

Article

Biocompatible superparamagnetic core-shell nanoparticles for potential use in hyperthermia-enabled drug release and as an enhanced contrast agent

Patil-Sen, Yogita, Torino, Enza, De Sarno, Francesca, Ponsiglione, Alfonso Maria, Chhabria, Vikesh, Ahmed, Waqar and Mercer, Tim

Available at <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/33343/>

Patil-Sen, Yogita, Torino, Enza, De Sarno, Francesca, Ponsiglione, Alfonso Maria, Chhabria, Vikesh ORCID: 0000-0001-7812-7368, Ahmed, Waqar and Mercer, Tim ORCID: 0000-0002-1557-2138 (2020) Biocompatible superparamagnetic core-shell nanoparticles for potential use in hyperthermia-enabled drug release and as an enhanced contrast agent. Nanotechnology . ISSN 0957-4484

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6528/ab91f6>

For more information about UCLan's research in this area go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/> and search for <name of research Group>.

For information about Research generally at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/policies/>

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • OPEN ACCESS

Biocompatible superparamagnetic core-shell nanoparticles for potential use in hyperthermia-enabled drug release and as an enhanced contrast agent

To cite this article before publication: Yogita Patil-Sen *et al* 2020 *Nanotechnology* in press <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6528/ab91f6>

Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is “the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an ‘Accepted Manuscript’ watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors”

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2020 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd..

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY 3.0 licence, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY 3.0 licence immediately.

Everyone is permitted to use all or part of the original content in this article, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions may be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected and is not published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY licence, unless that is specifically stated in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

Biocompatible superparamagnetic core-shell nanoparticles for potential use in hyperthermia-enabled drug release and as an enhanced contrast agent

Yogita Patil-Sen,^{1,2,*} Enza Torino,³ Francesca De Sarno,³ Alfonso Maria Ponsiglione,³ Vikesh Chhabria,² Waqar Ahmed,⁴ and Tim Mercer^{1,5,*}

¹ School of Physical Sciences and Computing, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE, United Kingdom.

² School of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE, United Kingdom.

³ Department of Chemical, Materials and Production Engineering - University of Naples Federico II and Interdepartmental Research Center on Biomaterials, Naples, Italy.

⁴ School of Mathematics and Physics, University of Lincoln, Lincoln, LN6 7TS, United Kingdom.

⁵ Jeremiah Horrocks Institute for Mathematics, Physics & Astronomy, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE, United Kingdom.

* Corresponding authors

Correspondence should be addressed to Yogita Patil-Sen; ypatil-sen2@uclan.ac.uk and Tim Mercer; tmercer1@uclan.ac.uk

Abstract

Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) and core-shell type nanoparticles, consisting of SPIONs coated with mesoporous silica and/or lipid, were synthesized and tested for their potential theranostic applications in drug delivery, magnetic hyperthermia and as a contrast agent. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) confirmed the size of bare and coated SPIONs was in the range of 5-20 nm and 100-200 nm respectively. The superparamagnetic nature of all the prepared nanomaterials as indicated by Vibrating Sample Magnetometry (VSM) and their heating properties under an AC field confirm their potential for hyperthermia applications. Scanning Column Magnetometry (SCM) data showed that extrusion of bare-SPION (b-SPION) dispersions through a 100 nm polycarbonate membrane significantly improved the dispersion stability of the sample. No sedimentation was apparent after 18 hours compared to a pre-extrusion estimate of 43% settled at the bottom of the tube over the same time. Lipid coating also enhanced dispersion stability. Transversal relaxation time (T₂) measurements for the nanoparticles, using a bench-top relaxometer, displayed a significantly lower value of 46 ms, with a narrow relaxation time distribution, for lipid silica coated SPIONs (Lip-SiSPIONs) as compared to that of 1316 ms for the b-SPIONs. Entrapment efficiency of the anticancer drug, Doxorubicin (DOX) for Lip-SPIONs was observed to be 35% which increased to 58% for Lip-SiSPIONs. Moreover, initial *in-vitro* cytotoxicity studies against human breast adenocarcinoma, MCF-7 cells showed that % cell viability increased from 57% for bSPIONs to 82% for Lip-SPIONs and to 87% for Lip-SiSPIONs. This suggests that silica and lipid coatings improve the biocompatibility of bSPIONs significantly and enhance the suitability of these particles as drug carriers. Hence, the magnetic nanomaterials prepared in this work have potential theranostic properties as a drug carrier for hyperthermia cancer therapy and also offer enhancement of contrast agent efficacy and a route to a significant increase in dispersion stability.

Keywords

Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles, magnetoliposomes, drug carrier, hyperthermia, cancer therapy, colloidal stability, theranostic

Introduction

Cancer is one of the most devastating diseases in the world, causing millions of fatalities every year. Over the past two decades, the mortality rate has significantly reduced due to considerable progress in diagnosis and treatment of the disease (Siegel et al., 2018). However, all the current treatments such as surgery, chemotherapy and radiation are unpleasant, pose a risk of recurrence of disease and lack specificity, causing toxicity and undesirable side effects. To tackle the limitations of conventional anti-cancer treatments, a significant and increasing proportion of recent research has been focussed on understanding the biological interactions of existing drugs on a cellular level and developing new drugs (Fahs et al., 2015, Palmer et al., 2018, Fahs et al., 2014). Moreover, new strategies offering a targeted approach to deliver the drug to a cancer site, such as immunotherapy (Milling et al., 2017, Riley et al., 2019), gene therapy (Amreddy et al., 2018), laser treatment (Doughty et al., 2019) and hyperthermia (Sharma et al., 2018, Blanco-Andujar et al., 2018, Phung et al., 2019), have been explored.

Nanotechnology is emerging as a powerful tool in providing ways to manufacture materials to treat diseases including cancer. Nanoparticles in the size range between 1-100 nm have proven to be an effective therapeutic carrier system for drug delivery applications (Wakaskar, 2018, Wang et al., 2018, Aghebati-Maleki et al., 2020). Hydrophilic and/or hydrophobic drugs can be encapsulated in the nanoparticles, thus reducing the toxicity of the drug and enhancing its solubility. Moreover, their small size and large surface to volume ratio improve their blood circulation and thus enhance their intracellular uptake (Donahue et al., 2019). As lipids are an integral part of a membrane, liposomes present an ideal biocompatible system and hence these are one of the oldest nanoparticles to be used in medicine (Alavi and Hamidi, 2019, Lee and Im, 2019, Patil-Sen et al., 2004). However, limitations associated with liposomes are in their pharmaceutical development i.e. reproducibility and reliability, long term structural stability and chemical instability or denaturation of the encapsulated compound in the manufacturing process (Sercombe et al., 2015). Several other types of nanoparticles (NPs) have been extensively researched for therapeutic applications which include micelles, dendrimers, polymeric, metallic, silica and cell membrane coated nanoparticles (Narain et al., 2017, Patil-Sen Y., 2019, Khan et al., 2019, Janko et al., 2019, Yang et al., 2019).

Out of the mentioned NPs, superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) have shown great potential in theranostic applications, such as their use as a contrast agent in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), in separation of biomolecules and in targeted drug delivery (Cardoso et al., 2018, Patil-Sen and Chhabria, 2018, Janko et al., 2019). As a consequence of their superparamagnetic properties, SPIONs are increasingly explored in hyperthermia cancer therapy (Hergt et al., 2006, Verma et al., 2014, Janko et al., 2019). SPIONs exhibit no net magnetic moment in zero applied field but respond readily (relatively high permeability) in the presence of an external magnetic field and can thereby be directed specifically to the cancer cells. The therapeutic agent can then be released to the target cells by inducing local hyperthermia with an applied AC field which is advantageous for localized therapy.

Typically, MRI contrast agents are either paramagnetic lanthanide complexes, mostly containing gadolinium ions and which provide positive contrast in T1-weighted images (spin-

1
2
3 lattice relaxation time), or iron oxide-based nanoparticles, such as SPIONs, which provide
4 negative contrast in T2-weighted images (spin-spin relaxation time) (Estelrich et al., 2015,
5 Pellico et al., 2019). The limitations associated with T1 contrast agents are poor detection
6 sensitivity, short blood circulation and toxicity concerns (Estelrich et al., 2015) whereas the
7 major drawback of T2 agents is in its contrast mechanism, which produces a signal-decreasing
8 effect (Pellico et al., 2019). To overcome these limitations, there is a need to enhance the
9 properties of current contrast agents or search for a dual or all-in-one contrast agent. Unlike the
10 gadolinium-based ions, which only act as T1 contrasting agents, SPIONs can be tuned to
11 function either as T1 or T2 by controlling the size of the NPs. SPIONs with particle size <10
12 nm, act as T1 agents whereas those above act as T2 (Estelrich et al., 2015). Thus, controlling
13 the size of SPIONs is a critical challenge for their application in MR imaging.
14
15

16
17 Another major issue associated with SPIONs is their propensity to aggregate (Hufschmid et
18 al., 2019). Agglomeration of nanoparticles further reduces their stability in dispersion which
19 may have a negative effect on their *in-vivo* applications. One of the strategies that is researched
20 for controlling their agglomeration is to coat the surface of SPIONs with materials such as
21 polymers (Zhu et al., 2018) and lipids (Luchini and Vitiello, 2019). The coating may fully
22 cover one individual particle or relatively small multi-cores rather than larger agglomerates of
23 variable size and shape. Thus, such surface modification not only enhances the stability of the
24 suspension but also aids in controlling the size of the NPs, both of which are important
25 parameters for *in-vivo* applications of SPIONs in hyperthermia drug delivery and MRI
26 applications (Patil et al., 2016, Hola et al., 2015).
27
28

29
30 Lipid coated SPIONs, also called magnetoliposomes, have gathered a lot of attention over the
31 years and have been explored for their potential applications in biomedicine in a number of
32 reviews (Soenen et al., 2009, Reimhult, 2015, Heidarli et al., 2017). These hybrid systems
33 provide synergistic properties as they combine the unique features of both i.e. properties of the
34 magnetic NPs and biocompatibility of an outer lipid bilayer. Although these systems show
35 great promise for hyperthermia cancer therapy, therapeutic efficacy of these systems, due to
36 poor encapsulation efficiency of the drug, is still a challenge. In addition, there is a tendency
37 in these articles to focus solely on either material characterisation or cellular efficacy as
38 opposed to investigating both sets of properties as in the study reported here. Of those that
39 consider both, Pradhan et al. reported that thermosensitive folate-targeted DOX-containing
40 magnetic liposomes with multifunctional properties showed enhanced *in-vitro* cytotoxicity
41 against human epidermoid carcinoma and human cervical carcinoma in comparison with their
42 non-magnetic folate-targeted liposome counterpart (Pradhan et al., 2010). In another study,
43 Kulshrestha and co-workers prepared paclitaxel loaded thermosensitive magnetoliposomes
44 which were found to show a 46-fold higher drug release under an AC magnetic field of intensity
45 10 kA/m and a fixed frequency of 423 kHz (Kulshrestha et al., 2012). They further reported
46 that the *in-vitro* cellular cytotoxicity of the drug loaded magnetoliposomes against human
47 cervical cells was much higher with magnetic hyperthermia than either chemotherapy or
48 hyperthermia alone. Methotrexate modified thermosensitive magnetoliposomes were
49 developed by Guo et al. for precise cervical cancer therapy (Guo et al., 2018). Light and
50 magnetic hyperthermia triggered release of DOX increased its uptake into the tumour cells and
51 enhanced its cytotoxicity to the cancerous cells as indicated by both *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* results.
52 Szuplewska and researchers developed nanocarriers consisting of hydrophobic iron oxide NPs
53 coated with the lipid bilayer for magnetic field-assisted selective delivery of DOX to breast
54 cancer cells (Szuplewska et al., 2019). Askari et al. reported magnetoliposomes made of
55 magnetic NPs and PolyEthylene Glycol-ated (PEGylated) liposomes for *in-vitro* DOX delivery
56 against human breast cancer cells (Askari et al., 2020). They compared their results with
57
58
59
60

liposome-only PEGylated DOX and found the inclusion of a magnetic core enhanced drug encapsulation efficiency from around 25% to 37%.

Lipid coatings may also be applied to dual core-shell systems consisting of a magnetic NP core and non-magnetic shell and are defined here as nanocomposites or composite-magnetoliposomes. These systems offer the advantage of both drug encapsulation in the shell combined with the biocompatibility of the outer lipid layer when compared to just core-shell composites. Reports on these dual-coated systems in terms of their theranostic applications are limited. Pradhan et al. developed a pH sensitive and thermosensitive system consisting of lipid-coated mesoporous silica-shell magnetic core nanoassemblies as a delivery system for thermochemotherapy of dual drugs i.e. DOX and paclitaxel (Pradhan et al., 2014). They observed that the intracellular uptake and cellular toxicity, on human cervical cancer, breast cancer and human hepatocellular carcinoma, for the dual drug loaded system was higher than that for the single drug system which was further enhanced by magnetic hyperthermia. Sharifabad and authors produced lipid and/or mesoporous silica coated SPIONs for their potential application in delivery of Mitomycin C (Sharifabad et al., 2015). They showed single and dual coatings resulted in very different drug loading and release profiles and thereby a possible route for tuning rate and load delivery to match that of clinical need. In another study, the researchers fabricated a system of soy-phosphatidyl choline/cholesterol lipid and/or mesoporous silica coated SPIONs, but in this case using DOX as the anticancer drug. They tested the cellular toxicity of these novel particles *in-vitro* against breast cancer and glioma cells and found that magnetic hyperthermia lowered the survival rate of these cells by around 20% compared to that of DOX alone (Sharifabad et al., 2016).

To the best of our knowledge there are no reports on contrast agent investigations using a three-component composite-magnetoliposome. Herein, we report on the fabrication of such a system, consisting of a SPION-silica core-shell coated with an outer lipid layer, and on its potential and novel application in enhancing the T2 relaxation time parameter required for negative contrast in MRI imaging. In addition, we report on the increased stability provided by the dual coating using a fully quantitative technique (scanning column magnetometer) that takes advantage of the magnetic properties in order to provide complete settling profiles of particle concentration over the height of a column of dispersion. Enhancements of *in-vitro* drug-loading efficiency and release due to the silica coating and in biocompatibility due to the lipid layer are also studied. These are important findings for MRI and hyperthermia-mediated targeted drug delivery and supports their potential use as theranostic systems for cancer therapy.

Materials and Methods

Iron (II) chloride tetrahydrate ($\text{FeCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$), Iron (III) chloride hexahydrate ($\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$), cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB), tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine (DPPC), cholesterol (Ch), phosphate buffered saline (PBS), methanol, chloroform, ammonium hydroxide (NH_4OH , 28-30 wt% ammonia in water), sulphuric acid (H_2SO_4 , 0.1M in water) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, UK. Doxorubicin hydrochloride (DOX) was purchased from Cayman Chemical. PrestoBlue cell viability reagent was obtained from Invitrogen. Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM), Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), L-glutamine, Non-Essential Amino Acids (NEAA), and Sodium Pyruvate were purchased from Lonza, UK. All the chemicals were analytical grade and were used as purchased. Non-cancerous human foetal glial cell line, SVG p12 and human breast cancer commercial cell line,

1
2
3 MCF-7 (ATCC) were kindly provided by UCLan's Biomedical Research Facilities. Milli-Q
4 water (resistivity = 18 M Ω ·cm) was used in all experiments.
5

6 7 *Synthesis of SPIONs*

8
9 Bare SPIONs (bSPIONs) were prepared by the co-precipitation method using ferrous and ferric
10 chloride, in 1:2 mole ratio in alkaline media and under an inert atmosphere, as previously
11 reported (Majid et al., 2017). Briefly, 8.46 g (0.04 mol) FeCl₂·4H₂O and 22.95 g (0.08 mol)
12 FeCl₃·6H₂O were dissolved in 500 ml deionized water previously degassed with nitrogen. The
13 mixture was kept at 80°C under nitrogen atmosphere while 50 mL of aqueous NH₄OH (28-30
14 wt%) was added drop wise to the mixture over 30 min under vigorous stirring. The reaction
15 was allowed to proceed for a further 1 hour under nitrogen atmosphere. The black reaction
16 product, Fe₃O₄, was washed to neutral pH several times using deionized water and magnetic
17 separation.
18
19

20 21 *Synthesis of silica coated SPIONs*

22
23 Mesoporous silica coated SPIONs (SiSPIONs) were prepared using a surfactant templating
24 approach *via* a hydrolysis and condensation mechanism. 480 mg of bSPIONs were dispersed
25 in 200 ml of methanol/water (4/1, V/V) solution. 14 ml of NH₄OH (28-30 wt%) solution was
26 added to the mixture and stirred for 5 minutes. 10 g CTAB was then added to the solution and
27 the suspension was homogenized by an ultrasonic bath for 15 minutes. To the reaction mixture,
28 6 mL TEOS was added drop-wise under vigorous stirring for 16 hours. The resultant black
29 product was isolated from the reaction mixture and washed several times with acidic methanol
30 solution (2/49/49 v% of 0.1M H₂SO₄/methanol/deionized water) in an ultrasonic bath to
31 remove the surfactant from the mesopores, followed by subsequent washing with deionized
32 water.
33
34

35 36 37 *Preparation of lipid coated bSPIONs/ SiSPIONs (Lip-SPIONs/Lip-SiSPIONs)*

38
39 Lipid coated nanoparticles were prepared by the thin film hydration method. The lipid mixture
40 of DPPC/Ch, in 7:3 (w/w ratio) was prepared by dissolving 42 mg of DPPC and 18 mg of Ch
41 in 3 ml chloroform/methanol (2:1 v/v) in a round bottomed flask. The organic solvent was
42 removed under vacuum by a rotary evaporator, using a water bath at 50 °C for 1 hour. A thin
43 film of lipid was formed inside the flask which was further dried under nitrogen gas for 2 hours
44 to remove any traces of organic solvent remaining. The lipid film was hydrated by adding 6 ml
45 of deionized water, vortexed for 2 minutes, and then mixed using a rotavapor (without vacuum)
46 in a water bath at 50 °C for 1 hour and finally kept for annealing at room temperature for 2
47 hours. 60 mg of bSPIONs in 6 ml deionized water was added to the lipid mixture to make a
48 1:1 Lip-SPION nanocomposite. The lipid-SPION nanocomposite was placed in an ice bath
49 and ultrasonicated (ULT065 from Ultrawave, Cardiff, UK) for 5 minutes, with a continuous
50 pulse at 40 % power. The excess lipid in the suspension was removed using magnetic
51 separation. Lipid coated SiSPIONs were prepared following the same procedure. Figure 1
52 summarizes the synthesis procedure for preparation of lipid and/or silica coated SPIONs.
53
54

55 56 *DOX loading onto bSPIONs, SiSPIONs, and Lip-SPIONs and Lip-SiSPIONs*

57
58 The prepared nanoparticles were dispersed for 15 minutes using a bath sonicator. DOX loaded
59 nanoparticles were prepared by mixing a 1:500 (w/w) ratio of DOX: nanoparticle, at 18 °C
60

using end over end rotation for 48 hours. The amount of DOX that was loaded into the nanoparticles at different time intervals was determined by using a UV spectrophotometer. To quantify the amount of drug loaded into the nanoparticles, the drug loaded nanoparticles were centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 1 minute followed by magnetic separation. The absorbance of the supernatant was measured at $\lambda = 484$ nm and was compared with a pre-established curve of known DOX concentrations in DMSO: water (1:1) to establish the concentration of the drug in the supernatant. The calibration curve is shown in Figure A2 of the Appendix section. The amount of drug loaded in the nanoparticles was obtained by measuring the difference in concentration of DOX between the amount initially added, $[T(\text{DOX})]$, and the amount remaining in the supernatant, $[S(\text{DOX})]$, over time. All the measurements were carried out in triplicate. Hence, the percentage of drug entrapment efficiency (% EE) was calculated using equation 1:

$$\% \text{ EE} = \frac{[T(\text{DOX})] - [S(\text{DOX})]}{[T(\text{DOX})]} \times 100 \quad (1)$$




Figure 1: Schematic representation of synthesis procedure for the SPION core and core-shell SPIONs.

DOX-loaded nanoparticles were washed 3 times with deionized water and stored at 4°C until further use for lipid coating, drug release studies and *in-vitro* cytotoxicity studies.

Characterisation

Magnetic measurements were performed at room temperature using an in-house 6 kOe Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM). The samples were first dried and ground into a fine powder and then packed into plastic tubes of length ~ 10 mm and internal diameter ~ 1.9 mm. Typically 20-50 mg of magnetic materials were used for the measurements. The saturation magnetization values were expressed as (emu/g).

Transmission electron micrographs were obtained from a JEOL JEM2000EX (JEOL, Japan) instrument operating at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. TEM samples were prepared by

1
2
3 placing a drop of sample dispersion onto a carbon-coated copper grid using a pipette and drying
4 at room temperature before placing into the TEM instrument. The images were collected using
5 a digital camera and analysed using Gatan software.
6

7
8 The ability of the nanocomposites to generate heat in the presence of an oscillating magnetic
9 field was evaluated by a DM100-Series Nanoheating Instrument (nanoScale Biomagnetics,
10 Spain), at field frequency of 406 kHz and flux density of 200 gauss. The vial with dispersion
11 containing magnetic nanoparticles at a concentration of 10 mg/ml was placed inside a magnetic
12 coil loop which generated an oscillating magnetic field. The temperature was measured using
13 a fibre optic sensor probe by dipping it in the dispersion. System embedded software, MaNIaC,
14 was used to control the experimental parameters and to collect the generated data. The
15 maximum temperature was set at 43°C which is sufficient for classical hyperthermia treatment
16 for cancer therapy (Kobayashi, 2011). The efficiency of induced heat of magnetic materials
17 was measured as the specific absorption rate (SAR). SAR reflects the heat transformation from
18 magnetic energy, as shown in equation 2.
19

$$20 \quad 21 \quad 22 \quad 23 \quad 24 \quad SAR = \frac{c}{M_{\text{mnp}}} \left(\frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t} \right) \quad (2)$$

25 where c is specific heat capacity of the medium and $\frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$ reflects the initial slope of temperature
26 change as a function of time during the heat induction and M_{mnp} is the mass fraction of magnetic
27 nanoparticles (Vallejo-Fernandez et al., 2013, Rovers, 2010). The medium of dispersion was
28 water in our samples and since the amount of magnetic nanoparticles was negligible compared
29 to the amount of water, the value of c can be taken as the specific heat capacity of water =
30 4.184 J/g.K (Motoyama et al., 2008).
31

32 33 *Stability measurements*

34
35 A Scanning Column Magnetometry (SCM) technique was used to examine the effect of lipid
36 coating on SPIONs on the dispersion stability of the nanoparticles. The effect of extrusion on
37 dispersion stability of bSPIONs was also investigated. For this, the SPIONs were extruded 5
38 times through a 100 nm polycarbonate membrane using a lipofast extruder (Avestin Europe
39 GmbH, Germany). Full details of the SCM technique can be found elsewhere (Mercer and
40 Bissell, 2013), but in summary this includes the nanoparticle dispersion being filled into a
41 vertically held glass tube with internal diameter 11 mm to produce a column height of 10 to 12
42 cm. This is then placed in the otherwise empty core of a coil that forms part of an oscillatory
43 circuit. By effectively scanning the coil over the height of the tube, any magnetic material
44 present at that point causes an increase in the coil inductance and a corresponding shift in the
45 resonance frequency, ΔF , from its sample-free value of 1 MHz. As this is directly proportional
46 to the particle volume concentration, profiles of ΔF as a function of column height correspond
47 to a whole concentration profile of the magnetic fluid. By obtaining a series of these profiles
48 over time, any change in magnetic particle concentration due to sedimentation, and hence the
49 dispersion stability, can be investigated.
50
51

52 53 *T2 measurements*

54
55 Relaxation times for protons were measured using a Bruker Minispec (mq 60) bench-top
56 relaxometer operating at 60 MHz (magnetic flux density: 1.41 T). The transversal relaxation
57 times (T2) were determined by the Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) pulse sequence. The
58 CPMG is a type of spin echo pulse sequence consisting of a 90° radio frequency (RF) pulse
59
60

followed by an echo train induced by n successive 180° pulses (refocusing pulses): $(90^\circ - \tau - \{180^\circ - 2\tau\}_{s_n})$ (de Andrade et al., 2011). T2 relaxation time distributions for all samples were computed by applying the CONTIN algorithm (Bruker Software) to the measured data. Data were fitted using a biexponential curve to detect multiple components (fast and slow T2) contributing to the relaxation. All measurements were carried out at 37°C and repeated at least in triplicate.

DOX release efficiency of nanoparticles

The drug release studies were carried out in PBS. DOX loaded nanoparticles were washed three times and then incubated in PBS at 37°C using end over end rotation for 48 hours. Time dependent release profiles were obtained using UV absorbance at $\lambda = 484\text{ nm}$ and by comparing the data with the pre-established curve of known DOX concentrations in PBS. The release of DOX from the nanoparticles using magnetic hyperthermia to raise the temperature to, and then hold at, 37°C was also examined and compared with that in the absence of a magnetic field.

In-vitro cytotoxicity studies

The cytotoxicity of the nanoparticles was investigated against MCF-7 cells. The cells were cultured in EMEM supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS, 1% NEAA and 1% L-glutamine in a humidified incubator at 37°C , 5% CO_2 . Cells were harvested at the logarithmic growth phase and seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 2000×10^4 cells in $90\ \mu\text{l}$ EMEM per well. Cells were maintained in complete growth medium for 24 h at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO_2 . After 24 h, the medium was removed and replaced with $90\ \mu\text{l}$ of media containing nanocomposites in different concentrations, i.e. 125, 250 and $500\ \mu\text{g/ml}$ (which correspond to 0.25, 0.5 and $1\ \mu\text{g/ml}$ DOX concentrations respectively). The dose dependent cytotoxicity was evaluated by incubating cells for 24, 48 and 72 hours and performing a PrestoBlue assay. These concentrations of nanocomposites were chosen based on the initial dose dependent studies carried out on nanoparticles and DOX. The nanocomposites were also tested for their biocompatibility and cellular toxicity against in-house SVG p12 cells which are non-cancerous foetal glial cells.

To perform the assay, $10\ \mu\text{l}$ of PrestoBlue reagent was added to each well. The plates were covered with aluminium foil and incubated for 30 min. The fluorescence was measured using excitation at 535 nm and emission at 612 nm using a Tecan Genius Pro Plate Reader. From these results, the % cell viability was calculated using equation 3

$$\% \text{ cell viability} = \frac{\text{Absorbance of treated sample}}{\text{Absorbance of control}} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

Statistical analysis

All results are expressed as the mean of three independent experiments at three different times with error bars presenting the standard deviation. For the cytotoxicity study, in each experiment, measurements were carried out in six wells. IC_{50} values were calculated using Microsoft Excel. A linear regression trendline was applied to the log-linear plots of cell viability as a function of concentration. The software's Data Analysis tool was employed to calculate the 95% CI values. Statistical analysis was also performed using Microsoft Excel, t-tests, one-tailed, paired: where $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results and Discussion

VSM results

The magnetization curves for the prepared magnetic nanoparticles obtained from VSM measurements are presented in Figure 2. In the bulk these iron oxides are ferrimagnets with distinct hysteresis loops and associated remanence and coercivity. For example, magnetite has a saturation magnetization, M_s , of around 92 emu/g and coercive field values, H_c , in the range 100 to 400 Oe (Ahmadzadeh et al., 2018). However, reduction in size into the range of tens of nanometres leads to a change in magnetic behaviour: at room temperature the energy of thermal agitation is now greater than that of the magnetic ordering and the material exhibits a paramagnetic-like response, with zero magnetic moment in zero field (Gupta and Gupta, 2005, Dunlop, 1973, Goya et al., 2003). On application of an external field the moments readily align to give relatively large M_s values compared to conventional paramagnetism and hence the term *Superparamagnetic*. For biomedical applications, such superparamagnetic particles are preferred due to this property of no retained magnetization (zero remanence, M_r) once the magnetic field is removed.




Figure 2: Magnetization curves for bSPIONs, SiSPIONs, lipid coated bSPIONs and lipid coated SiSPIONs.

As can be seen from the Figure 2, the prepared nanoparticles show small hysteresis with coercivity values of order tens of Oe, being indicative of the superparamagnetic nature of the synthesized particles (Goya et al., 2003). It should also be noted that increasing dipolar interactions between particles results in the emergence of hysteresis in otherwise purely superparamagnetic particles (Petracic, 2010). As there is evidence of agglomeration in the TEM micrographs of Figure 3, this may be responsible in part for the results observed here. The saturation magnetization value for the bare SPIONs of 64 emu/g is lower than the bulk value of 92 emu/g as expected in particles of this size and is comparable with results reported elsewhere for the size range here of 5 to 20 nm (Mascolo et al., 2013, Kulshrestha et al., 2012). Moreover, it was observed that silica and/or lipid coating decreased the saturation magnetization of SPIONs further. The lower values due to the silica and lipid coatings are given in Table 1 and are expected due to the dilution of the magnetic material content within the volume of the sample by the non-magnetic shells. The exact effect of surface coating on magnetic properties of SPIONs still remains unclear and different findings have been reported

for different kinds of magnetic NPs and coating materials. However, reduction in saturation magnetization of Fe_3O_4 upon coating with silica and lipid has been reported by others (Rodrigues et al., 2017, Morales et al., 2019, Husain et al., 2019). Husain et al. and Morales and co-workers found that decrease in the saturation magnetization value of SPIONs was dependent on the thickness of the silica layer; thicker the silica layer lower is the saturation magnetization (Morales et al., 2019, Husain et al., 2019). We have previously observed a similar trend for the peptide coated SPIONs and SiSPIONs (Majid et al., 2017).

Table 1: Saturation magnetization and coercivity values for the prepared nanoparticles.

Nanoparticle	Saturation magnetization (M_s), emu/g	Coercivity (H_c), kOe
bSPIONs	64	0.06
SiSPIONs	41	0.06
Lip-bSPIONs	13	0.06
Lip-SiSPIONs	5	0.04

TEM results

TEM images show that the prepared nanoparticles are spherical in shape (Figure 3). The particles also show aggregation and exhibit polydispersity with size ranging between 5-20 nm for bSPIONs (Figure 3 (a)). Further, the bSPIONs were observed to be black in colour indicating that the prepared nanoparticles were predominantly magnetite i.e. Fe_3O_4 , however, it may also contain a small percentage of brown coloured maghemite i.e. Fe_2O_3 , as reported in the literature (Kim et al., 2012, Sharifabad et al., 2015). Both magnetite and maghemite would show superparamagnetism at this size and would show similar magnetic properties. The powder X-ray diffraction peaks for the synthesized bSPIONs are shown in Figure A1 in the Appendix section. Peaks corresponding to Miller indices 220, 311, 400, 422, 511 and 440 in the 2θ values between 30 to 70 were observed which are the fingerprint of magnetite Fe_3O_4 (Sun et al., 1998). The micrograph for SiSPIONs indicates that the multiple SPION particles are coated within a mesoporous silica structure (Figure 3 (b)). SiSPIONs also are polydisperse with the average diameter of the particles ~ 150 nm. The size of the particles further increased to ~ 200 nm upon lipid coating (Figure 3(c)).

Magnetic hyperthermia

The results of magnetic field induced hyperthermia for the prepared nanoparticles as a function of time are presented in Figure 4. bSPIONs are the most effective in generating heat in the presence of an AC magnetic field and the heat-generating ability decreased for silica and lipid coated nanomaterials. In the case of the bSPIONs dispersion, the temperature increased to above 43°C within 5 minutes, whereas for the SiSPIONs dispersion, it took twice as much time to reach to that temperature. Both types of lipid-coated magnetic nanoparticles showed the slowest temperature increase and reached only around 32°C in 10 minutes of exposure to the AC field and took approximately 45 minutes to reach 43°C (only first 10 minutes shown).




Figure 3: TEM images for (a) bSPIONs; (b) SiSPIONs and (c) lipid-SiSPIONs.

SAR values for the dispersion of bSPIONs with a concentration 10 mg/ml was calculated to be 22.4 W/g. Theoretically, SAR depends on field parameters, strength and frequency as well as geometrical and magnetic properties of nanoparticles (Rosenzweig, 2002, Kumar and Mohammad, 2011). Hence, although a true comparison can only be made using (i) a sample vessel of the same size, shape and material, (ii) same size, shape and composition of core-shell SPIONs and (iii) the same dispersion volume concentration; it is interesting to note that similar SAR values for bSPIONs have been reported by others (Muller et al., 2005, Zhang et al., 2007, Kulshrestha et al., 2012).

The SAR value for the lipid coated SPIONs was found to be 7.7 W/g. The higher value for the bSPIONs compared to the coated particles is not surprising if the heating mechanism is hysteresis-dominated as reported elsewhere (Vallejo-Fernandez et al., 2013, Vallejo-Fernandez and O'Grady, 2013). Under AC field conditions, the narrow loops of the near-DC field curves of Figure 2 would be open, with distinct remanence and coercivity more typical of that seen in the bulk ferrimagnetic material. As the heat generated is proportional to the total area of the hysteresis, it is clear that in the coated samples the dilution of magnetic volume fraction by the

non-magnetic coating will reduce M_s values and hence the hysteresis area. For fully-open loops with the same saturation magnetisation of Figure 2 we would expect the heating effect for bSPIONs > SiSPIONs > Lip-bSPIONs > Lip-SiSPIONs which is consistent with the measured heating effects shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4: Time dependent temperature rise curve for bSPIONs, SiSPION, Lip-bSPION and Lip-SiSPION when the particles were subjected to an oscillating magnetic field of frequency 406 kHz and magnetic induction of 200 gauss.

A Similar trend in SAR values has been reported by Kulshrestha et al. for bSPIONs and magnetic liposomes with a decrease in the SAR value from 21.5 W/g for SPIONs to 14.2 W/g for magnetoliposomes (Kulshrestha et al., 2012). However, Pradhan and co-workers found an opposite behaviour and an increase in SAR value of mesoporous silica coated magnetic NPs upon lipid coating. They suggested a possible reason could be that the lipid coating provided a better dispersion stability to the NPs which enhanced the movement of NPs and thus, contributed to the Brownian relaxation and heat generating ability. However, as previously mentioned the SAR depends on magnetic field parameters as well as on the properties of NPs, concentration etc., so the results cannot be truly compared.

SCM results

Preliminary studies on the dispersion stability of some of the prepared nanoparticles were conducted using an SCM. The results from SCM measurements for bSPIONs, extruded bSPIONs and Lip-SPIONs are shown in Figure 5. As can be seen from Figure 5(a), bSPIONs show poor dispersion stability. The peak in the concentration of particles at the bottom of the column shows sedimentation has occurred after only 30 minutes and by 18 hrs a significant number of particles are now in the lower layers. As the shift in frequency of the ordinate axis is directly proportional to the particle volume concentration and the diameter of the column is constant over its height, integration of the profile curves yields a value proportional to the total particle content. Hence, 43% of the particles by volume were estimated to have settled out after 18 hours from the ratio of integration values from the bottom (at 2 cm) over the peak (out to 4 cm) compared to the value from over the whole of the column. The reduction in ΔF of the




Figure 5: SCM profiles of (a) bSPION; (b) Lip-bSPION and (c) bSPION extruded 5 times through 100 nm membrane. There is a clear and significant increase in stability due to extrusion of the bSPION colloid, with no sedimentation apparent after 18 hours in (c) compared to 43% by volume settled at the bottom of the tube in (a). Coating-alone also enhanced stability as shown in (b), where only 13% had settled over the same time period.

1
2
3 reasonably flat plateau as a function of time shown in Figure 5(a) is indicative of Stokes'-like
4 settling. Here, the particles settle in the same manner as a single particle in an infinite fluid,
5 with any distribution in their fall velocities brought about by the distribution of particle
6 diameters and with no evidence of the collective phenomena of hindered settling as observed
7 in other magnetic suspensions (Mercer and Bissell, 2013).
8
9

10 The Lip-SPIONs are more stable with only 13% estimated to have settled at the bottom after
11 18 hours (Figure 5(b)) indicating that the dispersion stability of bSPIONs was significantly
12 improved upon coating with lipid. Moreover, the bSPION dispersion which was extruded 5
13 times through a 100 nm membrane showed no particle sedimentation after 18 hrs (Figure 5(c)),
14 unlike the un-extruded sample in which the particles started settling at the bottom of the SCM
15 tube within 30 mins. This is consistent with a significant increase in the number of
16 monodisperse nanoparticles in the extruded sample whereas un-extruded bSPIONs showed
17 polydispersity, as also seen from TEM (Figure 3 (a)). As colloidal stability is important for
18 biological applications (Hufschmid et al., 2019), this result warrants further investigation on
19 other SPIONs, both coated and uncoated. Whilst it is generally known that extrusion either
20 enhances the stability of NPs and/or aids improved monodispersity (Bartenstein et al., 2016,
21 Sabaté et al., 2008), this is not quantified in terms of sedimentation over complete
22 concentration profiles. Thus, we show that use of the SCM method provides a simple and
23 convenient route to characterise and quantitatively measure this important parameter and to the
24 best of our knowledge is reported here in these systems for the first time.
25
26
27

28 *T2 results*

29
30 The distribution of the T2 relaxation times is reported in Figure 6 and single T2 values for all
31 samples are reported in Table 2. Because of the strong dependence of relaxivity from the
32 amount of superparamagnetic material, all samples were measured considering the same iron
33 oxide concentration at 1.5 mg/mL. At this concentration, bSPIONs showed a single peak of T2
34 contribution at 1316 ms and this value is used as a standard for comparison with the other
35 samples. Relaxation time analyses for Lip-SPIONs displayed two peaks at 253 ms and 34 ms
36 while SiSPIONs showed three peaks at 307 ms, 78 ms and 19 ms. The presence of more than
37 one peak can be explained considering the effect of nanoparticles' aggregation (clustering) and
38 the presence of unreacted materials, such as residual lipids or silicon, since the measured
39 relaxation times are very close to those of the same reagents in aqueous dispersion. In
40 particular, the peaks at higher relaxations times can be attributed to the residual materials while
41 peaks at lower relaxation time are related to a different state of aggregation, as confirmed by
42 the large relaxation time distribution curves. Indeed, it is well-known that silica has the ability
43 to influence the relaxation time distribution due to its hydrophilicity while the lipid contribution
44 to relaxivity reduces the relaxation times of the free water molecules (Vecchione et al., 2017a,
45 Vecchione et al., 2017b, Russo et al., 2016, De Sarno et al., 2019, Ananta et al., 2010).
46
47
48
49

50 However, a significant result in terms of T2 contribution is reported for the Lip-SiSPIONs;
51 where a single and low T2 value at 46 ms with a very narrow relaxation time distribution curve
52 is shown with respect to the bSPIONs at the same concentration. This result is extremely
53 promising since the low T2 value is clinically relevant for the quality of MRI images (Hobson
54 et al., 2019) and also because the combination of the lipid and silica for the coating of the
55 SPIONs is opening several biological opportunities on the uptake of safer SPIO- based
56 nanoparticles. A slight shift is observed for the samples containing doxorubicin even though
57 the same trend is also confirmed for all samples. Shortening of T2 relaxation time has been
58 reported for SPIONs with surface attached gadolinium complexes and hybrid SPION-
59
60

coordination polymer nanoparticles (Szpak et al., 2014, Borges et al., 2015). The dual-coated composite reported here may well provide a new class of SPION-based agents in terms of MRI contrast enhancement and further consolidates the suitability of our core-shell SPIONs as multi-functional nanoparticles.




Figure 6: T2 characteristic relaxation time distributions for the prepared particles.

Table 2: T2 values for the prepared nanoparticles

Nanoparticle	T2 relaxation peaks (ms)		
	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
SPIONs	1316 ± 1		
Lip-SPIONs	253 ± 5	34 ± 6	
SiSPIONs	307 ± 1	78 ± 1	19 ± 2
Lip-SiSPIONs	46 ± 1		

Drug loading and release results

Both loading and release studies in an incubator were carried out at 37 °C up to 48 hours and over the same set of time intervals as shown in Figures 7 (a) and (b) respectively.

The amount of DOX that was entrapped into the nanoparticles at different time intervals was determined by using UV spectrophotometer and from the calibration curves for DOX obtained using prepared known concentrations (Figure A2). The linear regression fit shown with an R² value > 99.85% gives confidence in the conversion of measured absorbance to DOX concentration. The entrapment efficiency for Lip-SPIONs after 48 hours was found to be ~35 % whereas that for Lip-SiSPIONs it was ~58% (Figure 7(a)). The higher loading in SiSPIONs could be attributed to the mesoporous structure of silica which reduces drug leakage from the nanoparticles and hence shows better entrapment of the drug. There was no significant loading between 24-48 hours, whereas maximum drug release was observed after 48 hours (80-90%).




Figure 7: (a) % entrapment efficiency of DOX in nanocomposites as a function of time; (b): DOX release from nanocomposites at 37C as a function of time and (c) comparison of DOX release at 37C in the absence and presence of AC magnetic field (n=3; mean \pm SD).

1
2
3 The release of drug from Lip-SPIONs+DOX and Lip-SiSPIONs+DOX after 48 hours of
4 incubation was found to be ~80-85% (Figure 7(b)). For comparison with release at the same
5 temperature, but this time achieved using an AC magnetic field as shown in part 2 of Figure 7
6 (c), the incubator release at 48 hours is shown again in Part 1 of the same Figure. In the case
7 of the AC field, it took 15 minutes to raise the temperature from RT to 37 °C; at which point
8 the sample was removed before carrying out the release measurement. Remarkably, the release
9 profiles after this short time are somewhere between those seen at 12 and 24 hours in the
10 incubator. As the heating mechanisms of the magnetic AC field method involves physical
11 stirring (Brownian rotation), Néel susceptibility and hysteresis losses (Vallejo-Fernandez et al.,
12 2013) this is very different from the near-static maintaining of a temperature in an incubator
13 once it is in equilibrium and warrants further investigation.
14
15

16
17 For Lip-SPIONs 80% drug release was observed whereas for Lip- SiSPIONs, the drug release
18 was seen to be much less, ~ 57%. One possible reason for this may be that the porous silica
19 structure results in better entrapment of the drug compared to lipid-coated and thereby hinders
20 drug release. Thus, although drug loading is enhanced due to mesoporous silica, the drug
21 release is decreased.
22

23 *In-vitro cytotoxicity results*

24
25
26 The cytotoxicity effect of nanoparticles at 37 °C and after 72 hours is shown in Figure 8
27 (particle concentration = 500 µg/ml), against MCF-7 cancer cell lines. Please note, the DOX-
28 only column is at a concentration of 1 µg/ml as this was the value of the DOX solution used
29 for loading the NPs with this drug. Further details are given in the Materials and Methods
30 section. The results are shown as percentage cell viability compared to untreated cells.
31

32
33 At this concentration, the cytotoxic effect of nanocomposites for incubation periods of 24 and
34 48 hours was less prominent and the cell viability for the dual-coated nanocomposites was
35 more than 90%. Hence, the results are only presented for an incubation time of 72 hours. Other
36 lower concentrations at 72 hours, of 125 and 250 µg/ml, resulted in values > 73% for the two
37 lipid-coated DOX-loaded systems (shown in Figure A3 in the Appendix). As systems above
38 70% are considered to be non-toxic (Szuplewska et al., 2019) this prevented a comparison as
39 a function of concentration across all the systems studied here. However, it can be noted in
40 Figure A3 that there is an overall trend across the samples in terms of lower concentrations
41 resulting in higher viability. Whilst this is not conclusive, it can be considered (with caution)
42 as indicative in terms of consistency within these results.
43
44

45
46 From our dose-dependent studies it was observed that bSPIONs induce toxicity at a
47 concentration of 250 µg/ml and the cell viability was observed to be <70% (results shown in
48 Figure A4 in the Appendix). This value is needed to determine an initial minimum
49 concentration level at the start of an investigation. Similar results were reported previously
50 (Sharifabad et al., 2016). At the concentration value then determined and required for the
51 coated systems reported here (of 500 µg /ml), the bSPION and SiSPIONs viability are both
52 approximately 57% and so are of similar toxicity to the viability value of 53% for DOX-only
53 (1 µg/ml), albeit a little less.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 8: *In vitro* cytotoxicity effect of DOX (1 µg/ml), and nanoparticles (with and without DOX) (500 µg/ml nanoparticle, which contain 1 µg/ml DOX), in MCF-7 after 72 hours; n=3, mean ±SD, t-test, one-tailed, paired. The ends of the horizontal bars above the columns indicate a pair chosen which is statistically significantly different. The colours indicate the different levels of significance. Black with values of $*p < 0.05$, green with $**p < 0.01$ and red with $***p < 0.001$.

For lipid-coated nanoparticles, i.e. Lip-bSPIONs and Lip-SiSPIONs the cell viability was 82% and 87% respectively. Hence, as this is greater than 70% it is considered to be biocompatible. (Szuplewska et al., 2019). Thus, a clear decrease in toxicity of the nanoparticles was seen for Lip-bSPIONs and Lip-SiSPIONs. This yields statistically significant levels of p values, that are all < 0.05 , when compared to their uncoated counterparts (bSPIONs and SiSPIONs) and is indicated by the bars in Figure 8. Moreover, comparison here of our two lipid coated NPs with DOX-only, resulted in an even lower value of $p < 0.001$.

Overall, these results indicate that the toxicity of bSPIONs is significantly reduced ($p < 0.01$) upon dual coating the nanoparticles with silica and lipid.

For the DOX-loaded lipid-coated NPs, the silica shell of the dual coated bSPIONS resulted in a significant increase in cell viability from 55 % to 73% (Lip-bSPIONS+DOX compared to Lip-SiSPIONS+DOX) as shown by the bar for the pair in figure 8. This decrease in cytotoxicity may be as a result of drug entrapment in the mesoporous structures of the silica coating that leads to a slower release of DOX. A similar trend was seen for the toxicity of DOX-loaded NPs without lipid coatings (bSPIONS+DOX compared to SiSPIONS+DOX), resulting in an increase in cell viability from 36% to 50%. However, in this case the pair are not significantly different.

It is interesting to note that the DOX loaded bSPION is more toxic than DOX or bSPION alone; although this should be treated with caution as they cannot be classified as being significantly different. It may be possible that physical adsorption between the DOX and bSPION molecules is such that the drug is released readily to the cells, but this would require detailed and further investigation.

DOX (1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$), and nanoparticles without DOX, i.e. bSPIONS, SiSPIONS, Lip-SPIONS and Lip-SiSPIONS (500 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) were used as controls. IC_{50} corresponds to the concentration of DOX or nanoparticle required to inhibit the growth of cells to 50% compared to the untreated cells. IC_{50} values were determined from the data shown in the dose-dependent cytotoxicity curves (Figure A4 in the Appendix) and the results are summarised in Table 3. The IC_{50} value calculated for DOX-only of 1.1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ is within the range of 0.68- 1.1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ (Fang et al., 2014, Sharifabad et al., 2016) as previously reported. Upon lipid coating, the IC_{50} values for bSPIONS and SiSPIONS increased which suggests that the lipid layer in both the Lip-bSPIONS and Lip-SiSPIONS reduces the toxicity and enhances the biocompatibility of the nanoparticles.

Table 3: Calculated IC_{50} and 95% CI for the control samples after 72 hours.

Test sample	IC_{50} ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) (95% CI)
DOX	1.13 (1.06-1.19)
bSPIONS	610 (566-653)
SiSPIONS	579 (552-623)
Lip-bSPIONS	1244 (1114-1374)
Lip-SiSPIONS	1302 (1166-1438)

In addition, the cell viabilities for all of the nanocomposite systems and at all concentrations was tested against non-cancerous SVG p12 cells. In all cases, including exposure for 24, 48 and 72 hours, the viability was observed to be above 75% (data not shown). Whilst this suggests that the NPs are biocompatible and non-toxic towards the non-malignant cells, this should now be tested further using non-cancerous breast cells such as MCF-10A. In this case it suggests a higher selectivity of NPs to cancer cells compared to healthy cells. Such selectivity of magnetoliposomes to cancer cells over the non-malignant cells has been previously reported by others (Rodrigues et al., 2017, Szuplewska et al., 2019). The selectivity of nanocomposites towards MCF-7 over SVG p12 cells may also be due to differences between morphology, permeability and metabolism of normal and cancer cells and increased sensitivity of MCF-7 cells to DOX.

Overall, the results at 37 °C clearly show that the best biocompatibility results are obtained from lipid-coatings and the best cytotoxicity is found in systems with silica coatings. Thus, the dual-coated lipid-silica magnetic nanocomposite system (Lip-SiSPION) prepared in this work offers the best compromise and potentially optimal in-vitro model (MCF-7 and SVGp12) for drug delivery and cytotoxicity. This promising result encourages further investigations to be carried out under hyperthermic conditions at 42 °C.

Conclusions

Composite drug carrier systems comprising of core SPIONs coated with silica and/or lipids have been developed with promising theranostic properties. To our knowledge, systems containing dual coatings of silica and lipid have not been studied for MRI applications as contrast agents and are reported here for the first time. In the prepared systems, the ultra-small size and superparamagnetic characteristics of SPIONs were retained in the silica and lipid coated SPIONs. An initial study on the effects of extrusion on the dispersion stability of bare SPIONs resulted in a significant improvement. Characterisation using an SCM technique provided a way of quantifying this important parameter in these bio-compatible magnetic NPs. As coated systems are intrinsically more stable than their uncoated SPION cores, such as the Lip-SPION system observed here, the extension of this extrusion methodology and SCM characterisation to such systems is the subject of further work. The ability to generate heat in the presence of an oscillating magnetic field and the favorable drug loading and release profile of the Lip-SiSPIONs show the suitability of these nanoparticles for hyperthermia drug delivery applications. MRI results indicate a low T2 value and very narrow relaxation time distribution curve for Lip-SiSPIONs. As this is clinically important for the quality of the MRI images, these dual-coated composites may provide a new class of nanomaterials that enhances their effectiveness as contrast agents and warrants further investigation. In addition, lipid-coated nanoparticles (without DOX) have shown excellent biocompatibility *in-vitro*, against breast cancer cell line MCF-7 and non-cancerous foetal glial cells, SVG p12. In a preliminary investigation, DOX loaded nanocomposites showed high efficiency and selectivity as drug carriers against MCF-7 cell lines as compared to SVG p12. However, these results are only indicative and further studies are required before any definitive conclusions can be drawn.

The combination of lipid and silica for the dual coating of SPIONs is opening several biological opportunities on the uptake of safer SPION-based nanoparticles and hence these multifunctional nanoparticles can provide potential theranostic systems for diagnostic and targeted drug delivery in hyperthermia-mediated cancer therapy.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Funding Statement

This work was carried out as part of Daphne Jackson Trust (DJT) fellowship which was jointly funded by the Royal Society of Chemistry (RSC) and the University of Central Lancashire (UCLan). YPS is grateful to the DJT, the RSC and UCLan for sponsoring the research.

Appendix

X-ray Diffraction

Powder X-ray diffraction pattern for bSPIONs is shown in Figure A1. Peaks corresponding to Fe_3O_4 were observed.




Figure A1: XRD pattern for bSPIONs

DOX calibration curve

A calibration curve was obtained by measuring absorbance of DOX solutions of various concentrations at a wavelength of 484nm using a UV spectrophotometer and is presented in Figure A2. Serial dilutions of DOX were made in water using 100 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ stock prepared in 1:1 DMSO:water. From the calibration data points shown, a good linear fit was obtained with an R^2 value $> 99.85\%$.




Figure A2: Calibration curve for DOX concentrations, 5, 10, 20, 40, 60 and 80 $\mu\text{g/ml}$

Cellar toxicity at lower concentrations

Cellular toxicity of DOX and the nanoparticles/nanocomposites on MCF-7 after 72 hours at lower concentrations of DOX (0.25 and 0.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) and NPs (125 and 250 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) compared to DOX (1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) and NPs (500 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) of Figure 8 in the Results section are presented in Figure A3.




Figure A3: *In-vitro* cytotoxicity of the nanoparticles and DOX on MCF-7 after 72 hours; $n=3$, mean \pm SD. (a) 125 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ of NPs with DOX concentration of 0.25 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ and (b) 250 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ NPs with DOX concentration of 0.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Overall there is higher viability across these profiles at lower concentration and so whilst not conclusive, is at least indicative of consistency within these results.

Dose-dependent cell viability

Dose-dependent cell cytotoxicity of DOX on its own and the control NPs (without DOX), on MCF-7 cells is presented in Figure A4.




Figure A4: Dose-dependent cell cytotoxicity of (a) DOX; (b) bSPIONs; (c) SiSPIONs; (d) Lip-bSPIONs and (e) Lip-SiSPIONs on MCF-7 after 72 hours; $n=3$, mean \pm SD. IC_{50} values were determined from log-linear plots of the same as detailed in the Materials and Methods section.

References

- AGHEBATI-MALEKI, A., DOLATI, S., AHMADI, M., BAGHBANZHADEH, A., ASADI, M., FOTOUHI, A., YOUSEFI, M. & AGHEBATI-MALEKI, L. 2020. Nanoparticles and cancer therapy: Perspectives for application of nanoparticles in the treatment of cancers. *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, 235, 1962-1972.
- AHMADZADEH, M., ROMERO, C. & MCCLOY, J. 2018. Magnetic analysis of commercial hematite, magnetite, and their mixtures. *AIP Advances*, 8, 056807.
- ALAVI, M. & HAMIDI, M. 2019. Passive and active targeting in cancer therapy by liposomes and lipid nanoparticles. *Drug Metabolism and Personalized Therapy*.
- AMREDDY, N., BABU, A., MURALIDHARAN, R., PANNEERSELVAM, J., SRIVASTAVA, A., AHMED, R., MEHTA, M., MUNSHI, A. & RAMESH, R. 2018. Chapter Five - Recent Advances in Nanoparticle-Based Cancer Drug and Gene Delivery. In: TEW, K. D. & FISHER, P. B. (eds.) *Advances in Cancer Research*. Academic Press.
- ANANTA, J. S., GODIN, B., SETHI, R., MORIGGI, L., LIU, X., SERDA, R. E., KRISHNAMURTHY, R., MUTHUPILLAI, R., BOLSKAR, R. D., HELM, L., FERRARI, M., WILSON, L. J. & DECUZZI, P. 2010. Geometrical confinement of gadolinium-based contrast agents in nanoporous particles enhances T1 contrast. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 5, 815.
- ASKARI, A., TAJVAR, S., NIKKHAH, M., MOHAMMADI, S. & HOSSEINKHANI, S. 2020. Synthesis, characterization and in vitro toxicity evaluation of doxorubicin-loaded magnetoliposomes on MCF-7 breast cancer cell line. *Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology*, 55, 101447.
- BARTENSTEIN, J. E., ROBERTSON, J., BATTAGLIA, G. & BRISCOE, W. H. 2016. Stability of polymersomes prepared by size exclusion chromatography and extrusion. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 506, 739-746.
- BLANCO-ANDUJAR, C., TERAN, F. J. & ORTEGA, D. 2018. Chapter 8 - Current Outlook and Perspectives on Nanoparticle-Mediated Magnetic Hyperthermia. In: MAHMOUDI, M. & LAURENT, S. (eds.) *Iron Oxide Nanoparticles for Biomedical Applications*. Elsevier.
- BORGES, M., YU, S., LAROMAINE, A., ROIG, A., SUÁREZ-GARCÍA, S., LORENZO, J., RUIZ-MOLINA, D. & NOVIO, F. 2015. Dual T1/T2 MRI contrast agent based on hybrid SPION@coordination polymer nanoparticles. *RSC Advances*, 5, 86779-86783.
- CARDOSO, V. F., FRANCESKO, A., RIBEIRO, C., BAÑOBRE-LOPEZ, M., MARTINS, P. & LANCEROS-MENDEZ, S. 2018. Advances in Magnetic Nanoparticles for Biomedical Applications. *Advanced Healthcare Materials*, 7, 1700845.
- DE ANDRADE, F. D., NETTO, A. M. & COLNAGO, L. A. 2011. Qualitative analysis by online nuclear magnetic resonance using Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill sequence with low refocusing flip angles. *Talanta*, 84, 84-8.
- DE SARNO, F., PONSIGLIONE, A. M., RUSSO, M., GRIMALDI, A. M., FORTE, E., NETTI, P. A. & TORINO, E. 2019. Water-Mediated Nanostructures for Enhanced MRI: Impact of Water Dynamics on Relaxometric Properties of Gd-DTPA. *Theranostics*, 9, 1809-1824.
- DONAHUE, N. D., ACAR, H. & WILHELM, S. 2019. Concepts of nanoparticle cellular uptake, intracellular trafficking, and kinetics in nanomedicine. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 143, 68-96.
- DOUGHTY, C. V. A., HOOVER, R. A., LAYTON, E., MURRAY, K. C., HOWARD, W. E. & CHEN, R. W. 2019. Nanomaterial Applications in Photothermal Therapy for Cancer. *Materials*, 12.
- DUNLOP, D. J. 1973. Superparamagnetic and single-domain threshold sizes in magnetite. *Journal of Geophysical Research (1896-1977)*, 78, 1780-1793.
- ESTELRICH, J., SANCHEZ-MARTIN, M. J. & BUSQUETS, M. A. 2015. Nanoparticles in magnetic resonance imaging: from simple to dual contrast agents. *Int J Nanomedicine*, 10, 1727-41.
- FAHS, S., PATIL-SEN, Y. & SNAPE, T. J. 2015. Foldamers as Anticancer Therapeutics: Targeting Protein-Protein Interactions and the Cell Membrane. *ChemBioChem*, 16, 1840-1853.
- FAHS, S., ROWTHER, F. B., DENNISON, S. R., PATIL-SEN, Y., WARR, T. & SNAPE, T. J. 2014. Development of a novel, multifunctional, membrane-interactive pyridinium salt with potent anticancer activity. *Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry letters*, 24, 3430-3433.
- FANG, X. J., JIANG, H., ZHU, Y. Q., ZHANG, L. Y., FAN, Q. H. & TIAN, Y. 2014. Doxorubicin induces drug resistance and expression of the novel CD44st via NF-kappa B in human breast cancer MCF-7 cells. *Oncology Reports*, 31, 2735-2742.
- GOYA, G. F., BERQUÓ, T. S., FONSECA, F. C. & MORALES, M. P. 2003. Static and dynamic magnetic properties of spherical magnetite nanoparticles. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 94, 3520-3528.
- GUO, Y., ZHANG, Y., MA, J., LI, Q., LI, Y., ZHOU, X., ZHAO, D., SONG, H., CHEN, Q. & ZHU, X. 2018. Light/magnetic hyperthermia triggered drug released from multi-functional thermo-sensitive magnetoliposomes for precise cancer synergetic theranostics. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 272, 145-158.

- 1
2
3 GUPTA, A. K. & GUPTA, M. 2005. Synthesis and surface engineering of iron oxide nanoparticles for biomedical
4 applications. *Biomaterials*, 26, 3995-4021.
- 5 HEIDARLI, E., DADASHZADEH, S. & HAERI, A. 2017. State of the Art of Stimuli-Responsive Liposomes for
6 Cancer Therapy. *Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 16, 1273-1304.
- 7 HERGT, R., DUTZ, S., MULLER, R. & ZEISBERGER, M. 2006. Magnetic particle hyperthermia: nanoparticle
8 magnetism and materials development for cancer therapy. *Journal of Physics-Condensed Matter*, 18,
9 S2919-S2934.
- 10 HOBSON, N. J., WENG, X., SIOW, B., VEIGA, C., ASHFORD, M., THANH, N. T., SCHÄTZLEIN, A. G. &
11 UCHEGBU, I. F. 2019. Clustering superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles produces organ-targeted
12 high-contrast magnetic resonance images. *Nanomedicine*, 14, 1135-1152.
- 13 HOLA, K., MARKOVA, Z., ZOPPELLARO, G., TUCEK, J. & ZBORIL, R. 2015. Tailored functionalization of
14 iron oxide nanoparticles for MRI, drug delivery, magnetic separation and immobilization of
15 biosubstances. *Biotechnology Advances*, 33, 1162-1176.
- 16 HUFSCHEID, R., TEEMAN, E., MEHDI, B. L., KRISHNAN, K. M. & BROWNING, N. D. 2019. Observing
17 the colloidal stability of iron oxide nanoparticles in situ. *Nanoscale*, 11, 13098-13107.
- 18 HUSAIN, H., HARIYANTO, B., SULTHONUL, M., KLYSUBUN, W., DARMINTO, D. & PRATAPA, S. 2019.
19 Structure and magnetic properties of silica-coated magnetite-nanoparticle composites. *Materials
20 Research Express*, 6, 086117.
- 21 JANKO, C., RATSCHKER, T., NGUYEN, K., ZSCHIESCHE, L., TIETZE, R., LYER, S. & ALEXIOU, C. 2019.
22 Functionalized Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide Nanoparticles (SPIONs) as Platform for the Targeted
23 Multimodal Tumor Therapy. *Frontiers in oncology*, 9, 59-59.
- 24 KHAN, I., SAEED, K. & KHAN, I. 2019. Nanoparticles: Properties, applications and toxicities. *Arabian Journal
25 of Chemistry*, 12, 908-931.
- 26 KIM, W., SUH, C.-Y., CHO, S.-W., ROH, K.-M., KWON, H., SONG, K. & SHON, I.-J. 2012. A new method
27 for the identification and quantification of magnetite-maghemite mixture using conventional X-ray
28 diffraction technique. *Talanta*, 94, 348-352.
- 29 KOBAYASHI, T. 2011. Cancer hyperthermia using magnetic nanoparticles. *Biotechnology Journal*, 6, 1342-
30 1347.
- 31 KULSHRESTHA, P., GOGOI, M., BAHADUR, D. & BANERJEE, R. 2012. In vitro application of paclitaxel
32 loaded magnetoliposomes for combined chemotherapy and hyperthermia. *Colloids and Surfaces B-
33 Biointerfaces*, 96, 1-7.
- 34 KUMAR, C. S. S. R. & MOHAMMAD, F. 2011. Magnetic nanomaterials for hyperthermia-based therapy and
35 controlled drug delivery. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 63, 789-808.
- 36 LEE, W. & IM, H.-J. 2019. Theranostics Based on Liposome: Looking Back and Forward. *Nuclear Medicine and
37 Molecular Imaging*, 53, 242-246.
- 38 LUCHINI, A. & VITIELLO, G. 2019. Understanding the Nano-bio Interfaces: Lipid-Coatings for Inorganic
39 Nanoparticles as Promising Strategy for Biomedical Applications. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, 7.
- 40 MAJID, A., PATIL-SEN, Y., AHMED, W. & SEN, T. 2017. Tunable Self-Assembled Peptide Structure: A Novel
41 Approach to Design Dual-Use Biological Agents. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 4, 32-40.
- 42 MASCOLO, M. C., PEI, Y. & RING, T. A. 2013. Room Temperature Co-Precipitation Synthesis of Magnetite
43 Nanoparticles in a Large pH Window with Different Bases. *Materials (Basel)*, 6, 5549-5567.
- 44 MERCER, T. & BISSELL, P. R. 2013. The Observed Linearity and Detection Response of Magnetic Fluid
45 Concentration Magnetometry-A Theoretical and Experimental Description. *Ieee Transactions on
46 Magnetism*, 49, 3516-3519.
- 47 MILLING, L., ZHANG, Y. & IRVINE, D. J. 2017. Delivering safer immunotherapies for cancer. *Advanced Drug
48 Delivery Reviews*, 114, 79-101.
- 49 MORALES, F., MÁRQUEZ, G., SAGREDO, V., TORRES, T. E. & DENARDIN, J. C. 2019. Structural and
50 magnetic properties of silica-coated magnetite nanoaggregates. *Physica B: Condensed Matter*, 572, 214-
51 219.
- 52 MOTOYAMA, J., HAKATA, T., KATO, R., YAMASHITA, N., MORINO, T., KOBAYASHI, T. & HONDA,
53 H. 2008. Size dependent heat generation of magnetite nanoparticles under AC magnetic field for cancer
54 therapy. *Biomagn Res Technol*, 6, 4.
- 55 MULLER, R., HERGT, R., ZEISBERGER, M. & GAWALEK, W. 2005. Preparation of magnetic nanoparticles
56 with large specific loss power for heating applications. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*,
57 289, 13-16.
- 58 NARAIN, A., ASAWA, S., CHHABRIA, V. & PATIL-SEN, Y. 2017. Cell membrane coated nanoparticles: next-
59 generation therapeutics. *Nanomedicine*, 12, 2677-2692.
- 60 PALMER, A. C., CHABNER, B. A. & SORGER, P. 2018. The effect of patient-to-patient variability in drug
response on combination cancer therapies, from 1961 to today. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 36,
e14552-e14552.

- PATIL-SEN, Y. & CHHABRIA, V. 2018. Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide Nanoparticles for Magnetic Hyperthermia Applications. *NanoBioMaterials*. CRC Press.
- PATIL-SEN, Y., TIDDY, G., BREZESINSKI, G. & DEWOLF, C. 2004. A monolayer phase behaviour study of phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylinositol 4-monophosphate and their binary mixtures with distearoylphosphatidylethanolamine. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 6, 1562-1565.
- PATIL-SEN Y., N. A., ASAWA S., TAVARNA T. 2019. Nanotechnology: The Future for Cancer Treatment. In: BOSE K., C. P. E. (ed.) *Unravelling Cancer Signaling Pathways: A Multidisciplinary Approach*. Singapore: Springer.
- PATIL, R. M., THORAT, N. D., SHETE, P. B., OTARI, S. V., TIWALE, B. M. & PAWAR, S. H. 2016. In vitro hyperthermia with improved colloidal stability and enhanced SAR of magnetic core/shell nanostructures. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*, 59, 702-709.
- PELLICO, J., ELLIS, C. M. & DAVIS, J. J. 2019. Nanoparticle-Based Paramagnetic Contrast Agents for Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Contrast Media & Molecular Imaging*.
- PETRACIC, O. 2010. Superparamagnetic nanoparticle ensembles. *Superlattices and Microstructures*, 47, 569-578.
- PHUNG, D. C., NGUYEN, H. T., PHUONG TRAN, T. T., JIN, S. G., YONG, C. S., TRUONG, D. H., TRAN, T. H. & KIM, J. O. 2019. Combined hyperthermia and chemotherapy as a synergistic anticancer treatment. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Investigation*, 49, 519-526.
- PRADHAN, L., SRIVASTAVA, R. & BAHADUR, D. 2014. pH- and thermosensitive thin lipid layer coated mesoporous magnetic nanoassemblies as a dual drug delivery system towards thermochemotherapy of cancer. *Acta Biomaterialia*, 10, 2976-2987.
- PRADHAN, P., GIRI, J., RIEKEN, F., KOCH, C., MYKHAYLYK, O., DOBLINGER, M., BANERJEE, R., BAHADUR, D. & PLANK, C. 2010. Targeted temperature sensitive magnetic liposomes for thermochemotherapy. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 142, 108-121.
- REIMHULT, E. 2015. Nanoparticle-triggered release from lipid membrane vesicles. *New Biotechnology*, 32, 665-672.
- RILEY, R. S., JUNE, C. H., LANGER, R. & MITCHELL, M. J. 2019. Delivery technologies for cancer immunotherapy. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, 18, 175-196.
- RODRIGUES, A. R. O., ALMEIDA, B. G., RODRIGUES, J. M., QUEIROZ, M. J. R. P., CALHELHA, R. C., FERREIRA, I. C. F. R., PIRES, A., PEREIRA, A. M., ARAÚJO, J. P., COUTINHO, P. J. G. & CASTANHEIRA, E. M. S. 2017. Magnetoliposomes as carriers for promising antitumor thieno[3,2-b]pyridin-7-arylamines: photophysical and biological studies. *RSC Advances*, 7, 15352-15361.
- ROSENSWEIG, R. E. 2002. Heating magnetic fluid with alternating magnetic field. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 252, 370-374.
- ROVERS, S. A. 2010. *Magnetically induced localized on-demand drug delivery*. PhD Thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology.
- RUSSO, M., BEVILACQUA, P., NETTI, P. A. & TORINO, E. 2016. A Microfluidic Platform to design crosslinked Hyaluronic Acid Nanoparticles (cHANPs) for enhanced MRI. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 37906.
- SABATÉ, R., BARNADAS-RODRÍGUEZ, R., CALLEJAS-FERNÁNDEZ, J., HIDALGO-ÁLVAREZ, R. & ESTELRICH, J. 2008. Preparation and characterization of extruded magnetoliposomes. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 347, 156-162.
- SERCOMBE, L., VEERATI, T., MOHEIMANI, F., WU, S. Y., SOOD, A. K. & HUA, S. 2015. Advances and Challenges of Liposome Assisted Drug Delivery. *Frontiers in pharmacology*, 6, 286-286.
- SHARIFABAD, M. E., MERCER, T. & SEN, T. 2015. The fabrication and characterization of stable core-shell superparamagnetic nanocomposites for potential application in drug delivery. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 117, 17D139.
- SHARIFABAD, M. E., MERCER, T. & SEN, T. 2016. Drug-loaded liposome-capped mesoporous core-shell magnetic nanoparticles for cellular toxicity study. *Nanomedicine*, 11, 2757-2767.
- SHARMA, A., GOYAL, A. K. & RATH, G. 2018. Recent advances in metal nanoparticles in cancer therapy. *Journal of Drug Targeting*, 26, 617-632.
- SIEGEL, R. L., JEMAL, A., WENDER, R. C., GANSLER, T., MA, J. & BRAWLEY, O. W. 2018. An assessment of progress in cancer control. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 68, 329-339.
- SOENEN, S. J., HODENIUS, M. & CUYPER, M. D. 2009. Magnetoliposomes: versatile innovative nanocolloids for use in biotechnology and biomedicine. *Nanomedicine*, 4, 177-191.
- SUN, Z.-X., SU, F.-W., FORSLING, W. & SAMSKOG, P.-O. 1998. Surface Characteristics of Magnetite in Aqueous Suspension. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 197, 151-159.
- SZPAK, A., FIEJDASZ, S., PRENDOTA, W., STRĄCZEK, T., KAPUSTA, C., SZMYD, J., NOWAKOWSKA, M. & ZAPOTOCZNY, S. 2014. T1-T2 Dual-modal MRI contrast agents based on superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles with surface attached gadolinium complexes. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, 16, 2678.

- 1
2
3 SZUPLEWSKA, A., REKORAJSKA JONIEC, A., POCZTANSKA, E., KRYSINSKI, P., DYBKO, A. &
4 CHUDY, M. 2019. Magnetic field-assisted selective delivery of doxorubicin to cancer cells using
5 magnetoliposomes as drug nanocarriers. *Nanotechnology*, 30, 315101.
- 6 VALLEJO-FERNANDEZ, G. & O'GRADY, K. 2013. Effect of the distribution of anisotropy constants on
7 hysteresis losses for magnetic hyperthermia applications. *Applied Physics Letters*, 103, 142417.
- 8 VALLEJO-FERNANDEZ, G., WHEAR, O., ROCA, A. G., HUSSAIN, S., TIMMIS, J., PATEL, V. &
9 O'GRADY, K. 2013. Mechanisms of hyperthermia in magnetic nanoparticles. *Journal of Physics D:
10 Applied Physics*, 46, 312001.
- 11 VECCHIONE, D., AIELLO, M., CAVALIERE, C., NICOLAI, E., NETTI, P. A. & TORINO, E. 2017a. Hybrid
12 core shell nanoparticles entrapping Gd-DTPA and (18)F-FDG for simultaneous PET/MRI acquisitions.
13 *Nanomedicine (Lond)*, 12, 2223-2231.
- 14 VECCHIONE, D., GRIMALDI, A. M., FORTE, E., BEVILACQUA, P., NETTI, P. A. & TORINO, E. 2017b.
15 Hybrid Core-Shell (HyCoS) Nanoparticles produced by Complex Coacervation for Multimodal
16 Applications. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 45121.
- 17 VERMA, J., LAL, S. & VAN NOORDEN, C. J. F. 2014. Nanoparticles for hyperthermic therapy: synthesis
18 strategies and applications in glioblastoma. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 9, 2863-2877.
- 19 WAKASKAR, R. R. 2018. Promising effects of nanomedicine in cancer drug delivery. *Journal of Drug Targeting*,
20 26, 319-324.
- 21 WANG, Y., SUN, S., ZHANG, Z. & SHI, D. 2018. Nanomaterials for Cancer Precision Medicine. *Advanced
22 Materials*, 30, 1705660.
- 23 YANG, B. W., CHEN, Y. & SHI, J. L. 2019. Mesoporous silica/organosilica nanoparticles: Synthesis, biological
24 effect and biomedical application. *Materials Science & Engineering R-Reports*, 137, 66-105.
- 25 ZHANG, L.-Y., GU, H.-C. & WANG, X.-M. 2007. Magnetite ferrofluid with high specific absorption rate for
26 application in hyperthermia. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 311, 228-233.
- 27 ZHU, N., JI, H. N., YU, P., NIU, J. Q., FAROOQ, M. U., AKRAM, M. W., UDEGO, I. O., LI, H. D. & NIU, X.
28 B. 2018. Surface Modification of Magnetic Iron Oxide Nanoparticles. *Nanomaterials*, 8.
- 29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60