Nuclear mechanotransduction in stem cells

2		Mehdi S Hamouda ^{1,2,3,*} , Celine Labouesse ^{1,*} and Kevin J Chalut ^{1,2}
3	1.	Wellcome–MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, Jeffrey Cheah Biomedical Centre, University of
4		Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
5	2.	Cavendish Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
6 7 8	3. * I	Cell and tissue morphodynamics, NHLBI, NIH, Bethesda MD, USA Equal contributions
9	Со	rresponding author: Kevin J Chalut (kc370@cam.ac.uk)

10

1

11 Abstract

12 In development and in homeostatic maintenance of tissues, stem cells and progenitor cells are constantly subjected to forces. These forces can lead to significant changes in gene 13 14 expression and function of stem cells, mediating self-renewal, lineage specification, and 15 even loss of function. One of the ways that has been proposed to mediate these functional 16 changes in stem cells is nuclear mechanotransduction – the process by which forces are 17 converted to signals in the nucleus. The purpose of this review is to discuss the means by which mechanical signals are transduced into the nucleus, through the LINC complex and 18 19 other nuclear envelope transmembrane (NET) proteins, which connect the cytoskeleton to 20 the nucleus. We discuss how LINC/NET confers tissue-specific mechanosensitivity to cells, 21 and further elucidate how LINC/NET acts as a control center for nuclear mechanical 22 signals, regulating both gene expression and chromatin organization. Throughout, we 23 primarily focus on stem cell - specific examples, notwithstanding that this is a nascent field. 24 We conclude by highlighting open questions and pointing the way to enhanced research 25 efforts to understand the role nuclear mechanotransduction plays in cell fate choice.

26

1 Introduction

2 There have been considerable recent advances in understanding how biochemical signals,

- 3 as well as transcriptional and epigenetic control, affect development and stem cell fate [1-
- 4 3]. In addition to these factors, the stem cell niche is a highly dynamic mechanical
- 5 environment, and there is considerable influence of mechanical signals on stem cell
- 6 function [4]. Indeed, during development and lineage specification, stem cells encounter
- 7 and respond to extrinsic physical forces [5], yet there is currently limited understanding of
- 8 how those forces regulate gene expression. Even less is known about how these processes
- 9 can be exploited for better control of stem cell function in regenerative medicine.

10 Mechanical signal transduction begins at the plasma membrane, where forces can be 11 transmitted through transmembrane receptor complexes like integrins, or through 12 mechanosensitive ion channels such as Piezo1/2 [6], to initiate downstream signaling. Cell 13 membrane mechanosensors also mediate contractility in the actin cytoskeleton, either 14 through direct connection or by facilitating a change in molecules that affect contractility such as calcium. The polymerization state of actin, also influenced by contractility, controls 15 16 serum response factor (SRF) signaling, which at least in some cells plays a role in cell 17 signaling [7,8]. Moreover, the cytoskeleton also propagates mechanical stresses into the 18 nucleus, affecting its molecular composition and physical arrangement [9,10], the 19 structural organization and apico-basal polarity of the nuclear lamina [11], and molecular traffic across the nuclear envelope [12,13]. This relay of mechanical stress, called nuclear 20 mechanotransduction [14,15], could occur in several ways, and involves several categories 21 22 of macromolecular complexes: cytoskeletal-nucleus connections; the nuclear envelope; and 23 chromatin. A greater understanding of the interplay between these complexes, and their 24 potential effect on signaling and stem cell function, is the focus of this piece.

25 Nuclear envelope proteins: tissue-specific mechanosensitivity

Specialized protein structures responsible for the direct mechanical interfacing of the
nuclear envelope and cytoskeletal components have now been identified and are

28 collectively known as the linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton (LINC) complex

1 [16.17]. The LINC complex consists of SUN domain proteins that reside in the inner nuclear 2 membrane, and Nesprins that reside in the outer nuclear membrane and span into the 3 cytoplasm where they bind to various components of the cytoskeleton. The LINC complex 4 effectively forms a bridge that allows for the direct transmission of mechanical signals from 5 the cell surface to the nuclear envelope, on to the nucleoplasm and chromatin (Figure 6 1) [17]. A study that utilized a nesprin-2G FRET based tension biosensor demonstrated 7 direct evidence of the LINC complex bearing mechanical load [18]. Additional evidence of 8 the mechanical connection conferred by the LINC complex was presented in a study in 9 which shear stress was applied to the cell surface, which subsequently stretched chromatin 10 regions [19]. Here, it was demonstrated that a transgene inserted in a stretched region was 11 transcriptionally upregulated due to stretch-enabled binding of RNA polymerase II. Both 12 the chromatin displacement and transgene expression were abolished when SUN proteins 13 were depleted, strongly suggesting the requirement of the LINC complex for force-induced 14 transcription. We speculate that mechanical stress on the nucleus through LINC and other 15 nuclear envelope transmembrane (NET) proteins, through its influence on transcription, is 16 a primary mechanism governing how mechanical signals regulate stem cell fate choice

17 (Figures 1-2).

18 How mechanics regulates fate choice almost certainly differs from tissue to tissue, as the 19 enrichment of some components of cytoskeleton and nucleoskeleton vary with mechanical 20 stresses. In particular, incorporation of Lamin A/C in the nuclear lamina has been shown to 21 scale with tissue stiffness. Mechanically active or stress-bearing tissues such as muscle or 22 bone have nuclei with high lamin A/C:B stoichiometry, while softer tissue that experience 23 reduced external mechanical loads have low lamin A/C expression [20,21]. Lamin A/C also 24 protects against DNA damage [22], which may play a role in aging and stem cell senescence. 25 Lamin A/C assembly dynamically responds to force application via phosphorylation. 26 Reduced force transmission to the nucleus increases Lamin A/C phosphorylation which 27 subsequently solubilizes the protein [20]. This illustrates the force regulation of the nuclear 28 lamins, and how that could potentially play a role in gene regulation and fate specification 29 [23]. Importantly, however, a direct link between nuclear lamins and cell fate choice has 30 vet to be firmly established.



2

3 **Figure 1. Factors in nuclear mechanotransduction**

4 Forces applied to the cell, *e.g.* tensional forces sensed at the cell surface, are transmitted to the 5 nucleus through a relay of mechanical stresses. In the cytoplasm, the cytoskeleton propagates 6 stresses to the LINC complex. The Nesprins and SUN domain proteins that form the LINC complex 7 connect to the cytoskeleton and can further transmit forces inside the nucleus to the nuclear 8 envelope transmembrane proteins (NETs), the lamins, and ultimately, to chromatin. The LINC/NET 9 proteins can also influence the nucleo-cytoplasmic trafficking of signaling factors through nuclear 10 pore complexes. ER: Endoplamic Reticulum; ONM: Outer Nuclear Membrane; INM: Inner Nuclear 11 Membrane.

1

2 LINC/NETs also have a tissue-specific composition [24]. The SUN1:SUN2 stoichiometry 3 appears to vary across cell types [25], leading to different positioning in the nucleus based 4 on whether they favor microtubule-based (SUN1) or actin-based (SUN2) 5 movements [26]. A specific composition of LINC complex appears to define the range of 6 mechanical strains to which a cell will respond, conferring a possible tissue-specific nuclear 7 mechanosensitivity. It was shown, for example, that SUN proteins are required for the 8 transduction of low magnitude strains in mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) [27]. Engagement 9 of the LINC complex then activated Focal Adhesion Kinase (FAK) and downstream ß-10 catenin signaling, which ultimately reduced adipogenic differentiation of MSCs [28]. Conversely, high magnitude strain was not transduced through the LINC complex but was 11 12 instead sensed and transduced directly at focal adhesions, also activating FAK and ß-13 catenin activity [27]. Therefore, the expression of SUN domain proteins can determine the 14 nuclear sensitivity to low and high magnitude strains, which in turn could activate different 15 pathways.

16 Additionally, mechanical stress can play a key role in recruiting and stabilizing certain 17 LINC/NET proteins while destabilizing others. For example, Emerin, which is an actin 18 capping, transmembrane protein localized mostly in the inner nuclear membrane [16,29], 19 exhibits a force-dependent phosphorylation in HeLa cells [30]. When force was applied to 20 Nesprin-1, the nuclear envelope demonstrated a stiffening response, and it was shown that 21 this was a result of Src-kinase-mediated Emerin phosphorylation driving the assembly of 22 Lamin A. Other studies have suggested the possibility that Emerin phosphorylation recruits 23 other NETs to assemble the LINC complex in response to force [17], and that Emerin itself 24 is recruited at the outer nuclear membrane in response to cyclic strain in an acto-myosin 25 dependent manner [31].

In cases of extreme mechanical stimulation, a stress response can lead to the disassembly
of the LINC complex. For example, in human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) under high
frequency cyclic tensile strain, a rapid phosphorylation and turnover of SUN2 was
observed within a few minutes leading to a decoupling of the nucleus from the cell [32]. In

- 1 this case, it is notable that the ratio of SUN1:SUN2 increases. Significantly, in mutant cells
- 2 either lacking or overexpressing SUN2, the cell and nucleus remained weakly coupled,
- 3 leading to higher strain-induced DNA damage. Optimal levels of SUN2 proteins, therefore,
- 4 appear to be required to orchestrate an appropriate response to mechanical strain,
- 5 whether that is concerning signal transduction or a weakening of the coupling between cell
- 6 and nucleus to ensure DNA protection. In a similar manner, it was shown that high levels of
- 7 Lamin A increase heterochromatin softening under high amplitude cyclic stretch,
- 8 preventing DNA damage [33]. Cells with low levels of Lamin A in contrast show no
- 9 softening but high levels of DNA damage. Ultimately, an appropriate balance of nuclear
- 10 envelope proteins ensures cell-specific sensitivity to mechanical signals, and optimal
- 11 downstream function, including regulation of gene expression.

12 LINC integrates mechanical signaling pathways to regulate gene

13 expression

Beyond direct transmission of mechanical forces to the nucleus, the LINC complex also
modulates signal transduction via interaction with transcription factors and other signaling

16 factors. Both Nesprins and SUN proteins have been shown to interact with the important

- 17 differentiation signal β -catenin, promoting its nuclear import [34,35]. On the nuclear side,
- 18 Emerin also binds β-catenin, promoting its export and accumulation outside of the nuclear
- 19 envelope [36]. The expression and activity of LINC/NET proteins, and perhaps most
- 20 importantly their mechanical stability, therefore participate in regulating β-catenin
- 21 signaling.

22 The nuclear envelope is also a site of actin polymerization under mechanical stimulation.

23 For instance, assembly of an actin ring around the nucleus was observed in epidermal stem

cells under cyclic stretch [31], and even under low magnitude strain in MSCs [27]. F-actin

- structures in the perinuclear area are relevant for several reasons: (i) they modify the
- 26 balance of monomeric and filamentous actin, leading to a depletion of the pool of
- 27 monomeric actin in the nucleus. This depletion affects RNA polymerase activity, chromatin
- remodeling complexes and long range chromosome territory movements [37,38]; (ii) they

1 can lead to localization of Emerin either in the cytoplasm or at the outer nuclear 2 membrane, thus depleting it from the inner nuclear membrane [31,39]. The nuclear 3 envelope-mediated balance of actin stress is a key regulator of SRF/Mkl1 (also called 4 MRTF-A) signaling, which is central to a number of biological processes [40]. Mkl1 is bound 5 to G-actin in the cytoplasm, shuttles to the nucleus upon dissociation from actin and acts as 6 an SRF co-factor upon binding to DNA targets. Its nuclear export is G-actin dependent 7 [7,40]. Emerin at the inner nuclear membrane, through its capability of binding nuclear 8 actin, is necessary for Mkl1 nuclear accumulation. In many laminopathies where Emerin is 9 either mislocalized or missing, Mkl1 nuclear translocation is impaired leading to, for 10 example, cardiac developmental defects [41].

11 SUN proteins also seemingly regulate Mkl1 activation through signaling to RhoA. SUN1 and 12 SUN2 appear to have opposite effects on RhoA activity, with SUN1 antagonizing RhoA 13 activity and SUN2 stabilizing it, possibly through force-dependent regulation of Rho-GEFs 14 [42]. The activity of ERK1/2 is also impacted by NETs, lamina proteins and their interactions. ERK1/2 can bind Lamin A [43], but this interaction itself depends on Emerin-15 16 Lamin A binding. As evidence, there have been numerous examples of abnormal ERK activity in Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy and dilated cardiomyopathy, two diseases 17 18 driven by mutations in LMNA [44,45]. The onset of these diseases and subsequent heart 19 failure was prevented in mouse disease models by pharmacological inhibition of ERK 20 [46,47].

21 Thus, the assembly of a specific LINC complex, and the stoichiometry, localization, and the 22 mechanical stability of its proteins can significantly modulate pathways regulating gene 23 expression. LINC proteins can directly bind signaling factors such as β -catenin, act 24 upstream of signaling pathways such as in the case of RhoA/SRF/Mkl1, and modulate 25 Lamin A - ERK interactions, which could have a strong impact on stem cell fate choice (see 26 Figure 2). More generally, stem cell fate changes are known to be accompanied by changes 27 in nuclear mechanics driven by changes in chromatin condensation states [29,48,49]. 28 Taken together, the work we have discussed strongly suggests there may be an important 29 relationship, via LINC/NETs, between nuclear mechanics and genome organization.

1 Mechanosensitive Nuclear Envelope Proteins mediate chromatin

2 organization

3 For a given cell type, the spatial organization of the genome displays a number of invariant 4 features, notably usually a strong correlation between gene activity and radial gene 5 positioning [50,51]. This correlation can be generalized to a conserved 6 compartmentalization of the genome between active and repressed regions [52], and a 7 probabilistic (neither random, nor fixed) radial positioning of individual loci within these 8 compartments [51]. Regions of the genome that are gene-poor, transcriptionally repressed 9 and generally heterochromatic, are typically either found at the nuclear periphery, or 10 wrapped around the nucleolus; regions that are gene-rich, actively transcribed, and 11 generally euchromatic usually occupy a central ring, distant from the nuclear periphery. A 12 better visualization of these compartments was recently achieved by a study mapping 3D 13 genome organization in individual cells, using single-cell Hi-C technology [53]. 14 Nevertheless, a notable exception to the radial positioning of heterochromatic and 15 euchromatic regions is found in the rod cells of nocturnal mammals. These have an *inverted* 16 nucleus, with heterochromatin in the center and euchromatin at the periphery, due to an 17 absence of Lamin A and Lamin B receptor [54,55]. However, in most stem cells, positioning 18 and genome compartmentalization of heterochromatin can be relatively well predicted by 19 its proximity with the nuclear periphery [52]. The nuclear periphery and its interactions 20 with chromatin would thus seem to be of crucial importance in the regulation of genome 21 conformation and activity.

22 The chromatin regions closest to the periphery can be tethered to the nuclear lamina, 23 forming interfaces known as Lamina Associated Domains (LADs), which were initially 24 defined by DNA adenine methyltransferase (DamID) studies with Lamin B1 [52]. Through 25 regulation of LADs and genomic organization, the nuclear envelope and its various 26 components are likely to play a significant role in regulating gene expression as cells 27 differentiate. Indeed, LADs are dynamically regulated during cell differentiation. A mapping 28 of LADs in different cell states showed that as pluripotent cells differentiated into neural 29 lineages, interactions of lineage genes with the lamina were reduced, allowing their

1 subsequent activation [56]. Also, during adipogenesis, the localization of *PPARG* away from 2 the periphery correlated with increased transcriptional activity [57]. Lineage gene 3 tethering and unlocking can be disrupted by abnormal lamina or NET protein expression. 4 For instance, overexpressing muscle-specific NETs involved in tethering of lineage genes 5 disrupted myogenesis by perturbing the sensitive spatiotemporal order of lineage gene 6 expression [58]. It was also recently shown that the histone deacetylase complex HDAC3 7 acts as a chromatin tether [59]. This role of HDAC3 was necessary for the coordination of 8 lineage gene expression in cardiomyocyte specification as HDAC3-null pluripotent cells 9 precociously differentiated, attributed to reduced lamina tethering of lineage genes. These 10 studies support the idea that chromatin-nuclear envelope interactions constitute an added 11 regulatory layer, important in controlling the kinetics of gene expression during stem cell 12 differentiation.

13 An open question is whether NET mechanosensitivity, and downstream effects on chromatin tethering and genome organization, can influence stem cell differentiation. If 14 this is the case, Emerin likely plays an important role, as it is an actin capping NET that has 15 16 many binding partners, including lamins, LINC and HDAC3 [16,60]. It is also involved in chromatin tethering and LAD anchoring at the nuclear periphery [61]. Several studies also 17 18 implicate Emerin mechanosensitivity in laminopathies [39,62]. Moreover, recent evidence 19 indicates that Emerin is vital to radial chromosome positioning, with chromosome 20 territories mislocalized in DLD-1 colorectal adenocarcinoma cells cultured on soft 21 substrates [63]. In this study, Src-mediated Emerin phosphorylation (on a different residue 22 than those identified in [30]) led to the movement of some chromosomes territories to the 23 nuclear interior, and to gene deregulation. Src inhibition selectively rescued some, but not 24 all, chromosomes to their peripheral positioning. This selectivity is notable as it suggests 25 chromatin region specific responsiveness to NET activity. In another study, it was found 26 that co-depletion of Lamin A and Emerin also resulted in chromosome territory 27 mislocalization and gene mis-regulation [64]. These studies further suggest that Emerin 28 and the nuclear lamina are central for regulating chromatin spatial organization and gene 29 expression.

1 In stem cells, few studies have so far bridged the gap between NET mechanosensitivity and 2 genome organization. One important exception is a landmark study that demonstrated that 3 in epidermal progenitor cells under strain, Emerin re-localized from the inner to the outer 4 nuclear membrane. This resulted in the dissociation of lineage genes tethered to the 5 nuclear lamina. Stretch-induced depletion of nuclear G-actin led to a drop in transcription 6 followed by the Polycomb repressive complex targeting the untethered lineage genes [31]. 7 Importantly, blocking Emerin re-localization *in vivo* using a conditional myosin heavy chain 8 knockout led to precocious differentiation of epidermal progenitor cells, underlining the 9 importance of mechanotransduction in controlling the timing of differentiation. This study 10 and others [65] suggest that the dynamic mechanical properties of a stem cell niche can 11 lead, through alterations in mechanical signaling, to significant alterations of cell state 12 regulation.

13

14 Outlook for stem cells

15

16 LINC/NET proteins sense and transduce mechanical stress into the nucleus. By directly 17 transmitting through the lamina to the tethered chromatin, and by interacting with 18 signaling molecules, LINC/NET proteins such as Emerin are capable of impacting chromatin conformation, gene mobility, histone modifications, transcription factors, and 19 20 ultimately the regulation of gene expression. Moreover, recent evidence has suggested that 21 tissues possess a specific composition, stoichiometry and activity of LINC/NET proteins 22 depending on the mechanical properties of that tissue. This unique fingerprint helps 23 determine the sensitivity and response of each cell type to mechanical stress. 24 Putatively, each tissue could therefore use this tuning mechanism to alter its 25 mechanosensitivity to the range of stresses it experiences, both during development and in 26 homeostatic conditions. An unbalanced LINC complex (either by altered composition or by 27 decoupling, **Figure 2**) could lead to a change or impairment in the cell-nucleus connection 28 and a tuning or mis-regulation of signaling activity. This could have a profound impact on 29 stem cells and development. Specific hallmarks of fate changes in embryogenesis such as

epithelialization and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transitions, and accompanying changes in 1 2 cell and nuclear mechanics, subject the nucleus to significant mechanical stress. The change 3 in mechanical stress is concomitant with high activity of many signaling pathways driving 4 differentiation. Ultimately, the mis-regulation of LINC/NET arrangement could desensitize 5 or oversensitize a stem cell to mechanical stimuli, and result in delayed or premature 6 differentiation capacity by biasing the balance between self-renewal and differentiation, or 7 potentially lead to senescence [66]. However, how LINC/NET affects stem cell function 8 remains to be studied systematically. Also, as opposed to lamina proteins [20,67] the 9 evolution of LINC/NET proteins expression throughout development remains to be

10 mapped tissue by tissue.



11

12 Figure 2. A balanced LINC complex is required for proper stem cell differentiation.

A balanced LINC comprises the following: (i) tissue-specific composition and stoichiometry of LINC/NET proteins (Nesprins, SUNs and Emerins represented here), (ii) normal activity of NETs as controlled by protein localization and post-translational modifications (not shown here), and (iii) mechanical engagement of factors allowing force transmission to the lamina and chromatin (for a given range of force amplitudes and frequencies). If one of these factors is missing, stem cell differentiation could be disrupted by the means illustrated above. Impaired differentiation could be a combination of these modes. First (top right), signaling factors ($^{\circ}$) such as β -catenin and MKL1, are not accumulated in the nucleus, leading to an imbalance in inductive and repressive factors. Second (bottom left), deficiencies in the NETs can delay/impair gene unlocking (through misregulation of histone modifications for instance). Lineage genes would therefore remain in Lamina-Associated-Domains (LADs), which tend to be repressive. While this does not necessarily lead to

absence of transcription, it can delay or limit the rate of transcription of locked genes. Finally (bottom right), a misregulation of tissue-specific NETs could also lead to premature unlocking of genes, resulting in early transcription of lineage genes and loss of spatiotemporal control in gene expression (bottom right). ER: Endoplasmic Reticulum.

- 1 As the relevant mechanical forces in stem cells are being better described [68,69], it will be
- 2 interesting to specifically interrogate the composition and activity of LINC/NET proteins,
- 3 and their role in mechanotransduction and cell fate choice. Mechanical stimulation assays
- 4 along with specific perturbations to LINC/NET will be necessary to determine the role of
- 5 mechano-coupling in stem cells during differentiation [70]. It is likely that the relationship
- 6 between mechanical coupling and signaling will be lineage specific. Ultimately, it will be
- 7 important to translate any knowledge gained from mechanical studies of stem cell
- 8 differentiation *in vivo*, particularly how LINC/NETs and the nuclear lamins regulate stem
- 9 and progenitor cell function in the development and maintenance of different organs.

10

11

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge G W Wylde and S Basu for their contribution to the discussion. This work was financially supported by core funding from the Medical Research Council and Wellcome to the Wellcome-MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, and an individual grants to KJC from the (European Research Council Consolidator Grant 772798). MSH is a NIH OxCam fellow.

12

1 References

2 1. Fisher CL, Fisher AG: Chromatin states in pluripotent, differentiated, and 3 reprogrammed cells. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2011, 21:140–146. 4 2. Marks H, Kalkan T, Menafra R, Denissov S, Jones K, Hofemeister H, Nichols J, Kranz A, 5 Francis Stewart A, Smith A, et al.: The transcriptional and epigenomic foundations of 6 ground state pluripotency. Cell 2012, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.03.026. 7 3. Kalkan T, Olova N, Roode M, Mulas C, Lee HJ, Nett I, Marks H, Walker R, Stunnenberg HG, 8 Lilley KS, et al.: Tracking the embryonic stem cell transition from ground state 9 pluripotency. Development 2017, 144:1221-1234. 10 4. Xue X, Sun Y, Resto-Irizarry AM, Yuan Y, Aw Yong KM, Zheng Y, Weng S, Shao Y, Chai Y, 11 Studer L, et al.: Mechanics-guided embryonic patterning of neuroectoderm tissue from 12 human pluripotent stem cells. Nat Mater 2018, doi:10.1038/s41563-018-0082-9. 13 5. Hannezo E, Heisenberg CP: Mechanochemical Feedback Loops in Development and 14 Disease. Cell 2019, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.052. 15 6. Wu J, Lewis AH, Grandl J: Touch, Tension, and Transduction – The Function and 16 Regulation of Piezo Ion Channels. Trends Biochem Sci 2017, 42:57–71. 17 7. Chalut KJ, Paluch EK: The Actin Cortex: A Bridge between Cell Shape and Function. Dev 18 *Cell* 2016, **38**:571–573. 19 Connelly JT, Gautrot JE, Trappmann B, Tan DW-M, Donati G, Huck WTS, Watt FM: Actin 8. 20 and serum response factor transduce physical cues from the microenvironment to 21 regulate epidermal stem cell fate decisions. Nat Cell Biol 2010, 12:711–8. 22 9. Biedzinski S, Faivre L, Vianay B, Delord M, Blanchoin L, Larghero J, Théry M, Brunet S: 23 Microtubules deform the nucleus and force chromatin reorganization during early 24 differentiation of human hematopoietic stem cells. *bioRxiv* 2019, doi:10.1101/763326. 25 10. Talwar S, Kumar A, Rao M, Menon GI, Shivashankar G V.: Correlated spatio-temporal 26 fluctuations in chromatin compaction states characterize stem cells. *Biophys J* 2013, 27 **104**:553–64. 28 11. Ihalainen TO, Aires L, Herzog FA, Schwartlander R, Moeller J, Vogel V: Differential basal-29 to-apical accessibility of lamin A/C epitopes in the nuclear lamina regulated by changes 30 in cytoskeletal tension. Nat Mater 2015, 14. 31 12. Infante E, Stannard A, Board SJ, Rico-Lastres P, Rostkova E, Beedle AEM, Lezamiz A, Wang 32 YJ, Gulaidi Breen S, Panagaki F, et al.: The mechanical stability of proteins regulates their 33 translocation rate into the cell nucleus. Nat Phys 2019, 15:973–981. 34 13. Kassianidou E, Kalita J, Lim RYH: The role of nucleocytoplasmic transport in 35 mechanotransduction. Exp Cell Res 2019, doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2019.02.009.

1 14. Kirby TJ, Lammerding J: Emerging views of the nucleus as a cellular mechanosensor. Nat 2 *Cell Biol* 2018, **20**:373–381. 3 15. Miroshnikova YA, Nava MM, Wickström SA: Emerging roles of mechanical forces in 4 chromatin regulation. J Cell Sci 2017, 130:2243-2250. 5 16. Chang W, Worman HJ, Gundersen GG: Accessorizing and anchoring the LINC complex for multifunctionality. J Cell Biol 2015, doi:10.1083/jcb.201409047. 6 7 17. Uzer G, Rubin CT, Rubin J: Cell Mechanosensitivity Is Enabled by the LINC Nuclear 8 Complex. Curr Mol Biol Reports 2016, 2:36–47. 9 Arsenovic PT, Ramachandran I, Bathula K, Zhu R, Narang JD, Noll NA, Lemmon CA, 18. 10 Gundersen GG, Conway DE: Nesprin-2G, a Component of the Nuclear LINC Complex, Is 11 Subject to Myosin-Dependent Tension. Biophys J 2016, 110. 12 19. Tajik A, Zhang Y, Wei F, Sun J, Jia Q, Zhou W, Singh R, Khanna N, Belmont AS, Wang N: 13 Transcription upregulation via force-induced direct stretching of chromatin. Nat Mater 14 2016, **15**:1287–1296. 15 Swift J, Ivanovska IL, Buxboim A, Harada T, Dave PC, Dingal PCDP, Pinter J, Pajerowski JD, 20. 16 Spinler KR, Shin J-W, et al.: Nuclear Lamin-A Scales with Tissue Stiffness and Enhances 17 Matrix-Directed Differentiation. Science 2013, 341:1240104. 18 21. Buxboim A, Irianto J, Swift J, Athirasala A, Shin J-W, Rehfeldt F, Discher DE: Coordinated 19 increase of nuclear tension and lamin-A with matrix stiffness outcompetes lamin-B 20 receptor that favors soft tissue phenotypes. Mol Biol Cell 2017, 28:3333–3348. 21 Cho S, Vashisth M, Abbas A, Majkut S, Vogel K, Xia Y, Ivanovska IL, Irianto J, Tewari M, 22. 22 Zhu K, et al.: Mechanosensing by the Lamina Protects against Nuclear Rupture, DNA 23 Damage, and Cell-Cycle Arrest. Dev Cell 2019, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2019.04.020. 24 Guerreiro I, Kind J: Spatial chromatin organization and gene regulation at the nuclear 23. 25 lamina. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2019, doi:10.1016/j.gde.2019.04.008. 26 24. Worman HJ, Schirmer EC: Nuclear membrane diversity: Underlying tissue-specific 27 pathologies in disease? Curr Opin Cell Biol 2015, 34:101–112. 28 May CK, Carroll CW: Differential incorporation of SUN-domain proteins into LINC 25. 29 complexes is coupled to gene expression. PLoS One 2018, 30 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0197621. 31 Chang W, Wang Y, Gant Luxton GW, Östlund C, Worman HJ, Gundersen GG: Imbalanced 26. 32 nucleocytoskeletal connections create common polarity defects in progeria and 33 physiological aging. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2019, doi:10.1073/pnas.1809683116. 34 Uzer G, Thompson WR, Sen B, Xie Z, Yen SS, Miller S, Bas G, Styner M, Rubin CT, Judex S, 27. 35 et al.: Cell mechanosensitivity to extremely low-magnitude signals is enabled by a 36 LINCed nucleus. Stem Cells 2015, doi:10.1002/stem.2004.

- Sen B, Xie Z, Case N, Ma M, Rubin C, Rubin J: Mechanical strain inhibits adipogenesis in
 mesenchymal stem cells by stimulating a durable β-catenin signal. *Endocrinology* 2008,
 doi:10.1210/en.2008-0687.
- Heo SJ, Cosgrove BD, Daiv EN, Mauck RL: Mechano-adaptation of the stem cell nucleus.
 Nucleus 2018, doi:10.1080/19491034.2017.1371398.
- Guilluy C, Osborne LD, Van LL, Sharek L, Superfine R, Garcia-Mata R, Burridge K: Isolated
 nuclei adapt to force and reveal a mechanotransduction pathway in the nucleus. *Nat Cell Biol* 2014, 16:376–381.
- 9 31. Le HQ, Ghatak S, Yeung C-YC, Tellkamp F, Günschmann C, Dieterich C, Yeroslaviz A,
 10 Habermann B, Pombo A, Niessen CM, et al.: Mechanical regulation of transcription
 11 controls Polycomb-mediated gene silencing during lineage commitment. Nat Cell Biol
 12 2016, doi:10.1038/ncb3387.
- Gilbert HTJ, Mallikarjun V, Dobre O, Jackson MR, Pedley R, Gilmore AP, Richardson SM,
 Swift J: Nuclear decoupling is part of a rapid protein-level cellular response to high intensity mechanical loading. Nat Commun 2019, 10:1–15.
- Nava MM, Miroshnikova YA, Biggs LC, Whitefield DB, Metge F, Boucas J, Vihinen H,
 Jokitalo E, Li X, García Arcos JM, et al.: Heterochromatin-Driven Nuclear Softening
 Protects the Genome against Mechanical Stress-Induced Damage. *Cell* 2020,
 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.052.
- 34. Uzer G, Bas G, Sen B, Xie Z, Birks S, Olcum M, McGrath C, Styner M, Rubin J: Sun mediated mechanical LINC between nucleus and cytoskeleton regulates βcatenin
 nuclear access. J Biomech 2018, doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2018.04.013.
- 23 35. Zhang Q, Minaisah RM, Ferraro E, Li C, Porter LJ, Zhou C, Gao F, Zhang J, Rajgor D, Autore
 24 F, et al.: N-terminal nesprin-2 variants regulate β-catenin signalling. *Exp Cell Res* 2016,
 25 doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2016.06.008.
- 36. Markiewicz E, Tilgner K, Barker N, Van De Wetering M, Clevers H, Dorobek M,
 Hausmanowa-Petrusewicz I, Ramaekers FCS, Broers JLV, Blankesteijn WM, et al.: The
 inner nuclear membrane protein Emerin regulates β-catenin activity by restricting its
 accumulation in the nucleus. *EMBO J* 2006, doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601230.
- 30 37. Plessner M, Melak M, Chinchilla P, Baarlink C, Grosse R: Nuclear F-actin formation and
 31 reorganization upon cell spreading. J Biol Chem 2015, 290:11209–16.
- 32 38. Virtanen JA, Vartiainen MK: Diverse functions for different forms of nuclear actin. *Curr* 33 *Opin Cell Biol* 2017, doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2016.12.004.
- 34 39. Ho CY, Jaalouk DE, Vartiainen MK, Lammerding J: Lamin A/C and emerin regulate MKL1 35 SRF activity by modulating actin dynamics. *Nature* 2013, 497:507–11.
- 36 40. Olson EN, Nordheim A: Linking actin dynamics and gene transcription to drive cellular

1		motile functions. Nat Rev - Mol Cell Biol 2010, 11:353–65.
2 3	41.	Ho CY, Jaalouk DE, Lammerding J: Novel insights into the disease etiology of laminopathies. <i>Rare Dis</i> 2013, 1 :e27002.
4 5	42.	Thakar K, May CK, Rogers A, Carroll CW: Opposing roles for distinct LINC complexes in regulation of the small GTPase RhoA . <i>Mol Biol Cell</i> 2017, doi:10.1091/mbc.E16-06-0467.
6 7 8	43.	Gonzàlez JM, Navarro-Puche A, Casar B, Crespo P, Andrès V: Fast regulation of AP-1 activity through interaction of lamin A/C, ERK1/2, and c-Fos at the nuclear envelope. <i>J</i> <i>Cell Biol</i> 2008, doi:10.1083/jcb.200805049.
9 10 11	44.	Muchir A, Pavlidis P, Decostre V, Herron AJ, Arimura T, Bonne G, Worman HJ: Activation of MAPK pathways links LMNA mutations to cardiomyopathy in Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy. <i>J Clin Invest</i> 2007, doi:10.1172/JCI29042.
12 13 14	45.	Collins CM, Ellis JA, Holaska JM: MAPK signaling pathways and HDAC3 activity are disrupted during differentiation of emerin-null myogenic progenitor cells. <i>DMM Dis Model Mech</i> 2017, doi:10.1242/dmm.028787.
15 16 17	46.	Muchir A, Shan J, Bonne G, Lehnart SE, Worman HJ: Inhibition of extracellular signal- regulated kinase signaling to prevent cardiomyopathy caused by mutation in the gene encoding A-type lamins. <i>Hum Mol Genet</i> 2009, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddn343.
18 19 20 21	47.	Wu W, Muchir A, Shan J, Bonne G, Worman HJ: Mitogen-activated protein kinase inhibitors improve heart function and prevent fibrosis in cardiomyopathy caused by mutation in Lamin A/C gene . <i>Circulation</i> 2011, doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.970673.
22 23 24	48.	Pagliara S, Franze K, McClain CR, Wylde GW, Fisher CL, Franklin RJM, Kabla AJ, Keyser UF, Chalut KJ: Auxetic nuclei in embryonic stem cells exiting pluripotency . <i>Nat Mater</i> 2014, 13 :638–644.
25 26 27	49.	Chalut KJ, Höpfler M, Lautenschläger F, Boyde L, Chan CJ, Ekpenyong AE, Martinez-Arias A, Guck J: Chromatin decondensation and nuclear softening accompany Nanog downregulation in embryonic stem cells. <i>Biophys J</i> 2012, 103 :2060–2070.
28 29	50.	Sivakumar A, de las Heras JI, Schirmer EC: Spatial genome organization: From development to disease . <i>Front Cell Dev Biol</i> 2019, doi:10.3389/fcell.2019.00018.
30 31	51.	Bickmore WA: The Spatial Organization of the Human Genome . <i>Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet</i> 2013, doi:10.1146/annurev-genom-091212-153515.
32 33	52.	van Steensel B, Belmont AS: Lamina-Associated Domains: Links with Chromosome Architecture, Heterochromatin, and Gene Repression. <i>Cell</i> 2017, 169:780–791.
34 35 36	53.	Stevens TJ, Lando D, Basu S, Atkinson LP, Cao Y, Lee SF, Leeb M, Wohlfahrt KJ, Boucher W, O'Shaughnessy-Kirwan A, et al.: 3D structures of individual mammalian genomes studied by single-cell Hi-C . <i>Nature</i> 2017, 544 :59–64.

1 54. Solovei I, Wang AS, Thanisch K, Schmidt CS, Krebs S, Zwerger M, Cohen T V., Devys D, 2 Foisner R, Peichl L, et al.: LBR and lamin A/C sequentially tether peripheral 3 heterochromatin and inversely regulate differentiation. Cell 2013, 152:584–598. 4 Falk M, Feodorova Y, Naumova N, Imakaev M, Lajoie BR, Leonhardt H, Joffe B, Dekker J, 55. 5 Fudenberg G, Solovei I, et al.: Heterochromatin drives compartmentalization of inverted 6 and conventional nuclei. Nature 2019, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1275-3. 7 56. Peric-Hupkes D, Meuleman W, Pagie L, Bruggeman SWM, Solovei I, Brugman W, Gräf S, 8 Flicek P, Kerkhoven RM, van Lohuizen M, et al.: Molecular Maps of the Reorganization 9 of Genome-Nuclear Lamina Interactions during Differentiation. Mol Cell 2010, 38:603-10 613. 11 57. Stachecka J, Nowacka-Woszuk J, Kolodziejski PA, Szczerbal I: The importance of the 12 nuclear positioning of the PPARG gene for its expression during porcine in vitro adipogenesis. Chromosom Res 2019, doi:10.1007/s10577-019-09604-2. 13 14 58. Robson MI, de las Heras JI, Czapiewski R, Lê Thành P, Booth DG, Kelly DA, Webb S, Kerr 15 ARW, Schirmer EC: Tissue-Specific Gene Repositioning by Muscle Nuclear Membrane 16 Proteins Enhances Repression of Critical Developmental Genes during Myogenesis. Mol 17 *Cell* 2016, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.04.035. 18 Poleshko A, Shah PP, Gupta M, Babu A, Morley MP, Manderfield LJ, Ifkovits JL, Calderon 59. 19 D, Aghajanian H, Sierra-Pagán JE, et al.: Genome-Nuclear Lamina Interactions Regulate 20 Cardiac Stem Cell Lineage Restriction. Cell 2017, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.018. 21 60. Demmerle J, Koch AJ, Holaska JM: The nuclear envelope protein emerin binds directly to 22 histone deacetylase 3 (HDAC3) and activates HDAC3 activity. J Biol Chem 2012, 23 doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.325308. 24 61. Amendola M, Steensel B: Nuclear lamins are not required for lamina-associated domain 25 organization in mouse embryonic stem cells. EMBO Rep 2015, 16:610-617. 26 62. Essawy N, Samson C, Petitalot A, Moog S, Bigot A, Herrada I, Marcelot A, Arteni A-A, 27 Coirault C, Zinn-Justin S: An Emerin LEM-Domain Mutation Impairs Cell Response to 28 Mechanical Stress. Cells 2019, 8:570. 29 63. Pradhan R, Ranade D, Sengupta K: Emerin modulates spatial organization of 30 chromosome territories in cells on softer matrices. Nucleic Acids Res 2018, 46:5561-31 5586. 32 64. Ranade D, Pradhan R, Jayakrishnan M, Hegde S, Sengupta K: Lamin A/C and Emerin 33 depletion impacts chromatin organization and dynamics in the interphase nucleus. 34 BMC Mol Cell Biol 2019, **20**:1–20. 35 65. Segel M, Neumann B, Hill MFE, Weber IP, Viscomi C, Zhao C, Young A, Agley CC, 36 Thompson AJ, Gonzalez GA, et al.: Niche stiffness underlies the ageing of central 37 nervous system progenitor cells. Nature 2019, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1484-9.

- Gilbert HTJ, Swift J: The consequences of ageing, progeroid syndromes and cellular
 senescence on mechanotransduction and the nucleus. *Exp Cell Res* 2019,
 doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2019.03.002.
- 67. Constantinescu D, Gray HL, Sammak PJ, Schatten GP, Csoka AB: Lamin A/C Expression Is
 a Marker of Mouse and Human Embryonic Stem Cell Differentiation. Stem Cells 2006,
 doi:10.1634/stemcells.2004-0159.
- Mongera A, Rowghanian P, Gustafson HJ, Shelton E, Kealhofer DA, Carn EK, Serwane F,
 Lucio AA, Giammona J, Campàs O: A fluid-to-solid jamming transition underlies
 vertebrate body axis elongation. *Nature* 2018, 561:401–405.
- Roca-Cusachs P, Conte V, Trepat X: Quantifying forces in cell biology. Nat Cell Biol 2017,
 doi:10.1038/ncb3564.
- Heo SJ, Driscoll TP, Thorpe SD, Nerurkar NL, Baker BM, Yang MT, Chen CS, Lee DA, Mauck
 RL: Differentiation alters stem cell nuclear architecture, mechanics, and mechano sensitivity. *Elife* 2016, 5:1–21.
- 15

16 Annotations of selected references

- 17
- [12]. Infante E, Stannard A, Board SJ, Rico-Lastres P, Rostkova E, Beedle AEM, Lezamiz A, Wang
 YJ, Gulaidi Breen S, Panagaki F, et al.: The mechanical stability of proteins regulates their
 translocation rate into the cell nucleus. Nat Phys 2019, 15:973–981.
- •• In this study, authors show that protein transport across the nuclear pore complex is a force-
- dependent process. They first build constructs of Mkl1 protein concatenated with domains of various
- 23 mechanical stability, and tested their translocation rates as well as the force needed to unfold the
- protein domains. They reveal that nuclear import but not nuclear export correlates inversely with
 protein mechanical stability. This study constitutes the first in-depth exploration of mechanosensitivity
- 26 of the nuclear translocation process.
- 27
- [32]. Gilbert HTJ, Mallikarjun V, Dobre O, Jackson MR, Pedley R, Gilmore AP, Richardson SM,
 Swift J: Nuclear decoupling is part of a rapid protein-level cellular response to high intensity mechanical loading. *Nat Commun* 2019, **10**:1–15.

•• In this study, authors characterize the response of human mesenchymal stem cells to mechanical

32 strain of increasing frequency by quantifying transcription, protein abundance, protein folding and post-

33 translational modifications. They uncover the role of SUN2 turnover in decoupling the nucleus from the

34 cell under high mechanical stress, which protects the cell against DNA-damage. They further

demonstrate that an optimal level of SUN2 expression is necessary for this process, which is abrogated

36 by overexpression or depletion of SUN2, highlighting the importance of LINC adaptation to a given

37 mechanical environment.

1 [34]. Uzer G, Bas G, Sen B, Xie Z, Birks S, Olcum M, McGrath C, Styner M, Rubin J: Sun-2 mediated mechanical LINC between nucleus and cytoskeleton regulates ßcatenin 3 nuclear access. J Biomech 2018, doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2018.04.013.

4 • In this study, authors investigate the mechanism behind the β -catenin-dependent mechanical 5 blockade of adipogenesis in mesenchymal stem cells. They show that mechanically activated β -catenin 6 associates with the nucleoskeleton (insoluble fraction of the nucleus) containing LINC proteins, 7 nucleoporins and nuclear lamina. This association is transient but necessary for nuclear import. 8 Depletion of SUN proteins decreases β -catenin association with the nucleoskeletal and its nuclear 9 import. This constitutes an important step in understanding the mechanical regulation of β -catenin 10 nuclear translocation and of mesenchymal stem cell differentiation. 11 12

13

[57]. Stachecka J, Nowacka-Woszuk J, Kolodziejski PA, Szczerbal I: The importance of the 14 nuclear positioning of the PPARG gene for its expression during porcine in vitro 15 adipogenesis. Chromosom Res 2019, doi:10.1007/s10577-019-09604-2.

16 • Authors tracked expression and localization of PPARG gene, coding for an essential differentiation 17 transcription factor, throughout adipogenesis in mesenchymal stem cells. They found that expression 18 starts with a single allele, and progressively becomes bi-allelic. Transcriptional expression correlated 19 with radial nuclear localization of each allele, with expression increasing as the locus became more 20 central. This study strengthens the current literature linking gene positioning and gene activation in 21 stem cell differentiation.

- 22
- 23 [62]. Essawy N, Samson C, Petitalot A, Moog S, Bigot A, Herrada I, Marcelot A, Arteni A-A, 24 Coirault C, Zinn-Justin S: An Emerin LEM-Domain Mutation Impairs Cell Response to 25 Mechanical Stress. Cells 2019, 8:570.

26 • Authors developed cell lines with mutant Emerin similar to the ones found in atrial cardiac defects. 27 Proteomic study showed that the mutants had slightly reduced affinity to barrier-to-autointegration 28 factor (BAF). In mutant cells, Emerin was less abundant, causing in turn a decrease in SUN2. The mutant 29 Emerin cell lines also displayed a weakened response to cyclic stretch or to substrate stiffness, having a 30 lack of actin stress fiber formation. This study highlights the precise interplay of NETs and the LINC 31 complex for proper response to mechanical signals, as well as suggests downstream genomic sensitivity, 32 as Emerin and BAF are known to influence genome organization.

- 33
- 34 [63]. Pradhan R, Ranade D, Sengupta K: Emerin modulates spatial organization of 35 chromosome territories in cells on softer matrices. Nucleic Acids Res 2018, 46:5561-36 5586.
- 37 • In this study, authors investigate the radial nuclear positioning of a gene-poor and a gene-rich
- 38 chromosomes on soft matrices. They observe a shift of positioning away from the periphery, more
- 39 pronounced in the gene-poor chromosome, and show that this can be attributed to Src-dependent
- 40 phosphorylation of Emerin on soft matrices. The different sensitivities of chromatin regions to substrate
- 41 softness is intriguing and suggests that future studies on mechanical regulation of chromosome
- 42 positioning should take into account the specific interactions of each locus with the nuclear envelope.