

Memory functions and focussed attention in middle-aged and elderly subjects are unaffected by a low, acute dose of caffeine

Citation for published version (APA):

Schmitt, J. A. J., Hogervorst, E., Vuurman, E. F. P. M., Jolles, J., & Riedel, W. J. (2003). Memory functions and focussed attention in middle-aged and elderly subjects are unaffected by a low, acute dose of caffeine. *Journal of Nutrition Health & Aging*, 7(5), 301-303.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2003

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

MEMORY FUNCTIONS AND FOCUSED ATTENTION IN MIDDLE-AGED AND ELDERLY SUBJECTS ARE UNAFFECTED BY A LOW, ACUTE DOSE OF CAFFEINE

J.A.J. SCHMITT¹, E. HOGERVORST², E.F.P.M. VUURMAN¹, J. JOLLES¹, W.J. RIEDEL¹

¹Experimental Psychopharmacology Unit, Brain and Behaviour Institute, Universiteit Maastricht, Maastricht, The Netherlands ; ²Department of Pharmacology and Radcliffe Infirmary Trust, OPTIMA, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom. Address correspondence: Jeroen A.J. Schmitt, MSc PhD, Experimental Psychopharmacology Unit, Dept. of Psychiatry & Neuropsychology (DRT10), Universiteit Maastricht, PO Box 616, 6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands, Telephone : +31 43 3871449, Fax : +31 43 3884096, E-mail : j.schmitt@np.unimaas.nl

Abstract: The putative beneficial effects of caffeine on cognitive performance may vary between ages. The acute cognitive effects of 100 g caffeine on memory functions and focussed attention were investigated in sixteen middle-aged (45-60 years) and fourteen elderly (60-75 years) healthy men and women according to a cross-over design. Caffeine did not affect short-term memory span or speed, long-term memory retrieval functions or focussed attention. It is proposed that in middle-aged and elderly subjects cognitive effects may occur predominantly at higher caffeine dosages.

Keywords : Caffeine, cognition, memory, attention, age, caffeine-withdrawal

Introduction

Despite several decades of extensive experimental research, the precise effects of caffeine on cognitive functioning remain rather elusive. Although there are some indications that caffeine, under certain conditions, can influence specific functions, for example long-term memory (1), it appears that the influence of caffeine on cognition is primarily mediated by its arousal-enhancing properties (2). As a result, caffeine's effects are most pronounced when performance is somehow degraded due to a lowering of energetic resources for example due to fatigue, sleep deprivation, prolonged mental activity or use sedating psychoactive compounds.

Caffeine's cognitive effects may interact with age. Energetic resources diminish with increasing age as well as the speed of information processing (3). These mechanisms are thought to underlie at least part of the cognitive impairments seen in the aging individual. A mild stimulant such as caffeine may therefore be useful in counteracting age-related cognitive decline. The cognition enhancing effects of caffeine have been found to be more pronounced in elderly subjects (e.g. (4)), although age-related differences in the cognitive effects of caffeine were not always apparent (5).

An potential confounder in studies on the differential effects of caffeine across age-groups is habitual caffeine consumption. Caffeine intake typically increases in early adulthood, peaks during middle-age and subsequently declines with old age (6). A high habitual consumption in, for example, middle-aged groups may render such individuals particularly vulnerable to withdrawal effects, including reduced cognitive performance, in a placebo condition (7).

The current study was designed to investigate the differential

cognitive effects of a low dose (100 g) of caffeine in middle-aged versus elderly healthy subjects. In order to avoid possible confounding by differences in habitual caffeine intake, the two age-groups had similar levels of habitual caffeine consumption.

Materials and methods

Sixteen middle-aged subjects, aged 46 to 60 years, and 14 old subjects, aged 60 to 74 years were recruited through newspaper advertisements (see table 1 for subject characteristics). All participants reported to feel healthy, did not use any medication or drugs liable to influence cognitive functioning or excessive amounts of alcohol (≥ 5 drinks/day). Age-groups were matched for sex, intelligence and habitual caffeine consumption. Habitual caffeine intake in milligrams was calculated on the reported weekly consumption of caffeine-containing coffee (85 mg/ 150 ml), tea (30 mg/ 150 ml) and soft drinks (20 mg/ 150 ml), in cups (150ml), mugs (175 ml), glasses (150 ml) or cans (330 ml). The subjects gave a written informed consent prior to participation.

The study was conducted according to a double-blind, cross-over design. Treatment orders were balanced over test days. One week before the experiment, the subjects underwent a practice session. Subjects were not allowed to consume caffeine or alcohol containing beverages starting on evening before each test day. On the test days the subjects received a cup of decaffeinated coffee with or without 100 g added caffeine, which they finished within 5 minutes.

Cognitive testing took place thirty minutes after finishing the drink. The cognitive test battery consisted of a 15-word learning test to assess short-term and long-term memory (8), digit span to measure short-term memory span (8), the Stroop

CAFFEINE, AGE AND COGNITION

Table 1

Subject characteristics (mean and standard deviation in brackets) of Age, Level of Occupational Ability (LOA), Habitual Caffeine consumption in mg / day (incl. tea and cola).

	Middle aged	Old
Age	54.6 (3.7)	67.7 (5.1)
LOA (1-7)	4.1 (1.8)	4.5 (1.3)
Caffeine (mg/day)	427 (180)	349 (175)
N	16	14

Discussion

In this study a acute dose of 100 g caffeine, comparable with

one to two cups of coffee, did not significantly affect cognitive performance in middle-aged or elderly subjects. Although

doses of caffeine below 100 mg have been reported to facilitate cognitive performance, including attention and memory (1),

these effects were observed in relatively young subjects (<40 years). It has been proposed that older subjects benefit most

from higher doses, particularly with regard to relatively complex cognitive functions (6). Studies that compared the

effects of acute caffeine dosages of 200 to 250 mg in young and elderly subjects have revealed that these dosages improve

choice reaction time, continuous and focussed attention, symbol copying, learning and working memory functions

predominantly in the elderly age-groups, but not in young subjects (4, 7, 10). In elderly, higher caffeine dosages may

attenuate age-related arousal decrements, whereas young individuals may be more susceptible to caffeine-induced

overactivation as they are already operating closely to an optimal arousal level under normal conditions (6).

In the current study, the level of cognitive performance was not different between the middle-aged and elderly age-groups. Although this may be attributable to the fact that the age-groups

had adjacent age-ranges, it is also possible that the older group inadvertently consisted of a special sub-group of so-called 'successful aging individuals' who experience little of no

measurable cognitive deficits, perhaps caused by excluding those subjects who suffer from health problems. This may have complicated the detection of differential caffeine effects

between age-groups. It must also be noted that separate age-

Test to assess focussed attention (8) and a memory scanning

term memory scanning and perceptual processing. The outcome variables were analysed with a repeated

measures Analysis of Variance according to a 2 (Treatment: caffeine 100mg / placebo) x 2 (Age-group: middle-aged / old) x

2 (Treatment order: caffeine-placebo, placebo-caffeine) factorial model. The additional within-subjects factors Memory

load (2 letter, 4 letters) and Stimulus quality (degraded, non-degraded) were included in the analysis of the Memory

Scanning task. Since habitual caffeine intake was not entirely identical in

both age-groups (table 1) this variable was included as covariate in the analyses. In order to test the effect of habitual

use on the effect of Treatment and the interaction between Age-group and Treatment, individual differences in performance in

the caffeine and placebo conditions were calculated. Differences were then analysed using a one-way analysis of co-

variance with Age-group as main factor and habitual caffeine intake as covariate.

Results

The results of the cognitive assessments are summarised in table 2. Performance on the 15-word learning test, digit span, memory scanning task and Stroop Test did not differ between

age-groups. Task performance was also not affected by caffeine administration, except for one subtask of the Stroop Test.

Caffeine reduced the time needed to complete Stroop card II (color naming) in both age groups (main treatment effect: $F_{1,28}=4.50, p<0.05$). None of the analyses showed an age-group

by treatment interaction. Treatment order did not influence the main effects of treatment or age-group, or their interactions.

In the Memory Scanning Task the expected effects of task difficulty were observed: responses slowed as memory load increased (main effect of Memory load: $F_{1,28}=79.53, p<0.001$)

and responses were slower for degraded stimuli as compared to non-degraded stimuli (main effect of Stimulus Quality: $F_{1,28}=6.88, p<0.05$).

Covariance analysis to establish the effect of habitual caffeine intake revealed that habitual caffeine explained a significant amount of variance of the main effect of Age-group on Digit span performance. However, correction for habitual caffeine intake did not alter the results, i.e. the effect of Age-

group remained non-significant. Results of other cognitive assessments were unaffected by the inclusion of habitual caffeine intake as covariate in the analyses.

THE JOURNAL OF NUTRITION, HEALTH & AGING©

Table 2

Means (standard deviations) of the outcome variables of the cognitive assessments, broken down by age-group and treatment. Results printed in *italic* show a main effect of caffeine.

Measure	MIDDLE-AGED		OLD	
	caffeine	placebo	caffeine	placebo
Word Learning Test				
trial 1 (# words)	6.9 (2.1)	6.6 (2.1)	6.4 (1.7)	6.4 (1.5)
Immediate recall (# words)	28.8 (6.0)	28.1 (5.9)	26.4 (5.7)	26.3 (5.3)
Delayed recall (# words)	9.6 (2.8)	9.2 (2.8)	8.3 (2.8)	8.5 (2.9)
Digit Span (# digits)	5.6 (1.2)	5.5 (0.8)	5.7 (1.4)	5.6 (1.1)
Stroop Colour Word Test				
card I (sec)	43.4 (5.5)	44.8 (6.0)	44.4 (6.7)	44.8 (6.3)
card II (sec)	54.4 (8.8)	57.4 (9.1)	54.9 (10.8)	55.9 (10.4)
card III (sec)	84.5 (15.3)	87.9 (15.0)	90.4 (23.2)	91.0 (22.0)
Interference (%)	72.4 (18.8)	71.7 (17.5)	81.5 (33.9)	80.4 (31.0)
Total number of errors				
Memory Scanning				
Memory load 2 letters				
Degraded stimuli RT	567 (64)	585 (64)	620 (150)	587 (62)
Non-degraded stimuli RT	562 (56)	567 (73)	594 (61)	578 (78)
Memory load 4 letters				
Degraded stimuli RT	726 (150)	681 (116)	720 (91)	717 (92)
Non-degraded stimuli RT	721 (191)	655 (100)	669 (95)	663 (90)

References

- Smith, A., Effects of caffeine on human behavior. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 2002, 40: p. 1243-1255.
- Smith, B.D., K. Tola, and M. Mann, Caffeine and arousal: A biobehavioral theory of physiological, behavioral, and emotional effects, in *Caffeine and behavior. Current views and research trends.*, B.S. Gupta and U. Gupta, Editors. 1999, CRC press: Boca Raton.
- Salthouse, T.A., *Mechanisms of age-cognition relations in adulthood*. 1992: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Rees, K., D. Allen, and M. Lader, The influences of age and caffeine on psychomotor and cognitive function. *Psychopharmacology*, 1999, 145(2): p. 181-8.
- Amendola, C.A., J.D.E. Gabrieli, and H.R. Leberman, Caffeine's effects on performance and mood are independent of age and gender. *Nutritional neuroscience*, 1998, 1: p. 269-280.
- Van Boxtel, M.P. and J.A.J. Schmitt, Age-related changes in the effects of coffee on memory and cognitive performance, in *Coffee, Tea, Chocolate and the Brain*, A. Nehlig, Editor. in press, Taylor & Francis: London.
- Hogervorst, E., et al., Caffeine improves memory performance during distraction in middle-aged, but not in young or old subjects. *Human Psychopharmacology Clinical And Experimental*, 1998, 13(4): p. 277-284.
- Lezak, M.D., *Neuropsychological Assessment*. 3rd ed. 1995, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Sternberg, S., *Memory scanning: mental processes revealed by reaction time experiments*. *American Scientist*, 1969, 57(4): p. 421-457.
- Swift, C.G. and B. Tiplady, The effects of age on the response to caffeine. *Psychopharmacology*, 1988, 94(1): p. 29-31.