for a given situation. Maximizing the information about niche constraints, and 251 assessment of their suitability as surrogates requires consideration of the variability of 252 different niche characteristics that are thought to constrain the biodiversity target of interest. 253 Too little or too much variability can give rise to problems with statistical analyses and 254 interpretations of the data. Put differently, a niche characteristic may not be a useful surrogate 255 for the distribution of a species if it shows no variation across that species' range. This means that the degree of variation in a limiting variable should be considered when determining how 256 257 useful a surrogate might be for providing information about a target.

For both statistical and ecological reasons, any mismatch in spatial or temporal associations between a surrogate and the target of interest increases the likelihood of a surrogate not providing useful information. From a temporal perspective, for example, monitoring commercial fish populations might require frequent intra-annual measures of numbers of individuals relative to a benchmark sustainability target (Johansen et al. 2018). By contrast, tracking the recovery of taxa after a disturbance (e.g. wildfire) might require less frequent measures over longer timeframes to identify the long-term trajectory (Barton et al.

2014). These two objectives of surrogacy are quite different and present a problem for 265 266 choosing a surrogate that is either sensitive to fine-scale changes or insensitive to short-term 267 changes, but capable of revealing long-term trends. Another key decision involves the choice 268 of surrogate that best represents variation in an objective through both space and time. For 269 example, spatial variation in plant richness of some grasslands is linked strongly to soil 270 nitrogen (Soons et al. 2017), but temporal variation is most strongly linked with other drivers 271 such as multi-year trends in rainfall (Adler & Levine 2007). Because spatial variability in 272 richness *among* sites is often much greater than temporal variability in richness *within* a site, 273 this means that nitrogen might be a poor surrogate for temporal change in grassland species 274 richness, and climate might be a poor surrogate for spatial variation in richness (Figure 2). In 275 this example, considering niche theory can help match the temporal and spatial scales of 276 interest with the surrogates that are constraining at the scales of interest.

277

278 **Putting niche theory into surrogate practice**

We describe two case studies where different variables might be considered as potential suitable surrogates of biodiversity. We step through each case study to explain how niche theory might shape thinking about the suitability of potential surrogates, depending on how they are intended to be used and the target they are representing.

283

284 Case study 1 – surrogates for native plant diversity

285 Maintaining or improving ground-layer plant diversity is a common goal for land

286 management in grazing landscapes in south-eastern Australia (Lunt et al. 2007; McIntyre &

- 287 Lavorel 1994). In this region a large number of plant species have been introduced via
- agricultural activities, and these introduced species can outperform native species, particularly
- when high phosphorus fertilizers are added to the soil. Measuring trends in native plant

290 diversity is the direct approach to inform management effectiveness, but is often difficult to quantify if botanical expertise is not available, or costly if there are many sites to survey. 291 292 Alternative surrogate variables might include (i) native plant cover, (ii) exotic plant cover, 293 (iii) exotic dominance, or (iv) soil phosphorus (Driscoll & Strong 2018; McIntyre & Lavorel 294 1994), yet each of these variables relate to the niche of the target in different ways. 295 (i) Native plant cover describes the distribution of native plants, tautologically, yet plant 296 cover is likely to be constrained by factors different from those constraining plant 297 species richness, and so the two may only be loosely correlated in space and time 298 (Lundholm & Larson 2004; McIntyre & Lavorel 1994). 299 (ii) Exotic cover constrains the distribution of native plants via competitive exclusion and 300 co-occurrence mechanisms, and can therefore reduce native plant species diversity 301 (Lundholm & Larson 2004; McIntyre & Lavorel 1994). However, the niche of both 302 exotic and native species in this ecosystem are also strongly constrained by factors 303 such as rainfall, which may drive temporal "noise" to this potential surrogate. 304 (iii)Exotic dominance (exotic cover / total cover) is a measure of the extent to which 305 exotics may be constraining native plants via competitive exclusion, while absorbing variation from niche constraints that drive fluctuations in growth and abundance. 306 307 Dominance may therefore be less temporally noisy than pure cover measures. . 308 (iv)Soil phosphorus constrains the abundance, distribution, and co-occurrence of both 309 native and exotic species (Driscoll & Strong 2018; McIntyre & Lavorel 1994). High 310 soil phosphorus is often a result of previous agricultural practices, and promotes 311 growth of exotic species but can be toxic to many native species. High soil phosphorus 312 also alters co-occurrence by increasing the ability of exotics to exclude native species. 313 Given the limited constraints of native cover on native species diversity, and the likelihood they are constrained by different extrinsic factors, this potential surrogate should be excluded 314

315 from further examination. For spatial applications, both soil phosphorus and exotic species 316 cover clearly constrain native species richness and are strong candidates for further 317 investigation. However, for inter-annual monitoring, these surrogates may perform poorly; 318 exotic cover, because large changes to the cover or biomass of some species can occur on an 319 annual basis (in response to factors such as rainfall), but slow growth and recolonization rates 320 mean that changes in native plant diversity takes many years (McIntyre et al. 2015); and soil 321 phosphorus because soil phosphorus is likely to be very slow to respond to land management 322 changes compared with plants (Schelfhout et al. 2015). For inter-annual monitoring, a 323 surrogate such as exotic dominance may be worth exploring as it is closely aligned with 324 constraints on native species richness, but resilient to fluctuations on total community 325 biomass. Aligning the scales of variability between surrogate and target suggests that 326 surrogates may be inappropriate for some applications yet strong candidates for others. 327

328 Case study 2 – surrogates for threatened woodland bird species richness

329 Restoration of vegetation cover has been undertaken in south-eastern Australia through the 330 planting of large areas of trees to provide shelter for livestock, reduce erosion and salinity, as well as for biodiversity benefits (Belder et al. 2018; Gibb & Cunningham 2010). A key target 331 332 for restoration plantings is woodland bird diversity, with several species declining and of 333 conservation concern (Ikin et al. 2016; Lindenmayer et al. 2016). Yet, woodland birds of 334 conservation concern can be small, cryptic, and rare, and therefore difficult to observe. 335 Potential surrogates for woodland bird diversity include (i) vegetation cover (Cunningham et 336 al. 2014), (ii) the occurrence of the aggressive noisy miner (Lane et al. 2014), or (iii) the 337 occurrence of a particular, functionally similar bird species (Lindenmayer et al. 2014b), yet 338 each of these variables relate to the target niche in different ways.

(i) Many studies have demonstrated the positive relationship between vegetation structure
and bird diversity (Cunningham et al. 2014; Recher 1969). Woody vegetation cover is
often correlated with woodland bird diversity, but the constraining factor is thought to
be structural complexity (MacArthur & MacArthur 1961), which increases habitat
availability and resources, and facilitates species co-occurrence.

- (ii) Noisy miner birds are aggressive native species that harass smaller species present in
 their territory (Mortelliti et al. 2016). This behaviour suppresses native bird diversity
 in woodland patches where they occur (Lindenmayer et al. 2018). The constraining
 mechanism here is competitive exclusion reducing co-occurrence (Lane et al. 2014;
 Montague-Drake et al. 2011). Noisy miner effects on small birds tend to be stronger in
- 349 habitats with low structural complexity.
- 350 (iii)Individual bird species might also be used as predictors for the occurrence of other

351 species, including woodland birds of conservation concern (Lindenmayer et al.

- 352 2014b). The constraining mechanism here is hypothesised to be increasing co-
- 353 occurrence due to similarity in resource or habitat requirement traits, i.e. similarity in
- niche constraints (Lambeck 1997; Nicholson et al. 2013).

355 Vegetation cover broadly constrains the distribution of bird assemblages by limiting habitat 356 availability, thus making vegetation cover a clear surrogate worthy of further investigation 357 (Ikin et al. 2016), particularly for spatial surrogacy applications. However, temporal changes 358 in vegetation extent occur over decadal timescales (particularly positive changes), thus 359 making it unsuitable for short-term surrogacy requirements. Patch-scale occurrence of noisy 360 miner birds is a good predictor of bird assemblage composition, with competitive exclusion and aggressive behaviour constraining the presence of key woodland bird species of 361 362 conservation concern (Beggs et al. 2019; Montague-Drake et al. 2011). Noisy miners are 363 easily observed, and so are a potential surrogate for woodland bird diversity. However, patch-

scale colonisation and extinction dynamics of noisy miners, as well is context-dependence in 364 365 the impacts on smaller birds, make this bird suitable only for intra- or inter-annual scale 366 dynamics, and within-landscape scales (Beggs et al. 2019; Montague-Drake et al. 2011; 367 Mortelliti et al. 2016). Individual bird species that are functionally similar to woodland birds of conservation concern (e.g. small, canopy-dwelling insectivores), should be constrained by 368 369 similar habitat or resource requirements - i.e. share similar niche characteristics. Yet the 370 biodiversity surrogacy paradox (Figure 1) challenges this idea. Similarly, hypothesis such as 371 the focal species approach (FSA) which proposes that management of the most range-372 restricted or dispersal-limited species should, by default, cater to most other species, is also 373 flawed or no better than choosing species at random (Lindenmayer et al. 2014b). This 374 suggests one individual species is unlikely to be an appropriate surrogate for a suite of species 375 of conservation concern, unless that species strongly constrains the niche of many species 376 through biotic interactions (as per the noisy miner).

377

378 Implications and future challenges

We have described how aspects of the niche concept might be applied to the selection of 379 380 biodiversity surrogates. The use theory to predict which surrogates are likely to be effective in 381 a range of instances is difficult due to the variety of contexts and specific applications. 382 Nevertheless, the likelihood of success will improve if effort is directed towards surrogate 383 variables with clear constraining effects on the biodiversity target. Importantly, the absence of 384 ecological constraints will help to identify situations where surrogates are likely to be 385 ineffective. We suggest that a surrogate should be selected when there is a clear constraining 386 influence on a target species' distribution, abundance, or interactions. An approach to the 387 selection of surrogates that incorporates ecological constraints should provide a useful and 388 efficient shortcut to more robust surrogacy relationships. Given the amount of time and effort

needed to properly validate surrogates (Lindenmayer et al. 2015a), any conceptual or heuristic tool that can help rule-out possible options *a priori* has the potential to reduce the cost of establishing or updating biodiversity monitoring programs. By allowing those programs to identify informative surrogates more quickly, this approach should reduce the probability of poor biodiversity outcomes, such as failure to detect declines in threatened species.

394 A key challenge for broadly applying niche theory to surrogates is the issue of moving 395 from qualitative to quantitative investigation of constraints. We have discussed the role of 396 variability and scale in affecting surrogate selection, which represents a first step towards 397 these goals. Research on species distribution modelling has made substantial advances by 398 developing methods to estimate biotic and abiotic constrains on species distributions (e.g. 399 Booth et al. 2014; D'Amen et al. 2018; Elith et al. 2006). The methods used to generate 400 species distribution models are derived from regression (Renner & Warton 2013), so the 401 extent to which they represent mechanistic or correlative insights is open to debate (Kearney 402 et al. 2010). In contrast, theoretically derived methods for modelling constraints – such as the 403 maximum entropy theory of ecology (Harte 2011) – have yet to receive widespread 404 acceptance, or be tested for their predictive capacity (Xiao et al. 2015). A key problem with investigating constraints is that different resources may be limiting in different environmental 405 406 contexts, restricting our capacity to transfer learning to new ecosystems (e.g. Pierson et al. 407 2015). Therefore, quantitatively characterizing the mechanisms that determine how species 408 respond to environmental variation is a major challenge for future research.

409 Conservation and management would benefit from future research that seeks to 410 understand which aspect of a niche are most fluid or context-dependent, particularly in 411 response to global change (e.g. Scheele et al. 2017). Integration of niche concepts and its 412 theoretical underpinnings with applied surrogacy problems will provide guidance to scientists 413 tasked with establishing surrogacy by showing how key theories might contradict

- 414 hypothesized surrogacy relationships and inflate the risk of failure. Theory concerning
- 415 ecological constraints has the potential to provide valuable shortcuts for improved

416 effectiveness of biodiversity monitoring and conservation.

417

418 Acknowledgements

419 The authors thank Melinda Smith and Alan Knapp for their contribution to the discussions

420 that formed the basis for some aspects of this paper. DBL was funded by an ARC Laureate

421 Fellowship (LF120100108). MRW was supported in part by a grant from the US National

- 422 Science Foundation (DEB-1546686).
- 423

424 Author Contributions

425 PSB, MJW, MRW, CFS, LSO, CNF, and DBL conceived the main ideas in this paper, with

426 additional discussion and input from all authors. PSB led the writing of the manuscript. All

- 427 authors contributed critically to drafts of the paper and gave approval for final submission.
- 428

429 **References**

- Abrams, P. 1983. The theory of limiting similarity. Annual Review of Ecology and
 Systematics 14:359-376.
- Adler, P. B., and J. M. Levine. 2007. Contrasting relationships between precipitation and
 species richness in space and time. Oikos 116:221-232.
- 434 Amarasekare, P. 2003. Competitive coexistence in spatially structured environments: a
 435 synthesis. Ecology Letters 6:1109-1122.
- 436 Araujo, M. B., and A. T. Peterson. 2012. Uses and misuses of bioclimatic envelope modeling.
 437 Ecology 93:1527-1539.
- Austin, M. 2007. Species distribution models and ecological theory: A critical assessment and
 some possible new approaches. Ecological Modelling 200:1-19.

- Azeria, E. T., D. Fortin, C. Hebert, P. Peres-Neto, D. Pothier, and J. C. Ruel. 2009. Using null
 model analysis of species co-occurrences to deconstruct biodiversity patterns and
 select indicator species. Diversity and Distributions 15:958-971.
- Barton, P., M. Evans, C. Sato, L. O'Loughlin, C. Foster, D. Florance, and D. Lindenmayer.
 2019. Effects of livestock grazing on higher taxon and functional groupings of ants
 and bird assemblages: a test of an explicit surrogate concept. Ecological Indicators 96:
 458-465.
- 447 Barton, P. S., J. C. Pierson, M. J. Westgate, P. W. Lane, and D. B. Lindenmayer. 2015.
 448 Learning from clinical medicine to improve the use of surrogates in ecology. Oikos
 449 124:391-398.
- Barton, P. S., M. J. Westgate, P. W. Lane, C. MacGregor, and D. B. Lindenmayer. 2014.
 Robustness of habitat-based surrogates of animal diversity: a multi-taxa comparison
 over time. Journal of Applied Ecology 51:1434–1443.
- Beggs, R., A. Tulloch, J. Pierson, W. Blanchard, M. Crane, and D. B. Lindenmayer. 2019.
 Patch-scale culls of an overabundant bird defeated by immediate recolonization.
 Ecological Applications: e01846.
- Belder, D. J., J. C. Pierson, K. Ikin, and D. B. Lindenmayer. 2018. Beyond pattern to process:
 current themes and future directions for the conservation of woodland birds through
 restoration plantings. Wildlife Research 45:473-489.
- Blonder, B., C. Lamanna, C. Violle, and B. J. Enquist. 2014. The n-dimensional
 hypervolume. Global Ecology and Biogeography 23:595-609.
- Booth, T. H., H. A. Nix, J. R. Busby, and M. F. Hutchinson. 2014. BIOCLIM: the first
 species distribution modelling package, its early applications and relevance to most
 current MAXENT studies. Diversity and Distributions 20:1-9.
- 464 Carmel, Y., Y. F. Suprunenko, W. E. Kunin, R. Kent, J. Belmaker, A. Bar-Massada, and S. J.
 465 Cornell. 2017. Using exclusion rate to unify niche and neutral perspectives on
 466 coexistence. Oikos 126:1451-1458.
- 467 Caro, T. 2010. Conservation by Proxy: Indicator, Umbrella, Keystone, Flagship, and Other
 468 Surrogate Species. . Island Press, Washington, DC.
- Chase, J. M., & Leibold, M. A. 2003. Ecological niches: linking classical and contemporary
 approaches. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- 471 Cunningham, R. B., D. B. Lindenmayer, P. S. Barton, K. Ikin, M. Crane, D. Michael, S.
 472 Okada, P. Gibbons, and J. Stein. 2014. Cross-sectional and temporal relationships

- 473 between bird occupancy and vegetation cover at multiple spatial scales. Ecological
 474 Applications 24:1275-1288.
- D'Amen, M., H. K. Mod, N. J. Gotelli, and A. Guisan. 2018. Disentangling biotic interactions,
 environmental filters, and dispersal limitation as drivers of species co-occurrence.
 Ecography 41:1233-1244.
- 478 Danger, M., T. Daufresne, F. Lucas, S. Pissard, and G. Lacroix. 2008. Does Liebig's law of
 479 the minimum scale up from species to communities? Oikos 117:1741-1751.
- 480 der Boer, P. 1986. The present status of the competitive exclusion principle. Trends in
 481 Ecology & Evolution 1:25-28.
- 482 Driscoll, D. A., and C. Strong. 2018. Covariation of soil nutrients drives occurrence of exotic
 483 and native plant species. Journal of Applied Ecology 55:777-785.
- 484 Elith, J., C. H. Graham, R. P. Anderson, M. Dudik, S. Ferrier, A. Guisan, R. J. Hijmans, F.
- 485 Huettmann, J. R. Leathwick, A. Lehmann, J. Li, L. G. Lohmann, B. A. Loiselle, G.
- 486 Manion, C. Moritz, M. Nakamura, Y. Nakazawa, J. M. Overton, A. T. Peterson, S. J.
- 487 Phillips, K. Richardson, R. Scachetti-Pereira, R. E. Schapire, J. Soberon, S. Williams,
- 488 M. S. Wisz, and N. E. Zimmermann. 2006. Novel methods improve prediction of
 489 species' distributions from occurrence data. Ecography 29:129-151.
- Fleishman, E., J. R. Thomson, R. Mac Nally, D. D. Murphy, and J. P. Fay. 2005. Using
 indicator species to predict species richness of multiple taxonomic groups.
 Conservation Biology 19:1125-1137.
- Gibb, H., and S. A. Cunningham. 2010. Revegetation of farmland restores function and
 composition of epigaeic beetle assemblages. Biological Conservation 143:677-687.
- Gibbons, P., and D. B. Lindenmayer 2002. Tree Hollows and Wildlife Conservation in
 Australia. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne.
- Godsoe, W., J. Jankowski, R. D. Holt, and D. Gravel. 2017. Integrating Biogeography with
 Contemporary Niche Theory. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 32:488-499.
- Green, P. T., D. J. O'Dowd, K. L. Abbott, M. Jeffery, K. Retallick, and R. Mac Nally. 2011.
 Invasional meltdown: Invader-invader mutualism facilitates a secondary invasion.
 Ecology 92:1758-1768.
- Hall, C. A. S., J. A. Stanford, and F. R. Hauer. 1992. The distribution and abundance of
 organisms as a consequence of energy balances along multiple environmental
 gradients. Oikos 65:377-390.
- 505 Hardin, G. 1960. The competitive exclusion principle. Science **131**:1292–1297.

- Harte, J. 2011. Maximum Entropy and Ecology: A Theory of Abundance, Distribution, and
 Energetics. . Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Hedwall, P. O., J. Bergh, and J. Brunet. 2017. Phosphorus and nitrogen co-limitation of forest
 ground vegetation under elevated anthropogenic nitrogen deposition. Oecologia
 185:317-326.
- 511 Heino, J. 2010. Are indicator groups and cross-taxon congruence useful for predicting
 512 biodiversity in aquatic ecosystems? Ecological Indicators 10:112-117.
- 513 Hunter Jr, M., M. Westgate, P. Barton, A. Calhoun, J. Pierson, A. Tulloch, M. Beger, C.
- Branquinho, T. Caro, J. Gross, J. Heino, P. Lane, C. Longo, K. Martin, W. H.
 McDowell, C. Mellin, H. Salo, and D. Lindenmayer. 2016. Two roles for ecological
 surrogacy: Indicator surrogates and management surrogates. Ecological Indicators
 63:121-125.
- Hunter, M., M. Westgate, P. Barton, A. Calhoun, J. Pierson, A. Tulloch, M. Beger, C.
 Branquinho, T. Caro, J. Gross, J. Heino, P. Lane, C. Longo, K. Martin, W. H.
 McDowell, C. Mellin, H. Salo, and D. Lindenmayer. 2016. Two roles for ecological
 surrogacy: Indicator surrogates and management surrogates. Ecological Indicators
 63:121-125.
- Hutchinson, G. E. 1957. Concluding remarks. . Cold Spring Harbour Symposium on
 Quantitative Biology 22:415–427.
- 525 Ikin, K., A. Tulloch, P. Gibbons, D. Ansell, J. Seddon, and D. Lindenmayer. 2016. Evaluating
 526 complementary networks of restoration plantings for landscape-scale occurrence of
 527 temporally dynamic species. Conservation Biology 30:1027-1037.
- Johansen, T., J. I. Westgaard, B. B. Seliussen, K. Nedreaas, G. Dahle, K. A. Glover, R.
 Kvalsund, and A. Aglen. 2018. "Real-time" genetic monitoring of a commercial
- fishery on the doorstep of an MPA reveals unique insights into the interaction between
 coastal and migratory forms of the Atlantic cod. Ices Journal of Marine Science **75**:1093-1104.
- Kearney, M. R., B. A. Wintle, and W. P. Porter. 2010. Correlative and mechanistic models of
 species distribution provide congruent forecasts under climate change. Conservation
 Letters 3:203-213.
- Lambeck, R. J. 1997. Focal species: A multi-species umbrella for nature conservation.
 Conservation Biology 11:849-856.

- 538 Lane, P. W., D. B. Lindenmayer, P. S. Barton, W. Blanchard, and M. J. Westgate. 2014. 539 Visualization of species pairwise associations: a case study of surrogacy in bird 540 assemblages. Ecology and Evolution **4**:3279-3289. 541 Lindenmayer, D., J. Pierson, P. Barton, M. Beger, C. Branquinho, A. Calhoun, T. Caro, H. 542 Greig, J. Gross, J. Heino, M. Hunter, P. Lane, C. Longo, K. Martin, W. H. McDowell, 543 C. Mellin, H. Salo, A. Tulloch, and M. Westgate. 2015a. A new framework for selecting environmental surrogates. Science of The Total Environment 538:1029-544 545 1038. 546 Lindenmayer, D. B., P. S. Barton, P. W. Lane, M. J. Westgate, L. McBurney, D. Blair, P. 547 Gibbons, and G. E. Likens. 2014a. An Empirical Assessment and Comparison of 548 Species-Based and Habitat-Based Surrogates: A Case Study of Forest Vertebrates and 549 Large Old Trees. Plos One 9. 550 Lindenmayer, D. B., P. S. Barton, and J. Pierson 2015b. Indicators and surrogates of 551 biodiversity and environmental change. CSIRO Publishing, Clayton South, Australia. 552 Lindenmayer, D. B., W. Blanchard, M. Crane, D. Michael, and D. Florance. 2018. Size or 553 quality. What matters in vegetation restoration for bird biodiversity in endangered 554 temperate woodlands? Austral Ecology **43**:798-806. 555 Lindenmayer, D. B., P. W. Lane, P. S. Barton, M. Crane, K. Ikin, D. Michael, and S. Okada. 556 2016. Long-term bird colonization and turnover in restored woodlands. Biodiversity 557 and Conservation 25:1587-1603. 558 Lindenmayer, D. B., P. W. Lane, M. J. Westgate, M. Crane, D. Michael, S. Okada, and P. S. 559 Barton. 2014b. An Empirical Assessment of the Focal Species Hypothesis. Conservation Biology 28:1594-1603. 560 561 Lindenmayer, D. B., and G. E. Likens. 2011. Direct measurement versus surrogate indicator 562 species for evaluating environmental change and biodiversity loss. Ecosystems 14:47-59. 563 564 Lovell, S., M. Hamer, R. Slotow, and D. Herbert. 2007. Assessment of congruency across 565 invertebrate taxa and taxonomic levels to identify potential surrogates. Biological 566 Conservation **139**:113-125.
- Lundholm, J. T., and D. W. Larson. 2004. Dominance as an overlooked measure of invader
 success. Biological Invasions 6:505–510.

- Lunt, I. D., D. J. Eldridge, J. W. Morgan, and G. B. Witt. 2007. A framework to predict the
 effects of livestock grazing and grazing exclusion on conservation values in natural
 ecosystems in Australia. Australian Journal of Botany 55:401-415.
- 572 MacArthur, R. H., and J. W. MacArthur. 1961. On bird species diversity. Ecology 42:594573 598.
- Mackey, B. G., and D. B. Lindenmayer. 2001. Towards a hierarchical framework for
 modelling the spatial distribution of animals. Journal of Biogeography 28:1147-1166.
- 576 Manzoni, S., J. P. Schimel, and A. Porporato. 2012. Responses of soil microbial communities
 577 to water stress: results from a meta-analysis. Ecology **93**:930-938.
- 578 Margules, C. R., and R. L. Pressey. 2000. Systematic conservation planning. Nature 405:243579 253.
- McArthur, M. A., B. P. Brooke, R. Przeslawski, D. A. Ryan, V. L. Lucieer, S. Nichol, A. W.
 McCallum, C. Mellin, I. D. Cresswell, and L. C. Radke. 2010. On the use of abiotic
 surrogates to describe marine benthic biodiversity. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf
 Science 88:21-32.
- McGeoch, M. A. 1998. The selection, testing and application of terrestrial insects as
 bioindicators. Biological Reviews 73:181-201.
- McGeoch, M. A., S. H. M. Butchart, D. Spear, E. Marais, E. J. Kleynhans, A. Symes, J.
 Chanson, and M. Hoffmann. 2010. Global indicators of biological invasion: species
 numbers, biodiversity impact and policy responses. Diversity and Distributions 16:95108.
- McIntyre, S., R. Cunningham, C. Donnelly, and A. Manning. 2015. Restoration of eucalypt
 grassy woodland: effects of experimental interventions on ground-layer vegetation.
 Australian Journal of Botany 62:570-579.
- McIntyre, S., and S. Lavorel. 1994. Predicting richness of native, rare, and exotic plants in
 response to habitat and disturbance variables across a variegated landscape.
 Conservation Biology 8:521-531.
- Mellin, C., S. Delean, J. Caley, G. Edgar, M. Meekan, R. Pitcher, R. Przesławski, A.
 Williams, and C. Bradshaw. 2011. Effectiveness of Biological Surrogates for
- 598 Predicting Patterns of Marine Biodiversity: A Global Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE 6.
- Montague-Drake, R., D. B. Lindenmayer, R. B. Cunningham, and J. Stein. 2011. A reverse
 keystone species affects the landscape distribution of woodland avifauna: a case study

601

using the Noisy Miner (Manorina melanocephala) and other Australian birds.

- 602 Landscape Ecology **26**:1383-1394.
- 603 Mortelliti, A., K. Ikin, A. I. T. Tulloch, R. Cunningham, J. Stein, D. Michael, and D. B.
- 604 Lindenmayer. 2016. Surviving with a resident despot: do revegetated patches act as
- refuges from the effects of the noisy miner (*Manorina melanocephala*) in a highly
 fragmented landscape? Diversity and Distributions 22:770-782.
- Mulder, C., and J. J. Elser. 2009. Soil acidity, ecological stoichiometry and allometric scaling
 in grassland food webs. Global Change Biology 15: 2730-2738.
- Muller, F., and R. Lenz. 2006. Ecological indicators: theoretical fundamentals of consistent
 applications in environmental management. Ecological Indicators 6:1-5.
- Neeson, T. M., and Y. Mandelik. 2014. Pairwise measures of species co-occurrence for
 choosing indicator species and quantifying overlap. Ecological Indicators 45:721-727.
- Nicholson, E., D. B. Lindenmayer, K. Frank, and H. P. Possingham. 2013. Testing the focal
 species approach to making conservation decisions for species persistence. Diversity
 and Distributions 19:530-540.
- Niemi, G. J., and M. E. McDonald. 2004. Application of ecological indicators. Annual
 Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics 35:89-111.
- Noss, R. F. 1990. Indicators for monitoring biodiversity: A hierarchical approach.
 Conservation Biology 4:355-364.
- O'Loughlin, L. S., and P. T. Green. 2017. Secondary invasion: When invasion success is
 contingent on other invaders altering the properties of recipient ecosystems. Ecology
 and Evolution 7:7628-7637.
- 623 O'Loughlin, L. S., D. B. Lindenmayer, M. D. Smith, M. R. Willig, A. K. Knapp, K.
- 624 Cuddington, A. Hastings, C. N. Foster, C. F. Sato, M. J. Westgate, and P. S. Barton.
 625 2018. Surrogates Underpin Ecological Understanding and Practice. Bioscience
 626 68:640-642.
- Parravicini, V., E. Azzurro, M. Kulbicki, and J. Belmaker. 2015. Niche shift can impair the
 ability to predict invasion risk in the marine realm: an illustration using Mediterranean
 fish invaders. Ecology Letters 18:246-253.
- Pierson, J. C., P. S. Barton, P. W. Lane, and D. B. Lindenmayer. 2015. Can habitat surrogates
 predict the response of target species to landscape change? Biological Conservation
 184:1-10.

- Pulliam, H. R. 2000. On the relationship between niche and distribution. Ecology Letters3:349-361.
- Recher, H. F. 1969. Bird species diversity and habitat diversity in Australia and North
 America. American Naturalist 103:75-80.
- Renner, I. W., and D. I. Warton. 2013. Equivalence of MAXENT and Poisson Point Process
 Models for Species Distribution Modeling in Ecology. Biometrics 69:274-281.
- 639 Rodrigues, A. S. L., and T. M. Brooks. 2007. Shortcuts for biodiversity conservation
- planning: the effectiveness of surrogates. Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and
 Systematics 38:713-737.
- 642 Sætersdal, M., and I. Gjerde. 2011. Prioritising conservation areas using species surrogate
 643 measures: consistent with ecological theory? Journal of Applied Ecology 48:1236644 1240.
- Scheele, B. C., C. N. Foster, S. C. Banks, and D. B. Lindenmayer. 2017. Niche Contractions
 in Declining Species: Mechanisms and Consequences. Trends in Ecology & Evolution
 32:346-355.
- 648 Scheiner, S. M., and M. R. Willig 2011. The theory of ecology. The University of Chicago
 649 Press, Chicago.
- 650 Schelfhout, S., A. de Schrijver, S. de Bolle, L. de Gelder, A. Demey, T. Du Pre, and J.
- Mertens. 2015. Phosphorus mining for ecological restoration on former agricultural
 land. Restoration Ecology 23:842–851.
- Shea, K., and P. Chesson. 2002. Community ecology theory as a framework for biological
 invasions. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 17:170-176.
- 655 Simberloff, D., and B. Von Holle. 1999. Positive interactions of nonindigenous species:
 656 invasional meltdown? Biological Invasions 1:21–32.
- Soons, M. B., M. M. Hefting, E. Dorland, L. P. M. Lamers, C. Versteeg, and R. Bobbink.
 2017. Nitrogen effects on plant species richness in herbaceous communities are more
 widespread and stronger than those of phosphorus. Biological Conservation 212:390-
- 660 397.
- Thomas, W. 1929. Balanced fertilizers and Liebig's law of the minimum. Science **70**:382-384.
- Tilman, D. 1990. Constraints and tradeoffs toward a predictive theory of competition and
 succession. Oikos 58:3-15.

- van Oudenhoven, A. P., K. Petz, R. Alkemade, L. Hein, and R. S. de Groot. 2012. Framework
 for systematic indicator selection to assess effects of land management on ecosystem
 services. Ecological Indicators 21:110-122.
- Westgate, M. J., P. S. Barton, P. W. Lane, and D. B. Lindenmayer. 2014. Global metaanalysis reveals low consistency of biodiversity congruence relationships. Nature
 Communications 5.
- Westgate, M. J., A. I. T. Tulloch, P. S. Barton, J. C. Pierson, and D. B. Lindenmayer. 2017.
 Optimal taxonomic groups for biodiversity assessment: a meta-analytic approach.
 Ecography 40:539-548.
- Kiao, X., D. J. McGlinn, and E. P. White. 2015. A Strong Test of the Maximum Entropy
 Theory of Ecology. American Naturalist 185:E70-80.
- 675 Yong, D. L., P. S. Barton, K. Ikin, M. J. Evans, M. Crane, S. Okada, S. A. Cunningham, and
- D. B. Lindenmayer. 2018. Cross-taxonomic surrogates for biodiversity conservation in
- human modified landscapes a multi-taxa approach. Biological Conservation
 224:336-346.

Table 1. Three kinds of ecological phenomena that fall under the niche concept, and could contribute to the establishment of *a priori* expectations for when surrogate-target relationships are plausible or not. All three phenomena and their limiting parameters will interact to define a species niche via key mechanisms, thus providing a boundary around where a surrogate will and will not provide useful information about a biodiversity target.

Ecological phenomena	Example limiting parameter	Mechanism(s)
Species distributions	Climatic characteristics	Physiological tolerances
Species abundance	Resources	Growth rate, carrying capacity
Species interactions	Ecological similarity	Competition, predation,
	X	mutualism











