

Citation for published version: Zhang, X, Xie, M, Yang, Z, Wu, HC, Fang, C, Bai, L, Fang, LF, Yoshioka, T & Matsuyama, H 2019, 'Antifouling Double-Skinned Forward Osmosis Membranes by Constructing Zwitterionic Brush-Decorated MWCNT Ultrathin Films', ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces, vol. 11, no. 21, pp. 19462-19471. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b03259

DOI: 10.1021/acsami.9b03259

Publication date: 2019

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

This document is the Accepted Manuscript version of a Published Work that appeared in final form in ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, copyright © American Chemical Society after peer review and technical editing by the publisher. To access the final edited and published work see https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b03259.

University of Bath

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Anti-fouling Double-Skinned Forward Osmosis Membranes by				
2	Constructing Zwitterionic Brushes-decorated MWCNT Ultrathin Film				
3					
4	Xinyu Zhang ^{t, ‡} , Ming Xie [§] , Zhe Yang [†] , Hao-Chen Wu [†] , Chuanjie Fang [†] ,				
5	Langming Bai [∥] , Li-Feng Fang [#] , Tomohisa Yoshioka [†] , Hideto Matsuyama ^{†,*}				
6					
7	[†] Center for Membrane and Film Technology, Department of Chemical Science				
8	and Engineering, Kobe University, Kobe 6578501, Japan				
9	[‡] College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science,				
10	Soochow University, Suzhou 215123, PR China				
11	[§] Department of chemical engineering, University of Bath, BathBA27AY, UK				
12	"State Key Laboratory of Urban Water Resource and Environment, Harbin				
13	Institute of Technology, Harbin 150090, PR China				
14	*Department of Polymer Science and Engineering.Zheijang University.				
15	Hangzhou310027, PR China				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21	* Corresponding author.				
22	Email address: matuyama@kobe-u.ac.jp (for Hideto Matsuyama)				
23					
24					
25					

26 Abstract

Pressure retarded osmosis (PRO) process is hindered by severe fouling 27 28 occurred within porous support of the FO membranes. We designed a novel double-skinned FO membrane containing a polyamide salt-rejecting layer and 29 zwitterionic brushes-decorated, multi-walled carbon nanotube 30 а (MWCNT/PSBMA) foulant-resisting layer on the back side. Our results 31 demonstrated that the coating of MWCNT/PSBMA layer on the porous 32 polyketone (PK) support imparted enhanced hydrophilicity and smaller 33 membrane pore size, thereby providing excellent resistance toward both 34 protein adhesion and bacterial adsorption. We also further evaluated this 35 resultant double-skinned membrane (i.e., TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA) in dynamic 36 PRO fouling experiments, using protein and alginate as model organic foulants. 37 38 Comparing to the pristine TFC-PK and hydrophobic TFC-MWCNT membranes, 39 the TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA membrane exhibited not only the lowest water flux 40 decline but also the highest water flux recovery after simple physical flushing. These results shed light on fabrication of antifouling PRO membranes for water 41 purification purposes. 42 Keywords: Forward osmosis; Double-skinned composite membrane; Anti-43

43 Keywords: Forward Osmosis, Double-skinned composite membrane; Anti 44 fouling; zwitterionic brushes; MWCNT

46 Introduction

With growing global water scarcity, forward osmosis (FO), as a new kind
 of membrane-based process, has been widely applied in many areas, such as
 industrial wastewater treatment, seawater desalination, and power generation¹⁻
 ⁶. In an FO process, water permeation is osmotic pressure-driven rather than
 hydraulic pressure. Therefore, FO process has the advantages of higher water
 recovery and higher fouling reversibility compared to other pressure-driven
 membrane processes (e.g. NF or RO)⁷⁻⁹.

Thin-film composite (TFC) membranes containing a thick porous support 54 and a thin polyamide (PA) selective layer, are the predominant membrane 55 materials of the FO process up to date¹⁰. Generally, the FO membrane water 56 flux in pressure retarded osmosis (PRO) mode (polyamide layer facing to draw 57 58 solution) is much higher than the water flux in FO mode (polyamide layer facing 59 to feed solution) because of the suppressed internal concentration polarization (ICP)¹¹. However, TFC FO membranes suffer from severer membrane fouling 60 in PRO mode due to the blockage of foulants within the porous support and 61 difficulty in membrane cleaning¹²⁻¹³. Therefore, FO process is chosen 62 preferentially to be manipulated under the FO mode for avoiding the membrane 63 fouling, even though the ICP significantly lowers the water flux¹². Recent 64 studies highlighted the significance of redesigning support structures to 65 maximize the water flux in FO process¹⁴⁻¹⁸; as such, it is of paramount 66 importance to the development of FO membranes with superior antifouling 67

68 properties in PRO mode.

In order to prevent the entry of foulants to the support, constructing an 69 antifouling "barrier" layer on the TFC membrane back side is considered as the 70 most effective approach to alleviate membrane fouling in PRO mode¹⁹⁻²¹. 71 72 However, technical obstacles to fabricate such a dense layer on the back side are posed by the typical preparation methods of TFC membranes, such as 73 74 phase separation or interfacial polymerization. So far, extensive studies have attempted to graft soft polymer brushes (e.g. zwitterionic polymer) on the back 75 side to control the fouling in PRO mode^{22,23}. These polymer bushes possess 76 strong hydrophilicity and excellent resistance towards foulants caused by their 77 electrostatically induced hydration capacity²⁴. However, the large pores with 78 79 sizes ranging from hundreds of nanometers to a few micrometers on the TFC membrane back side cannot be fully covered with soft polymer brushes with 80 current surface modification approaches. As a result, there is an imperative 81 need to design novel surface modification techniques to specifically block the 82 accumulation of foulants inside the porous support. 83

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have a stable physical and chemical properties, an appropriate mechanical strength and larger length-to-diameter ratio, which have been used in the preparation of the self-supported CNTs filtration membrane²⁵⁻²⁸, and CNTs incorporated thin film nanocomposite membranes^{29-³². Better water permeability as well as enhanced anti-fouling capability was usually achieved for these fabricated membranes. Inspired by these studies,} 90 we hypothesize that CNTs can be assembled on the back side of a TFC membrane to alleviate the PRO fouling. The aim of this work is to develop a 91 92 novel anti-fouling, double-skinned FO membrane containing a polyamide saltrejecting layer and a zwitterionic brushes-decorated, multi-walled carbon 93 94 nanotube (MWCNT) foulant-resisting layer. Fouling resistance of the fabricated double-skinned membranes were assessed by static adsorption tests of BSA 95 and E.coli. Dynamic fouling experiments further confirmed the effective 96 membrane fouling control in PRO mode by coating a zwitterionic brushes-97 98 decorated MWCNT layer on the TFC membrane back side.

99

100 Materials and Methods

101 **Materials and Chemicals.** Polyketone (PK, Mw=400,000 g mol⁻¹) was obtained from Asahi Kasei Corporation (Japan). Acetone, resorcinol, hexane, 102 and methanol were provided from Wako Pure Chemical Co. (Japan) to fabricate 103 ΡK 104 the support. 1,3,5-Benzenetricarbonyl trichloride (TMC), 1.3-Phenylenediamine (MPD), Triethylamine (TEA), Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 105 Hexamethylphosphoric triamide (HMPA), and 10-camphorsulfonic acid (CSA) 106 were ordered from Tokyo Kasei Co. (Japan) to fabricate the polyamide layer. 107 Sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate (SDBS), bovine serum albumin (BSA), 108 multi-walled carbon nanotube (MWCNT) and alginate were obtained from 109 Sigma-Aldrich (USA). 110 Co. Dopamine hydrochloride (DA), [2-(Methacryloyloxy)ethyl]dimethyl-(3-sulfopropyl)ammonium hydroxide (SBMA), 111

2-Bromo-2-methylpropionyl bromide (BiBB), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), Lascorbic acid, Tris(2-pyridylmethyl)amine (TPMA), Copper(II) chloride and
Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris) were purchased from J&K Scientific
Ltd. (China).

In the protein adsorption test, NaH₂PO₄ and Na₂HPO₄ was used to prepare the phosphate buffer solution (PBS, 0.2 mol/L, pH=7) (Wako, Japan). Albuminfluorescein isothiocyanate conjugate BSA (FITC-BSA) and Escherichia coli (*E.coli*) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) were chosen as model protein and bacteria, respectively. Tryptic soy broth (TSB; Becton, Dickinson and Co., USA) was employed as culture medium. SYTO9 (Life Technologies, USA) was chosen to stain the bacteria.

123

Zwitterionic brushes-decorated MWCNT dispersion preparation. Zwitterionic brushes-decorated MWCNT was prepared by grafting PSBMA brushes on the MWCNT via atom-transfer radical-polymerization (ATRP) methods as shown in **Figure** 1³³. The grafting yield (GY) was 21%, which was calculated according to the following equation:

 $GY = \frac{W_b - W_a}{W_a} \times 100\%$

where W_a is the dried MWCNT weight and W_b is the dried PSBMA modified MWCNT weight. The detailed modification procedures are shown in the Supporting Information. The PSBMA brushes grafted MWCNT is designated as MWCNT/PSBMA and their FT-IR spectra are presented in **Figure** S1. The successful modification of the PSBMA brushes on MWCNT could be
demonstrated by the existence of the two new bands, i.e., sulfonate group at
1035 cm⁻¹ and carbonyl group at 1720 cm⁻¹, which are the characteristic peaks
of the PSBMA brushes.

138

139



Figure 1. The schematic preparation routes of zwitterionic brushes-decorated MWCNT.
 (A) Synthetize of BiBB-dopamine. (B) Modification of PSBMA brushes on MWCNT via
 ATRP (i.e., MWCNT/PSBMA)

The MWCNT/PSBMA dispersion was further prepared according to the previous references²⁵. Briefly, 0.1 g·L⁻¹ MWCNT/PSBMA powder and 1 g·L⁻¹ SDBS were charged into 500 mL fresh water and then put in the ultrasonic cell disruption device at the intensity of 2 kW to sonicate for 5 h. The MWCNT/PSBMA supernatant was subsequently obtained by centrifugal force at 8,000 rpm for 20 min and then was diluted to ~0.08 mg/mL for the following experiments.

151

Membrane Preparation. The PK support was prepared as follows³⁴: briefly, 10 wt% PK power, 58.5 wt% resorcinol and 31.5 wt% water was magnetic stirred in a sealed bottle at 80 °C for 4 h until the mixture became homogeneous. After degassed at 50 °C overnight, this homogenous solution was casted in a height of 300 µm on the neat glass plate and then the whole composite was soaked in a methanol/water (3.5/6.5, w/w) bath for 20 min. The resultant porous PK membrane was sequentially soaked in acetone for 20 min and in hexane for another 20 min, and finally taken out for drying. The illustration of the PK support fabrication step is shown in **Figure** S2 (Supporting Information).

Figure 2 illustrated the schematic procedure for the preparation of double-162 163 skinned FO membrane. Firstly, coating the MWCNT/PSBMA layer on the PK support top side was performed by vacuum-filtered MWCNT/PSBMA 164 dispersion (5 mL) through the PK support³⁵. Subsequently, the polyamide layer 165 166 was interfacial polymerized via the reaction of MPD and TMC on the other side (bottom side) of the PK support according to our previous study³⁴. Briefly, the 167 bottom layer of PK support was first exposed to the aqueous MPD solution (1.1 168 wt% TEA, 2.0 wt% MPD, 0.15 wt% SDS, 3.0 wt% HMPA, and 2.3 wt% CSA in 169 water) for 5 min and then followed by wiping the solution from the membrane 170 surface. After that, 0.15 wt% TMC in hexane solution was covered over the 171 bottom layer of PK support for 2 min. The resultant polyamide layer was further 172deep crosslinked at 90 °C for 10 min and then stored in DI water for future usage. 173These double-skinned membranes based on different second skin layer (e.g. 174PK layer, MWCNT layer and MWCNT/PSBMA layer) are designated as TFC-175PK, TFC-MWCNT and TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA membranes, respectively. 176





178 **Figure 2.** Lab scale fabrication steps for the double-skinned FO membrane.

179

Membrane Characterizations. Membrane surface morphologies were 180 determined by an atomic force microscopy (AFM, SPA-400, Japan) and a field-181 emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, JSF-7500, Japan). The 182 membrane surface elemental content was quantified by X-ray photo electron 183 spectroscopy (XPS, JPS-9010MC, JEOL). The surface zeta potential was 184 determined by a SurPASS[™] 3 electrokinetic analyzer (Anton Paar, Austria) in 185 a background electrolyte solution (75 mg/L KCl solution). The membrane 186 surface hydrophilicity was characterized by a contact angle meter (DM-300, 187 188 Japan).

A cross-flow RO setup was employed to evaluate the water permeability ($A, L \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1} \cdot bar^{-1}$), salt permeability ($B, L \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1}$) and salt rejection rate ($R_s, \%$) of the FO membranes³⁶. Structural parameter ($S, \mu m$) can be calculated by fitting the A and B values with the FO water flux ($J_{v}, L \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1}$)³⁶. Detailed information on filtration experiments is described in the Supporting Information.

195 **Static Adhesion Tests.** Anti-fouling abilities of the fabricated membranes

were assessed by the static adhesion tests of BSA and *E. coli*³⁷. FITC-BSA
solution (20 mg/L, pH=7.4) was prepared in a 0.2 mol/L PBS buffer. Membrane
samples (0.5 cm x 2 cm) were first soaked into the 2 mL FITC-BSA solution and
then shaken at 100 rpm in the dark place for 12 hours. After that, these
membrane samples were taken out and then washed twice with fresh PBS
buffer. Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM; FV1000D, Japan) was
employed to take the fluorescence images.

Membrane adhesion property for bacteria was also evaluated by the static 203 *E*.coli adhesion test as described in reference³⁷. First, *E*.coli were precultured 204 in 20 mL of 30 g/L TBS medium overnight at 30 °C. And then, 30 g/L TSB 205 medium was used to dilute the E.coli suspension 50 times. Next, this E.coli 206 207 suspension was cultivated for another 4 h until its optical density at 450 nm reached to 0.05. Membrane samples (0.5 cm × 2.0 cm) were soaked in the 208 above-prepared E.coli suspension (2 mL, pH=7) and shaken at 30 °C for 24 h. 209 After that, these membrane samples were washed twice by 0.85 wt% NaCl 210 solution and followed by sequentially soaked in the salty SYTO9 solution (0.85 211 wt% NaCl) for 20 min, and 2.5 wt% glutaraldehyde solution for 3 min to dye and 212 fix the bacteria, respectively. The resultant membranes were washed and 213 stored in 0.85 wt% NaCl solution until the characterization by using CLSM. The 214 Image J software (National Institutes of Health, MD, USA) was employed to 215 216 calculate the bacteria coverage.

Membrane Fouling Protocol in PRO mode. A cross-flow FO setup was 218 employed to perform the dynamic fouling experiments in PRO mode (polyamide 219 layer facing to the draw solution) at ambient pressure¹⁹. In this work, the feed 220 solution at ambient pressure could reflect the PRO operating environment, 221 222 where no hydraulic pressure is applied in the feed side and the draw side is pressured³⁸. All experiments were started with 30 mg/L foulants (BSA or 223 alginate), 0.5 mmol/L CaCl₂ and 50 mmol/L NaCl in the feed solution, and an 224 initial water flux of ~30 $L \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1}$, which was accomplished by controlling the 225 NaCl concentration of draw solution side. The temperature and crossflow 226 velocity of both feed and draw solutions were set at 25 ± 2 °C and 8.5 cm/s, 227 respectively. The fouling experiments were conducted for 10 to 20 hours 228 229 depending on membranes, until 200 mL of the cumulative permeate volume was attained. Once the fouling run finished, the resultant fouled membrane was 230 physically flushed with fresh water through the both sides of the FO membrane 231 for 30 min at an elevated crossflow velocity of 21 cm/s. To determine the flux 232 recovery, the water flux was obtained again after the cleaning tests using the 233 234 same but foulant-free feed and draw solutions as in the fouling experiment. To account for the flux drop due to dilution effects, we also carried out the baseline 235 experiments under the same experimental conditions without foulants. The flux 236 decline obtained from fouling experiments has been corrected using the 237 baseline flux profile to account for the dilution effects. 238

Molecular Dynamic Simulation. To understand the hydrophilicity of different three types of given materials (e.g. CNT, PK, and SBMA), three simulation models contenting these given materials and water molecules were constructed through the Amorphous Cell module with similar atomic numbers, in which the density values were set at 1 g/cm³ at the outset³⁹⁻⁴¹. Detailed information is described in the Supporting Information.

246

247 **Results and Discussion**

Characterizations of the fabricated membranes. The PK support 248 possesses a fully sponge-like structure (Figure 3), which can promote the mass 249 transport and provide better mechanical stability during long-term operations. 250 251 Although visible pores cover on the bottom layer of the PK support (Figure 3C), a dense selective polyamide layer consisting of larger "leaf-like" curls is 252 successfully interfacial polymerized on the bottom surface (Figure 3F). This 253 may be driven by the special interactions between the MPD solution and the 254 PK matrix³⁴. In order to operate the FO membranes in the PRO mode, the top 255 porous surface of the PK support is coated with different second skin layers 256 (e.g. MWCNT and MWCNT/PSBMA layers) via vacuum filtration method. As 257 illustrated in Figures 3(G and F) and Table S2, the fabricated MWCNT layer 258 has a thickness of 375 nm and a loading density of 322 mg/m²; for the 259 MWCNT/PSBMA layer, the thickness and loading density are 309 nm and 288 260 mg/m², respectively. As imaged in **Figures** 3D and 3E, compared with the 261

pristine porous top layer structure (Figure 3A), relatively dense and continuous 262 second skin layers are appeared on the top surface of the PK support, 263 evidencing for the successful fabrication. Noting that it is difficult to achieve 264 such a dense coverage of the porous support by using conventional membrane 265 surface modification techniques with soft polymers⁴². The roughness data of 266 these three membranes are shown in Figure S3, Supporting Information. 267 MWCNT layer and MWCNT/PSBMA layer are smoother than the PK support 268 surface. 269



Figure 3. SEM micrographs of the (A) top surface, (B) cross-section and (c) bottom surface of the PK support surface; Morphologies of the (D) MWCNT surface and (E)

MWCNT/PSBMA surface after vacuum suction of carbon nanotube dispersion onto the top
 of PK support; Morphology of the (F) polyamide layer after interfacial polymerization on the
 bottom of PK support. Cross-sections of the (G) MWCNT layer, (H) MWCNT/PSBMA layer
 and (I) polyamide layer.

277

At the same time, the mean pore sizes of the three different supports are 278 estimated based on the PEO rejection coefficient and the corresponding pore 279 size distributions are plotted as the probability density function¹⁷. Details of PEO 280 rejection experiment and determination of pore size distribution are described 281 in the Supporting Information. As shown in Figure 4A and Table S1, the top 282 surface of PK support has the largest mean pore size (54.6 nm) and MWCO 283 value (369 KDa). After coating the carbon nanotube layer on the PK support, 284 the mean pore sizes are decreased to 17.8 nm (corresponding to MWCO of 285 131 KDa) and 13.2 nm (corresponding to MWCO of 121 KDa) for MWCNT layer 286 and MWCNT/PSBMA layer, respectively. These results agreed well with the 287 surface morphology change as shown in Figure 3. Compared to the MWCNT 288 layer, the smaller mean pore size of the MWCNT/PSBMA layer may be 289 290 ascribed to the swelling chain conformation of PSBMA brushes in the water^{24,43}. In here, the degree of PSBMA swelling (DS) is ~15.5%, which is defined as: DS 291 $= W_w/W_d$, where W_w and W_d are the wetted and dried weight of PSBMA modified 292 MWCNT, respectively. Furthermore, the pristine PK support exhibits the lowest 293 rejection towards BSA (0%) and alginate (44.4%); on the contrary, the 294 MWCNT/PSBMA layer has the highest rejection towards BSA (13.2%) and 295 296 alginate (71.3%) as shown in **Table** S2. As a general rule, the rejection property of the asymmetric porous membrane is mainly dominated by the top layer. 297

Accordingly, our results demonstrate the successful fabrication of zwitterionic brushes-decorated MWCNT layer on PK support.

The membrane surface chemistries of different second skin layers can be 300 successfully verified by XPS technique. XPS wide scan spectra are shown in 301 Figure S4. As shown in Figure 4B, the PK support consists of "C" (~78.5%) 302 and "O" (~24.5%), which matches well with the elemental composition of PK 303 molecule. After the MWCNT layer coating on the PK support, "C" (~96.9%) 304 becomes the primary element and "O" (~3.1%) is detected in a very low 305 composition concentration. This noticeable change indicates the complete 306 307 covering of MWCNT layer on the PK support. In the case of TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA membrane, more than the primary element of "C" (~84.4%) 308 and "N" (~12.2%), the presence of "S" (~1.4%) and "N" (~2%) confirms the 309 successful coating of MWCNT/PSBMA layer on the PK support. 310







Figure 4. Key membrane characteristics: (A) surface pore size distributions, (B) XPS spectroscopy, (C) water contact angles, and (D) zeta potentials as a function of pH of the PK support, MWCNT layer and MWCNT/PSBMA layer of the double-skin layer membrane.

315

Water contact angle (CA) measurement was employed to assess the 316 surface hydrophilicity. As illustrated in Figure 4C, the PK support surface 317 exhibits a CA of $\sim 41^{\circ}$, which is consistent with previously published data⁴⁴. On 318 the other side, the CA of MWCNT layer is significantly increased to ~123°, 319 320 indicating its hydrophobic nature due to the aromatic rings of carbon nanotube. For the zwitterionic PSBMA brushes decorated MWCNT layer, a definite 321 reduction of CA to $\sim 6^{\circ}$ is observed, which evidences a hydrophilic surface due 322 323 to the grafted PSBMA brushes and may significantly enhance its fouling resistance. 324

Membrane surface charges were characterized by zeta potential measurements and the results are exhibited in **Figure** 4D. For the experimental pH range (e.g., pH 3-9), the PK support zeta potential is slightly positive (e.g., 1 mV at pH 3) at the beginning and then increasingly negative with the growing pH due to the preferential anion adsorption to the weaker hydrated PK surface. This result reflects the characteristic charge curve of non-ionic surface, such as

polysulfone membrane^{45,46}. After coating the MWCNT layer on the PK support, 331 the zeta potential becomes least negative due to the presence of the most 332 hydrophobic MWCNT shielding on the PK support, which reduces the anion 333 adsorption to a great extent. Compared with the MWCNT layer, the 334 MWCNT/PSBMA layer exhibits slightly more negative, being consistent with the 335 previous studies^{24,33,47}. Guo et al.⁴⁸ explained that the slight negative charge of 336 PSBMA brushes can be ascribed to its overall acidic characteristic in solution, 337 since the pK_a value of sulfonate groups is 2 and pK_b value of guaternary 338 ammonium groups is 5. 339

340

Membrane Intrinsic Transport Properties. As summarized in Table 1, 341 the A values of the double-skinned membranes (1.87 and 1.93 L·m⁻²·h⁻¹·bar⁻¹ 342 for TFC-MWCNT and TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA membranes, respectively) remain 343 almost the same as that of TFC-PK membrane (2.0 $L \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1} \cdot bar^{-1}$); while the B 344 values increases lightly from 0.38 L·m⁻²·h⁻¹ for TFC-PK membrane to 0.59 and 345 0.51 L·m⁻²·h⁻¹ for TFC-MWCNT and TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA membranes, 346 respectively. It is because A and B values are the intrinsic parameters relevant 347 only to the polyamide layer. The S values, relevant only to the supporting layer, 348 increase slightly but not statistically significant after deposition of carbon 349 nanotube layer on the top of PK support (263 µm vs 330 µm vs 306 µm for the 350 TFC-PK, TFC-MWCNT and TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA membranes, respectively). 351 These results demonstrate that the nanoscale thicknesses of carbon tube 352

layers have no effect on the transport properties of the double-skinned
 membranes⁴⁹.

355

356**Table 1.** Intrinsic properties, structural parameters and salt rejections of the double-357skinned membranes (Detailed testing methods are shown in Supporting Information)

358

Membrane code	A (L⋅m ⁻² ⋅h ⁻¹ ⋅bar ⁻¹)	$\boldsymbol{B} \; (L \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1})$	<i>S</i> (μm)	<i>R</i> s (%)
TFC-PK	2.00±0.10	0.38 ± 0.10	263±11	97.7±0.2
TFC-MWCNT	1.87±0.21	0.59 ± 0.08	330±7	96.1 ± 0.1
TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA	1.93±0.12	0.51 ± 0.10	306 ±10	96.8±0.1

359

360 Interaction energy between membrane materials and water molecules.

The notably enhanced hydrophilicity of the MWCNT/PSBMA surface suggests 361 that the anti-fouling properties may be improved because of the PSBMA 362 brushes grafting. In order to understand the hydrophilicity of three different 363 types of given materials (e.g. PK, MWCNT and PSBMA), molecular dynamics 364 simulation technique was employed to explore the interactions. During the 365 simulation process, the interaction between the polymer chain and water 366 367 molecules was recorded and analyzed to further compare the hydration capacities of these three types of membrane materials. 368

Figure 5 presents the interaction energy and intermolecular H-bonds between membrane materials and water molecules. As shown in **Figures** 5B and 5C, interaction energy between MWCNT and water molecules displays the largest value of -89.5 kcal/mol, with almost zero H-bonds. This highest energy can be ascribed to the hydrophobicity of MWCNT, demonstrating that the

attachment of organic foulants on its surface could occur easily to minimize the 374 interfacial energy⁵⁰. For PK polymer, the interaction energy is decreased to -375 249.2 kcal/mol, exhibiting H-bonds with a middle number of 24.8. In contrast, 376 the zwitterionic PSBMA polymer shows the lowest interaction energy value, 377 -886.8 kcal/mol, representing 71.9% reduction in interaction energy compared 378 379 to the PK polymer. Also, the H-bond number is the highest value of 43. These results are due to its special interactions with water. In addition to the hydrogen 380 bonding between water molecules and PK polymer, zwitterionic PSBMA 381 polymers can strongly trap water molecules via the electrostatic force to form a 382 more tighter hydration layer (**Figure** 5A)^{23,24,51}. This hydration layer would 383 prevent organic foulants from close contact with the modified surface due to no 384 significant thermodynamic advantage⁵². 385





Figure 5. Molecular dynamics simulation. (A) H-bonds network between PSBMA and water molecules; The atom colors are chosen as follows: C, gray; N, blue; O, red; S, yellow; H, white. (B) Interaction energy between PK, MWCNT, PSBMA and water molecules, respectively; (C) Intermolecular H-bond number between PK, MWCNT, PSBMA and water molecules, respectively.

392

Adsorption Propensity of Proteins and Bacteria. Anti-fouling properties 393 of the double-skinned membranes were evaluated by its resistance against 394 protein and bacteria adsorption. As the typical protein and bacteria, BSA and 395 E. coli, are used as model organic foulants, respectively. Their accumulation on 396 the membrane surface not only compromises the water flux, but also forms a 397 conditioning film, which could provide carbon and nitrogen sources for the 398 399 microbial colonization⁵³⁻⁵⁵. Therefore, protein fouling resistance is considered as one of the important factors to investigate the anti-fouling properties of 400 double-skinned membranes. 401



Figure 6. CLSM images of (A) PK support, (B) MWCNT layer, and (C) MWCNT/PSBMA layer after protein adhesion tests using FITC-BSA in PBS. TFC-PK, TFC-MWCNT, and TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA after 12 h exposure to FITC-BSA in 0.2 M PBS at pH=7. (D) Relative adhesion of E. coli on the PK support, MWCNT layer and MWCNT/PSBMA layer of FO membranes after 24 h contact time, normalized to the result of the MWCNT surface.

408

Surface fluorescence intensities were adopted to evaluate the protein 409 410 adsorption after exposure to fluorescein-labeled BSA (FITC-BSA). Fluorescence images of the PK support, MWCNT layer and MWCNT/PSBMA 411 layer of the double-skinned membranes are showed in Figure 6. The 412 413 fluorescence intensities can directly quantify the BSA adsorption degrees on membrane surfaces. Despite having a relatively hydrophilic PK surface, the 414 TFC-PK membrane still has a brightest fluorescence image (Figure 6A). This 415 416 may be because the protein not only on the surface but also underneath the surface can be determined by the fluorescence²³, especially for the porous PK 417

surface. Figure 6B illustrates that the MWCNT layer has a slightly weaker 418 fluorescence intensity due to the deceased surface pore size, while the 419 420 MWCNT/PSBMA layer exhibits virtually no fluorescence (Figure 6C). It can conclude that the MWCNT/PSBMA layer possesses the excellent anti-fouling 421 property toward protein. This difference between the MWCNT layer and 422 MWCNT/PSBMA layer can be ascribed to the fact that the benzene rings of the 423 former could attract proteins while the latter has unique interactions with water 424 and inhibits the protein adsorption. 425

Bacteria adsorption tests were also conducted with *E*. coli to inspect the anti-microbial abilities of the fabricated membranes. As illustrated in **Figure** 6D, the MWCNT layer has the highest bacteria attachment. After coating with the zwitterionic brushes-decorated MWCNT layer, the TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA membrane exhibits a higher anti-adhesive property toward bacteria, with bacterial coverage reduction of 37% compared to the TFC-PK membrane.

432

Dynamic Fouling Behavior in PRO mode. Anti-fouling properties of the fabricated membranes were assessed by the dynamic BSA and alginate fouling experiments, when they were tested in PRO mode (membrane polyamide layer facing to the draw solution). Immediately after fouling, physical flushing with higher crossflow rate of 21 cm/s was used to clean the fouled membranes for 30 min. **Figure** 7 shows the declined flux induced by organic fouling and the recovered flux after physical cleaning.

The TFC-PK membrane, with a porous back side surface, exhibits the most 440 severe flux decline to 33% of its initial water flux owing to the significant BSA 441 442 fouling (Figures 7A and 7C). The foulant blockage within the porous support of the TFC-PK membrane significantly deteriorates the membrane filtration 443 capacity. By contrast, the TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA membrane whose back 444 surface sealed with a hydrophilic MWCNT/PSBMA layer, exhibits an improved 445 flux stability with an 85% retention of the initial water flux. This result 446 demonstrates the excellent antifouling performance towards BSA foulant of 447 MWCNT/PSBMA surface, which is consistent to its decreased surface pore 448 sizes and enhanced hydrophilic properties. Besides, the TFC-MWCNT 449 membrane has a weaker anti-fouling property with a reduction to 60% of its 450 451 initial flux. This is because its most hydrophobic MWCNT surface are easy subjected to strong BSA foulant adhesion, which matches well with the results 452 in the static fouling experiments (Section 3.4). 453







Figure 7. Water flux decline curves for TFC-PK, TFC-MWCNT, and TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA membranes obtained from (A) BSA and (B) alginate fouling experiments. Feed solution was prepared by adding 30 mg/L foulants and 0.5 mmol/L CaCl₂ to 50 mmol/L NaCl. Summarized organic fouling results of (C) BSA and (D) alginate fouling experiments with TFC-PK, TFC-MWCNT, and TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA membranes. Blank columns mean the percentage of flux recovery after physical cleaning.

461

Compared with BSA fouling, alginate fouling causes more flux decline 462 (Figure 7B), especially for the TFC-PK and TFC-MWCNT membranes under 463 464 the same tested conditions. As an end of membrane fouling, the water fluxes of the TFC-PK and TFC-MWCNT membranes decrease to 24% and 49% of the 465 initial water flux, respectively. Ca²⁺ ions (0.5 mmol/L) in the feed solution is 466 467 known to aggravate alginate fouling by acting as "bridges" between alginate molecules, which leads to form a cross-linked gel-like alginate on the PK and 468 MWCNT surfaces and thus causes a significant decrease of water flux^{56,57}. By 469 comparison, the alginate fouling of the TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA membrane is still 470 471 the least severe with a highest flux retention up to 82% of its initial value, again demonstrating the outstanding anti-fouling abilities of the hydrophilic 472 MWCNT/PSBMA surface. 473

474 The above-mentioned filtration performance can be further reinforced by

an apparent discrepancy in the water flux recovery efficiencies for these three 475 membranes as shown in **Figures** 7C and 7D; the flux recovery of the fouled 476 follows the order of TFC-PK membrane<TFC-MWCNT 477 membranes membrane<TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA membrane. For the TFC-PK membrane, the 478 foulants blocked into the porous PK support, cannot be eliminated by the shear 479 force of physical cleaning, which leads to severe irreversible fouling. On the 480 other hand, the MWCNT/PSBMA layer created on the PK support could 481 defense against the intruding of agglomerated foulants (e.g. alginate gels) into 482 483 the pores of PK support, which makes the foulants only possibly deposit on the surface. The shear force of physical cleaning could easily flush these deposited 484 foulants away from the membrane surface, and thus the water flux can be 485 486 satisfactorily recovered. These anti-fouling mechanisms can be further demonstrated by the SEM images of fouled TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA membrane. 487 As shown in **Figure** 8A, the agglomerated organic foulants (e.g. alginate gels) 488 489 scatter randomly on the MWCNT/PSBMA surface rather than in the inner PK support (Figure 8B). The cleaned surface is also analyzed and exhibits almost 490 no fouling surface coverage (Figure 8C). Taken together, the overall antifouling 491 performance of the TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA membrane is better than the other 492 two membranes when operated in PRO mode, highlighting a versatile approach 493 to design antifouling FO membranes. 494



Figure 8. SEM images of MWCNT/PSBMA surface and cross-section (near the
 MWCNT/PSBMA layer) of (A, B) fouled and (C) cleaned TFC-MWCNT/PSBMA membrane.
 Note: The red arrow in Figure 8A means the alginate gels. The inset in Figure 8B is the
 cross-section image of PK support at 100 nm scale.

500

501 Conclusion

502 In this work, we have successfully designed an anti-fouling double-skinned FO membrane by constructing zwitterionic brushes-decorated MWCNT layer 503 on the PK support for improving the organic fouling resistance under PRO mode. 504 505 Surface characterization revealed that the introduction of MWCNT/PSBMA layer could significantly alter the surface morphologies of the PK support, such 506 as enhanced hydrophilicity, reduced surface roughness and narrowed surface 507 pored size. Computational methods provided insights into the excellent 508 nature of zwitterionic PSBMA brushes, 509 hydrophilic and thus the 510 MWCNT/PSBMA layer surface exhibited the best anti-protein adsorption and anti-bacterial adhesion properties. In dynamic PRO fouling tests, coating a 511 MWCNT/PSBMA layer on the PK support achieved the least negative effects 512 on water flux and the highest recovered water flux in comparison of the pristine 513 TFC-PK and hydrophobic TFC-MWCNT membranes. 514

515

516 Acknowledgments

517 This work was supported by Kurita Water and Environment Foundation (No.

16A073) to D.S., and Creation of Innovation Centers for Advanced
Interdisciplinary Research Areas (Innovative Bioproduction, Kobe) from the
Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

521

522 Appendix A. Supporting information

523 Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online 524 version at

525

526 **Reference**

527 [1] McGinnis, R. L.; Elimelech, M. Global challenges in energy and water supply: The 528 promise of engineered osmosis, Environ. Sci. Technol. **2008**, 42, 8625-8629.

529 [2] Coday, B. D.; Xu, P.; Beaudry, E. G.; Herron, J.; Lampi, K.; Hancock, N. T.; Cath, T.

530 Y. The sweet spot of forward osmosis: Treatment of produced water, drilling wastewater, 531 and other complex and difficult liquid streams. Desalination **2014**, 333, 23-35.

532 [3] Lutchmiah, K.; Verliefde, A. R. D.; Roest, K.; Rietveld, L. C.; Cornelissen, E. R.

- 533 Forward osmosis for application in wastewater treatment: A review. Water Res. **2014**, 58, 534 179-197.
- [4] Akther, N.; Sodiq, A.; Giwa, A.; Daer, S.; Arafat, H. A.; Hasan, S. W. Recent
 advancements in forwards osmosis desalination: A review. Chem. Eng. J. 2015, 281, 502522.
- 538 [5] Chekli, L.; Phuntsho, S.; Kim, J. E.; Kim J.; Choi, J. Y.; Choi, J. S.; Kim, S.; Kim, J. H.;
- Hong, S.; Sohn, J.; Shon, H. K. A comprehensive review of hybrid forward osmosis
 systems: Performance, applications and future prospects. J. Membr. Sci.**2016**, 497, 430-
- 541 **449**.
- 542 [6] Linares, R. V.; Li, Z.; Yangali-Quintanilla, V.; Ghaffour, N.; Amy, G.; Leiknes, T.;
- 543 Vrouwenvelder, J. S. Life cycle cost of a hybrid forward osmosis-low pressure reverse 544 osmosis system for seawater desalination and wastewater recovery. Water Res. **2016**, 88,

545 **225-234**.

- 546 [7] Lee, S.; Boo, C.; Elimelech, M.; Hong, S. Comparison of fouling behavior in forward 547 osmosis (FO) and reverse osmosis (RO). J. Membr. Sci. **2010**, 365, 34-39.
- 548 **[8]** Mi, B.; Elimelech, M. Organic fouling of forward osmosis membranes: fouling 549 reversibility and cleaning without chemical reagents. J. Membr. Sci. **2010**, 348, 337-345.
- 550 [9] Kwan, S. E.; Bar-Zeev, E.; Elimelech, M. Biofouling in forward osmosis and reverse
- osmosis: measurements and mechanisms. J. Membr. Sci. **2015**, 493, 703-708.
- [10] Werber, J. R.; Osuji, C. O.; Elimelech, M. Materials for next generation desalination
- and water purification membranes. Nature Reviews Materials **2016**, 1, 16018.
- [11] Zhao, S.; Zou, L.; Tang, C. Y.; Mulcahy, D. Recent developments in forward osmosis:
- 555 Opportunities and challenges. J. Membr. Sci.**2012**, 396, 1-21.
- [12] Tang, C. Y.; She, Q.; Lay, W. C. L.; Wang. R.; Fane, A. G. Coupled effects of internal
- 557 concentration polarization and fouling on flux behavior of forward osmosis membranes 558 during humic acid filtration. J. Membr. Sci.**2010**, 354, 123-133.
- 559 [13] Zhao, S.; Zou, L.; Mulcahy, D. Effects of membrane orientation on process 560 performance in forward osmosis applications. J. Membr. Sci.**2011**, 382, 308-315.
- 561 [14] Yip, N. Y. Y.; Tiraferri, A.; Phillip, W. A.; Schiffman, J. D.; Elimelech, M. High
- performance thin-film composite forward osmosis membrane. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2010,
 44, 3812-3818.
- [15] Wang, R.; Shi, L.; Tang, C. Y.; Chou, S.; Qiu, C.; Fane, A. G. Characterization of novel
 forward osmosis hollow fiber membranes. J. Membr. Sci.2010, 355, 158-167.
- [16] Wei, J.; Qiu, C.; Tang, C. Y.; Wang, R.; Fane. A. G. Synthesis and characterization of
- flat-sheet thin film composite forward osmosis membranes. J. Membr. Sci.2011, 372, 292-302.
- [17] Zhang, X. Y.; Tian, J. Y.; Ren, Z. J.; Shi, W. X.; Zhang, Z. B.; Xu, Y. B.; Gao. S. S. Cui,
 F. Y. High performance thin-film composite (TFC) forward osmosis (FO) membrane
 fabricated on novel hydrophilic disulfonated poly(arylene ether sulfone) multiblock
- 572 copolymer/polysulfone substrate. J. Membr. Sci. **2016**, 520, 529-539.
- [18] Emadzadeh, D.; Lau, W. J.; Matsuura, T.; Rahbari-Sisakht, M.; Ismail, A. F. A novel
 thin film composite forward osmosis membrane prepared from PSf-TiO₂ nanocomposite
- substrate for water desalination. Chem. Eng. J. **2014**, 237, 70-80.
- 576 [19] Hu, M.; Zheng, S. X.; Mi, B. X. Organic fouling of graphene oxide membranes and its 577 implications for membrane fouling control in engineered osmosis. Environ. Sci. Technol.

- 578 **2016**, **50**, **685–693**.
- 579 [20] Qi, S. R.; Qiu, C. Q.; Zhao, Y.; Tang, C. Y. Y. Double-skinned forward osmosis
- 580 membranes based on layer-by-layer assembly-FO performance and fouling behavior. J.
 581 Membr. Sci. **2012**, 405, 20–29.
- 582 [21] Li, X.; Cai, T.; Chung, T. S. Anti-fouling behavior of hyperbranched polyglycerol-
- 583 grafted poly(ether sulfone) hollow fiber membranes for osmotic power generation. Environ.
- 584 Sci. Technol. **2014**, 48, 9898-9907.
- 585 [22] Zhao, D. L.; Qiu, G. L.; Li, X.; Wan, C. F.; Lu, K. J.; Chung, T. S. Zwitterions coated
- 586 hollow fiber membranes with enhanced antifouling properties for osmotic power generation
- 587 from municipal wastewater. Water Res. **2016**, 104, 389-396.
- [23] Le, N. L.; Quilitzsch, M.; Cheng, H.; Hong, P. Y.; Ulbricht, M.; Nunes, S. P.; Chung, T.
- 589 S. Hollow fiber membrane lumen modified by polyzwitterionic grafting. J. Membr. Sci.2017,
 590 522, 1-11.
- [24] Liu, C. H.; Lee, J.; Ma, J.; Elimelech, M. Antifouling thin-film composite membranes by
- controlled architecture of zwitterionic polymer brush layer. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2017, 51,
 2161–2169.
- [25] Gao, S. J.; Zhu, Y. Z.; Zhang, F.; Jin, J. Superwetting polymer-decorated SWCNT
- composite ultrathin films for ultrafast separation of oil-in-water nanoemulsions. J. Mater.
 Chem. A. **2015**, 3, 2895-2902.
- [26] An, Y. P.; Yang, J.; Yang, H. C.; Wu, M. B.; Xu, Z. K. Janus membranes with charges
- 598 carbon nanotube coatings for deemulsification and separation of oil-in-water emulsions.
- 599 ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **2018**, 10, 9832–9840.
- [27] Fan, X. F.; Liu, Y. M.; Quan, X.; Chen, S. Highly permeable thin-film composite forward
 osmosis membrane based on carbon nanotube hollow fiber scaffold with electrically
- enhanced fouling resistance. Environ. Sci. Technol. **2018**, 52, 3, 1444-1452.
- [28] Jia, Y. X.; Li, H. L.; Wang, M.; Wu, L. Y.; Hu, Y. D. Carbon nanotube: Possible
- candidate for forward osmosis. Sep. Purif. Technol. **2010**, 24, 55-60.
- 605 [29] Ma, X. H.; Guo, H.; Yang, Z.; Yao, Z. K.; Qing, W. H.; Chen, Y. L.; Xu, Z. L.; Tang, C.
- 606 Y. Y. Carbon nanotubes enhance permeability of ultrathin polyamide rejection layers. J.
- 607 Membr. Sci. **2019**, 570-571, 139-145.
- [30] Morales-Torres, S.; Esteves, C. M. P.; Figueiredo, J. L.; Siva, A. M. T. Thin-film
- 609 composite forward osmosis membranes based on polysulfone supports blended with
- nanostructured carbon materials. J. Membr. Sci. **2016**, 520, 326-336.

- 611 [31] Zhou, Z. Y.; Hu, Y. X.; Boo, C. H.; Liu, Z. Y.; Li, J. Q.; Deng, L. Y.; An, X. C. High-
- 612 performance thin-film composite membrane with an ultrathin spray-coated carbon
- nanotube interlayer. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. **2018**, 5, 243–248.
- [32] Li, D.; Yan, Y. S.; Wang, H. T. Recent advances in polymer and polymer composite
 membranes for reverse and forward osmosis processes. Prog. Polym. Sci. 2016, 61, 104155.
- [33] Zhang, X. Y.; Tian, J. Y.; Gao, S. S.; Shi, W. X.; Zhang, Z. B.; Cui, F. Y.; Zhang, S. M.;
- Guo, S. N.; Yang, X. N.; Xie, H.; Liu, D. M. Surface functionalization of TFC FO membranes
- with zwitterionic polymers: Improvement of antifouling and salt-responsive cleaning
 properties. J. Membr. Sci. 2017, 544, 368-377.
- [34] Fang, L. F.; Cheng, L.; Jeon, S.; Wang, S. Y.; Takahashi, T.; Matsuyama, H. Effect of
- the supporting layer structures on antifouling properties of forward osmosis membranes in
 AL-DS mode. J. Membr. Sci. **2018**, 552, 265-273.
- [35] Shi, Z.; Chen, X. J.; Wang, X. W.; Zhang, T.; Jin, J. Fabrication of superstrong ultrathin
 free-standing single-walled carbon nanotube films via a wet process. Adv. Funct. Mater.
- 626 **2011**, **21**, **4358–4363**.
- [36] Zhang, X. Y.; Tian, J. Y.; Gao, S. S.; Zhang, Z. B.; Cui, F. Y.; Tang, C. Y. In situ surface
 modification of thin film composite forward osmosis membranes with sulfonated
 poly(arylene ether sulfone) for anti-fouling in emulsified oil/water separation. J. Membr. Sci.
 2017, 527, 26-34.
- [37] Yang, Z.; Saeki, D.; Matsuyama, H. Zwitterionic polymer modification of polyamide
 reverse-osmosis membranes via surface amination and atom transfer radical
 polymerization for anti-biofouling. J. Membr. Sci. **2018**, 550, 332-339.
- [38] Yip, N. Y.; Elimelech, M. Influence of natural organic matter fouling and osmotic
 backwash on pressure retarded osmosis energy production from natural salinity gradients.
- 636 Environ. Sci. Technol. **2013**, 47, 12607–12616.
- 637 [39] Sun, H. COMPASS: An ab Initio Force-Field Optimized for Condensed-Phase
- 638 Applications-Overview with Details on Alkane and Benzene Compounds. J. Phys. Chem.
- 639 B, **1998**, 102, 7338-7364.
- [40] Sun, H.; Ren, P.; Fried, J. R. The COMPASS force field: parameterization and
 validation for phosphazenes. Comput. Theor. Polm. S. **1998**, 8, 229-246.
- [41] Sun, H.; Jin, Z.; Yang, C. W.; Akkermans, R. L. C.; Robertson, S. H.; Spenley, N. A.;
- Miller, S.; Todd, S. M. COMPASS II: extended coverage for polymer and drug-like molecule

- 644 databases, J.Mol. Model. **2016**, 22, 47.
- 645 [42] Ong, C. S.; Al-anzi, B.; Lau, W. J.; Goh, P. S.; Lai, G. S.; Ismail, A. F.; Ong, Y. S. Anti-

fouling double-skinned forward osmosis membrane with zwitterionic brush for oily
wastewater treatment. Scientific Reports **2017**, 7, 6904.

- [43] Haraguchi, K.; Ning, J. Y.; Li, G. Swelling/deswelling behavior of zwitterionic
 nanocomposite gels consisting of sulfobetaine polymer-clay networks. Eur. Polym. J. 2015,
 68, 630-640.
- [44] Zhang, L.; Cheng, L.; Wu, H. C.; Yoshioka, T.; Matsuyama, H. One-step fabrication of
 robust and anti-oil-fouling aliphatic polyketone composite membranes for sustainable and
 efficient filtration of oil-in-water emulsions. J. Mater. Chem. A, **2018**, 6,24641-24650.
- [45] Mőckel, D.; Staude, E.; Dal-Cin, M.; Darcovich, K.; Guiver, M. Tangential flow
 streaming potential measurements: Hydrodynamic cell characterization and zeta potentials
 of carboxylatedpolysulfone membranes. J. Membr. Sci. **1998**, 145, 211-222.
- [46] Ariza, M. J.; Benavente, J. Streaming potential along the surface of polysulfone
 membranes: A comparative study between two different experimental systems and
 determination of electrokinetic and adsorption parameters. J. Membr. Sci.2001, 190, 119132.
- [47] Jiang, S.; Cao, Z. Ultralow-fouling, functionalizable, and hydrolyzable zwitterionic
 materials and their derivatives for biological applications. Adv. Mater. 2010, 22 (9), 920–32.
 [48] Guo, S. S.; Jańczewski, D.; Zhu, X. Y.; Quintana, R.; He, T.; Neoh, K. G. Surface
 charge control for zwitterionic polymer brushes: Tailoring surface properties to antifouling
- 665 applications. J. Colloid Interf. Sci. **2015**, 452, 43-53.
- [49] Zhang, X.; Shen, L.; Guan, C. Y.; Liu, C. X.; Lang, W. Z.; Wang, Y. Construction of
 SO₂@MWNTs incorporated PVDF substrate for reducing internal concentration
 polarization in forward osmosis. J. Membr. Sci. **2018**, 564, 328-341.
- [50] Krishnan, S.; Weinman, C. J.; Ober, C. K. Advances in polymers for anti-biofouling
- 670 surfaces. J. Mater. Chem., **2008**,18, 3405-3413
- [51] Laughlin, R. G. Fundamentals of the zwitterionic hydrophilic group. Langmuir **1991**, 7,
 842–847.
- [52] He, M.; Gao, K.; Zhou, L.; Jiao, Z.; Wu, M.; Cao, J.; You, X.; Cai, Z.; Su, Y.; Jiang, Z.
- 674 Zwitterionic materials for antifouling membrane surface construction. Acta Biomater. 2016,
 675 40, 142–152.
- [53] Banerjee, I.; Pangule, R. C.; Kane, R. S. Antifouling coatings: Recent developments

- 677 in the design of surfaces that prevent fouling by proteins, bacteria, and marine organisms.
- 678 Adv. Mater. **2011**, 23, 690–718.
- 679 [54] Guo, W.; Ngo, H.H.; Li, J. A mini-review on membrane fouling. Bioresour. Technol.
 680 **2012**, 122, 27–34.
- [55] Roosjen, A.; van der Mei, H. C.; Busscher, H. J.; Norde, W. Microbial adhesion to
- 682 poly(ethylene oxide) brushes: Influence of polymer chain length and temperature.
- 683 Langmuir **2004**, **20**, **10949–10955**.
- [56] Mi, B.; Elimelech, M. Chemical and physical aspects of organic fouling of forward
 osmosis membranes. J. Membr. Sci. 2008, 320,292–302.
- [57] Li, Q.; Elimelech, M. Organic fouling and chemical cleaning of nanofiltration
- membranes: Measurements and mechanisms. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2004, 38,
 4683-4693.