1042. Study of vibration characteristics of the short thin cylindrical shells and its experiment

Zhong Luo¹, Ning Sun², Yu Wang³, Kai Zhang⁴, Qingkai Han⁵

^{1, 2, 4}School of Mechanical Engineering & Automation, Northeastern University, China
³School of Mechanical Engineering and Automation
¹University of Science and Technology Liaoning, China
⁵School of Mechanical Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, China
¹Corresponding author
E-mail: ¹zhluo@mail.neu.edu.cn, ²657483739@qq.com, ³wangyu435@126.com, ⁴187243989@qq.com, ⁵hanqingkai@dlut.edu.cn
(Received 10 May 2013; accepted 4 September 2013)

Abstract. The short thin cylindrical shells are important component used in rotating machinery and its function is to connect shafts and transmitted torque. The kind of components is always destroyed due to vibrational state, so it is necessary to further research on the vibration characteristics. In this paper, the vibration characteristics of short thin cylindrical shells are solved using the beam function method, the transfer matrix method and the finite element method respectively. The solving results of three calculating methods are compared by simulation in the clamped-free and clamped-clamped boundary conditions. The simulation results show that the solving results of the transfer matrix method are close to the results of finite element method, but the deviation of the results of the beam functions method is larger than the other two methods. Furthermore, the experiments of the short thin cylindrical shell in the clamped-free boundary conditions are studied. The experimental results verify that the transfer matrix method and the finite element method are applicability to solve the vibration characteristics of the short thin cylindrical shells.

Keywords: short thin cylindrical shell, vibration characteristics, natural frequencies, beam functions method, transfer matrix method, finite element method.

1. Introduction

Short thin cylindrical shell usually means that the axial half-wave number is 1, and the ratios of thickness and other minimum parameter (i.e. diameter and length) is between 1/80 and 1/5 [1]. The short thin cylindrical shell has grown in importance with the increasing use of shell structures for a wide variety of applications in aerospace, shipbuilding, chemical machinery and other branches of engineering. It is important component used in rotating machinery and its function is to connect shafts and transmitted torque. It is subjected to centrifugal force, aerodynamic force, and vibration alternating force and other load during its working. The kind of components is always destroyed due to vibrational state. So it is necessary to further research on the vibration characteristics.

Currently numerous studies have been made to understand the vibration characteristic of the short thin cylindrical shell. In order to calculate the inherent characteristic of cantilever cylindrical shell, the Rayleigh-Ritz method, the axis-symmetry finite element method and the exact analytic method are employed by C. B. Sharma and D. J. Johns [2-3]. By using of the Love's shell equations, the influence of boundary conditions for a thin rotating stiffened cylindrical shell is researched by Lee [4]. Vibration characteristics of the laminated cylindrical shell are studied, then influence of boundary conditions and geometric parameters for system dynamics characteristics is analyzed by Zhang [5]. Studies for dynamic characteristic of boundary conditions for thin cylindrical shells have also been carried out by Lam and Loy [6]. Li Xuebin presents a new approach of separation of variables in circular cylindrical shell analysis for arbitrary boundary conditions [7]. The instant response of the laminated shell is subject to radial impacting loads and axial pressure load, and the boundary condition investigated in the study is clamped-simply. The

analysis is carried out using Love-type shell theory and the first order shear deformation theory by Jafari and Hamid [8, 9]. However, many of these studies are restricted to theory analyses. Studies for the contrast test of different analyze methods are seldom attempted, especially the experimental tests.

In this paper, the vibration characteristics of short thin cylindrical shells are solved using three analytical methods which is the beam function method, the transfer matrix method and the finite element method respectively, and then using the results of experiment confirmed the correctness and validity of analysis methods.

2. Analysis based on the beam function method

Figure 1 shows the nomenclature of a short thin cylindrical shell. The reference surface of the cylinder is taken at the middle surface where an orthogonal coordinate system $(0x\theta z)$ is fixed. The coordinates system $(0x\theta z)$ is the coordinates system $(0'x'\theta' r)$ translate *R* from origin *O* along *r* direction to one point of the middle surface. *x*-axis and *x'*-axis are parallel and in the same direction. θ -axis and θ' -axis are overlapping and in the same direction. *z*-axis and *r*-axis are overlapping. In the Figure 1, *R* is the radius, *L* is the length, *h* is the thickness, ρ is the material density, *E* is the Young's modulus and μ is the Poisson's ratio. The deformations of the cylindrical shell in the *x*, θ and *z* directions are denoted by $u(x, \theta, t)$, $v(x, \theta, t)$ and $w(x, \theta, t)$, respectively.



Fig. 1. The structures of the thin-walled cylindrical shells

2.1. Dynamic functions

The dynamic functions for a short thin cylindrical shell which describe the vibration characteristics of the vertical, tangential and radial vibrate can be written as [10]:

$$\rho H \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = K \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1 - \mu}{2R^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1 + \mu}{2R} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial \theta} + \frac{\mu}{R} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right), \tag{1}$$

$$\rho H \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} = K \left(\frac{1+\mu}{2R} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial \theta} + \frac{1-\mu}{2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} \right)$$

$$+ D \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(1-\mu)}{2R} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2R} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{2R} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial \theta} \right)$$
(2)

$$\rho H \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} = D \left(\frac{1}{R^4} \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial \theta^3} + \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} - \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} - \frac{1}{R^4} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial \theta^4} - \frac{2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2} \right) - K \left(\frac{\mu}{R} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{R^2} w \right),$$
(3)

where K is the different membrane stiffness, D is the flexural rigidity; $\rho H \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}$, $\rho H \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2}$ and $\rho H \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2}$ are inertia item.

In terms of the displacements u, v and w is derived for the free vibration of a rotating cylindrical shell and be written in the following form:

$$L_{11}u + L_{12}v + L_{13}w = 0, L_{21}u + L_{22}v + L_{23}w = 0, L_{31}u + L_{32}v + L_{33}w = 0,$$
(4)

where $L_{ij}(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$ are differential operators and can be written as follows:

$$\begin{split} L_{11} &= -\rho H \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + K \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + K \frac{1-\mu}{2R^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}, \\ L_{12} &= K \frac{1+\mu}{2R} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial \theta}, \\ L_{13} &= K \frac{\mu}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\ L_{21} &= K \frac{1+\mu}{2R} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial \theta}, \\ L_{22} &= -\rho H \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + K \frac{1-\mu}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + K \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + D \frac{(1-\mu)}{2R^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{1}{R^4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \\ L_{23} &= K \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - D \frac{1}{R^4} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \theta^3} - D \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta}, \\ L_{31} &= K \frac{\mu}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\ L_{32} &= K \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - D \frac{1}{R^4} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \theta^3} - D \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta}, \\ L_{33} &= \rho H \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + K \frac{1}{R^2} + D \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4} + D \frac{1}{R^4} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial \theta^4} + D \frac{2}{R^2} \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta^2}. \end{split}$$

2.2. Analytical method

The vibration mode functions of short thin cylindrical shell are derived for the axial beam function and the circumferential triangle function and can be written in the following form [10]:

$$u(x,\theta,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{U}_{mn} \Phi_u(x,\theta,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{U}_{mn} \phi_m^u(x) \varphi_n^u(\theta,t),$$

$$v(x,\theta,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{V}_{mn} \Phi_v(x,\theta,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{V}_{mn} \phi_m^v(x) \varphi_n^v(\theta,t),$$

$$w(x,\theta,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{W}_{mn} \Phi_w(x,\theta,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{W}_{mn} \phi_m^w(x) \varphi_n^w(\theta,t),$$
(5)

where \overline{U}_{mn} , \overline{V}_{mn} and \overline{W}_{mn} are the displacement amplitudes, and m and n are the axial and circumferential wave numbers, respectively. $\varphi_n^u(\theta, t)$, $\varphi_n^v(\theta, t)$, $\varphi_n^w(\theta, t)$, $\phi_m^u(x)$, $\phi_m^v(x)$ and $\phi_m^w(x)$ are written as $\varphi_n^u(\theta) = \varphi_n^w(\theta) = \cos(n\theta + \omega_{mn}t)$, $\varphi_n^v(\theta) = \sin(n\theta + \omega_{mn}t)$ and $\phi_m^u(x) = \phi_m^w(x) = \phi_m^w(x) = \phi(x)$.

The functions $\varphi_n^i(\theta, t)$ (i = u, v, w) are circumferential modal functions, where ω_{mn} are the natural frequencies. The functions $\varphi_m^i(\theta, t)$ (i = u, v, w) are the axial modal functions. The functions are written as the beam functions which can be expressed in a general form as Eq. (6):

$$\phi(x) = a_1 \cosh\left(\frac{\lambda_m x}{L}\right) + a_2 \cos\left(\frac{\lambda_m x}{L}\right) - \sigma_m \left[a_3 \sinh\left(\frac{\lambda_m x}{L}\right) + a_4 \sin\left(\frac{\lambda_m x}{L}\right)\right],\tag{6}$$

where λ_m , σ_m and a_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) are some constants with value depending on the boundary condition [11]. The parameters are given as follow for the following boundary conditions.

Boundary conditions	The parameters
S-S	$\lambda_m = \pi, \sigma_m = 1, a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0, a_4 = 1$
C-C	$\lambda_m = 4.7297, \sigma_m = 0.9825, a_1 = a_3 = 1, a_2 = a_4 = -1$
C-F	$\lambda_m = 3.9266, \sigma_m = 0.7341, a_1 = a_3 = 1, a_2 = a_4 = -1$
S-C	$\lambda_m = 1.8751, \sigma_m = 1.0008, a_1 = a_3 = 1, a_2 = a_4 = -1$

Table 1. The parameters corresponding to boundary conditions

The Eq. (5) is substituted into Eq. (4) and Galerkin's method is applied. The Eq. (4) can be written as:

$$\int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (L_{ij}u + L_{ij}v + L_{ij}w) \Phi_{s}(x,\theta,t) d\theta dx = 0, \quad i,j = 1,2,3, \quad s = u,v,w.$$
(7)

After performing the integration, Eq. (7) can be written in a matrix form as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \omega^{2} + c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ c_{21} & \omega^{2} + c_{22} & c_{23} \\ c_{31} & c_{32} & \omega^{2} + c_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{U}_{mn} \\ \overline{V}_{mn} \\ \overline{W}_{mn} \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$
(8)

where c_{ij} (i = 1, 2, 3; j = 1, 2, 3) are equation coefficients and can be written as:

$$c_{11} = \frac{K}{\rho H} \left(T_2 - \frac{n^2(1-\mu)}{2R^2} \right), c_{12} = KT_1 \frac{n(1+\mu)}{2\rho HRL}, c_{13} = KT_1 \frac{\mu}{\rho HRL}, c_{21} = -KT_1 \frac{n(1+\mu)L}{2\rho HR},$$

$$c_{22} = \frac{(KR^2 + D)}{\rho HR^2} \left(\frac{1-\mu}{2} T_2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2} \right), c_{23} = \frac{Dn}{\rho HR^2} \left(T_2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2} \right) - \frac{Kn}{\rho HR^2}, c_{31} = -KT_1 \frac{\mu L}{\rho HR},$$

$$c_{32} = \frac{Dn}{\rho HR^2} \left(T_2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2} \right) - \frac{Kn}{\rho HR^2}, c_{33} = D \frac{n^2}{\rho HR^2} \left(2T_2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2} \right) - \frac{K}{\rho HR^2} - \frac{D}{\rho H} T_3,$$
where T_1, T_2 and T_3 are defined as:
$$\int_{a}^{L} \phi(x) \phi'(x) dx \qquad \int_{a}^{b} \phi(x) \phi''(x) dx \qquad \int_{a}^{b} \phi(x) \phi'''(x) dx$$

$$T_{1} = \frac{\int_{0}^{L} \phi(x) \phi(x) dx}{\int_{0}^{L} \phi(x) \phi(x) dx}, T_{2} = \frac{\int_{0}^{L} \phi(x) \phi(x) dx}{\int_{0}^{L} \phi(x) \phi(x) dx}, T_{3} = \frac{\int_{0}^{L} \phi(x) \phi(x) dx}{\int_{0}^{L} \phi(x) \phi(x) dx}.$$

The Eq. (8) is solved by imposing non-trivial solutions condition and equating the determinant of the characteristic matrix to zero. A polynomial of the form can be obtained:

$$\omega^{6} + \omega^{4}\beta_{1} + \omega^{3}\beta_{2} + \omega^{2}\beta_{3} + \omega\beta_{4} + \beta_{5} = 0,$$
(9)

where β_i are equation coefficients and can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= c_{11} + c_{22} + c_{33}, \beta_2 = -2(c_{23} + c_{32}), \\ \beta_3 &= c_{11}c_{22} + c_{11}c_{33} + c_{22}c_{33} - c_{12}c_{21} - c_{13}c_{31} - c_{23}c_{32}, \\ \beta_4 &= 2(c_{13}c_{21} + c_{12}c_{31} - c_{11}c_{23} - c_{11}c_{32}), \\ \beta_5 &= c_{11}c_{22}c_{33} + c_{12}c_{23}c_{31} + c_{13}c_{21}c_{32} - c_{13}c_{22}c_{31} - c_{11}c_{23}c_{32} - c_{12}c_{21}c_{33}. \end{aligned}$$

Six ω_{imn} (i = 1, 2, ..., 6) are obtained by Eq. (9). Then the natural frequencies of the cylindrical shell are obtained by $f_{imn} = \omega_{imn}/(2\pi)$. The six natural frequencies are corresponding to a group of vibration mode. The smallest number is the natural frequencies of the short thin cylindrical shell [12].

3. Analysis based on the transfer matrix method

3.1. Fundamental equations

Figure 2 shows the nomenclature of a short thin cylindrical shell. The reference surface of the cylinder is taken at the middle surface where an orthogonal coordinate system $(Ox\theta z)$ is fixed. The coordinates system $(Ox\theta z)$ is the coordinates system $(O'x'\theta' r)$ translate *R* from origin *O* along *r* direction to one point of the middle surface. *x*-axis and *x'*-axis are parallel and in the same direction. θ -axis and θ' -axis are overlapping and in the same direction. *z*-axis and *r*-axis are overlapping. In the figure, *R* is the radius, *L* is the length, *h* is the thickness, ρ is the material density, *E* is the Young's modulus and μ is the Poisson's ratio. The deformations of the cylindrical shell in the *x*, θ and *z* directions are denoted by $u(x, \theta, t)$, $v(x, \theta, t)$ and $w(x, \theta, t)$, respectively. The short thin cylindrical shell is divided into *N* sections along its length. The length of every section is $L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_{k-1}, L_k$ ($k \le N$), respectively.



Fig. 2. The segmented mode of the thin-walled cylindrical shells

The bigger of N, the more accurate of the result is, but it spends more time on achieving the result. Thus, the N can't be too large or too small. As shown in Table 2, the natural frequencies are very close when N is 3, 4 and 5. Considering the time and accuracy, the N is 3 in this paper.

Table 2. The natural frequencies with different N ($m = 1, n = 6$)						
Ν	1	2	3	4	5	
Natural frequencies	14045.8	1377.44	1369.03	1369.02	1369.02	

Based on the Kirchhoff theory, the shear V_x and transversal shear S_x are the following [13]:

$$V_x = Q_x + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial M_{x\theta}}{\partial \theta},\tag{10}$$

$$S_x = N_{x\theta} + \frac{1}{R}M_{x\theta}.$$
(11)

Using the Love shell theory, the shell's equilibrium equations are expressed as vibration differential equation [14]:

$$\frac{\partial N_x}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial N_{x\theta}}{R\partial \theta} - \rho H \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial S_x}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial M_{x\theta}}{R\partial x} + \frac{\partial N_{\theta}}{R\partial \theta} + \frac{Q_{\theta}}{R} - \rho H \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial M_{x\theta}}{R\partial x\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial Q_{\theta}}{R\partial \theta} - \frac{N_{\theta}}{R} - \rho H \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} = 0,$$
(12)

The relationship of generalized force, corner and middle surface displacement are:

$$N_x = K \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \mu \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} + \frac{w}{R} \right) \right], \tag{13}$$

$$M_x = D\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_x}{\partial x} - \frac{\mu}{R^2} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2}\right),\tag{14}$$

$$V_x = \frac{\partial M_x}{x} - \frac{2}{R^2} D(1-\mu) \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x \partial \theta^2},$$
(15)

$$S_x = K \frac{1-\mu}{2} \left(\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{1}{R^2} D(1-\mu) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial \theta'},$$
(16)

$$\varphi_x = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial x},\tag{17}$$

where K, D are the shell's membrane stiffness, bending respectively.

The differential equations consist of Eq. (10)-(12), which contain 8 equations and 8 unknown variables. The unknown variables include 3 elastic displacement components, 1 rotation angle and 4 generalized force components. The unknown variables are expressed state vector which are defined as [15]:

$$\mathbf{Z}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} u & v & w & \varphi_x & M_x & V_x & S_x & N_x \end{bmatrix}^T.$$
(18)

Simplified Eq. (12) – Eq. (17) aiming at keeping only the state vector elements yields the following matrix equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Z}(x)}{\mathrm{d}x} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{Z}(x). \tag{19}$$

2

The coefficient matrices **U** of 8×8 order can be expressed in a general form as:

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\mu}{R}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} & -\frac{\mu}{R} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1-\mu^{2}}{Eh} \\ \frac{1}{R}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{h^{2}}{R^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} & 0 & 0 & \frac{2(1+\mu)}{Eh} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\mu}{R^{2}}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta^{2}} & 0 & \frac{12(1-\mu^{2})}{Eh^{3}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{Eh^{3}}{6(1+\mu)R^{2}}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta^{2}} & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{Eh}{R^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} & \frac{Eh^{3}}{12R^{4}}\frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial\theta^{4}} + \frac{Eh}{R^{2}} + \rho h \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} & 0 & \frac{\mu}{R^{2}}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta^{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{Eh}{R^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^{2}} + \rho h \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} & \frac{Eh^{3}}{12R^{4}}\frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial\theta^{3}} - \frac{Eh}{R^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} & 0 & \frac{\mu}{R^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ \rho h \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{Eh^{3}}{12(1+\mu)R^{3}}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\theta^{2}} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{R}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(20)$$

According to the geometric characteristics of thin-walled cylindrical shell, the middle surface generalized displacement and generalized force internal force of the short thin cylindrical shell

along the θ direction are written as:

$$\mathbf{Z}(x,\theta,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{u}(x)\cos(n\theta)\\ \tilde{v}(x)\sin(n\theta)\\ \tilde{w}(x)\cos(n\theta)\\ \tilde{M}_{x}(x)\cos(n\theta)\\ \tilde{M}_{x}(x)\cos(n\theta)\\ \tilde{N}_{x}(x)\cos(n\theta)\\ \tilde{S}_{x}(x)\sin(n\theta)\\ \tilde{N}_{x}(x)\cos(n\theta) \end{bmatrix} e^{j\omega t}.$$
(21)

Substituting Eq. (21) into Eq. (19), the differential equation of modal function can be written as [16]:

$$\frac{d\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}(x)}{dx} = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}(x),$$
(22)

where $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}(x) = [\tilde{u} \quad \tilde{v} \quad \tilde{w} \quad \tilde{\varphi}_x \quad \tilde{M}_x \quad \tilde{V}_x \quad \tilde{S}_x \quad \tilde{N}_x]^T$. The coefficient matrices $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ of 8×8 order can be expressed in a general form as:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{U}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & U_{12} & U_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & U_{18} \\ U_{21} & 0 & 0 & U_{24} & 0 & 0 & U_{27} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & U_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U_{42} & U_{43} & 0 & U_{45} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ U_{51} & 0 & 0 & U_{54} & 0 & U_{56} & U_{57} & 0 \\ 0 & U_{62} & U_{63} & 0 & U_{65} & 0 & 0 & U_{68} \\ 0 & U_{72} & U_{73} & 0 & U_{75} & 0 & 0 & U_{78} \\ U_{81} & 0 & 0 & U_{84} & 0 & 0 & U_{87} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$(23)$$

Suppose the cylinder is divided into *N* sections, stress state of any point on the arbitrary cross-section of shell as shown in Figure 3.



Fig. 3. Stress state of any point on the arbitrary cross-section

According to theory of solving differential equation, the general solution to state equation is the following:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}(L_k) = \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(L_k)\widetilde{\mathbf{Z}}(L_{k-1}), \tag{24}$$

where L_k is the length of k th shell segment, **G** is transfer matrix and can be written as:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(L_k) = \exp(\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}L_k). \tag{25}$$

The modal function can be obtained by reusing transfer matrix and yields the following matrix equation:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}(H) = \tilde{\mathbf{T}}(\omega)\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}(0), \tag{26}$$

where:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(\omega) = \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_{N}(L_{N})\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_{N-1}(L_{N-1})\cdots L\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_{2}(L_{2})\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}_{1}(L_{1}).$$
(27)

The coefficient matrices **U** are obtained by Eq. (22). Using the Eq. (24) and Eq. (26), the transitive relation of the state vectors can be obtained. The transfer matrix **T** is 8×8 matrix and can be written by calculating Eq. (27):

$$\begin{cases} u \\ v \\ w \\ \varphi_{s} \\ M_{s} \\ S_{s} \\ N_{s} \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & T_{13} & T_{14} & T_{15} & T_{16} & T_{17} & T_{18} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} & T_{23} & T_{24} & T_{25} & T_{26} & T_{27} & T_{28} \\ T_{31} & T_{32} & T_{33} & T_{34} & T_{35} & T_{36} & T_{37} & T_{38} \\ T_{41} & T_{42} & T_{43} & T_{44} & T_{45} & T_{46} & T_{47} & T_{48} \\ T_{51} & T_{52} & T_{53} & T_{54} & T_{55} & T_{56} & T_{57} & T_{58} \\ T_{61} & T_{62} & T_{63} & T_{64} & T_{65} & T_{66} & T_{67} & T_{68} \\ T_{71} & T_{72} & T_{73} & T_{74} & T_{75} & T_{76} & T_{77} & T_{78} \\ T_{81} & T_{82} & T_{83} & T_{84} & T_{85} & T_{86} & T_{87} & T_{88} \\ \end{cases} \end{cases} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \\ \varphi_{s} \\ N_{s} \\ N_{s} \\ \end{pmatrix},$$
(28)

where T_{ij} ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 8; j = 1, 2, \dots, 8$) are the transfer matrix coefficients.

3.2. Analytical method

The transfer matrixes are different on the different boundary conditions. The transfer matrixes are given as follows for the following boundary condition, and then the natural frequencies can be obtained.

a. Clamped-clamped Set s = 0, $u = v = w = \varphi_x = 0$; Set s = L, $u = v = w = \varphi_x = 0$. Substitute Eq. (29) into Eq. (28), Eq. (29) are expressed as following:

$$\mathbf{T}' = \begin{bmatrix} T_{15} & T_{16} & T_{17} & T_{18} \\ T_{25} & T_{26} & T_{27} & T_{28} \\ T_{35} & T_{36} & T_{37} & T_{38} \\ T_{45} & T_{46} & T_{47} & T_{48} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (29)

The determinant of the coefficients of the matrix \mathbf{T}' is zero:

 $\mathsf{Det}(\mathbf{T}') = \mathbf{0}.\tag{30}$

The matrix \mathbf{T}' is the function of natural frequencies. So the natural frequencies corresponding to modal are obtained.

b. Clamped-free Set s = 0, $u = v = w = \varphi_x = 0$; Set s = L, $M_x = N_x = V_x = S_x = 0$;

$$\mathbf{T}' = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & T_{13} & T_{14} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} & T_{23} & T_{24} \\ T_{31} & T_{32} & T_{33} & T_{34} \\ T_{41} & T_{42} & T_{43} & T_{44} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(31)

The determinant of the coefficients of the matrix \mathbf{T}' is zero. So the natural frequencies corresponding to modal are obtained.

4. Numerical computation based on finite element method

In the classical vibration theory, the basic equation of dynamic problems based on finite element methods is presented as follows [17]:

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{\delta}}(t) + \mathbf{C}\dot{\mathbf{\delta}}(t) + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{\delta}(t) = \mathbf{F},\tag{32}$$

where **M** is the total mass matrix, **C** is the total damping matrix, **K** is the total stiffness matrix, **F** is the total additional exciting force matrix, δ , $\dot{\delta}$ and $\ddot{\delta}$ are the joint displacement, velocity and acceleration column matrixes respectively.

As the natural vibration frequency of structural system is calculated with the consideration that the free vibration is conducted under undamped conditions. The equation of the natural vibration frequency is expressed as:

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{\delta}}(t) + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{\delta}(t) = 0. \tag{33}$$

The solutions can be presumed as the following types:

$$\boldsymbol{\delta} = \boldsymbol{\varphi} \sin \omega (t - t_0), \tag{34}$$

where φ is the *n* order vector quantity, ω is the natural frequency.

The Eq. (33) is substituted into Eq. (34), and then generalized eigenvalue problems are obtained as follows:

$$\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\varphi} - \omega^2 \mathbf{M}\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \mathbf{0}, \text{ or } [\mathbf{K} - \omega^2 \mathbf{M}]\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \mathbf{0}.$$
 (35)

According to the linear algebra theory, the necessary and sufficient conditions which allow Eq. (35) with untrivial solution are as follows:

$$\det(\mathbf{K} - \omega^2 \mathbf{M}) = 0, \tag{36}$$

where *n* characteristic solutions can be obtained by solving these equations, such as $(\omega_1^2, \varphi_1), (\omega_2^2, \varphi_2), \dots, (\omega_n^2, \varphi_n)$, among which $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n$ stand for *n* natural frequencies of system, and correspond to $0 \le \omega_1 < \omega_2 < \cdots < \omega_n$.

For every natural frequencies of structure, relative amplitudes of each node from one group can be concluded based on Eq. (35). Eigenvectors such as $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_n$ represent *n* natural modes of vibration of structure. Their amplitudes can be set as follows:

$$\mathbf{\phi}_{i}^{T}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{\phi}_{i} = 1 \ (i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n).$$
(37)

Natural mode of vibration is orthogonal compared to matrix **M**. Natural mode of vibration is defined as:

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} = [\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1 \quad \boldsymbol{\varphi}_2 \quad \dots \quad \boldsymbol{\varphi}_n]. \tag{38}$$

Then it can be obtained as follows:

$$\mathbf{\Omega}^2 = \operatorname{diag}(\omega_1^2 \quad \omega_2^2 \quad \dots \quad \omega_n^2). \tag{39}$$

The characters of characteristic solution can be also expressed as:

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}^T \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Phi} = \mathbf{I}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Phi}^T \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\Phi} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}^2, \tag{40}$$

where Φ and Ω^2 are the natural mode of vibration matrix and natural frequency matrix respectively.

5. The results of the three analytical methods

The natural frequencies of the static state of the cylindrical shell under clamped – free and clamped boundary conditions are calculated by the transfer matrix method, the beam function method and the finite element method, respectively, and make further verification of the natural frequencies. Table 3 shows the basic parameters of the thin-walled cylindrical shell.

Table 3. The basic parameters of the thin-walled cylindrical shell

Young	Poisson's	Density	Length	Thickness	Inside	External	Material
modulus	ratio	ρ	L	Н	radius	radius	
<i>E</i> (Pa)	μ	(kg/m^3)	(mm)	(mm)	<i>R</i> (mm)	<i>R</i> (mm)	
2.12×10 ¹¹	0.3	7850	95	2	142	144	Structural steel

Table 4. The first 20 d	order natural fr	equencies in the	e clamped – free t	boundary condition	tions (Unit: Hz)

.. .

Order	1 order	2 order	3 order	4 order	5 order
Modal shape	m = 1,	m = 1,	m = 1,	m = 1,	m = 1,
description	n = 6	n = 5	n = 7	n = 4	n = 8
Transfer matrix	1369	1424	1500	1701	1768
Beam function	1717	1858	1734	2168	1893
Finite element	1380	1450	1497	1739	1756
Order	6 order	7 order	8 order	9 order	10 order
Modal shape	m = 1,	m = 1,	m = 1,	m = 1,	m = 1,
description	n = 9	n = 3	n = 10	n = 11	n = 2
Transfer matrix	2131	2230	2567	3062	3091
Beam function	2174	2701	2552	3010	3636
Finite element	2115	2274	2550	3048	3137
Order	11 order	12 order	13 order	14 order	15 order
Order Modal shape	$\begin{array}{l} 11 \text{ order} \\ m = 1, \end{array}$	12 order $m = 2,$	13 order $m = 2,$	14 order $m = 2,$	$\begin{array}{l} 15 \text{ order} \\ m = 2, \end{array}$
Order Modal shape description	11 order m = 1, n = 12	12 order $m = 2,$ $n = 7$	13 order $m = 2,$ $n = 8$	14 order $m = 2,$ $n = 6$	15 order $m = 2,$ $n = 9$
Order Modal shape description Transfer matrix	11 order m = 1, n = 12 3612	12 order m = 2, n = 7 3611	13 order $m = 2$, $n = 8$ 3633	14 order m = 2, n = 6 3752	15 order m = 2, n = 9 3801
Order Modal shape description Transfer matrix Beam function	11 order m = 1, n = 12 3612 3536	12 order m = 2, n = 7 3611 3369	13 order $m = 2$, $n = 8$ 3633 3411	14 order m = 2, n = 6 3752 3457	15 order $m = 2$, $n = 9$ 3801 3572
Order Modal shape description Transfer matrix Beam function Finite element	$ \begin{array}{r} 11 \text{ order} \\ m = 1, \\ n = 12 \\ 3612 \\ 3536 \\ 3607 \\ \end{array} $	12 order m = 2, n = 7 3611 3369 3686	13 order $m = 2$, $n = 8$ 3633 3411 3721	14 order $m = 2$, $n = 6$ 3752 3457 3818	15 order $m = 2$, $n = 9$ 3801 3572 3909
Order Modal shape description Transfer matrix Beam function Finite element Order	11 order $m = 1$, $n = 12$ 3612 3536 3607 16 order	12 order $m = 2$, $n = 7$ 3611 3369 3686 17 order	13 order $m = 2$, $n = 8$ 3633 3411 3721 18 order	14 order $m = 2$, $n = 6$ 3752 3457 3818 19 order	15 order $m = 2$, $n = 9$ 3801 3572 3909 20 order
Order Modal shape description Transfer matrix Beam function Finite element Order Modal shape	11 order $m = 1$, $n = 12$ 3612 3536 3607 16 order $m = 2$,	12 order $m = 2$, $n = 7$ 3611 3369 3686 17 order $m = 1$,	13 order $m = 2$, $n = 8$ 3633 3411 3721 18 order $m = 2$,	14 order $m = 2$, $n = 6$ 3752 3457 3818 19 order $m = 1$,	15 order $m = 2$, $n = 9$ 3801 3572 3909 20 order $m = 2$,
Order Modal shape description Transfer matrix Beam function Finite element Order Modal shape description	11 order $m = 1$, $n = 12$ 3612 3536 3607 16 order $m = 2$, $n = 5$	12 order $m = 2$, $n = 7$ 3611 3369 3686 17 order $m = 1$, $n = 13$	13 order $m = 2$, $n = 8$ 3633 3411 3721 18 order $m = 2$, $n = 10$	14 order $m = 2$, $n = 6$ 3752 3457 3818 19 order $m = 1$, $n = 1$	15 order $m = 2$, $n = 9$ 3801 3572 3909 20 order $m = 2$, $n = 4$
Order Modal shape description Transfer matrix Beam function Finite element Order Modal shape description Transfer matrix	11 order $m = 1$, $n = 12$ 3612 3536 3607 16 order $m = 2$, $n = 5$ 4062	12 order $m = 2$, $n = 7$ 3611 3369 3686 17 order $m = 1$, $n = 13$ 4215	13 order $m = 2$, $n = 8$ 3633 3411 3721 18 order $m = 2$, $n = 10$ 4092	14 order $m = 2$, $n = 6$ 3752 3457 3818 19 order $m = 1$, $n = 1$ 4437	15 order $m = 2$, $n = 9$ 3801 3572 3909 20 order $m = 2$, $n = 4$ 4519
Order Modal shape description Transfer matrix Beam function Finite element Order Modal shape description Transfer matrix Beam function	11 order $m = 1$, $n = 12$ 3612 3536 3607 16 order $m = 2$, $n = 5$ 4062 3683	12 order $m = 2$, $n = 7$ 3611 3369 3686 17 order $m = 1$, $n = 13$ 4215 4124	13 order $m = 2$, $n = 8$ 3633 3411 3721 18 order $m = 2$, $n = 10$ 4092 3841	14 order $m = 2$, $n = 6$ 3752 3457 3818 19 order $m = 1$, $n = 1$ 4437 4935	15 order $m = 2$, $n = 9$ 3801 3572 3909 20 order $m = 2$, $n = 4$ 4519 4062

The natural frequencies of the cylindrical shell under clamped – free are calculated by the transfer matrix method, the beam function method and the finite element method respectively. Table 4 and Fig. 4 shows that the results of the transfer matrix method and the finite element method calculation are very close, the result of beam function method calculation is close to those

of transfer matrix method and the finite element method calculation only at the place of 6th order, the 8th order, the 9th order and the 11th order, the frequencies of other orders are quite different.

The natural frequencies of the cylindrical shell under both ends clamped boundary conditions are calculated by the transfer matrix method, the beam function method and the finite element method, respectively. Table 5 and Fig. 5 shows that the results of transfer matrix method and the finite element method calculation are very close, the result of beam function method calculation is close to those of transfer matrix method and the finite element method calculation only at the place of 8th order, the 10th order and the 12th order, the frequencies of other orders are quite different.



Fig. 4. The frequencies comparison of the three methods in the clamped - free boundary condition

Order	1 order	2 order	3 order	4 order	5 order
Modal shape	m = 1,	m=1,	m = 1,	m = 1,	m = 1,
description	n = 7	n = 8	n = 6	n = 9	n = 5
Transfer matrix	3051	3105	3136	3285	3369
Beam function	3239	3282	3332	3449	3572
Finite element	3115	3172	3198	3358	3428
Order	6 order	7 order	8 order	9 order	10 order
Modal shape	m = 1,	m = 1,	m = 1,	m = 1,	m = 1,
description	n = 10	n = 4	n = 11	n = 3	n = 12
Transfer matrix	3576	3761	3964	4322	4435
Beam function	3726	3967	4100	4522	4559
Finite element	3657	3816	4059	4368	4547
Order	11 order	12 order	13 order	14 order	15 order
Modal shape	m = 1,	m = 1,	m = 1,	m = 1,	m = 2,
description	n = 2	<i>n</i> = 13	n = 1	n = 14	n = 7
Transfer matrix	5034	4981	5759	5592	5804
Beam function	5209	5094	5881	5696	5696
Finite element	5066	5126	5770	5774	5943
Order	16 order	17 order	18 order	19 order	20 order
Modal shape	m=2,	m=2,	m=2,	m = 1,	m=2,
description	n = 6	n = 8	n = 5	n = 0	n = 9
Transfer matrix	5862	5836	5996	6103	5963
Beam function	5930	5929	6059	6189	6067
Finite element	5974	6012	6086	6101	6179

Fable 5. The first 20 order natural fre	uencies in the both ends clam	ped boundary condition (Unit: Hz)
--	-------------------------------	--------------------------	-----------



Fig. 5. The frequencies comparison of the three methods in the both ends clamped boundary condition

6. Experimental test and comparison

A method for identification the natural frequencies and the mode shape of cantilever circular cylindrical shells is presented, when striking it with a hammer. The hammering test system is shown in Fig. 6, they are impact measurement system consisting of modal hammer, polytec, LMS measurement system and a high-performance computer and so on.



Fig. 6. Impact measurement system for modal of cantilever circular cylindrical shells

Order	Modal shape description	Experiment	Finite element	Difference (%)
1	1,6	1065.3	1380	22.80
2	1,7	1310.6	1497	12.45
3	1,8	1627.9	1756	7.29
4	1,9	2005.6	2115	5.17
5	1,10	2436.1	2550	4.47
6	1,11	2916.0	3048	4.33

Table 6. The results of experiments and finite element method

The natural characteristics are obtained by the way of multi-point excitation and a single point response, the drum is divided into five laps, and 36 points per circle, the sensors are fixed in the

point (lap 10th point), an incentive hammer hammers sequentially 5×36 points.

The results are shown in Table 6 and Fig. 7. The results of the transfer matrix method and the finite element method are close to that of experiments, while the results vary wildly between the transfer matrix method and the beam functions method, however the operation time of the beam functions method is short, and its calculation process is simple.



Fig. 7. Three algorithms compared to experimental results

7. Conclusions

In this paper, analytical method (beam functions method), semi-analytical method (transfer matrix method) and numerical methods (finite element method) are presented to solve the natural characteristics of thin-walled cylindrical shell. The results in the clamped-free and clamped-clamped boundary conditions are focused on comparison. Furthermore, the experiments of the short thin cylindrical shell in the clamped-free boundary conditions are studied, which demonstrated that:

(1) Results of transfer matrix method and finite element method are basically similar, while great difference is obtained by beam functions method.

(2) Further studies by experiment have confirmed that the results obtained by transfer matrix method and finite element method are more accurate and efficient.

(3) Due to the influence of factors such as geometrical dimension, material parameters, machining accuracy and chucking ways, the biases between experimental results and calculation results is inevitable, but identical trends are observed during the study.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by National Science Foundation of China (51105064), National Program on Key Basic Research Project (2012CB026000), and Natural Science Foundation of Liaoning Province (201202056).

References

- [1] Wu Jialong Elastic Mechanics. Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2001, (in Chinese).
- [2] C. B. Sharma, D. J. Johns Free vibration of cantilever circular cylindrical shells a comparative study. Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 25, Issue 3, 1972, p. 433-449.
- [3] C. B. Sharma Calculation of natural frequencies of fixed-free circular cylindrical shells. Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 35, Issue 1, 1974, p. 55-76.

- [4] Young-Shin Lee, Young-Wann Kim Effect of boundary conditions on natural frequencies for rotating composite cylindrical shells with orthogonal stiffeners. Advances in Engineering Software, Vol. 30, Issue 9-11, 1999, p. 649-655.
- [5] X. M. Zhang Vibration analysis of cross-ply laminated composite cylindrical shells using the wave propagation approach. Applied Acoustics, Vol. 62, Issue 11, 2001, p. 1221-1228.
- [6] K. Y. Lam, C. T. Loy Influence of boundary conditions for a thin laminated rotating cylindrical shell. Composite Structures, Vol. 41, Issue 3-4, 1998, p. 215-228.
- [7] Li Xuebin A new approach for free vibration analysis of thin circular cylindrical shell. Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 296, Issue 1-2, 2006, p. 91-98.
- [8] A. A. Jafari, S. M. R. Khalili, R. Azarafza Transient dynamic response of composite circular cylindrical shells under radial impulse load and axial compressive loads. Thin-Walled Structures, Vol. 43, Issue 11, 2005, p 1763-1786.
- [9] Hamidzadeh H. R., Jazar R. N. Vibrations of Thick Cylindrical Structures. Springer, New York, 2010.
- [10] Hua Li, K. Y. Lam, T. Y. Ng Rotating Shell Dynamics. Elsevier Science, 2005.
- [11] Zhang Yimin Mechanical Vibration. Tsinghua University Press, Beijing, 2007, p 192-193.
- [12] Yan Litang, Zhu Zigen, Li Qihan High Speed Rotating Machinery Vibration. National Defence of Industry Press, Beijing, 1994.
- [13] Sun Shupeng Dynamic Modeling and Analysis of Combined Revolving Thin-Walled Shells. Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, 2010.
- [14] Love E. H. A Treatise on the Mathematical Theory of Elasticity. Fourth Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1952.
- [15] Leon Y. Bahar A state space approach to elasticity. Journal of the Franklin Institute, Vol. 299, Issue 1, 1975, p. 33-41.
- [16] Xiang Yu, Huang Yu-Ying, Lu Jing, et al. New matrix method for analyzing vibration and damping effect of sandwich circular cylindrical shell with viscoelastic core. Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, Vol. 29, Iusse 12, 2008, p. 1587-1600.
- [17] Yuan Anfu, Chen Jun Application of ANSYS in model analysis. Manufacturing Technology & Machine Tool, Issue 8, 2007, p. 79-82.