

1 **Comparison of reduced-intensity conditioning regimens in patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia**
2 **> 45 years undergoing allogeneic stem cell transplantation – a retrospective study by the Acute**
3 **Leukemia Working Party of EBMT**

4 Zinaida Peric¹, Myriam Labopin², Christophe Peczynski², Emmanuelle Polge², Jan Cornelissen³, Ben
5 Carpenter⁴, Mike Potter⁵, Ram Malladi⁶, Jenny Byrne⁷, Harry Schouten⁸, Nathalie Fegueux⁹, Gerard
6 Socié¹⁰, Montserrat Rovira¹¹, Jurgen Kuball¹², Maria Gilleece¹³, Sebastian Giebel¹⁴, Arnon Nagler¹⁵,
7 Mohamad Mohty¹⁶

8
9 1 University Hospital Centre Zagreb, School of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

10 2 EBMT Paris study office / CEREST-TC, Saint Antoine Hospital, INSERM UMR 938, University Pierre et
11 Marie Curie, Paris, France

12 3 Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

13 4 University College London Hospital, London, United Kingdom

14 5 Royal Marsden Hospital, London, United Kingdom

15 6 University Hospital Birmingham NHS Trust, Queen Elizabeth Medical Centre, Edgbaston, Birmingham,
16 United Kingdom

17 7 Nottingham University Hospital, Nottingham, United Kingdom

18 8 University Hospital Maastricht, Maastricht, The Netherlands

19 9 University Hospital Centre Lapeyronie, Montpellier, France

20 10 Hospital St. Louis, AP-HP, University of Paris, Paris, France

21 11 Hospital Clinic, Institute of Hematology & Oncology, Barcelona, Spain

22 12 University Medical Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands

23 13 Leeds Teaching Hospitals Trust, Leeds, United Kingdom

24 14 Maria Sklodowska-Curie Institute – Oncology Center, Gliwice Branch, Gliwice, Poland

25 15 Hematology Division, Chaim Sheba Medical Centre, Tel-Hashomer, Israel and EBMT Paris study
26 office/CEREST-TC, Saint Antoine Hospital, INSERM UMR 938, University Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris,
27 France

28 16 Saint Antoine Hospital, University Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France

29

30

31 * Correspondence and reprint requests: Zinaida Peric, MD, PhD, University Hospital Centre Zagreb,
32 School of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Kispaticeva 12, Zagreb, Croatia, phone number: +385 99 845
33 0771

34

35 e-mail address: zinaida.peric@mef.hr

36

37

38

39

40

41 **Financial disclosure statement**

42 All authors declare no support from any organization for the submitted work; no financial relationships
43 with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work; no other relationships or
44 activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72 **Abstract**

73 The optimal reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) for patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)
74 undergoing allogeneic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) remains unclear. We retrospectively
75 analyzed 417 patients > 45 years with ALL in first complete remission who underwent a matched-sibling
76 or unrelated allo-HSCT and compared outcomes between fludarabine/busulfan (FLUBU, n=127),
77 fludarabine/melphalan (FLUMEL, n=190) and fludarabine-TBI (FLUTBI, n=100) conditioning. At 2 years,
78 there were no differences between the groups in terms of cumulative incidence (CI) of relapse (40% for
79 FLUBU vs 36% for FLUMEL vs 41% for FLUTBI, p=0.21); transplant-related mortality (TRM) (18% for
80 FLUBU, 22% for FLUMEL, 14% for FLUTBI, p=0.09); overall survival (OS) (55% for FLUBU, 50% for
81 FLUMEL, 60% for FLUTBI, p=0.62) or leukemia-free survival (LFS) (43% for FLUBU, 42% for FLUMEL, 45%
82 for FLUTBI, p=0.99), but GVHD-relapse-free survival (GFRS) was significantly lower in the FLUTBI group
83 than FLUBU and FLUMEL group (18% vs 35% vs 28%, p=0.02). However, this difference was lost in the
84 multivariate analysis when adjusted for the in vivo T-cell depletion. Finally, the FLUMEL regimen was
85 shown to be an independent risk factor for a higher TRM (HR 1.97, 95% CI 1.05-3.72, p=0.04). We
86 conclude that the 3 most popular RIC regimens yield similar transplant outcomes.

87 **Key words:** ALL, reduced-intensity, allo-HSCT, retrospective, outcome

88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104

105 **Introduction**

106 Long-term outcomes of older adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) remain poor, with an
107 estimated 5-year leukemia-free survival (LFS) of approximately 30-40% (1-3). These results have been
108 obtained with chemotherapy alone and are partly due to the inability of older adults to tolerate
109 intensive regimens used in pediatric and young adult populations. The use of conventional
110 myeloablative allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) has been shown to
111 improve survival rates in adults by 45-75% (4,5). However, transplant-related mortality (TRM) after
112 myeloablative allo-HSCT is substantial, ranging between 33 and 58% (6), increases with age, and is
113 higher for adults with impaired performance status (7,8). In such patients, reduced intensity
114 conditioning (RIC) may offer the chance of a potentially curative strategy by obtaining a graft-versus-
115 leukemia effect without the associated toxicities of myeloablative conditioning (MAC). On the other
116 hand, the risk of relapse after RIC regimens may be greater than that after MAC regimens (8-10).

117 Although several RIC regimens have been developed over the last decades, their cytotoxic and
118 immunosuppressive effects are different, and this may influence transplant outcome. However, to date
119 there have been no large prospective studies comparing outcomes of different RIC regimens in patients
120 with acute leukemias, and the optimal RIC regimen in allo-HSCT remains unclear. The most widely used
121 RIC regimens are fludarabine with intermediate doses of busulfan (6.4 mg/kg), fludarabine with
122 intermediate doses of melphalan (140 mg/m²) and fludarabine with low-dose total-body irradiation (TBI,
123 2 Gy). Several retrospective studies have compared these regimens, but with contradictory results
124 (11,12). This is probably due to small population numbers, different diseases being analyzed together
125 and neither age limit for enrollment nor dosage of drugs in regimens being fixed. Furthermore, these
126 studies focused mostly on acute myeloid leukemia and included only small numbers of ALL patients.

127 We therefore took advantage of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (EBMT)
128 dataset, and retrospectively compared outcomes of these three most popular RIC conditioning regimens
129 following allo-HSCT from a matched sibling donor or an unrelated donor in a large homogeneous
130 population of ALL patients aged 45 years or older undergoing transplant in first complete remission
131 (CR1).

132

133

134

135 **Patients and methods**

136 **Study design and data collection**

137 This is a registry based retrospective study. Data were provided and the study design was approved by
138 the Acute Leukemia Working Party (ALWP) of the EBMT group registry, in accordance with the EBMT
139 guidelines for retrospective studies. The EBMT is a voluntary working group of more than 600 transplant
140 centers which are required to report all consecutive stem cell transplantations and follow-ups once a
141 year. Audits are routinely performed to determine the accuracy of the data. Since 1990, patients have
142 been able to provide informed consent to authorize the use of their transplant information for research
143 purposes. The ALWP of the EBMT granted ethical approval for this study.

144 **Patient selection**

145 Patients were selected according to the following criteria: (1) aged 45 years and older at the time of
146 transplantation, (2) a diagnosis of ALL, with available data on the immunophenotype and Ph-positivity,
147 (3) in CR1 (4) initial allo-HSCT between 2005 and June 2016, (4) HLA-matched related or unrelated donor
148 (fully matched or mismatched at one HLA locus), (5) received peripheral blood hematopoietic stem cells
149 (PBSC), (6) underwent the RIC conditioning regimen. Patients who received a previous allo-HSCT or T-
150 depleted grafts were excluded. Indication for RIC allo-SCT depended on each center's policy. The RIC
151 regimen was defined as the use of fludarabine associated with intermediate doses of intravenous
152 busulfan (FLUBU, busulfan at 6.4 mg/kg), intermediate doses of melphalan (FLUMEL, melphalan at 140
153 mg/m²) or low-dose total body irradiation (FLUTBI; TBI at 2 Gy).

154 **Endpoints and definitions**

155 The primary endpoint was overall survival (OS). Secondary endpoints were cumulative incidences (CI) of
156 relapse, transplant-related mortality (TRM), acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease (GVHD),
157 leukemia-free survival (LFS) and graft-versus-host disease free, relapse-free survival (GRFS). Acute and
158 chronic GVHD were graded according to previously published criteria (13,14). OS was defined as the
159 probability of survival, TRM as death without evidence of relapse, LFS as survival with no evidence of
160 relapse or disease progression. GRFS was defined as survival with no previous grade III–IV acute GVHD,
161 no severe chronic GVHD and no relapse.

162

163 **Statistical analysis**

164 The main patient characteristics were compared using the Mann-Whitney test for quantitative variables
165 and chi-square test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. Probabilities of OS, LFS and GRFS were
166 estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method, and the differences between groups were compared using
167 the log-rank test. GVHD, relapse and TRM were calculated using the cumulative incidence method and
168 analyzed in a time-dependent fashion. Differences between groups were compared using the Gray's
169 test. For acute and chronic GVHD or relapse, death of the patient was considered as a competing risk of
170 the event. For TRM, the competing event was relapse. Factors differing between the groups in terms of
171 distribution and factors significantly associated with the outcome were included in the multivariate
172 analysis. Multivariate analyses were performed using the Cox proportional-hazard model. All tests were
173 two-sided and P values < 0.05 were considered as indicating a statistically significant association.
174 Analyses were performed using the R statistical software version 3.2.3 (available online at
175 <http://www.R-project.org>).

176

177 **Results**

178

179 **Patient characteristics**

180 A total of 417 patients was included in this study; 127 patients in the FLUBU group, 190 patients in the
181 FLUMEL group and 100 patients in the FLUTBI group. Patient characteristics of each group are
182 summarized in **Table 1**. The median follow-up of patients was significantly longer ($p=0.001$) in the
183 FLUTBI group (51 months, range, 34-69) than in the FLUBU group (35 months, range, 25-45) and FLUMEL
184 group (23 months, range, 20-26). Patients in the FLUBU group were significantly older (median 59 years,
185 range 45-71) than patients in the FLUMEL (median 54 years, range 45-74) and the FLUTBI (median 57
186 years, range 45-72) groups, ($p=0.001$). Incidence of Ph+ ALL was lower in the FLUMEL group compared
187 to FLUBU or FLUTBI groups (52% vs 69%, $p<0.001$). Most patients in the FLUBU group received ATG
188 (88%), while most of the FLUMEL patients received Campath (71%) as GVHD prophylaxis. Only 12% of
189 the patients received in vivo T-cell depletion in the FLUTBI group (11 ATG and 1 Campath). The rest of
190 the demographic and transplant characteristics were comparable between the 3 groups.

191

192

193

194 **OS, LFS, relapse and TRM**

195 At 2 years after transplantation, there was no significant differences in OS between the groups (**Figure**
196 **1A**, $p=0.62$) – namely; OS in the FLUBU group was 55%, (95%CI 45-65); 50% in the FLUMEL group (95%CI
197 42-59); and 60% in the FLUTBI group (95%CI 49-70). There was also no significant difference in LFS
198 between the groups ($p=0.99$); (**Figure 1B**); 43% in the FLUBU group (95%CI 33-52); 42% in the FLUMEL
199 group (95%CI 34-51) and 45% in the FLUTBI group (95%CI 35-56). Furthermore, there was no significant
200 difference in the CI of relapse between the groups as shown in **Figure 1C** ($p=0.21$); it was 40 % in the
201 FLUBU group (95%CI 30-49) at a median of 4.8 months (range, 1-49); 36% in the FLUMEL group (95%CI
202 28-44) at a median of 6 months (range, 2-32); and 41% in the FLUTBI group (95%CI 30-51) at a median of
203 3.7 months (range, 1-31). Finally, TRM was also comparable between the groups ($p=0.09$) (**Figure 1D**);
204 18% in the FLUBU group (95%CI 11-26); 22% in the FLUMEL group (95%CI 16-29) and 14% in the FLUTBI
205 group (95%CI, 8-22). The most frequent cause of death in all groups was relapse; 42% in the FLUBU
206 group, 41% in the FLUMEL group and 60% in the FLUTBI group followed by GVHD; 28% in the FLUBU
207 group, 14% in the FLUMEL group and 16% in the FLUTBI group. The CI of death associated with infection
208 was highest in the FLUMEL group (11%, 95%CI, 7-16), followed by the FLUBU group (7%, 95% CI, 3-13)
209 and lowest in the FLUTBI group (6%, 95% CI, 2-12).

210

211 **Acute and chronic GVHD, GFRS**

212 All groups had a similar CI of grade II-IV acute GVHD; 23% in the FLUBU group (95%CI 16-31), 27% in the
213 FLUMEL group (95%CI 20-33) and 32% in the FLUTBI group (95%CI 23-42) ($p=0.33$). However, the CI of
214 extensive chronic GVHD was significantly higher in the FLUTBI group (39%, 95%CI 29-50) in comparison
215 to FLUBU (16%, 95%CI 9-23) and FLUMEL group (12%, 95%CI 7-18) ($p=0.001$) (**Figure 1E**). This difference
216 resulted in significantly lower GFRS in the FLUTBI group (18%, 95%CI 10-26) compared to the FLUBU
217 (35%, 95%CI 25-44) and the FLUMEL groups (28%, 95%CI 20-36) ($p=0.02$) (**Figure 1F**).

218

219 **Multivariate analysis**

220 The results of multivariate analysis are shown in **Table 2**. On adjustment for patient-, disease- and
221 transplant related-factors that were different among groups, a worse OS was associated only with older
222 age (hazard ratio (HR) 1.56, 95% CI 1.21-2.03, $p=0.0007$) and female gender of patient (HR 0.67, 95% CI
223 0.49-0.93, $p=0.01$). Furthermore, decreased LFS was associated only with older age of patient (HR 1.57,

224 95% CI 1.23-2.00, p=0.0003). The CI of relapse was increased in older patients (HR 1.4, 95% CI 1.05-1.87,
225 p=0.02) and CMV positive patients. (HR 0.66, 95% CI 0.45-0.97, p=0.03). Finally, the TRM was higher in
226 the FLUMEL group (HR 1.97, 95% CI 1.05-3.71, p=0.04), as well as in older patients (HR 2.08, 95% CI 1.37-
227 3.15, p=0.0006) and patients receiving a transplant from an unrelated donor (HR 2.22, 95% CI 1.23-4.01,
228 p=0.008). On multivariate analysis, there were no differences in CI of chronic GVHD and GRFS between
229 the 3 conditioning regimens when adjusting for the use of in vivo T-cell depletion. The CI of chronic
230 GVHD was higher with the use of unrelated donors (HR 2.00, 95% CI 1.33-3.02, p=0.0008), while lower
231 for transplants from CMV positive donors (HR 0.66, 95% CI 0.45-0.98, p=0.04) and with the use of T-cell
232 depletion (HR 0.44, 95% CI 0.27-0.73, p=0.001). Finally, the only significant factor associated with lower
233 GRFS was older age of the patient (HR 1.53, 95% CI 1.23-1.90, p=0.0001).

234

235 **Discussion**

236 To our knowledge, this is the first study comparing outcomes of the most used RIC conditioning
237 regimens in adults with ALL. We compared RIC allo-HSCT after FLUBU, FLUMEL and FLUTBI conditioning
238 in 417 ALL patients in CR1 and found similar transplantation outcomes in terms of OS, LFS and relapse.
239 However, lack of in-vivo T-cell depletion with the FLUTBI regimen yielded more cGVHD and a lower
240 GRFS, while FLUMEL emerged as an independent predictor of TRM in the multivariate analysis.

241 Allo-HSCT in CR1 is still often offered to older adults with ALL who are not treated with pediatric-
242 inspired regimens. These patients are usually not eligible for MAC either, therefore many older adults
243 standardly undergo RIC allo-HSCT. This strategy is supported by several large retrospective studies,
244 which compared RIC vs MAC allo-HSCT in ALL patients and found a reduction of TRM in the RIC group
245 (7,8,15-17). Unfortunately, this did not translate into a significant difference in OS, due to the higher risk
246 of relapse in the RIC group. However, these studies included heterogeneous patient populations and a
247 wide variety of conditioning regimens which could confound true differences between conditioning
248 regimen intensity. This also raises the question of whether the choice of a RIC regimen could impact
249 long-term leukemic control differently and improve outcomes.

250 So far, the answer to this question has been based mostly on single institution studies reporting their
251 outcomes with RIC allo-HSCT (18-22). These studies were rather heterogeneous, included only a small
252 number of ALL patients or had looked at a variety of conditioning regimens, making results difficult to
253 interpret. However, two of these studies are worth mentioning as they reported impressive outcomes,

254 both with FLUMEL conditioning. The first study from the City of Hope group reported a 2-year OS of
255 61.5% in 24 ALL patients aged over 50 years, with compromised organ function or prior allo-HSCT, while
256 the Korean group reported a 3-year OS of 64% in 37 ALL patients with similar characteristics (18, 19).
257 Interestingly, this is in concordance with the results from a prospective UK NCRI UKALL14 study,
258 reporting a 2-year OS of 63% in 186 patients aged 40 years or older after a FLU-MEL-alemtuzumab
259 conditioning (23). We, on the other hand, analyzed a similarly large FLUMEL group of 190 patients and
260 found a 2-year OS of 50%, lower than OS in the FLUTBI (60%) and FLUBU group (55%) ($p=0.62$). Better
261 outcomes in previous studies are probably related to more uniformity in terms of conditions and better
262 selection of patients.

263 Previous retrospective comparisons between different RIC regimens were done mostly between
264 FLUMEL and FLUBU conditioning and almost exclusively in AML patients (24,25). In these large
265 cooperative group studies, relapse incidence was lower in FLUMEL conditioning, but again with
266 significantly higher TRM which led to similar OS in comparison to the FLUBU group. The only available
267 previous study including ALL patients that has compared RIC regimens is a subgroup analysis of the MAC
268 and RIC allo-HSCT comparison done by ALWP (8). Mohty et al. analyzed 43 FLUTBI, 23 FLUBU and 25
269 FLUMEL allo-HSCT in the RIC subgroup and reported comparable TRM and relapse at 2 years (23 vs. 18
270 vs. 23%, respectively for TRM, and 55 vs. 45 vs. 48%, respectively for relapse, $p = NS$). The incidences of
271 TRM were comparable in our study in the univariate analysis (14% vs 18% vs 22% in FLUTBI vs FLUBU vs
272 FLUMEL, respectively, $p=0.09$) but FLUMEL conditioning emerged as a risk factor for higher TRM in the
273 multivariate analysis.

274 One criticism of RIC regimens is that many of them do not include TBI, which is thought to reduce the
275 risk of CNS relapse in ALL (26). This finding is mostly based on MAC and RIC comparisons, where TBI is
276 usually added to MAC regimens (16, 26). Moreover, a recent large CIBMTR study comparing
277 myeloablative TBI- and busulfan-based regimens confirmed a protective role of TBI for relapse in a
278 multivariate analysis (27). Furthermore, a multi-centric study coordinated by the Fred Hutchinson
279 Cancer Research Center evaluated a FLUTBI RIC regimen in patients older than 50 years, with
280 comorbidities or prior transplantation and found a remarkable 3-year OS of 62% for patients in CR1 with
281 relapse ranging from 15% to 32% depending of the Ph+ status (20). This contrasts with our study where
282 the addition of TBI did not provide better anti-leukemic control since there was no significant difference
283 in relapse incidence between the FLUTBI group in comparison to FLUBU and FLUMEL groups (41% vs
284 40% vs 36%, $p=0.21$). However, the low dose of TBI used in this study (2Gy) may have been insufficient

285 to protect against CNS relapse and also we have previously shown that there is wide variation in TBI
286 delivery among the centers which leads to potential obstacles when analyzing TBI data (28,29).

287 PBSC is a common source of stem cells in RIC allo-HSCT and all patients in our study received PBSC.
288 Previous data comparing BM and PBSC in ALL RIC patients are lacking and the only data available are
289 from the AML setting or from analysis of AML and ALL together, with contradictory results. A large
290 Centre for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research (CIBMTR) study in AML patients found
291 no differences between BM and PBSC outcomes in RIC allo-HSCT (30). On the contrary, a previous EBMT
292 study of RIC-allo HSCT in AML and ALL patients, found higher OS, LFS and relapse incidence but at the
293 expense of more chronic GVHD after the use of PBSC compared to BM (31). In our study, the only
294 significant difference between RIC regimens was found in the incidence of chronic GVHD (significantly
295 higher in the FLUTBI compared to FLUBU and FLUMEL group; (39% vs 16% vs 12%, $p=0.001$). This led to a
296 significantly lower GRFS in the FLUTBI group but the difference was lost on multivariate analysis when
297 adjusted for the use of ATG or Campath, traditionally used in the FLUBU and FLUMEL conditioning. Most
298 of the patients in our study who received the FLUTBI regimen (88%) did not receive ATG or Campath,
299 and this highlights the importance of in-vivo T-cell depletion in RIC regimens, particularly when PBSCs
300 are used.

301 It is generally accepted that old age itself is not a contraindication for RIC allo-HSCT in patients with
302 good performance status. However, large registry studies have shown that, when stratified by age,
303 patients older than 66 years have higher rates of TRM and decreased OS (32). Of course, the older
304 population also has a worse performance status and more comorbidities which makes it difficult to
305 discern whether age or performance status contribute more to poorer outcomes. Nevertheless, in our
306 study increasing age emerged as the main risk factor for worse outcomes; it independently predicted
307 higher rates of TRM and relapse and lower OS, LFS and GRFS. Therefore, our results support the finding
308 that in older adults, age may still modify the impact of poor performance status, and transplant, even
309 with RIC, should be undertaken with caution.

310 Despite comparable outcomes between RIC regimens, the outcomes reported in our study are still
311 unsatisfactory, with comparable LFS of less than 50% in all groups (43% in FLUBU vs 42% in FLUMEL vs
312 45% in FLUTBI, $p=0.99$). This highlights the importance of developing strategies for preventing relapse
313 after allo-HSCT. Minimal residual disease (MRD) has been shown to be the strongest predictor of
314 outcome after allo-HSCT (33-37). Strategies to improve allo-HSCT outcome in MRD-positive patients

315 include pre-transplant elimination of MRD with potent new drugs such as blinatumomab (38), pre-
316 transplant adjustment of ATG doses based on lymphocyte counts (39), as well as post-transplant pre-
317 emptive donor-lymphocyte infusion (DLI) (40). A step further is the prevention of relapse in MRD-
318 negative high-risk patients and includes tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) maintenance therapy in Ph-
319 positive (41-43), or prophylactic DLI in Ph-negative patients. In relapsed patients, major improvements
320 have been made with bispecific and drug-conjugated antibodies (blinatumomab and inotuzumab
321 ozogamicin), while exciting new strategies include genetically-engineered T-lymphocytes - the chimeric
322 antigen receptor T-cells (CAR-T cells) (44-46).

323 Our analysis has some limitations, mainly due to its retrospective design and some significant
324 differences between populations' characteristics. Furthermore, it was not possible to provide the details
325 of comorbidities nor further information on MRD in patients before transplant, which could have
326 affected transplant outcomes. Nevertheless, this is the largest study of ALL patients receiving RIC allo-
327 HSCT reported so far, leading to some important conclusions.

328 In summary, the three most popular RIC preparative regimens (FLUBU, FLUMEL and FLUTBI) yield
329 similar transplantation outcomes in adults with ALL. However, FLUMEL conditioning seems to be
330 associated with higher transplant-related toxicity, while more chronic GVHD in the FLUTBI group is
331 mainly related to the low use of in-vivo T-cell depletion.

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345 **Acknowledgements:** Not applicable.

346

347 **Financial disclosure:** There are no financial disclosures to report.

348

349 **Conflict of interest statement:** The authors have no conflicts of interests to report.

350

351 **Authors' contributions:** Z.P. assembled and analyzed data and wrote the first version of the manuscript.

352 A.N., S.G. and M.M. designed the study, supervised research, analyzed data, and helped with writing the

353 manuscript. E.P., M.L. and C.P. assembled the data, performed statistical analysis and commented on

354 the manuscript. All other co-authors collected data, recruited patients and helped with writing the

355 manuscript. All authors approved submission of the manuscript for publication.

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380
381
382
383
384
385
386

387 **References**

- 388 1. Swaika A, Frank RD, Yang D, Finn LE, Jiang L, Advani P, et al. Second primary acute lymphoblastic
389 leukemia in adults: a SEER analysis of incidence and outcomes. *Cancer Med.* 2018;7(2):499–507.
- 390 2. Storrington JM, Minden MD, Kao S, Gupta V, Schuh AC, Schimmer AD, et al. Treatment of adults
391 with BCR-ABL negative acute lymphoblastic leukaemia with a modified paediatric regimen. *Br J*
392 *Haematol.* 2009;146(1):76–85.
- 393 3. Huguet F, Leguay T, Raffoux E, Thomas X, Beldjord K, Delabesse E, et al. Pediatric-inspired
394 therapy in adults with Philadelphia chromosome-negative acute lymphoblastic leukemia: the
395 GRAALL-2003 study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(6):911–918.
- 396 4. Goldstone AH, Richards SM, Lazarus HM, Tallman MS, Buck G, Fielding AK, et al. In adults with
397 standard-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia, the greatest benefit is achieved from a matched
398 sibling allogeneic transplantation in first complete remission, and an autologous transplantation
399 is less effective than conventional consolidation/maintenance chemotherapy in all patients: final
400 results of the International ALL Trial (MRC UKALL XII/ECOG E2993). *Blood.* 2008;111(4):1827–
401 1833.
- 402 5. Gokbuget N, Kneba M, Raff T, Trautmann H, Bartram CR, Arnold R, et al. Adult patients with
403 acute lymphoblastic leukemia and molecular failure display a poor prognosis and are candidates
404 for stem cell transplantation and targeted therapies. *Blood.* 2012;120(9):1868–1876.
- 405 6. Marks DI, Perez WS, He W, Zhang MJ, Bishop MR, Bolwell BJ, et al. Unrelated donor transplants
406 in adults with Philadelphia-negative acute lymphoblastic leukemia in first complete remission.
407 *Blood.* 2008;112(2):426–434.
- 408 7. Roth-Guepin G, Canaani J, Ruggeri A, Labopin M, Finke J, Cornelissen JJ, et al. Allogeneic stem
409 cell transplantation in acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients older than 60 years: a survey from
410 the acute leukemia working party of EBMT. *Oncotarget.* 2017;8(68):112972-112979.
- 411 8. Mohty M, Labopin M, Volin L, Gratwohl A, Socie G, Esteve J, et al. Reduced-intensity versus

- 412 conventional myeloablative conditioning allogeneic stem cell transplantation for patients with
413 acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a retrospective study from the European Group for Blood and
414 Marrow Transplantation. *Blood*. 2010;116(22):4439–4443.
- 415 9. Aoudjhane M, Labopin M, Gorin NC, Shimoni A, Ruutu T, Kolb HJ, et al. Comparative outcome of
416 reduced intensity and myeloablative conditioning regimen in HLA identical sibling allogeneic
417 haematopoietic stem cell transplantation for patients older than 50 years of age with acute
418 myeloblastic leukaemia: a retrospective survey from the Acute Leukemia Working Party (ALWP)
419 of the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (EBMT). *Leukemia*. 2005;19
420 (12):2304-2312.
- 421 10. Martino R, Iacobelli S, Brand R, Jansen T, van Biezen A, Finke J, et al. Retrospective comparison
422 of reduced-intensity conditioning and conventional high-dose conditioning for allogeneic
423 hematopoietic stem cell transplantation using HLA- identical sibling donors in myelodysplastic
424 syndromes. *Blood*. 2006; 108 (3):836-846.
- 425 11. Shimoni A, Hardan I, Shem-Tov N, Rand A, Herscovici C, Yerushalmi R, et al. Comparison
426 between two fludarabine-based reduced-intensity conditioning regimens before allogeneic
427 hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation: fludarabine/ melphalan is associated with higher
428 incidence of acute graft-versus-host disease and non-relapse mortality and lower incidence of
429 relapse than fludarabine/busulfan. *Leukemia*. 2007;21(10):2109-2116.
- 430 12. Damlaj M, Alkhateeb HB, Hefazi M, Partain DK, Hashmi S, Gastineau DA, et al. Fludarabine-
431 busulfan reduced-intensity conditioning in comparison with fludarabine-melphalan is associated
432 with increased relapse risk in spite of pharmacokinetic dosing. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*.
433 2016; 22 (8):1431-1439.
- 434 13. Glucksberg H, Storb R, Fefer A, Buckner CD, Neiman PE, Clift RA, et al. Clinical manifestations of
435 graft- versus-host disease in human recipients of marrow from HL-A- matched sibling donors.
436 Transplantation. 1974; 18 (4):295-304.
- 437 14. Filipovich AH, Weisdorf D, Pavletic S, Socie G, Wingard JR, Lee SJ, et al. National Institutes of
438 Health consensus development project on criteria for clinical trials in chronic graft-versus-host
439 disease: I. Diagnosis and staging working group report. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2005;
440 11(12):945-956.
- 441 15. Marks DI, Wang T, Perez WS, Antin JH, Copelan E, Gale RP, et al. The outcome of full-intensity
442 and reduced-intensity conditioning matched sibling or unrelated donor transplantation in adults

- 443 with Philadelphia chromosome-negative acute lymphoblastic leukemia in first and second
444 complete remission. *Blood*. 2010;116(3):366–374.
- 445 16. Bachanova V, Marks DI, Zhang MJ, Wang H, de Lima M, Aljurf MD, et al. Ph+ ALL patients in first
446 complete remission have similar survival after reduced intensity and myeloablative allogeneic
447 transplantation: impact of tyrosine kinase inhibitor and minimal residual disease. *Leukemia*.
448 2014;28(3):658–665.
- 449 17. Tanaka J, Kanamori H, Nishiwaki S, Ohashi K, Taniguchi S, Eto T, et al. Reduced-intensity vs
450 myeloablative conditioning allogeneic hematopoietic SCT for patients aged over 45 years with
451 ALL in remission: a study from the Adult ALL Working Group of the Japan Society for
452 Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation (JSHCT). *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2013;48(11):1389–1394.
- 453 18. Stein AS, Palmer JM, O'Donnell MR, Kogut NM, Spielberger RT, Slovak ML, et al. Reduced-
454 intensity conditioning followed by peripheral blood stem cell transplantation for adult patients
455 with high- risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2009;15(11):1407–
456 1414.
- 457 19. Cho BS, Lee S, Kim YJ, Chung NG, Eom KS, Kim HJ, et al. Reduced-intensity conditioning
458 allogeneic stem cell transplantation is a potential therapeutic approach for adults with high-risk
459 acute lymphoblastic leukemia in remission: results of a prospective phase 2 study. *Leukemia*.
460 2009;23(10):1763–1770.
- 461 20. Ram R, Storb R, Sandmaier BM, Maloney DG, Woolfrey A, Flowers MED, et al. Non-
462 myeloablative conditioning with allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for the treatment
463 of high-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2011;96(8): 1113–1120.
- 464 21. Massenkeil G, Nagy M, Neuburger S, Tamm I, Lutz C, le Coutre P, et al. Survival after reduced-
465 intensity conditioning is not inferior to standard high-dose conditioning before allogeneic
466 haematopoietic cell transplantation in acute leukaemias. *Bone Marrow Transplant*.
467 2005;36(8):683–689.
- 468 22. Hamaki T, Kami M, Kanda Y, Yuji K, Inamoto Y, Kishi Y, et al. Reduced-intensity stem-cell
469 transplantation for adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a retrospective study of 33 patients.
470 *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2005;35(6):549–556.
- 471 23. Okasha D, Kirkwood AA, Wrench B, Lawrie E, Zuborne Alapi K, Clifton-Hadley L, et al. Post-
472 induction MRD predicts high relapse risk following reduced intensity conditioned allogeneic
473 stem cel transplantation: a prospective study of adult ALL (UKALL 14, ISRCTN 66541317).

- 474 Haematologica. 2017;102 Suppl 2:326 (S802).
- 475 24. Baron F, Labopin M, Peniket A, Jindra P, Afanasyev B, Sanz MA, et al. Reduced-intensity
476 conditioning with fludarabine and busulfan versus fludarabine and melphalan for patients with
477 acute myeloid leukemia: a report from the Acute Leukemia Working Party of the European
478 Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Cancer*. 2015; 121 (7):1048-1055.
- 479 25. Kawamura K, Kako S, Mizuta S, Ishiyama K, Aoki J, Yano S, et al. Comparison of Conditioning with
480 Fludarabine/Busulfan and Fludarabine/Melphalan in Allogeneic Transplantation Recipients 50
481 Years or Older. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2017; 23(12):2079-2087.
- 482 26. Granados E, de La Camara R, Madero L, Diaz MA, Martin-Regueira P, Steegmann JL, et al.
483 Hematopoietic cell transplantation in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: better long-term event-free
484 survival with conditioning regimens containing total body irradiation. *Haematologica*.
485 2000;85(10):1060 – 1067.
- 486 27. Kebriaei P, Anasetti C, Zhang MJ, Wang HL, Aldoss I, de Lima M, et al. Intravenous busulfan
487 compared with total body irradiation pretransplant conditioning for adults with acute
488 lymphoblastic leukemia. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2018; 24 (4):726-733.
- 489 28. Giebel S, Miszczyk L, Slosarek K, Moukhtari L, Ciceri F, Esteve J, et al. Extreme heterogeneity of
490 myeloablative total body irradiation techniques in clinical practice: a survey of the Acute
491 Leukemia Working Party of the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Cancer*.
492 2014; 120(17):2760-2765.
- 493 29. Belkacemi Y, Labopin M, Giebel S, Loganadane G, Miszczyk L, Michallet M, et al. Single-Dose
494 Daily Fractionation Is Not Inferior to Twice-a-Day Fractionated Total-Body Irradiation Before
495 Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation for Acute Leukemia: A Useful Practice Simplification
496 Resulting From the SARASIN Study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2018;102(3):515-526.
- 497 30. Eapen M, Logan BR, Horowitz MM, Zhong X, Perales MA, Lee SJ, et al. Bone marrow or
498 peripheral blood for reduced-intensity conditioning unrelated donor transplantation. *J Clin
499 Oncol*. 2015;33(4):364–369.
- 500 31. Savani BN, Labopin M, Blaise D, Niederwieser D, Ciceri F, Ganser A, et al. Peripheral blood stem
501 cell graft compared to bone marrow after reduced intensity conditioning regimens for acute
502 leukemia: a report from the ALWP of the EBMT. *Haematologica*. 2016; 101(2):256-262.
- 503 32. Rosko A, Wang HL, de Lima M, Sandmaier B, Khoury HJ, Artz A, et al. Reduced intensity
504 conditioned allograft yields favorable survival for older adults with B-cell acute lymphoblastic

- 505 leukemia. *Am J Hematol*. 2017;92(1):42–49.
- 506 33. Bassan R, Spinelli O, Oldani E, Interemesoli T, Tosi M, Peruta B, et al. Improved risk classification
507 for risk-specific therapy based on the molecular study of minimal residual disease (MRD) in adult
508 acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). *Blood*. 2009;113(18):4153–4162.
- 509 34. Lussana F, Interemesoli T, Gianni F, Boschini C, Masciulli A, Spinelli O, et al. Achieving molecular
510 remission before allogeneic stem cell transplantation in adult patients with Philadelphia
511 chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia: impact on relapse and long-term outcome.
512 *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2016; 22 (11):1983–1987.
- 513 35. Nishiwaki S, Imai K, Mizuta S, Kanamori H, Ohashi K, Fukuda T, et al. Impact of MRD and TKI on
514 allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for Ph+ ALL: a study from the adult ALL WG of the
515 JSHCT. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2016;51(1):43–50.
- 516 36. Patel B, Rai L, Buck G, Richards SM, Mortuza Y, Mitchell W, et al. Minimal residual disease is a
517 significant predictor of treatment failure in non T-lineage adult acute lymphoblastic leukaemia:
518 final results of the international trial UKALL XII/ECOG2993. *Br J Haematol*. 2010;148(1):80–89.
- 519 37. Zhou Y, Slack R, Jorgensen JL, Wang SA, Rondon G, de Lima M, et al. The effect of peritransplant
520 minimal residual disease in adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia undergoing allogeneic
521 hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk*. 2014;14(4):319–326.
- 522 38. Gokbuget N, Zugmaier G, Klinger M, Kufer P, Stelljes M, Viardot A, et al. Long-term relapse-free
523 survival in a phase 2 study of blinatumomab for the treatment of patients with minimal residual
524 disease in B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2017;102(4):132–135.
- 525 39. Admiraal R, Nierkens S, de Witte MA, Petersen EJ, Fleurke GJ, Verrest L, et al. Association
526 between anti-thymocyte globulin exposure and survival outcomes in adult unrelated
527 haemopoietic cell transplantation: a multicentre, retrospective, pharmacodynamic cohort
528 analysis. *Lancet Haematol*. 2017;4 (4):183-191.
- 529 40. Matsue K, Tabayashi T, Yamada K, Takeuchi M. Eradication of residual bcr-abl-positive clones by
530 inducing graft-versus-host disease after allogeneic stem cell transplantation in patients with
531 Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lympho- blastic leukemia. *Bone Marrow Transplant*.
532 2002; 29(1):63-66.
- 533 41. Ribera JM, Oriol A, Morgades M, Montesinos P, Sarrà J, González- Campos J, et al. Treatment of
534 high-risk Philadelphia chromosome- negative acute lymphoblastic leukemia in adolescents and

- 535 adults according to early cytologic response and minimal residual disease after consolidation
536 assessed by flow cytometry: final results of the PETHEMA ALL-AR-03 trial. *J Clin Oncol.*
537 2014;32(15):1595–1604.
- 538 42. Pfeifer H, Wassmann B, Bethge W, Dengler J, Bornhauser M, Stadler M, et al. Randomized
539 comparison of prophylactic and minimal residual disease-triggered imatinib after allogeneic
540 stem cell transplantation for BCR-ABL1-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia.*
541 2013;27(6):1254–1262.
- 542 43. Giebel S, Czyz A, Ottmann O, Baron F, Brissot E, Ciceri F, et al. Use of tyrosine kinase inhibitors to
543 prevent relapse after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for patients with
544 Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a position statement of the
545 Acute Leukemia Working Party of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation.
546 *Cancer.* 2016;122(19):2941–2951.
- 547 44. Kantarjian H, Stein A, Gokbuget N, Fielding AK, Schuh AC, Ribera JM, et al. Blinatumomab versus
548 chemotherapy for advanced acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;376(9):836–847.
- 549 45. Kantarjian HM, DeAngelo DJ, Stelljes M, Martinelli G, Liedtke M, Stock W, et al. Inotuzumab
550 ozogamicin versus standard therapy for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *N Engl J Med.*
551 2016;375(8):740–53.
- 552 46. Maude SL, Frey N, Shaw PA, Aplenc R, Barrett DM, Bunin NJ, et al. Chimeric antigen receptor T
553 cells for sustained remissions in leukemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;371(16):1507–1517.

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590

TABLES

Table 1. Study population characteristics

Table 2. Multivariate analysis

FIGURES

Figure 1. Overall survival at 24 months **(A)**; 55% (95%CI 45-65) in the FLUBU group; 50% (95%CI 42-59) in the FLUMEL group; and 60% (95%CI 49-70) in the FLUTBI group (p=0.62);

Leukemia-free survival at 24 months **(B)**; 43% (95%CI 33-52) in the FLUBU group; 42% (95%CI 34-51) in the FLUMEL group and 45% (95%CI 35-56) in the FLUTBI group (p=0.99);

Cumulative incidence of relapse at 24 months **(C)**; 40% (95%CI 30-49) in the FLUBU group; 36% (95%CI 28-44) in the FLUMEL group; and 41% (95%CI 30-51) in the FLUTBI group (p=0.21);

Cumulative incidence of transplant-related mortality **(D)**; 18% (95%CI 11-26) in the FLUBU group; 22% (95%CI 16-29) in the FLUMEL group and 14% (95%CI, 8-22) in the FLUTBI group (p=0.09);

Cumulative incidence of extensive chronic GVHD **(E)**; 16% (95%CI 9-23) in the FLUBU group, 12% (95%CI 7-18) in the FLUMEL group and 39%, (95%CI 29-50) in the FLUTBI group (p=0.001).

GVHD-free-relapse-free survival at 24 months **(F)**; 35% (95%CI 25-44) in the FLUBU group; 28% (95%CI 20-36) in the FLUMEL group and 18% (95%CI 10-26) in the FLUTBI group (p=0.01);

Characteristic	FLUBU group n=127	FLUMEL group n=190	FLUTBI group n=100	p value
Median follow-up in months (range)	35 (25-45)	2 (20-26)	51 (34-69)	0.001
Patient age median (range)	59 (45-71)	54 (45-74)	57 (45-72)	<0.001
Year of Tx_median (range)	2012 (2007- 2016)	2013.5 (2006- 2016)	2011 (2005- 2016)	<0.001
Time from diagnosis to Tx in months, median (range)	6 (3-17)	6 (1-18)	6 (3-18)	0.17
Diagnosis B Ph-neg ALL B Ph-pos ALL T ALL	31 (24%) 88 (69%) 8 (6%)	48 (25%) 98 (52%) 44 (23%)	23 (23%) 66 (66%) 11 (11%)	<0.001
Donor Matched sibling Unrelated 10/10 Unrelated 9/10 missing	56 (49%) 45 (40%) 12 (11%) 14	71 (51%) 52 (38%) 15 (11%) 52	50 (54%) 32 (35%) 10 (11%) 8	0.97
Karnofsky score <90 >=90 missing	37 (31%) 83 (69%) 7	42 (24%) 130 (76%) 18	32 (39%) 51 (61%) 17	0.06
Patient gender male female	50 (39%) 77 (61%)	95 (50%) 95 (50%)	50 (50%) 50 (50%)	0.14
Donor gender male female missing	71 (57%) 54 (43%) 2	115 (61%) 72 (39%) 3	51 (51%) 48 (49%) 1	0.26
Patient CMV status negative positive missing	27 (28%) 71 (72%) 0	74 (40%) 113 (60%) 3	48 (38%) 79 (62%) 2	0.12
Donor CMV status negative positive missing	63 (51%) 60 (49%) 4	107 (58%) 79 (42%) 4	47 (47%) 52 (53%) 1	0.24
T-cell depletion in- vivo no	8 (6%)	34 (18%)	88 (88%)	

ATG	112 (88%)	21 (11%)	11 (11%)	
Campath	7 (6%)	135 (71%)	1 (1%)	<0.001

ALL-acute lymphoblastic leukemia, ATG-antithymocyte globulin

CMV-cytomegalovirus, TX -transplantation

Outcome	Variable	Hazard Ratio	95% Confidence interval	p-value
Overall survival	FLUBU (reference)	1		
	FLUMEL	1.33	0.85-2.08	0.21
	FLUTBI	0.87	0.46-1.66	0.67
	Age (per 10 years)	1.56	1.21-2.03	0.0007
	Year of Tx	1.01	0.95-1.07	0.87
	Time from diagnosis	0.99	0.94-1.06	0.88
	UD vs MSD	1.35	0.94-1.93	0.11
	Patient female	0.67	0.49-0.93	0.01
	Donor female	0.89	0.63-1.24	0.48
	Patient CMV positive	0.92	0.64-1.31	0.63
	Donor CMV positive	1.31	0.93-1.85	0.12
	TCD in-vivo centre	0.74	0.45-1.23	0.25
				0.09
Leukemia-free survival	FLUBU (reference)	1		
	FLUMEL	1.23	0.82-1.85	0.31
	FLUTBI	1.06	0.59-1.92	0.85
	Age (per 10 years)	1.57	1.23-2.01	0.0003
	Year of Tx	0.98	0.93-1.03	0.42
	Time from diagnosis	0.99	0.93-1.05	0.74
	UD vs MSD	1.05	0.76-1.45	0.78
	Patient female	0.82	0.61-1.1	0.19
	Donor female	0.88	0.65-1.2	1.43
	Patient CMV positive	0.78	0.57-1.08	0.14
	Donor CMV positive	1.36	0.99-1.86	0.06
	TCD in-vivo centre	0.91	0.57-1.45	0.69
				0.12
Cumulative incidence of relapse	FLUBU (reference)	1		
	FLUMEL	0.96	0.62-1.48	0.86
	FLUTBI	1.12	0.59-1.13	0.72
	Age (per 10 years)	1.4	1.05-1.87	0.02
	Year of Tx	0.98	0.92-1.05	0.57
	Time from diagnosis	1.01	0.94-1.08	0.86
	UD vs MSD	0.77	0.52-1.13	0.18
	Patient female	0.9	0.63-1.27	0.54
	Donor female	0.93	0.65-1.34	0.69
	Patient CMV positive	0.66	0.45-0.97	0.03
	Donor CMV positive	1.43	0.97-2.12	0.07
	TCD in-vivo centre	0.98	0.57-1.69	0.93
				0.23

Cumulative incidence of transplant-related mortality	FLUBU (reference)	1		
	FLUMEL	1.97	1.05-3.72	0.04
	FLUTBI	0.9	0.36-2.25	0.81
	Age (per 10 years)	2.08	1.37-1.52	0.0006
	Year of Tx	0.97	0.88-1.06	0.52
	Time from diagnosis	0.93	0.84-1.05	0.23
	UD vs MSD	2.22	1.23-4.01	0.008
	Patient female	0.67	0.41-1.10	0.11
	Donor female	0.96	0.57-1.61	0.88
	Patient CMV positive	1.16	0.67-2.014	0.59
	Donor CMV positive	1.39	0.82-2.34	0.22
	TCD in-vivo	0.87	0.43-1.79	0.71
	centre			0.27
GVHD-free-relapse-free survival	FLUBU (reference)	1		
	FLUMEL	1.23	0.86-1.75	0.25
	FLUTBI	1.25	0.77-2.02	0.37
	Age (per 10 years)	1.53	1.23-1.90	0.0001
	Year of Tx	0.98	0.93-1.03	0.41
	Time from diagnosis	0.98	0.93-1.03	0.46
	UD vs MSD	1.11	0.82-1.50	0.49
	Patient female	0.82	0.63-1.06	0.12
	Donor female	0.95	0.72-1.25	0.73
	Patient CMV positive	0.85	0.64-1.13	0.27
	Donor CMV positive	1.03	0.77-1.37	0.86
	TCD in-vivo	0.73	0.50-1.07	0.11
	centre			0.22

CMV-cytomegalovirus, GVHD-graft.-versus-host disease, MSD-matched sibling donor

Tx-transplantation, UD-unrelated donor, TCD-T-cell depletion

