SURVEY OF RENTAL CONSUMERS

RESEARCHED FOR

SIGODI MARAH MARTIN

AND

MATTHEW NELL & ASSOCIATES

BY THE

COMMUNITY AGENCY FOR SOCIAL ENQUIRY

JUNE 2001



Published by: The Community Agency for Social Enquiry (C A S E)

PO Box 32882 Braamfontein 2017

Telephone: +27 (11) 403 4204

Fax: +27 (11) 403 1005

e-mail: director@case.org.za

Web site address: http://www.case.org.za

© Sigodi Marah Martin and Matthew Nell & Associates

Researched for: Sigodi Marah Martin and Matthew Nell & Associates



Zaid Kimmie Susan Marshall Sibongile Mgweba Ezekiel Mogodi Tanya Samuels

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE 1: SITES SELECTED, BY AREA AND TYPE OF RENTAL HOUSING.	1
Table 2: Distribution of final sample.	2
TABLE 3: WHAT TYPE OF DWELLING DID YOU LIVE IN BEFORE YOU MOVED INTO THIS PLACE?	<i>6</i>
Table 4: In what sort of area did you live before you moved to this place?	8
TABLE 5: HOW LONG HAVE YOU LIVED IN THIS PLACE? (IN YEARS)	10
TABLE 6: HOW LONG DID YOU LIVE IN THE PREVIOUS PLACE?	12
Table 7: What is the main reason why you decided to move here?	14
TABLE 8: HOW DOES THIS PLACE/ACCOMMODATION COMPARE TO THE LAST PLACE YOU LIVED? IS IT	16
Table 9: Do you own or have you ever owned a dwelling anywhere else?	18
Table 10: How long have you been living in rental accommodation? [years]	20
Table 11: What are you currently renting?	22
TABLE 12: WHO IS MAINLY RESPONSIBLE FOR PAYING THE RENT?	24
TABLE 13: AVERAGE NUMBER OF ROOMS IN SPACE RENTED.	26
Table 14: Have there been any improvements made to the dwelling which you rent since you started living here?	28
TABLE 15: WHAT SORT OF IMPROVEMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE	30
Table 16: Who is responsible for maintaining the space which you rent?	32
TABLE 17: HOW WELL IS THE SPACE WHICH YOU RENT MAINTAINED	34
Table 18: Do you have paying tenants or lodgers?	36
Table 19: Who is your landlord?	38
Table 20: Contractual arrangements with landlord.	40
Table 21: For what length of time have you agreed to rent?	42
Table 22: Average rental per month.	44
Table 23: Have you ever not paid your rent?	46
Table 24: If yes, what happened when you did not pay your rent?	48
TABLE 25: PROPORTION OF POSITIVE RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS 42.1, 42.2, 42.3.	50

Table 26: Responses to Questions 43, 45 & 46.	52
Table 27: Responses to Questions 47, 49 & 50.	54
Table 28: Proportion of Respondents answering "Yes" to questions 51, 53 & 54.	56
TABLE 29: IF YOU OWNED YOUR OWN HOUSE WOULD YOU SUBLET SPACE TO TENANTS?	58
TABLE 30: WHAT WOULD YOUR LANDLORD DO IF YOU WERE NOT ABLE TO PAY YOUR RENT?	60
TABLE 31: WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE QUALITY OF THE SERVICES PROVIDED HERE?	62
TABLE 32: WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE QUALITY OF THE DWELLING WHICH YOU RENT?	64
TABLE 33: WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE SIZE OF THE SPACE WHICH YOU RENT?	66
TABLE 34: THE RELATIONSHIP WITH MY LANDLORD IS?	68
TABLE 35: WHY ARE YOU CURRENTLY RENTING?	70
TABLE 36: HAVE YOU APPLIED FOR A SUBSIDY HOUSE?	72
Table 37: If not applied for subsidy, why not?	74
TABLE 38: WHAT DO YOU INTEND TO DO WHEN YOU LEAVE THIS DWELLING?	76
TABLE 39: DO YOU THINK THAT THE RENTAL YOU PAY IS REASONABLE?	78
TABLE 40: PROPORTION OF RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE TO PASS THROUGH TO REACH THE SPACE THEY RENT	80
Table 41: Type of rental housing by town / city	81
TABLE 42: TYPE OF RENTAL BY AREA	82
Table 43: Main source of household income (%)	82
Table 44: Household monthly income (%)	82
Table 45: Sex of head of household	83
Table 46: Average household size.	84
TABLE 47: FAMILY MEMBERS IN AND OUTSIDE HOUSEHOLD.	85
TABLE 48: AVERAGE AGE OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD, BY SEX.	86
Table 49: Main source of household income	87
TABLE 50: "APPROXIMATELY HOW MUCH DO YOU EARN PER MONTH?"	88

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Our thanks to the members of the communities who participated in the survey – their co-operation in providing information to our fieldworkers and researchers has made compiling this report possible. Thanks go to the fieldworkers for their dedication and hard work in completing the survey under difficult conditions.

Special thanks for assistance with fieldwork arrangements, management and training to Sam Motimele, Ntombi Ntsele, Bongani Khumalo, Aubrey Nkoko and Susanne Braehmer, and to the rest of the C A S E research department for their input and help with this project.

INTRODUCTION

The survey of rental housing consumers forms part of the broader project to develop proposals for a comprehensive national rental policy and subsidy programme. The survey was conducted during the preliminary phases of the process, and the results fed into the initial strategy formulation and testing phase.

The aims of the survey included collecting information on the following:

- Demographics and profile of current rental housing consumers
- Housing needs and priorities of consumers
- Opinions and attitudes towards rental options and available services and amenities
- Analysis of services provided for rent paid
- Affordability and sustainability of rental options
- Opinions about appropriate quality and size of housing structures
- Reasons given by consumers for renting

METHODOLOGY

We interviewed a total of 1200 consumers in four types of areas: metropolitan (Johannesburg and Durban), large urban (East London and Bloemfontein), small urban (Standerton and Grabouw), and rural (Winerveldt and the Northern Province).

Within each area we selected consumers in each of the following types of rental accommodation:

- State
- Social
- Private
- Hostel
- Informal

Because all types of rental were not present in each area, we selected particular examples of the types of rental housing in particular geographical areas. The table below illustrates the types of stratification present in the various geographical areas.

		Type of rental housing										
Area	State	State Social Private Hostel Informal Total										
Metro	2	2	2	2	2	10						
Large urban	2	2	2	2	2	10						
Small urban	2				2	4						
Rural		2 2										
Total	6	4	4	4	8	26						

Table 1: Sites selected, by area and type of rental housing.

This approach to sampling resulted in 26 sites, distributed across the 8 provinces.

Within each area and type of rental accommodation we selected particular collections of units (e.g. in the case of the hostel component we would choose a particular hostel) or sub-area (in the case of private or informal rental) to be surveyed. The units visited were then selected randomly from within the area chosen and in each of these households we interviewed the head of the household – usually the person responsible for making the most important financial decisions in the household.

Due to difficulties with gaining access to hostels in East London and social housing in Bloemfontein these components were not completed. The distribution of the final sample is reflected in the table below:

	State	Social	Private	Hostel	Informal	Total
Metro	93	106	111	95	96	501
Large Urban	99	49	100	51	101	400
Small Urban	41		10	48	101	200
Rural					100	100
Total	233	155	221	194	398	1201

Table 2: Distribution of final sample.

DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF CONSUMERS

State

Half of the state respondents were male and half female, and the average age of these male and female heads of households was 48 and 49 respectively.

Approximately 1/3 of the households contained no adult males.

The average household size among tenants in state rental accommodation was 3.4. The total family size was only slightly bigger (4.0) reflecting a relatively low number of family members who do not live in the household.

A third of state tenants said that the main sources of household income were state transfers and grants. This was by far the highest proportion of the five types of rental respondents. Another third said that wages from formal employment are the main source of income. The household income of just over half of respondents was less than R800, with an additional 8% of respondents saying that they earn nothing.

Social

Similar proportions of the heads of households interviewed were male and female (55% and 45% respectively). The average age of both male and female heads of households was 35.

Approximately 1/3 of the households contained no adult males.

The average household size in social housing was 3, slightly smaller than in state housing. The total family size was only slightly larger (3.4), indicating that a low proportion of respondents mentioned family members who live elsewhere.

The vast majority of social tenants said that wages from formal employment (81%) and informal employment (10%) are the main source of household income. This indicates the presence of at least

one family member in the household who is employed. The relatively better economic situation of social tenants is illustrated by the average income mentioned by respondents – 20% earn between R800 and R1500 per month, 25% up to R2500, and half earn more than R2500.

Private

A higher proportion of the household heads interviewed in private rental were male (57%) than female (43%). The average age of these respondents is the lowest among the different types of rental (33 for the men and 29 for the women).

Approximately 1/3 of the households contained no adult males.

The average household size among private tenants was smaller than state and social households (2.8). Only a few respondents mentioned family members living elsewhere, so that the total family size (including members who live elsewhere) is still quite small (3.3).

Around half of the private tenants mentioned wages from formal employment as being the main source of household income, while a quarter mentioned income from outside the household. One-fifth of respondents said that the household income is less than R800, and another fifth up to R1500 per month. Half of respondents said that the household received more than R2500.

Hostel

Not surprisingly, the proportion of male respondents in the hostels was much higher (81%) than female respondents (19%). The average age of male heads of households was 43, and of women it was 52.

Less than one in ten households contained no adult males.

The average household size in hostels was 2.6 persons. Almost every respondent had a close family member who did not live in the household, giving a total family size of 3.7.

Half of the hostel respondents said that the main source of income for the household is wages from formal employment, and another 12% mentioned informal employment. The proportion that mentioned income from selling goods or services was the highest of the five rental types (16%). A third of respondents earned less than R800, and a quarter earned up to R1500. It is interesting to see that a fifth of respondents earned more than R2500.

Informal

Around half (56%) of household heads interviewed were male. The average age for male and female respondents was similar (37 and 37 respectively.

Approximately one-quarter of all households did not contain any adult males.

The average household size among informal tenants was similar to that of social tenants (2.7). A larger proportion of respondents mentioned family members who do not live in the household but elsewhere, resulting in a larger average total family size (3.6).

One in ten of the informal tenants said that state transfers or grants are the household's main source of income, while two thirds mentioned wages (43% formal employment and 24% informal employment).

As with state tenants, the proportion of respondents that earned less than R800 is very high (47%), with another 6% earning nothing. A quarter of respondents earn up to R1500. In total, more than three quarters of informal tenants earn less than R1500.

ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

		House	Part of house	Apartment/flat	Hostel/compou nd	Shack in backyard	Shack in squatter area	Traditional structure	Outbuildings/se rvants' quarters	Other	Total
	Metro	47%	12%	19%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	5%	100%
State	Large Urban	67%	8%	17%	1%	3%	1%			3%	100%
State	Small Urban	2%	7%	7%	2%	24%	42%	12%		2%	100%
	Total	48%	9%	16%	2%	7%	9%	3%	1%	4%	100%
	Metro	43%	14%	35%		4%	2%	2%	1%		100%
Social	Large Urban	41%	10%	39%		2%		2%	2%	4%	100%
	Total	42%	13%	36%		3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	100%
	Metro	34%	2%	51%	2%	2%	1%	3%	5%	1%	100%
Duimata	Large Urban	56%	4%	31%	5%			3%	1%		100%
Private	Small Urban	80%	10%			10%					100%
	Total	46%	3%	39%	3%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%	100%
	Metro	11%		1%	57%	1%	2%	23%	3%	2%	100%
TT a m4 a l	Large Urban	26%	36%		10%	8%	4%	12%		4%	100%
Hostel	Small Urban	63%	4%		10%	4%		19%			100%
	Total	28%	10%	1%	33%	4%	2%	19%	2%	2%	100%
	Metro	7%	1%	3%	6%	32%	10%	36%	4%	1%	100%
	Large Urban	43%	11%	1%	2%	18%	12%	8%	3%	3%	100%
Informal	Small Urban	38%	14%	3%	2%	25%	8%	10%	1%		100%
	Rural	36%	13%	2%	1%	12%	3%	12%	16%	5%	100%
	Total	31%	10%	2%	3%	21%	8%	16%	6%	2%	100%

Table 3: What type of dwelling did you live in before you moved into this place?

- Tenants in state, social and private rental accommodation said that they had previously lived in a house (between 42% and 48% of respondents). The proportion who had moved from an apartment was also high (16% or state tenants, 36% of social tenants, and 39% of private tenants).
- Among hostel respondents, a third of respondents said that they had previously lived in a different hostel, and 28% had previously lived in a house. A fifth of those renting space in a hostel had previously lived in a traditional structure.
- The proportion of respondents in hostel or informal rental accommodation who lived in a traditional structure before moving to their present rented accommodation was much higher (16%-19%) than tenants in state, social or private rented accommodation (around 3%).
- Respondents renting informally tended to come from a house (31%), a shack (backyard or squatter area, 29%) or traditional structure (16%).

		Traditional rural settlement	Commercial farm	Small town	Big town	City	Informal	Total
	Metro	8%	2%	40%	31%	17%	2%	100%
Gr. 4	Large Urban		1%	24%	43%	33%		100%
State	Small Urban	37%	5%	34%		20%	5%	100%
	Total	10%	2%	32%	31%	24%	2%	100%
Social	Metro	4%	1%	36%	22%	37%	1%	100%
	Large Urban	4%		35%	20%	37%	4%	100%
	Total	4%	1%	36%	21%	37%	2%	100%
	Metro	7%	2%	26%	12%	54%		100%
Private	Large Urban	4%		38%	15%	43%		100%
Private	Small Urban	10%		90%				100%
	Total	6%	1%	34%	13%	46%		100%
	Metro	59%		19%	7%	11%	5%	100%
Hostel	Large Urban	22%		16%	10%	53%		100%
Hostei	Small Urban	63%		27%	10%			100%
	Total	50%		20%	8%	19%	3%	100%
	Metro	39%	_	19%	4%	31%	7%	100%
	Large Urban	16%		18%	15%	27%	25%	100%
Informal	Small Urban	17%	5%	66%	3%	2%	7%	100%
	Rural	49%	6%	19%	3%	1%	22%	100%
	Total	30%	3%	31%	6%	15%	15%	100%

Table 4: In what sort of area did you live before you moved to this place?

- We asked respondents in what sort of area they lived before moving to their present place of abode. The movement from rural areas was more pronounced among hostel and informal tenants, with half of hostel respondents and a third of informal respondents saying that they had previously lived in a rural settlement. The proportion among state, social and private tenants was much lower (between 4% and 10%).
- There was a similar pattern in the responses of tenants in state, social and private rental. Around a third of all of these groups had moved from a small town, and sizeable proportions had previously lived in large urban areas or a city.

		Mean	Std. Error ¹	N
	Metro	11	0.9	93
64-4-	Large Urban	21	2.0	99
State	Small Urban	10	1.6	41
	Total	16	1.0	233
	Metro	1	0.1	106
Social	Large Urban	1	0.2	49
	Total	1	0.1	155
	Metro	2	0.3	111
Private	Large Urban	2	0.2	100
Private	Small Urban	11	2.5	10
	Total	2	0.2	221
	Metro	12	1.0	95
II4-1	Large Urban	12	0.9	51
Hostel	Small Urban	14	1.1	48
	Total	12	0.6	194
	Metro	5	0.4	96
	Large Urban	3	0.4	101
Informal	Small Urban	4	0.5	101
	Rural	12	1.4	100
	Total	6	0.4	398

Table 5: How long have you lived in this place? (In years)

 $^{\rm 1}\,95\%$ of responses fall within 1.94 standard errors on either side of the mean.

- The length of time tenants have lived in their present rented accommodation varied with the type of rental. Respondents in state and hostel rented accommodation had generally lived there for longer periods of time than tenants in other situations. The average length of time spent in state accommodation was 16 years, and hostels 12 years.
- The average for tenants in social housing, a relatively new form of rental, was one year, and for private tenants the average was 2 years.
- The range in time for informal tenants was greater, with those in urban areas having stayed there for between 3 and 5 years, compared to the average of 12 years for rural informal tenants.

		Mean	Std. Error	N
	Metro	13	1.1	93
C4040	Large Urban	10	1.1	99
State	Small Urban	22	2.1	41
	Total	13	0.8	233
	Metro	10	1.0	106
Social	Large Urban	9	1.5	49
	Total	10	0.8	155
	Metro	8	0.8	111
Private	Large Urban	10	1.0	100
Private	Small Urban	11	2.2	10
	Total	9	0.6	221
	Metro	10	1.0	95
Hostel	Large Urban	13	1.7	51
Hostei	Small Urban	13	1.7	48
	Total	12	0.8	194
	Metro	13	1.2	96
	Large Urban	12	1.1	101
Informal	Small Urban	14	1.3	101
	Rural	11	1.2	100
	Total	12	0.6	398

Table 6: How long did you live in the previous place?

•	The average length of time spent by tenants in all rental types in their previous home was generally quite long, ranging from 9 to 13 years.

		Wanted own place	Wanted somewhere secure	It was overcrowded in the old place	There was conflict in the old place	Moved to be with family / partner	It is closer to work / facilities	Cheaper here	My friends and family were all moving here	Forced to move	Other	Total
	Metro	30%	3%	4%	4%	8%	13%		2%	14%	21%	100%
State	Large Urban	43%	7%	2%	2%	16%	4%	15%		2%	8%	100%
State	Small Urban	37%	10%	15%	5%	7%	22%		2%		2%	100%
	Total	37%	6%	5%	4%	11%	11%	6%	1%	7%	12%	100%
	Metro	53%	6%	8%	3%	6%	17%		1%	3%	5%	100%
Social	Large Urban	55%	10%	8%			22%				4%	100%
	Total	54%	7%	8%	2%	4%	19%		1%	2%	5%	100%
	Metro	17%	4%	7%	5%	4%	37%	6%		5%	16%	100%
Private	Large Urban	7%	6%	7%	1%	2%	43%	8%	1%	1%	24%	100%
riivate	Small Urban	70%	10%						10%		10%	100%
	Total	15%	5%	7%	3%	3%	38%	7%	1%	3%	19%	100%
	Metro	6%	3%	2%	4%	1%	48%	4%	9%	6%	16%	100%
Hostel	Large Urban	72%	6%			2%	8%	8%			4%	100%
Hoster	Small Urban			4%			92%				4%	100%
	Total	22%	3%	2%	2%	1%	48%	4%	4%	3%	10%	100%
	Metro	15%		8%	12%	6%	35%	1%	3%	9%	10%	100%
	Large Urban	28%	3%	3%	11%	5%	31%	4%	1%	4%	11%	100%
Informal	Small Urban	12%	4%	11%	11%	6%	24%	8%	2%	11%	12%	100%
	Rural	32%	1%	1%	7%	7%	23%	8%	2%	14%	5%	100%
	Total	22%	2%	6%	10%	6%	28%	5%	2%	10%	10%	100%

Table 7: What is the main reason why you decided to move here?

- We asked respondents to give us the main reason for why they decided to move to their current accommodation. The reasons given by respondents in all rental types reflect a concern with privacy and access to work and facilities rather than issues around affordability.
- The importance of being near work and facilities is evident in the responses of hostel respondents, half of whom mentioned this as the main reason for moving there.
- Relatively low proportions of respondents in all rental types mentioned the fact that 'it is cheaper here' as the main reason for moving.

		Much better	Better	The same	Worse	Much worse	Total
	Metro	33%	44%	10%	11%	2%	100%
G	Large Urban	43%	41%	10%	5%	1%	100%
State	Small Urban	5%	68%	7%	17%	2%	100%
	Total	32%	47%	9%	10%	2%	100%
	Metro	28%	63%	5%	3%	1%	100%
Social	Large Urban	41%	45%	8%	6%		100%
	Total	32%	57%	6%	4%	1%	100%
	Metro	27%	35%	16%	17%	6%	100%
D ' 4	Large Urban	23%	30%	30%	13%	4%	100%
Private	Small Urban	20%	70%	10%			100%
	Total	25%	34%	22%	14%	5%	100%
	Metro	17%	39%	37%	5%	1%	100%
TT4 -1	Large Urban	37%	47%	10%	6%		100%
Hostel	Small Urban	8%	19%	48%	21%	4%	100%
	Total	20%	36%	33%	9%	2%	100%
	Metro	33%	15%	25%	14%	14%	100%
	Large Urban	24%	37%	19%	19%	2%	100%
Informal	Small Urban	16%	59%	10%	14%	1%	100%
	Rural	29%	34%	19%	13%	4%	100%
	Total	25%	37%	18%	15%	5%	100%

Table 8: How does this place/accommodation compare to the last place you lived? Is it...

- We asked respondents 'How does this place/accommodation compare to the last place you lived?' This question was not asking respondents for their opinions about the quality of the building or the services they receive, but was asking for their overall impression of 'this place'. The responses from tenants in all different rental situations indicate that, generally, people are improving their situation and are able to say that they are better off than before.
- This trend is especially evident in the state and social rental situations, where more than 80% of respondents said that this place is 'better' or 'much better'.
- Responses from tenants in private, hostel and informal rental were more ambivalent, with between a fifth and a third of respondents saying that this place is 'the same'.

		Yes	No
	Metro	5%	95%
State	Large Urban	14%	86%
State	Small Urban	10%	90%
	Total	10%	90%
	Metro	9%	92%
Social	Large Urban	4%	96%
	Total	7%	93%
	Metro	13%	87%
Private	Large Urban	23%	77%
Private	Small Urban		100%
	Total	17%	83%
	Metro	28%	72%
Hostel	Large Urban	6%	94%
nostei	Small Urban	73%	27%
	Total	34%	67%
	Metro	7%	93%
	Large Urban	21%	79%
Informal	Small Urban	15%	85%
	Rural	23%	77%
	Total	17%	83%

Table 9: Do you own or have you ever owned a dwelling anywhere else?

- The majority of respondents in all rental situations said that they have not owned a dwelling elsewhere before. However, there were some interesting differences in the responses from tenants in private, hostel and informal rental accommodation. Among private and informal tenants, 17% said that they have owned a dwelling elsewhere, as did a third of hostel respondents. This is not surprising considering the fact that many hostel residents consider their home to be elsewhere, and are likely to have a home of their own in a rural area.
- The low proportions of owners in state rental is also not surprising considering the long tenure often granted to state tenants. Tenants in social rental accommodation are often younger people who would also not likely to have owned property before.

		Mean	Std. Error	N
	Metro	13	1.0	93
64-4-	Large Urban	11	1.4	99
State	Small Urban	9	1.2	41
	Total	11	0.8	233
	Metro	4	0.6	106
Social	Large Urban	4	0.7	49
	Total	4	0.5	155
	Metro	4	0.5	111
Private	Large Urban	3	0.4	100
Private	Small Urban	7	2.9	10
	Total	3	0.4	221
	Metro	13	1.2	95
Hostel	Large Urban	10	1.4	51
Hoster	Small Urban	18	1.5	48
	Total	13	0.8	194
	Metro	6	0.6	96
	Large Urban	4	0.6	101
Informal	Small Urban	7	0.8	101
	Rural	10	1.2	100
	Total	6	0.4	398

Table 10: How long have you been living in rental accommodation? [years]

- We asked respondents how long they have been living in rental accommodation not necessarily in this particular place, but in rented accommodation of any kind.
- Again, the average length of time for tenants in state and hostel rental accommodation was longer than the other three types. The average for state tenants was 11 years and for hostel residents 13.
- Social, private and informal tenants had been in the rental market for shorter periods of time (3-6 years).

Shack on property a house Outbuildings in ಡ Rooms in an Apartment apartment Bed space in House room Other Total Rooms in 42% 7% 18% 2% 0% 0% Metro 20% 11% 100% 48% 0% 0% 0% Large Urban 47% 0% 1% 100% 4% State Small Urban 8% 3% 48% 8% 33% 0% 0% 3% 100% Total 38% 2% 8% 0% 5% 35% 100% 5% 6% 51% 2% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% Metro 47% 100% 71% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Social Large Urban 29% 0% 100% 57% 10% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% **Total** 32% 78% 0% 100% Metro 11% 0% 0% 0% 0% 11% Large Urban 29% 0% 100% 63% 5% 1% 0% 1% 1% **Private** Small Urban 100% 67% 1% 1% 1% **Total** 19% 5% 1% 6% 100% Metro 0% 0% 15% 13% 54% 100% 17% 0% 1% Large Urban 51% 0% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 49% Hostel Small Urban 2% 25% 0% 0% 4% 0% 69% 100% 1% 6% 14% Total 15% 7% 1% 44% 14% 100% Metro 0% 1% 0% 5% 88% 6% 0% 0% 100% 2% 22% Large Urban 1% 2% 100% 6% 47% 16% 5% Informal Small Urban 2% 13% 33% 29% 0% 2% 100% 4% 18% Rural 3% 8% 31% 4% 100% 8% 26% 8% 12% **Total** 2% 5% 10% 13% 43% 21% 2% 4% 100%

Table 11: What are you currently renting?

- Within each type of rental situation, we asked respondents what kind of space they were renting. The majority of state tenants were renting an apartment or a house, as were social tenants.
- Most of the respondents in private rental situations were renting an apartment or rooms in an apartment.
- Two thirds of the informal tenants were renting a shack or outbuildings in someone's yard, and 44% of hostel respondents said they were renting bed space in a room.

		I am	Some other family member in this household	Family members not in this household	Someone not in this household	Do not pay rent	Other	Total
	Metro	62%	15%	8%	8%	2%	5%	100%
State	Large Urban	71%	12%	2%	0%	14%	1%	100%
State	Small Urban	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	Total	73%	11%	4%	3%	7%	3%	100%
	Metro	89%	6%	1%	4%	0%	1%	100%
Social	Large Urban	82%	10%	4%	0%	0%	4%	100%
	Total	87%	7%	2%	3%	0%	2%	100%
	Metro	69%	11%	4%	12%		4%	100%
D4	Large Urban	70%	8%	10%	4%	1%	7%	100%
Private	Small Urban	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%
	Total	71%	9%	7%	8%	1%	5%	100%
	Metro	90%	4%	3%	1%	0%	1%	100%
II4 -1	Large Urban	4%	2%	0%	0%	94%	0%	100%
Hostel	Small Urban	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	Total	70%	3%	2%	1%	25%	1%	100%
Informal	Metro	92%	5%	3%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	Large Urban	81%	8%	6%	1%	0%	4%	100%
	Small Urban	88%	3%	2%	0%	3%	4%	100%
	Rural	81%	11%	5%	2%	1%	0%	100%
	Total	85%	7%	4%	1%	1%	2%	100%

Table 12: Who is mainly responsible for paying the rent?

- When asked who is mainly responsible for paying the rent, most respondents in all rental situations said that they were. Those respondents who said that another person is responsible for the rent usually mentioned a family member.
- While most hostel respondents in the metro and small urban sample said that they paid their own rent, 94% of hostel residents in the large urban sample said that they do not pay rent, as did 14% of state tenants in the large urban sample.

		Mean	Std. Error	N
	Metro	3.3	0.2	93
State	Large Urban	4.3	0.2	99
State	Small Urban	2.5	0.2	41
	Total	3.6	0.1	233
	Metro	3.1	0.1	106
Social	Large Urban	3.2	0.1	49
	Total	3.2	0.1	155
	Metro	2.8	0.1	111
Defende	Large Urban	3.5	0.2	100
Private	Small Urban	3.1	0.4	10
	Total	3.1	0.1	221
	Metro	2.9	0.3	95
Hostel	Large Urban	2.2	0.2	51
nostei	Small Urban	1.0	0.0	48
	Total	2.2	0.2	194
	Metro	1.4	0.1	96
	Large Urban	1.6	0.1	101
Informal	Small Urban	1.9	0.2	101
	Rural	2.9	0.3	100
	Total	2.0	0.1	398

Table 13: Average number of rooms in space rented.

• The amount of space rented by respondents varied in each rental situation, with state tenants apparently having the most space, followed by social, private, hostel and then informal tenants. The average number of rooms rented by state tenants was 3.6, compared to the average for informal tenants which was 2.0.

		Yes	No	N
	Metro	37%	63%	91
C4-4-	Large Urban	54%	47%	86
State	Small Urban	8%	93%	40
	Total	38%	62%	217
	Metro	3%	97%	106
Social	Large Urban	23%	77%	48
	Total	9%	91%	154
	Metro	20%	80%	104
D • 4	Large Urban	43%	57%	98
Private	Small Urban	60%	40%	10
	Total	33%	68%	212
	Metro	94%	7%	93
TT 4 1	Large Urban	92%	8%	51
Hostel	Small Urban	23%	77%	48
	Total	76%	25%	192
	Metro	20%	80%	96
	Large Urban	8%	92%	100
Informal	Small Urban	16%	84%	101
	Rural	18%	82%	100
	Total	15%	85%	397

Table 14: Have there been any improvements made to the dwelling which you rent since you started living here?

- When asked whether there have been any improvements made to the rented dwelling since moving in, very few social tenants said that there have been improvements, probably due to the fact that social rental is a new phenomenon and there has not really been enough time to have made improvements.
- The response of hostel tenants (76% said that there have been improvements) indicates the impact of the hostel upgrading programme. The proportion of hostel residents in the small town sample who said that there have been improvements is far lower than the proportion in the larger centers, and could indicate the limited reach of the programme.
- Around a third of state and private tenants said that there have been improvements, compared to only 15% of informal tenants.

		The roof has been fixed	The plumbing has been fixed	Rooms have been added	The walls have been painted	A toilet has been added
	Metro	20%	20%	5%	29%	2%
State	Large Urban	23%	41%	17%	58%	33%
	Small Urban	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%
Social	Metro	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Suciai	Large Urban	0%	10%	0%	21%	0%
	Metro	1%	17%	0%	9%	0%
Private	Large Urban	5%	15%	1%	43%	0%
	Small Urban	-	-	-	-	-
	Metro	43%	55%	54%	88%	18%
Hostel	Large Urban	22%	55%	41%	76%	67%
	Small Urban	15%	6%	0%	21%	4%
Informal	Metro	15%	0%	1%	3%	0%
	Large Urban	3%	3%	1%	5%	3%
	Small Urban	8%	6%	1%	9%	2%
	Rural	8%	1%	12%	8%	2%

Table 15: What sort of improvements have been made.

- The types of improvements mentioned by respondents varied. Hostel residents mentioned substantial changes (roof, plumbing, painting, enlarging and toilets being installed). This again reflects the hostels upgrading programme.
- Private tenants mentioned painting as the main improvement that has been made, while state tenants mentioned a broad range of improvements and general repairs.

		Self	Landlord	Both myself and landlord	Other	Total
	Metro	38%	45%	14%	3%	100%
State	Large Urban	43%	49%	0%	8%	100%
State	Small Urban	93%	5%	2%	0%	100%
	Total	50%	40%	6%	5%	100%
	Metro	58%	29%	2%	11%	100%
Social	Large Urban	4%	94%	2%	0%	100%
	Total	41%	50%	2%	8%	100%
	Metro	35%	58%	6%	2%	100%
Private	Large Urban	21%	70%	8%	1%	100%
Private	Small Urban	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	Total	31%	61%	7%	1%	100%
	Metro	6%	74%	16%	4%	100%
Hostel	Large Urban	51%	14%	33%	2%	100%
nostei	Small Urban	10%	67%	23%	0%	100%
	Total	19%	56%	22%	3%	100%
	Metro	80%	14%	6%	0%	100%
	Large Urban	49%	31%	14%	7%	100%
Informal	Small Urban	70%	17%	12%	1%	100%
	Rural	46%	52%	2%	0%	100%
	Total	61%	28%	9%	2%	100%

Table 16: Who is responsible for maintaining the space which you rent?

- While officially the landlord should be responsible for maintaining the space s/he rents out, the proportion of respondents who said that they themselves are responsible for maintenance is quite high. This was true of half of the state tenants, 41% of social tenants, a third of private tenants, and 61% of informal tenants.
- These responses of hostel residents were slightly different, with more than half saying that the landlord is responsible, and another quarter saying that the responsibility for maintenance is shared between themselves and the landlord.

		Not at all	Poorly	Adequately	Excellently	Total
	Metro	13%	27%	40%	20%	100%
State	Large Urban	1%	20%	50%	30%	100%
State	Small Urban	2%	44%	39%	15%	100%
	Total	6%	27%	44%	23%	100%
	Metro	3%	14%	58%	26%	100%
Social	Large Urban	6%	12%	69%	12%	100%
	Total	4%	14%	61%	21%	100%
	Metro	10%	28%	43%	19%	100%
Private	Large Urban	7%	21%	31%	41%	100%
Private	Small Urban	10%	40%	0%	50%	100%
	Total	9%	26%	35%	31%	100%
	Metro	1%	36%	52%	11%	100%
Hostel	Large Urban	0%	29%	67%	4%	100%
nostei	Small Urban	2%	58%	40%	0%	100%
	Total	1%	40%	53%	6%	100%
	Metro	13%	52%	35%	0%	100%
	Large Urban	21%	31%	37%	12%	100%
Informal	Small Urban	10%	35%	49%	7%	100%
	Rural	21%	35%	29%	14%	100%
	Total	16%	38%	38%	8%	100%

Table 17: How well is the space which you rent maintained

- When asked how well the rented space is maintained, the response of state tenants was fairly positive, with high proportions ranking the maintenance of their space 'adequate' or 'excellent'. This could reflect the fact that much of state rental stock is of relatively high quality and requires less maintenance.
- Social tenants were also generally positive, as were private tenants although a quarter of private tenants said that the space is maintained 'poorly'.
- It is interesting to see that, in spite of the poor reputation hostels have, more hostel respondents said that their space is maintained 'adequately' than those who said maintenance is 'poor'.

		Yes	No
	Metro	4%	96%
C4-4-	Large Urban	13%	87%
State	Small Urban	2%	98%
	Total	8%	93%
	Metro	1%	99%
Social	Large Urban	2%	98%
	Total	1%	99%
	Metro	22%	78%
D • 4	Large Urban	12%	88%
Private	Small Urban	10%	90%
	Total	17%	83%
	Metro	2%	98%
TT 4.1	Large Urban		100%
Hostel	Small Urban	21%	79%
	Total	6%	94%
	Metro		100%
	Large Urban	2%	98%
Informal	Small Urban	3%	97%
	Rural	5%	95%
	Total	3%	97%

Table 18: Do you have paying tenants or lodgers?

• We asked tenants whether they have paying tenants or lodgers to get an idea of the extent to which subletting is taking place. The proportion of tenants in all rental situations who themselves have tenants is low (between 3% and 8%), although it is slightly higher in private rental situations (17%).

		Family member	Friend	Acquaintance	Employer	Acquaintance from rural area	State/Local government	Private individual / company	Other	Total
	Metro	5%	2%	12%	0%	1%	63%	14%	2%	100%
State	Large Urban	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	94%	4%	2%	100%
State	Small Urban	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	93%	7%	0%	100%
	Total	2%	1%	5%	0%	0%	81%	9%	2%	100%
	Metro	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	89%	10%	100%
Social	Large Urban	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	94%	0%	100%
	Total	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	90%	7%	100%
	Metro	0%	0%	32%	0%	0%	1%	66%	1%	100%
Duimata	Large Urban	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	4%	91%	0%	100%
Private	Small Urban	56%	44%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	Total	2%	2%	18%	0%	0%	2%	75%	1%	100%
	Metro	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	84%	3%	12%	100%
II4 -1	Large Urban	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	98%	0%	0%	100%
Hostel	Small Urban	0%	0%	0%	73%	0%	0%	27%	0%	100%
	Total	0%	0%	0%	19%	1%	67%	8%	6%	100%
	Metro	12%	8%	32%	0%	6%	0%	41%	1%	100%
	Large Urban	8%	7%	60%	2%	0%	0%	17%	6%	100%
Informal	Small Urban	20%	7%	37%	7%	0%	3%	27%	0%	100%
	Rural	13%	8%	14%	3%	1%	3%	56%	2%	100%
	Total	13%	8%	36%	3%	2%	2%	35%	2%	100%

Table 19: Who is your landlord?

- We asked respondents who their landlord is. The only group of tenants who mentioned family members or friends as being their landlord was the informal tenants. Hardly any tenants in the other rental situations mentioned people that they know.
- Among state and hostel tenants, the landlord mentioned most frequently was obviously the government, while social and private tenants mentioned a private company or individual. Even among informal tenants the proportion who mentioned a private individual as being their landlord was high (35%).

		%	Yes
		Do you have a written contract with your landlord?	Do you have a verbal agreement with your landlord?
	Metro	46%	51%
C4-4-	Large Urban	42%	42%
State	Small Urban	65%	32%
	Total	48%	43%
	Metro	95%	7%
Social	Large Urban	83%	31%
	Total	92%	15%
	Metro	52%	55%
D	Large Urban	72%	20%
Private	Small Urban	20%	30%
	Total	60%	38%
	Metro	33%	53%
**	Large Urban	26%	0%
Hostel	Small Urban	63%	0%
	Total	38%	26%
	Metro	0%	98%
	Large Urban	3%	76%
Informal	Small Urban	10%	76%
	Rural	2%	28%
	Total	4%	70%

Table 20: Contractual arrangements with landlord.

- Almost all (92%) of the social tenants said that they have a written contract or lease with their landlords. This proportion is much lower among the other groups of tenants only half of state tenants, around a third of hostel residents, and 60% of private tenants have a written agreement.
- Among informal tenants it is even lower, with 4% saying they have a written agreement, compared to 70% who have a verbal agreement.

		Less than 1 month	1-3 months	4-6 months	6 months – 1 year	1-2 years	Indefinite	Total	N
	Metro	2%	1%			6%	91%	100%	915
C4a4a	Large Urban						100%	100%	80
State	Small Urban	33%		3%	5%	8%	53%	100%	40
	Total	7%	1%	1%	1%	4%	87%	100%	211
	Metro	2%				13%	85%	100%	103
Social	Large Urban		5%			2%	93%	100%	44
	Total	1%	1%			10%	88%	100%	147
	Metro		4%	5%	8%	7%	78%	100%	107
Private	Large Urban	2%	12%		13%	9%	64%	100%	100
Tilvate	Small Urban	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	9
	Total	2%	8%	2%	10%	9%	68%	100%	216
	Metro					1%	99%	100%	93
Hostel	Large Urban						100%	100%	51
Hostei	Small Urban						100%	100%	48
	Total					1%	100%	100%	192
	Metro	1%	7%				92%	100%	96
	Large Urban	21%	1%		1%	5%	72%	100%	100
Informal	Small Urban	1%		1%	4%	9%	84%	100%	96
	Rural		2%		1%	6%	91%	100%	99
	Total	6%	3%	0%	2%	5%	85%	100%	391

Table 21: For what length of time have you agreed to rent?

- The majority of tenants had agreed to rent for an indefinite period.
- The only exceptions were state rentals in the small urban areas and informal sector rentals in the large urban areas where a significant proportion of rentals occurred on a monthly basis.

		Mean	Std. Error	N
	Metro	409	30	93
S4040	Large Urban	208	18	99
State	Small Urban	163	32	41
	Total	280	17	233
	Metro	858	40	105
Social	Large Urban	754	15	49
	Total	825	28	154
	Metro	853	46	111
Duinata	Large Urban	1081	71	100
Private	Small Urban	185	46	10
	Total	926	41	221
	Metro	34	4	95
II4-1	Large Urban	6	2	51
Hostel	Small Urban	71	4	48
	Total	36	3	194
	Metro	88	4	96
	Large Urban	94	6	101
Informal	Small Urban	152	21	101
	Rural	49	4	100
	Total	96	6	398

Table 22: Average rental per month.

- The monthly rentals were highest in the private (R926) and state rental (R853) sectors.
- Informal sector rentals were relatively low, at approximately R100 per month while hostel rentals were the lowest, at R36 per month.

		Yes	No	Refused	Total
	Metro	24%	75%	1%	100%
State	Large Urban	20%	80%		100%
State	Small Urban	20%	78%	3%	100%
	Total	22%	78%	1%	100%
	Metro	8%	93%		100%
Social	Large Urban	12%	88%		100%
	Total	9%	91%		100%
	Metro	8%	92%	1%	100%
Private	Large Urban	3%	97%		100%
rrivate	Small Urban	11%	89%		100%
	Total	6%	94%	1%	100%
	Metro	26%	72%	2%	100%
Hostel	Large Urban	85%	15%		100%
nostei	Small Urban		100%		100%
	Total	32%	67%	1%	100%
	Metro	25%	71%	4%	100%
	Large Urban	17%	82%	1%	100%
Informal	Small Urban	14%	79%	7%	100%
	Rural	32%	68%		100%
	Total	22%	75%	3%	100%

Table 23: Have you ever not paid your rent?

• A fifth of state tenants said that they have at some stage not paid their rent, as did a third of hostel residents, and a fifth of informal tenants. The proportions among social and private tenants were lower (9% and 6% respectively).

		I was evicted	I was locked out	My landlord withheld services	My landlord understo od my difficulty	Nothing	Other	Total	N
	Metro	5%		36%	9%	27%	23%	100%	22
State	Large Urban	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
State	Small Urban	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
	Total	2%	4%	18%	36%	22%	18%	100%	50
	Metro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
Social	Large Urban	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
	Total		29%		57%		14%	100%	14
	Metro	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
Private	Large Urban	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
riivate	Small Urban	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
	Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
	Metro	4%	4%	4%	60%	24%	4%	100%	25
Hostel	Large Urban					97%	3%	100%	33
1108161	Small Urban	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	2%	2%	2%	26%	66%	3%	100%	58
	Metro		4%		91%	4%		100%	23
	Large Urban	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
Informal	Small Urban	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
	Rural	3%	3%	6%	56%	31%		100%	32
	Total	1%	2%	4%	73%	19%	1%	100%	86

Table 24: If yes, what happened when you did not pay your rent?

- We asked these tenants who have not paid rent what happened to them when they did not pay their rent. Among state tenants, a third said that their landlord 'understood their difficulty', and another fifth said that 'nothing' happened. Only a quarter mentioned any kind of punitive action by their landlord (services being cut off, being locked out or evicted).
- While more than half of the social tenants in this category said that their landlord 'understood', 29% said that they were locked out when they didn't pay.
- Two thirds of the hostel tenants in this category said that 'nothing' had happened to them, and another quarter said that their landlord had understood. A similarly sympathetic picture of landlords was presented by the response of informal tenants the vast majority of whom said that their landlord had understood and done nothing to them.

			% Yes	
		Sublet the space which you rent?	Run a business from the space which you rent?	Have friends or family stay over?
	Metro	13%	14%	85%
C4-4-	Large Urban	39%	41%	89%
State	Small Urban	18%	32%	65%
	Total	25%	29%	83%
	Metro	4%	1%	89%
Social	Large Urban	15%	4%	85%
	Total	7%	2%	88%
	Metro	45%	8%	70%
Private	Large Urban	22%	14%	73%
riivate	Small Urban	0%	100%	67%
	Total	34%	12%	71%
	Metro	6%	61%	79%
Hostel	Large Urban	2%	88%	88%
nostei	Small Urban	-	-	-
	Total	3%	53%	62%
	Metro	5%	34%	83%
	Large Urban	29%	46%	86%
Informal	Small Urban	7%	30%	76%
	Rural	24%	47%	64%
	Total	16%	39%	77%

Table 25: Proportion of positive responses to questions 42.1, 42.2, 42.3.

Respondents were asked whether they were allowed to:

- Sublet the space which they rent;
- Run a business from the space which they rent?
- Have friends or family stay over?
 - Respondents in social or hostel accommodation were the least likely to be allowed to sublet the space which they rented (only 7% and 3% respectively were allowed to sublet). About one-quarter of the remaining respondents were allowed to sublet their space.
 - Respondents in social and private housing were least likely to be able to run a business from their accommodation (2% and 12% respectively). However, more than one-half of the respondents in hostels were allowed to undertake business activity from their accommodation.
 - Most respondents (76%) indicated that they were allowed to have guests or family stay over. However hostel residents were marginally less likely to answer this question positively (62%).
 - In general it appears that social housing is the most controlled form of rental accommodation.

			% Yes			
		% with access to flush toilet	Are you able to use the toilet at any time?	Would you be prepared to pay an additional amount for access to your own flush toilet?		
	Metro	100%	99%	46%		
State	Large Urban	100%	100%	15%		
State	Small Urban	83%	76%	9%		
	Total	97%	95%	24%		
	Metro	100%	100%	2%		
Social	Large Urban	100%	100%	29%		
	Total	100%	100%	12%		
	Metro	100%	100%	2%		
Private	Large Urban	100%	100%	12%		
Private	Small Urban	100%	100%	40%		
	Total	100%	100%	9%		
	Metro	100%	100%	17%		
Hostel	Large Urban	96%	98%	6%		
Hostei	Small Urban	100%	98%	11%		
	Total	99%	99%	12%		
	Metro	48%	88%	47%		
	Large Urban	100%	96%	33%		
Informal	Small Urban	99%	97%	27%		
	Rural	1%	99%	59%		
	Total	62%	95%	42%		

Table 26: Responses to questions 43, 45 & 46.

- Almost all respondents had access to a flush toilet, with the exception of those in informal rental, particularly in rural (only 1% had access to a flush toilet) and metropolitan (48%) areas.
- Almost all respondents were able to use their toilet at any time.
- Respondents in informal rental were the most willing to pay an additional amount for access to their own flush toilet, reflecting the lack of services provided by this sector of the rental market.

			% Yes		
		% Who have tap as main source of water	Do you have access to this source of water at all times?	Prepared to pay an additional amount for access to piped water within the space which you rent?	
	Metro	97%	99%	43%	
State	Large Urban	100%	95%	10%	
State	Small Urban	98%	78%	29%	
	Total	98%	94%	24%	
	Metro	100%	100%	1%	
Social	Large Urban	100%	100%	25%	
	Total	100%	100%	10%	
	Metro	100%	100%		
Private	Large Urban	99%	100%	13%	
Filvate	Small Urban	100%	70%	80%	
	Total	100%	99%	11%	
	Metro	100%	100%	13%	
Hostel	Large Urban	100%	84%		
Hostei	Small Urban	100%	100%	6%	
	Total	100%	96%	7%	
	Metro	92%	91%	37%	
	Large Urban	99%	91%	29%	
Informal	Small Urban	99%	94%	26%	
	Rural	60%	79%	62%	
	Total	87%	89%	39%	

Table 27: Responses to questions 47, 49 & 50.

- Almost all respondents had access to piped water at all times.
- More than 1/3 of respondents in informal rental were willing to pay an extra amount for access to piped water within the space which they rent. This is again a reflection of the low level of services provided in informal rental.

		% Yes					
		Do you have access to a bath or shower?	Do you have access to these facilities at all times?	Pay an additional amount own washing/bathing facilities?			
	Metro	79%	86%	34%			
State	Large Urban	65%	75%	13%			
	Small Urban	44%	49%	15%			
	Total	67%	75%	20%			
	Metro	100%	100%	1%			
Social	Large Urban	100%	100%	17%			
	Total	100%	100%	7%			
	Metro	99%	100%	0%			
D • 4	Large Urban	97%	99%	14%			
Private	Small Urban	-	-	-			
	Total	94%	97%	11%			
	Metro	99%	100%	14%			
TT 4 1	Large Urban	12%	-	51%			
Hostel	Small Urban	100%	100%	13%			
	Total	76%	79%	23%			
	Metro	0%	-	50%			
	Large Urban	7%	-	36%			
Informal	Small Urban	33%	65%	26%			
	Rural	16%	30%	55%			
	Total	14%	36%	41%			

Table 28: Proportion of respondents answering "Yes" to questions 51, 53 & 54.

- Less than one-fifth of respondents living in informal rental accommodation had access to a bath or shower. Respondents in state or hostel accommodation were also less likely to have access to these facilities than respondents living social or private rental.
- Almost one-half of respondents living in informal rental, and one-fifth of respondents living in state and
 hostel accommodation, were willing to pay an extra amount to have access to their own washing or bathing
 facilities.

		Possibly	Definitely	No	Total
	Metro	29%	23%	48%	100%
C4040	Large Urban	24%	28%	49%	100%
State	Small Urban	12%	22%	66%	100%
	Total	24%	25%	51%	100%
	Metro	16%	6%	78%	100%
Social	Large Urban	8%	2%	90%	100%
	Total	14%	5%	82%	100%
	Metro	20%	17%	63%	100%
D	Large Urban	15%	23%	62%	100%
Private	Small Urban	40%	50%	10%	100%
	Total	19%	21%	60%	100%
	Metro	22%	13%	65%	100%
TT 4 1	Large Urban	8%	2%	90%	100%
Hostel	Small Urban	15%	15%	71%	100%
	Total	17%	10%	73%	100%
	Metro	18%	28%	54%	100%
	Large Urban	18%	23%	59%	100%
Informal	Small Urban	29%	23%	49%	100%
	Rural	25%	10%	65%	100%
	Total	22%	21%	57%	100%

Table 29: If you owned your own house would you sublet space to tenants?

- Approximately 40% of respondents would be willing to sublet space to tenants if they had their own house.
- Respondents in social or hostel accommodation were least likely to want to sublet while approximately half of state and informal renal tenants would be willing to sublet.

		Evict me	Take my possessio ns as payment	Lock me out	Reschedu le my payments	Stop access to services	Other	Total	Z
	Metro	20%	1%		20%	45%	14%	100%	91
State	Large Urban	2%	2%	4%	48%	18%	26%	100%	96
State	Small Urban		2%	27%	7%	59%	5%	100%	41
	Total	9%	2%	7%	29%	36%	18%	100%	228
	Metro	58%		32%	4%	1%	6%	100%	104
Social	Large Urban	25%		8%	56%		10%	100%	48
	Total	47%		24%	20%	1%	7%	100%	152
	Metro	23%	4%	19%	31%	16%	7%	100%	108
Private	Large Urban	15%	2%	41%	17%	11%	13%	100%	99
lilivate	Small Urban	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	10
	Total	18%	3%	30%	25%	14%	10%	100%	217
	Metro	13%	1%	16%	48%		22%	100%	92
Hostel	Large Urban	6%			6%	28%	61%	100%	51
Hoster	Small Urban	38%		6%	48%	2%	6%	100%	48
	Total	17%	1%	9%	37%	8%	28%	100%	191
	Metro	53%	2%	18%	20%	2%	5%	100%	96
	Large Urban	23%	2%	17%	15%	2%	41%	100%	100
Informal	Small Urban	26%	3%	15%	11%	14%	31%	100%	100
	Rural	19%	1%	16%	42%	5%	16%	100%	98
	Total	30%	2%	17%	22%	6%	24%	100%	394

Table 30: What would your landlord do if you were not able to pay your rent?

- According to most respondents, landlords do not generally appear immediately to resort to punitive measures against rent defaulters. Among state, private, hostel and informal landlords, about half resorted to measures that include evicting defaulters, locking people out, or stopping access to services. The social landlords appear to treat defaulters more severely, with almost three quarters of respondents mentioning punitive measures inflicted by social landlords.
- Landlords in hostels appear to be the most lenient, with only a third of respondents mentioning punitive measures.

		Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Total	N
	Metro	23%	30%	43%	4%	100%	93
C4-4-	Large Urban	5%	15%	72%	8%	100%	99
State	Small Urban	34%	17%	39%	10%	100%	41
	Total	17%	22%	55%	7%	100%	233
	Metro	12%	14%	59%	14%	100%	106
Social	Large Urban	10%	42%	46%	2%	100%	48
	Total	12%	23%	55%	10%	100%	154
	Metro	16%	18%	48%	18%	100%	105
D • 4	Large Urban	24%	14%	39%	23%	100%	100
Private	Small Urban	-	-	-	-	-	10
	Total	20%	15%	45%	21%	100%	215
	Metro	20%	15%	65%		100%	93
TT 4 1	Large Urban	20%	42%	32%	6%	100%	50
Hostel	Small Urban	6%	35%	58%		100%	48
	Total	17%	27%	55%	2%	100%	191
	Metro	44%	35%	21%		100%	95
	Large Urban	28%	31%	40%	2%	100%	101
Informal	Small Urban	21%	27%	51%	2%	100%	101
	Rural	61%	20%	19%		100%	100
	Total	38%	28%	33%	1%	100%	397

Table 31: What do you think of the quality of the services provided here?

- Among tenants in state, social, private and hostel rental situations, at least half said that the quality of services is good or excellent. This was true of only a third of informal tenants. This group showed the least satisfaction with services 61% of rural informal respondents said that services are poor, as did 44% of metro informal tenants.
- The proportion of hostel residents who said that the quality of services is good was high (58%), and another third said services are adequate.

		Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Total	N
	Metro	21%	34%	44%	2%	100%	92
State	Large Urban	3%	24%	70%	3%	100%	97
State	Small Urban	37%	22%	42%		100%	41
	Total	16%	27%	54%	2%	100%	230
	Metro	13%	48%	33%	6%	100%	105
Social	Large Urban	22%	51%	27%		100%	49
	Total	16%	49%	31%	4%	100%	154
	Metro	31%	25%	33%	11%	100%	105
D • 4	Large Urban	24%	18%	32%	26%	100%	96
Private	Small Urban	-	-	-	-	-	10
	Total	28%	20%	34%	18%	100%	211
	Metro	20%	25%	55%		100%	91
TT 4 1	Large Urban	54%	38%	8%		100%	50
Hostel	Small Urban	24%	67%	9%		100%	46
	Total	30%	39%	31%		100%	187
	Metro	66%	28%	5%		100%	95
	Large Urban	41%	33%	24%	3%	100%	101
Informal	Small Urban	36%	45%	17%	3%	100%	101
	Rural	44%	15%	35%	5%	100%	99
	Total	47%	30%	21%	3%	100%	396

Table 32: What do you think of the quality of the dwelling which you rent?

- The majority of tenants in state and social rental situations generally thought the quality of the dwelling that they rent is adequate or good. The opinions of tenants in private rental were more ambiguous, with similar proportions rating the dwelling poor, adequate and good.
- Perceptions of the quality of the dwelling deteriorated with hostel and informal tenants, with a third of hostel residents and almost half of informal tenants saying that the quality of the dwelling is poor.
- It is interesting that, in spite of these relatively low approval ratings, the proportions of respondents who consider 'this place' to be better or much better than where they lived previously are relatively high.

		Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent	Total	N
	Metro	13%	29%	54%	4%	100%	93
C4-4-	Large Urban	4%	24%	66%	5%	100%	92
State	Small Urban	37%	22%	42%		100%	41
	Total	14%	26%	57%	4%	100%	226
	Metro	36%	38%	25%	2%	100%	106
Social	Large Urban	22%	55%	22%		100%	49
	Total	32%	43%	24%	1%	100%	155
	Metro	27%	23%	36%	14%	100%	105
D • 4	Large Urban	12%	15%	44%	28%	100%	99
Private	Small Urban					100%	10
	Total	20%	18%	40%	22%	100%	214
	Metro	32%	23%	45%		100%	94
TT 4 1	Large Urban	42%	40%	12%	6%	100%	50
Hostel	Small Urban	10%	71%	19%		100%	48
	Total	29%	40%	30%	2%	100%	192
	Metro	63%	29%	8%		100%	96
	Large Urban	39%	25%	36%	1%	100%	101
Informal	Small Urban	33%	47%	18%	3%	100%	101
	Rural	40%	18%	27%	15%	100%	100
	Total	43%	30%	22%	5%	100%	398

Table 33: What do you think of the size of the space which you rent?

- When asked to rate the size of the space that they rent, there was a broad range of responses. This could reflect the range of accommodation being rented, and also respondents' expectations and individual needs. For example, 40% of hostel respondents said that the size of their rented space is 'adequate', and another 30% said that it is 'good'. This is in spite of the fact that their space is likely to be fairly small, but reflects the fact that it is 'adequate' for what they need.
- Of the respondents who rated the size of their space 'good', most were state or private tenants. The proportion of informal tenants who considered the size of the their space to be 'poor' was higher than in the other types of rental (43%), and was even higher among metro informal tenants (63%).

		Friendly	Unfriendly	Business-like	Other	Total	N
	Metro	60%	7%	32%	2%	100%	92
C4-4-	Large Urban	62%	1%	32%	5%	100%	97
State	Small Urban	27%	10%	49%	15%	100%	41
	Total	55%	5%	35%	6%	100%	230
	Metro	16%	1%	82%	1%	100%	105
Social	Large Urban	67%	2%	31%		100%	48
	Total	32%	1%	66%	1%	100%	153
	Metro	49%	7%	43%	1%	100%	104
D	Large Urban	30%	5%	65%		100%	100
Private	Small Urban	-	-	-	-	100%	8
	Total	41%	6%	53%	1%	100%	212
	Metro	3%	13%	79%	4%	100%	92
TT 4 1	Large Urban	2%		2%	96%	100%	51
Hostel	Small Urban	63%	2%	35%		100%	48
	Total	18%	7%	48%	28%	100%	191
	Metro	65%	8%	26%	1%	100%	96
	Large Urban	76%	8%	15%	1%	100%	101
Informal	Small Urban	77%	16%	3%	4%	100%	100
	Rural	77%	11%	9%	3%	100%	100
	Total	74%	11%	13%	2%	100%	397

Table 34: The relationship with my landlord is ...?

- The proportion of respondents who said that their relationship with their landlord is 'business-like' is fairly high across state, social, private and hostel rental situations. It is interesting to see that it is highest among social tenants' responses (66%).
- Three quarters of informal tenants described their relationship with their landlord as 'friendly', which is indicative of the fact that this type of rental tends to be relationship driven, as opposed to the more contractual nature of the other types of rental. Nevertheless, the proportions of private and state tenants who described their relationship as friendly were also quite high (41% and 55%).
- Generally low proportions of respondents said that their relationship with their landlord was 'unfriendly'.

		Cannot afford to buy	Looking around for a more permanent place	Only option available	Don't want responsibility of long-term housing loan	Need something temporary	Own my own house elsewhere	Close to work	Can't get subsidy house	Other	Total	N
	Metro	43%	5%	9%	1%	3%		5%	3%	30%	100%	93
State	Large Urban	48%		10%	5%				2%	35%	100%	98
State	Small Urban	48%	28%			5%	18%	3%			100%	40
	Total	46%	7%	8%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	27%	100%	231
	Metro	22%	12%	47%		3%	2%	9%	1%	4%	100%	106
Social	Large Urban	51%	18%	6%		2%		14%	6%	2%	100%	49
	Total	31%	14%	34%		3%	1%	11%	3%	3%	100%	155
	Metro	35%	9%	10%	3%	5%	2%	27%	2%	9%	100%	105
Private	Large Urban	6%	6%	9%	6%	19%	5%	33%	1%	14%	100%	99
riivate	Small Urban	67%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	9
	Total	23%	8%	9%	4%	11%	4%	29%	1%	11%	100%	213
	Metro	19%	3%	17%	5%	5%	4%	42%		4%	100%	95
Hostel	Large Urban	68%	2%	2%			2%	10%	6%	10%	100%	50
nostei	Small Urban	2%		10%	2%	2%		81%	2%		100%	48
	Total	28%	2%	11%	3%	3%	3%	44%	2%	5%	100%	193
	Metro	25%	9%	41%	1%	6%	1%	6%	5%	5%	100%	96
	Large Urban	45%	16%	7%	2%	5%	3%	15%	1%	7%	100%	101
Informal	Small Urban	24%	24%	9%		3%	5%	14%	12%	9%	100%	100
	Rural	16%	10%	17%		7%	5%	36%	3%	6%	100%	100
	Total	28%	15%	18%	1%	5%	4%	18%	5%	7%	100%	397

Table 35: Why are you currently renting?

- When asked why they are renting, respondents mentioned a few different reasons as the main factor in their decision to rent. The issue of affordability emerged as an important factor for state tenants (46%), and was mentioned by between a quarter and a third of tenants in other types of rental situations.
- Being close to work was mentioned by hostel respondents (44%), and also by private tenants (29%).
- The fact that renting is the 'only option available' was mentioned by a third of social tenants, and by a fifth of informal tenants generally, and by 41% of metro informal respondents.
- The other reason mentioned by different groups within each category of tenants was the fact that they are looking around for a more permanent place.

		Yes	No	Total
	Metro	13%	87%	100%
G4-4-	Large Urban	7%	93%	100%
State	Small Urban	75%	25%	100%
	Total	21%	79%	100%
	Metro	19%	81%	100%
Social	Large Urban	12%	88%	100%
	Total	17%	83%	100%
	Metro	15%	85%	100%
D-:4-	Large Urban	7%	93%	100%
Private	Small Urban	-	-	100%
	Total	11%	89%	100%
	Metro	6%	94%	100%
Hostel	Large Urban	4%	96%	100%
Hostel	Small Urban	17%	83%	100%
	Total	8%	92%	100%
	Metro	23%	77%	100%
	Large Urban	17%	83%	100%
Informal	Small Urban	45%	55%	100%
	Rural	12%	88%	100%
	Total	24%	76%	100%

Table 36: Have you applied for a subsidy house?

• Generally very few respondents said that they have applied for a housing subsidy – only a quarter of informal tenants, a fifth of state tenants, and 17% of social tenants. The proportions of private and hostel tenants were even lower – 11% and 8% respectively.

		Do not qualify	No houses available	Subsidy houses are too small	Do not want to own a house	Living here temporarily	Other	Total
	Metro	63%	5%		3%	7%	23%	100%
C4a4a	Large Urban	38%	1%	2%	17%		42%	100%
State	Small Urban	11%		33%			56%	100%
	Total	48%	3%	3%	10%	3%	34%	100%
	Metro	56%	6%	7%	2%	7%	21%	100%
Social	Large Urban	58%		11%	2%	4%	24%	100%
	Total	57%	4%	9%	2%	6%	22%	100%
	Metro	20%	1%	1%	9%	32%	37%	100%
D: 4	Large Urban	18%	8%	6%	18%	44%	7%	100%
Private	Small Urban		-	-	-	-	-	100%
	Total	18%	7%	6%	13%	36%	20%	100%
	Metro	35%	7%	1%	7%	28%	22%	100%
TT4 -1	Large Urban	76%		12%		2%	10%	100%
Hostel	Small Urban	13%	3%	3%	3%	72%	8%	100%
	Total	42%	4%	5%	4%	30%	15%	100%
	Metro	43%	4%			12%	41%	100%
	Large Urban	28%	15%	2%	6%	21%	28%	100%
Informal	Small Urban	55%	4%	6%	8%	21%	8%	100%
	Rural	32%	5%	24%	2%	8%	30%	100%
	Total	37%	7%	9%	4%	15%	28%	100%

Table 37: If not applied for subsidy, why not?

- One of the main reasons given by respondents in all types of rental situations for not applying for a housing subsidy was that they 'do not qualify'. This response was particularly high among state tenants (48%) and social tenants (57%).
- The fact that respondents are living here temporarily was also mentioned by high proportions a third (36%) of private tenants, 30% of hostel tenants and 15% of informal tenants.

		Move back to rural area	Buy a house/apartment in this area	Rent a better place in this area	Move into a subsidy house	Rent a cheaper place in this area	Rent a bigger place in this area	Never intend to leave	Other	Total
	Metro	13%	13%	3%	8%	2%		54%	7%	100%
State	Large Urban		12%	3%	1%	1%	2%	76%	5%	100%
State	Small Urban	5%		5%	29%	5%	2%	54%		100%
	Total	6%	10%	4%	9%	2%	1%	63%	5%	100%
	Metro	2%	31%	3%	5%	2%	2%	50%	7%	100%
Social	Large Urban	2%	67%	6%	4%	4%	4%	6%	6%	100%
	Total	2%	42%	4%	5%	3%	3%	36%	7%	100%
	Metro	6%	37%	19%	12%	5%	3%	8%	11%	100%
Duiza4a	Large Urban	8%	20%	10%	7%	14%	1%	15%	25%	100%
Private	Small Urban	11%	11%	44%		22%		11%		100%
	Total	7%	28%	16%	9%	10%	2%	11%	17%	100%
	Metro	64%	4%	6%	6%	2%	10%	4%	3%	100%
TT4 -1	Large Urban					2%		96%	2%	100%
Hostel	Small Urban	38%	6%	10%	4%	10%		21%	10%	100%
	Total	41%	4%	6%	4%	4%	5%	33%	5%	100%
	Metro	20%	25%	9%	6%	13%	12%	10%	5%	100%
	Large Urban	12%	42%	13%	9%	4%	5%	2%	14%	100%
Informal	Small Urban	5%	22%	2%	47%	4%	2%	10%	8%	100%
	Rural	17%	41%	3%	7%	3%	1%	19%	8%	100%
	Total	13%	33%	7%	17%	6%	5%	10%	9%	100%

Table 38: What do you intend to do when you leave this dwelling?

- When asked what they intend doing when they leave this dwelling, respondents' intentions varied according to the type of rental situation. The only group that mentioned moving back to a rural area was the hostel tenants (41% overall, and 64% among metro hostel respondents).
- High proportions of state and hostel tenants said that they never intend to leave (63% and 33% respectively).
- Private tenants appear to intend remaining in the rental market, saying that they might rent a better or cheaper place. Social and private tenants also mentioned the possibility of buying a house or apartment, as did a third of informal tenants.

		Yes	No	Total
	Metro	47%	53%	100%
G4-4-	Large Urban	78%	22%	100%
State	Small Urban	51%	49%	100%
	Total	59%	41%	100%
	Metro	33%	67%	100%
Social	Large Urban	47%	53%	100%
	Total	38%	63%	100%
	Metro	50%	51%	100%
Duimata	Large Urban	58%	42%	100%
Private	Small Urban	-	-	100%
	Total	55%	46%	100%
	Metro	92%	9%	100%
Hostel	Large Urban	3%	97%	100%
Hostei	Small Urban	98%	2%	100%
	Total	77%	23%	100%
	Metro	42%	58%	100%
	Large Urban	65%	35%	100%
Informal	Small Urban	66%	34%	100%
	Rural	74%	26%	100%
	Total	62%	38%	100%

Table 39: Do you think that the rental you pay is reasonable?

- When asked whether they considered the rental that they pay to be reasonable, tenants in social housing were the least satisfied only 38% said that their rent is reasonable, compared to more than half of private tenants, three fifths of state tenants and informal tenants.
- Three quarters of hostel respondents said that their rent is reasonable.

		Security gate with access code	Security gate, no access code	Space belonging to landlord	Space belonging to some other tenant	Communal areas
	Metro	4%	1%	7%	0%	4%
State	Large Urban	4%	1%	7%	0%	2%
	Small Urban	0%	0%	2%	0%	8%
Social	Metro	10%	0%	2%	0%	0%
Social	Large Urban	0%	0%	5%	3%	7%
	Metro	18%	4%	4%	8%	3%
Private	Large Urban	12%	2%	3%	0%	0%
	Small Urban	-	-	-	-	-
	Metro	0%	7%	2%	7%	6%
Hostel	Large Urban	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%
	Small Urban	7%	6%	20%	5%	5%
	Metro	0%	0%	16%	7%	4%
Informal	Large Urban	2%	2%	13%	1%	3%
iniormal	Small Urban	0%	0%	16%	1%	3%
	Rural	1%	0%	5%	2%	8%

Table 40: Proportion of respondents who have to pass through ______ to reach the space they rent.

APPENDIX: DETAILED DEMOGRAPHICS TABLES

			Sec	ctor		
Area	State	Social	Private	Hostel	Informal	Total
T 1 1	44	57	60	45	45	251
Johannesburg	18%	23%	24%	18%	18%	100%
G. 1	51	0	0	0	50	101
Grabouw	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	100%
F 41 1	50	49	50	0	50	199
East London	25%	25%	25%	0%	25%	100%
D 1	50	50	50	50	50	250
Durban	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	100%
D1 6 / 1	50	0	50	51	50	201
Bloemfontein	25%	0%	25%	25%	25%	100%
C. 1 .	0	0	0	48	51	99
Standerton	0%	0%	0%	48%	52%	100%
XXY' . 11	0	0	0	0	50	50
Winterveld	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
	0	0	0	0	50	50
Northern Province	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
	245	156	210	194	396	1201
All Areas	20%	13%	17%	16%	33%	100%

Table 41: Type of rental housing by town / city

	State	Social	Private	Hostel	Informal	Total	N
Metro	19%	21%	22%	19%	19%	100%	500
Large Urban	25%	12%	25%	13%	25%	100%	400
Small Urban	26%	0%	0%	24%	51%	100%	200
Rural	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100
All	20%	13%	18%	16%	33%	100%	1200

Table 42: Type of rental by area

	State	Social	Private	Hostel	Informal	Total
State Transfers (e.g. pensions)	36	2	3	9	11	100%
Wages from formal employment	32	81	56	55	43	100%
Wages from informal employment	12	10	10	12	24	100%
Income from outside the household	15	5	26	9	10	100%
Sales of sales and services produced within the household	5	1	4	16	13	100%

Table 43: Main source of household income (%)

	State	Social	Private	Hostel	Informal	Total
Nothing	8	3	3	3	6	100%
Up to R799	54	4	18	31	47	100%
R800 - R1499	17	20	20	26	25	100%
R1500 - R2499	9	25	9	18	8	100%
More than R2500	12	48	49	21	14	100%

Table 44: Household monthly income (%)

		Male	Female	Total
	Metro	54%	46%	100%
State	Large Urban	49%	51%	100%
State	Small Urban	48%	53%	100%
	Total	51%	49%	100%
	Metro	58%	42%	100%
Social	Large Urban	49%	51%	100%
	Total	55%	45%	100%
	Metro	59%	41%	100%
Private	Large Urban	55%	45%	100%
Private	Small Urban	60%	40%	100%
	Total	57%	43%	100%
	Metro	96%	4%	100%
Hostel	Large Urban	37%	63%	100%
nostei	Small Urban	100%		100%
	Total	81%	19%	100%
	Metro	43%	57%	100%
	Large Urban	65%	35%	100%
Informal	Small Urban	60%	40%	100%
	Rural	53%	47%	100%
	Total	56%	44%	100%

Table 45: Sex of head of household

		Mean	Std. Error	N	
	Metro	3.4	0.2	93	
State	Large Urban	3.4	0.2	99	
State	Small Urban	3.7	0.3	41	
	Total	3.4	0.1	233	
	Metro	3.0	0.2	106	
Social	Large Urban	3.0	0.2	49	
	Total	3.0	0.1	155	
	Metro	2.9	0.2	111	
Defende	Large Urban	2.5	0.1	100	
Private	Small Urban	3.9	0.4	10	
	Total	2.8	0.1	221	
Hostel	Metro	2.3	0.1	95	
	Large Urban	4.1	0.3	51	
nostei	Small Urban	1.5	0.1	48	
	Total	2.6	0.1	194	
	Metro	2.5	0.1	96	
	Large Urban	2.4	0.1	101	
Informal	Small Urban	2.8	0.2	101	
	Rural	3.3	0.2	100	
	Total	2.7	0.1	398	

Table 46: Average household size.

		In household	Outside household	Total family size		
	Metro	3.4	0.6	4.0		
State	Large Urban	3.4	0.3	3.6		
State	Small Urban	3.7	1.2	4.9		
	Total	3.4	0.6	4.0		
	Metro	3.0	0.4	3.4		
Social	Large Urban	3.0	0.4	3.4		
	Total	3.0	0.4	3.4		
	Metro	2.9	0.7	3.5		
Private	Large Urban	2.5	0.2	2.8		
riivate	Small Urban	-	-	-		
	Total	2.8	0.5	3.3		
Hostel	Metro	2.3	1.0	3.3		
	Large Urban	4.1	0.2	4.3		
Hostei	Small Urban	1.5	2.3	3.8		
	Total	2.6	1.1	3.7		
	Metro	2.5	1.7	4.2		
	Large Urban	2.4	0.7	3.1		
Informal	Small Urban	2.8	0.8	3.5		
	Rural	3.3	0.6	3.8		
	Total	2.7	0.9	3.6		

Table 47: Family members in and outside household.

		Male	Female	All		
	Metro	46	42	44		
State	Large Urban	51	58	55		
State	Small Urban	42	42	42		
	Total	48	49	48		
	Metro	36	37	36		
Social	Large Urban	33	33	33		
	Total	35	35	35		
	Metro	31	29	30		
Private	Large Urban	32	28	30		
Private	Small Urban	52	36	47		
	Total	33	29	31		
Hostel	Metro	41	40	41		
	Large Urban	46	54	51		
nostei	Small Urban	46		46		
	Total	43	52	45		
	Metro	35	33	34		
	Large Urban	37	33	35		
Informal	Small Urban	39	38	39		
	Rural	38	47	42		
	Total	37	38	37		

Table 48: Average age of head of household, by sex.

		State Transfers	Formal Employment	Informal Employment	Outside household	Production	Total	N
	Metro	25%	36%	16%	20%	3%	100%	89
State	Large Urban	55%	26%	8%	10%	2%	100%	93
State	Small Urban	17%	41%	15%	15%	11%	100%	46
	Total	36%	33%	12%	15%	4%	100%	228
	Metro	3%	77%	12%	7%	1%	100%	106
Social	Large Urban		90%	6%	2%	2%	100%	48
	Total	2%	81%	10%	5%	1%	100%	154
	Metro	2%	58%	10%	26%	5%	100%	106
Private	Large Urban	2%	56%	11%	27%	4%	100%	95
	Total	2%	57%	10%	26%	5%	100%	201
	Metro	4%	40%	15%	18%	22%	100%	94
II o stal	Large Urban	29%	38%	16%		18%	100%	45
Hostel	Small Urban		98%	2%			100%	48
	Total	9%	55%	12%	9%	16%	100%	187
	Metro	8%	26%	31%	12%	24%	100%	93
Informal	Large Urban	6%	55%	17%	13%	9%	100%	99
	Small Urban	11%	49%	27%	5%	9%	100%	101
	Rural	18%	39%	20%	11%	11%	100%	98
	Total	11%	42%	24%	10%	13%	100%	391

Table 49: Main source of household income

		0 / Nothing	Less than R199	R200-R499	R500-R799	R800-R1099	R1100-R1499	R1500-R1999	R2000-R2499	R2500-R2999	R3000-R3499	R3500+	Refused	Don't know	Total
	Metro	14%	1%	13%	26%	9%	7%	7%	7%	2%	2%	3%	3%	7%	100%
C4040	Large Urban	1%	8%	21%	37%	8%	9%	5%	2%		1%		3%	4%	100%
State	Small Urban	10%	10%	27%	22%	15%	5%	5%					5%	2%	100%
	Total	8%	6%	19%	30%	10%	7%	6%	4%	1%	1%	1%	4%	5%	100%
	Metro	3%		2%	3%	11%	16%	15%	8%	8%	15%	6%	13%	1%	100%
Social	Large Urban	4%		2%		2%	4%	8%	20%	25%	10%	20%	2%	2%	100%
	Total	3%		2%	2%	8%	12%	13%	12%	13%	14%	10%	10%	1%	100%
	Metro	2%			8%	18%	3%	5%	7%	9%	7%	18%	19%	4%	100%
Private	Large Urban	5%		13%	8%	13%	8%	5%	2%	5%	6%	12%	21%	2%	100%
Private	Small Urban		10%	60%	30%										100%
	Total	3%	1%	9%	9%	15%	5%	5%	4%	7%	6%	15%	19%	3%	100%
	Metro	5%	7%	12%	16%	14%	12%	5%	2%	4%	4%	1%	16%	1%	100%
Hostel	Large Urban	2%	6%	22%	26%	12%	6%	4%	6%	4%			2%	12%	100%
Hoster	Small Urban			2%		10%	25%	40%	8%	4%			6%	4%	100%
	Total	3%	5%	12%	15%	13%	14%	14%	5%	4%	2%	1%	10%	5%	100%
Informal	Metro	8%	16%	22%	12%	13%	9%	4%	4%	4%		2%	5%	1%	100%
	Large Urban	5%	6%	17%	20%	14%	10%	7%	4%	1%	2%	3%	7%	5%	100%
	Small Urban	2%	7%	20%	16%	18%	10%	6%	4%	1%		2%	13%	2%	100%
	Rural	11%	7%	11%	35%	17%	10%	2%	1%	1%		1%		4%	100%
	Total	6%	9%	17%	21%	15%	10%	5%	3%	2%	1%	2%	6%	3%	100%

Table 50: "Approximately how much do you earn per month?"