

Citation for published version: Jones, M, Franklin, BD, Raynor, DKT & Watson, M 2019, 'User testing to improve the content, wording, structure and formatting of the NHS Injectable Medicines Guide', *International Journal of Pharmacy Practice*, vol. 27, no. S2, pp. 45-46. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpp.12533

DOI: 10.1111/ijpp.12533

Publication date: 2019

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Jones, M, Franklin, BD, Raynor, DKT & Watson, M 2019, 'User testing to improve the content, wording, structure and formatting of the NHS Injectable Medicines Guide', International Journal of Pharmacy Practice, vol. 27, no. S2, pp. 45-46, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpp.12533. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

University of Bath

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



User testing to improve the content, wording, structure and formatting of the NHS Injectable Medicines Guide

Matthew D Jones¹, Bryony Dean Franklin², DK Theo Raynor^{3,4}, Margaret Watson¹

¹University of Bath. ²UCL School of Pharmacy. ³University of Leeds. ⁴Luto Research, Leeds.

Background & aim

- The NHS Injectable Medicines Guide (IMG) is used by nurses in >100 hospitals to guide the preparation & administration of IV medicines.
- Surveys suggest users find it too detailed & confusing¹.

User testing methods

- We recruited 30 nurses from three hospitals who regularly administer IV medicines.
- These nurses tested existing IMG guides for voriconazole & aminophylline (renamed bathicillin & unimycin) via 3 iterative rounds of 10 interviews, each followed by guide revision.
- This may make it difficult to find relevant, unambiguous information & could lead to serious medication errors.
- We aimed to identify & resolve problems in two typical IMG guides via user testing².

Results

- Each interview included direct questions that we scored to determine whether each participant could find & understand 17 key points of information (KPIs, Table 1).
- Open questions then explored views on guide content & format (analysed thematically).
- The study was approved by the University of Bath Research Ethics Approval Committee for Health (EP 17/18 126) & the Health Research Authority (IRAS 235214).
- The number of participants able to find & understand KPIs increased following revisions made between successive rounds of user testing (Figure 1 & Table 1).
- These improvements were the result of multiple changes to the content, wording, structure & formatting of the guides (Figure 2)



M.D.Jones@bath.ac.uk

@MatthewJonesUoB

ΡΙ	Drug	Торіс
4	Voriconazole Aminophy	Pre-treatment monitoring
5		Volume of dilution solutions
7		Volume of drug solution containing dose
9		Infusion rate
0		Methods of administration
1		Infusion rate
2		Responding to adverse effects

Anaphylactoid type reactions (including flushing, fever, sweating, tachycardia, chest tightness, dyspnoea, faintness,

dissolved and no particles are visible

nausea, pruritus, rash), visual disturbances, peripheral oedema, rash, pyrexia, headache, abdominal pain and vomiting.⁽¹⁾

Figure 2: Representative revisions made to the IMG over the

3 rounds of testing. Numerous other changes were made,

including greater use of bullet points & the active voice

Conclusions

- The original guides performed poorly for several important KPIs.
- The user testing process improved guide performance in the interview context.
- An on-going randomised in situ simulation study will determine whether the user tested guide results in fewer preparation & administration errors in a ward environment.

References

- 1. Erskine *et al.* An assessment of the information provided to support healthcare staff to administer injectable medicines. UK Medicines Information Practice Development Seminar 2012.
- 2. Raynor et al. Ther Innov Regul Sci. 2013 48(2):255-65.

Matthew Jones is funded by a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Transitional Research Fellowship for this research project.

This poster presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views expressed are those of the authors & not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health & Social Care.

Margaret Watson was funded by a Health Foundation Improvement Science Fellowship.

FUNDED BY

