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#### Abstract

Facial and dental features are of considerable importance in biomedicine and forensics. Facial appearance has a strong genetic component and could have evolved to facilitate individual recognition. Teeth are the hardest and well-preserved parts of the body and they have been used to establish biological relatedness among past and current human populations and to identify individuals. Although genes have been identified for various facial and dental phenotypes, the genetic basis of normal variation for both traits are still poorly understood. I performed Genome Wide Association Studies (GWAS) using $\sim 700,000$ genome-wide markers from $\sim 6,000$ Latin American individuals (CANDELA cohort). Ordinal and quantitative facial traits were assessed in individual photographs. Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) situated in four gene regions showed associations with three ordinal and quantitative traits related to nose morphology. Quantitative analyses, in addition, detected an association of SNPs in the Ectodysplasin A receptor (EDAR) gene with chin protrusion. Subsequently, statistical and experimental follow-up analysis were performed to endorse the discovered significant associations. Consistently, Edar mouse mutants were characterized to observe alterations of mandible length. Subsequently, I conducted GWAS for dental traits using the same markers from a subgroup of $\sim 500$ volunteers from the same cohort. Eighty-six traits were scored using the Arizona State University Dental Anthropology System (ASUDAS) scale. In addition, inciso-cervical, mesiodistal and bucco-lingual distances were measured on the incisors, canines and premolars in the same photos. Eleven of the categorical traits examined showed genome-wide significant association with SNPs in at least one genomic region and seven measurements showed genome-wide significant association with SNPs in four genomic regions. Ten of the genomic regions detected have been associated for other dental GWAS.


## Impact Statement

Over the last fifteen years, along with development of technology there has been an increase in the number of efforts to obtain more genomic data helping to broaden the knowledge in human populations. Genome-wide scans have played an important role in this task, it is an experimental design to detect associations between genetic variants and traits, primarily to better understand the biology behind of diseases and complex traits, assuming that increasing the knowledge will lead us to prevention or better treatment toward personalized medicine. In this PhD thesis I use the same rationale to detect new genomic variants associated to facial and dental features. I apply current methods to detect genetic associations related to physical appearance in a Latin American population. Moreover, I perform statistical and experimental analysis to deepen in the biology behind the genetic association and the traits. This work has several implications. First, most of the genome-wide studies have been conducted in European populations, this study has been performed in an underrepresented population of Latin Americans. Reflecting several advantages of working with a population so diverse in both phenotypic and genetic variability. Allowing to find not just novel genetic variants associated to the studied traits in Latin Americans, but also in the parental populations - Native American, European and African populations. Finally, detecting new genomic regions associated to normal physical appearance offers an opportunity to increase the knowledge of physical abnormalities, due to the shared role between normal physical features-associated loci and many type of syndromes and other anomalies, and to forensic applications through the development and application of facial and dental phenotype prediction based on DNA variants.
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## Chapter 1: Introduction

### 1.1 Overview of this thesis

The human body has always captured humanity's attention, not just because it is the expression of what we are as human beings, but also because of our curiosity to understand how it works, how to fix it if necessary and, how to foresee how it will change.

Among human body features, facial and dental traits represent key elements. The development of the craniofacial region is a complex process that reflects the evolutionary forces that control morphogenesis. The craniofacial region in vertebrates protects the brain and houses the sensory organs and structures from the digestive system and, it also allows us to recognize unique individual traits ${ }^{1}$. Therefore, the survival of various species of vertebrates depends on the ability to perceive and devour their prey. Hence, the variations in anatomy and function of the craniofacial complex are one of the best evidences of evolutionary adaptation, thus, they are under permanent study ${ }^{2}$.

Genetics is crucial in this endeavour because Deoxynucleic Acid (DNA) determines to a certain extent how craniofacial features will look like. The most emblematic example of this are monozygotic twins (MZ) ${ }^{3}$, i.e. MZ twins despite being raised apart, still look very similar ${ }^{4}$, reflecting that the genetic factor influence is stronger than the environmental effects. DNA will also control if there is genetic susceptibility to develop certain illnesses, or if there are advantages to adapt to different environments. Thus, if we can predict by using DNA analysis, we could help doctors with reconstructive surgeries, forensic scientists could draft the face of a criminal, and historians, anthropologists and archaeologists can reconstruct craniofacial traits from individuals from the past ${ }^{5}$.

These challenges seem unattainable, but with the advent of new technologies, such as sequencing and large-scale genotyping platforms this may be possible in the future. Thousands of genome wide association scans have been conducted seeking genomic
regions associated with different diseases/traits ${ }^{6}$, but not many related to facial and dental traits ${ }^{7-10}$.

Although genes have been identified for various facial and dental phenotypes, the genetic basis of normal variation for both traits are still poorly understood. In this thesis I will present principally genome wide association analyses seeking genomic variants responsible for the variation in facial and dental morphology in Latin Americans, and statistical and experimental follow-up analysis to gain a deeper understanding of the genetic impact on the phenotypes. I have performed GWAS applying standard procedures used on genome-wide association studies. First, using genotyping data obtained from DNA microarrays, and later, utilizing imputed data to increase the power of the genome-wide scans ${ }^{11}$.

Additionally, I have also performed a replication GWAS in the same population and other statistical, and experimental analysis to obtain deeper knowledge with regards of the biological mechanism behind some of the identified genetic associations.

### 1.2 The human face

Undoubtedly, one of the most significant parts of the body is the face, its features define the identity of a person. Facial physiognomies are developed from infancy until adulthood, but the main characteristics are retained, thus the face becomes the trademark of the human being.

The biological definition of face is the frontal part of the head, from the forehead until the chin, where the eyes, nose and mouth are situated. This concept sounds simple, but involves several aspects of the human being, in both biological and social functioning, such as human behavioural biology, genomics, life history, evolutionary psychology, biological anthropology, among other topics. Ultimately, all of them together became part of an evolutionary theory, that natural and social environments have modulated, by selective forces, the human body and face shapes.

### 1.2.1 Development embryology and anatomy of the face

### 1.2.1.1 Development embryology of the face

The beginning of facial development embryology occurs during the 4th week of the human embryo's development. This process will finish into the 12th week, with the completion of the soft palate ${ }^{12}$.

Facial development comprises five prominences: frontonasal, two maxillary and two mandibular prominences. All five facial swellings form by the end of 4th week. These initially surround the primitive oral cavity, the stomodeum. During the 5th week, the paired maxillary prominences enlarge and grow ventrally and medially. Simultaneously, a pair of ectodermal thickenings called the nasal placodes form on the frontonasal process and begin to enlarge. In the 6th week, the ectoderm at the centre of each nasal placode invaginates to form an oval nasal pit, dividing the frontonasal prominence into the lateral and medial nasal prominence into the lateral and medial nasal processes ${ }^{12}$.

During the 6th week, the medial nasal processes approximate toward the midline and join to form the primordium of the bridge and septum of the nose. By the end of the 7th week, the inferior tips of the medial nasal processes expand laterally and inferiorly and join to form the intermaxillary process ${ }^{12}$.

Although the two mandibular prominences seem to be separated by a fissure midventrally, they form in continuity with each other, like the rest of the pharyngeal arches ${ }^{12}$.

The formation of each region of the face and neck is mainly due to the migration of the neural crest cells which come from the ectoderm ${ }^{12}$.

Neural crest cells (NCCs) are derived from dorsal midline ectoderm of developing vertebrate embryos. Neural crest cells migrate downwards beside the neural tube and laterally under the surface ectoderm at all axial levels. The cells formed at craniofacial levels migrate into the frontonasal process and pharyngeal arches and give rise to nearly all tissues of the face and neck. After cranial neural crest cells have completed their migration, facial growth is dominated by regional growth centres and the final differentiation of tissues occurs ${ }^{13}$.

Sutures of the skull contain mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), which will mature to osteoblasts at the adjacent bone fronts ${ }^{14}$. In mice the MSCs arise in response to sonic hedgehog (SHH) signalling from the adjacent notochord ${ }^{15}$. Later, the MSCs move to a centre situated above the developing eye. Mesenchymal stem cells are very important for skull growth as their ablation provokes suture fusion ${ }^{16}$.

Facial prominence is shaped by cranial neural crest cells (CNCCs) that migrated from: (i) Diencephalon and anterior mesencephalon to the frontonasal and periocular regions. (ii) From posterior mesencephalon and rhombomeres 1 and 2 to the first pharyngeal arch (PA1) (Figure 1.1 ) ${ }^{17}$.

The face is shaped by the fusion of 5 facial primordia, frontonasal prominence, right and left maxillar and right and left mandibular process around the oral cavity. The gene IRF6 plays a key role in the fusion of facial prominences and secondary palates ${ }^{18}$. Disruption of Ptchl enhanced SHH activity and this leads to decrease Wnt-p53-IRF6 signalling where the maxillary, medial nasal and lateral processes fuse generating epithelial seam persistence and cleft lip ${ }^{19}$. Also, SHH signalling pathway plays a role on the expression of NCC marker Tfap $2 a$ in the frontonasal process, it has been associated with CL/P ${ }^{20}$. The TGFB - IRF6 pathway is involved in palatal development; in mice, Smad4 and Irf6 interact controlling the disappearance during fusion of medial edge epithelia ${ }^{13,21}$.

The jaws are derived from the maxillary and mandibular processes of PA1 ${ }^{22}$. Several signalling pathways are involved in this process. Endothelian 1 is encoded by Ednl gene in PA1, and it is expressed in ectodermal epithelium of the mandibular process. They communicate via endothelin receptor A (encoded by Edar gene) ${ }^{23,13}$.


Figure 1.1. Development of the head and face and craniofacial genes involved in the process. An embryo is shown on the left, the patterns of migration are represented by the arrows. From diencephalic (di), anterior and posterior mesencephalic (mes), and rhombencephalic (r1-4) NCCs into the FNP and pharyngeal arches 1 and 2 (PA1, PA2). The dashed arrow indicates movement of mesoderm derived MSCs to the supra-orbital regulatory centre above the eye. The parietal and occipital bones from the head on the right are mesodermderived (pink). The coronal suture contains purely mesoderm derived cells (red). In the middle, the known genes implicated in the development of the head and face, with the embryological site underlying pathogenesis (if known-boxed genes), and the structures affected by mutation, indicated. This figure is from Twigg et al. (2015) ${ }^{13}$.

### 1.2.1.2 Anatomy of the face

The skull has 22 bones, 8 cranial bones and 14 facial bones ${ }^{24}$. The bones and regions are explained below (Figure 1.2).

Cranium. The skull consists of paired parietal and temporal bones and a single frontal and posterior occipital bone (Figure 1.2). Within these bones there are five major sutures. Two coronals, one on each side, two lamboidals and two squamosals, and one sagittal and one metopic. In early life these bones are separated by five major sutures (Figure 1.2).

Forehead. Corresponds to the area above the eyebrows and below the hair line.
Glabella is the most prominent point on the frontal bone above the root of the nose.
Supra-orbital Ridge. The supraorbital portion of the frontal bones.
Midface, it is not a bone, it is a region of the face that goes from the lower part from the orbital margin to the nasal base. Including the upper jaw and zygoma.

Maxilla is shaped by the union of two bones and contains the upper teeth, it also form the boundaries of the palate, floor and lateral wall of the nose (malar process) and the
floor of the orbit. Towards the upper part each bone the zygomatic arch, malar, alveolar and palatine.

Zygomatic arch is formed at the junction of the zygomatic process of the temporal bone of the bones of the skull and the articulation of the malar process, typical of the bones of the face, located on one side of the orbital pits. It forms the prominence of the cheek and it is also part of the floor of the orbit.

Anterior nasal spine or maxilla, it is a pair of bones situated at the very tip of the upper jaw in which the four upper incisors develop and underlies the philtrum and upper lip.

Lower Face is located between the mouth and the lowest point of the chin.
Cheek are the soft tissues between the zygomatic arch and the mandible.
Mandible or lower jaw. It consists of a curved, horizontal portion, the body, and two perpendicular portions, the rami, which unite with the ends of the body nearly at right angles. The inferior teeth are situated in the lower jaw.

Chin or mental protuberance of the mandible it corresponds to the lowest central prominence of the lower jaw.


Figure 1.2. Anterior view of the skull. Figure from Atlas of Human Anatomy from Netter ${ }^{24}$.

There are two groups of muscles on the face. The mimetic muscles and muscles of mastication ${ }^{25}$.

Mimetic muscles are involved in the expression of feelings and thoughts by elevating or depressing the eyebrows and lips. Situated around the eyes and mouth ${ }^{26}$. Muscles of mastication are responsible for movement of the jaws to chew the food ${ }^{25}$ (Figure 1.3).

Muscles upper face
Frontalis, orbicularis oculi, corrugator supercilia, procerus and depresor supercilii ${ }^{25}$ (Figure 1.3).

Muscles of the middle face (most of them are mimetic muscles)

Orbicularis oris, buccinator, zygomaticus major, zygomaticus minor, levator labii superioris aleque nasi and levator labii superioris, levator anguli oris, risorius, nasalis and depressor septi ${ }^{25}$ (Figure 1.3).

Muscles of the lower face
Depressor anguli oris, depressor labii inferioris, mentalis and platysma ${ }^{25}$ (Figure 1.3).
Masticatory muscles (lateral part of the face)
Temporalis, masseter, lateral pterygoid and medial pterygoid ${ }^{25}$ (Figure 1.3).


Figure 1.3. Mimetic muscles and masticatory muscles. (1) temporalis,(2) frontalis, (3) corrugator supercilii, (4) orbicularis oculi, (5) procerus, (6) nasalis, (7) levator labii superioris aleque nasi, (8) levator labii superioris, (9) zygomaticus minor, (10) zygomaticus major, (11) orbicularis oris, (12) masseter, (13) buccinator, (14) risorius, (15) modiolus, (16) depressor anguli oris, (17) depressor labii inferioris, (18) mentalis, (19) platysma, (20) sternocleidomastoid, (21) occipitalis. Figure from Marur et al. (2014) ${ }^{25}$.

### 1.2.2 Evolution of the human face

Facial features show great variation amongst individuals and have been used by Physical Anthropologists to examine human population diversification. There is a possibility that this diversity in facial appearance could have evolved partially to simplify human recognition ${ }^{27,28}$. The craniofacial region houses the brain, the sensory
organs and structures from the digestive system. Therefore, the variations in anatomy and function of the craniofacial complex are one of the best evidences of evolutionary adaptation ${ }^{1}$.

Individual recognition (IR) is described as a complex form of communication, there are two parts, receivers and signalers ${ }^{29}$. Both perspectives are crucial, because selection can act on receivers and signalers, independently. The recipient perspective has been widely studied. Interestingly, the signalers perspective has not received enough attention and is of great importance due to selection of signalers to be memorably different, possibly providing an under-appreciated selective mechanism that increments on phenotypic diversity. Evolutionary biologists have shown huge interest in high phenotypic variability, because this is advantageous for IR. In fact, to disseminate individual identity, positive selection represents a possible explanation for high levels of phenotypic diversity in social individuals ${ }^{30}$. The face is shaped by 14 bones and there are around 43 muscles which are involved in the expression of more than 20 different emotions ${ }^{31}$.

Certainly, the evolution of the face has involved several stages of morphological transformations of the face. From protruded and bulging forehead in a smaller brain case toward a shorter face located in a rounded and large brain case (Figure 1.4) ${ }^{32}$, 33,34, 1 . These modifications implied an increase on the energy cost to maintain the bigger brain. The "expensive tissue" hypothesis suggests that the increase of the brain size happened simultaneously with the decrease of the gut size, to compensate for the increase in energy demands of the brain ${ }^{35}$.


Figure 1.4 Crania of Homo sapiens and Middle-Late pleistocene hominins. a, La Ferrassie 1 Neanderthal ( $\sim 60-40 \mathrm{ka}$.) b, Bodo (Ethiopia) ( $\sim 600 \mathrm{ka}$.) c, Broken Hill 1 (Zambia) (~250300 ka .) d, Nanjing, China, ( $\sim 400 \mathrm{ka}$.) e, ATD6-69 maxilla, the holotype of H. antecessor ( $\sim 850$ ka.) f, H. sapiens from Jebel Irhoud 1 (Morocco) ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{ka}$.) g, H. sapiens idaltu from Herto (Ethiopia), (~160 ka.) From ref. 95, SNL. h, H. sapiens from Abri Pataud, France ( $\sim 20$ ka.) Skulls are not to scale. Figure from Lacruz et al. $2019{ }^{36,37,38,39}$.

Lacruz et al. (2019) in their recent paper show an interesting approach in analyzing the evolution of the face, where they consider population history, palaeogenomics, environmental and social factors, in addition to the adaptative explanations, regarding the facial morphological changes over time. They assess the evolution of the face by following the hominin taxonomy (Figure 1.4). The first hominin found outside Africa was $H$. erectus (early Pleistocene) ${ }^{40}$. During the first dispersal out of Africa, in the Middle Pleistocene, Homo evolved and spread through different parts of Eurasia. Thus, the evolution of the face of $H$. sapiens is integrated by a group of possible ancestors. The authors focus the answer to which of these possible ancestors is the last common ancestor (LCA) on key morphological features, especially on the morphology of the modern human zygomaxillary, which is different to the zygomaxillary from the Middle Pleistocene group. They propose $H$. heidelbergensis and $H$. antecessor as the possible LCA, both share similar characteristics with $H$. sapiens but also differences. In the case of $H$. heidelbergensis they would have gone through many morphological changes in two different directions, such as a gracilization of the zygomaxillary morphology, as in H. sapiens and an increase of the midfacial projection and maxillary protrusion that lead to the Neanderthals facial shape. Another issue is the allometric
factors and the sexual dimorphism affecting the morphology of the face in the different individuals found, which is a-confounding factor. Under this scenario, H. antecessor seems a better candidate, because $H$. sapiens retained $H$. antecessor-like facial morphology, but the morphology was modified in Neanderthals.

Among the aspects considered in this review, an important one is how facial morphology is affected by population history and climatic changes. For instance, Neanderthals faces show a large nasal opening, a cushioned maxilla and a protuberant midface ${ }^{41}$, this leads to the question if these changes are due to adaptation to a cold environment? ${ }^{42}$ Or, are they because of genetic drift in a small population? ${ }^{43}$. They state that the answer is among the variation of modern human populations. Several studies have analyzed the effect of different factors using quantitative genetic approaches on facial features. The modern human cranium seems to be mainly affected by neutral evolutionary forces ${ }^{44,45}$ and the face has been influenced by both environmental and genetic factors ${ }^{46,47}$. The nose is a good example because theit external morphology, as well as the nasal cavity shape are affected by temperature and humidity, especially in populations living in climates with extremely low temperatures 48, 45 . The air that passes through the air passages must be warm and humid and the nose is responsible for this, as the bigger the nose cavity the bigger the area in touch with the air entering the nose, and the air is warmed and moisturized ${ }^{46}$.

The last analysed factor was how facial features have been affected by cultural and social components. The main change is the reduction of the face ${ }^{34}$, a more vertical profile, a smaller midface and less protruded jaw. This could be associated with an increase of social tolerance as well as a decrease of androgen activity ${ }^{49}$. The reduction of eye bridge and midface was likely to increase the mobility of this area to show more variety and soft movements, contrary to the stiff features, commonly associated to an aggressive behaviour, found in Neanderthals ${ }^{50}$. All of this could have improved communication and interaction among human beings.

### 1.2.3 The genetics of facial morphology

It is evident, even for people without an understanding of Genetics, that facial morphology has a strong genetic component, due to a higher similarity between related individuals than unrelated individuals. Heritability of facial traits is in the range from moderate (0.4) to high (0.8) ${ }^{27,28,51,7,13}$. The first heritability studies were based on
qualitative facial data ${ }^{52,}{ }^{53}$. Nevertheless, these studies were questioned ${ }^{54}$ and quantitative methods were the option ${ }^{55}$. Heritability results from different quantitative studies are diverse, with regards estimates and conclusions, mainly due to different study designs, such as different methods to obtain the images (i.e. cephalograms, anthropometric measurements, 2D photographs, 3D images, etc.), differences in the phenotypes assessed (i.e. distances, angles, proportions, etc.), the sample (i.e. twins, families, unrelated individuals), a variety of statistical methods (i.e. parent-offspring regression, linear mixed model either pedigree-based or kinship matrix), among others ${ }^{56}$. The same trait shows a high correlation in heritability estimates among several studies ${ }^{57}$.

The most emblematic example used to calculate heritability is monozygotic (MZ) twins. There are studies in which the contribution of heredity and environment were assessed in monozygotic, dizygotic twins and parent-offspring resemblance ${ }^{8,58,59}$. Different studies have found evidence of heritable shape variation in central and medial face structures in MZ and dizygotic (DZ) twins ${ }^{13,} 60,61,62$ (Table 1.1). The concordance for vertical facial measurements of the middle and lower anterior segments of the face is greater in MZ twins ${ }^{63}$. Recent studies have assessed the association between the regional genetic variation across continents and physical appearance features, interestingly this contrast is significantly associated with variation in facial features, especially middle facial traits, such as, nose shape, nose protrusion, etc. ${ }^{64}$.

| Heritability <br> Trait | Liu 2014 <br> Twin correlations <br> h2 DZ |  | Adhikari <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ <br> h2 | Cole <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ <br> h2 | Cha <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ <br> h2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| facial width | 0.83 | 0.51 | - | 0.66 | 0.23 |
| nasal width | 0.77 | 0.26 | 0.9 | 0.62 | 0.29 |
| nasal protrusion | 0.66 | 0.1 | 0.84 | 0.34 | 0.42 |
| upper lip height | - | - | 0.63 | 0.31 | 0.21 |
| lower lip height | - | - | 0.46 | 0.28 | - |
| Intercanthal width | - | - | - | 0.57 | 0.26 |
| Outercanthal width | - | - | - | 0.41 | 0.24 |

Table 1.1. Heritability estimates (h2) of facial traits from different studies. The standard deviation for all the traits was between 0.05 and 0.07 . More details about the studies presented here in section 3.1.1.
The environmental influences are key to understanding the genetic effects in facial traits. Interestingly, both factors seem to behave similarly, with respect to biological pathways and mechanisms ${ }^{55}$. Some traits are more affected by genetic influences, such as anterior face height ${ }^{65}$, nasal height ${ }^{62}$, allometry ${ }^{66}$, among others. Environmental
influences estimates are higher in antero-posterior facial height, length of the mandibular body, ramus height, nasal width, etc. ${ }^{66-68}$.The fetus is susceptible from a very early stage during pregnancy, many substances can cross through the placenta and affect the development of the fetus ${ }^{68}$. There are several substances which affect the development of the face in utero, such as certain drugs, alcohol ${ }^{69}$, vitamin deficiencies ${ }^{70}$, nicotine ${ }^{71}$, solvents and pesticides ${ }^{72}$, etc. There is evidence that high levels of alcohol consumption by the mother during pregnancy can affect facial morphology development ${ }^{73}$. Beaty et al. (2013), showed that maternal smoking may affect GRID2 and ELAVL2, causing the fetus to have facial abnormalities, such as cleft lip and palate ${ }^{74}$. Climate also affects facial morphology, for instance individuals living in more sun-exposed latitudes on the planet will have narrower faces and people living in less sun-exposed areas will have broader faces ${ }^{44,75}$. Furthermore, as previously mentioned, low temperatures could have affected nose shape to adapt to extremely cold environments ${ }^{46,47}$.

### 1.2.4 Genetics of craniofacial abnormalities

There are many genetic disorders in which physical abnormalities are present. Around two-thirds of the genetic conditions display some oral, dental or craniofacial malformations. These features have been frequently used by medical practitioners as a diagnostic tool. ${ }^{76}$.

The head is the most complex structure of the body. The development of the human skull and face is a complicated process that involves many genes controlling each stage of the craniofacial morphology development ${ }^{13}$. Abnormalities in the head and face are one the most common anomalies present in children ${ }^{77}$.

### 1.2.4.1 Craniofacial Abnormalities

The brain and sensory organs are hosted and protected by the skull and the bones of the face. Furthermore, the bones, muscles, connective tissue, blood vessels, associated innervation and teeth are responsible for breathing and feeding functions. These tissues are derived from ectoderm, mesoderm, endoderm and cranial neural crest cells (CNCCs) and their derivatives ${ }^{78}$. Primarily craniofacial tissue is formed by CNCCs and mesoderm and this mesenchyme is in constant communication with the rest of the cellular components providing positional signals and regulating the growth and differentiation of the different parts of the face (Figure 1.1). It is evident that the
craniofacial development is very complicated, and it depends on many factors acting in perfect coordination, therefore, it is susceptible to be easily damaged and generate malformations ${ }^{79}$. In this section I will present a summary of the most common craniofacial abnormalities, based on the area of disruption (craniosynostosis and cleft palate) and the possible genes responsible for it.

## Craniosynostosis

Craniosynostosis is when one or more sutures are closed prematurely, and this leads to limit the growth of the brain and the skull is shapeless. Mutations in ZIC1 were observed in patients with craniosynostosis, it is known that there is an epistatic relationship between ZIC1 and Engrailed 1 (EN1) gene ${ }^{13}$. Experimental work in Drosophila and Xenopus have shown that disturbing the expression of encoding engrailed 1 (En1), which is part of an osteogenic path together with Ms2 and Twist1, disturbs the early coronal suture patterning ${ }^{13,15}$.

The TWIST1 gene encodes a transcription factor, which is expressed in the mid-sutural mesenchyme ${ }^{80}$ controlling RUNX2-mediated osteogenesis ${ }^{81}$. If TWIST1 decreases its activity this causes Saethre-Chotzen Syndrome (OMIM\#101400) ${ }^{82}$. TCF12 is a gene encoding protein, which works together with TWIST1. Mutations in the Tcf12 gene in mice alters the combine dosage of Twistl and Tcfl2 generating severe coronal synostosis ${ }^{83}$.

Fibroblast growth factor receptor $(F G F R)$ genes are involved in the development of bone and cartilage ${ }^{84}$. FGFR2 is mutated in several craniosynostotic syndromes, such as Crouzon, Apert, Pfeiffer, Antley-Bixler, Beare-Stevenson cutis gyrata, JacksonWeiss, Bent Bone Dysplasia, and Seathre-Chotzen. Most of the mutations are missense mutations that activate the receptor and subsequently the downstream molecular pathways ${ }^{85}$.

## Cleft Lip and/or Palate

Cleft lip and/or palate (CLP) are birth defects resulting from failure of facial development to grow or fuse appropriately throughout the early embryological development. ${ }^{86,87}$. The aetiology of this condition is complex and multifactorial, it includes both genetic and environmental factors ${ }^{87}$. Orofacial clefting is commonly classified as non-syndromic (isolated defect) or syndromic CLP, and it is present in approximately 150 chromosomal syndromes ${ }^{88,89}$. There are several types of cleft lip
and/or palate, it is classified by laterality and completeness of the facial process during the embryologic development ${ }^{88}$. It varies from cleft lip to complete bilateral cleft lip and palate ${ }^{90}$. Cleft lip and/or palate is a relatively common anomaly and the rates vary depending on the population. Asian and native Americans have the highest incidence ( 0.79 to 4.04 per 1000 ) ${ }^{91}$. Even though, orofacial clefting has been widely studied, the aetiology is still unclear, and it is a problem for families having children with this condition, because they have feeding, hearing, speaking and social interaction problems, besides the economic problems that may result from expensive surgeries and dental treatments.

Through molecular methods and GWAS around 300 genes have been associated with cleft lip and/or palate, MAFB, PAX7, ABCA4, THADA, VAX1, BMPR1B, FGFR2, IRF6, TBX1, COL2A1, COL11A1, POLR1C, TGFß1, FOX31, GREM1, etc. ${ }^{92,93, ~ 94 . ~}$ Nevertheless, the mechanisms and genetic variants behind these associations are still unknown. Some regions were selected and re-sequenced. A missense substitution within PAX7 was detected in a non-syndromic CLP case. PAX gene family have been previously associated with facial traits, $P A X 3$ to nasion position ${ }^{7,8}, P A X 1$ to nose wing breadth ${ }^{95}$ and $P A X 7$ has been considered as a risk factor by GWAS and linkage analyses ${ }^{74}$. Interestingly, mice with homozygous mutations in Pax3 and Pax7 have a reduction in the facial prominence and clefting ${ }^{96}$. FOXEI ${ }^{97}$, GREMI ${ }^{98}$, CDHI ${ }^{99}$ and other associations have been validated ${ }^{74,100,101,102,103 . ~ A n ~ e n h a n c e r ~ i n ~ a ~ k e y ~ n o n-~}$ syndromic CLP susceptibility locus (8q24) regulates Myc acting in cis over 1 Mb . Mutations in this region downregulate Myc affecting the growth of the medionasal process ${ }^{104}$.

A table summarizing the information above and more candidate genes is presented below (Table 1.2).

| Gene | Locus | Clinical disorder | OMIM <br> \# disorder | Inheritance pattern | Mechanism/pathway/comments | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Craniosynostosis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDC45 | 22q11.21 | - | - | AR | Peturbation of DNA replication, probable that some function remains | Taylor et al. (2015) |
| ERF | 19q13.2 | ERF-related craniosynostosis | 600775 | AD | Haploinsufficiency; FGFR/ERK signalling | Twigg et al.(2013) |
| HUWE1 | Xp11.22 | - | - | XLD (n) | Unknown | Taylor et al.(2015) |
| TCF12 | 15q21.3 | TCF12-related craniosynsotosis | 615314 | AD | Haploinsufficiency; heterodimerization with TWIST1; RUNX2, BMP and FGFR signalling | Sharma et al.(2013) |
| ZIC1 | 3 q 24 | ZIC1-related craniosynostosis | - | AD (n) | WNT signalling | Taylor et al.(2015) |
| Selected CL/P candidates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABCB1 | 7q21.12 | - | - | Complex | Control of foetal exposure to foreign chemical substances | Omoumi al.(2013) $\quad$ et |
| ADAMTS20 | 12 q 12 | - | - | AR | Uknown-Extracellular matrix processing? | Wolf et al.(2015) |
| FGFR2 | 10q26.13 | Crouzon Syndrome | 123500 | Complex | 254 kb downstream of FGFR2; | Leslie et al.(2015) |
|  |  | Pfeiffer Syndrome | 101600 |  | risk allele disrupts NC enhancer |  |
|  |  | Apert Syndrome Antley-Bixler | 101200 |  | activity. |  |
|  |  | Syndrome Beare-Stevenson cutis | 207410 <br> 123790 |  | Several missense mutations. |  |
|  |  | gyrata syndrome <br> Jackson-Weiss <br> Syndrome <br> Bent <br> Bone <br> Dysplasia <br> syndrome <br> Seathre-Chotzen- <br> like syndrome | $\begin{aligned} & 123150 \\ & 614592 \\ & 101400 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| GRHL3 | 1p36.11 | Van der Woude syndrome 2 | 606713 | AD | Periderm development | Peyrard-Janvid et al.(2014) |
| NTN1 | 17p13.1 | - | - | Complex | Expressed in palatal shelves | Leslie et al.(2015), |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Zalc et al.(2015) |
| $N O G$ | 17q22 | - | - | Complex | Risk allele shows significantly | Leslie et al.(2015) |
| PAX7 | 1 p 36 | - | - | Complex | Involved in NC induction and specification of NC derivatives | Leslie et al.(2015) |
| AFND |  |  | 603671 | AD (n) | Gain-of-function; pathogenetic | Smith et al.(2014) |
| SPECC1L | 22q11.2 | Opitz G/BBB | 145410 | AD | mechanism is unknown <br> Haploinsufficiency; SPECC1L <br> has <br> role in cell adhesion and migration | Kuska et al.(2015) |

Continue...

| Gene | Locus | Clinical disorder | OMIM \# disorder | Inheritance pattern | Mechanism/pathway/comments | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facial dysostoses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EIF4A3 | 17q25.3 | RCPS | 268305 | AR | Reduced expression; component of exon junction complex; directly interacts with spliceosome components | Favaro et al.(2014) |
| EDN1 | 6p24.1 | ACS; IQME | $\begin{aligned} & 615706 \\ & \text { (ACS) } \\ & \& 612798 \\ & \text { (IQME) } \end{aligned}$ | AR <br> (ACS)/AD <br> (IQME) | Loss-of-function; likely that residual function remains with AR mutations | Gordon et al.(2013) |
| EDNRA | 4 q 31.22 | Mandibulofacial dysostosis | 616367 | AD (n) | Possible gain-of-function | Gordon et al.(2015) |
| $G S C$ | $14 q 31.23$ | with alopecia <br> Short stature, auditory canal atresia, mandibular hypoplasia, skeletal abnormalities (SAMS) | 602471 | AR | Loss-of-function | Pany et al.(2013) |
| POLR1A | 2p11.2 | Acrofacial dysostosis, Cincinnati type | - | AD | Haploinsufficiency; ribosome biogenesis defect | Weaver et al.(2015) |
| SNRPB | 20p13 | Cerebrocostomandibular syndrome | $117650$ | $\mathrm{AD}(\mathrm{n})$ | Mutations affect the recognition and inclusion of the premature termination codon-containing alternative exon; spliceosomal component | Lynch et al.(2014), Bacrot et al.(2015) |
| $T G D S$ | 13q32.1 | Catel-Manzke syndrome | 616145 | AR | Loss-of-function; likely that residual function remains; pathogenetic mechanism unknown | Ehmke et al.(2014) |
| TXNL4A | 18 q 23 | Burn-McKeown syndrome | 608572 | AR | Loss-of-function; likely that residual function remainscombination of null and hypomorphic allele | Wieczorek et al.(2014) |

Table 1.2. Genes region known to be associated with craniofacial abnormalities. Most common craniofacial abnormalities organized by anatomic area affected. Table modified from Twigg et al. (2015) ${ }^{13}$.

### 1.3 The human teeth

Teeth have been studied for a long time, because they are the best preserved part of a living creature, and this represents an important source of information in different areas. Dentition is the first part of the gastrointestinal tract and it also takes part in the shape of the face. It works as a perfect place to store DNA because it resists high temperatures and injuries. Furthermore, different ethnic groups have different features observed in teeth, which is useful to recognize different populations ${ }^{105}$. I will describe some of these aspects in this section to better understand how teeth have evolved and developed through time.

### 1.3.1 Development and anatomy of human teeth

### 1.3.1.1 The genetics of tooth development

The normal development of person's teeth depends on many factors, such as genetics, environmental and cultural factors. Teeth are shaped by a sequence of inductive signals communicating between the ephitelium and neural crest derived from mesenchyme. Each layer has a function and they influence each other to differentiate in a specific manner and this will culminate in the formation of well defined structures, such as incisors, canines, premolars and molars ${ }^{106}$.

Tooth development begins with the formation of the dental lamina (Figure 1.5). This is achieved through the thickening of the dental epithelium ${ }^{106}$. Quickly, cells begin to multiply and to invaginate giving rise to different structures. First, the bud, which is a group of cells with no clear organization (Figure 1.5). Subsequently, the cap stage, invagination stops and the cells begin to compress each other (Figure 1.5) ${ }^{107,108}$. This leads to a new structure, which is found in several mammalian species ${ }^{108}$, called the enamel knot (EK) (Figure 1.5). The EK, is a key structure because the epithelium develops surrounding it, but not in the knot itself. This results in the formation of the cervical loops, which are stem cells niches ${ }^{109}$. Enamel knots lay the foundation for the final size and shape of the tooth, these structures are the pattern for growing and folding of the inner enamel epithelium ${ }^{110}$. During the bell stage, there is a histodifferentiation of the epithelium into enamel-secreting ameloblasts and the mesenchyme into dentin-secreting odontoblasts ${ }^{106}$. In this stage, in multicusped teeth,
other knots appear at a certain distance from the first knot and, ultimately each knot will shape the tip of a cusp (Figure 1.5) ${ }^{108}$.


Figure 1.5. Development of teeth and genes known to be involved in the process. Most of the genes are expressed in one or several teeth, or specifically around the knots. Figure from Bei et al., $2009{ }^{106}$.

### 1.3.1.2 Anatomy of teeth

Dental Anatomy is common to many fields, odontology, paleontology, dental anthropology, genetics, among others. In this thesis I will explain the Paleontologists terms based on the book from Scott and Turner (1997) ${ }^{111}$.

## General description the human teeth

Incisors, a narrow-edged tooth at the front of the mouth, used for cutting. In the human dentiton there are four incisors in the upper jaw and four incisors in the lower jaw.

Canines, a conical tooth between the incisors and premolars, there are two canines in the upper jaw and two canines in the lower jaw.

Premolars, bigger tooth than canine situated between canines and molars. There are four premolars in the upper jaw and four premolars in the lower jaw.

Molars, a grinding tooth at the back of the mouth. There are six molars in the upper jaw and 6 molars in the lower jaw (Figure 1.6).


Figure 1.6. Anterior and Posterior teeth in upper and lower jaw.

## Positional terms

Regarding the mandible and maxilla, there is a midline between the central incisors. The human jaw is parabolic with theeth shaping an arch in the canine region towards the midline, thus the use of the terms anterior and posterior are not the exact words to describe the front and back of a tooth. Therefore, the preferred words are mesial and distal, to denote the part of a tooth closest to or farthest to the midline, respectively. Anterior is used to refer to anterior teeth (incisors and canines), and posterior teeth (premolars and molars). The side of a tooth facing the tongue is called lingual or medial and the outer side of the tooth, depends on the type of the tooth, the surface in contact with the lips of incisors and canines is called labial side and the surface adjacent to the cheeks for premolars and molars is called buccal. In this thesis the term buccal will be used for both anterior and posterior teeth.

The vertical components of a tooth is divided in three sections, upper third (incisal/occlusal); middle third (middle); and lower third (cervical). Roots, the tip or apex of the root is called apical part. Toward the base (Basal) is applied for both either crowns (cervical third) or roots (apical thirds). Roots are divided equally to crowns, lower third (apical); middle third (middle); upper third (cervical) (Figure 1.7).


Figure 1.7. Positional terms of human permanent dentition. Figure from Scott and Turner (1997) ${ }^{111}$.

## Paleontological terms and cusp numbers

There have been several naming system for the cusp features and numbers based on different theories of dental evolution. Cope $(1874,1888)$ and Osborn $(1888 \mathrm{a}, 1888 \mathrm{~b}$, 1897, 1907) developed the tritubercular theory. Osborne (1888b) proposed names for the three main cusps of upper molars (trigon) and lower molars (trigonid). This theory resulted in a naming system, protocone, paracone, and metacone forming the trigon with protoconid, paraconid and metaconid forming the trigonid. In primates there was an extra distolingual cusp called hypocone. In lower molars the paraconid was lost leaving the trigonid with two cusps, the protoconid and metaconid. A talonid in subocclusal position was additioned to the trigonid, and later the talonid attained an oclussal position, exhibiting two main cusps, the hypoconid and entoconid. Amplified in some taxa (honinoids and hominids), is the hypoconulid. In 1916, Gregory added numbers to the cusps of lower molar crown: cusp $1=$ protoconid, $2=$ metaconid,

3=hypoconid, 4=entoconid, and 5=hypoconulid. Numbers in the upper molars: $1=$ protocone, $2=$ paracone, $3=$ metacone, and $4=$ hypocone $\left(\right.$ Figure 1.8) ${ }^{111}$.


Figure 1.8. Paleontogical names and cusp numbers for the upper and lower molars. A) Upper right first molar, with four major cusps. B) Lower right first molar, with five major cusps and supernumerary cusp 6. M: mesial, D: distal, B: buccal, L: lingual. Figure from Scott and Turner (1997) ${ }^{111}$.

### 1.3.2 Origin and evolution of the teeth

Understanding the structure of vertebrates is important to construct vertebrate taxonomy, thus to be able to know about the structure of human beings, since we are vertebrates ${ }^{112}$.

Teething was for a long time the most analyzed feature of vertebrate skeletons. It focused on the development of the teeth, its number, and morphology. Dentition captured the attention of European biologists, paleontologists, and anatomists, such as George Cuvier and Richard Owen. Teeth reflect function and adaptation to the environment of the species, and they are the major structures found in fossils ${ }^{113}$. A very important aspect was the skeleton because it depicts serial homology among its parts, Owen defined serial homology as "representative or repetitive relation in the segments of the same organism," also called "homotypy" by Ernst Haeckel. This concept means the resemblance between different members of a single series of structures in an organism ${ }^{113}$. When Darwin proposed that similarities among species
could be explained in parallel with history, in other words, that homology is equated to common ancestry; teeth were the most important structures used in the building and understanding of vertebrates, particularly for mammalian phylogeny. Darwin hypothesized that the repetition of a trait was before regionalization. The existing diversity is the result of a common ancestoral variation ${ }^{114}$.

The diversity of mammals was kept to depict the variation of common components, ancestrally shared, through different species and genetics accepted similar assumptions regarding the genetic mechanisms. This is still important for general biology and human oral biology, to justify the use of the mice as a model to explain the development and evolution of human dentition ${ }^{113}$.

Darwin thought of the gradual evolution of the shape, meaning the shared traits by two species were also shared with their ancestors, having a common ancestor. But William Bateson, an important geneticist from the $19^{\text {th }}$ century, believed that teeth had evolved qualitatively ${ }^{115}$. Many aspects of teeth are qualitative, i.e., the number of teeth, separated spaces, also discrete features of each tooth, such as cusps and roots. Bateson thought there was no need to share a common ancestor or stern homology within specific teeth or cusps in such circumstances ${ }^{115}$. Discrete variation cannot step back continuously. Nevertheless, Darwin's vision remains in modern biology ${ }^{113}$.

In the past, the problem of serial homology evolution was considered by Darwin as "almost beyond investigation" ${ }^{114}$. But some years ago, new developmental and molecular methods have revealed interaction mechanisms among the tissues during odontogenesis (the generation of basic tissues and structures inside the teeth), these mechanisms are similar to other tissues in the body ${ }^{113}$.

Several theories have attempted to explain the origin of dental morphology. One of the first theories was that dental crowns were multituberculates and there was a simplification throughout evolution. Nowadays, the most accepted theory is the opposite, the "Tritubercular Theory," that was described by Cope in 1871, the main idea of this theory was that the most complex tooth of mammals has derived from a single tubercle ${ }^{113,116}$. Subsequently, Cope, in 1883 , announced that within fossils of mammals from the Eocene period, he had discovered upper molars with tritubercular
shape. Later, in 1907 Henry Fairfield Osborn published his theory about the evolution of the cusps dental system or "Tritubercular Theory of Cope.". In the beginning teeth were simple, and the evolution of the form was not because of the fusion of several simple teeth, but the addition of new parts.

Osborn considers as the first stage of this process, the existence of a primitive cone modified by lateral expansions. In the second stage, molars show three cusps in a row (Triconodon). When this stage ends, Osborn assumed that teeth would become triangular (trigonid), moving the protocone toward a vestibular direction. The third stage corresponds to the interdigitation between the upper and lower molars. During the fourth stage, the development begins from the distal side from the lower molar, from the hidden talonide under the upper molar protocone, when the jaws were closed. Finally, in the last stage additional cusps were emerging from the talonide; hypoconid or vestibular cusp, entoconid or lingual cusp and hypoconulid or distal cusp ${ }^{117}$. Currently, the name of the main cusps from molars are named based on Osborn nomenclature, facilitating the communication among anthropologists, paleontologists and odontologists that work in this field ${ }^{118,119}$.

## Outside-in or Inside-out?

The important role that teeth and jaws have in the evolutionary success of living jawed vertebrates is well understood. Nevertheless, the origin of them is still not clear. As mentioned previously, several theories are trying to explain this matter, but it still an object of controversy ${ }^{120}$.

Around twenty years ago, the outside-in theory hypothesized that teeth were the result of the movement of tooth-like dermal denticles or "scales" from the external dermis to the oral cavity. But this hypothesis has been defied many times by fossil records and evidence of developmental differences of external dermal denticles and internal denticles and teeth. These elements led to a new hypothesis called "inside-out", which postulates that teeth and tooth-like structures inside and outside of the mouth evolved independently of one another ${ }^{8,120}$. Finally, based on different analysis and observations in different vertebrates, the "inside-out hypothesis" has been refuted, the "outside-in" hypothesis becomes stronger because it seems that internal odontodes
appeared several times in oral, pharyngeal and nasal areas of extint gnathostomes, as a contimuous part of the dermal scale cover. Therefore, this strengthens the argument that the best explanation for the evolution of the teeth is the "outside-in" hypothesis 120.

### 1.3.3 Dental phenotypes and the history of human populations

Currently, humans have 32 teeth. Two pairs of incisors, one pair of canines, two pairs of premolars, and three pairs of molars on each jaw. Incisors have the shape of a spatula and canines are single cusped, both have one root. Premolars have two cusps and molars have up to 5 cusps. The upper molars have three roots, while lower molars have two roots ${ }^{111}$.

In the Hominidae family there are 8 living species in four genera, including humans, orangutans, gorillas, chimpanzees and bonobos ${ }^{121}$. The characterization and description of dental features in these different species has an important role in the study of the evolution of the dental archade.

The size of canines in Earliest Hominids were from large to mild, although they were still smaller than chimpanzees. They also have thicker enamel and a prognathic jaw ${ }^{122,123}$. Ardipithecus, one of the youngest groups within the earliest hominids ( 5.6 to 4.4 million years ago), presented upper canines less sharp than chimpanzee's and less sexual dimorphism in contrast to chimpanzees ${ }^{121}$.

The archaic hominids Australopithecus afarensis have much smaller molars and canines, but larger than modern humans. A prognathic jaw, they were considered frugivorous, but there is evidence that they also ate meat, giving the advantage to survive in different environments ${ }^{124}$.

The archaic megadont hominids presented the biggest reduction in the size of canines, but premolars were still larger than other species ${ }^{125}$. Their jaw was still bigger than the Homo species ${ }^{126}$. They also had thicker enamel than any other hominid specie. They showed signs of chewing hard, this may be due to nutritional stress. Therefore, they also presented bigger molars than modern humans ${ }^{126}$.

Pre-modern humans presented smaller teeth and a reduction of facial prognathism ${ }^{126}$. In Homo heidelbergensis new traits appeared in the form of taurodont molars, reduced third molars, large buccal cusps in premolar three, larger jaw and smaller teeth ${ }^{127}$.

Homo neanderthalensis had a much more prognathic face. And they also exhibited some peculiarities, a pronounced basal eminence and spines and ridges on the upper anterior teeth (i.e. tuberculum dentale) ${ }^{111}$. They have larger molars and welldeveloped shoveled incisors and canine teeth with no grooves ${ }^{128}$.

The modern humans. Homo sapiens present lack of prognathism, parabola shaped mandible and maxilla, molars are the same size as front teeth, the crowns are small and with a small number of root and cusps ${ }^{125}$.

Several non-metric traits were present in polymorphic frequencies in the teeth of fossil hominid. For example, some crown and root traits seen in modern humans have an evolutionary history in not only the hominid but also the primate lineage (e.g. hypocone, hypoconulid, cusp 6, cusp 7) ${ }^{111}$.

Several archaeological and paleontological studies have employed dental phenotypic data to estimate biological relatedness among human populations from the past, in order to elucidate population histories, migration events, or hominin phylogenies ${ }^{129}$ ${ }^{130,105,131}$. Despite the numerous studies using dental phenotypes as proxies for genetic markers, not many studies have compared these two data types ${ }^{132}$, attempting to assess the congruence between both ${ }^{133,134,135}$. The results obtained from these studies were not free of controversy, some of them reported weak to strong correlations between dental phenotypes and genetic distances, whereas others did not find a correlation at all. Although there were some limitations, the oldest studies used serological markers, however, most of the recent analysis use SNPs or short tandem repeat (STRs) because of the highly polymorphic conditions ${ }^{136}$.

One of the latest studies regarding this topic was published last year by our group ${ }^{135}$. The main objective was to study variation amongst dental traits from a group of admixed Colombians (same data employed in this thesis) and assess if this variation is useful to predict genetic ancestry proportions. Thirty-four dental traits on each cast were scored following the protocol described (Section 4.4.2.1) and the individual ancestry was calculated using genotyping data (SNPs). We carried-out biodistances analysis between the Colombian sample and reference continental populations samples, and the inference of genetic ancestry was obtained using a regression approach. The frequency of the dental traits in Latin Americans is in-between Native-

Americans, Europeans, and Africans. The biodistance analysis showed that Colombians are closer to Europeans than to Native Americans and Africans, this was corroborated with the mean ancestry estimates from the dental data and genetic data, where the European component ( $59 \%$ vs. $63 \%$, respectively) was higher than the rest of the paternal populations (Native American: 32\% vs. 28\% and African: 9\% vs. 9\%, respectively). This shows that certain aspects of tooth morphology are likely affected by alleles, which present a marked difference in its frequency among continental populations ${ }^{134,}{ }^{132}$. Although, we reported that dental traits reflect Latin American history, the prediction of genetic ancestry using dental traits is more complicated and further studies on admixed populations could contribute to identify genomics regions associated with dental features.

### 1.3.4 Genes implicated in tooth morphogenesis

Around 300 genes are differentially expressed in different dental tissues ${ }^{106}$, in one or more tissues, or in the knots, or around the knots. This led to the hypothesis that a single mechanism and gene-network determines the form and spatial shaping of the knots ${ }^{108}$.

There are four main signaling pathways involved in tooth development. Different studies using transgenic animals have elucidated the gene-networks $B M P, F G F, S H H$ and $W N T$, their ligands and receptors are key in this process ${ }^{106,137}$. In most of the studies, the disruption of these signalling pathways causes severe damage to tooth development, i.e. tooth agenesis, anodontia, among others ${ }^{138-141}$. Bei et al. (2009) present a complete summary of these genes, which I present in Table 1.3.

There are many studies on the genes involved in tooth development, but currently, the interest is focussed on the mechanisms of how the shape, size, and number of teeth are determined. The Turing-like or reaction-diffusion model, suggests that the development of new knots is inhibited by the existing knots, utilizing diffusible extracellular signals. This mechanism consists in diffusible molecules, activators and inhibitors. The strength on the interaction between these molecules and their diffusion rates, define the patterns of spots or lines with different amount of activators and
inhibitors arising in space from homogeneous conditions ${ }^{142}$. This model is accepted, with some variations. Some studies propose that all the cells react to incoming signals in the same manner, while others consider that there is no inhibitor production until the knots appear, and they secrete the inhibitors ${ }^{108}$.

| Gene | Mutation | Tooth phenotype | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Msx1, Msx2 | Double mutant | Initiation stage arrest | Bei and Maas -1998 |
| Dlx1, Dlx2 | Double mutant | Initiation stage arrest | Thomas et al. -1997 |
| Fgf8 | Fgf8flox | Initiation stage arrest | Trumpp et al. -1999 |
| Lhx6/Lhx7 | Double mutant | initiation stage arrest | Grigoriou et al., -1998 |
| Pitx2 | Null | Initiation stage arrest | Liu et al. -2003 |
| Gli2, Gli3 | Double mutant | Initiation stage arrest | Hardcastle et al. -1998 |
| P63 | Null | Initiation stage arrest | Yang et al. -1999 |
| Dkk1 | K14 transgenic | Initiation stage arrest | Andl T etal. -2002 |
| Pax9 | Null | Bud stage arrest | Peters et al. -1998 |
| Lef1 | Null | Bud stage arrest | Van genderen et al. -1994 |
| Msx1 | Null | Bud stage arrest | Satokata andMaas -1994 |
| Runx2 | Null | Bud stage arrest | Aberg et al. -2004 |
| Barx 1 | Null | Bud stage arrest | Tucker et al. -1998 |
| Bmprla | K14 transgenic | Bud stage arrest | Andl et al. -2004 |
| Fgfr2b | Null | Budstagearrest | De Moerlooze et al. -2000 |
| Shh | K14 conditional <br> KOconditional | Bud stage arrest | Dassule et al. -2000 |
| Noggin | K14TG | Bud stage arrest | Plikus et al. -2005 |
| Activin Ba | Null | Bud stage arrest, lack incisors and mandibular molars | Matzuk et al. -1995 |
| Ctip 2 | Null | Late bell stage defect | Golonzhka et al. -2009 |
| Gli2 | Null | Abnormal maxillary incisor | Hardcastle etal. -1998 |
| Gli3 | Heterozygous | Maxillary incisor development arrested as a rudimentery epithelium thickening | Hardcastle et al. - 1998 and Mo et al . -1997 |
| Eda | Tabby encode eda | Small enamel knot | Tucker et al. -2000 |
| Edar | Downless | Absent enamel knot, disorgonized enamel rope | Headon andOverbeek -1999 |
| Fgfl0 | Null | Smaller tooth germ, cervical loops of the | Harada et al. -2002 |
|  |  | incisors are hypoplastic | Liu et al. -2008 |
| Wht/ $\beta$ catenin | K14 conditional KO | Misshappen tooth bud, ectopic teeth |  |
| Ectodin/Sostdc1/wise | Null | Supernumerary teeth, enlarge enamel knot, abnormal cusp | Kassai et al.-2005 |
| $A p c$ | K-14Cre;Apccko/cko | Supernumerary teeth | Kuraguchi et al. -2006 |
| Sp6 | Null | Supernumerary teeth | Nakamura et al. -2008 |
| Lrp4 | Null | Supernumerary teeth | Johnson et al. -2005 |
| IFT88/polaris | Null | Supernumerary teeth | Liu et al., -2005 |
| Gas1 | Null | Supernumerary teeth | Ohazama et al., -2009 |
| Osr2 | Null | Supernumerary teeth | Zhang et al.-2009 |
| Sprouty2,4 | Null | Supernumerary teeth | Klein etal.-2006 |

Table 1.3. Gene regions known to be implicated in tooth morphogenesis. Mutations caused on these genes lead to several anomalies in tooth development.

### 1.3.5 Dental abnormalities

Similar to other process during embryonic development, morphogenesis and development of teeth depend on complex interactions between the ectoderm and the mesenchyme ${ }^{143,144,}{ }^{145}$. Lately, different experimental studies of the molecular and cellular mechanism controlling the morphogenesis and differentiation of the teeth, and dental pathologies have been performed using mouse embryos ${ }^{146}$ and birds ${ }^{147}$. There is a severe genetic control of position, number, size and shape of the teeth.

Tooth morphogenesis is controlled by important family factors, fibroblast growth factors ( $F G F$ ) and transforming growth factors (TGF, including the bone morphogenetic protein 4, BMP4). Also, Sonic hedgehog (Shh) morphogenesis molecule and the family of Wnt (Wingless) ${ }^{148}$. FGF8 and FGF9 are expressed in the proximal area of the molars and BMP4 is expressed in the distal region of the incisors $147,149,150$.

Currently, more than 200 genes involved in morphogenesis and differentiation of teeth have been discovered ${ }^{151}$. Many of them have been detected by GWAS, linkage association analyses and experimental studies. The candidate genes associated to tooth development and dental pathologies found by GWAS are presented in Section 4.1.1.

Dental agenesis is the total or partial congenital absence of teeth. There are different levels, (i) hypodonthia, 1 to 6 teeth are missing (excluding third molar); (ii) oligodontia, more than 6 teeth are lost (excluding third molar); and (iii) anodontia, total absence of teeth ${ }^{148}$. The genes so far associated with dental agenesis are MSXI ${ }^{152}$, PAX9 ${ }^{153}$, AXIN2 ${ }^{154}$ and EDAI ${ }^{155}$. Here, I present two tables summarizing the candidate genes, phenotypic features and causal mutations of dental agenesis found by GWAS and familial studies (Table 1.4 and Table 1.5).

| Gene | Locus | Inheritance pattern | Mechanism | Phenotype | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSX1 | 4p16.1 | AD | 3 mutations in exon 1, <br> 4 amutations in exon 2 | Hypodontia <br> Premolar 2 and molar 3 | Vastardis et al. |
| MSX1 | 4p16.1 | AR | One point mutation resulted in substitution A219T. | Oligodontia | Chishti et al. |
| PAX9 | 14q12 | AD | G219 insertion in exon2 frameshit mutation | Lacking of most permanent molars. | Stockton et al. |
| PAX9 | 14q12 | AD | Transversion A340T | Non-syndromic oligodontia | Nieminen et al. |
| PAX9 | 14q12 | AD | Ins C793, frameshit mutation | Non-syndromic oligodontia | FrazierBowers et al. |
| PAX9 | 14q12 | AD | 3 different missense mutations Arg26Pro, Glu9Lys and Leu21Pro | Oligodontia <br> First molar affected | Das et al. |
| PAX9 | 14q12 | AD | Transition C76T and C139T | Oligodontia | Lammi et al. |
| AXIN2 | 17q23 | AD | Nonsense mutation, it activates the Wnt signalling pathway | Oligodontia | Khabour et al. |
| EDA1 | Xq12 | XLD | Nonsense mutation $\text { cross.c. } 193 \mathrm{C}>\mathrm{G}$ | Absence teeth, hypodontia | Li et al. |
| EDA1 | Xq12 | XLD | 2 nonsense mutations Glu316Gly and Thr338Met | Lack of central and lateral incisors, and canine teeth in upper and lower jaw. | Han et al. |
| EDA1 | Xq12 | XLD | 3 new mutations Ala259Glu, Arg289Cys and Arg334His | Non-syndromic oligodontia | Song et al. |
| WNT10A | 2q35 | AD | Mutation | Non-syndromic oligodontia | Kantaputra et al. |

Table 1.4. Genomic regions that have been associated with tooth abnormalities.

| Chr | Gene region/nearest candidate gene | SNP | Dental abnormality Phenotype | p-value | Population | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ASCL5/CACNAIS | rs4498834 | Tooth agenesis | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-14$ | Icelandic discovery sample ( $\mathrm{n}=1944$ ) | Jonsson et al., 2018 |
| 3 | FOXI3 | rs35822372 | Tooth agenesis | $3.40 \mathrm{E}-13$ | Icelandic discovery sample ( $\mathrm{n}=1944$ ) | Jonsson et <br> al., 2018 |
| 2 | EDAR | chr2:108,896,996 | Tooth agenesis | 5.90E-09 | Icelandic discovery sample ( $\mathrm{n}=1944$ ) | Jonsson et al., 2018 |
| 2 | ARHGAP15 | rs2034604 | Tooth agenesis | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-14$ | Icelandic discovery sample ( $\mathrm{n}=1944$ ) | Jonsson et al., 2018 |
| 2 | WNT10A | rs121908120 | Tooth agenesis | 6.10E-40 | Icelandic discovery sample ( $\mathrm{n}=1944$ ) | Jonsson et al., 2018 |
| 2 | WNT10A | rs121908119 | Tooth agenesis | 4.90E-08 | Icelandic discovery sample ( $\mathrm{n}=1944$ ) | Jonsson et <br> al., 2018 |
| 8 | ZFHX4 | rs371555610 | Tooth agenesis | 4.40E-11 | Icelandic discovery sample ( $\mathrm{n}=1944$ ) | Jonsson et al., 2018 |
| 4 | LEF1 | rs917412 | Mandibular second premolars | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-10$ | Icelandic discovery sample ( $\mathrm{n}=1196$ ) | Jonsson et al., 2018 |
| 17 | NOL11 | rs758468472 | Maxillary second premolars | $3.30 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Icelandic discovery sample ( $\mathrm{n}=600$ ) | Jonsson et al., 2018 |
| 3 | FOXP1 | rs35956082 | Maxillary lateral incisors | 1.10E-11 | Icelandic discovery sample ( $\mathrm{n}=600$ ) | Jonsson et al., 2018 |
| X | EDA | rs55846652 | Maxillary lateral incisors | $6.70 \mathrm{E}-11$ | Icelandic discovery sample ( $\mathrm{n}=600$ ) | Jonsson et al., 2018 |
| 2 | PXDN, MYT1L | rs11681214 | Severe erosive tooth wear | $3.99 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Northern Finland Birth Cohorts 1966 ( $n=1944$ ) | Alaraudanjok et al., 2019 |
| 1 | AJAP1 | rs3896439 | Caries in premolars, canines | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 920 self-reported white participants | Shaffer et al., 2013 |
| 10 | LYZL2 | rs399593 | Caries in mandibular anterior | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 920 self-reported white participants | Shaffer et al., 2013 |
| 7 | SYPLI, NAMPT | rs190395159 | Decay, missing and filled teeth | 7.14E-9 | Carribean, Central and South American ( $\mathrm{n}=11754$ ) | Morrison et al., 2016 |
| 20 | BMP7, MIR4325, SPO11 | rs72626594 | Decay, missing and filled teeth | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-8$ | Carribean, Central and South <br> American <br> ( $\mathrm{n}=11754$ ) | Morrison et al., 2016 |
| 3 | IGSF10, <br> MIR5186, <br> MIR548H2, <br> AADACL2 | rs138769355 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | $3.59 \mathrm{E}-8$ | Carribean, Central and South <br> American $(\mathrm{n}=11754)$ | Morrison et al., 2016 |
| 7 | SYPLI, NAMPT | rs190395159 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | 5.97E-10 | Carribean, Central and South <br> American <br> ( $\mathrm{n}=11754$ ) | Morrison et al., 2016 |

Table 1.5 continues...

| Chr | Gene region/nearest candidate gene | SNP | Dental abnormality Phenotype | p-value | Population | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | GNG4, LYST, <br> B3GALNT2, <br> TBCE, <br> GGPS1, <br> ARIB4D | rs138642966 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | $1.94 \mathrm{E}-8$ | Mexican ( $\mathrm{n}=4578$ ) | Morrison et al., 2016 |
| 10 | ANK3, CDK1, <br> RHOBTB1 | rs116717469 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | $3.23 \mathrm{E}-8$ | Mexican (n=4578) | Morrison et al., $2016$ |
| 17 | CACNAIG, ABCC3, <br> ANKRD40, <br> LUC7L3, <br> MIR8059, <br> WFIKKN2, <br> TOB1, SPAG9 | rs71381322 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | $3.72 \mathrm{E}-8$ | Mexican ( $\mathrm{n}=4578$ ) | Morrison et al., $2016$ |
| 18 | None | rs16946661 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | $4.02 \mathrm{E}-8$ | Mexican ( $\mathrm{n}=4578$ ) | Morrison et al., $2016$ |
| X | ACOT9, <br> PRDX4, SAT1, <br> APOO | rs141563584 | Decay, missing and filled teeth | $3.89 \mathrm{E}-8$ | Puerto Rican (2006) | Morrison et al., $2016$ |
| 1 | RHOU | rs9793739 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | $5.27 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $\sim 7000$ participants non-Hispanic whites | Wang et al., 2012 |
| 4 | ADAMTS3 | rs1383934 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | $2.96 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $\sim 7000$ participants non-Hispanic whites | Wang et al., 2012 |
| 6 | RPS6K2 | rs635808 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $\sim 7000$ participants non-Hispanic whites | Wang et al., 2012 |
| 8 | PTK2B | rs17057381 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | $4.02 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $\sim 7000$ participants non-Hispanic whites | Wang et al., 2012 |
| 14 | CNIH | rs4251631 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $\sim 7000$ participants non-Hispanic whites | Wang et al., 2012 |

Table 1.5. Hits reported in previous GWAS of dental abnormalities. Associations detected by genome-wide scans from previous studies.

### 1.4 The significance of facial and dental features in Forensic Science

Facial and dental features show great variation in humans and are of considerable importance in forensics. In order to understand why it is important and beneficial to find new genomics regions associated with these traits I will explain the current situation on Forensic Science with regards to these features and how it relates to this study.

Nowadays, Forensic Science (FS) is a protagonist, both in the real world, helping to identify important figures from the history and victims from terrorism or accidents, finding the culprits on a crime scene, and in the fantastic world, such as television programs, where they show how exciting and intriguing FS is and how it is applied to criminal and civil laws.

Forensic DNA phenotyping (FDP) is used as a generic term for such DNA-based intelligence approaches. Its main objective is the prediction of externally visible features from DNA samples ${ }^{156-158}$. FDP also contributes with bio-geographic ancestry and estimation of age, using epigenetics. Furthermore, utilizing DNA can give information regarding the physical appearance of a person (missing individuals, skeletal remains, etc.) ${ }^{159-161}$.

Over the years several externally visible characteristics-predictive genetic markers have been discovered by GWAS and prediction modules have been proposed, the most remarkable example are human pigmentation traits ${ }^{158,162-165}$. For instance, the prediction of categorical eye and hair colour phenotypes from SNPs is now available, with different validated systems ${ }^{158,166,167}$.

### 1.4.1 Forensic Science and the human face

Forensic Science tries to establish both the legal requirement and social right identity; applying scientific principles to assess the data collected by different sources. The main goal is to prove or disprove the guilt of a suspect with high reliability for the legal system. Forensic science tries to find the guilty using any source available, eyewitnesses, closed-circuit television (CCTV) systems, applying automatic facial recognition, amongst others.

All the methods described previously are about the recognition of the face by witnesses or machines, but what happens when there are no witnesses or cameras, or there is no certainty regarding the criminal evidence or the recognition of the body. DNA is the option, but the current methods need a database or DNA from a family member to compare and obtain the results. Therefore, Forensic DNA Phenotyping is the solution. Some studies have suggested to predict the face of a person from DNA ${ }^{5,168}$, this has been called 'Reverse Genetics for forensic prediction' ${ }^{68}$. They propose that reverse genetics is about a known function of one or several genes which will participate on the shaping of a particular phenotype with a degree of certainty ${ }^{168}$ similar to the eyes and hair colour FDP model prediction. And this could be utilized to predict the shape or size of the phenotype, i.e. height, several SNPs have been found associated to this trait. Weedon et al. (2008), showed that there is a difference of 5 cm between people carrying 17 or less "tall" alleles and individuals carrying 27 or more alleles associated with bigger size ${ }^{169}$.

This sounds promising, but DNA prediction is still far from an accurate forecast of the human face, albeit some authors have claimed that currently there is the potential to identify an individual from a few people group of candidates ${ }^{168,170,171}$. Using different approaches such as bootstrapped response-based imputation modelling to generate imputed predictor variables, which help to model different co-variables (sex, ancestry, etc), thus the independent effect of specific alleles on facial traits is unveiled ${ }^{168}$. Other authors, more daringly, utilizing whole-genome sequencing, detailed phenotyping and statistical modelling, attempted to identify individuals, but the results were limited with regards individuals, each predictive model added little to the identity of a person 5.

### 1.4.2 Forensic Science and dental traits

Following the same approach as with facial features, the teeth and its traits are very important for Forensic Sciences. Forensic dentistry deals with the examination of dental evidence and dental findings to identify an individual or to find the culprit of a crime ${ }^{172}$. Teeth are also important because they remain unaltered even when exposed to high temperatures, which allows to preserve the DNA inside the tooth ${ }^{173}$. Teeth are used to determine age and sex. Age is assessed based on developmental and degenerative changes. For instance, if the third molar has emerged, this tends to happen
around 17-19 years of age or once the teeth have erupted, the wear down starts, there is an intuitive connection between age and wear ${ }^{174,} 175,172$. Sex determination has an important role in the identification of individuals in natural catastrophes, terrorist attacks, plane accidents, among others ${ }^{176}$. It is well known that teeth show sexual dimorphism, this feature is used to differentiate between men and women, the dimensions of the teeth are a reliable method to determine sex ${ }^{172}$. The morphology is also utilized to identify gender, distal accessory ridge in canines is more pronounced in male than female ${ }^{177}$; and women have a smaller number of cusps in the mandibular first molar ${ }^{172}$.

### 1.5 Latin American genetic history and its implications for genetic studies of human phenotypes

In order to comprehend how diverse populations, both phenotypically and genetically, may increase the power to detect novel genomic regions and improve the fine-mapping resolution in association studies, it is particularly important to understand how the current Latin American population was shaped. In this section I will briefly describe the settlement of the American continent, mainly Central and South-America, and subsequently I will refer to the results of sub-continental ancestry and population structure in the samples used on this thesis, belonging to the Consortium for the Analysis of the Diversity and Evolution of Latin America (CANDELA). These analyses are described in Chacón-Duque et al. (2018). Finally, I will describe how beneficial it is in using the variable admixture in the Latin America population to identify genomic regions in which variation in ancestry is correlated to phenotypic variation.

### 1.5.1 Genetic history of the Native American

There are many theories about the time, number of migratory waves and the speed of the settlement of the American continent. The theory that Amerindians descend from at least three streams of migration, coming from East-Asia through the Bering Strait and most of the native American groups descend from the first stream of Asian gene flow has been widely supported by several studies ${ }^{178}$. The Beringian Standstill hypothesis suggests that the first settlers arrived in present day Siberia around 30,000
years ago ${ }^{179}$ and they were isolated for extended periods of time in Northern Siberia, due to the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) ${ }^{180}$. Subsequently, in 2015, Raghavan comparing genomes of modern people, supported this hypothesis, although they proposed that the arrival was no earlier than 23,000 years ago ${ }^{181}$. Interestingly, a recent study based on Y chromosome sequences suggested the entry south of the ice sheet was after 19,500 years ago and the star-like expansion was 15,000 years ago, they estimated that Beringian Standstill duration was around 4,600 years, shorter than the time previously proposed ${ }^{182}$.

These groups started to settle from the northwest until the southernmost tip of America, Monteverde-Chile ${ }^{183,184,182}$. And the definitive establishment in South America was 12,000 years ago ${ }^{182}$. Whole-genome studies have documented that ancestral Native Americans (NA) come from Siberians and East Asians, and around 2,000 years later, there was a split between later NAs and Ancient Beringians (AB). North Native Americans (NNA) and South Native Americans (SSA) diverged from the later Native Americans ( $\sim 17,500$ and 14,600 years ago) ${ }^{178,181,185,186}$. This movement was the precursor of several migratory waves through and within South America. Mesoamericans, and east and west Andeans were the most divergent groups ${ }^{187}$.

Recent studies using genome sequences have also attempted to explain other matters, such as earlier migrations into Americas by Australasians, the amount of population splits and the velocity with which this occurred. Moreno-Mayar et al. (2018) found that the initial peopling was more extensive and faster than the later ones ${ }^{186}$. This suggests the access to the different geographic areas was unrestricted. Interestingly, a clear signal linking NA in the Amazonian region of Brazil to present-day Australasians has been detected ${ }^{188,187}$, although how early NA lineage were related remains unclear ${ }^{187}$. The intricate geography, the rapid expansion and social structures gave rise to a complex population. In North America a strong population structure, and in South America, due to multiple uneven migrations, Australasian and Mesoamerican signals can be detected in present-day Native Americans.

### 1.5.2 Demographic history of America

On the arrival of the Spanish conquerors during the XVI century, the American continent had been settled by native American groups, descendants of the first inhabitants of America ${ }^{189}$. The number of native people during the arrival of Europeans has been widely discussed; from ten to one hundred million, ten million being the most likely ${ }^{190}$ (Figure 1.9). They were spread throughout the continent and exposed to different geographical and environmental conditions. Thus, when the Europeans landed in the Bahamas in 1492, there were different native American groups, using different languages, garments, means of subsistence and social organizations. There were groups living from agriculture (mostly from Mesoamerica and the Andes) and the rest were hunter-gatherer communities (mainly from Patagonia and North America) ${ }^{191}$. The number of Europeans who arrived in the New World was approximately half a million Spanish and approximately the same number of Portuguese ${ }^{192,} 193$. The conquerors founded colonies in the Caribbean and on the coastal mainland (including the Pacific coast), the inland colonization was usually following Native American colonies ${ }^{184}$. African slaves were introduced to the continent by the Spanish and Portuguese, especially during the collapse of the NA groups - it has been suggested that $\sim 90 \%$ of the Native American population was extinguished - after the arrival of the Europeans ${ }^{194}$ (Figure 1.9), although this has been questioned for Peruvians and Mexicans by some recent studies ${ }^{195,196}$. The African slave trade was an established institution by the settlers to exploit the lands taken from the natives. Approximately, 10 million African slaves were introduced to America, ~ $50 \%$ were taken to Brazil and the rest to British, Spanish and French colonies ${ }^{197}$ (Figure 1.10).


Figure 1.9. Estimated size of the Native American population at the arrival of Europeans. From Adhikari et al. (2017).


Figure 1.10. Estimated number of African slaves that were transported to America. From Adhikari et al. (2017).

### 1.5.3 Genetic history of Latin American

At the arrival of the Spaniards around $80 \%$ were men, leading to a marked sex bias during the admixture, that involved European men and native women ${ }^{198}$. This was first pointed out by early mt-DNA and Y-chromosome studies, where Y-chromosome traces the paternal ancestry to European and mt-DNA to maternal Native Americans 199, 200, 201 . These studies also show that the most common haplogroups (mtDNA) currently present in admixed Latin American populations correspond to Native American population living in the same region ${ }^{199,202, ~ t h i s ~ s u g g e s t s ~ ' g e n e t i c ~}$ continuity', despite the complexity of the history in Latin America, native American groups are totally incorporated to the current populations ${ }^{199,203}$. Autosomal markers and X-chromosome studies have been compared with uniparental marker analysis and they have found that estimates of European ancestry based on autosomal markers are higher than X -chromosome estimates, as expected due to women contributing with two chromosomes, similar to the previous findings based on mtDNA/Y-chromosome ${ }^{203-207}$ (Figure 1.11).

Advances in genomic analysis have allowed an increase in the resolution and accuracy of population and individual ancestry estimates - contrary to what it might seem Latin American populations present an extensive population structure, individual admixture shows great variation in Africa/European/Native ancestry through different geographic regions ${ }^{59,208,209}$ (Figure 1.12). This means there have been several complex changes within the continent, such as population substructure and other migratory flows from different regions of the world after the main population admixture during the first colonial settlements ${ }^{210195}$.


Figure 1.11. Proportion of African, European and Native American ancestry based on mtDNA, Y-chromosome, X-chromosome and autosomal markers estimated from thirteen Latin American populations. The proportion of Native American ancestry estimated from mtDNA is higher, contrary to European ancestry which is bigger when analysed in the Y-chromosome. This pattern points-out the sex-bias during the European colonization of Latin America. From Adhikari et al. (2017). Modified from Wang et al. (2008).


Figure 1.12. Individual ancestry proportions in Latin Americans. Regarding the three main paternal populations (Africa, Europe and Native America) estimated from 93,328 SNPs genotyped in 6357 Latin Americans from Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico and Peru. Mean admixture ancestry is on the corner of the triangle plots. Adapted from Adhikari et al. (2016) 211.

### 1.5.4 Sub-continental ancestry

In addition to calculating continental ancestry, high-density genetic data has allowed to explore the sub-continental ancestry in Latin American populations, i.e. haplotypebased analysis instead of independent SNPs. Moreno-Estrada et al. (2014) using Ancestry-Specific Principal components (AS-PCA), confirmed that the native American component from individuals from central Mexico correspond to the native American group Nahua, whereas individuals from south-east Mexico present Maya native American ancestry ${ }^{208}$. This coincides entirely with the geographic location of these native groups. A similar study that explored the subcontinental ancestry in South America, found that Peruvians carry native American genomic segments that corresponds to Quechuas and Aymaras, whereas Colombians show a higher affinity with native American groups from Amazonia and coastal tribes situated in northern South America. Regarding the European ancestry, they found that as expected, most of the European component corresponds to the Iberian Peninsula, but there are also signals of Italian ancestry, especially in Argentina ${ }^{206} 195$.

Chacon-Duque et al. (2018), utilizing haplotype-based methods, analysed the genetic ancestry from the CANDELA cohort (Section 1.7), a present-day admixed population from Latin America ${ }^{64}$. The findings of this study not only tie in with previous studies mentioned above ${ }^{206,208}$, but also extends these results inferring 25 Native American ancestry components, enabling a deeper and more accurate estimation of the native ancestry in the CANDELA sample. The native American component varies considerably across countries, and there is a strong differentiation among the native ancestry of each country related to the geography and native American reference groups, highlighting the genetic continuity between pre-Columbian groups and the current admixed populations in Latin America. The Nahua sub-component is found in northern and central Mexico, and two smaller sub-components, one related to Mayans (Yucatan area) and another to natives of south Mexico. The Quechua component is present in Peruvians in central Peru. In Northern Peru there appears a sub-component related to Natives from the Andes; the Aymara sub-component is present in Southern Peru. In Chile, the Mapuche sub-component is present in the southern regions, and in the north of Chile, bordering with southern Peru, the Aymara component is present. In Colombians, there is higher affinity with the Chibzan-Paezan Natives from Colombia and lower Central America, they are also related to Central American Maya, and in the south with the Peruvian Andean component. Brazil CANDELA samples shows lower native American ancestry in comparison to the rest of the countries, because most of the samples were obtained in an area with high recent European immigration ${ }^{59}$, however the native American component shows affinity with populations from the Amazon basin.

The patterns of European ancestry in the CANDELA samples match perfectly with the history of Latin America. There is a pronounced differentiation between Brazil, in which the predominant component is Portugal/West Spain, and the Spanish American countries, where Central/South-Spanish ancestry is the most significant. In Brazil there is also an important genetic component closely related to Italian and German reference groups, which reflects the documented migration to Southern Brazil from Italy and Germany, at the end of the $19^{\text {th }}$ century ${ }^{192}$.

Sub-Saharan, East Asian and East/South Mediterranean ancestry in CANDELA individuals were found in less amount than Native and European ancestry. SubSaharan components in the full CANDELA sample is $<4 \%$, and around $22 \%$ of the
volunteers show more than $5 \%$ of Sub-Saharan African ancestry. East Asian ancestry is very low ( $<1 \%$ ) in all the countries, except for Peru ( $1.4 \%$ ). This coincides with historical documents on the arrival of Chinese and Japanese laborers (mid-19 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ century to early $20^{\text {th }}$ century) to Peru and Brazil, respectively. Interestingly, the Sephardic/East/South Mediterranean component is detectable in all countries ( $\sim 1 \%$ to $4 \%$ ). Around $23 \%$ of the individuals represent more than $5 \%$ of such ancestry, an average of $12.2 \%$. These findings show that this signal is much more prevalent than it has been suggested by other studies, where they mention that the East/South Mediterranean component is present in certain Latin American populations ${ }^{212,} 213$.

Ongaro et al. (2019) in their recent study used a genome-wide database from individuals from 12 countries ( $\sim 12,000$ people) and $\sim 6,000$ individuals from different populations from the world as reference samples, to perform haplotype-based methods in order to analyse how historical movements coming from outside of America affected several aspects of the New World, such as the admixture profile and the time when this admixture happened ${ }^{195}$.

Regarding the admixture events this study found similar results to those presented in the CANDELA cohort ${ }^{64}$ and previous studies ${ }^{208}$. With regard the time when the biggest mixing event occurred, Ongaro et al. also showed a great admixture event among Native Americans, Europeans and African populations, between 6 to 12 generations back ${ }^{64}$.

Furthermore, they present an interesting approach, using the haplotype information from single individuals in their database, they can expose other ancestries that are not detected when using population haplotypes data. Thus, they detect the signal of waves of migration occurred during the last 500 years, as reported in in anthropological and historical documents ${ }^{195}$. This is because the degree of variation in the haplotype distribution is better detected. The admixture time in single individuals showed the complex process of admixture present in America. In the case of African ancestry, a general North to South temporal pattern ${ }^{214}$ was observed, reflecting that the slaves from Senegal and Gambia were deported to America earlier during the colonization, until $1640^{215}$, this region of Africa was the main provider of slaves for Spain. A high proportion of ancestry coming from Angola and Namibia was detected in the analysed Brazilian populations, according with the Portuguese settlement in Angola at the
beginning of $18^{\text {th }}$ century ${ }^{214,216}$. The gene flow from different European sources also showed different moments of admixture. First, from the Iberic Peninsula, later from France and Great Britain sources. And during 1850 onwards, there were several admixture events from Italian sources, that contributed mainly to European Americans from USA, Argentinian and Brazilian populations ${ }^{195}$. Interestingly, Ongaro et al. (2019) revealed that the Native-American component did not show a decrease in Mexicans and Peruvians, such as the experienced by the rest of the continental ancestries ${ }^{196}$, differing with historical records that have reported a dramatic reduction of Native Americans once the colonization started ${ }^{194}$.

Ongaro et al. (2019), Chacón-Duque et al. (2018), Moreno-Estrada et al. (2014), among others have done a great contribution to the genetic history of America, by giving support to the historical records and showing how and when the genetic admixture of America has been shaped, and this is crucial for the development of medical approaches, such as genome-wide scans to improve the fine-mapping resolution, which in the future could lead to personalized medicine and other benefits in the Latin-American and other populations worldwide.

### 1.5.5 Genetic diversity and physical appearance in Latin America

Latin American populations show huge phenotypic diversity ${ }^{59}$, this is due to the relatively high genetic and phenotypic diversity of Africans, Europeans and Native Americans. There are many studies that have shown that the risk of disease in Latin Americans correlates with the continental and sub-continental ancestry 217, 218, 219. Except in cases where the correlation between the disease risk with the ancestry is due to environmental covariates, the correlation seems to be due to underlying susceptibility alleles with a highly variable frequency among Africans, Europeans and Native Americans ${ }^{220,}{ }^{221}$. This is the base to 'Admixture-mapping', which identifies trait loci with highly different allele frequencies in the populations that contributed to the admixed population ${ }^{222,223}$. The same approach is used to seek genomic regions associated with physical features. Many of these traits are highly heritable ${ }^{224,225}$. There is a significant correlation between the ancestry and the phenotypes. In the case of Latin Americans, a wide range of features can be observed, similar to the parental populations ${ }^{59}$.

### 1.6 Overview of Genome Wide Association Studies (GWAS) for facial features

More than ten years have passed since GWAS statistical analysis was developed. In the beginning, there was a misconception and mistrust about this analysis, because there was not enough empirical evidence to support GWAS discoveries. But currently, it has been widely accepted because there are robust and outstanding results, which provide support to these findings ${ }^{226}$.

The main objective of GWAS is to contribute to a better understanding of the biology behind the trait or disease, thereby leading to prevention and better treatment. Genome wide analyses investigate the entire genome, using genetics variants, such as SNPs. Mainly focused in associations between these variants and a trait or major illnesses. Genome-wide association studies intend this by using linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis to map genomic loci that have an effect on complex traits or diseases ${ }^{227}$. Its success relies on (1) the segregation of the loci affecting the trait on the population, (2) the combination of the allele frequency and the distribution of effect size, (3) genetic architecture, (4) the coverage of the panel of genetics variants used in the GWAS and (5) the heterogeneity of the studied illness or feature ${ }^{226}$.

Genetics has been searching for associations between genetic variants and phenotypes for decades. But association signals between genotypes and complex traits are distributed across the entire genome, unlike Mendelian diseases, which are caused by mutations in one gene in the genome. This important difference was supposed to be solved by GWAS, when it was developed, and to a certain extent it was, but many of the discovered variants only explain a small fraction of the phenotypic variability due to genotypic variation, this was called the mystery of the "missing heritability" ${ }^{228-230}$ An explanation for this issue is that SNPs, which do not reach genome wide significance, contribute largely to "missing heritability" ${ }^{231,232}$.

Another difference between complex traits and Mendelian disorders is that while the latter one is caused mainly by protein-coding changes ${ }^{228,233}$, complex traits are caused by noncoding variants that may affect gene regulation, i.e. promoters, enhancers, etc. ${ }^{234}$. These are conclusions that have arisen from the past decade, of GWAS analysis.

There are also specific problems that researchers doing genome wide studies have to face, such as ascertainment bias, this is a systematic distortion in measuring the allele frequency in the studied population, it depends on the allele frequency in the population for a specific allele and hence, the haplotypes. The older the studied population, the higher the variability of the allele variants and haplotypes are smaller due to the recombination rate in this population. It is therefore necessary to try to cover as many genotyping variants as possible ${ }^{235}$. This can be partly solved with imputation, a method used to infer the missing SNPs in a haplotype based on reference population
 stratification is another important issue involved in GWAS, which is also due to the systematic difference in allele frequencies in different subpopulations from a population, probably due to different ancestries. Because of this difference, these subgroups will cluster apart and they will be over or underrepresenting the associated variants, causing a false positive association between SNPs and the trait or disease analysed. Principal Components Analysis (PCAs), are used to adjust genotypes and phenotypes by amounts of assigned ancestry through the top Principal Components (PCs) ${ }^{237}$.

One of the greatest problems that has become evident, with GWAS is the issue of diversity of the populations utilized to perform the analysis not reflecting the level of variability that currently exists in the world ${ }^{238}$. The incomplete representation of ethnically diverse populations leads to a lack of capacity to understand the genetic architecture behind the assessed phenotypes. These studies cannot be used in clinical practice or public health policies ${ }^{239}$.

Contrary to complex traits, a pathogenic variant in a Mendelian disease will cause the sickness, despite the population. Contrastingly, in complex traits a causative variant will differ from one population to another ${ }^{239}$ (Figure 1.13A).


Figure 1.13. Factors affecting replication of GWAS associations. A) Causative variants of Mendelian diseases have large effect sizes. Thus, the disease will occur, regardless of the population. In contrast to complex traits, where there are a few genetic associations with the trait, but each of them has a moderate or small effect size, therefore the transferability through generations may be limited. B) Linkage disequilibrium plays an important role in the replication of association studies. In the example at the top, the causative SNP is tagged by different SNPs across different populations. In the bottom example, there could be several causative variants in different populations, which are tagged by different SNPs, not allowing replication across genome-wide studies. Figure from Sirugo et al., $2019{ }^{239}$.

The diverse evolutionary history of the different populations is an important reason why different mutations on the same gene could cause the same disease (allelic heterogeneity), and this variation on the causative mutation may confound the diagnoses and treatment ${ }^{239}$. For instance, cystic fibrosis is a Mendelian disease, the prevalence is 1 in 2000-3000 births in Europe ${ }^{239}$, however in African Americans this number drops to 1 in 17000 births. This is because the causative mutation is different in both populations, and the African American variation is underdiagnosed ${ }^{240}$. This takes on far greater significance when talking about complex traits. Due to many variants affecting the same trait/disease, each of them causes a small effect and the
mutations affecting the phenotype vary across populations. This highlights the necessity of wider variation on GWAS populations utilized. By 2018, $78 \%$ of the volunteers participating in GWAS were Europeans, $10 \%$ were Asian, $2 \%$ were African, $1 \%$ were Hispanic, around $6 \%$ were not reported and $3 \%$ were from multiple ethnicities ${ }^{239}$. These differences must be solved, especially considering genome-wide associations may not replicate across different ethnicities.

The replication of genetic associations varies across diverse ethnic groups due to several reasons: 1) Linkage disequilibrium (LD) reflects the demographic histories of the populations around the world, thus the LD between a causative variant and tag SNPs will be different from one ethnic group to another ${ }^{241}$ (Figure 1.13B). 2) The genetic architecture also affects the lack of replication of GWAS because of population specific variation and changes in allele frequency, which is the result of genetic drift, local selection or both, and subsequent local adaptation events ${ }^{239}$. 3) Another issue is the epistasis due to variances in genetic backgrounds, as well as gene-environment interactions present in different populations ${ }^{242}$.

Genome-wide association study have discovered more than 10,000 significant associations ( p -value threshold of $5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) between complex traits, diseases and genetics variants ${ }^{243}$. The first "official" GWAS paper was published in 2007, this publication from Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium (WTCCC) is considered as the first one, because it was a large, well-designed GWAS for complex diseases with a chip that covered a wide range of genetics variants ${ }^{244}$. After this starting-point, many GWAS have been developed searching for different associations. Not many GWAS regarding facial morphology have been published (Section 3.1.1), only a few mostly in European and Asian populations, following the dominant trend. And just a reduced number of genes have been linked with traits located in the upper, middle and lower face, such as $P A X 3$ associated with nasion position ${ }^{7,8}$, PARK2 related to midface height and FREM1 linked to upper lip height ${ }^{245}$, all of them found in European populations. The EDAR gene, significantly associated with type of chin ${ }^{246}$, ENPP1 and FGFR1 genes influencing lower and anterior face height ${ }^{247}$ were discovered in an East-Asian population, called Uyghur, taking advantage of the admixed population of East-Asian and European ancestry ${ }^{246}$.

### 1.7 Consortium for the analysis of the diversity and evolution of Latin America - CANDELA

The consortium for the analysis of the diversity and evolution of Latin-America (CANDELA) seeks to characterize the population of Latin America, with regards to physical appearance and ancestry from genetic and social perspectives ${ }^{59}$. More than 6000 volunteers form part of this joint effort from five countries in Latin-America; Brazil (Porto Alegre), Chile (Arica), Colombia (Medellin), Mexico (Mexico City) and Peru (Lima) (Figure 1.14). Ethical approval was obtained in each country and also in The University College London, UK. Adult subjects of both sexes aged between 18 and 45 years old were invited to participate mainly through public lectures and media presentations. A wide range of data was obtained from each participant, family information, different phenotypes, such as skin, hair and eye color, different anthropological measurements, and questionaires to assess socio-economic status and self-ancestry perception. More than 730,000 SNPs were genotyped on the Illumina Omni-express bead chip. A full description of the samples can be found in RuizLinares et al. (2014).


Figure 1.14. Geographical origin of CANDELA individuals. Circles depicts the number of people belonging to specific geographic origins. Figure adapted from Ruiz-Linares et al., (2014).

### 1.8 Summary and guidelines of this thesis

In chapter 1, the Introduction, I have explained the importance of facial and dental features in different disciplines. I have also described the current knowledge about the evolution of the face and teeth. I have presented a summary about the genetics of the face and teeth, summarising the existing information. I have also described the genetic history of the settlement of America, focusing in Central and South America. I have presented a comprehensive overview of GWAS, advantages and limitations. And finally, I have described the studied sample. All of these supports the main aim of this thesis, to identify genetic loci associated with human facial and dental traits in a Latin American population.

In chapter 2, I describe the core statistical analysis I have used in this work, the genome-wide association study. I also explain all the stages involved in this analysis, based on the pipeline used in our group. In some points I have briefly mentioned the theory involved in that specific step of the analysis. Subsequently, I describe quantitative phenotyping method, the geometric morphometry. For both methods I briefly describe the history, and then I explain the method themselves.

In chapter 3, I conduct a GWAS on ordinal facial features. Subsequently, I report both novel variants associated with facial features and the replication of genetic variants previously associated to face traits in a Latin-American population. Additionally, I explain the methods and results of the statistical and experimental analysis conducted to understand the biology behind the associations and to ratify the associations between the candidate genes and facial features. I also compare the associations obtained by other GWAS conducted after the results of this study were published.

In chapter 4, I perform GWAS on ordinal and quantitative dental features. Then, I describe the genomic regions where the significant genetic variants belong. I also conduct a local-ancestry inference analysis to verify the origin of the haplotypes of some of the significant SNPs. Finally, I discuss about the associations and how these analyses may be improved, increasing the number and the genetic variability of the samples.

In chapter 5 I discuss and draw my conclusions on the results obtained in this thesis and I describe the possible directions and significance and advantages of genome-wide studies now and in the future. I briefly discuss about the importance of the associations found in this thesis and how they are related to the development of the face and teeth and finally I discuss about the prediction of complex traits based on genetics data.

In each chapter presented in this thesis, there are tables and figures. In the cases where additional tables and figures contribute to a better understanding of the chapter, they are in the thesis appendices and referred to by letters (A-B).

## Chapter 2: Methods

### 2.1 Introduction

Over the years, technology has improved rapidly, contributing to the development of stronger analysis tools, such as genome wide association studies (GWAS). More than 15 years ago, this type of analysis would be impossible to perform due to the number of samples that need to be processed and the amount of data that needs to be analysed. Regarding this, GWAS appears as a powerful tool to elucidate genomics variants behind different phenotypes. Linkage has been used to map genomic loci associated with diseases or complex traits, but this relies on the co-segregation of causative variants (new mutations that affect the increase or decrease of the presence of a disease/trait in a population) and marker alleles within pedigrees. Although the number of recombinations per meiosis is relatively low, making it easier to track a causative variant within a few genetic markers, the weakness is the low mapping resolution (how close the causal variants is located with regard the linked markers) ${ }^{227}$. Mapping genomic loci associated to Mendelian diseases have been successful ${ }^{248}$, but it has not been the same for complex traits, one of the reasons is that each causative variant has a low effect (penetrance) on the trait, therefore it is more difficult to detect the cosegregation within pedigrees ${ }^{227}$. Genome-wide association studies appears as a powerful analysis that can be used to scan a big amount of candidate markers against different phenotypes (diseases and/or traits). Currently, several commercial companies produce chips that allows genotyping from hundreds to millions of SNPs in hundreds of samples at the same time. Nevertheless, genome-wide association scans represent one side of the analysis, which is affected by several variables, within these variables, phenotyping plays an important role. The type, accuracy of phenotyping and how the phenotype is assessed are key in a successful analysis ${ }^{249}$.

In this chapter I will describe the main methods I used in this thesis, genome-wide association analysis and one phenotyping method, geometric morphometry, which is used to analyse facial traits

In order to describe the methods used to perform the genome-wide scans I will use the standard pipeline our group uses to run the GWAS, which was developed by the statistician of the group K. Adhikari. Regarding the geometric morphometry method, I will contextualize the history of the method and how it is developed in order to better explain the use of this analysis.

### 2.2 Genome- wide Association Analyses

The genotyping data is transformed by GenomeStudio Genotyping Module v1.0 software from Illumina into another format. This software takes the raw intensity files, subsequently makes genotype calls (score of a quality metric that indicates the reliability of the genotypes called) ${ }^{250}$ and then converts them into ped/map format (format used by the software PLINK ${ }^{251}$ ).

Chip genotyping performance is not a totally perfect technology. SNP genotype calling from fluorescence intensity signal is done by a computer mathematical clustering algorithm, which, for a given individual and for a given SNP locus, estimates the likelihood that their genotype is aa, Aa and AA. But this sometimes fails. Therefore, QC is necessary to remove markers or individuals with high errors rates ${ }^{252}$ (Figure 2.1 and Figure 2.2).


Figure 2.1. Example of clustering of SNPs genotyped correctly. In this case the genotyping worked very well. The 3 clusters are totally separated.


Figure 2.2. Examples of clustering of SNPs genotyped incorrectly.In this case the genotyping did not work well, the algorithm failed to identify the 3 different clusters.

### 2.2.1 Quality Control of the genotyping data

There are two types of quality control (QC) involved with genotyping data; individual QC and marker QC.

### 2.2.1.1 Individual Quality Control

1. Checking if there were individuals with discordant sex information. X-chromosome homozygosity is used for this. Male genotypes are exported as homozygous calls, so a male with G allele will be marked as GG genotype. Thus, males will have high
homozygosity. Since PLINK measures excess of homozygosity, females will have values close to 0 and males will have values close to 1 .
2. Individuals with missing genotype. We used the missingness proportion $>0.05$. Samples with missingness proportion more than 0.05 were removed.
3. Heterozygosity rate, in this case we checked the excess of homozygosity, equivalent to the inbreeding coefficient. If samples were outliers, they were removed.
4. Pruning of SNPs in Linkage disequilibrium (LD). In order to check identity by state (IBS), first, the SNPs in LD, must be removed because LD will distort relatedness. The parameters we used here are the following, from a window of size 50 SNPs, checking multiple correlation $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ of each marker with remaining 49 SNPs. Measuring variance inflation factor VIF $=1 /\left(1-\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$. VIF $=2$ corresponds to $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.5$. SNPs showing $\mathrm{R}^{2}>0.5$ with neighbouring SNPs are removed. Then this window moves 5 SNPs to the right and this procedure is repeated.
5. Unexpected relatedness among individuals. A standard population-based association study is based on a sample of unrelated individuals ${ }^{252}$, hence this needs to be checked. This was performed using IBS measuring excess of relatedness. For each locus, we looked at similarity between two peoples' genotypes, if GG \& GG, score 2, if GG \& GA, score 1 , if GG \& AA, score 0 . This of course depends on the minor allele frequency (MAF), if MAF $=0$ so everyone is GG, pairwise scores will always be 2. Then, subtract average score from each, and divide by the standard deviation (SD) of the score. Later, add this over all loci, to get genome wide scores for each pair of individuals. People with IBD values $>0.1$ were removed.
6. Population structure. In this scenario, a signal of association will appear, not because of a real association with the phenotype, but because of allele frequency differences between the different 'hidden' populations within the analysed sample ${ }^{252}$. One of the most used methods to assess for population stratification is Principal Component Analysis ${ }^{253}$, this is a dimensionality-reduction method used to lower the number of variables measured on a data set, in this case the genotyping data, to a smaller one that still contains most of the information of the original data set. Principal components are the result of linear combinations of the original genotypes, by observing the location of these individuals along the major axes of variation on the plots, should show just one cluster. If any additional cluster or spread samples appear far from the main
cluster, they must be removed. Mathematically, from each value of each variable the mean is subtracted and then divided by the SD, in order to have zero mean and a unit variance. Subsequently, $Z$ denotes a matrix with n rows corresponding to the number of individuals and $l$ corresponding to the number of SNPs, with $i^{t^{\text {th }}, l^{\text {th }} \text { elements, for the }}$ $i^{\text {th }}$ individual and $l^{\text {th }}$ SNP, i.e.

$$
Z_{i l}=\frac{g_{i}^{l}-\hat{p}_{l}}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_{l}}(1-\hat{p})}
$$

where $\hat{p}_{l}$ is the population allele frequency at SNP $l$. Later, the kinship matrix $\widehat{K}$ obtained by,

$$
\widehat{K}=\frac{1}{l} Z Z^{T}
$$

It is decomposed by eigenvalues and eigenvectors to identify the principal components, where eigenvectors correspond to the directions of the axes where there is most variance (most information) and they are called PCs. Eigenvalues are the coefficients attached to eigenvectors, corresponding to the amount of variance carried in each PC. Then, PCs will be used as covariables on the GWAS, to account for the underlaying population structure.

### 2.2.1.2 Markers Quality Control

1. Removing SNPs with high missing data, i.e. low genotyping rate. Missingness proportion $>0.05$.
2. Removing rare SNPs. These markers are not very useful due to low variation in the data. They are more likely to contain genotyping errors. I used the Minor allele frequency threshold $<0.01$. This threshold is used in CANDELA data because it is a large dataset.

### 2.2.2 Quality Control of the phenotyping data

Similarly, to genotyping quality control. Phenotyping data needs to be checked, depending on the studied trait, different filters were applied.

1. Normal distribution of the data set.
2. Rareness. Very low frequency of a category within a trait. I used the threshold $<10 \%$. If that was the case, the trait was removed.
3. Constant. Only one category was present in a phenotype. If that was the case, the trait was removed.
4. Missingness proportion of data in an individual. Threshold $>15 \%$ of data lost. If that was the case, the trait was removed.
5. Missingness proportion of data in a specific phenotype. Threshold $>15 \%$ of data lost. If that was the case, the trait was removed.

### 2.2.3 Association testing

Once the QC is completed the genome-wide association test can be performed. The GWAS seeks for associations between genetic markers throughout the genome and different phenotypes (diseases and/or complex traits). At this stage of the analysis, three different types of files are required, the genotype files, the phenotype file and the covariate file. They have been through in-depth QC and now the analysis can be completed. The association test was performed using multiple linear regression with an additive genetic model incorporating age, sex, BMI and genetic PCs (the number of PCs utilized depends on how many of them explain most of the variation of the genotyping data) as covariates.

The objective of Multiple Linear Regression is to model the relationship between explanatory variables (independent variables) and a response variable (dependent variable) by adjusting a linear equation to the observed data.

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i 1}+\beta_{2} x_{i 2}+. .+. .+\beta_{p} x_{i p}+\epsilon
$$

where for $i=n$ observations:
$y_{i}=$ dependant variable, the phenotype
$x_{i}=$ explanatory variable, the genotype and other variables, such as age, BMI, PCs, etc.
$\beta_{0}=\mathrm{y}$-intercept (constant term)
$\beta_{p}=$ slope coefficients for each explanatory variable, the effect of each variable.
$\epsilon=$ the error term of the model, also known as residual.

### 2.3 Overview of geometric morphometry: the study of form and its application in facial morphology

In this section I will describe the geometric morphometry method used to obtain the quantitative facial phenotypes for the genome-wide association analysis. This method comprises a quantitative method based on landmarks located on 2D photographs of the volunteers. Subsequently, coordinates are generated and processed to be used as phenotypes. In order to help on the understanding of the method I will first describe the history of the method and later the theory in which this method is based on.

Since the dawn of biology, anatomical and morphological description of living organisms have been carried out, with the main objective of differentiating inter- and intra- species ${ }^{254}$. In the beginning, the organisms were compared with previously identified forms, thus the description was qualitative ${ }^{255}$.

During the first part of the twentieth century, there was a transition from qualitative to quantitative analysis ${ }^{256}$, which resulted in a concept known as morphometrics; fusion of two terms of the classical Greek words morphé: 'form', and metron: 'that by which anything is measured' ${ }^{257}$. Thus, morphometrics is the quantitative study of the variation of biological forms ${ }^{258}$. Traditional morphometrics was based on linear variables, such as measurements, distances, ratios, and angles, and this data was analysed by applying multivariate statistical methods. The results showed the variability of size and form through a set of coefficients and graphs, but they were difficult to interpret, which is one of the main reasons why Geometric Morphometrics emerged (GM) ${ }^{255,259}$.

This new branch of morphometrics analyses the shape of living organisms or their structures, regarding the geometrical space using multivariate statistical methods ${ }^{260}$. One important element in GM is the form: it is the geometrical feature from an object and it does not consider scale, rotational and translational movement ${ }^{258,261}$ (Figure 2.3) . It has been impossible to assess the form separately from the size, due to the ontogenetics of living organisms ${ }^{255}$. Biological homology refers to the corresponding
structures or parts between individuals ${ }^{262,263}$ and its localization (spatial localization in two or three dimensions of these parts or structures ${ }^{264}$ ) as the main sources of GM


Figure 2.3.The form of an object (A) is not affected by the translation (B), the orientation of the plane, (C) rotation or (D) its scale or size. This figure was published in Bookstein, 1986 262.

To localize these homologous structures, two variables are used: outlines and landmarks, anatomical points located according to some anatomical references, which do not alter its topological position, regarding other landmarks. They can be easily situated in the same position between one individual to another ${ }^{255}$. There are 3 types of landmarks: type I are discrete appositions of tissue (i.e. insertion between three sutures), type II are minimum or maximum curvature and type III are distant points to the place of interest (Figure 2.4). Occasionally, the structures are flat or smooth, hence landmarks are difficult to place, to solve this problem, equally distributed points are placed across the contour; these points are known as semi-landmarks ${ }^{265}$.


Figure 2.4. Object captured in two dimensions (2D) , (A) can be analysed using Outlines, (B) adding landmarks and (C) in the same structure utilizing the three types of landmarks (squares): type I (1), type II (2) and type III (3). Also, using semi-landmarks (circles). This figure was published in Bookstein, $1997{ }^{265}$.

Geometric Morphometrics methods capture Cartesian coordinates of landmarks, semilandmarks and outlines. These landmarks provide information about shape, size, orientation and position of the object. In order to remove the noise caused by size and the rest of the parameters and obtain just the shape, a Generalized Procrustes Analysis is performed ${ }^{266}$. This analysis consists of 3 steps: (1) the configuration of the landmarks is scaled to the same centroid size (the square root of the sum of squared distances of a set of landmarks from their centroid, it is a measure of size that quantifies the extent of the landmark around its centre of gravity), (2) effect of position is removed and (3) the configuration of the landmarks is rotated in order to reduce the deviation among them (Figure 2.5) ${ }^{267}$. The new superimposed landmark configuration coordinates only contain information about form, they are called Procrustes shape coordinates ${ }^{268}$.
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Figure 2.5. Steps of Procrustes superimposition illustrated with star shapes. (A) Raw landmark-configuration, (B) they were translated, so all of them have the same centroid, (C) after that they are scaled to the same centroid size and (D) constantly rotated until the summed squared distances between the landmarks and the sample mean position is a minimum ${ }^{268,269}$. This figure was published in Bookstein, $1997{ }^{265}$.

Once the Procrustes shape coordinates are obtained, these can be used to perform multivariate analysis, such as regression and PCAs which are used to reduce the
dimensionality of the data and some of these PCs may be utilized as shape variables 270

The methods described above has been commonly used with facial traits. A large number of studies have investigated how facial features leads to sexual dimorphism, social inference, attractiveness, intelligence, dominance, psychological prediction, etc. ${ }^{271-274}$. Over the past 5 years, scientific interest has been focused in better understanding the molecular genetic basis behind the variation of the face appearance and GM has been widely used for this purpose. In the beginning the data was captured from 2-dimensional (2D) images and landmarks were manually added to the photographs ${ }^{7,8}$. Currently, 2D is still used, but advances in image technology has allowed to use 3D scanning, then these images are imported to softwares that refine them, fill the missing parts of the scan and they can automatically recognize some facial landmarks. Thus, facilitating and improving the acquisition and accuracy of the data ${ }^{247}$.

Although genes have been identified for various abnormal phenotypes and genomewide studies in European populations have been conducted primarily using GM methods, the genetic basis of normal variation in human facial traits is still poorly understood. Therefore, this study intends to contribute to a better understanding of this issue in a sample of Latin American volunteers.

# Chapter 3: Genome-Wide Association Study of facial morphology in the CANDELA cohort 

### 3.1 Introduction

Over the years, several genome-wide scans have been performed to find genetic variants involved in the shape of the face. These studies have been successful in their aim to find genetic markers associated with facial traits, but still the genetics of normal variation of the Human face is poorly understood. In this chapter I perform a primary GWAS of facial traits on $\sim 6,000$ Latin-Americans belonging to CANDELA Cohort for facial traits using categorical phenotyping. Then, I also present follow-up analysis, a replication GWAS using quantitative phenotyping and experimental work to endorse the discovery GWAS findings. The work in this chapter places in evidence the necessity and the advantage of conducting GWAS in human populations underrepresented in previous genome-wide scans, and how using quantitative phenotypes increase the power of the analysis.

### 3.1.1 Previous genome-wide association studies of facial morphology

At present, eleven GWAS have been carried-out in different populations, eight in Europeans ${ }^{7,8,3,275-278}$, and the remaining in Latin-Americans ${ }^{279}$, Chinese (Uyghurs) ${ }^{280}$, Koreans ${ }^{281}$ and Africans ${ }^{282}$ (Table 3.1).

| Facial <br> feature | Facial phenotype | Population | Sample <br> N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chr } \\ \text { position } \end{gathered}$ | SNP | Genes | $p$ value | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ala aperture | Area around the nose wings and size of nostrils | Europeans | 2329 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 q 31.3 \\ & (154828366) \end{aligned}$ | rs9995821 | DCHS2 | $3.67 \mathrm{E}-18$ | Claes et al., 2018 |
| Chin <br> prominence | Centralized prominence of chin extending to lower lip associated to mandibular recession in line with the commissures. | Europeans | 2329 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 1q31.3 } \\ & (197329041) \end{aligned}$ | rs2821116 | ASPM | $2.63 \mathrm{E}-19$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claes et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| Chin <br> prominence | Prominence of chin button associated with lateral recession. | Europeans | 2329 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7q21.3 } \\ & (96124975) \end{aligned}$ | rs10238953 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DLX6, } \\ & \text { DYNC1L1 } \end{aligned}$ | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claes et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| Forehead | Centralized prominence of forehead with vertical depression above the orbits | Europeans | 2329 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1p12 } \\ & (119762175) \end{aligned}$ | rs72691108 | TBX15 | $5.81 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claes et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| Forehead | Recessive central portion of forehead with prominence laterally. | Europeans | 2329 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6q23.2 } \\ & (133615646) \end{aligned}$ | rs5880172 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPS12, } \\ & \text { EYA4 } \end{aligned}$ | 6.1E-12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claes et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| Lip <br> prominence | Prominent lips, lateral retrusive to upper and <br> lower lips; slight narrowing of nasolabial <br> crease to nasal sidewalls with small prominence superiorly. | Europeans | 2329 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2q31.1 } \\ & (177111819) \end{aligned}$ | rs970797 | HOXD cluster | $6.17 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claes et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mental fold | Prominence of mental fold, with subtle retrusive effects on labio-mandibular crease. | Europeans | 2329 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2p21 } \\ & (42181679) \end{aligned}$ | rs6740960 | PKDCC | $3.03 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claes et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |

Continue...

| Facial Feature | Facial phenotype | Population | Sample <br> N | Chr position | SNP | Genes | $p$ value | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nose bridge | Small depression superior to tip of the <br> nose, associated with small depressed areas in the middle of the nasal side walls. Increased prominence bridge of nose. | Europeans | 2329 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 1p32.1 } \\ & \text { (60997570) } \end{aligned}$ | rs4916068 | intergenic | $4.81 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Claes et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| Nose bridge | Associated with small <br> transverse ridges across the bridge of the nose prominent midway and less prominent across the alae. | Europeans | 2329 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 q 27.1 \\ & (184333169) \end{aligned}$ | rs58022575 | EPHB3, DVL3 | $7.99 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claes et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| Nose <br> prominence | Recessive tip of nose with increased width along the sidewall of the nose. | Europeans | 2329 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17 q 24.3 \\ & (69139583) \end{aligned}$ | rs11655006 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BC039327 } \\ & \text { /CASC17 } \end{aligned}$ | $5.24 \mathrm{E}-21$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Claes et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| Nose <br> prominence | Prominent superior to tip of nose associated with a localized area of recession just above the alae. | Europeans | 2329 | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \mathrm{q} 13.11 \\ & (34290995) \end{aligned}$ | rs287104 | KCTD15 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claes et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| Nose width | Prominent nose with small nasal wings | Europeans | 2329 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17 q 24.3 \\ & (70036479) \end{aligned}$ | rs5821892 | SOX9 | 2.3E-09 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Claes et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| Nose position | Prominence at nasion and tip/alae width if <br> nose with reduced width along the sidewalls of the nose. | Europeans | 2329 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2q36.1 } \\ & (223039052) \end{aligned}$ | rs10176525 | PAX3 | $3.76 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claes et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| Nose width | Deeper nasion position, wider nasal side walls and deeper subnasale. | Europeans | 2329 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 6p21.1 } \\ & (44681840) \end{aligned}$ | rs227833 | SUPT3H | $3.38 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claes et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| Philtrum | Depression of philtrum with prominent philtral pillars; reduced ale and prominent subnasale. | Europeans | 2329 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3q21.3 } \\ & (128106267) \end{aligned}$ | rs2977562 | RAB7A, ACAD9 | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claes et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |

## Continue...

| Facial | Facial <br> phenotype | Population | Sample | Chr position | SNP | Genes | p value | Author |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Feature |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N

## Continue...

| Facial Feature | Facial phenotype | Population | Sample <br> N | Chr position | SNP | Genes | p value | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nose | Nose partial least square with strongest segregation between EUR and HAN-TZ women | Uyghurs <br> China | 865 | 20:7067738 | rs3920540 | BMP2 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qiao et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| Side-Face | Side-faces partial least square with strongest segregation between EUR and HAN -TZ | Uyghurs <br> China | 865 | 3:82196528 | rs61672954 |  | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qiao et al., } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| Face height | Mid-face height | Europeans | 2187 | 6q26 | rs9456748 | PARK2 | $5 \times 10-8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lee et al., } \\ & 2017 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Lip (upper) | Central upper lip height | Europeans | 2187 | 9p22 | rs72713618 | FREM1 | $2 \times 10-8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Lee et al., } \\ & 2017 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Endocanthion | Intercanthal width | Europeans | 2187 | Xq13 | rs11093404 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PABP1 } \\ & \text { C1L2A, } \\ & \text { HADC8 } \end{aligned}$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-09$ | Lee et al., 2017 <br> Shaffer et al., |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |
| Nose Size | Nose Size and | Europeans | 67000 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 3p13 } \\ & \text { (219642187) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3:71227306:T_ } \\ & \text { TGA } \end{aligned}$ | FOXP1 | $8.2 \times 10-26$ | Pickrell et al.,2016 |
| Chin and shape | Chin Dimple | Europeans | 58000 | 7q21.3 | rs11768577 | C7orf76 | $5.3 \times 10-56$ | Pickrell et al.,2016 |
| Nasion prominence | Prominence and vertical position of nasion | Latinamerican | 6275 | 2q35 | rs7559271 | PAX3 | $4 \times 10-7$ | Adhikari et al., 2016 <br> Paternoster <br> et al., 2012 |
| Nose <br> prominence | Columella inclination, nose protrusion, nose tip angle | Latinamerican | 6275 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 q 31 \\ & (155235392) \end{aligned}$ | rs12644248 | DCHS2 | $4 \times 10-3$ | Adhikari et al., 2016 |
| Nose width | Nose wing breadth | Latinamerican | 6275 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20 \mathrm{p} 11 \\ & (22041577) \end{aligned}$ | rs927833 | PAX1 | $4 \times 10-3$ | Adhikari et al., 2016 |
| Nose width | Nose bridge breadth | Latinamerican | 6275 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 6p21 } \\ & (45329656) \end{aligned}$ | rs1852985 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUPT3H/ } \\ & \text { RUNX2 } \end{aligned}$ | $5 \times 10-3$ | Adhikari et al., 2016 |
| Nose width | Nose wing breadth | Latinamerican | 6275 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \mathrm{p} 13 \\ & (42131390) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | rs17640804 | GL13 | $6 \times 10-3$ | Adhikari et al., 2016 |
| Eye width | Intercanthal width | Europeans | 3118 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Xq13.2 } \\ & (72289467) \end{aligned}$ | rs11093404 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PABP1 } \\ & \text { C1L2A, } \\ & \text { HADC8 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.16 \times \\ & 10-8 \end{aligned}$ | Shaffer et al., 2016 |
| Face width | Cranial base width | Europeans | 3118 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14 \mathrm{q} 21.1 \\ & (38038468) \end{aligned}$ | rs17106852 | PAX9, <br> SLC25A2, <br> MIPOL1, <br> FOXA1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.01 \times \\ & 10-8 \end{aligned}$ | Shaffer et <br> al., 2016 |
| Face width | Cranial base width | Europeans | 3118 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20 \mathrm{q} 12 \\ & (38904203) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | rs6129564 | MAFB | $\begin{aligned} & 1.65 \times \\ & 10-9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Shaffer et al., 2016 |
| Facial depth | Left tragus to nasion | Europeans | 3118 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 q 22.1 \\ & (101394765) \end{aligned}$ | rs12786942 | TRPC6, | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.59 \times \\ & 10-8 \end{aligned}$ | Shaffer et al., 2016 |

Continue...


Table 3.1. Genomic regions previously associated with facial traits. Genes and SNPs that have been replicated are presented in bold. P-value corresponds to the discovery sample, unless the authors only present meta-analysis results.

These studies have been successful in finding new associations between genome wide significant SNPs and facial traits, but the overlap across traits is very small. The association between nasion position and rs7559271 was found by Paternoster et al. (2012) in Europeans ${ }^{8}$. Our group replicated the exact same SNP in Latin-Americans ${ }^{279}$. Other groups, Liu et al. (2012) and Claes et al. (2018) ${ }^{7},{ }^{275}$, have also found $P A X 3$ gene implicated in nasion position in Europeans, but not the same SNP (rs974448 and rs10176525, respectively). Shaffer et al. (2016), discovered an association between the SNP rs11093404, located in Xq13.2 and the distance between endocanthions ${ }^{278}$. Subsequently, another GWAS replicated the association between the same SNP and trait ${ }^{276}$. Usually, the associations found are replicated between the same SNP and the same or similar trait, depending on the phenotyping methods used by the different studies. The SNP rs 970797 is an exception because it was discovered in two genomewide studies, but it was associated with two different features, lip prominence and
curvature of the eyelid ${ }^{275,}{ }^{281}$. Apart from PAX3 gene, other genes have been replicated, but not the same SNP, such as DCHS2 associated with nose traits (columella inclination and area of nose wings), in Latin-Americans and Europeans ${ }^{275}$, ${ }^{279}$. Claes et al. (2018) discovered the association between SOX9 (rs5821892), and the protrusion of the nose ${ }^{275}$, Cha et al. (2018) replicated the association between the gene (rs2193054) and the same trait ${ }^{281}$. We detected an association between SUPT3H/RUNX2 (rs1852985) overlapping region and nose bridge breadth in Latin Americans ${ }^{279}$. Claes et al. (2018) found an association between SUPT3H (rs227833) gene and features related to the width of the nose ${ }^{275}$. Finally, two genome-wide association studies discovered an association between PAXI gene and nasal width, in Latin Americans and later replicated in Europeans ${ }^{279,} 278$.

Not only have GWAS attempted to find new genes implicated in human facial shape but also whole-exome sequencing studies, such as Wu et al. (2019), where they identified 4 genetic loci associated with facial features. They obtained 3D CT scanning from 50 volunteers, and 48 landmarks were used to run the linear mixed model and calculate the association between these traits and the genotypes. Four SNPs in three genes (RGPD3, IGSF3 and USP40) were associated with skull shape, and three SNPs (rs6215530, rs647711 and rs10868138) were associated with facial features ${ }^{283}$.

The SNP rs3827760 has been associated with several physical features, such as shovel shape incisors ${ }^{284}$, hair shape ${ }^{211,285}$ and ear morphology ${ }^{286}$. The chromosome position of this marker is 2 q12, corresponding to the EDAR gene. Peng et al. (2016) tested if this variant EDARV370A was associated with facial traits and they discovered that chin protrusion was associated with this SNP in a Uyghur population ${ }^{287}$. Our group replicated the association with a GWAS in Latin Americans ${ }^{95}$.

One of the last studies attempting to replicate previous genetic variants associated to facial traits is from Li et al. (2019), recently published. They applied 17 facial landmarks to 3dMD facial images from 612 volunteers from a European-Asian admixed population. The genetic association tests between 125 previously reported SNPs and the facial phenotypes, showed that 8 SNPs were significantly associated with different facial features (Table 3.2) ${ }^{288}$.

| Facial feature | Population | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sample } \\ & \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ | Chr position | SNP | Gene | p- <br> value | Author | GWAS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance between the right endocanthion and the inferious part of the right ear lobe | Eurasians | 612 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6p21.1 } \\ & (44681840) \end{aligned}$ | rs227833 | SUPT3H | $\begin{aligned} & 9.89 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Li et } \\ \text { al., } \\ 2019 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Claes et al. $2018$ |
| Distance from right alare to left inferior part of ear lobe | Eurasians | 612 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{q} 41 \\ & (219642187) \end{aligned}$ | rs5781117 | LYPLAL1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.43 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | Li et al., 2019 | Claes et al. $2018$ |
| Subnasale-Right side chelion | Eurasian | 612 | 1p36.32 | rs4648379 | PRDM16 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.55 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Li et } \\ & \text { al., } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Liu et al., 2012 <br> Shaffer et al., $2016$ |
| Distance between left exocanthion and the inferious part of the left ear lobe | Eurasian | 612 | 2q12 | rs3827760 | EDAR | $\begin{aligned} & 2.39 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Li et } \\ & \text { al., } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Adhikari et al., $2016$ |
| Distance between left endocanthion and right alare | Eurasian | 612 | 2q35 | rs7559271 | PAX3 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.88 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Li et } \\ & \text { al., } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Paternoster et al. <br> 2012 <br> Adhikari et al., 2016 |
| Distance between the inferious part of the right and left ear lobe | Eurasian | 612 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & (55039780) \end{aligned}$ | rs1982862 | CACNA2D3 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.29 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Li et } \\ & \text { al., } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | Paternoster et al. $2012$ |

Table 3.2. Associations replicated from previous facial traits GWAS. This table was modified from Li et al., (2019) ${ }^{288}$.

### 3.2 Materials and Methods

### 3.2.1 Study subjects

In total, 6,275 volunteers from 5 countries (Colombia, $\mathrm{N}=1,402$; Brasil, $\mathrm{N}=658$; Chile, $\mathrm{N}=1,760$; Mexico, $\mathrm{N}=1,200$; and Peru, $\mathrm{N}=1,255$ ) were involved in the GWAS. Except for Chile, most subjects recruited to participate in the project were students and staff from universities, but in Chile $2 / 3$ of the individuals were professional soldiers. Adult subjects of both sexes aged between 18 and 45 years were invited to participate (Table 3.3 and Figure 1.14). This is part of the CANDELA consortium sample ${ }^{59}$.

|  | Total | Colombia | Brazil | Chile | Mexico | Peru |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sample <br> size | 6275 | 1402 | 658 | 1760 | 1200 | 1255 |
| Percentage | 100 | 22.3 | 10.5 | 28.1 | 19.1 | 20 |
| \% Female | 54.1 | 56.3 | 68.9 | 39.4 | 60.3 | 58.5 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Mean | 24.2 | 24 | 25.8 | 25.2 | 24.4 | 22.2 |
| Max | 45 | 40 | 45 | 45 | 44 | 44 |
| S.D. | 5.7 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.2 |
| Age, for Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Mean | 24.9 | 24.7 | 25.8 | 25.3 | 25.1 | 23 |
| Max | 45 | 40 | 45 | 45 | 44 | 44 |
| S.D. | 5.7 | 5.5 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.7 |
| Age, for Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Mean | 23.8 | 23.5 | 25.4 | 25.2 | 24 | 21.6 |
| Max | 45 | 40 | 44 | 45 | 41 | 42 |
| S.D. | 5.7 | 5 | 4.2 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 4.7 |

Table 3.3. Features of the study sample.

Ethics approval was obtained from: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (México), Universidad de Antioquia (Colombia), Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia (Perú), Universidad de Tarapacá (Chile), Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (Brasil) and University College London (UK). All participants provided written informed consent.

Volunteers with antecedents of craniofacial dysmorphologies, facial surgery, severe facial trauma and BMI over 33 were excluded from this study.

Blood samples were collected by a certified phlebotomist and DNA extracted following standard laboratory procedures.

Five digital photographs of the face: left side (-90), left angle (-45), frontal (0), right angle $\left(45^{\circ}\right)$, right side $\left(90^{\circ}\right)$ were taken from $\sim 1.5$ meters at eye level using a Nikon D90 camera fitted with a Nikkon 50mm fixed focal length lens (Figure 3.1).


Left side $\left(-90^{\circ}\right)$ Left angle $\left(-45^{\circ}\right)$ Frontal $\left(0^{\circ}\right)$ Right angle $\left(45^{\circ}\right)$ Right side $\left(90^{\circ}\right)$
Figure 3.1. Face angles in the digital photographs taken from the volunteers. Fifteen photo shoots were taken per individual in five different positions, as described above. Therefore, three photos per position were obtained in order to choose the best shoot of each position. Photography from M.F-G.

Other phenotypes including height, weight, BMI, age and sex were also recorded for each participant ${ }^{59}$.

After the discovery GWAS, an additional 501 individuals were recruited to serve as a replication sample. These individuals were recruited, and the phenotyping was carried out following the same procedures as for the sample included in the discovery GWAS.

### 3.2.2 Phenotyping

### 3.2.2.1 Ordinal traits:

Right side and frontal photographs (Figure 3.1) were used to assess 14 facial features (Table 3.4). The scored traits were chin shape and protrusion, cheekbone and browridge protrusion, forehead profile, upper and lower lip thickness and seven nose features (breadth of nasal root, bridge and wing, columella inclination, nose protrusion, nose profile and nose tip shape) (Figure 3.2). These features were selected based on their reported variation in European populations ${ }^{289}$.

| Face <br> section | Trait | Categories |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Forehead profile | Steep (vertical) | Sloping |  |
|  | Brow ridge protrusion | None | Slightly pronounced | Strongly pronounced |
|  | Cheekbone protrusion | None | Slightly pronounced | Strongly pronounced |
|  | Nasal root breadth | Narrow | Broad |  |
|  | Nose bridge breadth | Short | Average | Long |
|  | Nose wing breadth | Narrow | Average | Broad |
|  | Nose profile | Convex | Straight | Concave |
|  | Nose protrusion | Low | Slightly | Strong |
|  | Nose tip shape | Pointed | Round | Bulbous |
|  | Columella inclination | Up | Straight | Down |
|  | Upper lip thickness | Thin | Average | Full |
|  | Lower lip thickness | Thin | Average | Full |
|  | Chin shape | Pointed | Round | Square |
|  | Chin protrusion | Receding | Normal | Pronounced |

Table 3.4. Ordinal facial traits assessed in the CANDELA sample. The traits were selected based on the part of the face where they are located (upper, middle and lower part of the face) and they were classified in 3 categories ( 0,1 and 2 ).


Figure 3.2. Computer interphase used in the scoring of photographs. This tool was developed by K. Adhikari in MATLAB ${ }^{299}$. Frontal and right facial photographs are displayed in the left part of the screen, and the rater should assess based on a range of categories of different facial features, i.e. Nose wing breadth consists of 3 categories ( $0=$ narrow, $1=$ moderate and $2=$ wide breadth). Photography from M.F-G.

### 3.2.2.1.1 Rater Reliability for face trait scores

Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) ${ }^{291}$ were used to evaluate the rater reliability for facial features scores. This approach uses a two-way mixed effects ANOVA model, with two different scorings (from repeated scoring by one rater or from scores by two raters) as a fixed effect, and variation across subjects as a random effect. Scores from a set of photographs for 450 individuals ( $>7 \%$ of total sample size, combining all 5 constituent countries) were used for calculating ICCs for each facial trait. The photographs were scored twice by two raters (M.F-G. and I.P.A.), independently, two weeks apart. Photographs for all the volunteers were scored by the same rater (M.F.G.).

### 3.2.2.2 Quantitative traits

### 3.2.2.2.1 3D Phenotyping

Quantitative phenotypes were obtained using Procrustes-adjusted 3D facial landmark coordinates available for 2,955 of the individuals included in the ordinal trait GWAS. These coordinates were obtained for 34 anatomical landmarks (Figure 3.3). Briefly, landmarks were placed in five facial photographs described before (Section 3.2.1, Figure 3.1), and raw 3D coordinates obtained using the Photomodeler software. The
raw 3D landmark coordinates were Procrustes-adjusted using the MorphoJ software 292


Figure 3.3. Anatomical landmarks used for the analysis of shape variation in the CANDELA volunteers. 34 anatomical landmarks were located in the frontal, fronto-lateral and lateral left and right photographs of each volunteer, using photomodeler software, to obtain the raw 3D coordinates. Figure from Quinto-Sanchez et al. (2015) ${ }^{274}$.

Quantitative measurements (distances and angles) were defined corresponding to seven of the ordinal traits initially examined (Table 3.5).

| Face section | Trait | Quantitative definition ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Upper | Forehead profile | - |
|  | Brow ridge protrusion | - |
| Middle | Nasion position | Distance from point 18 to the mid-point of a line joining points 8 and 16 |
|  | Cheekbone protrusion | - |
|  | Nasal root breadth | - |
|  | Nose bridge breadth | - |
|  | Nose wing breadth | Distance between points 20 and 22 |
|  | Nose profile | - |
|  | Nose protrusion | Distance of point 19 to a line joining points 18 and 21 |
|  | Nose tip shape | Angle between points 18-19-21 |
|  | Columella inclination | Angle between points 19-21-23 |
|  | Upper lip thickness | Distance between points 23 and 26 |
|  | Lower lip thickness | Distance between points 26 and 29 |
|  | Chin shape | - |
|  | Chin protrusion | Ratio of distance between points 30-32 to distance between points 29-32 |

Table 3.5.Quantitative facial traits. The traits were selected based on the part of the face where they are located (upper, middle and lower part of the face). The details of the landmarks are explained in Figure 3.3.

### 3.2.2.2.2 2D Phenotyping:

Since 3D landmarks allowing quantitative proxies for nose root and bridge breadth were not available, I placed 2D landmarks on the frontal photographs of the same individuals with 3D landmarks (Figure 3.4, Table 3.6): two landmarks were added each for nasal root and for nose bridge width, in addition to the major frontally visible 3D landmarks. Since the 3D coordinates are free of head tilts and rotations (thus allowing more accurate measurements) the 2D coordinates were calibrated with reference to the 3D coordinates using corresponding frontal landmarks (having both 2D and 3D coordinates) (Figure 3.3 and Figure 3.4).

| Face section | Trait | Quantitative definition $^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Middle | Nasal root breadth | Distance between points 3 and 4 |
|  | Nose bridge breadth | Distance between points 5 and 6 |

Table 3.6.Morphology measurements of nose.
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The quantitative definitions refer to coordinate numbers as shown in Figure 3.3.


Figure 3.4. 2D Frontal Landmarks examined in the CANDELA sample. 19 landmarks and semi-landmarks were positioned in the frontal take of each volunteer. Photography from M.FG.

### 3.2.2.3 Replication sample:

The replication sample was genotyped in the same way and submitted to the same quality controls as for the GWAS sample (Section 3.2.4).

### 3.2.3 DNA genotyping and quality control

DNA samples from participants were genotyped on the Illumina HumanOmniExpress chip including 730,525 SNPs. PLINK v1.9 ${ }^{251}$ was used to exclude SNPs and individuals with $>5 \%$ missing data, markers with minor-allele frequency $<1 \%$, related individuals (Plink IBD estimate $>0.1$ ), and those who failed the X-chromosome sex concordance check (sex estimated from X-chromosome heterozygosity not matching recorded sex information). After applying these filters 671,038 SNPs and 5,958 individuals (1,303 from Colombia, 608 from Brasil, 1,651 from Chile, 1,165 from Mexico, 1,231 from Peru) were retained for further analysis. Due to the admixed nature of the study sample (Figure 3.5) there is an inflation in Hardy-Weinberg $P$ values (Figure 3.6). We therefore did not exclude markers based on Hardy-Weinberg deviation, but performed stringent quality controls at software and biological levels and checked the genotyping cluster plots for each index SNP manually (Figures 2.1 and 2.1).


Figure 3.5. Estimated individual African, European and Native American ancestry (\%) in the CANDELA individuals included in the GWAS for ordinal face traits ( $\mathrm{N}=5.958$ ). Based on genome-wide SNP data, average autosomal admixture proportions for the full sample were estimated as: 50\% European, 45\% Native American and 5\% African.


Figure 3.6. Genome-wide testing of deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in Latin American samples from 1000 Genomes Phase $3^{293}$. To demonstrate that our dataset of post-QC SNPs present deviation from HWE not due to genotyping error but as a result to population stratification, HWE testing was performed for Latin American samples from the 1000 Genomes Phase 3 release, this population is commonly used as a reference panel. 328 Latin Americans individuals from this panel (after removing related individuals) were utilized for the HWE P-value calculation in Plink 1.9. The resulting Q-Q plot is shown above.

### 3.2.4 SNP Genotype Imputation

The chip genotype data was phased using SHAPEIT2 ${ }^{294}$. IMPUTE2 ${ }^{295}$ was then used to impute genotypes at untyped SNPs using variant positions from the 1000 Genomes Phase I data ${ }^{293}$. The 1000 Genomes reference data set includes haplotype information for 1,092 individuals across the world for $36,820,992$ variant positions. Positions that are monomorphic in 1000 Genomes Latin American samples (CLM, MXL and PUR) were excluded, leading to $11,025,002$ SNPs being imputed in our data set. Of these, 48,695 had imputation quality scores $<0.4$ and were excluded. Chip genotyped SNPs having a low concordance value ( $<0.7$ ) or a large gap between info and concordance values (info_type0-concord_type0>0.1), which might be indicators of poor genotyping, were also removed, both from the imputed and chip data set. The IMPUTE2 genotype probabilities at each locus were converted into best-guess genotypes using PLINK ${ }^{251}$ (at the default setting of $<0.1$ uncertainty). SNPs with a proportion of samples with uncalled genotypes $>5 \%$ and minor-allele frequency $<1 \%$ were excluded. The final imputed data set contained genotypes for $9,117,642$ SNPs.

### 3.2.5 Statistical genetic analyses

### 3.2.5.1 Narrow-sense heritability (h2) estimation

Narrow-sense heritability (defined as the additive phenotypic variance explained by a Genetic Relatedness Matrix, GRM, computed from the SNP data) was estimated using GCTA $^{61}$ by fitting an additive linear model with a random-effect term whose variance is given by the GRM, with age, sex and BMI as covariates. The GRM was obtained using the LDAK approach ${ }^{60}$, which accounts for LD between SNPs.

### 3.2.5.2 Continental Ancestry Estimation

An LD-pruned set of 93,328 autosomal SNPs was used to estimate European, African and Native American ancestry using supervised runs of ADMIXTURE ${ }^{296}$. Reference parental populations included in the ADMIXTURE analyses consisted of Africans and Europeans from HAPMAP ${ }^{297}$ and selected Native Americans, as described in RuizLinares et al. ${ }^{59}$

### 3.2.5.3 Genome Wide Association Analyses

PLINK $1.9^{251}$ was used to perform the primary genome-wide association tests for each phenotype using multiple linear regression with an additive genetic model incorporating age, sex, BMI and five genetic PCs as covariates. Association analyses were performed on the imputed data set with two approaches: using the best-guess imputed genotypes in PLINK and using the IMPUTE2 ${ }^{295}$ genotype probabilities in SNPTEST v2.5 ${ }^{298}$. Both were consistent with each other and with the results from the chip genotype data. For analysis of the X chromosome an inactivation model was used (male genotypes encoded as $0 / 2$ and female genotypes as $0 / 1 / 2$ ). The genetic PCs were obtained using PLINK $1.9{ }^{251}$ from an LD-pruned dataset of 93,328 SNPs. They were selected by inspecting the proportion of variance explained and checking scree and PC scatter plots (Figure 3.7). Individual outliers were removed, and PCs recalculated after each removal. The top PCs appear to be a good proxy for continental ancestry (Table 3.7).

Correlations of the top 5 genetic PCs with the three continental ancestries (Figure 3.7 and Table 3.7) are presented in the table below. Since European-Amerindian admixture is the main genetic component in our samples, PC 1 is highly correlated with these ancestries with opposite signs. PC2 is highly correlated with African ancestry.

| Ancestry | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 | PC5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| European | 0.951 | -0.307 | 0.001 | -0.025 | -0.008 |
| Amerindian | -0.998 | 0.056 | 0.012 | 0.005 | 0.01 |
| African | 0.379 | 0.92 | -0.053 | 0.072 | -0.013 |

Table 3.7. Correlation of 5 genetic PCs and three continental ancestries. Due to CANDELA samples belonging to Latin America. The mix between Amerindian and European is the principal genetic component in this sample. PC1 shows a high correlation with these two ancestries with opposite signs, while PC2 is highly correlated with African ancestry.

Using these PCs, the Q-Q plots (Figure 3.8) for all association tests showed no sign of inflation, the genomic control factor lambda being $<1.02$ in all cases (Figures A.1A.13. and Table A.1), thus confirming that we are appropriately accounting for population stratification ${ }^{253}$. Similar analyses were applied for association testing of the index SNPs followed-up in the replication sample. To account for multiple testing, we also applied a global false-discovery rate test using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure across all traits and SNPs (Table 3.16 and Table 3.17). To account for the correlations between traits, a multivariate GWAS was also performed, testing for association with all facial traits simultaneously using a Wald test conditioned on all covariates (Table 3.19). A meta-analysis was carried out for the index SNPs identified in the primary analyses by testing for association separately in each country sample and combining the results (using the PLINK ${ }^{251}$ implementation of the meta-analysis software METAL ${ }^{299}$ ). Forest plots were produced with MATLAB ${ }^{290}$. Cochran's Qstatistic was computed for each trait to test for effect-size heterogeneity across country samples. The fraction of trait variance explained by the covariates, by each index SNP, and by all index SNPs altogether, were estimated from linear regression models implemented using $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ (Tables A.2). To evaluate the role of non-syndromic cleft lip and palate (NSCL/P) loci on the facial traits examined we selected index SNPs in the 15 associated regions reported in the literature and performed individual SNP associations, global Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests and Polygenic Risk Score tests using PLINK.


Figure 3.7. Selection of genetic Principal components to be used as covariables in GWAS analysis. The proportion of the variance explained by each PC. PC 1 explains most of the variation in the sample.


Figure 3.8. GWAS Q-Q Plots of Nose Profile. The remaining Q-Q Plots are shown in Figures A.1-A.13., Table A.1. This plot does not show sign of inflation between the expected and observed P-values. All the traits show similar pattern, the genomic control factor lambda $<1.02$, demonstrating there is no population stratification.

### 3.2.6 Mouse analyses

Animal studies were reviewed and approved by The Roslin Institute Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body (AWERB). The human care and use of mice (Mus musculus) in this study was carried out under the authority of the appropriate UK Home Office Project License. The mouse samples and head photographs examined are from the same set described fully in Adhikari et al. ${ }^{58}$ Briefly, we included 14 and 15-day-old animals ( 17 males and 23 female). The mouse genotypes were Edar ${ }^{\text {dlJ }}$ (a loss of function EDARp.E379K mutation ${ }^{300}$ ) as either homozygote or heterozygote, wild-
type $\left(+/+\right.$ ) and the homozygous Edar ${ }^{T g 951}$ line (which has $\sim 16$ extra copies of Edar per haploid genome ${ }^{301}$ ). Thirteen 2D anatomical landmarks were placed on lateral photographs of the mouse heads, using TPSDig ${ }^{302}$ and TPSUtil ${ }^{303}$ (Figure 3.9). Generalized procrustes analysis was carried out using the software MorphoJ ${ }^{292}$ to check whether the distribution of landmarks was homogeneous. There were no outliers. Mouse mandible length was measured using the landmark coordinates (as detailed in Figure 3.9 and Figure 3.10).


Figure 3.9. Mouse head landmarking protocol to measure mandible length in Edar mutant mice. Thirteen landmarks were added in the face of the mouse and two landmarks in a scale to calibrate the measurements.

Each mouse head was mounted horizontally on spikes and the camera was positioned parallel to this plane. Colour photographs were taken from the left side. A scale was included in each photo for calibration. Thirteen landmarks were placed across the mouse head and two on the scale, as indicated by filled red circles. Three landmarks were placed on the chin - points 5 to 7 .


Figure 3.10. Mouse head shape variation and Edar genotype.
Landmark point 10 at the top of the ear was taken as the reference point. Direct distances were computed between this point and each chin landmark. A front-to-back line of reference was constructed by joining reference landmark point 10 to the most frontal landmark, point 4 on the nose. The projection of each chin landmark onto this line was calculated. E.g. in the example shown above, chin landmark 7 is perpendicularly projected onto the line joining 4 and 10 , the projection point denoted by hollow red circle A . The projected distance is the distance between this constructed landmark A and reference point 10 . These distances as obtained in pixel units are then converted to millimetres by calibrating with the distance on the scale (between points 14 and 15). As facial distances will be variable depending on the overall head size, chin protrusion was converted into a proportion by dividing with head length.

Average wireframes for homozygous $E d a r^{\text {dlJJdlJ }}$ (red lines) and $E d a r{ }^{T_{g} 95 / / T_{g} 951}$ (blue lines) homozygous mice Landmark points 4, 7, 10 and A are shown (Figure 3.10). Measured direct distance between landmarks 7 and 10 is the length of the dotted line between them. Projected distance between landmarks 7 and 10 is the length of the dotted line between points A and 10. This diagram shows that the lengths are smaller in the case of blue i.e. Edar ${ }^{T_{g} 951 / T_{g} 951}$ mice.

Mandible length (as a proportion of head size, measured directly on the heads) was regressed onto age, sex and Edar genotype. In this regression Edar genotype was coded as 1-4 based on increasing Edar expression: 1- Edar ${ }^{\text {dlJddlJ }}$ homozygotes, 2$E d a r^{d J J /+}$ heterozygotes, 3-wild-type ${ }^{+/+}$mice and 4-Edar ${ }^{T g 955 / / T_{g} 951}$ homozygotes.

### 3.3 Results

### 3.3.1 Study Sample

The cohort used in this study was collected in Latin America and is part of CANDELA ${ }^{59}$ (Table 3.3 and Figure 1.14).

### 3.3.2 Ordinal traits

Fourteen facial traits were assessed on an ordered categorical scale reflecting the distinctiveness of each trait (Figure 3.11, Table 3.8) using facial photographs of 6,275 individuals. Features included of the lower face: chin shape, chin protrusion, and upper/lower lip thickness, the middle face: cheekbone protrusion, breadth of nasal root, bridge and wing, columella inclination, nose protrusion, nose profile, and nose tip shape, and the upper face: brow-ridge protrusion and forehead profile.


Figure 3.11. Frequency distribution of $\mathbf{1 4}$ ordinal face traits in the CANDELA samples. The facial traits selected, showed an extensive variation in the CANDELA samples.

| Face section | Trait | Categories |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Upper | Forehead profile | Steep (vertical) | Sloping | - |
|  | Brow ridge protrusion | None | Slightly pronounced | Strongly pronounced |
| Middle | Cheekbone protrusion | None | Slightly pronounced | Strongly pronounced |
|  | Nasal root breadth | Narrow | Broad |  |
|  | Nose bridge breadth | Short | Average | Long |
|  | Nose wing breadth | Narrow | Average | Broad |
|  | Nose profile | Convex | Straight | Concave |
|  | Nose protrusion | Low | Slightly | Strong |
|  | Nose tip shape | Pointed | Round | Bulbous |
|  | Columella inclination | Up | Straight | Down |
| Lower | Upper lip thickness | Thin | Average | Full |
|  | Lower lip thickness | Thin | Average | Full |
|  | Chin shape | Pointed | Round | Square |
|  | Chin protrusion | Receding | Normal | Pronounced |

Table 3.8. Ordinal Face traits assessed. Each trait was analysed using three categories from 0 to 2 .

### 3.3.2.1 Rater reliability for facial features scores

The rater reliability for face traits scores were assessed by calculating intra-class correlation coefficients (ICC) ${ }^{291}$. They indicate a moderate-to-high intra-rater reliability of the trait scores (Table 3.9), with relatively lower inter-rater reliability for certain traits.

| Face section | Trait | Rater 1 (M.F.) | Rater 2 (I.P.) | Inter-rater |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper | Brow ridge protrusion | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.69 |
|  | Forehead profile | 0.76 | 0.67 | 0.48 |
| Middle | Cheekbone protrusion | 0.71 | 0.50 | 0.44 |
|  | Nasal root breadth | 0.77 | 0.46 | 0.42 |
|  | Nose bridge breadth | 0.61 | 0.58 | 0.48 |
|  | Nose wing breadth | 0.68 | 0.72 | 0.65 |
|  | Nose profile | 0.66 | 0.73 | 0.65 |
|  | Nose protrusion | 0.75 | 0.63 | 0.53 |
|  | Nose tip shape | 0.80 | 0.63 | 0.51 |
|  | Columella inclination | 0.72 | 0.81 | 0.57 |
| Lower | Upper lip thickness | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.68 |
|  | Lower lip thickness | 0.75 | 0.66 | 0.69 |
|  | Chin shape | 0.76 | 0.66 | 0.65 |
|  | Chin protrusion | 0.75 | 0.59 | 0.57 |

Table 3.9. Rater reliability for face traits scores. There were 2 raters who each scored the same 200 people. M.F-G, Macarena Fuentes-Guajardo and I.P., Iván Pulgar.

### 3.3.3 Continental Ancestry Estimation

Individuals were genotyped on Illumina's Omni Express BeadChip and imputation performed using 1000 Genomes data ${ }^{293}$. After quality control filters, final analyses were carried out on 671,038 genotyped SNPs and 9,117,642 imputed SNPs in 5,958 individuals (Figure 3.5).

### 3.3.4 Correlations of ordinal face traits

Significant correlations were observed between the ordinal phenotypes (using a Bonferroni-adjusted permutation $P$-value threshold for significance of $6 \times 10^{-4}$, Table 3.10). Strongest correlation was observed between upper and lower lip thickness ( $\mathrm{r}=$ 0.72 ), followed by forehead profile and brow ridge protrusion ( $\mathrm{r}=0.57$ ). The three traits related to nose width (root, bridge and wing breadth) show positive correlations among them ( $\mathrm{r}=0.16$ to 0.37 ) and negative correlations with nose protrusion ( $\mathrm{r}=-$ 0.08 to $r=-0.25)($ Table 3.10).

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Trait \& FP \& BR \& CP \& NR \& NB \& NW \& NP \& NPR \& NT \& CI \& ULT \& LLT \& CS \& CP \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Forehead profile \\
Brow ridge protrusion
\end{tabular} \& 0.57 \& <5E-4 \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
1 \mathrm{E}- \\
02 \\
\\
<\mathbf{5 E} \\
\mathbf{- 4}
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& <5 \mathrm{E}-4 \\
\& <5 \mathrm{E}-4
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
<5 \mathrm{E}-4
\]
\[
<5 \mathrm{E}-4
\] \& \[
<5 \mathrm{E}-4
\]
\[
<5 \mathrm{E}-4
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2 \mathrm{E}-02 \\
\& <5 \mathrm{E}-4
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& <5 \mathrm{E}-4 \\
\& 2 \mathrm{E}-03
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
<5 \mathrm{E}-4
\]
3E-01 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& <5 \mathrm{E}-4 \\
\& <5 \mathrm{E}-4
\end{aligned}
\] \& \(2 \mathrm{E}-01\)
\(7 \mathrm{E}-02\) \& \(9 \mathrm{E}-01\)
\(6 \mathrm{E}-01\) \& \(<5 \mathrm{E}-4\)

$<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ \& $4 \mathrm{E}-01$
$4 \mathrm{E}-01$ <br>
\hline Cheekbone protrusion \& -0.03 \& -0.10 \& \& 2E-02 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& 1E-02 \& 5E-01 \& <5E-4 \& 6E-02 \& 4E-01 \& 3E-02 \& <5E-4 <br>
\hline Nasal root breadth \& -0.10 \& -0.08 \& 0.03 \& \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& 8E-03 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& 3E-01 \& 7E-03 <br>
\hline Nose bridge breadth \& 0.12 \& 0.18 \& 0.05 \& 0.29 \& \& <5E-4 \& 3E-02 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& 4E-02 \& 1E-01 <br>
\hline Nose wing breadth \& 0.10 \& 0.17 \& \& 0.16 \& 0.37 \& \& 2E-02 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& 3E-03 \& 7E-03 <br>
\hline Nose profile \& -0.03 \& -0.05 \& 0.05 \& 0.03 \& -0.03 \& -0.03 \& \& 9E-03 \& 2E-01 \& <5E-4 \& 6E-01 \& 5E-01 \& 9E-01 \& 1E-01 <br>
\hline Nose protrusion \& 0.11 \& 0.04 \& 0.03 \& -0.16 \& -0.08 \& -0.14 \& 0.03 \& \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& 7E-01 \& 1E-01 <br>
\hline Nose tip shape \& -0.05 \& 0.01 \& 0.01 \& 0.18 \& 0.16 \& 0.29 \& 0.02 \& -0.25 \& \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& <5E-4 \& 4E-01 \& $1 \mathrm{E}+00$ <br>

\hline Columella inclination \& 0.08 \& 0.11 \& $$
0.09
$$ \& -0.06 \& 0.05 \& 0.05 \& -0.20 \& 0.10 \& -0.14 \& \& 8E-04 \& <5E-4 \& 2E-01 \& 5E-02 <br>

\hline Upper lip thickness \& 0.02 \& 0.02 \& - 0. \& 0.07 \& 0.08 \& 0.11 \& -0.01 \& -0.21 \& 0.12 \& -0.04 \& \& <5E-4 \& 3E-01 \& <5E-4 <br>
\hline Lower lip thickness \& 0.00 \& -0.01 \& $0.01^{-}$ \& 0.05 \& 0.06 \& 0.06 \& 0.01 \& -0.13 \& 0.08 \& -0.06 \& 0.72 \& \& 5E-01 \& <5E-4 <br>
\hline Chin shape \& 0.06 \& 0.08 \& 0.03 \& -0.01 \& 0.03 \& 0.04 \& 0.00 \& 0.00 \& 0.01 \& -0.02 \& 0.01 \& -0.01 \& \& 2E-03 <br>
\hline Chin protrusion \& -0.01 \& -0.01 \& 0.05 \& 0.03 \& 0.02 \& 0.03 \& 0.02 \& 0.02 \& 0.00 \& -0.02 \& -0.13 \& -0.17 \& 0.04 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 3.10. Correlation between face traits. Correlation values are presented in the lower left triangle, with corresponding permutation P values in the upper right triangle. Correlations with significant P values ( $<0.0006$, Bonferroni-adjusted threshold) and their corresponding P values are highlighted in bold.

Several of the facial traits examined also show moderate (and significant) correlations with age, sex, body mass index (BMI) and genetic ancestry (Table 3.11). The strongest correlation with sex was seen for brow ridge protrusion and forehead profile ( $\mathrm{r}=-0.62$ and $r=-0.47$ respectively). Age correlates most strongly with upper and lower lip thickness ( $r=-0.19$ and $r=-0.24$ respectively), while the strongest correlation for BMI
was seen with brow-ridge protrusion ( $\mathrm{r}=0.17$ ). Genetic ancestry has strongest correlation with lip thickness (European ancestry being negatively correlated with upper and lower lip thickness, $r=-0.25$ and $r=-0.16$, respectively). European ancestry is also significantly correlated with all the nose features examined, particularly with nose protrusion ( $\mathrm{r}=0.18$ ) and nose wing breadth ( $\mathrm{r}=-0.15$ ).

|  | Upper |  | Middle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Covariate | FP | BR | CB | NR | NB | NW | NP | NPR | NT | CI | ULT | LLT | CS | CP |
| Sex | -0.47 | -0.62 | 0.24 | 0.16 | -0.23 | -0.17 | 0.10 | -0.05 | 0.05 | -0.20 | -0.01 | 0.02 | -0.08 | 0.08 |
| Age | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.02 | -0.04 | -0.01 | -0.02 | -0.01 | 0.08 | -0.06 | 0.08 | -0.19 | -0.24 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| BMI | 0.11 | 0.17 | -0.16 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.10 | -0.02 | -0.15 | 0.11 | 0.04 | -0.01 | -0.08 | -0.08 | 0.05 |
| African anc. | -0.02 | -0.01 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.02 | -0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| European anc. | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | -0.08 | -0.05 | -0.15 | 0.05 | 0.18 | -0.11 | -0.06 | -0.25 | -0.16 | 0.01 | 0.12 |
| American anc. | -0.01 | -0.02 | -0.04 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.13 | -0.05 | -0.16 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.13 | -0.02 | -0.13 |

Table 3.11a. Correlation between ordinal facial traits and covariates. Correlation values are presented in Table 3.11a, with corresponding P values in Table 3.11b. Correlations with significant P values ( $<0.0005$, Bonferroni-adjusted threshold), obtained by permutation, are highlighted in bold. Anc. $=$ Continental ancestry estimated from the genetic data. Sex coded as female $=1$, male $=0$.

|  | Upper |  | Middle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Covariate | BR | FP | CB | NR | NB | NW | NP | NPR | NT | CI | ULT | LLT | CS | CP |
| Sex | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | <5E-4 | 5E-01 | 6E-02 | <5E- | <5E-4 |
| Age | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | 1E-01 | 6E-01 | 3E-03 | 2E-01 | 4E-01 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | $\begin{array}{r} <5 \mathrm{E}- \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 7E-04 |
| BMI | <5E-4 | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | 2E-01 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | 5E-04 | 4E-01 | <5E-4 | < 5 E- 4 | <5E-4 |
| African anc. | 4E-01 | 8E-02 | 1E-01 | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 5E-02 | <5E-4 | 6E-02 | 8E-03 | 2E-02 | 9E-01 | 2E-01 | 9E-06 | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \mathrm{E}- \\ 02 \end{array}$ | <5E-4 |
| European anc. | 7E-02 | 2E-01 | 6E-03 | <5E-4 | 4E-01 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | $\begin{array}{r} <5 \mathrm{E}- \\ 4 \end{array}$ | <5E-4 |
| American anc. | 2E-01 | 4E-01 | 2E-03 | 2E-02 | 1E-01 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | $\begin{array}{r} <5 \mathrm{E}- \\ 4 \end{array}$ | <5E-4 |

Table 3.11b. Corresponding $P$ values correlation between ordinal facial traits and covariates.

### 3.3.5 Narrow-sense heritability (h2)

Based on a kinship matrix derived from the SNP data ${ }^{60}$, the narrow-sense heritability for the facial traits using GCTA ${ }^{61}$ was estimated. Moderate (and significant) values for all traits were found, with the highest heritability being estimated for nose protrusion (0.47) and the lowest for columella inclination (0.20) (Table 3.12). Similar (or higher) heritabilities have been estimated for a range of facial traits using family data ${ }^{28,51,304}$.

| Face section | Trait | Heritability | S.E. | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper | Forehead profile | 0.28 | 0.06 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
|  | Brow ridge protrusion | 0.44 | 0.06 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Middle | Cheekbone protrusion | 0.28 | 0.05 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
|  | Nasal root breadth | 0.23 | 0.05 | 2.2E-16 |
|  | Nose bridge breadth | 0.23 | 0.06 | 8.5E-13 |
|  | Nose wing breadth | 0.41 | 0.05 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Nose profile | 0.22 | 0.06 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
|  | Nose protrusion | 0.47 | 0.05 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Nose tip shape | 0.27 | 0.05 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Columella inclination | 0.20 | 0.06 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| Lower | Upper lip thickness | 0.46 | 0.06 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Lower lip thickness | 0.40 | 0.06 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Chin shape | 0.31 | 0.06 | 3.1E-14 |
|  | Chin protrusion | 0.22 | 0.05 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

Table 3.12.Heritability estimates for the 14 ordinal face traits examined. Low to moderate significant values of heritability are shown for ordinal traits. The lowest value was for Columella inclination (0.20) and the highest heritability was Nose protrusion (0.47) among ordinal facial features.

### 3.3.6 GWAS for ordinal phenotypes

We performed genome-wide association tests using multivariate linear regression, as implemented in PLINK ${ }^{251}$, using an additive genetic model adjusting for: age, sex, BMI and the first five principal components (Figure 3.7) computed from the SNP data. The resulting statistics showed no evidence of residual population stratification for any of the traits (Figure 3.8). Three of the nose traits examined (columella inclination, nose bridge and wing breadth) showed genome-wide significant association ( $P$ values < $5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) with SNPs in at least one genomic region (Figure 3.12 and Table 3.13). Columella inclination and nose bridge breadth show association with SNPs in a single region ( 4 q 31 and 6 p 21 respectively), while nose wing breadth shows association with SNPs in two genomic regions ( 7 p 13 and 20p11).


Figure 3.12. GWAS for facial traits in CANDELA samples. The first GWAS was performed with 14 ordinal traits from the face in 5,958 volunteers. To follow-up, quantitative proxies were obtained for 9 out 14 ordinal traits initially examined (and obtained a measure of nasion position) in a subset of 2,955 individuals and performed another GWAS. A 'composite' of Manhattan plot shows (on the left side, ordinal traits and on the right side, quantitative traits) the results across traits. All the SNPs with P values exceeding thresholds genome-wide suggestive $\left(10^{-5}\right)$ are over the blue line and P values reaching the threshold genome-wide significant $\left(5 \times 10^{-8}\right)$ are above the red line.

| Chromosomal | Index SNP | Associated Trait | $P$ value | Candidate gene ${ }^{1}$ | Alleles ${ }^{2}$ | Effect size | \% Variance | Replication |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  | explained | $P$ value |
| 4 q 31 | rs 12644248 | Columella inclination | $7 \times 10^{-9}$ | DCHS2 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $-8.40 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0.49 | $4 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 6p21 | rs 1852985 | Nose bridge breadth | $6 \times 10^{-10}$ | SUPT3H/RUNX2 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | $6.90 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0.71 | $5 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 7p13 | rs17640804 | Nose wing breadth | $9 \times 10^{-9}$ | GLI3 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | $-6.50 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0.62 | $6 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 20p11 | rs927833 | Nose wing breadth | $1 \times 10^{-9}$ | PAXI | T>C | $-7.70 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0.66 | $4 \times 10^{-3}$ |

Table 3.13. Properties of index SNPs in chromosomal regions showing genome-wide significant association with ordinal facial traits.
${ }^{1}$ For intragenic SNPs, gene names are shown in bold.
${ }^{2}$ Derived alleles are shown after ancestral alleles.

To account for the multiple phenotypes tested we performed a global false discovery rate (FDR) ${ }^{305}$ test across all traits and SNPs and identified the same significantly associated regions (Table 3.14).

| Rank | Chromosome | SNP | Trait | $\boldsymbol{P}$ value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathbf{6}$ | rs1852985 | Nose Bridge Breadth | $\mathbf{6 . 1 5 E - 1 0}$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | rs927833 | Nose Wing Breadth | $\mathbf{1 . 0 5 E - 0 9}$ |
| 3 | 6 | rs1285029 | Nose Bridge Breadth | $5.80 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{4}$ | rs12644248 | Columella Inclination | $\mathbf{6 . 6 4 E - 0 9}$ |
| 5 | 6 | rs6458435 | Nose Bridge Breadth | $6.79 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 6 | 6 | rs6458432 | Nose Bridge Breadth | $7.14 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 7 | $\mathbf{7}$ | rs17640804 | Nose Wing Breadth | $\mathbf{8 . 8 5 E - 0 9}$ |
| 8 | 6 | rs1284964 | Nose Bridge Breadth | $9.40 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 9 | 4 | rs10517589 | Columella Inclination | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 10 | 6 | rs12529907 | Nose Bridge Breadth | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 11 | 7 | rs846312 | Nose Wing Breadth | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 12 | 4 | rs10029359 | Columella Inclination | $2.40 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 13 | 4 | rs12651681 | Columella Inclination | $2.47 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 14 | 6 | rs35565233 | Nose Bridge Breadth | $2.73 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 15 | 4 | rs12506449 | Columella Inclination | $6.57 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 16 | 4 | rs6821649 | Columella Inclination | $7.90 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 17 | 6 | rs12528232 | Nose Bridge Breadth | $8.71 \mathrm{E}-08$ |

Table 3.14. False Discovery Rate multiple testing correction. False Discovery Rate (FDR) method of multiple testing correction ${ }^{305}$ was applied to the combined set of all 14 categorical trait GWAS P-values. The FDR method controls the overall Type I error rate (false rejection of true null hypotheses) at a specified level 0.05 , while not being as overly conservative as the Bonferroni correction method. The Benjamini-Hochberg procedure for FDR was applied, giving a significance threshold of 9.05E-08 for all tests. Using this procedure, 17 SNPs from 4 regions were significant, corresponding to the same reported associated regions in Table 3.13. The FDR results are presented below, with the four index SNPs from Table 3.14 highlighted in bold (Table 3.15).

We examined association for each index SNP (the variant with the lowest $P$ value in a chromosomal region, Table 3.13) in all countries sampled separately and combined results as a meta-analysis using METAL (Table 3.16) ${ }^{299}$. For all associations, significant effects were in the same direction in all countries (Table 3.16), the variability of effect size across countries reflecting sample size (Figure 3.13). There was no significant effect size heterogeneity across countries for any of the associations.

| Region | SNP | Allele | Trait | $\boldsymbol{P}$ value | Beta | S.E. | Q Stat. $\boldsymbol{P}$ value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 q 31 | rs12644248 | G | Columella Inclination | $1.92 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.0741 | 0.0142 | 0.3139 |
| 6p21 | rs1852985 | T | Nose Bridge Breadth | $5.64 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 0.0658 | 0.0110 | 0.1238 |
| 7 p 13 | rs17640804 | C | Nose Wing Breadth | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 0.0612 | 0.0104 | 0.9355 |
| 20 p 11 | rs927833 | T | Nose Wing Breadth | $5.84 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 0.0831 | 0.0121 | 0.7114 |

Table 3.15. Overall meta-analysis P-values.

| SNP | Trait | Composite $\boldsymbol{P}$ <br> value <br> $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{5 9 5 8})$ | Colombia | Brazil | Chile | Mexico | Peru |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 3 0 3})$ | $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{6 0 8})$ | $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 6 5 1})$ | $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 1 6 5})$ | $(\mathbf{n = 1 2 3 1 )}$ |  |  |  |
| rs12644248 | Columella Inclination | $1.92 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $6.16 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.87 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.66 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| rs1852985 | Nose Bridge Breadth | $5.64 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $2.55 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.78 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.63 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.26 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| rs17640804 | Nose Wing Breadth | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.22 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.62 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.39 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $8.88 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs927833 | Nose Wing Breadth | $5.84 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $8.96 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.86 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.52 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.39 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-04$ |

Table 3.16. Country-wise breakdown of $P$-values.


Figure 3.13. Effect sizes (regression coefficients) for the derived allele at index SNPs in the genome regions associated with ordinal face traits. (a) 4 q 31 rs 12644248 , (b) 6 p 21 rs1852985, (c) 7 p 13 rs 17640804 , (d) 20 p 11 rs 927833 . Estimates obtained in each country are shown as blue boxes. Red boxes indicate estimates obtained in the meta-analysis. Box size is proportional to sample size. Horizontal bars indicate confidence intervals representing 2 x standard errors. Intervals that include zero (that is, non-significant effects) are shown in light blue.

In order to exploit the correlations observed between various facial traits a multivariate GWAS was performed ${ }^{306}$, but this approach did not identify any additional associated regions (Table 3.17). Multivariate regression analysis, an extension of the single-trait regression performed in GWAS, was performed to check if correlations between traits can lead to new or stronger genetic signals. The regression analysis was performed for each SNP to test for association with all traits combined while adjusting for covariates age, sex, BMI and genetic PCs. The method provides a regression coefficient for each trait, and the vector of coefficients is tested jointly using the Wald test ${ }^{307}$ to check if it deviates significantly from a zero vector. The test employed here is nearly identical to the Wald test performed in the multivariate linear regression model analysis used in Zhou and Stephens (2014) ${ }^{308}$, the only difference being in the use of genetic PCs instead of genetic kinship matrix; the difference is minor since the PCs are top eigenvectors of the kinship matrix.
$P$-values from this test that exceed the suggestive significance threshold of $10^{-5}$ are reported below, with the four index SNPs from Table 3.13 highlighted in bold. No new gene regions were found to be significantly associated. This is expected in cases when the correlation between traits is relatively low and effects of SNPs are not shared across phenotypes ${ }^{309}$.

| Chromosome | SNP | Position | Nearest gene | Wald $P$ value | $-\log _{10}(\mathbf{P})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | rs9995821 | 154828366 | DCHS2 | $1.97 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 6.70 |
| 4 | rs12644248 | 155235392 | DCHS2 | $5.42 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 5.27 |
| 6 | rs542444 | 44800015 | SUPT3H | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 7.75 |
| 6 | rs12528232 | 44982593 | SUPT3H | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 7.81 |
| 6 | rs1285007 | 45102025 | SUPT3H | $5.34 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 8.27 |
| 6 | rs1285029 | 45122247 | SUPT3H | 8.87E-09 | 8.05 |
| 6 | rs1284964 | 45176540 | SUPT3H | $9.39 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 8.03 |
| 6 | rs6458432 | 45205017 | SUPT3H | $5.98 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 8.22 |
| 6 | rs6458435 | 45222674 | SUPT3H | $5.96 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 8.22 |
| 6 | rs12529907 | 45255230 | SUPT3H | $1.39 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 7.86 |
| 6 | rs1852985 | 45329656 | SUPT3H\| RUNX2 | $8.65 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 9.06 |
| 6 | rs35565233 | 45349497 | RUNX2 | $2.76 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 7.56 |
| 7 | rs846312 | 42128896 | GLI3 | $2.88 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 6.54 |
| 7 | rs17640804 | 42131390 | GLI3 | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 6.59 |
| 20 | rs927833 | 22041577 | PAX1 | $1.77 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 7.75 |

Table 3.17. Multivariate association analysis combining all traits. P-values that exceed the suggestive significance threshold of $10^{-5}$. Four index SNPs from Table 3.13 are highlighted in bold. There were no new genomics regions showing significant associations.

### 3.3.7 Follow-up analyses

After the GWAS described above, data from an additional set was obtained from 501 individuals from the same countries as for the GWAS and used as a replication sample
(descriptive features of this sample are presented in Figure 3.14 and Figure 3.15). These individuals were phenotyped and genotyped as for the GWAS sample. Association tests for the four index SNPs in Table 3.13 were performed using the same regression model as for the discovery GWAS, with a Bonferroni-adjusted threshold for significance of $0.05 / 4=0.0125$. All tests were found to be significant in this replication sample (Table 3.13).


Figure 3.14. Frequency distribution of categorical phenotypes in the replication sample.


Figure 3.15. Individual ancestry in the replication sample. Three main continental ancestries: European, African and Amerindian by country.

The ordinal facial trait GWAS were also followed-up by obtaining facial measurements (distances and angles) related to the ordinal traits initially examined and performing a GWAS on these quantitative data. These measurements were obtained mainly using 3D anatomical landmark coordinates available for 2,955 of the
individuals included in the ordinal trait GWAS ${ }^{274}$ (Figure 3.3). These landmarks allowed to define quantitative proxies for seven of the ordinal facial traits, the other traits having no appropriate 3D landmarks allowing related measurements to be obtained (Table 3.18).

| Face section | Trait | Quantitative definition ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Upper | Forehead profile | - |
|  | Brow ridge protrusion | - |
|  | Nasion position | Distance from landmark 18 to the mid- <br> point of a line joining landmarks 8 and 16 |
|  | Cheekbone protrusion | - |
|  | Nasal root breadth | - |
|  | Nose bridge breadth | - |
|  | Nose wing breadth | Distance between landmarks 20 and 22 |
|  | Nose profile | - |
|  | Nose protrusion | Distance of landmark 19 to a line joining <br> landmarks 18 and 21 |
|  | Nose tip shape | Angle between landmarks 18-19-21 |
|  | Columella inclination | Angle between landmarks 19-21-23 |
|  | Upper lip thickness | Distance between landmarks 23 and 26 |
|  | Lower lip thickness | Distance between landmarks 26 and 29 |
|  | Chin shape | - |
|  | Chin protrusion | Ratio of distance between landmarks 30- <br> 32 to distance between landmarks 29-32 |

Table 3.18. Measurements defined using 3D facial landmarks. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The quantitative definitions refer to coordinate numbers as shown in Figure 3.3. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{A}$ dash ( $(-)$ indicates that a measurement corresponding to this ordinal phenotype could not be defined based on the 3-D landmarks.

Since the ordinal assessment of nose root and bridge breadth produced genome-wide significant associations (but could not be measured with the 3D landmarks available), I carried out 2D landmarking of the frontal photographs of these 2,955 individuals and also obtained measurements for these two traits (Table 3.6 and Figure 3.4). In addition, we used the 3D landmark coordinates to obtain a measure of nasion position so as to evaluate in our sample the reported association of this feature with SNPs in the PAX3 gene region ${ }^{7,8}$.

The ordinal variables showed a moderate to high (and significant) correlation with the quantitative variables (all permutation $P$ values $<0.0005$; Table 3.19 and Figure 3.16). Correlation between ordinal and quantitative traits was strongest for nose wing breadth and lower lip thickness (both with $r=0.70$ ) and lowest for columella inclination ( $r=$ $0.16)$.

| Trait | Correlation | $P$ value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Nasal root breadth | 0.48 | $<0.0005$ |
| Nose bridge breadth | 0.37 | $<0.0005$ |
| Nose wing breadth | 0.70 | $<0.0005$ |
| Nose protrusion | 0.58 | $<0.0005$ |
| Nose tip angle | 0.17 | $<0.0005$ |
| Columella inclination | $-0.16^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $<0.0005$ |
| Upper lip thickness | 0.60 | $<0.0005$ |
| Lower lip thickness | 0.70 | $<0.0005$ |
| Chin protrusion | 0.58 | $<0.0005$ |

Table 3.19. Correlations between categorical and quantitative face traits. ${ }^{a}$ Correlation for Columella inclination is expected to be negative. Since the categorical trait is coded as 0-1-2 = up-straight-down, which corresponds to the columella angle being wide-moderate-narrow, hence it is negatively correlated with angle magnitude.


Figure 3.16. Correlation between ordinal Chin Protrusion and quantitative Chin Protrusion. This box-plot is showing the correlation between ordinal Chin Protrusion and quantitative Chin Protrusion as an example, remaining box plots are in Appendix A (Figures A. 14 - A.21.).

The pattern of correlation amongst quantitative traits was similar to that observed for the ordinal traits, as was the correlation between quantitative traits and covariates
(Table 3.20 and Table 3.21).

|  | NRB | NBB | NWB | NPR | NTA | CI | ULT | LLT | CP | NAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nasal root breadth |  | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | 0.008 | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | 0.729 | <0.0005 |
| Nose bridge breadth | 0.568 |  | <0.0005 | 0.005 | 0.002 | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | 0.02 | $<0.0005$ |
| Nose wing breadth | 0.213 | 0.389 |  | 0.011 | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | 0.034 | 0.053 | 0.005 | <0.0005 |
| Nose protrusion | -0.179 | -0.052 | -0.047 |  | <0.0005 | 0.053 | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | <0.0005 |
| Nose tip angle | 0.198 | 0.057 | -0.068 | -0.635 |  | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | <0.0005 |
| Columella inclination | -0.049 | -0.111 | -0.217 | -0.036 | 0.443 |  | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | 0.008 | <0.0005 |
| Upper lip thickness | 0.161 | 0.122 | 0.039 | -0.115 | 0.119 | -0.272 |  | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | <0.0005 |
| Lower lip thickness | 0.121 | 0.073 | -0.036 | -0.075 | 0.152 | -0.097 | 0.573 |  | <0.0005 | 0.001 |
| Chin protrusion | -0.006 | 0.043 | 0.052 | 0.081 | -0.126 | 0.049 | -0.366 | -0.499 |  | <0.0005 |
| Nasion position | -0.17 | -0.094 | -0.183 | 0.292 | -0.148 | 0.138 | -0.151 | -0.064 | 0.093 |  |

Table 3.20. Correlation for quantitative traits. Correlation values are presented in the lower left triangle, with corresponding permutation P values in the upper right triangle. Correlations with significant P values ( $<0.0006$, Bonferroni-adjusted threshold) and their corresponding P values are highlighted in bold.

|  | Middle |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Covariate | NAP | NRB | NBB | NWB | NPR | NTA | CI | ULT | LLT | CP |
| Sex | -0.35 | 0.28 | 0.06 | -0.11 | -0.06 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.13 | -0.08 |
| Age | 0.01 | -0.12 | -0.02 | 0.16 | 0.26 | -0.27 | 0.00 | -0.43 | -0.47 | 0.21 |
| BMI | -0.07 | -0.04 | -0.02 | 0.15 | -0.06 | -0.09 | -0.05 | -0.20 | -0.23 | 0.23 |
| African anc. | -0.06 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.19 | -0.03 | 0.06 | -0.05 | 0.17 | 0.19 | -0.07 |
| European Anc. | 0.38 | -0.12 | -0.14 | -0.24 | 0.36 | -0.19 | 0.19 | -0.22 | -0.07 | 0.20 |
| American anc. | -0.32 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.17 | -0.33 | 0.16 | -0.16 | 0.15 | 0.01 | -0.17 |

Table 3.21a. Correlation between quantitative face traits and sex, age and ancestry.

|  | Middle |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Covariate | NAP | NRB | NBB | NWB | NPR | NTA | CI | ULT | LLT | CP |
| Sex | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | 2.E-03 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | 9.E-01 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 |
| Age | 6.E-01 | <5E-4 | 2.E-01 | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | 9.E-01 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 |
| BMI | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 4.E-02 | 4.E-01 | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | 2.E-03 | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | <5E-4 | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| African anc. | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | 8.E-02 | 7.E-04 | 9.E-03 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 |
| European Anc. | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | <5E-4 | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | <5E-4 | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | <5E-4 | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| American anc. | <5E-4 | 4.E-03 | <5E-4 | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ | <5E-4 | 6.E-01 | $<5 \mathrm{E}-4$ |

Table 3.21b. Correlation between quantitative face traits and covariates corresponding $P$ - values.

As expected for continuous variables, heritability estimates based on the quantitative phenotypes (Table 3.22) are higher than obtained for the ordinal phenotypes and more in line with published estimates ${ }^{28,51,304}$.

| Face region | Trait | Heritability | S.E. | $\boldsymbol{P}$ value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-face | Nasion Position | 0.80 | 0.10 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Nasal root breadth | 0.80 | 0.09 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Nose bridge breadth | 0.89 | 0.09 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Nose wing breadth | 0.90 | 0.10 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Nose protrusion | 0.84 | 0.10 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Nose tip shape | 0.67 | 0.10 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Columella inclination | 0.77 | 0.11 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Lower face | Upper lip thickness | 0.63 | 0.10 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | Lower lip thickness | 0.46 | 0.10 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
|  | Chin protrusion | 0.45 | 0.09 | $0.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

Table 3.22. Heritability of quantitative facial traits. It was moderate and significant for all quantitative traits. The lowest value was for Chin protrusion ( 0.45 ) and the highest heritability was Nose wing breadth ( 0.90 ) among ordinal facial features.

As before, a GWAS for the quantitative traits was performed using an additive multivariate regression model adjusting for age, sex, BMI and the first five PCs. Previous associations were replicated, nasion position with SNPs in 2q35 overlapping the PAX3 gene region, with strongest association seen for rs7559271 ( $P$ value of $4 \times 10^{-}$ ${ }^{11}$, Figure 3.12, Table 3.23, Figure 3.17). This is the same SNP producing strongest association in the Paternoster et al. (2012) GWAS ${ }^{8}$.


Figure 3.17. Replicated regional association plot in $\mathbf{2 q} 35$ and nasion position. This plot was produced in Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

In addition, genome-wide significant association was observed for six of the nine quantitative proxies of the ordinal traits initially examined (Figure 3.12 and Table 3.23). As for the ordinal assessments, the quantitative analysis of columella inclination, nose bridge breadth and nose wing breadth produced genome-wide significant associations with SNPs in $4 \mathrm{q} 31,6 \mathrm{p} 21$ and 7 p 13 , respectively (Figure 3.12, Table 3.13 and Table 3.23). In addition, the $4 q 31$ region also showed genome-wide significant association with two other measurements related to nose morphology: nose protrusion and nose tip angle, with strongest $P$ values for SNPs rs2045323 of $1 \times 10^{-8}$ and $2 \times 10^{-8}$, respectively. SNPs in 4 q 31 produced small but not genome-wide significant $P$ values in the ordinal assessment of nose protrusion and nose tip angle (strongest $P$ values of $4 \times 10^{-4}$ and $3 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively). The 20 p 11 region, showing genome-wide significant association in the ordinal assessment of nose wing breadth, showed genome-wide suggestive association in the quantitative trait GWAS (strongest $P$ value of $6 \times 10^{-7}$ for SNP rs927833). Other than reproducing the associations detected with ordinal traits, the quantitative analyses detected a genome-wide significant association with chin protrusion for markers in 2q12 (strongest $P$ value of $4 \times 10^{-10}$, for rs3827760; Figure 3.12 and Table 3.23). This marker had an association $P$-value of $1 \times 10^{-4}$ in the ordinal assessment of chin protrusion.
A regression model similar to the one used in the GWAS analyses explains up to $\sim 30 \%$ of the phenotypic variation for the traits with significant SNP associations, with each of the associated SNPs explaining about $1 \%$ of variation in the trait (Table 3.13, Table 3.23 and Table 3.24). The estimates of trait variance explained by associated SNPs are similar to those calculated for other anthropometric traits and are very close to the estimates obtained in a previous GWAS for facial features ${ }^{8}$

| Chr. region | Index SNP | Associated <br> Trait | $P$ <br> value | Candidate gene ${ }^{1}$ | Alleles ${ }^{2}$ | Effect <br> size | \% Variance explained |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2q12 | rs3827760 | Chin protrusion | $4 \times 10^{-10}$ | EDAR | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $-7.60 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.32 |
| 2q35 | rs7559271 | Nasion position | $4 \times 10^{-11}$ | PAX3 | A>G | $8.20 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1.33 |
| 4q31 | rs2045323 | Columella inclination ${ }^{3}$ | $3 \times 10^{-9}$ | DCHS2 | G>A | $1.80 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0.63 |
| 4 q 31 | rs2045323 | Nose protrusion | $1 \times 10^{-8}$ | DCHS2 | $\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ | $-5.90 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.95 |
| 4q31 | rs2045323 | Nose tip angle | $2 \times 10^{-8}$ | DCHS2 | $\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ | $1.60 \times 10^{-2}$ | 1.08 |
| 6 p 21 | rs1852985 | Nose bridge breadth | $2 \times 10^{-8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUPT3H/ } \\ & \text { RUNX2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | $4.40 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.18 |
| 7p13 | rs17640804 | Nose wing breadth | $5 \times 10^{-10}$ | GLI3 | C> T | $-4.90 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.15 |

## Table 3.23. Properties of index SNPs in regions showing genome-wide significant association to quantitative facial traits.

${ }^{1}$ For intragenic SNPs, gene names are shown in bold.
${ }^{2}$ Derived alleles are shown after ancestral alleles.
${ }^{3}$ Columella inclination was measured as an angle which decreases at greater ordinal columella inclination are of opposite sign. rs12644248 (Table 3.13, Table 3.4 and Table 3.5), the index SNP associated with categorical columella inclination, has a $P$ value of $4 \times 10^{-8}$ for association with the quantitative assessment of columella inclination.

|  | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ explained by (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trait | Covariates rs3827760 rs7559271 rs2045323 rs12644248 rs1852985 rs17640804 rs927833 All SNPs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forehead profile | 23.60 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.29 |
| Brow ridge protrusion | 39.81 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 |
| Cheekbone protrusion | 8.75 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.09 |
| Nasal root breadth | 5.84 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.45 |
| Nose bridge breadth | 7.08 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.09 | $\mathbf{0 . 7 1}$ | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.92 |
| Nose wing breadth | 9.61 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.01 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 6}$ | 1.53 |
| Nose profile | 4.77 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.26 |
| Nose protrusion | 1.93 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.27 |
| Nose tip shape | 11.57 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.11 |
| Columella inclination | 5.29 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.44 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 9}$ | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.86 |
| Upper lip thickness | 12.09 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.51 |
| Lower lip thickness | 9.61 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.41 |
| Chin shape | 2.77 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.14 |
| Chin protrusion | 4.83 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.36 |

Table 3.24. Ordinal facial traits. For all traits, it was calculated the fraction of trait variance explained by the covariates and by all index SNPs. A similar regression model to that used in the GWAS was used to estimate the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ of the model (representing the fraction of the variance of the trait explained by all regressors). The following models were applied:

Model 1: Trait $\sim$ age + sex + BMI + PC1 ... PC5
Model 2: Trait $\sim$ age + sex + BMI + PC1 ... PC5 + index $S N P$
Model 3: Trait $\sim$ age + sex + BMI + PC1 ... PC5 + all index SNPs
Model 2 was applied separately for each index SNP.
From models 2 and 3, the fraction of trait variance explained by the covariates (i.e. Model 1) was subtracted to get the additional contribution of the $\operatorname{SNP}(s)$.
SNPs showing genome-wide significant associations to a trait are highlighted in bold.

To assess independent evidence of association for the regions implicated here we examined SNPs that produced at least genome-wide suggestive $P$ values in the two GWAS for facial features that have been published ${ }^{7,8}$. We found that SNP rs2108166 in high LD $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.77, \mathrm{D}^{\prime}=1\right)$ with the index SNP of the 7 p 13 region was associated with nose wing breadth (rs17640804), produced an association $P$ value of $5 \times 10^{-7}$ with the same trait in the study of Liu et al. (2012) ${ }^{7}$. In addition, evidence of association between rs3827760 and chin shape has been reported in a candidate gene study of a Central Asian population ${ }^{287}$.

It has been suggested that gene regions associated with non-syndromic cleft lip and palate might impact on normal variation in facial morphology ${ }^{7,311}$. Although the regions reported to be associated with NSCL/P do not overlap with those identified here, index SNPs in each NSCL/P region were selected and tested for association of these SNPs with the ordinal and quantitative facial traits examined here (Table 3.25
and 3.26). Few tests survived Bonferroni correction, mostly involving SNPs associated with quantitative nose-breadth traits (nose root, nose bridge and nose wing breadth; Table 3.26). A global one-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test was significant both for ordinal and quantitative traits ( $P$ value $\sim 10^{-3}$; Figure 3.18 and 3.19 ) and a polygenic risk score test combining all 15 index SNPs was significant for the nose-breadth traits (Table 3.27 and 3.28).

| Region | Chr. | Gene | SNP | Strongest associated trait | $\boldsymbol{m i n} \mathbf{P}$ | min P (adjusted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1p36.13 | PAX7 | rs560426 ${ }^{1,3}$ | Nasal root breadth | 0.0275 | 0.3846 |
| 2 | 1 p 22.1 | ABCA4 | rs742071 ${ }^{1,2}$ | Nose wing breadth | 0.0285 | 0.3983 |
| 3 | 1 q 32.2 | IRF6 | rs22353714,6 | Brow ridge protrusion | 0.0019 | 0.0269 |
| 4 | 2p21 | THADA | rs7590268 ${ }^{1,7}$ | Nose protrusion | 0.0744 | 1.0000 |
| 5 | 3 p 11.1 | EPHA3 | rs7632427 ${ }^{1}$ | Nose protrusion | 0.0342 | 0.4792 |
| 6 | 3 p 12.3 | COL8Al/FILIPIL | rs793464 ${ }^{2}$ | Nose wing breadth | 0.0281 | 0.3933 |
| 7 | 8 q 21.3 | DCAF4L2 | rs $12543318^{1}$ | Brow ridge protrusion | 0.0287 | 0.4012 |
| 8 | 8 q 24.21 | -- | rs987525 ${ }^{1,3,8,9}$ | Nose protrusion | 0.0727 | 1.0000 |
| 9 | 10q25.3 | VAXI | rs7078160 ${ }^{1,6,7}$ | Nose bridge breadth | 0.0316 | 0.4425 |
| 10 | 13q31.1 | SPRY2 | rs8001641 ${ }^{1}$ | Nasal root breadth | 0.0361 | 0.5051 |
| 11 | 15 q 22 | TMP1 | rs 1873147 ${ }^{1}$ | Chin shape | 0.0416 | 0.5817 |
| 12 | 16p13.3 | ADCY9 | rs8049367 ${ }^{6}$ | Nose wing breadth | 0.0122 | 0.1708 |
| 13 | 17p13.1 | NTN1 | rs $479177^{6}$ | Nose protrusion | 0.0207 | 0.2901 |
| 14 | 17q22 | NOG | rs227731 ${ }^{1,7}$ | Chin protrusion | 0.0131 | 0.1838 |
| 15 | 20q12 | MAFB | rs13041247 ${ }^{13,6}$ | Nasal root breadth | 0.0335 | 0.4693 |

Table 3.25. Testing for association of NSCL/P loci with the ordinal facial traits assessed here. The smallest P value for each SNP across ordinal traits is presented in this table, in addition to the Bonferroni-corrected (adjusted by the number of traits) P value ${ }^{312-320}$.

| Region | Chr. | Gene | SNP | Trait | $\min P$ | $\min P$ (adjusted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1p36.13 | PAX7 | rs560426 ${ }^{1,3}$ | Nose wing breadth | 0.00082 | 0.00816 |
| 2 | 1p22.1 | ABCA4 | rs742071 ${ }^{1,2}$ | Nasion position | 0.08715 | 0.87150 |
| 3 | 1 q 32.2 | IRF6 | rs $2235371{ }^{4,6}$ | Upper lip thickness | 0.00661 | 0.06612 |
| 4 | 2p21 | THADA | rs7590268 ${ }^{1,7}$ | Nose bridge breadth | 0.02832 | 0.28320 |
| 5 | 3p11.1 | EPHA3 | rs7632427 ${ }^{1}$ | Chin protrusion | 0.10080 | 1.00000 |
| 6 | 3p12.3 | COL8A1/FILIP1L | rs793464 ${ }^{2}$ | Lower lip thickness | 0.02898 | 0.28980 |
| 7 | 8q21.3 | DCAF4L2 | rs $12543318{ }^{1}$ | Columella inclination | 0.01585 | 0.15850 |
| 8 | 8 q 24.21 | -- | rs987525 ${ }^{1,3,8,9}$ | Nose wing breadth | 0.05817 | 0.58170 |
| 9 | 10q25.3 | VAX1 | rs7078160 ${ }^{1,6,7}$ | Nasal root breadth | 0.24310 | 1.00000 |
| 10 | 13q31.1 | SPRY2 | rs8001641 ${ }^{1}$ | Nasion position | 0.23940 | 1.00000 |
| 11 | 15q22 | TMP1 | rs1873147 ${ }^{1}$ | Nasal root breadth | 0.00089 | 0.00889 |
| 12 | 16p13.3 | ADCY9 | rs8049367 ${ }^{6}$ | Nasal root breadth | 0.02382 | 0.23820 |
| 13 | 17p13.1 | NTN1 | rs479177 ${ }^{6}$ | Columella inclination | 0.03354 | 0.33540 |
| 14 | 17q22 | NOG | rs227731 ${ }^{1,7}$ | Nasion position | 0.02255 | 0.22550 |
| 15 | 20q12 | MAFB | rs13041247 ${ }^{1,3,6}$ | Nasal root breadth | 0.00002 | 0.00017 |

Table 3.26. Testing for association of NSCL/P loci with the quantitative facial traits assessed here. The smallest P value for each SNP across quantitative traits is presented in this table, in addition to the Bonferroni-corrected (adjusted by the number of traits) P value.

Combining all SNPs and all traits, a one-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for deviation from the null hypothesis of no association was significant both for ordinal and for quantitative face traits. For both types of traits, a plot of the empirical cumulative distribution function (eCDF, in blue) of all individual P -values is shown next to the expected CDF (in purple) of P-values under the null of no association (Figure 3.18 and 3.19).


Figure 3.18. Kolmorov-Smirnov test for ordinal facial traits. The one-sided KolmogorovSmirnov test had a P-value of 0.0011 .


Figure 3.19.Kolmorov-Smirnov test for quantitative facial traits.The one-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test had a P-value of 0.0046 .

After regressing each SNP with a trait (controlling for covariates), regression coefficients from the 15 SNPs were combined into a composite Polygenic Risk Score (PRS). Each trait was then regressed against their corresponding PRS (controlling for covariates). The P-value from this regression is referred to below as the unadjusted P value. Since the second regression uses a PRS score that combines the individual bestfit regression coefficients from the first regression, using this PRS score as a single variable in the same regression model results in overfitting. As a remedy, 2,000 simulations were performed for each trait, in which phenotypes were randomized across genotypes of each SNP (to maintain the same genotype distribution but under the null of no association with the phenotypes). These simulated genotypes and phenotypes were used to calculate PRSs and obtain an empirical distribution of the PRS test statistic. For each trait, the observed PRS test statistic was compared to this empirical distribution to obtain an 'adjusted' P -value (Table 3.27 and 3.28).

| Trait | Unadjusted P-value | Adjusted P-value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Forehead profile | $9.26 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.2310 |
| Brow ridge protrusion | $9.91 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.0790 |
| Cheekbone protrusion | $8.98 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.1985 |
| Nasal root breadth | $6.59 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.0115 |
| Nose bridge breadth | $5.91 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.1655 |
| Nose wing breadth | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.0070 |
| Nose profile | $3.44 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.3105 |
| Nose protrusion | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.0110 |
| Nose tip shape | $3.65 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.1195 |
| Columella inclination | $9.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.9635 |
| Upper lip thickness | $4.16 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.3485 |
| Lower lip thickness | $5.12 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.3520 |
| Chin shape | $4.73 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.1350 |
| Chin protrusion | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.0945 |

Table 3.27. Polygenic risk score for ordinal traits.

| Trait | Unadjusted P-value | Adjusted P-value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Nasal root breadth | $5.25 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $<0.0005$ |
| Nose bridge breadth | $1.15 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.0060 |
| Nose wing breadth | $3.24 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.0365 |
| Nose protrusion | $1.97 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.5125 |
| Nose tip shape | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.8110 |
| Columella inclination | $1.88 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.2420 |
| Upper lip thickness | $4.22 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.3315 |
| Lower lip thickness | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.6015 |
| Chin protrusion | $3.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.5925 |
| Nasion Position | $4.22 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.3420 |

Table 3.28. Polygenic risk score for quantitative traits.

### 3.3.8 Candidate genes in regions associated with facial morphology

Chin protrusion showed significant genome-wide association with several SNPs in the region 2 q 13 , the index SNP was $\mathrm{rs} 3827760\left(4 \times 10^{-10}\right)$, which is situated in the Ectodysplasin A receptor gene (EDAR, Figure 3.20a). Genome-wide scans detected a mutation in this variant (V370A), which has been suggested to have been positively selected in East-Asian populations $\sim 30.000$ years ago ${ }^{321,322}$. Interestingly, mutations in the EDA pathway, which is comprised of three genes, EDA-EDAR-EDARADD, cause Hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia (HED) ${ }^{323-326}$. HED is a disease characterized by being born with a lower number of sweat glands, oligodontia, decrease in the amount of hair and facial dysmorphia, such as frontal prominence, growth modification of the cranial base and more protrusive chin and mandible ${ }^{323,327}$. By contrast, the V370A mutation acts as a protector allele in people with this disease ${ }^{328}$
and it has been demonstrated in vitro that the derived allele (EDAR370A) produces stronger signalling than the ancestral allele ${ }^{329,330}$, individuals who carry this allele have thicker hair and shovel shape incisors ${ }^{211,322,328}$. In this study, it was found that the effect of EDAR370A produces chin less protruded contrary to HED.


Figure 3.20. Genomic regions showing genome-wide significant association with face traits. For each facial feature, we show the results that achieved strongest statistical significance regardless of the type of variable analysed (ordinal, O; or quantitative, Q). (a) 2 q 12 (Q), (b) $4 \mathrm{q} 31(\mathrm{O})$, (c) $4 \mathrm{q} 31(\mathrm{Q})$, (d) 6 p 21 (O), (e) 7p13(Q), (f) 20 p 11 (O). Association results (on a $\quad \log 10 \mathrm{P}$ scale; left y-axis) are shown for SNP 500 kb on either side of the index SNP (purple diamond; Table 3.13 and Table 3.23) with the marker (dot) colour indicating the strength of LD (r2) between the index SNP and that SNP in the 1000 genomes AMR data set. Local recombination rate in the AMR data is shown as a continuous blue line (scale on the right $y$-axis). Genes in each region, their intron-exon structure, direction of transcription and genomic coordinates (in Mb, using the NCBI human genome sequence, Build 37, as reference) are shown at the bottom. Plots were produced with LocusZoom ${ }^{310}$. Below each region we also show an LD heatmap (using r , ranging from red indicating $\mathrm{r}^{2}=1$ to white indicating $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0$ ) produced using a MATLAB ${ }^{290}$ implementation similar to Haploview ${ }^{331}$.

To perform functional replication of the effect of $E D A R$ seen on chin protrusion, lower jaw protrusion was examined, as a proxy to chin protrusion in Edar mouse mutants. In addition to wild-type mice, $E d a r^{d l J}$ and $E d a r^{T g 951}$ mouse lines were examined, which have a loss and a gain of Edar function respectively (Section 3.2.7). Lower jaw protrusion was measured from landmarking of side photographs (Figure 3.9 and Figure 3.10) of 14-15 days old mice for four genotype groups: Edar ${ }^{\text {dIJdlJ }}$ mutant and heterozygous Edar ${ }^{d l J /+}$ littermates, wild type mice and Edar ${ }^{T g 951}$ transgenic mice, arranged according to increasing Edar expression. A multivariate linear regression of lower jaw protrusion on genotype, age and sex provided strongly significant association with genotype, with jaw protrusion becoming lower with increased Edar expression, replicating the direction of $E D A R$ effect seen in CANDELA samples (Figure 3.21 and Table 3.29).


Figure 3.21. Effect of Edar genotype on mouse mandible length. The boxplots show the mandible length (y-axis) in mice with different Edar genotypes (X-axis). The measure of mandible length shown is the projected distance between head landmarks 5 and 10 (Figure 3.9 and Figure 3.10). Boxplot whiskers extend to data points within 1.5 times the interquartile range on both sides. The numbers in parenthesis below genotypic categories refer to the number of mice examined for each genotype.
$P$ values and regression coefficients (beta) for the linear regression between mandible length (as a proportion of head size) and Edar genotype are given below (controlling for age and sex). For each landmark on the mandible (5-7, Figure 3.9) two types of distances were measured - direct and projected - with reference to landmark 10 (Figure 3.9 and Figure 3.10). These distances were divided by head size to convert into a proportion (Table 3.29). All the P values were significant, suggesting that overall
mandible length is affected by Edar genotype. The negative beta implies that mandible length becomes shorter with increased Edar function, consistent with the effect we see in humans.

| Type | Distance | beta | $P$ value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Projected | $5-10$ | -0.01916 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Projected | $6-10$ | -0.01543 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| Projected | $7-10$ | -0.01809 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Direct | $5-10$ | -0.01680 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| Direct | $6-10$ | -0.01255 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| Direct | $7-10$ | -0.01136 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ |

Table 3.29. Effect of Edar genotype on mouse mandible length. Regression analysis indicates a significant effect of Edar genotype on mandible length ( P value $1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ ). All the P values were significant, suggesting that overall mandible length is affected by Edar genotype. The negative beta implies that mandible length becomes shorter with increased Edar function, consistent with the effect we see in humans.

SNPs in the 4 q 31 region with $P$ values above the suggestive association threshold in the ordinal trait assessment of columella inclination extend over $\sim 400 \mathrm{~Kb}$ from the 3 ' half of the Dachsous Cadherin-Related 2 gene (DCHS2) into the DCHS2-SFRP2 (Secreted Frizzled-related protein 2) intergenic region (Figure 3.20 b and Table 3.23), with strongest association seen for SNP rs12644248 within DCHS2 ( $P$ value $7 \times 10^{-9}$ ). Noticeably, although association analyses based on the quantitative assessment of columella inclination also show genome-wide significant association for rs12644248 ( $P$ value of $4 \times 10^{-8}$ ), the quantitative analyses show that SNPs in the DCHS2-SFRP2 intergenic region have an even stronger association, peaking at rs2045323 ( $P$ value of $3 \times 10^{-9}$, Table 3.23, Figure 3.20). A similar pattern of association is seen for the quantitative assessments of nose protrusion and nose tip angle, with strongest association for both traits being observed for rs2045323 ( $P$ values of $1 \times 10^{-8}$ and $2 \times 10^{-}$ ${ }^{8}$ respectively, Table 3.23 , Figure 3.22 a and b), association with rs 12644248 only exceeding the genome-wide suggestive threshold (respective $P$ values of $8 \times 10^{-6}$ and of $6 \times 10^{-6}$ for nose protrusion and nose tip angle).


Figure 3.22a: 4q31 and quantitative assessment of nose protrusion. This plot was produced in Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.


Figure 3.22b: 4q31 and quantitative assessment of nose tip angle. This plot was produced in Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

As seen in Figure 3.20 and Figure 3.22 a and b , the 4 q 31 region shows two peaks of association for measurements of columella inclination, nose protrusion and nose tip angle. Strongest association at one peak occurs at SNP rs2045323 in the DCHS2SFRP2 intergenic region. The second peak has strongest association at rs 12644248 in the DCHS2 gene. This is the only genome-wide significant association peak for the
ordinal assessment of columella inclination (Table 3.13, Figure 3.20). To evaluate the independence of these two signals we performed regional association test conditioned on rs12644248 or on rs2045323 on the quantitative nose traits. Columella inclination, nose protrusion and nose tip angle all showed the same behaviour. Below I present the plots for nose protrusion, those for the other two traits being very similar. SNP rs2045323 is not in strong LD with rs12644248 and tests conditioned on either SNP attenuate the signal of association at the other SNP but do not abolish it entirely (Figure 3.23 a and b ). These observations suggest that the signal of association around rs2045323 in the DCHS2-SFRP2 intergenic region is somewhat independent from that peaking at rs 12644248 within $D C H S 2$.


Figure 3.23a: Regional association plot for nose protrusion conditioned on rs12644248. This plot was produced in Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.


Figure 3.23b: Regional association plot for nose protrusion conditioned on rs2045323. This plot was produced in Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

Intergenic SNP rs2045323 is in an evolutionarily conserved region (Figure 3.26), suggesting that this SNP could play a role in the regulation of genes in the region.

The 6 p 21.1 region associated with nose bridge breadth extends across $\sim 500 \mathrm{~KB}$ overlapping the suppressor of Ty 3 homolog (S. cerevisiae) (SUPT3H) gene and the 5' half of the Runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX2) gene (Figure 3.20 and Figure 3.25). Strongest association is seen for SNPs in the region of $S U P T 3 H / R U N X 2$ overlap, peaking at SNP rs1852985 for both the ordinal and the quantitative assessment of nose bridge breadth (Figure 3.20 and Figure 3.24).


Figure 3.24. Regional association plot for $4 q 31$ and quantitative assessment of nose bridge breadth. This plot was produced in Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

Chr 6p21.1-21.3


Figure 3.25. SNPs in 6p21 associated with nose bridge breadth and regulatory elements in the SUPT3H/RUNX2 gene region. The top panel shows $-\log _{10}$ association P values (dashed lines indicate the genome-wide significance threshold of 7.3 , and a suggestive threshold of 5). The bottom panel shows the location of exons/introns and of various regulatory elements. Genome annotations were obtained from the Ensembl Genome Bioinformatics database (build GrCh37). Regulatory annotations supported by experimental evidence ${ }^{332-339}$ are indicated by black dots.


Figure 3.26. Sequence conservation around rs2045323 in the DCHS2-SFRP2 intergenic region. A UCSC genome-browser screenshot from the (GRCh37/hg 19) Assembly for the DCHS2-SFRP2 intergenic region around rs2045323 (highlighted in black at the bottom), showing enriched values of various conservation scores including GERP.

SNPs in 7p13 region showed strong genome-wide association with nose wing breadth. This region corresponds to GLI Family Zinc Finger 3 gene (GLI3; Figure 3.2020 and Figure 3.27), which is composed of fifteen exons ${ }^{340}$, within the third exon is positioned the index SNP rs17640804 (Table 3.13 and Table 3.23, Figure 3.12), located in a genomic region with strong evolutionary conservation (Figure 3.28).


Figure 3.27. Regional association plot for $\mathbf{7 p 1 3}$ and ordinal assessment of nose wing breadth. This plot was produced in Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.


Figure 3.28. Strong sequence conservation around rs17640804 in GLI3. A UCSC genomebrowser screen shot from the (GRCh37/hg19) Assembly for the GLI3 region around rs17640804 (highlighted in black at the bottom), showing enriched values of various conservation scores including GERP (Cropped view).

Interestingly, it has been shown experimentally that Gli3 interacts with Runx2 in the regulation of mouse osteoblast differentiation ${ }^{341}$. Therefore, statistical interaction between the GLI3 \& RUNX2 index SNPs was tested on nose bridge breadth and it was found to be significant ( $P$ value 0.004, Figure 3.29), even though the GLI3 index SNP by itself does not have a significant effect on nose bridge breadth.

```
CaII:
    1m(formula = Nose_Bridge_Breadth ~ age + BMI + SEX + PC1 + PC2 +
    PC3 + PC4 + PC5 + rs1852985 + rs17640804 + interaction,
    data = big)
    Coefficients:
    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(> |t|)
    (Intercept) 1.841654 0.063317 29.086 < 2e-16 %**
    age -0.002469 0.00126
    BMI 
    SEX -0.241279 0.014267 -16.911 < 2e-16 ***
    PC1 
    PC2 -4.352393 0.547472 -7.950 2.25e-15 %**
    PC3 -0.022513 0.522886 -0.043 0.965660
    PC4 0.148741 0.542587 0.274 0.783992
    PC5 -1.805611 0.533361 -3.385 0.000716 ***
    rs1852985 0.066973 0.010853 6.171 7.27e-10 ***
    rs17640804 0.016687
    interaction 0.041660 0.014320 2.909 0.003638 **
    Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '%*' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Figure 3.29. R regression output of interaction of GLI3 with RUNX2 and nose bridge breadth. The interaction term was highly significant, even though the GLI3 index SNP was not, providing support that the effect of GLI3 on nose bridge breadth is through interaction only.

Strongest association in 20p11 with the ordinal assessment of nose wing breadth was observed for SNP rs 927833 located in LOC100270679, a long intergenic non-protein coding RNA (LINC01432). There is substantial LD around this SNP and suggestive evidence of association (i.e. $P$ values $<10^{-5}$ ), for SNPs over a region of $\sim 400 \mathrm{~kb}$ extending to the Paired box gene 1 (PAXI; Figure 3.20), a strong candidate gene in this region.

It has also been reported that mouse embryos with Gli3 null mutations display drastically reduced Paxl expression, possibly mediated through Gli3's involvement in the Shh signalling pathway ${ }^{342}$. Consistent with these experimental findings, a significant statistical interaction is observed between the GLI3 and PAXI index SNPs on nose wing breadth ( $P$ value 0.005 , Figure 3.30 )

```
Cal1:
1m(formula = Nose_Wing_Breadth ~ age + BMI + SEX + PC1 + PC2 +
PC3 + PC4 + PC5 + rs927833 + rs17640804 + interaction,
data = big)
Coefficients:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Estimate Std. Error t value \(\operatorname{Pr}(>|\mathrm{t}|)\)} \\
\hline (Intercept) & 2.114152 & 0.067138 & 31.489 & < 2e-16 & *** \\
\hline PC1 & -4.761126 & 0.587589 & -8.103 & 6.49e-16 & *** \\
\hline PC2 & -8.774973 & 0.562619 & -15.597 & < 2e-16 & *** \\
\hline PC3 & 0.661149 & 0.556373 & 1.188 & 0.23476 & \\
\hline PC4 & 0.895311 & 0.576295 & 1.554 & 0.12034 & \\
\hline PC5 & -1.666798 & 0.564107 & -2.955 & 0.00314 & ** \\
\hline SEX & -0.213757 & 0.015171 & -14.090 & < 2e-16 & *** \\
\hline age & -0.001481 & 0.001342 & -1.104 & 0.26976 & \\
\hline BMI & 0.012685 & 0.002292 & 5.535 & 3.25e-08 & *** \\
\hline rs927833 & 0.077470 & 0.012282 & 6.308 & \(3.04 \mathrm{e}-10\) & \\
\hline rs17640804 & 0.064821 & 0.010621 & 6.103 & 1.11e-09 & \\
\hline interaction & 0.048300 & 0.017203 & 2.808 & 0.00501 & \\
\hline Signif. code & 0 ، \(\%\) \%* & . 001 '**' & 01 & 0.05 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Figure 3.30. R regression output of interaction of GLI3 with PAX1 and nose bridge breadth. The interaction effect between the GLI3 and PAX1 index SNPs (rs17640804 and rs927833 respectively) was significant, in addition to their main effects

### 3.4 Discussion

A genome-wide scan for 14 facial features phenotyped on an ordinal scale showed associations in four independent loci at $4 q 31$ (columella inclination), 6 p21 (nose bridge breadth), 7 p 13 and 20p11 (nose wing breadth), consisting of common DNA variants associated with normal facial shape phenotypes in $\sim 6,000$ Latin-Americans.

## False Discovery Rate and Meta-analysis

In addition to the previous results presented here, a False Discovery Rate analysis was performed, and the same associations were found. Combining the results from all the countries a meta-analysis was run, and for all the associations, the significant effects were in the same direction in all countries. A multivariate GWAS was performed to see if correlations between traits could lead to new or stronger associations, but as expected, due to the relatively low correlation between traits, there were no additional associations.

## Replication analysis

As follow-up analyses, a replication GWAS in a subsample of 501 Latin-Americans was done. This sample was genotyped and phenotyped (ordinal phenotyping) similarly to the sample from the discovery GWAS, and the associations found were the same. Subsequently, in a subsample of $\sim 3,000$ individuals, 9 quantitative traits related to 9 of the ordinal phenotypes were obtained, in addition to the measure of nasion position Quantitative analyses not only confirmed the ordinal-based associations, but also identified SNPs in 2q12 associated to chin protrusion and replicated the reported association of nasion position with SNPs in PAX3, previously found by Paternoster et al. (2012) ${ }^{8}$. Strongest association in 2q12, 4q31, 6 p 21 and 7 p 13 was observed for SNPs in the EDAR, DCHS2, RUNX2 and GLI3 genes, respectively. Associated SNPs in 20p11 extend to PAX1.

## Heritability

The heritability of quantitative traits was calculated and is higher than the heritability of ordinal traits, and more similar to published estimates (Table 1.1). The highest heritability was for nose wing breadth and the lowest heritability was for chin protrusion. In comparison to other studies heritability results varies across traits, upper lip thickness seems to be more similar among different studies ( $0.2-0.6$ ), this probably may depend on the phenotype used (Table 1.1).

## Association between previously reported SNPs and traits in this study

In order to assess independent evidence of association for the regions implicated in this study, SNPs that were at least suggestive in previously published GWAS were checked ${ }^{7,8}$. The SNP rs2108166, which is in high LD with the SNP rs 17640804 (7p13) associated to nose wing breadth, produced a suggestive association with the same trait in Liu et al. (2012) ${ }^{7}$. Also, rs3827760, located in the $E D A R$ gene, associated to chin protrusion, was reported in a previous study of a Central Asian population ${ }^{287}$.

## Association between Cleft-lip and palate and traits in this study

In these analyses, SNPs previously associated to NSCL/P were selected and tested for associations with the facial features assessed here. Few tests passed Bonferroni correction, mostly SNPs associated with quantitative nose-breadth traits (nose root, nose bridge and nose wing breadth). Subsequently, a Kolmorov-Smirnoff test was
significant for both ordinal and quantitative traits and polygenic risk score test combining all 15 index SNPs was significant for the nose breadth traits. Although these few traits related to the nose breadth were significant, similar to the found link between NSCL/P associated SNPs and normal face shape traits, specifically nose width, found by Liu et al. (2012) ${ }^{7}$. More precise evaluation of the impact of NSCL/P associated variants on facial variation in the general population is required.

### 3.4.1 Candidate regions associated with facial traits

## Chin protrusion

Chin protrusion showed significant association with several SNPs in region 2q13, the index SNP was rs3827760 (EDAR gene). Strikingly, this variant is a missense mutation (V370A), which was proposed to have been positively selected in East-Asian populations ${ }^{321}$. In addition, it is known that mutations in the EDA pathway, which is composed of the EDA-EDAR-EDARADD genes, cause Hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia (HED). This disease is characterized by several features, especially facial dysmorphia, within these, a more protrusive chin and mandible. By contrast, the V370A mutation acts as a protector allele in people with the disease. Here, it was found that the effect of EDAR370A generates chin less protruded, contrary to HED. Then, to perform functional replication of the effect of $E D A R$ seen on chin protrusion, lower jaw protrusion was assessed, as a proxy to chin protrusion in Edar mouse mutants. Interestingly, consistent with effect of EDAR on chin protrusion, we documented alterations of mandible length in mice with modified Edar funtion.

## Columella inclination

Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the 4 q 31 region extended $\sim 400 \mathrm{~Kb}$ between DCHS2 and SFRP2 were associated with nose shape traits, columella inclination, nose protrusion and nose tip angle. DCHS2 is a calcium-dependent cell-adhesion protein which has recently been shown to participate in a regulatory network controlling cartilage differentiation and polarity during vertebrate craniofacial development ${ }^{343}$. This network includes SOX9, a well-known regulator of cartilage differentiation, mutations of which lead in humans to Campomelic Dysplasia (OMIM \#114290) a disorder characterized by a range of craniofacial defects. Although DCHS2 seems the strongest candidate in the 4 q 31 region, $S F R P 2$ is also an interesting candidate in that it has been shown to be expressed in osteoblasts, participates in the regulation of Wnt
signalling ${ }^{344}$ and craniofacial malformations have been reported in Sfrp2 mutant mice ${ }^{345}$.

## Nose bridge breadth

The 6 p 21 region associated with nose bridge width falls in an overlapping region SUPT3H-RUNX2 genes, by both quantitative and ordinal traits. This region is known to contain key RUNX2 regulatory elements ${ }^{338}$ (Figure 3.25). Rare mutations in RUNX2 cause Cleidocranial dysplasia (CCD), an autosomal dominant disorder involving alterations of cranial ossification (OMIM \#119600). Runx2 has been shown to participate in the differentiation of mouse osteoblasts, chondrocyte and mesenchymal stem cells and bone development ${ }^{346}$, null Runx2 mutants show a range of chondrocyte proliferation and maturation defects ${ }^{347}$. Interestingly, the length of a functional glutamine/alanine repeat in RUNX2 has been shown to correlate strongly with the evolution of facial length in dog breeds and, more broadly, in Carnivora ${ }^{348}$.

## Nose wing breadth

Nose wing breadth showed association with SNPs in the GLI3 gene, situated in the 7 p13 region. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) with enhancer-associated protein P300 followed by high-density microarrays have shown that the mouse orthologous gene Gli3 is overexpressed in forebrain mice embryonic tissue ${ }^{349}$. GLI3 is known to act both as activator and repressor in the sonic hedgehog signalling pathway (SHH), a key regulatory pathway of chondrocyte differentiation ${ }^{350}$. Blockade of SHH signalling in the forebrain leads to a narrow and truncated face ${ }^{351,352}$. The index SNP rs 17640804 is, according to ChIP-Seq analysis from the Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium ${ }^{333,353}$, an active enhancer in Osteoblast primary cells, and is conserved according to both GERP and SiPhy conservation scores (Figure 3.28).

Mutations in GLI3 have been shown to cause several Mendelian disorders associated with craniofacial and limb abnormalities, including Greig cephalopolysyndactyly syndrome (GCPS), Pallister-Hall syndrome, preaxial polydactyly type IV, and postaxial polydactyly types A1 and B. GCPS is characterized by several craniofacial abnormalities including macrocephaly, scaphocephaly (and narrow cranial vault) and a broad nose ${ }^{354}$. A mouse null Gli3 mutant has been reported to show a range of craniofacial abnormalities, including a wider nose ${ }^{355}$. A mutant mouse ( Gli3 $^{X t-J / X t-J}$ ) with an intragenic deletion has been reported to show polydactyly and a range of
craniofacial abnormalities ${ }^{356,357}$. Hurst et al. (2011) described mice with deletions in Gli3 displaying several malformations in the skull, face and body; in particular, two mice with deletions in our region of interest show broad nasal base as a characteristic ${ }^{358}$. Deletions in the genomic region (7p13) where this SNP is located, provoke a large range of changes in facial morphology, similar to other enhancers implicated in craniofacial morphology ${ }^{359}$.

Strong association was detected between the ordinal trait nose wing breadth and the marker rs92783, situated in LOC100270679 gene. This SNP is in LD with genetic markers in an extended region close to PAX1. This gene is a key developmental transcription factor which has been shown experimentally to affect chondrocyte differentiation through its participation in a regulatory pathway that also includes RUNX2 and SOX9 ${ }^{360}$. More broadly, a Pax-Six-Eya-Dach (Dachshund) network (PSEDN), involving protein-protein and protein-DNA interactions impacting on a range of basic developmental processes has been described ${ }^{361}$. As indicated above, another $P A X$ gene (PAX3) has been twice reported to impact on nasion position ${ }^{7,8}$ and we replicated that association here. A missense mutation in PAXI has been shown to cause autosomal recessive oto-facio-cervical syndrome, a disorder characterized by various skeletal and facial abnormalities ${ }^{362}$. A significant statistical interaction between GLI3 and PAXI index SNPs on nose wing breadth was shown here. Possibly due to GLI3 reducing the expression of PAXI because it is part of Shh signalling pathway.

### 3.4.2 Replications of associations between genes and facial features reported in this study

As a result of these analyses a scientific publication was published in 2016. Before that, there were only 3 GWAS on facial features ${ }^{7,8,277}$. In our genome-wide scans on facial traits we found new genetics variants associated with facial features and, we replicated the SNP rs7559271 associated with nasion position, previously reported by Paternoster et al. (2012) ${ }^{8}$. This SNPs is situated in the gene PAX3. The same association, between PAX3 gene and nasion position was detected by Liu et al. (2012), earlier the same year, but with a different genetic variant ${ }^{7}$. In 2018, Claes et al. found a different SNP in the same gene associated to nasion position ${ }^{275}$.

Subsequently, three genes detected by our GWAS on facial features were replicated. A SNP in DCHS2 gene was associated with the area around the nose wings ${ }^{275}$, we found the same gene, but not the same marker associated with columella inclination. We reported a new association between nose wing breadth and the PAXI gene, later in the same year, Shaffer et al. (2016) found an association between another SNP in the same gene and nasal width ${ }^{278}$.

We detected an association between nose bridge breadth and an extensive area in the SUPT3H-RUNX2 overlapping region. Interestingly, Claes et al. (2018) detected a SNP in the SUPT3H gene associated to nose width ${ }^{275}$.

### 3.4.3 The advantage of genetically diverse populations

An interesting outcome of these analysis was to observe how physical appearance is affected by the genetic diversity. As an example of this, I will present a trait analysed in this thesis, Nose protrusion. The heritability of this trait is $84 \%$, when this trait is observed through the CANDELA samples, a clear difference is seen between people with high European ancestry, they have a greater protrusion of the nose in comparison to people with high native American component ${ }^{95}$ (Figure 3.31A). The variation of the trait is partially explained by genetic Principal components (continental and subcontinental ancestry), basic covariates (sex, age, etc) and around $1 \%$ by a SNP (rs2045323), which shows significant association in the DCHS2 gene region (Section 3.3.8) ${ }^{95}$. The difference in allele frequencies between European and Native Americans is around $57 \%$ (Figure 3.31B).

These genetically diverse populations (i.e. Latin Americans) present an advantage compared to less diverse populations (i.e. Europeans) ${ }^{363,364,365}$. Thus, the mixed ancestry of Latin Americans offers the opportunity to find novel trait loci that could not be found in the un-admixed parental groups of Latin American populations by increasing the power of detection in association analyses due to this difference between allele frequencies between parental groups. In addition, this is helping to understand the genetic architecture of complex traits ${ }^{203}$.


Figure 3.31. Distribution of nose protrusion in the CANDELA sample. A) Density plots of nose protrusion for individuals from CANDELA sample. B) Allele frequencies in the CEPH-HGDP population panel for the index SNP (rs2045323). Adapted from Adhikari et al. (2016) ${ }^{203}$

### 3.4.4 Limitations

Despite several new genetic variants associated with facial traits being found in this study, it has also showed some limitations. First, the GWAS with facial features categorized in an ordinal way, lacking information within categories. Later, the GWAS performed with quantitative traits demonstrated how the lack of information in the phenotypes decrease the power of detection because the associations were stronger, but there are still limitations, i.e. 34 landmarks are not enough to represent all the details of the facial traits well. This is partly because the software Photomodeler, used to transform the 2D images to 3D images cannot totally capture the three dimensions of the facial traits, also because it depends on the expertise of the operator to add the landmarks to the photos, another factor is the 2D photographs, unavoidably they present differences in face orientation and different images sizes also affect the phenotyping process and this cannot be improved.

This work also showed the importance of performing genome-wide scans in different populations. Either to replicate these findings or to find new genetic variants that could not be present in the parental populations of the Latin-American people. Future work should be done to improve the phenotyping method and to increase the diversity of the populations assessed in these kinds of studies.

### 3.5 Summary

In this chapter I reported both novel variants associated with facial features and the replication of genetic variants previously associated to face traits in a Latin-American population. The results presented in this thesis showed the complex genetic architecture behind the facial traits and is the importance of the phenotyping method used to characterize the traits, as evidenced by the associations using quantitative phenotypes that showed greater statistical power than the ordinal phenotypes. Further, some of the reported genomic regions associated with facial traits are potential candidate genes that should be followed up by functional analyses.

# Chapter 4: Genome-Wide Association Study of dental morphology in the CANDELA Cohort 

### 4.1 Overview

Teeth are the hardest and well-preserved parts of the body; they resist high temperatures, decomposition of the body and their disintegration occurs after that of bones. Therefore, dental pieces are of great importance, and they represent the last remnants of humans and animals after death. Dental features have been widely used by anthropologists and archaeologists to establish biological relatedness among past and current human populations, they have also been used by the forensic sciences in incidents such as fires, terrorist attacks, natural disasters, airplane crashes, train and car accidents, etc. to identify human remains ${ }^{176}$.

Dentition has long been analyzed from different locations, because it is unique and offers an unparalleled opportunity for a better understanding of the origin, evolution, and phylogenesis of vertebrates, including humans.

The aim of this chapter is to contribute to the understanding of the genetic basis of normal dental variation, even though some genes have previously been identified for various dental traits, it is still poorly understood. In this chapter, through GWAS of different dental phenotypes (ordinal and quantitative) performed in a subset of volunteers from Colombia (CANDELA Cohort ${ }^{59}$ ), I obtained a group of possible genes associated with these phenotypes. Subsequently, I assessed the local ancestry of the haplotypes where the candidate SNPs are situated, using RFMix modeling approach ${ }^{366}$ to verify if the haplotyes correspond to the population where the allele frequency of the candidate markers was higher. Finally, I described the candidate genomic regions and discussed them comparing with results of previous GWAS and linkage studies.

### 4.1.1 Previous studies

To the best of my knowledge there are several GWAS related to teeth, summarised in Table 4.1; the main objective of these studies has been the development and disease of teeth. Pillas et al. in (2010) and Fatemifar et al. (2013), performed a similar GWAS of teeth eruption time and number of teeth on the same populations of Northern Finland Birth Cohorts (NFBC) 1966 and Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children England (ALSPAC) ${ }^{9,367}$. The main difference between the studies was the imputation and the number of samples, which was bigger in 2013. Both studies found significant associations in similar genes, i.e. the $I G F 2 B P 1$ gene associted to number of teeth in both studies. This gene is part of the Hedgedog family, and it is well known that this pathway in involved in tooth development ${ }^{141}$. Interestingly, Geller et al. (2011) found an association between the gene $A D K$ and the permanent eruption of the teeth between age 6 and 14 years old in women from the Danish National Birth Cohort (DNBC) ${ }^{10}$. This was also replicated by Fatemifar et al. (2013); ADK was also associated with facial width distances ${ }^{367}$. Furthermore, there have been at least three GWAS seeking genes involved in the appearance of dental caries. The findings of these studies do not overlap among each other, perhaps because they were applied to different ethnic groups ${ }^{368-370}$. The ADAMTS3 gene, situated in chromosome 4, was associated with decay, missing and filled surfaces of the teeth in a cohort from the U.S., which did not include people of Hispanic origin. This gene is highly expressed during tooth development in the dental papilla in mice ${ }^{371}$.

| Chr | Gene | SNP | Phenotype | p-value | Reference | Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | KCNJ2 | rs8079702 | Teeth eruption time | $3.77 \mathrm{E}-22$ | Pillas et al., 2010 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| X | EDA | rs4844096 | Teeth eruption time | $2.61 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Pillas et al., 2010 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| X | EDA | rs5936487 | Teeth eruption time | $6.18 \mathrm{E}-11$ | Pillas et al., 2010 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 12 | MSRB3 | rs10506525 | Teeth eruption time | 6.17E-09 | Pillas et al., 2010 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 17 | IGF2BP1 | rs9674544 | Number of teeth | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Pillas et al., 2010 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 14 | RAD51L1 | rs1956529 | Number of teeth | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Pillas et al., 2010 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 17 | KCNJ2 | rs8079702 | Number of teeth | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-14$ | Pillas et al., 2010 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| X | EDA | rs4844096 | Number of teeth | $4.58 \mathrm{E}-11$ | Pillas et al., 2010 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| X | EDA | rs5936487 | Number of teeth | $3.37 \mathrm{E}-10$ | Pillas et al., 2010 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 6 | $6 q 21$ | rs6568401 | Age at first tooth | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-10$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 10 | ADK VCL AP3M1 | rs7924176 | Age at first tooth | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 11 | CDON | rs4937076 | Age at first tooth | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 12 | MSRB3 | rs12229918 | Age at first tooth | $7.30 \mathrm{E}-14$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 12 | HMGA2 | rs17101923 | Age at first tooth | $6.30 \mathrm{E}-11$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 14 | BMP4 | rs17563 | Age at first tooth | $9.10 \mathrm{E}-17$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 17 | IGF2BP1 | rs1994969 | Age at first tooth | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-14$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 17 | TEX14/RAD51C | rs412000 | Age at first tooth | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-09$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 17 | KCNJ2 KCNJ16 | rs8080944 | Age at first tooth | $7.60 \mathrm{E}-34$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| X | FAM155E - EDA | rs11796357 | Age at first tooth | $3.10 \mathrm{E}-22$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 2 | $2 q 35$ | rs10932688 | Number of teeth | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 7 | CALU/OPN1SW | rs1799922 | Number of teeth | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 10 | CACNB2 | rs10740993 | Number of teeth | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-09$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 10 | ADK VCL AP3M1 | rs7924176 | Number of teeth | $7.80 \mathrm{E}-16$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 12 | MSRB3 | rs12229918 | Number of teeth | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-09$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 12 | HMGA2 | rs17101923 | Number of teeth | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-10$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 13 | DLEU7 | rs9316505 | Number of teeth | $3.40 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 14 | AJUBA/C14orf93 | rs997154 | Number of teeth | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 17 | IGF2BP1 | rs1994969 | Number of teeth | $7.20 \mathrm{E}-16$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 17 | KCNJ2 KCNJ16 | rs8080944 | Number of teeth | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-19$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| X | FAM155E - EDA | rs11796357 | Number of teeth | $6.90 \mathrm{E}-19$ | Fatemifar et al., 2013 | NFBC 1966 and ALSPAC |
| 1 | ASCL5/CACNA1S | rs4498834 | Tooth agenesis | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-14$ | Jonsson et al., 2018 | Icelandic discovery sample $(\mathrm{n}=1944)$ |
| 3 | FOXI3 | rs35822372 | Tooth agenesis | $3.40 \mathrm{E}-13$ | Jonsson et al., 2018 | Icelandic discovery sample $(\mathrm{n}=1944)$ |
| 2 | EDAR | chr2: 108,896,996 | Tooth agenesis | 5.90E-09 | Jonsson et al., 2018 | Icelandic discovery sample $(\mathrm{n}=1944)$ |
| 2 | ARHGAP15 | rs2034604 | Tooth agenesis | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-14$ | Jonsson et al., 2018 | Icelandic discovery sample $(n=1944)$ |
| 2 | WNT10A | rs121908120 | Tooth agenesis | 6.10E-40 | Jonsson et al., 2018 | Icelandic discovery sample $(\mathrm{n}=1944)$ |
| 2 | WNT10A | rs121908119 | Tooth agenesis | $4.90 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Jonsson et al., 2018 | Icelandic discovery sample $(\mathrm{n}=1944)$ |

## Continue...

| Chr | Gene | SNP | Phenotype | p-value | Reference | Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | ZFHX4 | rs371555610 | Tooth agenesis | $4.40 \mathrm{E}-11$ | Jonsson et al., 2018 | Icelandic discovery sample ( $\mathrm{n}=1944$ ) |
| 4 | LEF1 | rs917412 | Mandibular second premolars | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-10$ | Jonsson et al., 2018 | Icelandic discovery sample $(\mathrm{n}=1196)$ |
| 17 | NOL11 | rs758468472 | Maxillary second premolars | 3.30E-08 | Jonsson et al., 2018 | Icelandic discovery sample $(\mathrm{n}=600)$ |
| 3 | FOXP1 | rs35956082 | Maxillary lateral incisors | 1.10E-11 | Jonsson et al., 2018 | Icelandic discovery sample ( $\mathrm{n}=600$ ) |
| X | EDA | rs55846652 | Maxillary lateral incisors | $6.70 \mathrm{E}-11$ | Jonsson et al., 2018 | Icelandic discovery sample $(\mathrm{n}=600)$ |
| 2 | PXDN, MYT1L | rs11681214 | Severe erosive tooth wear | $3.99 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Alaraudanjok et al., 2019 | Northern Finland Birth Cohorts 1966 ( $\mathrm{n}=1944$ ) |
| 1 | AJAP1 | rs3896439 | Caries in premolars, canines and maxillary middentition | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Shaffer et al., 2013 | 920 self-reported white participants |
| 10 | LYZL2 | rs399593 | Caries in mandibular anterior (incisors, canines, first pre-molar) | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shaffer et al., } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | 920 self-reported white participants 5099 women from DNBC |
| 12 | HMGA2 | rs12424086 | Permanent teeth erupted between age 6 and 14 years | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Geller et al., 2011 | (Danish National Birth Cohort) <br> 5088 women from DNBC |
| 2 | TNP1 | rs4491709 | Permanent teeth erupted between age 6 and 14 years | $6.51 \mathrm{E}-10$ | Geller et al., 2011 | (Danish National Birth Cohort) |
| 1 | CACNA1S | rs2281845 | Permanent teeth erupted between age 6 and 14 years | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Geller et al., } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | 5097 women from DNBC (Danish National Birth Cohort) |
| 10 | ADK | rs7924176 | Permanent teeth erupted between age 6 and 14 years | $6.58 \mathrm{E}-09$ | Geller et al., 2011 | 5100 women from DNBC (Danish National Birth Cohort) |
| 7 | SYPL1, NAMPT | rs190395159 | Decay, missing and filled teeth | 7.14E | Morrison et al., 2016 | Cuban, Dominican, Mexican, Puerto Rican, Central and South American ( $\mathrm{n}=11754$ ) |
| 7 | BMP7, <br> MIR4325, | rs190395159 | Decay, missing and filled | 7.14 E | Morrison et | Cuban, Dominican, Mexican, Puerto Rican, Central and |
| 20 | SPO11 <br> IGSF10, <br> MIR5186, | rs72626594 | teeth | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-8$ | al., 2016 | South American ( $\mathrm{n}=11754$ ) <br> Cuban, Dominican, Mexican, |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIR548H2, } \\ & \text { AADACL2 } \end{aligned}$ | rs138769355 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | $3.59 \mathrm{E}-8$ | Morrison et al., 2016 | Puerto Rican, Central and South American ( $\mathrm{n}=11754$ ) |
| 7 | SYPL1, <br> NAMPT | rs190395159 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | $5.97 \mathrm{E}-10$ | Morrison et al. 2016 | Cuban, Dominican, Mexican, Puerto Rican, Central and South American ( $\mathrm{n}=11754$ ) |
| 7 | GNG4, LYST, <br> B3GALNT2, <br> TBCE, | rs190395159 |  | $5.97 \mathrm{E}-10$ | al., 2016 | South American ( $\mathrm{n}=11754$ ) |
| 1 | GGPS1, <br> ARIB4D | rs138642 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | 1.94 E | Morrison et al., 2016 | Mexican ( $\mathrm{n}=4578$ ) |
|  | ANK3, CDK1, RHOBTB1 |  | Decay, missing and filled |  | Morrison et |  |
| 10 | RHOBTBI <br> CACNA1G, <br> ABCC3, <br> ANKRD40, <br> LUC7L3, <br> MIR8059, | rs116717469 | surfaces | $3.23 \mathrm{E}-8$ | al., 2016 | Mexican ( $\mathrm{n}=4578$ ) |
| 17 | WFIKKN2, TOB1, SPAG9 | rs71381322 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | $3.72 \mathrm{E}-8$ | Morrison et al., 2016 | Mexican ( $\mathrm{n}=4578$ ) |
| 18 | None | rs16946661 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces | $4.02 \mathrm{E}-8$ | Morrison et al., 2016 | Mexican ( $\mathrm{n}=4578$ ) |

## Continue...

| Chr | Gene | SNP | Phenotype | p-value | Reference | Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACOTY, } \\ & \text { PRDXX, } \\ & \text { SAT1, } \\ & \text { APOO } \end{aligned}$ | rs141563584 | Decay, missing and filled teeth | $3.89 \mathrm{E}-8$ | Morrison et al., 2016 | Puerto Rican (2006) |
| 1 | RHOU | rs9793739 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces, proportion of decay filled surfaces and caries severity | 5.27E-07 | Wang et al., 2012 | ~7000 participants nonHispanic whites |
| 4 | ADAMTS3 | rs1383934 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces, proportion of decay filled surfaces and caries severity | $2.96 \mathrm{E}-07$ | Wang et al., 2012 | ~7000 participants nonHispanic whites |
| 6 | RPS6K2 | rs635808 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces, proportion of decay filled surfaces and caries severity | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-07$ | Wang et al., 2012 | ~7000 participants nonHispanic whites |
| 8 | PTK2B | rs17057381 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces, proportion of decay filled surfaces and caries severity | $4.02 \mathrm{E}-07$ | Wang et al., 2012 | ~7000 participants nonHispanic whites |
| 14 | CNIH | rs4251631 | Decay, missing and filled surfaces, proportion of decay filled surfaces and caries severity | $2.13 \mathrm{E}-07$ | Wang et al., 2012 | ~7000 participants nonHispanic whites |

Table 4.1. Genes regions that have been associated with tooth development and disease. This summary corresponds to GWAS testing for associations with dental phenotypes, such as number of teeth, eruption time, agenesis and presence of caries. SNPs replicated in different studies and different traits are highlighted in bold.

As far as I know, there are no GWAS analyzing dental morphology.

### 4.1.2 The Arizona State University Dental Anthropology System (ASUDAS)

The Turner-Scott Dental Anthropology System dental plaques are a series of 24 reference plaques that highlight human tooth morphology and variation. Created by Drs. Christy G. Turner II, Christian R. Nichol, and G. Richard Scott. This standardized collection of plaques showcases non-metric tooth crown and root traits that are found in a given human population, as well as showing the degree of expression of each trait ${ }^{372}$. This is a widely used non-metric method to categorize the different dental features assessed in this study to perform the GWAS. Consequently, a complete description of each phenotype assessed in this thesis is presented below.

### 4.1.2.1 Development of ASUDAS

The observation of teeth morphology has been widely used by physical anthropologists, frequently as the presence or absence of certain features or the description of the whole tooth. But Ales Hrdlicka in his work on shoveling shape from $1920{ }^{373}$, established a difference by noticing and highlighting only the shovel shape
feature of the tooth. He realized that when the trait was present, different forms and grades of expression could be observed. Later, in 1940, Dahlberg starts making the first effort to standardize this method for the observation of dental morphology. In order to achieve this idea, he created the plaster plaque system, with plaques depicting different teeth characteristics and different grades of expression of the trait. Subsequently, he distributed them among his colleagues. The interest in developing a standardized and replicable method did not stop there. The Dental Anthropology Laboratory of Arizona State University continued working on the development of this method, and the result is the Arizona State University Dental Anthropology System 372.

The main goals of this system are to go beyond the dichotomy between the presence or absence of a feature in the teeth, and to be able to replicate the results among observers. The plaques devised show a physical representation of a scale, from the minimal to the maximal expression of a trait, and different grades in between. Not all the traits described in the literature were depicted on the plaques. Only the features that were most easily and reliably observed, with low sex dimorphism, and the more resistant features to the permanent and aggressive daily use of the teeth. Finally, this method is relatively easy to apply, it provides useful information within a short time and at low cost ${ }^{372}$. Consequently, I will present the traits assessed using the ASUDAS method ${ }^{372}$ in this thesis.

### 4.1.2.2 Description of the dental features assessed using ASUDAS

Human dentition has 32 teeth, 8 incisors, 4 canines, 8 premolars and 12 molars ${ }^{111}$ (Figure 1.6). The anatomy and general description of these teeth has been explained previously (Section 1.3.1.2). In this section I explain each feature examined using ASUDAS scoring method ${ }^{111}$ on each tooth. The list is organized by type of tooth, incisors, canines, premolars and molars and next to each trait and between brackets is the acronym used in this thesis. Most of the descriptions belong to Scott and Turner (1997) and Scott and Irish (2017), the traits that were not described in this book have the reference attached to the tittle.

## Incisors:

Shoveling (SS-U, SS-U2, SS-L1 and SS-L2).

This trait is observed in upper incisors, canine, and lower incisors. The lingual surface of these teeth is scooped by the presence of marginal ridges (Figure 4.1). The scale of this trait goes from 0 to 7.

0 . None: Lingual surface is flat.

1. Faint: Elevations of mesial and distal aspects of lingual surface are barely seen and palpated.
2. Trace: Elevations are easily seen.
3. Semishovel: The ridges are strongly pronounced and there is a tendency to converge toward the cingulum.
4. Semishovel: The characteristics of the trait are stronger than in grade 3 .
5. Shovel: Ridges are highly developed, and they almost contact at the cingulum.
6. Marked Shovel: Strongest development. In some cases, the ridges are in contact with cingulum.
7. Barrel: Shovelling is more pronounced than grade 6. It is considered as barrelshaped. This should not be confused with a hypertrophied tuberculum dentale.

In this sample, shovelling was assessed in upper and lower central and lateral incisors. Comments: In this thesis, this trait was assessed only in upper and lower incisors, not in canines ${ }^{111}$.


Figure 4.1. Shovel-shape incisors. Different levels of expression across the trait. A and B almost no expression and C and D exhibit pronounced shoveling. Figure from Scott and Turner (1997) ${ }^{111}$.

## Winging (WIN-U1)

Winging corresponds to the rotation of the upper central incisors. This procedure was modified by Turner in 1970, and it does not use a reference plaque (Figure 4.2). It goes from 1 to 4.

1. Bilateral winging: from incisal view central incisors are rotated mesiolingually, shaping a V between both central incisors. When the angle is more than 20 degrees, it is classified as 1 A ; when it is less than 20 degrees, 1 B .
2. Unilateral winging: Only one incisor is rotated.
3. Straight: Both central incisors follow the arcade curvature or form a straight labial surface.
4. Counter-winging: One or both central incisors are rotated distolingually.

Comments: this trait is only evaluated in upper central incisors ${ }^{111}$.


Figure 4.2. Winging upper central incisors shown from lingual (A) and occlusal (B) views. Figure from Scott and Turner (1997) ${ }^{111}$.

## Labial Convexity (LC-U1 and LC-U2) ${ }^{111}$

This trait is observed in the medial two-thirds of the upper incisors. From occlusal view, the labial surface goes from completely flat to showing a strong degree of convexity. Scoring: ${ }^{111}$

0 . Labial surface is plane.

1. Labial surface shows trace convexity.
2. Labial surface shows weak convexity.
3. Labial surface shows moderate convexity.
4. Labial surface shows pronounced convexity.

Following Turner's (1991) scoring criteria this trait is observed in the upper and lower incisors, canine and premolar ${ }^{372}$. However, in Scott and Irish's (2017) scoring procedure, this trait is only evaluated in central and lateral upper incisors. In this thesis I followed Scott and Irish's 2017 procedure ${ }^{374}$.

This trait refers to mesial and distal marginal ridges on the labial surface of the incisors (Figure 4.3). The scale of this trait goes from 0 to $6^{372}$.
0. Absence: Labial Surface is smooth.

1. Faint: In presence of strong contrasting light, the mesial and distal ridging can be observed. In some cases, just mesial ridges can be seen in this and stronger grades.
2. Trace: Ridge can be easily seen and palpated in comparison with faint expression but still slight.
3. Slight: Ridges distinct enough to be readily palpated.
4. Moderate: Ridging is pronounced along at least one half of crown height.
5. Pronounced: Ridging is very prominent and may occur from incisal edge to crown root junction.
6.Very pronounced: Extreme double shovel with well-develop ridges along both mesial and distal labial margins ${ }^{374}$.


Figure 4.3. Double shoveling of upper central incisors. (A) Well-developed mesial and distal labial marginal ridges. (B) Weakly developed labial and marginal ridges. Figure from Scott and Turner (1997) ${ }^{111}$.

Interruption Grooves (IG-UI1 and IG-UI2)
This feature is assessed in central and lateral upper incisors. Grooves can be observed crossing the cingulum, and often extend onto the root. They are different to most of the nonmetric dental traits. In some cases, the groove is restricted to one or more marginal ridges and it does not reach the root. These grooves can be present in different parts of the tooth crown and/or root, the morphogenesis is still not understood, and their expression has still to be classified in the same manner as other traits where presence varies from slight to pronounced (Figure 4.4). Classification:

0 . Absence of grooves on lingual marginal ridges and basal cingula.
$M$. Groove on mesiolingual marginal ridge.
$D$. An interruption groove occurs on the distolingual border.
$M D$. Grooves in both mesio- and distolingual marginal ridges.
Med. A groove occur in the medial area of the cingulum.
Comments: In this thesis, this trait was only scored as absent or present, $0=$ absent and $1=$ present (any other category M, D, MD and Med) ${ }^{111}$.


Figure 4.4. Interruption grooves of upper lateral incisors. Both upper lateral incisors express the trait. Figure from Scott and Turner (1997) ${ }^{111}$.

## Tuberculum Dentale (TD)

This trait is observed in upper incisors and canine. It corresponds to cingular projections on the lingual surface of the upper anterior teeth. This feature usually takes the form of ridges in the lingual surface, referred to as mediolingual ridges, or various degrees of expression of a cusp (Figure 4.5). The expression varies among the three anterior teeth, their score criteria are described separately ${ }^{111}$ :

## Upper central incisors (TD-UP1) ${ }^{111}$

TD is expressed in the form of ridges; they vary in size and number. The rank of expression goes from 0 to 6 :

0: No expression. No ridges on basal eminence. Cingular region of the lingual surface is smooth.

1. Faint ridging. One slight ridge.
2. Trace ridging. One moderate ridge or two slight ridges.
3. Strong ridging. One moderate ridge and one slight ridge, or one pronounced ridge.
4. Pronounced ridging. Two moderate ridges, or one slight and one pronounced ridge.
5. One moderate ridge and one pronounced ridge.
6. Two pronounced ridges, or three slight and/or moderate ridges.

Upper lateral incisors (TD-UI2) ${ }^{111}$
These teeth vary in size, morphology, and form. The lowest manifestation of this feature on UI2 takes the shape of ridges, like those expressed in UI1, but the next level of expression seems more like tubercles. There is a thin line between a ridge and tubercle, a ridge is an elevation where the two sides are roughly parallel and as it advances blends into the lingual surface as it extends toward the occlusal margin. Tubercle is typically rounded and distinct (with or without a free apex) and does not gradually merge into the lingual surface. Score criteria:

0 . Absence of ridge or tubercle formation.

1. Slight ridge.
2. Moderate ridge.
3. Small tubercle.
4. Moderate tubercle.
5. Large or double tubercle.
6. Welt on basal cingulum.


Figure 4.5. Tuberculum dentale in upper incisors and canines. The arrows show three levels of expression, from moderate to pronounced tuberculum projections on the right upper central incisor. Figure from Scott and Turner (1997) ${ }^{111}$.

## Peg-shaped Incisor (PS-UI2)

Upper lateral incisor. This tooth is very small and lacking the normal crown morphology, instead it has peg form ${ }^{111}$. Classification:

0 . Normal sized incisor.

1. Incisor reduced in size, normal crown shape.
2. Peg-shaped incisor.

## Midline Diastema (DIAS-U1) *

This corresponds to the space between the upper central incisors. Presence or absence is determined by a measurement taken between the upper central incisors midway, between the cervical part and the (unworn) incisal edge of the tooth ${ }^{111}$. Classification: 0 . No diastema (space $<0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ )

1. Diastema (space $>0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ )

## Canines:

Upper canine (TD-UC)
In upper canines, TD is mostly tubercles. In some cases, ridges can be found in upper canines, but it typically takes the form of a tubercle ranging from slightly to pronounced (Figure 4.5) ${ }^{111}$.

0 . Absence of ridge or tubercle formation.

1. Very slight tubercle, distinguished by a single groove.
2. Slight tubercle, outlined by two grooves.
3. Moderate tubercle.
4. Medium tubercle with no free apex.
5. Large tubercle with free apex.
6. Pronounced tubercle with free apex.
7. Hyper-pronounced tubercle with free apex.

## Canine Mesial Ridge or Bushman Canine (MR-UC)

This trait can be observed in the upper canines. Frequently, canines may have large mesial ridges or tubercles, but when this two features merge and reach a point where the lingual sulcus is distal to the midline of the tooth, this can be considered as Bushman canine or Canine mesial ridge ${ }^{111}$ (Figure 4.6).

ASUDAS classifies the trait into four rank-scales grades of expression:
0 . Mesial and distal lingual are the same size. Neither is attached to the tuberculum dentale if present.

1. Mesiolingual ridge is larger than the distolingual and is weakly attached to the tuberculum dentale.
2. Mesiolingual ridge is larger than the distolingual and is moderately attached to the tuberculum dentale.
3. Morris's type form. Mesiolingual ridge is much larger than the distolingual and is fully incorporated into the tuberculum dentale.


Figure 4.6. Mesial canine ridge or Bushman canine. The left canine (L) shows a mesial marginal ridge ( mmr ) and tuberculum dentale (td) that are not divided by a developmental groove. The right canine ( R ) shows a mesial marginal ridge and tuberculum dentale separated by a developmental groove. Figure from Scott and Turner (1997) ${ }^{111}$.

## Canine Distal Accessory Ridge (DAR-UC and DAR-LC)

Upper and lower canines show this feature on the lingual surface of the tooth between the apex of the tooth and the distal marginal ridge. It lies closer to the distal marginal ridge (Figure 4.7) ${ }^{111}$. Scoring:

0 . Distal accessory ridge is absent.

1. Distal accessory ridge is very faint.
2. Distal accessory ridge is weakly developed.
3. Distal accessory ridge is moderately developed.
4. Distal accessory ridge is strongly developed.
5. Distal accessory ridge is very pronounced.


Figure 4.7. Canine distal accessory ridge. The plaque used to rank (0-5) lower canine distal accessory ridge. Number 5 is the highest level of expression; an arrow is showing the feature. Figure from Scott and Turner (1997) ${ }^{111}$.

Congenital Absence (CA-UI2, CA-UP2 and CA-LP1)
This trait can be assessed in upper lateral and lower central incisors, upper and lower second premolars, and upper and lower third molars ${ }^{111}$. Scoring:

0 . Tooth is present. Any degree of visible dental impaction is considered as present.

1. Tooth is congenitally absent.

Comment: In this thesis this feature was studied in upper lateral incisors, lower central incisors and lower first premolar.

## Premolar:

## Premolar Accessory Ridges (AR-UP1, AR-UP2)

This trait is observed on upper premolar 1 and 2, and lower premolar 1 and 2. This feature corresponds to the linear elevation between the buccal cusp ridge and the medial sulcus of maxillary first and second premolar (Figure 4.8) ${ }^{111}$. Scoring:

0 . Absence of ridge.
T. Truncated ridge (is not continuous).

1. Trace (slight, but continuous ridge).
2. Small (thin continuous ridge).
3. Medium (moderately thick continuous ridge).
4. Pronounced (thick continuous ridge).

Comment: In this thesis, this trait was only scored in the upper premolar accessory ridges as absent $=0$ or present $=1$.


Figure 4.8. Premolar accessory ridges. The upper second premolar exhibits both mesial and distal accessory ridges. Figure from Scott and Turner (1997) ${ }^{111}$.

## Distosagittal Ridge or Uto-Aztecan Premolar (UTO-UP1)

This feature is seen in upper first premolar. A pronounced ridge from the apex of the buccal cusp extends to the distal occlusal edge at or near the sagittal sulcus. If straight lines are situated along the major axis of the buccal cusp and on the midline between
the two cusps, the angles of divergence range from 0 to 6 degrees (Morris, 1981) ${ }^{375}$ (Figure 4.9). The Uto-Aztecan premolar is notorious, because this difference is greater than normal ( 35 to 45 degrees). There is also a mesial rotation of the buccal surface and a buccolingual expansion of the buccal cusp. ${ }^{111}$ Classification:

0 . Normal premolar shape.

1. Distosagittal ridge is present.


Figure 4.9. Distosagittal ridge (Uto-Aztecan premolars). Only present in upper first premolars. An arrow is showing the fossa adjacent to the marginal ridge. Figure from Scott and Turner (1997) ${ }^{111}$.

## Premolar Odontomes (ODO-UP1, ODO-UL1 and ODO-UL2)

This trait can be present in the central sulcus of upper and lower premolars. Odontomes have conical shape and they are the size of a pin (Figure 4.10) ${ }^{111}$. Scoring:
0. Absence.

1. Odontome is present.

Comment: In this thesis premolar odontome in the upper premolar two was not studied.


Figure 4.10. Premolar odontomes. Occlusal tubercles, or odontomes. On unworn teeth, odontomes are conical in form. In this case the arrow is showing the severe wear of the odontoma in lower second premolar. Figure from Scott and Turner (1997) ${ }^{111}$.

## Upper Premolar Mesial and Distal Accessory Cusps or Marginal tubercles (AMT-

 UP1 and AMT-UP2)This trait is observed in upper premolars. Small accessory cusps are sometimes seen at the mesial or distal margin of the sagittal sulcus, which separates the buccal and lingual cusps ${ }^{111}$. Classification:

0 . Accessory cusp absent.

1. Well-defined mesial accessory cusp with tangible cusp tip.
2. Well-defined distal accessory cusp with tangible cusp tip.
3. Well-defined mesial and distal accessory cusps with tangible cusp tips.

Tricusped premolars (3C-UP1 and 3C-UP2)
Upper premolars. Presence of 3 cusps in upper premolars ${ }^{111}$. Scoring:
0 . Extra distal cusp (hypocone) is absent.

1. Hypocone is present. Its size is the same as regular lingual cusps.

Elongated upper and lower premolars (EP-LP1 and EP-LP2) (Edgar and Sciulli (2004) ${ }^{376}$.

This trait is observed in upper and lower premolars. It looks more rectangular than 'normal' premolars. This rectangular shape is due to primarily the mesiodistal length (Figure 4.11).

Classification:
0 . Absent.

1. Present.


Figure 4.11. Elongated posterior premolars. The two posterior premolars express the feature. Figure from Edgar and Sciulli (2004) ${ }^{376}$.

## Molars:

Metacone (Met-UM1, Met-UM2 and Met-UM3)
This trait is seen in upper molars. Distobuccal cusp or cusp number $3{ }^{111}$ (Figure 4.12).
Classification:

0 . Metacone absent.
1.There is a ridge at the metacone site but no free apex.
2. Metacone expressed as faint cuspule with a free apex.
3. A weak cusp is present.
3.5. An intermediate-sized cusp that falls between grades 3 and 4 (interpolation necessary)
4. Metacone is large.
5. Metacone is large, equal in size to a large UM1 hypocone.


Figure 4.12. Plaque used to score metacone expression. Figure from Scott and Irish (2017) 374

## 3-Cusped upper second molar (3CUM2)

Upper molar two. It is the absence of the Hypocone ${ }^{374}$. Scoring:
0. Absence of the Hypocone.

1. Presence of the Hypocone.

## Hypocone (HipUM1, HipUM2 and HipUM3)

Upper molars. It is the fourth cusp situated in the distolingual part of the tooth. Absence and severely reduced forms of this feature are more common on M2 (Figure 4.13) ${ }^{111}$.Classification:

0 . No hypocone.

1. Faint ridging.
2. Faint cuspule present.
3. Small cusp.
3.5. Moderate-sized cusp.
4. Large cusp.
5. Very large cusp.


Figure 4.13. Plaque used to grade the expression of the Hypocone. Figure from Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$.

Cusp 5 (Metaconule) (C5UM1, C5UM2 and C5UM3)
This feature is observed in the upper molars. A fifth cusp shaped as a conule. May occasionally be present in the distal fovea between the hypocone and metacone of the upper molars ${ }^{111}$ (Figure 4.14). Scoring:

0 . There is only a single distal groove present separating cusp 3 and 4 .

1. Faint cuspule.
2. Trace cuspule.
3. Small cuspule.
4. Small cusp.
5. Medium-sized cusp.


Figure 4.14. Plaque used to grade the expression of Cusp 5 (Metaconule). Figure from Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$.

## Carabelli Tubercle (CarUM1, CarUM2 and CarUM3)

This trait can be seen in the upper molars. When it is present it is located in the lingual surface of the mesiolingual cusp (protocone or cusp 1) ${ }^{111}$ (Figure 4.15). Scoring:

0 . Mesiolingual cusp 1 is smooth.

1. A vertical groove separates the protocone from the mesial marginal ridge complex; grade 1 expression occurs when there is a slight eminence that deflects distally from this groove.
2. A slight groove or eminence and takes the form of a pit.
3. A slightly Y-shaped depression.
4. A large Y-shaped depression.
5. A small tubercle without a free apex. The distal border of the cusp does not contact the lingual groove separating cusps 1 and 4 .
6. Moderate tubercle with a free apex.
7. Pronounced tubercle with a free apex contacting the medial lingual groove is present.


Figure 4.15. Plaque used to grade the expression of Carabelli's trait. Figure from Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$.

This feature is present in upper molars. It is sometimes related to Bolk's paramolar tubercle, but they are different traits. Typically, the parastyle is expressed on the paracone or cusp 2. It ranges in size from a pit to a large free-standing tubercle. In some instances, it is expressed on cusp 3 (metacone) on any molar ${ }^{111}$ (Figure 4.16).

0 . Buccal surfaces of cusps 2 and 3 are smooth.

1. A small pit near the buccal groove between cusps 2 and 3 .
2. A small cusp but no free apex.
3. Medium cusp with free apex.
4. Large cusp with free apex.
5. Very large cusp with free apex that may extend onto the surfaces of both cusps 2 and 3 .

Comment: Grade 6. A free peg-shaped crown attached to the root of the third molar, it is very rare, and it is not shown on the plaque. In this thesis only grades from 0 to 5 were assessed.


Figure 4.16. Plaque used to grade the expression of Parastyle in upper molars. Figure from Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$.

Third Molars/peg-shaped/absent/reduced (CAUM3 and CALM3) Scott and Irish, $2017{ }^{374}$.

Third molars, they are the most variable in terms of size, morphology, and number. As loss and reduction are elements of the same phenomenon, they are grouped together to form a single trait that involves pegged or reduced forms plus congenital absence. Scoring:

0 . Third molar present and normal.

1. Third molar significantly reduced in size (half of normal size, with two or more cusps).
2. Third molar peg-shaped (only a single cusp evident) (Figure 4.17).
3. Third molar congenitally absent.

Comment: For population comparisons, the categories are often combined. In this thesis this trait was graded as present (grade 1) when third molar was reduced in size, peg-shaped and as absent or congenitally absent (grade 0 ) when there was no third molar.


Figure 4.17. Upper molar 3 showing a peg-shaped form. Figure from Scott and Irish (2017) 374.

## Premolar Lingual Cusp Variation (LCV-LP1 and LCV-LP2)

This feature is present in lower premolars. Multiple lingual cusps take different forms on LP1 and LP2 and have separate classifications. There are 10 grades of classification, including absence and nine degrees of trait presence ${ }^{111}$ (Figure 4.18).

## Scoring:

A. No lingual cusp. A ridge may be present, but reduced structure without a free tip.

0 . One lingual cusp. Size and shape can vary but there is a tip present.

1. One or two lingual cusps.
2. Two lingual cusps. Mesial cusp is much larger than distal cusp.
3. Two lingual cusps. Mesial cusp is larger than distal cusp.
4. Two lingual cusps. Mesial and distal cusps are equal in size.
5. Two lingual cusps. Distal cusp is bigger than mesial cusp.
6. Two lingual cusps. Distal cusp is much larger than mesial cusp.
7. Two lingual cusps. Distal cusp is very much bigger than mesial cusp.
8. Three lingual cusps. Three of them are the same size.
9. Three lingual cusps. Mesial cusp is much larger than medial and/or distal cusp.


Figure 4.18. Plaque used to grade the expression of lower first premolar lingual cusp number. Figure from Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$.

Anterior Fovea (AF-LM1, AF-LM2 and AF-LM3) Scott and Irish (2107) ${ }^{374}$.
It is observed in lower molars. It is located on the mesial aspect of the trigonid of the lower molars. There are three main elements that conformed this trait. Distinct essential ridges on the protoconid and metaconid that meet close to the centre of the trigonid, and a mesial marginal ridge that is expressed to varying degrees. When these three features join it produces a fovea, or depression, on the mesial section of the trigonid (Figure 4.19).

Scoring:
0 . Anterior fovea is absent.

1. Trace, with slight development of mesial marginal ridge that connects the mesial aspects of cusps 1 and 2 producing a faint groove.
2. The essential ridges are better developed; thus, the groove is deeper than in grade 1.
3. The groove is longer than in grade 2 .
4. Groove is very long, and mesial ridge is robust, producing a well-defined fovea.


Figure 4.19. Plaque used to grade the expression of anterior fovea. Figure from Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$.

## Lower Molar Groove Pattern (GP-LM1 and GP-LM2)

This trait is present in lower molars. Lower molars have five major cusps. (1) protoconid (mesiobuccal), (2) metaconid (mesiolingual), (3) hypoconid (distobuccal), (4) entoconid (distolingual), and (5) hypoconulid (distal). Classification of this trait is based on the contact between different cusps and the shape of these unions ${ }^{111}$ (Figure 4.20). Scoring:
Y. Cusps 2 and 3 are in contact.

+ Cusps 1, 2, 3 and 4 are in contact at the central sulcus.
X. Contact between cusps 1 and 4 .


Figure 4.20. Lower molar groove pattern depends on the cusps contact. (L) shows five lowers molar cusps and their numbers). Both LM1s show Y patterns ( $2-3$ contact) while left LM2 shows an X pattern ( $1-4$ contact) and right LM2 shows a + pattern (1-2-3-4 contact). The abnormal left LM3 has a Y pattern, while its normal antimere has an X pattern. Figure from Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$.

## Lower molar cusp number (CN-LM1, CN-LM2 and CN-LM3)

Lower molars. This feature depends entirely on the presence of cusp 5, or the hypoconulid ${ }^{111}$ (Figure 4.21). Scoring:
4. Cusps 1-4 (1, protoconid; 2, metaconid; 3, hypoconid; 4, entoconid) are present.
5. Cusp 5 (hypocoulid) is also present.
6. Cusp 6 (entoconulid) is also present.


Figure 4.21. Plaque used to grade the expression of lower molar cusp number. This feature depends on the presence of Cusp 5. Figure from Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$.

## Cusp 5 (Hypoconulid) (C5-LM1, C5-LM2 and C5-LM3)

This trait is observed in lower molars. It occurs on the distal occlusal surface of the tooth. The scoring system is based on the size only if cusp 6 is not present ${ }^{111}$.

0 . The molar has only 4 cusps. No hypoconulid.

1. Cusp 5 is present, but very little.
2. Cusp 5 is small.
3. Cusp 5 is medium-sized.
4. Cusp 5 is large.
5. Cusp 5 is very large.

Cusp 6 (Entoconulid) (C6-LM1, C6LM2 and C6LM3)
This feature can be seen in the lower molars. The entoconulid is expressed on the distal portion of the lower molars. Cusp 6 is associated with the entoconid (cusp 4). It is classified by size relative to cusp $5{ }^{111}$ (Figure 4.22). Scoring:
0. Cusp 6 is absent.

1. Cusp 6 is much smaller than cusp 5 .
2. Cusp 6 is smaller than cusp 5.
3. Cusp 6 is equal in size to cusp 5 .
4. Cusp 6 is larger than cusp 5.
5. Cusp 6 is much larger than cusp 5.


Figure 4.22. Plaque used to grade the expression of cusp 6 (entoconulid) of lower molars. Figure from Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$.

It is observed in the lower molars. Cusp 7 or tuberculum intermedium is a wedgeshaped accessory cusp in the lingual groove, between cusps 2 and $4{ }^{111}$ (Figure 4.23). Scoring:

0 . No cusp 7.

1. Faint wedge-shaped cusp between cusps 2 and 4 .

1A. A faint cusp 7 without tip is present displaced on the lingual surface of cusp 2.
2. A small cusp 7.
3. A moderate cusp 7.
4. A large cusp 7.


Figure 4.23. Plaque used to grade the expression of cusp 7 (metaconulid) of lower molars. Figure from Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$.

Deflecting Wrinkle (DW-LM1, DW-LM2 and DW-LM3) Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$.
Lower molars. The deflecting wrinkle is expressed on the occlusal surface of the mesiolingual cusp (metaconid). Basically, this trait is an unusual manifestation of the essential ridge of the metaconid. In most instances, this ridge runs a direct course from the cusp. But in some cases, the ridge changes course (or deflects) about halfway along its length before it terminates in the central sulcus (Figure 4.24). Scoring:

0 . Deflecting wrinkle absent. Medial ridge of cusp 2 is straight.

1. Cusp 2 medial ridge is straight but with midpoint constriction.
2. Medial ridge deflects at halfway point toward central occlusal fossa but does not contact the cusp 4 (hypoconid).
3. Medial ridge shows strong deflection distally shaping an L-shaped ridge. And it does contact the hypoconid.


Figure 4.24. Plaque used to grade the expression of deflecting wrinkle of lower molars. Figure from Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$.

Distal Trigonid Crest (DTC-LM1, DTC-LM2 and DTC-LM3) ${ }^{111}$
This feature is observed in lower molars. Cusps 1 and 2 are joined by a ridge (Figure 4.25). Scoring:

0 . Distal borders of protoconid and metaconid are not connected by a crest.

1. Distal trigonid crest present.


Figure 4.25. Distal trigonid crest; this crest is shaped when the distal accessory ridges of protoconid and metaconid meet at the central occlusal sulcus (can be continuous or discontinuous). Figure from Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$.

Protostylid (Protoconidal cingulum) (Prtost-LM1, Prtost-LM2 and Prtost-LM3)
This trait is present in lower molars. On the buccal surface of cusp 1. It is usually is associated with the buccal groove separating cusps 1 and $3{ }^{111}$ (Figure 4.26). Scoring:

0 . No expression of the trait.

1. A pit is present in the buccal grove.
2. Buccal groove is curved distally.
3. A faint secondary groove extends mesially from the buccal groove.
4. Secondary groove is slightly more pronounced.
5. Secondary groove is stronger and can be easily seen.
6. Secondary groove extends across most of the buccal surface of cusp 1. This is considered a weak or small cusp.
7. A cusp with a free apex occurs.


Figure 4.26. Plaque used to grade the expression of prototylid of lower molars. Figure from Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$.

### 4.1.3 Odontometric traits

Teeth and bones are the most resistant parts of the body, they disintegrate after death. Therefore, they are a good source of evidences for the evolutionary anthropologists, the archaeologists and the forensic scientists ${ }^{377,132}$.

Dental phenotypic data, both metric and the shape of the teeth has been widely used to assess the biological relationship among different populations (Section 1.3.3) ${ }^{132}$ Based on some studies, in the last few decades the efforts have been focused on the nonmetric dental traits ${ }^{378}$ to characterize world-wide populations and establish population history, and origin of modern humans. Although, on the other hand dental measurements has often been used in the study of the evolution of the hominid and diversity of local and regional population groups ${ }^{378}$. In odontometrics, the most reported measurements are the maximum crown length (mesiodistal diameter) and the maximum crown wide (buccolingual diameter) ${ }^{379}$. Studies in current populations have classified these groups as microdontic, mesodontic and megadontic ${ }^{380}$. Despite odontometrics has been often used to differentiate among populations, there are
detractors that recognize that is useful among distant populations, but it does not work properly among closest populations ${ }^{379}$.

The largest toothed population are native-Australians, their crowns are 30 to $35 \%$ larger than the crowns of Bushmen, Lapps, Iranians, etc., which are the populations with smallest teeth ${ }^{379}$. Hanihara et al. (2005) ${ }^{378}$ measured teeth from 72 populations and seven geographic groups were assessed. They corroborated that the population with largest teeth are native Australians ${ }^{379}$, follow by Melanesians, Micronesians, Sub-Saharan Africans and Native Americans. Intermediate size teeth were from East/southeast Asians and Polynesians and Jomon/Ainu and Western Eurasians have smaller teeth.

In this thesis I used odontometrics traits as quantitative phenotypes to perform the genome wide analysis due to metrics traits have a genetic component, based on the information presented above. Because if these measurements are used to differentiate across populations, they must have a genetic component that makes these phenotypes vary among world-wide populations and I attempted to find genomic regions associated to these traits.

### 4.2 Material and Methods

### 4.2.1 Study subjects

A sample of 501 volunteers from Medellín, Colombia were recruited and utilized to perform a genome-wide scan with categorical phenotypes. These people are part of the CANDELA Cohort ${ }^{59}$. Most of these individuals were students and staff from Antioquia University in Medellín. Adult subjects of both sexes aged between 18 and 40 years were invited to participate through media presentations, public lectures and personal contact with some volunteers (Table 4.2 and Figure 1.14). Then, a second GWAS with a bigger group of samples from the same Colombian Cohort (583 individuals) was performed with quantitative phenotypes (Table 4.3 and Figure 1.14).

| Sample size |  | Total | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 501 | 225 | 276 |
| Percentage |  | 100 | 44.91 | 55.09 |
|  | Min | 18 | 18 | 18 |
|  | Mean | 23.03 | 23.57 | 22.60 |
|  | Max | 40 | 40 | 39 |
|  | S.D. | 4.94 | 5.12 | 4.76 |

Table 4.2. Features of the study sample used to perform the GWAS with ASUDAS data.

|  | Total | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample size | 564 | 252 | 312 |
| Percentage | 100 | 44.68 | 55.32 |
| S Min | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| O Mean | 23.28 | 23.70 | 22.94 |
| $\cdots$ Max | 40 | 40 | 39 |
| $\sim_{<}^{\infty}$ S.D. | 4.83 | 4.94 | 4.72 |

Table 4.3. Features of the study sample used to perform the GWAS with quantitative data.

Ethics approval was obtained from: Universidad de Antioquia (Colombia) and University College London (UK). All participants provided written informed consent. Volunteers with antecedents of altered dental traits, several missing teeth, caries, apparent wear, maxillofacial surgery, orthognathic surgery, or any other massive craniofacial or dental surgery were excluded from this study.

Blood samples were collected by a certified phlebotomist and DNA extracted following standard laboratory procedures.

Intraoral digital photographs were obtained (by L.M.R.). The volunteer was seated in the dental chair, with an occipital support keeping the Frankfort plane (Figure 4.27 and Figure 4.28) parallel to the ground and with a labial retractor (PTJ Intl Co, Houdemont, France) located in the mouth. The operator used a Canon IE3 camera (12 megapixels) with a Canon 100 mm macro and a Sigma ring flash. In the sagittal plane, the macro lens was focussed on the midline teeth (Figure 4.27). The transversal plane was parallel to the horizontal grid of the lens regarding the inter-canine plane. For arcade photographs, a metal mirror was used covering as much area as possible, while the lens remained focussed. The macro lens was used in manual mode and in a ratio of 2: 1 and 3: 1, which keeps the light, registration and focusing distance unchanged, which allows for comparison of images and distances among volunteers. To obtain the depth of field in the focus, the largest amplitude of the diaphragm was used. Subsequently, these photographs were used to obtain ordinal and quantitative phenotyping data.


Figure 4.27. Teeth photographs in different planes. Frontal, transversal and arcade photos covering as much of the area as possible. Images by L.M.R.


Figure 4.28. Frankfort plane. This plane passes through the floor of the orbit and the external auditory meatus ${ }^{381}$.

Other phenotypes including height, weight, BMI, age and sex were also recorded for each participant ${ }^{59}$.

Using these photographs, I performed several Genome Wide Association Studies between the genotypes and each phenotype. The first group of GWAS corresponds to ordinal traits (ASUDAS scoring, described in detail in Section 4.1.2.2 assessed in 501 individuals from the Colombian Cohort from CANDELA ${ }^{59}$ (Table 4.2) and the second group of GWAS of quantitative traits (inciso-cervical, mesio-distal and bucco-lingual measurements of the teeth) performed in 564 people from the same cohort (Table 4.3).

### 4.2.2 Phenotyping

### 4.2.2.1 Ordinal traits (ASUDAS)

Intraoral digital photographs (Figure 4.27) were used to assess 86 dental traits following ASUDAS definitions ${ }^{372}$ (Table 4.4, Figure 4.29, Section 4.1.2.2). The scoring was performed blindly with regards age, sex and genetic ancestry. When asymmetric expression was evident the score of the antimere with strongest expression was retained ${ }^{382}$. The scored traits are listed in Table 4.4.

| Phenotypes | Acronym | Teeth Involved | Scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shovel Shape central upper incisors | SSUI1 | Central Upper incisors | 0-7 |
| Double Shovel central upper incisors | DSUI1 |  | 0-6 |
| Congenital absence lateral upper incisors | CAUI2 |  | 0-1 |
| Winging central upper incisors | WINUI1 |  | 1-4 |
| Labial curvature central upper incisors | LCUI1 |  | 0-4 |
| Midline diastema upper central incisors | DIASUI1 |  | 0-1 |
| Interruption grooves central upper incisors | IGUI1 |  | 0-1 |
| Tuberculum dentale central upper incisors | TDUI1 |  | 0-6 |
| Shovel Shape lateral upper incisors | SSUI2 | Lateral Upper incisors | 0-7 |
| Double Shovel lateral upper incisors | DSUI2 |  | 0-6 |
| Peg shape upper lateral incisors | PSUI2 |  | 0-2 |
| Labial curvature lateral upper incisors | LCUI2 |  | 0-4 |
| Interruption grooves lateral upper incisors | IGUI2 |  | 0-1 |
| Tuberculum dentale lateral upper incisors | TDUI2 |  | 0-6 |
| Tuberculum dentale upper canines | TDUC | Upper canines | 0-6 |
| Mesial ridge upper canine | MRUC |  | 0-3 |
| Distal accessory ridge of upper canine | DARUC |  | 0-5 |
| Accessory ridge upper premolar 1 | ARUP1 | Upper premolars | 0-1 |
| Accessory ridge upper premolar 2 | ARUP2 |  | 0-1 |
| Uto-Aztecan premolar 1 | UTOUP1 |  | 0-1 |
| Odontome upper premolar 1 | ODOUP1 |  | 0-1 |
| Accessory marginal tubercle upper premolar 1 | AMTUP1 |  | 0-1 |
| Accessory marginal tubercle upper premolar 2 | AMTUP2 |  | 0-1 |
| Congenital absence upper second premolar | CAUP2 |  | 0-1 |
| Presence of 3 cusps in upper premolar 1 | 3CUP1 |  | 0-1 |
| Presence of 3 cusps in upper premolar 2 | 3CUP2 |  | 0-1 |
| Metacone upper molar 1 | MetUM1 | Upper molars | 0-6 |
| Metacone upper molar 2 | MetUM2 |  | 0-6 |
| Metacone upper molar 3 | MetUM3 |  | 0-6 |
| Presence of 3 cusps in upper molar 2 | 3CUM2 |  | 0-1 |
| Hypocone upper molar 1 | HipUM1 |  | 0-6 |
| Hypocone upper molar 2 | HipUM2 |  | 0-6 |
| Hypocone upper molar 3 | HipUM3 |  | 0-6 |
| Cusp 5 upper molar 1 | C5UM1 |  | 0-5 |
| Cusp 5 upper molar 2 | C5UM2 |  | 0-5 |
| Cusp 5 upper molar 3 | C5UM3 |  | 0-5 |
| Carrabelli's tubercle upper molar 1 | CarUM1 |  | 0-7 |
| Carrabelli's tubercle upper molar 2 | CarUM2 |  | 0-7 |
| Carrabelli's tubercle upper molar 3 | CarUM3 |  | 0-7 |
| Parastyle upper molar 1 | ParUM1 |  | 0-5 |
| Parastyle upper molar 2 | ParUM2 |  | 0-5 |
| Parastyle upper molar 3 | ParUM3 |  | 0-5 |
| Upper molar 3, peg-shaped, absent, reduced | CAUM3 |  | 0-1 |


| Phenotypes | Acronym | Teeth Involved | Scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shovel shape central lower incisor | SSLI1 | Central lower incisors | 0-3 |
| Double shovel central lower inicisors | DSLI1 |  | 0-3 |
| Congenital absence central lower incisors | CALI1 |  | 0-1 |
| Shovel shape lateral lower incisor | SSLI2 | Lateral lower incisors | 0-3 |
| Double shovel lateral lower inicisors | DSLI2 |  | 0-3 |
| Accessory distal ridge of lower canine | DARLC | Lower canine | 0-5 |
| Lingual cusps variation central lower premolar | LCVLP1 | Lower premolars | 0-9 |
| Lingual cusps variation lateral lower premolar | LCVLP2 |  | 0-9 |
| Elongated premolar lower first premolar | EPLP1 |  | 0-1 |
| Elongated premolar lower second premolar | EPLP2 |  | 0-1 |
| Protoconid accesory ridge central lower premolar | ARPrLP1 |  | 0-1 |
| Protoconid accesory ridge lateral lower premolar | ARPrLP2 |  | 0-1 |
| Odontome lower first premolar | ODOUL1 |  | 0-1 |
| Odontome lower second premolar | ODOUL2 |  | 0-1 |
| Congenital absence lower first premolar | CALP1 |  | 0-1 |
| Anterior fovea lower molar 1 | AFLM1 | Lower molars | 0-4 |
| Anterior fovea lower molar 2 | AFLM2 |  | 0-4 |
| Anterior fovea lower molar 3 | AFLM3 |  | 0-4 |
| Groove pattern lower molar 1 | GPLM1 |  | 0-2 |
| Groove pattern lower molar 2 | GPLM2 |  | 0-2 |
| Groove pattern lower molar 3 | GPLM3 |  | 0-2 |
| Cusp number lower molar 1 | CNLM1 |  | 4-6 |
| Cusp number lower molar 2 | CNLM2 |  | 4-6 |
| Cusp number lower molar 3 | CNLM3 |  | 4-6 |
| Cusp 5 lower molar 1 | C5LM1 |  | 0-6 |
| Cusp 5 lower molar 2 | C5LM2 |  | 0-5 |
| Cusp 5 lower molar 3 | C5LM3 |  | 0-5 |
| Cusp 6 lower molar 1 | C6LM1 |  | 0-5 |
| Cusp 6 lower molar 2 | C6LM2 |  | 0-5 |
| Cusp 6 lower molar 3 | C6LM3 |  | 0-5 |
| Cusp 7 lower molar 1 | C7LM1 |  | 0-4 |
| Cusp 7 lower molar 2 | C7LM2 |  | 0-4 |
| Cusp 7 lower molar 3 | C7LM3 |  | 0-4 |
| Deflecting wrinkle lower molar 1 | DWLM1 |  | 0-3 |
| Deflecting wrinkle lower molar 2 | DWLM2 |  | 0-3 |
| Deflecting wrinkle lower molar 3 | DWLM3 |  | 0-3 |
| Distal-Trigonid crest lower molar 1 | DTCLM1 |  | 0-1 |
| Distal-Trigonid crest lower molar 2 | DTCLM2 |  | 0-1 |
| Distal-Trigonid crest lower molar 3 | DTCLM3 |  | 0-1 |
| protostylid lower molar 1 | PrtostLM1 |  | 0-7 |
| protostylid lower molar 2 | PrtostLM2 |  | 0-7 |
| protostylid lower molar 3 | PrtostLM3 |  | 0-7 |
| Lower molar 3, peg-shaped, absent, reduced | CALM3 |  | 0-1 |

Table 4.4. ASUDAS traits assessed. The traits were scored following ASUDAS dental traits descriptions.


Figure 4.29. Examples ASUDAS scoring plaques. A) Central incisor shovel shape plaque. B) Upper canine distal accessory ridge plaque and C) Hypocone plaque. Figure from Scott and Turner (1997) ${ }^{111}$.

### 4.2.2.1.1 Intra-observer rater reliability.

The intra-rater reliability rate is shown in Delgado, $2015{ }^{135}$. Photographs for all the volunteers were scored by the same rater (M.D.).

### 4.2.2.1.2 Quality control of phenotype data

The 86 ordinal phenotyped traits were filtered by missingness and the distribution of the data. The first filter was missingness, traits presenting more than $15 \%$ of missing data were removed. The next filter was the distribution of the categories within the traits, when one category was constant, this means that within a trait, all the individuals were phenotyped in the same category, rare with a threshold of $<10 \%$ (or when more than $90 \%$ of the individuals were classified in one category and less than $10 \%$ of the remaining ones were put in the rest of the categories within a trait) and they were not normally distributed, the trait was removed (Table 4.5 and Appendix B). After the QC 46 traits were retained.

|  | All Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Counts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Filtering |  |
| Trait | Type of Data | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | NA | Rarity | Missingness |
| SSUI1 | Ordinal | 150 | 23 | 90 | 81 | 105 | 27 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| SSUI2 | Ordinal | 141 | 18 | 94 | 88 | 104 | 25 | 4 | 0 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| DSUI1 | Ordinal | 223 | 72 | 130 | 40 | 9 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| DSUI2 | Ordinal | 249 | 73 | 113 | 26 | 9 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| CAUI2 | Binary | 474 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | <10\% |  |
| PSUI2 | Ordinal | 456 | 16 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | <10\% |  |
| WINUI1 | Ordinal |  | 38 | 2 | 416 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| LCUI1 | Ordinal | 445 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | <10\% |  |
| LCUI2 | Ordinal | 236 | 63 | 108 | 47 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| DIASUI1 | Binary | 445 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | <10\% |  |
| IGUI1 | Binary | 367 | 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| IGUI2 | Binary | 234 | 235 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| TDUI1 | Ordinal | 283 | 5 | 69 | 89 | 19 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| TDUI2 | Ordinal | 215 | 2 | 38 | 68 | 105 | 21 | 20 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| TDUC | Ordinal | 180 | 11 | 73 | 68 | 103 | 16 | 22 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| MRUC | Ordinal | 360 | 52 | 47 | 15 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| DARUC | Ordinal | 340 | 12 | 78 | 38 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| ARUP1 | Binary | 297 | 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |  |  |
| ARUP2 | Binary | 161 | 299 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |  |
| UTOUP1 | Binary | 432 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 | Constant |  |
| ODOUP1 | Binary | 432 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44 | <10\% |  |
| AMTUP1 | Binary | 192 | 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 |  |  |
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|  | All Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Counts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Filtering |  |
| Trait | Type of Data | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | Rarity | Missingness |
| AMTUP2 | Binary | 196 | 264 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |  |
| CAUP2 | Binary | 456 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | <10\% |  |
| 3CUP1 | Binary | 431 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 | <10\% |  |
| 3CUP2 | Binary | 462 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | Constant |  |
| MetUM1 | Ordinal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 224 | 237 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| MetUM2 | Ordinal | 0 | 0 | 2 | 50 | 222 | 202 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| MetUM3 | Ordinal | 1 | 1 | 7 | 60 | 32 | 38 |  |  |  |  | 338 |  | >15\% |
| 3CUM2 | Binary | 337 | 137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| HipUM1 | Ordinal | 5 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 188 | 266 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HipUM2 | Ordinal | 119 | 9 | 92 | 80 | 81 | 93 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| HipUM3 | Ordinal | 58 | 4 | 36 | 25 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 351 |  | >15\% |
| C5UM1 | Ordinal | 415 | 3 | 27 | 23 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| C5UM2 | Ordinal | 415 | 0 | 26 | 21 | 10 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| C5UM3 | Ordinal | 115 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 354 | <10\% | >15\% |
| CarUM1 | Ordinal | 284 | 5 | 52 | 14 | 28 | 33 | 25 | 35 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| CarUM2 | Ordinal | 430 | 2 | 17 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 |  |  | 1 | <10\% |  |
| CarUM3 | Ordinal | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 346 | <10\% | >15\% |
| ParUM1 | Ordinal | 457 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 9 | <10\% |  |
| ParUM2 | Ordinal | 455 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 8 | <10\% |  |
| ParUM3 | Ordinal | 125 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 350 | <10\% | $>15 \%$ |
| CAUM3 | Binary | 188 | 212 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77 |  | >15\% |
| SSLI1 | Ordinal | 322 | 72 | 73 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| SSLI2 | Ordinal | 328 | 70 | 67 | 11 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| DSLI1 | Ordinal | 411 | 45 | 17 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| DSLI2 | Ordinal | 424 | 32 | 18 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| CALI1 | Binary | 476 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | <10\% |  |
| DARLC | Ordinal | 368 | 23 | 56 | 18 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| LCVLP1 | Ordinal | 86 | 96 | 82 | 60 | 19 | 46 | 22 | 21 | 6 | 8 | 31 |  |  |
| LCVLP2 | Ordinal | 18 | 62 | 113 | 99 | 46 | 35 | 10 | 43 | 36 | 9 | 6 |  |  |
| EPLP1 | Binary | 416 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | <10\% |  |
| EPLP2 | Binary | 460 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | <10\% |  |
| ARPrLP1 | Binary | 324 | 123 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| ARPrLP2 | Binary | 269 | 201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |
| ODOUL1 | Binary | 445 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | <10\% |  |
| ODOUL2 | Binary | 470 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | Constant |  |
| CALP1 | Binary | 451 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 | Constant |  |
| AFLM1 | Ordinal | 360 | 56 | 36 | 21 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| AFLM2 | Ordinal | 232 | 102 | 75 | 60 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| AFLM3 | Ordinal | 70 | 20 | 10 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 371 |  | >15\% |
| GPLM1 | Ordinal | 435 | 30 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | <10\% |  |
| GPLM2 | Ordinal | 155 | 260 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| GPLM3 | Ordinal | 33 | 21 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 389 |  | $>15 \%$ |
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|  | All Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Counts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Filtering |  |
| Trait | Type of Data | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 89 | 9 NA | Rarity | Missingness |
| CNLM1 | Ordinal |  |  |  |  | 33 | 340 | 81 | 20 |  | 3 |  |  |
| CNLM2 | Ordinal |  |  |  |  | 301 | 125 | 44 | 4 |  | 3 |  |  |
| CNLM3 | Ordinal |  |  |  |  | 39 | 39 | 7 | 2 |  | 390 |  | >15\% |
| C5LM1 | Ordinal | 35 | 1 | 20 | 125 | 260 | 33 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| C5LM2 | Ordinal | 314 | 5 | 69 | 52 | 30 | 4 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| C5LM3 | Ordinal | 39 | 1 | 10 | 19 | 11 | 4 |  |  |  | 393 |  | >15\% |
| C6LM1 | Ordinal | 409 | 1 | 57 | 4 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| C6LM2 | Ordinal | 435 | 3 | 28 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 4 | <10\% |  |
| C6LM3 | Ordinal | 76 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 395 | <10\% | >15\% |
| C7LM1 | Ordinal | 413 | 2 | 19 | 15 | 18 | 6 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| C7LM2 | Ordinal | 447 | 1 | 8 | 13 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 3 | <10\% |  |
| C7LM3 | Ordinal | 79 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 393 | <10\% | >15\% |
| DWLM1 | Ordinal | 409 | 21 | 30 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| DWLM2 | Ordinal | 458 | 3 | 11 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | <10\% |  |
| DWLM3 | Ordinal | 91 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 381 | <10\% | >15\% |
| DTCLM1 | Binary | 455 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | <10\% |  |
| DTCLM2 | Binary | 436 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | <10\% |  |
| DTCLM3 | Binary | 86 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 385 | <10\% | >15\% |
| PrtostLM1 | Ordinal | 193 | 260 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  | 8 |  |  |
| PrtostLM2 | Ordinal | 333 | 126 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |  | 6 |  |  |
| PrtostLM3 | Ordinal | 80 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |  | 378 |  | $>15 \%$ |
| CALM3 | Binary | 181 | 203 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93 |  | $>15 \%$ |

Table 4.5. Summary of ASUDAS data, after quality control. Removed traits due to either Missingness > $15 \%$ or distribution of the data (constant and rare traits < $10 \%$ ). Fifty-five traits were left after the missingness filter. Finally, after the filter to check the distribution of traits, forty-six traits were left to perform the GWAS.

### 4.2.2.1.3 Regrouping ASUDAS dental traits

As mentioned above (Section 4.2.2.1), the 86 phenotypes were assessed following the ASUDAS scale and then filtered based on the distribution, missingness, etc.; 46 traits were retained for the genome-wide scans. Subsequently, following Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$ criteria and the group's physical anthropologist (M. Delgado) suggestions based on his expertise, some traits were regrouped. These new groups went through the same quality control (Section 4.2.2.1.2) as the original data. There were 3 types of regrouping criteria. In the first one, categories were regrouped differently to the original ASUDAS scale, i.e. Shovel Shape upper central incisor (SSUI1), commonly classified in a scale from 0 to 6 , changed as follows, $0-1 \rightarrow 0,2-4 \rightarrow 1$ and 5-6 $\rightarrow 2$, thus from 7 categories the number was lowered to 3 , but still it is an ordinal trait (Table 4.6). After regrouping the ASUDAS trait, and the quality control was performed, 34 traits were left for further analyses.

|  | Regrouping |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Filters |  |
| Trait | Suggested groups |  |  |  |  | Type of Data | Rarity |
| SSUI1 | 0-1 | 2-3 | 4-6 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| SSUI2 | 0-1 | 2-3 | 4-6 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| DSUI1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4-5-6 | Ordinal |  |
| DSUI2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4-5-6 | Ordinal |  |
| WINUI1* | 1-2 | 3-4 |  |  |  | Binary | Constant |
| LCUI2 | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| TDUI1 | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 |  | Ordinal |  |
| TDUI2 | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 |  | Ordinal |  |
| TDUC | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 |  | Ordinal |  |
| MRUC | 0 | 1-2 | 3 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| DARUC | 0 | 1-2 | 3-5 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| MetUM1 | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 |  | Ordinal |  |
| HipUM1 | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5-6 |  | Ordinal |  |
| HipUM2 | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 | 5 |  | Ordinal |  |
| C5UM1 | 0 | 1-3 | 4-5 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| C5UM2 | 0 | 1-3 | 4-5 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| CarUM1 | 0 | 1-3 | 4-7 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| CarUM2 | 0 | 1-3 | 4-7 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| ParUM1 | 0 | 1-2 |  |  |  | Binary |  |
| ParUM2 | 0 | 1-2 | 3-5 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| SSLI1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3-4 |  | Ordinal |  |
| SSLI2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3-4 |  | Ordinal |  |
| DSLI2 | 0 | 1-3 |  |  |  | Binary |  |
| DARLC | 0 | 1-2 | 3-5 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| LCVLP1 | 0-1 | 2-7 | 8-9 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| LCVLP2 | 0-1 | 2-7 | 8-9 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| AFLM1 | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| AFLM2 | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| C5LM1 | 0 | 1-3 | 4-5 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| C5LM2 | 0 | 1-3 | 4-5 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| C6LM1 | 0 | 1-2 | 3-4 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| C7LM1 | 0 | 1-3 | 4-5 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| DWLM1 | 0 | 1 | 2-3 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| PrtostLM1 | 0 | 1 | 2-7 |  |  | Ordinal |  |
| PrtostLM2 | 0 | 1 | 2-7 |  |  | Ordinal |  |

Table 4.6. Regrouping of ASUDAS traits based on frequency. After regrouping of the different categories of the ordinal phenotyping data (ASUDAS), based on Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$ and the Physical Anthropologist (M.D.) criteria, 35 new groups were suggested, but 1 trait was removed because it became constant (WINUI1*).

The second criterion was based on absence or presence of the trait in each tooth, this is called Breakpoint approach in Scott and Irish (2017) ${ }^{374}$, for instance the same trait SSUI1, 0-1 $\rightarrow 0$ (Absence) and 2-6 $\rightarrow 1$ (Presence), originally the data was ordinal and it later became binary data (Table 4.7). After applying the breakpoint criterion and the quality control filters, 28 traits were left for further analyses.

|  | Breakpoint |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Filter |  |
| Trait | Degrees | Presence(1) | Absence(0) | Type of Data | Rarity |
| SSUI1 | 0-7 | 2-7 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| SSUI2 | 0-7 | 2-7 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| DSUI1 | 0-6 | 2-6 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| DSUI2 | 0-6 | 2-6 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| PSUI2 | 0-2 | 1-2 | 0 | Binary |  |
| WINUI1* | 1-4 | 1 | 2-4 | Binary | Rare |
| LCUI1 | 0-4 | 1-4 | 0 | Binary |  |
| LCUI2 | 0-4 | 1-4 | 0 | Binary |  |
| TDUI1 | 0-6 | 1-6 | 0 | Binary |  |
| TDUI2 | 0-6 | 1-6 | 0 | Binary |  |
| TDUC | 0-6 | 1-6 | 0 | Binary |  |
| MRUC | 0-3 | 1-3 | 0 | Binary |  |
| DARUC | 0-5 | 2-5 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| MetUM1* | 0-5 | 2-5 | 0-1 | Binary | Constant |
| MetUM2* | 0-5 | 2-5 | 0-1 | Binary | Constant |
| HipUM1* | 0-5 | 2-5 | 0-1 | Binary | Rare |
| HipUM2 | 0-5 | 2-5 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| C5UM1 | 0-5 | 1-5 | 0 | Binary |  |
| C5UM2 | 0-5 | 1-5 | 0 | Binary |  |
| CarUM1 | 0-7 | 2-7 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| CarUM2 | 0-7 | 2-7 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| ParUM1 | 0-5 | 1-5 | 0 | Binary |  |
| ParUM2 | 0-5 | 1-5 | 0 | Binary |  |
| SSLI1 | 0-3 | 2-3 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| SSLI2 | 0-3 | 2-3 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| DSLI1* | 0-3 | 2-3 | 0-1 | Binary | Rare |

Continue...

|  | Breakpoint |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Filter |  |
| Trait | Degrees | Presence (1) | Absence (0) | Type of Data | Rarity |
| DSLI2* | 0-3 | 2-3 | 0-1 | Binary | Rare |
| DARLC | 0-5 | 2-5 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| LCVLP1 | 0-9 | 2-9 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| LCVLP2 | 0-9 | 2-9 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| AFLM1 | 0-4 | 2-4 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| AFLM2 | 0-4 | 2-4 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| GPLM1 | $\mathrm{Y}, \pm$, X | Y | $\mathrm{X}, \pm$ | Binary |  |
| GPLM2 | $\mathrm{Y}, \pm$, X | Y | $\mathrm{X}, \pm$ | Binary |  |
| CNLM1 | 4-6 | *6 or more | 4-5 | Binary |  |
| CNLM2 | 4-6 | 5-6 | 4 | Binary |  |
| C5LM1* | 0-5 | 1-5 | 0 | Binary | Rare |
| C5LM2 | 0-5 | 1-5 | 0 | Binary |  |
| C6LM1 | 0-5 | 1-5 | 0 | Binary |  |
| C6LM2 | 0-5 | 1-5 | 0 | Binary |  |
| C7LM1 | 0-4 | 1-4 | 0 | Binary |  |
| C7LM2 | 0-4 | 1-4 | 0 | Binary |  |
| DWLM1* | 0-3 | 2-3 | 0-1 | Binary | Rare |
| DWLM2 | 0-3 | 2-3 | 0-1 | Binary |  |
| PrtostLM1 | 0-7 | 1-7 | 0 | Binary |  |
| PrtostLM2 | 0-7 | 1-7 | 0 | Binary |  |

Table 4.7. Regrouping based on breakpoint criterion. All the traits were converted to binary traits after applying the filters. Eight traits were removed because they presented rare or constant frequency in one category. Finally, 28 new breakpoint groups were retained. * Traits removed after the filtering.

Finally, for the composite trait criterion, this consists of the absence or presence of a trait in any tooth. For instance, if shovelling is present in the lower central incisors, and not in the rest of the teeth where this trait should be expressed (upper central incisors and upper and lower lateral incisors), it is considered as the trait is present anyway (Table 4.8). After the composite criterion and the quality control filters were applied, 21 traits were retained for further analyses.

| Composite Trait |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Filter |  |
| Trait | Teeth | Absent(0) | Present(1) | Type of Data | Rarity |
| Shoveling | UI1, UI2, LI1, LI2 | 0-1 | 2-6 | Binary |  |
| Double Shoveling | UI1, UI2, LI1, LI2 | 0-1 | 2-6 | Binary |  |
| Congenital Absence* | UI1, UI2, LI1, LI2 | 0 |  | Binary | Rare |
| Labial Curvature | UI1,UI2 | 0 | 1-4 | Binary |  |
| Interruption Grooves | UI1,UI2 | 0 | 1 | Binary |  |
| Dental Tubercle | UI1,UI2,UC | 0 | 1-6 | Binary |  |
| Distal Accesory Ridge | UC,LC | 0-1 | 2-5 | Binary |  |
| Accesory Ridges | UP1,UP2,LP1,LP2 | 0 | 1 | Binary |  |
| Odontome | UP1,LP2 | 0 | 1 | Binary |  |
| Marginal Accesory Tubercle* | UP1,UP2 | 0 | 1 | Binary | Rare |
| Three cusps | UP1,UP2,UM2 | 0 | 1 | Binary |  |
| Metacone* | UM1,UM2,UM3 | 0-1 | 2-5 | Binary | Constant |
| Hypocone | UM1,UM2,UM3 | 0-1 | 2-5 | Binary |  |
| Metaconule | UM1,UM2,UM3 | 0 | 1-5 | Binary |  |
| Carabelli's tubercle | UM1,UM2,UM3 | 0-1 | 2-7 | Binary |  |
| Parastyle* | UM1,UM2,UM3 | 0 | 1-5 | Binary | Rare |
| Peg-shaped reduced or absent | UM3,LM3 | 0 | 1 | Binary |  |
| Lingual cusp variation* | LP1, LP2 | 0-1 | 2-9 | Binary | Rare |
| Elongated premolar | LP1, LP2 | 0 | 1 | Binary |  |
| Anterior foveae* | LM1,LM2, LM3 | 0-1 | 2-5 | Binary | Rare |
| Groove pattern | LM1,LM2, LM3 | +,X | Y | Binary |  |
| Cusp number* | LM2,LM3 | 4 | 5+ | Binary | Rare |
| Hypoconulid | LM1,LM2, LM3 | 0 | 1-5 | Binary |  |
| Entoconulid | LM1,LM2, LM3 | 0 | 1-5 | Binary |  |
| Metaconulid | LM1,LM2, LM3 | 0 | 1-4 | Binary |  |
| Deflecting Wrinkle | LM1,LM2, LM3 | 0-1 | 2-3 | Binary |  |
| Distal trigonid crest | LM1,LM2, LM3 | 0 | 1 | Binary |  |
| Protostylid | LM1,LM2, LM3 | 0 | 1-6 | Binary |  |

Table 4.8. Regrouping based on absence or presence of the trait in one tooth (composite criterion). After applying the composite procedure, the number of traits decreased to 28 binary traits and after the filtering, 21 traits were retained.

* Removed trait due to the distribution of the trait or the trait presented some category frequency either rare or constant.


### 4.2.2.2 Quantitative phenotyping

In the same photos used for the ordinal phenotyping (Figure 4.27), three different distances were taken in incisors, canines and premolar teeth. Distance from the cervical margin to the incisal edge, distance from the mesial side to the distal side and the distance from the buccal face to the lingual face of the teeth. There are measurements
for 564 individuals, after filtering, 509 were left to run the GWAS. The quantitative measurements (distances) were assessed in incisors, canines and premolars (Figure 4.30 and Table 4.9).

### 4.2.2.2.1 Intra-observer rater reliability

Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) ${ }^{291}$ were used to evaluate the rater reliability for dental features measurements. This approach uses a two-way mixed effects ANOVA model, with two different scorings (from repeated scoring by one rater or from scores by two raters) as a fixed effect, and variation across subjects as a random effect. In this case it was calculated with repeated scoring by one rater. Scores from a set of photographs for 28 individuals ( $\sim 5 \%$ of total sample size, from the same Colombian sample) were used for calculating ICCs for each dental measurement. The photographs were scored twice by one rater (L.M.R.), independently, two weeks apart. Photographs for all the volunteers were scored by the same rater (L.M.R.).

### 4.2.2.2.2 Quality control of phenotyping data

Summary statistics for the 60 measurements, corresponding to 3 measurements per tooth (20 teeth, upper and lower incisors, canines and premolars), were calculated to sum up the observations in order to detect if some traits have typos or any other mistake (Table B.1). Also, the distribution of each trait was checked (Figures B.67-B.115).

### 4.2.2.3 Imputation of dental traits

In order to replace missing values with substitute values in the ASUDAS and metric dental data to improve the further analyses, imputation of the missing data was applied to both. The methodology used was missForest (package in R), this method uses a trained random forest on the existing data to predict the missed values. It is applied on different types of data (continuous and/or categorical) ${ }^{383}$. The imputation was performed 5 times and an average of the 5 different predicted values was used, this process is called bagging, which is useful to reduce the variability in prediction and improve accuracy.

The variables and individuals retained had low missingness proportion. Thus, the overall effect of imputation was low - that is, if we use different algorithms, different parameters, etc. the final outcomes of any analysis applied to the imputed data will not
be hugely affected, but imputation is necessary because many methods such as PCA do not work on incomplete data.


Figure 4.30. Measurements obtained in Incisors, Canines and Premolars.A) Distance between the cervical margin of a tooth (the area above the junction of the crown and the root of the tooth) and the incisal edge (which corresponds to the cutting edge of a tooth).B). Distance between the buccal part (the surface of a tooth that faces the buccal or labial mucosa of the vestibule of the mouth) and the lingual side (surface of a maxillary tooth, which is in direct contact with the tongue) of the mouth. C) Distance between the mesial surface (the part of a tooth that is next to the tooth in front of it or that is closest to the midline of the front of the jaw) and the distal part of a tooth (the surface of a tooth that is next to the tooth behind it or that is farthest from the middle of the front of the jaw).

| Jaw | Measurement | Side | Tooth | Trait code used in the analyses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper | IncisalCervical <br> Distance | Right | Central Incisor | IC_Up_Rt_I1 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | IC_Up_Rt_I2 |
|  |  |  | Canine | IC_Up_Rt_C |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | IC_Up_Rt_P1 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | IC_Up_Rt_P2 |
|  |  | Left | Central Incisor | IC_Up_Lf_I1 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | IC_Up_Lf_I2 |
|  |  |  | Canine | IC_Up_Lf_C |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | IC_Up_Lf_P1 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | IC_Up_Lf_P2 |
|  | Meso-Distal <br> Distance | Right | Central Incisor | MD_Up_Rt_I1 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | MD_Up_Rt_I2 |
|  |  |  | Canine | MD_Up_Rt_C |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | MD_Up_Rt_P1 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | MD_Up_Rt_P2 |
|  |  | Left | Central Incisor | MD_Up_Lf_I1 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | MD_Up_Lf_I2 |
|  |  |  | Canine | MD_Up_Lf_C |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | MD_Up_Lf_P1 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | MD_Up_Lf_P2 |
|  | Bucco- <br> Lingual <br> Distance | Right | Central Incisor | BL_Up_Rt_I1 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | BL_Up_Rt_I2 |
|  |  |  | Canine | BL_Up_Rt_C |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | BL_Up_Rt_P1 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | BL_Up_Rt_P2 |
|  |  | Left | Central Incisor | BL_Up_Lf_I1 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | BL_Up_Lf_I2 |
|  |  |  | Canine | BL_Up_Lf_C |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | BL_Up_Lf_P1 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | BL_Up_Lf_P2 |

Continue...

| Jaw | Measurement | Side | Tooth | Trait code used in the analyses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower |  | Right | Central Incisor | IC_Lw_Rt_I1 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | IC_Lw_Rt_I2 |
|  |  |  | Canine | IC_Lw_Rt_C |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | IC_Lw_Rt_P1 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | IC_Lw_Rt_P2 |
|  |  | Left | Central Incisor | IC_Lw_Lf_I1 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | IC_Lw_Lf_I2 |
|  |  |  | Canine | IC_Lw_Lf_C |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | IC_Lw_Lf_P1 |
|  |  |  | Second <br> Premolar | IC_Lw_Lf_P2 |
|  | Meso-Distal <br> Distance | Right | Central Incisor | MD_Lw_Rt_I1 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | MD_Lw_Rt_I2 |
|  |  |  | Canine | MD_Lw_Rt_C |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | MD_Lw_Rt_P1 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | MD_Lw_Rt_P2 |
|  |  | Left | Central Incisor | MD_Lw_Lf_I1 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | MD_Lw_Lf_I2 |
|  |  |  | Canine | MD_Lw_Lf_C |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | MD_Lw_Lf_P1 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | MD_Lw_Lf_P2 |
|  | Bucco- <br> Lingual <br> Distance | Right | Central Incisor | BL_Lw_Rt_I1 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | BL_Lw_Rt_I2 |
|  |  |  | Canine | BL_Lw_Rt_C |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | BL_Lw_Rt_P1 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | BL_Lw_Rt_P2 |
|  |  | Left | Central Incisor | BL_Lw_Lf_I1 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | BL_Lw_Lf_I2 |
|  |  |  | Canine | BL_Lw_Lf_C |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | BL_Lw_Lf_P1 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | BL_Lw_Lf_P2 |

Table 4.9. Sixty quantitative dental traits assessed in the upper and lower jaw. The name used in the analyses was organized by jaw, type of measurement, side of the maxilla and type of tooth.

### 4.2.2.4 DNA genotyping and quality control

This is the same procedure described in Section 3.2.4, the only difference is that from the final cleaned genotyping file, only the samples used on these dental traits GWAS were retained $(\sim 564)$, to decrease the size of the files and increase the speed of the analysis.

### 4.2.2.5 SNP Genotype Imputation

This is the same procedure described in Section 3.2.5.

### 4.2.2.6 Statistical genetic analyses

### 4.2.2.6.1 Narrow-sense heritability (h2)

This is the same method described in Section 3.2.6.1.

### 4.2.2.6.2 Genome Wide Association Analyses

PLINK $1.9{ }^{251}$ was used to perform both genome-wide association tests for all the phenotypes proposed above (no regrouping, regrouping, breakpoint and composite criteria applied to ASUDAS and metric dental phenotypes) using multiple linear regression with an additive genetic model incorporating age, sex and five genetic PCs as covariates. Association analyses were performed on the imputed data set with two approaches: using the best-guess imputed genotypes in PLINK ${ }^{251}$ and using the IMPUTE2 295 genotype probabilities in SNPTEST v2.5 ${ }^{298}$. Both were consistent with each other and with the results from the chip genotype data. For analysis of the X chromosome an inactivation model was used (male genotypes encoded as $0 / 2$ and female genotypes as $0 / 1 / 2$ ). The genetic PCs were obtained using PLINK $1.9^{251}$ from an LD-pruned dataset of 93,328 SNPs. They were selected by inspecting the proportion of variance explained and checking scree and PC scatter plots. Individual outliers were removed, and PCs re-calculated after each removal. The top PCs appear to be a good proxy for continental ancestry (Figure 4.31).


Figure 4.31. Selection of genetic Principal components to be used as covariables in the GWAS analysis. The proportion of the variance explained by each PC. PC 1 explains most of the variation in the sample.

Using these PCs the Q-Q plots (Figure 4.32) for all association tests showed no sign of inflation, the genomic control factor lambda being <1.02 in all cases (Figures B.116B.176), thus confirming that we are appropriately accounting for population stratification ${ }^{253}$.


Figure 4.32. Q-Q plot for GWAS of shovel shaped upper incisor scored following the ASUDAS approach. The remaining Q-Q Plots are shown in Appendix B. This plot does not show signs of inflation between the expected and observed P-values. All the traits show a similar pattern, the genomic control factor lambda $<1.02$, demonstrating there is no population stratification. Similar plots were obtained for all phenotypes examined (Figures B.116-B.176).

### 4.2.2.6.3 Local Ancestry Inference

A large proportion of significant SNPs in both genome-wide scans results (ASUDAS and dental measurement traits) showed a high frequency in African populations (Appendix B, Table B3 and B4) and therefore rare in the Colombian sample (Figure 3.5). Thus, to check if the haplotypes of the Colombian individuals carrying this allele were indeed African in origin and not the result of an error in genotyping or other issue with the data, some of these SNPs were checked. From a previous local inference analysis performed with RFMix software some of the significant SNPs that were present were checked (rs3827760, rs1037804 and rs9899063). Not all the SNPs were checked because RFMix is very slow, one analysis could take weeks, therefore I just checked the SNPs that were available from this previous analysis.

A merged dataset prepared for the population genetic analysis of the CANDELA samples ${ }^{59}$ was phased using SHAPEIT2 ${ }^{294}$ to produce best-guess haplotypes for all samples. All samples, both admixed (CANDELA) and references, were used together in this step because the quality of phasing improves with a larger and more diverse dataset.

This phased dataset was sub-setted to prepare the input for RFMix v1 ${ }^{366}$. As RFMix is very slow and memory-intensive, only the Colombian CANDELA samples that were used in the GWAS analysis were retained among the admixed samples. The set of reference Native American samples was smallest in this data merge, only 348, when retaining samples with $>95 \%$ Native ancestry, and including Native samples from across Latin America. The set of available European and African reference samples was much larger, due to availability of large cohorts such as the 1000 Genomes ${ }^{293}$. Therefore, following the recommendation of RFMix, only the most relevant (geographically proximate) populations were retained to reduce the sample sizes to approximately 350 . Only South-West European samples (Spain, Portugal and Italy) and Central-West African samples (Yoruba, etc.) were used.

The phased haplotypes for this subset of samples were divided into chromosomes, and RFMix v1 ${ }^{366}$ was run on each chromosome separately. The RFMix software processes windows of haplotypes across the entire dataset to initially produce an assignment of local ancestry for the admixed haplotypes by window, which it then refines through
iterations. The HLA region on chromosome 6 was more difficult for the software to process due to its high recombination rate, and therefore it encountered problems and could not produce an output within the allocated time. The software took several days, several weeks for larger chromosomes, to complete. Upon completion, for each chromosome the software produces local ancestry assignments for each haplotype, at each locus, it gives a most-probable ancestry assignment as 1,2 or 3 corresponding to one of the three reference populations.

### 4.3 Results

### 4.3.1 Study Sample

The cohort used in this study correspond to a sub-sample of Colombian volunteers, which is part of CANDELA ${ }^{59}$ (Table 4.2 and Table 4.3).

### 4.3.2 Ordinal traits (ASUDAS)

Based on the initial phenotyping, forty-six dental traits were assessed on an ordered categorical scale reflecting the distinctiveness of each trait using dental photographs of 501 individuals (Table 4.4). For most of the traits the highest frequency is in the lower categories ( 0 and 1). With some exceptions, LCVLP2, 3CUM2, and CNLM1, these traits present higher frequencies in upper categories of expression, these features characterize the Eurasian dental complex. Moreover, some traits that show high frequency in African populations (MRUC, DIASUI1 and C7LM1) presented low frequencies in this group (Figure 4.33).


Figure 4.33. Frequency distribution of 46 ordinal dental traits, scored using the ASUDAS system, in the Colombian sample (CANDELA).

### 4.3.2.1 Rater reliability for ASUDAS scores

The intra-rater reliability rate is shown in Delgado, $2015{ }^{135}$.

### 4.3.2.2 Correlations of initial 46 ASUDAS traits

Significant strongest positive correlations were observed between some ordinal phenotypes (using a Bonferroni-adjusted permutation $P$ value threshold for significance of $1 \times 10^{-3}$, Table 4.10). From moderate to strong positive, and significant, correlations $(r=0.51)$ were observed between several of the traits, usually the same trait scored in different teeth.

Strongest correlation was observed between shovel shape and shoveling traits (highest correlation, $\mathrm{r}=0.92$ ) in lateral and central incisors in the upper jaw (highest correlation, $r=0.93$ ). Incisors and canines situated in the upper jaw showed weak to strong significant positive correlations with between shovel shape and double shoveling traits and Tuberculum dentale (TD) and mesial ridge canine (or Bushman canine) traits (from $r=0.17$ to $r=0.52$ ). Probably, this is due to both traits being present in the lingual surface of the tooth, and sometimes mesial tubercles merge and form the canine mesial ridge or Bushman canine. Something similar happens with the lower jaw, but the correlations are weaker (Table 4.10).

Cusp 5 on the second lower molar feature (C5LM2) showed a very strong, and positive, correlation with Cusp number of lower molars trait (CNLM) ( $\mathrm{r}=0.75$ ). This is expected because CNLM depends entirely on the presence of Cusp $5{ }^{374}$. Cusp number in the first lower molar (CNLM1) also showed a strong, and positive, correlation with Cusp 6 and 7 in the first lower molar $(r=0.69)($ Table 4.10).

The 3-Cusped upper second molar (3CUM2) trait presented a very strong, and negative, correlation with the Hypocone in the second upper molar, this trait correspond to the absence of the fourth cusp located in the distolingual part of the tooth, and its absence is more common in the second molar. Consequently, this negative correlation was totally expected (Table 4.10).

Groove pattern on the second lower molar (GPLM2) showed weak, and negative, correlations with most of the traits, and moderate negative correlations with Cusp number in the second lower molar (CNLM2) and Cusp 5 in the second lower molar $($ C5LM2 $)(r=0.45)$. The scoring of this trait is based on the contact between different
cusps and the shape of the unions in the lower molars. Therefore, this outcome was expected (Table 4.10).

| corr/p-value | SSUI1 | SSUI2 | DSUI1 | DSUI2 | WINUI1 | LCUI2 | TDUI1 | TDUI2 | TDUC | MRUC | DARUC | MetUM1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSUI1 |  | 8.6E-199 | 1.4E-50 | 3.4E-45 | 1.8E-02 | 8.3E-02 | 3.3E-22 | 4.9E-11 | 1.3E-07 | 1.9E-05 | 8.6E-01 | 5.9E-01 |
| SSUI2 | 0.92 |  | 7.4E-43 | 1.1E-42 | 1.2E-01 | 3.1E-02 | 1.1E-23 | 4.9E-13 | 2.3E-11 | 4.2E-07 | 2.8E-01 | 5.3E-01 |
| DSUI1 | 0.61 | 0.57 |  | 1.5E-161 | 1.2E-01 | 5.6E-02 | 9.7E-12 | 4.7E-08 | 1.5E-06 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 7.4E-01 | 6.8E-01 |
| DSUI2 | 0.59 | 0.57 | 0.89 |  | 9.8E-02 | 2.0E-02 | 6.8E-14 | 4.0E-08 | 2.9E-06 | 3.0E-07 | 8.1E-01 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| WINUI1 | -0.11 | -0.07 | -0.07 | -0.08 |  | 1.8E-01 | 3.1E-01 | 2.7E-01 | 3.5E-01 | 2.5E-01 | 6.5E-01 | 3.9E-01 |
| LCUI2 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.11 | -0.06 |  | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 5.1E-02 | 6.0E-04 | 3.1E-03 | 1.2E-01 | 5.3E-01 |
| TDUI1 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 0.11 |  | 2.2E-33 | 7.2E-20 | 3.8E-06 | 5.5E-03 | 6.8E-01 |
| TDUI2 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.25 | -0.05 | 0.09 | 0.52 |  | 2.2E-28 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 9.2E-02 | 2.1E-02 |
| TDUC | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.21 | -0.04 | 0.16 | 0.40 | 0.48 |  | 1.5E-12 | 4.5E-03 | 3.9E-01 |
| MRUC | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.23 | -0.05 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.32 |  | 4.4E-02 | 2.8E-01 |
| DARUC | 0.01 | 0.05 | -0.02 | 0.01 | -0.02 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.09 |  | 7.7E-01 |
| MetUM1 | 0.02 | 0.03 | -0.02 | 0.00 | -0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.04 | -0.05 | 0.01 |  |
| MetUM2 | 0.02 | 0.03 | -0.04 | -0.03 | -0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | -0.04 | -0.01 | -0.02 | 0.19 |
| HipUM1 | 0.00 | 0.01 | -0.03 | -0.02 | -0.05 | 0.07 | -0.04 | -0.01 | 0.02 | 0.08 | -0.01 | 0.14 |
| HipUM2 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.08 | -0.09 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.12 | -0.02 | 0.05 |
| C5UM1 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.05 |
| C5UM2 | -0.01 | 0.00 | -0.03 | 0.02 | -0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.13 | -0.03 |
| CarUM1 | -0.04 | -0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.09 |
| SSLI1 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.38 | 0.40 | -0.08 | 0.03 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.03 | 0.13 |
| SSLI2 | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0.38 | 0.39 | -0.06 | 0.05 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.13 |
| DSLI1 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 0.32 | -0.02 | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.08 | -0.02 | 0.08 |
| DSLI2 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.36 | -0.04 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| DARLC | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.02 |
| LCVLP1 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.02 | -0.02 | -0.01 | -0.03 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.17 | -0.01 | 0.05 | -0.04 |


| corr/p-value | MetUM2 | HipUM1 | HipUM2 | C5UM1 | C5UM2 | CarUM1 | SSLI1 | SSLI2 | DSLI1 | DSLI2 | DARLC | LCVLP1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSUI1 | 6.3E-01 | 9.7E-01 | 8.8E-03 | 4.3E-01 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.3E-01 | 5.9E-28 | 6.5E-26 | 2.1E-11 | 1.3E-09 | 4.6E-01 | 1.7E-01 |
| SSUI2 | 4.5E-01 | 7.6E-01 | 1.3E-02 | 3.6E-01 | 9.6E-01 | 6.6E-01 | 5.2E-25 | 6.7E-25 | 2.1E-08 | 3.5E-07 | 1.2E-01 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| DSUI1 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.3E-01 | 7.2E-02 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.2E-01 | 3.4E-18 | 1.2E-17 | 2.3E-13 | 1.4E-15 | 8.3E-01 | 6.7E-01 |
| DSUI2 | 4.8E-01 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.1E-01 | 8.2E-01 | 4.0E-19 | 6.3E-19 | 7.1E-13 | 2.5E-16 | 3.5E-01 | 7.2E-01 |
| WINUI1 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.6E-01 | 7.1E-01 | 3.3E-01 | 3.6E-01 | 8.9E-01 |
| LCUI2 | $8.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.2E-01 | 7.4E-01 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.8E-01 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.1E-01 | 8.4E-01 | 1.2E-03 | 5.0E-01 |
| TDUI1 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.6E-02 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.5E-01 | 6.4E-10 | 9.3E-12 | 1.4E-05 | 7.1E-07 | 5.3E-02 | 7.5E-03 |
| TDUI2 | 7.3E-01 | 8.8E-01 | 1.3E-03 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.1E-01 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.4E-07 | 5.2E-08 | 3.5E-04 | 1.2E-03 | 5.8E-02 | 2.1E-02 |
| TDUC | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.2E-02 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.2E-05 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 6.5E-03 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 4.3E-04 |
| MRUC | $8.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 9.2E-02 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.9E-02 | 1.2E-05 | 2.1E-05 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.3E-02 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 7.8E-01 |
| DARUC | 6.5E-01 | 7.5E-01 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.6E-03 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.2E-01 | 6.2E-01 | $9.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.4E-06 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| MetUM1 | 3.7E-05 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.1E-01 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.9E-02 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 4.8E-03 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.7E-02 | 6.0E-01 | 4.6E-01 |
| MetUM2 |  | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.7E-03 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.9E-01 | 9.0E-01 | 1.8E-01 |
| HipUM1 | 0.03 |  | 3.0E-08 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 7.8E-02 | 2.5E-07 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.5E-01 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.6E-01 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.9E-01 |
| HipUM2 | 0.12 | 0.25 |  | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 8.7E-06 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.2E-01 | 5.5E-01 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.8E-03 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| C5UM1 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.11 |  | 7.6E-15 | 5.3E-02 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 9.3E-01 | 9.4E-01 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.2E-01 |
| C5UM2 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.35 |  | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.0E-01 | 7.4E-01 | 2.2E-01 |
| CarUM1 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.01 |  | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.7E-01 | 4.8E-01 | 4.1E-01 | 1.6E-01 | 3.4E-01 |
| SSLI1 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.04 |  | 4.2E-214 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-33$ | 1.1E-30 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| SSLI2 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.93 |  | 5.3E-34 | 6.0E-36 | 1.9E-01 | 7.6E-01 |
| DSLI1 | -0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 | -0.06 | 0.03 | 0.51 | 0.52 |  | 9.6E-146 | 4.6E-01 | 5.9E-01 |
| DSLI2 | -0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | -0.04 | 0.04 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.87 |  | 3.5E-01 | $9.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| DARLC | -0.01 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.04 |  | 4.7E-01 |
| LCVLP1 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.01 | -0.06 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | -0.03 |  |


| corr/p-value | LCVLP2 | AFLM1 | AFLM2 | GPLM2 | CNLM1 | CNLM2 | C5LM1 | C5LM2 | C6LM1 | C7LM1 | DWLM1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSUI1 | 4.0E-01 | 3.2E-01 | 8.4E-01 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.4E-04 | 7.5E-01 | 2.2E-04 | 3.5E-01 | 3.8E-01 | 2.1E-01 |
| SSUI2 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.2E-01 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.9E-02 |
| DSUI1 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.0E-01 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.2E-01 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.2E-01 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.2E-01 | 4.9E-01 |
| DSUI2 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.5E-01 | 8.5E-02 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.6E-01 |
| WINUI1 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.9E-01 | 8.6E-01 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.9E-01 | $8.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| LCUI2 | 6.4E-02 | 3.1E-03 | 2.7E-06 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.9E-01 |
| TDUI1 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.2E-04 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.8E-01 |
| TDUI2 | 8.2E-02 | 2.6E-01 | 2.1E-02 | 2.4E-02 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.1E-02 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.4E-01 |
| TDUC | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 6.5E-02 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 7.0E-03 |
| MRUC | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.1E-01 | 1.2E-01 |
| DARUC | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 9.8E-01 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.2E-03 |
| MetUM1 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 5.3E-03 | 5.4E-01 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| MetUM2 | 4.4E-01 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.8E-01 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.2E-01 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.3E-01 |
| HipUM1 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.2E-01 | 3.8E-01 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.2E-06 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| HipUM2 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.0E-01 | 5.5E-01 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 7.4E-01 |
| C5UM1 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.7E-03 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 9.6E-01 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| C5UM2 | 3.3E-01 | 4.2E-01 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $8.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.2E-01 | 9.6E-04 |
| CarUM1 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.1E-01 | 2.8E-01 | 1.5E-01 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 7.1E-01 | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| SSLI1 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.7E-01 | 6.6E-01 | 2.2E-01 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| SSLI2 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.7E-01 | 1.7E-01 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 9.9E-01 |
| DSLI1 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.3E-01 | 6.8E-01 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.2E-01 |
| DSLI2 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.6E-01 |
| DARLC | 7.7E-01 | 8.7E-02 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 5.7E-03 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| LCVLP1 | 4.2E-17 | 3.5E-01 | 5.7E-01 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 8.0E-01 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.0E-01 | 4.6E-01 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.3E-01 | 4.0E-01 |


| corr/p-value | PrtostLM1 | PrtostLM2 | IGUI1 | IGUI2 | ARUP1 | ARUP2 | AMTUP1 | AMTUP2 | 3CUM2 | ARPrLP1 | ARPrLP2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSUI1 | 2.4E-03 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.8E-06 | 2.5E-06 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.8E-03 | 4.7E-02 | 6.9E-01 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| SSUI2 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.4E-01 | 7.4E-06 | 1.5E-08 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 8.6E-02 | 4.2E-01 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| DSUI1 | 4.7E-02 | 1.8E-01 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.6E-01 | 7.8E-01 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| DSUI2 | 7.1E-02 | 8.4E-02 | $9.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.4E-05 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 5.5E-01 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.3E-02 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| WINUI1 | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| LCUI2 | 1.2E-01 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.1E-01 | 7.0E-01 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.0E-01 |
| TDUI1 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 1.3E-19 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.8E-03 | 7.9E-03 |
| TDUI2 | 4.6E-02 | 1.9E-03 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.6E-01 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| TDUC | 5.9E-02 | 1.5E-03 | 9.6E-07 | 1.8E-05 | $9.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.3E-01 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 7.4E-02 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| MRUC | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.6E-01 | 8.8E-02 | 2.9E-01 | 8.2E-03 | 7.8E-01 | 3.5E-01 |
| DARUC | 8.8E-01 | 2.8E-01 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 2.2E-02 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.2E-01 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.6E-06 |
| MetUM1 | 6.6E-01 | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.6E-02 |
| MetUM2 | 2.5E-01 | 6.5E-01 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.6E-01 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 9.8E-01 | 7.0E-01 | 7.2E-01 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 9.8E-01 | 2.4E-01 |
| HipUM1 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.2E-06 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.4E-02 |
| HipUM2 | 7.1E-02 | 3.2E-02 | $9.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.1E-02 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.1E-88 | $9.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| C5UM1 | 9.2E-01 | 7.5E-01 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.7E-02 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.8E-01 |
| C5UM2 | 6.2E-01 | 4.2E-04 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.7E-02 | 3.3E-01 | 7.8E-01 | 7.9E-03 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| CarUM1 | 4.6E-04 | 2.4E-05 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.9E-01 | 7.7E-01 | 7.8E-01 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| SSLI1 | 8.8E-03 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.4E-06 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| SSLI2 | 2.2E-02 | 5.0E-01 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.4E-06 | $9.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.7E-02 | 8.7E-02 | 4.0E-01 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.0E-02 | 2.7E-03 |
| DSLI1 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 5.5E-01 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $8.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.6E-02 | 5.7E-01 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| DSLI2 | 6.8E-04 | 8.1E-01 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.5 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| DARLC | 8.4E-01 | 4.8E-01 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.4E-03 | 7.7E-01 | 4.2E-01 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| LCVLP1 | 6.0E-01 | 3.4E-01 | 6.1E-01 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.6E-01 | 8.4E-01 | 3.1E-01 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.1E-02 |
| Continue... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| corr/p-value | SSUI1 | SSUI2 | DSUI1 | DSUI2 | WINUI1 | LCUI2 | TDUI1 | TDUI2 | TDUC | MRUC | DARUC | MetUM1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LCVLP2 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.02 | -0.05 |
| AFLM1 | -0.05 | -0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.13 | $0.10$ |
| AFLM2 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.07 | -0.01 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.13 |
| GPLM2 | -0.08 | -0.07 | -0.05 | -0.08 | -0.01 | -0.11 | -0.17 | -0.10 | -0.09 | -0.08 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| CNLM1 | $0.07$ | $0.07$ | $0.01$ | $0.06$ | $0.06$ | $0.12$ | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.10 | $0.10$ |
| CNLM2 | $0.16$ | $0.15$ | 0.07 | 0.09 | -0.03 | -0.03 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.04 |
| C5LM1 | 0.01 | 0.03 | -0.06 | -0.03 | -0.01 | 0.00 | -0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.11 |
| C5LM2 | $0.17$ | $0.15$ | $0.06$ | 0.07 | -0.04 | -0.05 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.09 | $0.03$ |
| C6LM1 | $0.04$ | $0.04$ | $0.03$ | $0.05$ | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.04 | $0.05$ |
| C7LM1 | 0.04 | 0.05 | -0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.05 |
| DWLM1 | $0.06$ | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.09 |
| PrtostLM1 | $0.14$ | $0.14$ | $0.09$ | 0.08 | -0.01 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | $-0.01$ | $-0.02$ |
| PrtostLM2 | 0.05 | $0.07$ | $0.06$ | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.00 | $0.15$ | $0.14$ | $0.15$ | $0.05$ | $0.05$ | $0.00$ |
| IGUI1 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.40 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.05 |
| IGUI2 | $0.22$ | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.20 | -0.01 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.00 |
| ARUP1 | $0.03$ | $0.10$ | $0.04$ | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.11 | $0.05$ |
| ARUP2 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.07 |
| AMTUP1 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.11 | -0.03 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| AMTUP2 | $0.02$ | 0.04 | -0.01 | 0.03 | -0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 | -0.01 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 |
| 3CUM2 | -0.10 | -0.09 | -0.05 | -0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | -0.07 | -0.11 | -0.08 | -0.12 | -0.03 | -0.06 |
| ARPrLP1 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.04 |
| ARPrLP2 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.12 | -0.02 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.22 | 0.11 |


| corr/pvalue | MetUM2 | HipUM1 | HipUM2 | C5UM1 | C5UM2 | CarUM1 | SSLI1 | SSLI2 | DSLI1 | DSLI2 | DARLC | LCVLP1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LCVLP2 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 | -0.02 | 0.00 | 0.11 | -0.03 |
| AFLM1 | -0.08 | 0.04 | -0.03 | -0.13 | -0.11 | 0.07 | -0.06 | -0.06 | -0.04 | -0.03 | -0.13 | -0.12 |
| AFLM2 | -0.04 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.04 | -0.02 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.01 |
| GPLM2 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.13 | -0.04 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.06 |
| CNLM1 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.06 | -0.07 | -0.02 | 0.11 | -0.02 |
| CNLM2 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.16 | -0.02 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.04 |
| C5LM1 | $-0.01$ | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| C5LM2 | -0.06 | $0.11$ | -0.09 | $0.00$ | 0.03 | -0.02 | -0.05 | -0.05 | -0.06 | -0.06 | 0.09 | -0.03 |
| C6LM1 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | -0.04 |
| C7LM1 | -0.05 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.16 | -0.01 | -0.02 |
| DWLM1 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| PrtostLM1 | $0.05$ | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.02 |
| PrtostLM2 | -0.04 | $0.01$ | -0.02 | $0.00$ | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.00 |
| IGUI1 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.08 | -0.01 | 0.02 | 0.17 | $-0.04$ |
| IGUI2 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.07 |
| ARUP1 | -0.02 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.06 | -0.01 | -0.01 |
| ARUP2 | -0.02 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | -0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| AMTUP1 | -0.09 | -0.21 | -0.75 | -0.14 | -0.12 | -0.18 | -0.06 | -0.05 | -0.02 | 0.01 | -0.14 | -0.07 |
| AMTUP2 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.01 | -0.02 | 0.12 | 0.07 |
| 3CUM2 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.08 |
| ARPrLP1 | -0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 | -0.06 | 0.03 | 0.51 | 0.52 |  | 9.6E-146 | 4.6E-01 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ARPrLP2 | -0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | -0.04 | 0.04 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.87 |  | 3.5E-01 | $9.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| Continue.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| corr/p-value | LCVLP2 | AFLM1 | AFLM2 | GPLM2 | CNLM1 | CNLM2 | C5LM1 | C5LM2 | C6LM1 | C7LM1 | DWLM1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LCVLP2 | 0.06 | $0.51$ |  | 4.8E-03 | 2.3E-02 | 5.4E-01 | 4.6E-02 | 3.9E-01 | 9.2E-01 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| AFLM1 | $-0.13$ | -0.10 | -0.13 |  | 2.1E-02 | 3.4E-22 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 7.6E-27 | 8.2E-02 | 2.4E-01 | 8.0E-01 |
| AFLM2 | $0.08$ | $0.15$ | $0.10$ | -0.11 |  | 7.1E-10 | 6.0E-15 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.6E-68 | 2.2E-55 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| GPLM2 | $0.04$ | $-0.01$ | $0.03$ | -0.43 | 0.28 |  | 4.3E-04 | 8.9E-87 | 4.1E-09 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 5.7E-01 |
| CNLM1 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.09 | -0.10 | 0.35 | 0.16 |  | 5.2E-07 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.8E-01 |
| CNLM2 | $0.04$ | $-0.03$ | $0.04$ | -0.47 | $0.14$ | $0.75$ | 0.23 |  | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| C5LM1 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0.69 | 0.27 | -0.04 | 0.13 |  | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 6.3E-02 |
| C5LM2 | $0.03$ | $0.14$ | $0.12$ | $-0.05$ | $0.64$ | $0.13$ | $0.04$ | $0.02$ | 0.18 |  | 8.4E-01 |
| C6LM1 | $0.00$ | 0.14 | 0.15 | -0.01 | 0.07 | -0.03 | 0.03 | -0.02 | 0.09 | -0.01 |  |
| C7LM1 | $0.05$ | $-0.08$ | $0.03$ | -0.02 | $-0.03$ | $0.01$ | $-0.05$ | 0.02 | $-0.01$ | $-0.01$ | -0.01 |
| DWLM1 | $0.07$ | -0.01 | $0.03$ | -0.09 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.05 | -0.06 | 0.13 |
| PrtostLM1 | $0.01$ | $0.02$ | $0.09$ | -0.02 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.05 | $0.01$ |
| PrtostLM2 | $-0.01$ | $0.02$ | $0.07$ | $0.02$ | $0.10$ | -0.04 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.02 |
| IGUI1 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | -0.04 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.16 |
| IGUI2 | $0.10$ | $0.03$ | $0.09$ | -0.06 | $0.10$ | $0.07$ | 0.05 | 0.07 | $0.05$ | 0.09 | 0.14 |
| ARUP1 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.22 | -0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.02 | -0.05 | 0.10 | -0.04 |
| ARUP2 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.17 | -0.05 | 0.01 | -0.03 | 0.04 | -0.04 | -0.06 | 0.06 | 0.01 |
| AMTUP1 | -0.07 | 0.06 | $0.04$ | -0.04 | $-0.05$ | -0.07 | -0.19 | -0.14 | -0.03 | 0.06 | 0.00 |
| AMTUP2 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.10 | -0.12 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.05 |
| 3CUM2 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.16 | -0.08 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.10 | -0.02 | 0.05 | 0.08 |


| corr/p-value | PrtostLM1 | PrtostLM2 | IGUI1 | IGUI2 | ARUP1 | ARUP2 | AMTUP1 | AMTUP2 | 3CUM2 | ARPrLP1 | ARPrLP2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LCVLP2 | 5.5E-01 | 4.5E-01 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.1E-01 | 1.1E-07 | 5.8E-02 | 2.8E-06 | 3.1E-04 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.2E-02 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| AFLM1 | 6.6E-01 | 4.1E-02 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.9E-01 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.5E-01 | 3.1E-01 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| AFLM2 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.1E-01 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.9E-02 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 2.3E-01 | 7.6E-01 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.9E-03 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| GPLM2 | 8.9E-01 | 5.5E-04 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.2E-01 | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 9.9E-01 | 5.1E-01 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.4E-03 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| CNLM1 | 2.6E-01 | 8.3E-02 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 2.3E-01 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.3E-01 | 3.9E-01 | 4.2E-05 | 3.8E-01 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| CNLM2 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.7E-05 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 9.5E-01 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.8E-01 | 3.9E-01 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| C5LM1 | 7.9E-01 | 2.6E-01 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.1E-01 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.8E-01 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.1E-02 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| C5LM2 | 7.7E-01 | 2.0E-01 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.8E-02 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.1E-02 | 2.2E-01 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.6E-03 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| C6LM1 | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.4E-03 | $8.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.3E-01 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.6E-01 | 8.4E-01 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.6E-01 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| C7LM1 |  | 1.3E-07 | $8.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.9E-02 | $9.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.7E-01 | 9.4E-04 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.6E-01 | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| DWLM1 | 0.24 |  | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.4E-01 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.7E-01 | $7.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.8E-01 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| PrtostLM1 | -0.01 | 0.10 |  | 2.5E-08 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.9E-01 | $8.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.9E-02 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| PrtostLM2 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.25 |  | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.0E-01 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.2E-02 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IGUI1 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.07 |  | 4.6E-21 | 4.0E-01 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.4E-06 | 2.2E-06 |
| IGUI2 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.44 |  | 2.0E-01 | 1.9E-01 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 6.9E-06 | 3.2E-09 |
| ARUP1 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 |  | 2.0E-24 | $8.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.1E-02 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ARUP2 | 0.03 | -0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.47 |  | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.3E-01 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| AMTUP1 | -0.07 | -0.07 | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.00 | -0.11 | 0.01 | 0.03 |  | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| AMTUP2 | -0.04 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.07 | -0.04 |  | 1.5E-34 |
| 3CUM2 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.06 | -0.05 | 0.54 |  |

Table 4.10. Simple correlation between 46 ordinal traits. Correlation values are presented in the lower left triangle, with corresponding permutation $P$ values in the upper right triangle. Correlations with significant $P$ values ( $<0.0005$, Bonferroni-adjusted threshold) and their corresponding $P$ values are highlighted in bold.

Several correlations between the 46 dental features and covariates were weak (Table 4.11 a and b ), but most of them significant ( r values from 0.10 to 0.21 , p -values $8.3 \times 10^{-}$ ${ }^{2}$ and $7 \times 10^{-16}$, respectively). Negative correlations were observed between dental traits and age, sex and ancestry. Most of the traits related to cusps, ridges and foveae, which are structures susceptible to wear, showed a negative correlation with age (DSUI2, SSLI1, SSLI2, DSLI1, MetUM1, CNLM2, AFLM2, C6LM1, etc.). Some authors have suggested that despite the consumption of softer and processed foods, age has an important effect on dental wear ${ }^{135}$. All the traits observed in canines, showed negative correlation with sex. These teeth present the highest sexual dimorphism within teeth in humans, hominis and nonhuman primates ${ }^{177}$. Sixteen traits showed positive correlations with Native-American ancestry, and negative correlations with European ancestry (SSUI1, SSUI2, DSUI1, DSUI2, MetUM1, MetUM2, SLI1, SLI2, DSLI1, DSLI2, AFLM1, AFLM2, CNLM2, C5LM2, C6LM1 and 3CUM2). Finally, C5UM1, CNLM1, C6LM1 and C7LM1 presented low, and positive, correlations with African ancestry (Table 4.11a-Table 4.11b).

| Covariate | Sex | Age | Africa | Europe | Native |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSUI1 | 0.01 | -0.07 | -0.01 | -0.11 | 0.15 |
| SSUI2 | 0.00 | -0.08 | 0.01 | -0.13 | 0.16 |
| DSUI1 | -0.03 | -0.04 | -0.08 | -0.06 | 0.15 |
| DSUI2 | -0.02 | -0.06 | -0.05 | -0.09 | 0.18 |
| WINUI1 | -0.02 | 0.03 | -0.01 | 0.06 | -0.07 |
| LCUI2 | -0.06 | -0.02 | 0.05 | -0.10 | 0.08 |
| TDUI1 | -0.14 | 0.05 | 0.03 | -0.02 | -0.01 |
| TDUI2 | -0.11 | 0.02 | 0.06 | -0.06 | 0.02 |
| TDUC | -0.18 | 0.02 | 0.11 | -0.08 | 0.00 |
| MRUC | -0.13 | -0.02 | 0.03 | -0.08 | 0.07 |
| DARUC | -0.11 | -0.16 | 0.02 | -0.06 | 0.05 |
| MetUM1 | 0.10 | -0.08 | 0.07 | -0.16 | 0.15 |
| MetUM2 | -0.01 | -0.13 | 0.03 | -0.14 | 0.16 |
| HipUM1 | -0.04 | -0.14 | 0.08 | -0.06 | 0.00 |
| HipUM2 | -0.06 | -0.06 | 0.08 | -0.02 | -0.05 |
| C5UM1 | -0.02 | -0.09 | 0.11 | -0.09 | 0.00 |
| C5UM2 | -0.05 | -0.04 | 0.13 | -0.06 | -0.06 |
| CarUM1 | -0.05 | -0.04 | -0.02 | 0.06 | -0.06 |
| SSLI1 | 0.04 | -0.06 | 0.02 | -0.17 | 0.21 |
| SSLI2 | 0.04 | -0.05 | 0.02 | -0.17 | 0.21 |
| DSLI1 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02 | -0.12 | 0.14 |
| DSLI2 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | -0.12 | 0.15 |
| DARLC | -0.13 | -0.06 | 0.01 | -0.02 | 0.01 |
| LCVLP1 | -0.01 | -0.07 | 0.07 | -0.02 | -0.05 |
| LCVLP2 | 0.01 | -0.05 | 0.06 | -0.03 | -0.02 |
| AFLM1 | 0.00 | -0.09 | 0.07 | -0.11 | 0.08 |
| AFLM2 | -0.02 | -0.23 | -0.02 | -0.09 | 0.14 |
| GPLM2 | 0.01 | 0.05 | -0.03 | 0.06 | -0.05 |
| CNLM1 | -0.06 | -0.05 | 0.13 | -0.15 | 0.07 |
| CNLM2 | -0.08 | 0.01 | 0.08 | -0.15 | 0.13 |
| C5LM1 | -0.04 | -0.10 | 0.07 | -0.06 | 0.01 |
| C5LM2 | -0.04 | -0.02 | 0.07 | -0.14 | 0.12 |
| C6LM1 | -0.02 | 0.04 | 0.11 | -0.15 | 0.09 |
| C7LM1 | -0.11 | -0.08 | 0.10 | -0.09 | 0.02 |
| DWLM1 | 0.06 | -0.10 | 0.06 | -0.09 | 0.06 |
| PrtostLM1 | -0.06 | 0.18 | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.01 |
| PrtostLM2 | -0.09 | 0.01 | 0.05 | -0.06 | 0.03 |
| IGUI1 | -0.11 | -0.06 | 0.01 | -0.04 | 0.05 |
| IGUI2 | -0.09 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ARUP1 | -0.03 | -0.19 | 0.08 | -0.10 | 0.05 |
| ARUP2 | -0.04 | -0.17 | 0.05 | -0.04 | 0.00 |
| AMTUP1 | -0.04 | -0.14 | 0.01 | -0.04 | 0.04 |
| AMTUP2 | -0.09 | -0.15 | 0.06 | -0.03 | -0.02 |
| 3CUM2 | 0.07 | 0.07 | -0.08 | -0.02 | 0.10 |
| ARPrLP1 | 0.00 | -0.13 | 0.07 | -0.04 | -0.02 |
| ARPrLP2 | -0.03 | -0.16 | 0.08 | -0.08 | 0.03 |

Table 4.11a. Correlation between 46 ordinal dental traits and age, sex, and continental ancestry. Correlation values are presented in Table a), with corresponding $P$ values in Table b). Correlations with significant $P$ values ( $<0.0002$, Bonferroni-adjusted threshold), are highlighted in bold. Anc. = Continental ancestry estimated from the genetic data. Sex coded as female $=1$, male $=0$.

| $p$-value | Sex | Age | Africa | Europe | Native |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSUI1 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.8E-01 | 2.1E-02 | 7.6E-04 | 2.4E-16 |
| SSUI2 | 7.6E-02 | 8.6E-01 | 5.8E-03 | 4.4E-04 | 1.7E-16 |
| DSUI1 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 9.0E-02 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.4E-07 |
| DSUI2 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.2E-02 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.4E-08 |
| WINUI1 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| LCUI2 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.8E-02 | 8.5E-02 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| TDUI1 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.5E-02 |
| TDUI2 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| TDUC | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.7E-02 | 7.6E-02 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| MRUC | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.7E-02 |
| DARUC | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| MetUM1 | 8.3E-02 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.3E-04 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| MetUM2 | 4.7E-03 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| HipUM1 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 8.5E-02 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| HipUM2 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.4E-02 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.2E-02 |
| C5UM1 | 5.0E-02 | 1.5E-02 | 6.2E-02 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| C5UM2 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.3E-03 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| CarUM1 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| SSLI1 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 7.0E-16 |
| SSLI2 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.3E-06 | 1.8E-14 |
| DSLI1 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.4E-03 | 1.8E-03 | 2.8E-03 |
| DSLI2 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 2.8E-03 |
| DARLC | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| LCVLP1 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.8E-01 |
| LCVLP2 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| AFLM1 | 5.2E-02 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 9.6E-02 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| AFLM2 | 3.6E-07 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.1E-02 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| GPLM2 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.5E-02 |
| CNLM1 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.5E-03 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.6E-01 |
| CNLM2 | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 9.9E-02 | 9.5E-04 | 6.0E-03 | 1.8E-03 |
| C5LM1 | 2.4E-02 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.5E-01 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| C5LM2 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.4E-03 |
| C6LM1 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.9E-02 | 9.8E-04 | 4.3E-02 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| C7LM1 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.5E-02 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| DWLM1 | 3.2E-02 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.4E-02 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| PrtostLM1 | 8.8E-05 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| PrtostLM2 | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IGUI1 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IGUI2 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.8 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.3E-02 |
| ARUP1 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ARUP2 | 3.3E-04 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.3 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| AMTUP1 | 3.8E-03 | 7.7E-01 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| AMTUP2 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 3CUM2 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.3E-02 | 5.8E-02 |
| ARPrLP1 | 4.3E-03 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.1 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ARPrLP2 | 3.9E-04 | 7.1E-02 | 6.8E-02 | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-01$ |

Table 4.11b: Corresponding $P$ values correlation between 46 ordinal dental traits and age, sex and continental ancestry.

### 4.3.2.3 Narrow-sense heritability (h2) of 46 ordinal traits

Based on a kinship matrix derived from the SNP data ${ }^{60}$, the narrow-sense heritability for the initial 46 ordinal dental traits using GCTA ${ }^{61}$ was estimated. Most of the values are either close to 1 or 0 , meaning the algorithm convergence might have had problems given the small sample size, and therefore converged to one boundary or the other of the $0-1$ interval, which is the set of legitimate values of heritability. Only a few values are intermediate (around 0.3 or 0.5 ). But the variance is huge - SD is around $40 \%$. Thus, except those with high heritability values, all others are non-significant, which means that even a heritability of $50 \%$ can be null i.e. $0 \%$. (Table 4.12). Heritability was not calculated for the regrouped ASUDAS traits or metric data due to the reasons explained above.

| Trait | Heritability | S.E. | $\boldsymbol{P}$-val |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SSUI1 | 1 | 0.47 | $4.50 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| SSUI2 | 0.92 | 0.45 | $8.60 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| DSUI1 | 0.6 | 0.44 | $4.90 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| DSUI2 | 0.65 | 0.42 | $3.10 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| WINUI1 | 0.39 | 0.4 | $1.70 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| LCUI2 | 0.12 | 0.32 | $3.40 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IGUI1 | 0 | 0.36 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IGUI2 | 0 | 0.4 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| TDUI1 | 0 | 0.32 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| TDUI2 | 0.82 | 0.48 | $3.70 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| TDUC | 0.48 | 0.39 | $3.80 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| MRUC | 0.75 | 0.48 | $6.90 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| DARUC | 0 | 0.3 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| ARUP1 | 0.54 | 0.46 | $6.50 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| ARUP2 | 0 | 0.29 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| AMTUP1 | 0 | 0.4 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| AMTUP2 | 0.01 | 0.24 | $4.70 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| MetUM1 | 0.32 | 0.36 | $9.90 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| MetUM2 | 0.93 | 0.45 | $4.10 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 3CUM2 | 0.14 | 0.31 | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| HipUM1 | 0 | 0.18 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| HipUM2 | 0.74 | 0.42 | $8.70 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| C5UM1 | 1 | 0.44 | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| C5UM2 | 0.88 | 0.41 | $8.20 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| CarUM1 | 0 | 0.36 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| SSLI1 | 1 | 0.43 | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| SSLI2 | 1 | 0.41 | $5.70 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| DSLI1 | 0.47 | 0.43 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| DSLI2 | 0.27 | 0.4 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| DARLC | 0 | 0.41 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| LCVLP1 | 0.32 | 0.41 | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| LCVLP2 | 0.29 | 0.41 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-01$ |

Table 4.12. Heritability estimates for the 46 ordinal dental traits examined using ASUDAS.

### 4.3.2.4 Genome Wide Association Analyses

I performed genome-wide association tests using multivariate linear regression, as implemented in PLINK ${ }^{251}$ on not regrouped and regrouped (all criteria) ASUDAS traits, using an additive genetic model adjusting for: age, sex and the first five principal components (Figure 4.31 ) computed from the SNP data. The resulting statistics showed no evidence of residual population stratification for any of the traits (Figure 4.32). After quality control assessment, 412 samples and 640,094 SNPs were retained for the not imputed GWAS and 411 samples and 9,459,622 variants were retained for the imputed GWAS.

### 4.3.2.4.1 Genomic regions associated with ordinal dental traits

Eleven of the ordinal dental traits examined showed genome-wide significant association and Figure 4.34 ( $P$ values $<5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) with SNPs in at least one genomic region (Table 4.13).

| Chromosomal Region | Index SNP | Alleles ${ }^{2}$ | Effect size | $P$ value | Associated Trait | Candidate Gene ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1p13.1 | rs10494193 | T>C | $1.54 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.6E-08 | Protostylid LM1 | CD101 |
| 1 q 43 | rs3851907 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | $8.85 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-09$ | Cusp 7 LM1 * | ACTN2 |
| 2p12 | rs9808165 | $\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ | $-1.28 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-09$ | Hypocone UM1 | LOC101927907 |
| 2q12.3 | rs3827760 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $4.05 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-09$ | Shovel Shape LI1 | EDAR |
| 2q12.3 | rs3827760 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $4.05 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-09$ | Shovel Shape LI1 * | EDAR |
| 2q12.3 | rs3827760 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $9.52 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-11$ | Shovel Shape UI1 | EDAR |
| 2q12.3 | rs3827760 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $4.22 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-10$ | Shovel Shape UI1 * | EDAR |
| 2q12.3 | rs3827760 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $9.29 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.4 \mathrm{E}-11$ | Shovel Shape UI2 | EDAR |
| 2q12.3 | rs3827760 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $4.26 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.4 \mathrm{E}-11$ | Shovel Shape UI2 * | EDAR |
| 2 q 37.1 | rs17862881 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $9.11 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Double Shoveling LI1 | TRPM8 |
| 2q37.1 | rs17862881 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-11$ | Double Shoveling LI2 | TRPM8 |
| 10q26.13 | rs12570261 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | $8.11 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Protostylid LM1 | FGFR2 |
| 10q26.2 | rs1037804 | $\mathrm{T}>\mathrm{A}$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-13$ | Double Shoveling LI1 | ADAM12 |
| 10q26.2 | rs1037804 | $\mathrm{T}>\mathrm{A}$ | $8.99 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-11$ | Double Shoveling LI2 | ADAM12 |
| 12p11.21 | rs16919218 | $\mathrm{C}<\mathrm{T}$ | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Deflecting Wrinkle LM1 | KIAA1551/BICD1 |
| 12q24.33 | rs12299956 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | $1.27 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Protostylid LM2 | GPR133 |
| 15 q 23 | rs630603 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-13$ | Protostylid LM2 | TLE3 |
| 17q11.2 | rs9899063 | $\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ | $9.62 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.3E-08 | Protostylid LM2 | NF1 |
| 18q22.1 | rs17072636 | $\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ | -4.49E-01 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Metacone UM2 * | LOC284294 |
| 22q12.1 | rs16984020 | G>A | $8.64 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Double Shoveling LI1 | LOC101929 |

[^0]

Figure 4.34. Manhattan plot summarizing the GWAS results for the ASUDAS dental traits examined in the Colombian CANDELA samples. These GWAS was performed with 46 traits phenotyped using the ASUDAS scoring method from 501 volunteers. A 'composite' of Manhattan plot shows the results across traits. All the SNPs with $P$ values exceeding thresholds genome-wide suggestive $\left(10^{-5}\right)$ are over the blue line and P values reaching the threshold genome-wide significant $\left(5 \times 10^{-8}\right)$ are above the red line.

The accessory cusp 7 of the first lower molar (C7LM1) regrouped (from 6 to 3 categories) showed association with the genomic variant, rs3851907, located in 1q43 chromosome region. It falls within the gene ACTN2 genomic coordinates (Actinin, alpha 2) (Table 4.13, Figure 4.34 and Figure 4.35).

## Cusp 7 Lower molar 1



Figure 4.35. Regional association plot for SNPs in $\mathbf{1 q 4 3}$ and Cusp 7 first lower molar. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs3851907). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

Double shoveling in the central lower incisor (DSLI1) showed association with 3 genetic markers, rs1037804, rs16984020 and rs17862881. The first one is in the chromosomic region 10q26.2 (Table 4.13, Figure 4.34 and Figure 4.36), corresponding to an intron on the gene ADAM12. The second SNP (rs16984020) associated with DSLI1 is in chromosome 22 (Table 4.13, Figure 4.34 and Figure 4.37) and it does not fall in a specific gene. It is around 200 Mb downstream from LOC1929539. Finally, the third marker that presented significant association with DSLI1 is rs17862881. This SNP is also located in an intergenic region (2q37.1) (Table 4.13, Figure 4.34 and Figure 4.38), but it is near several genes.


Figure 4.36. Regional association plot for SNPs in 10q26.2 and DSLI1. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs1037804). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.


Figure 4.37. Regional association plot for SNPs in 22q12.1 and DSLI1. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs16984020). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

Double shoveling central lower incisor


Figure 4.38. Regional association plot for SNPs in 2q37.1 and DSLI1. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index $\operatorname{SNP}$ (rs17862881). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom 310.

Double shoveling on the lateral lower incisor (DSLI2) showed the same associations with SNPs rs17862881 and rs1037804 (Table 4.13, Figure 4.34, Figure 4.39 and Figure 4.40). This was expected since this is the same trait assessed in another tooth.


Figure 4.39. Regional association plot for SNPs in 2q37.1 and DSLI2. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index $\operatorname{SNP}$ (rs17862881). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom 310.


Figure 4.40. Regional association plot for SNPs in 10q26.2 and DSLI2. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs1037804). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom 310.

Deflecting wrinkle of the first lower molar (DWLM1) showed association with SNPs in chromosome region 12p11.21 (Table 4.13, Figure 4.34 and Figure 4.41). The index marker was rs16919218. This genetic variant falls in an intergenic region near to KIAA1551 and BICD1 genes.


Figure 4.41. Regional association plot for SNPs in 12p11.21 and DWLM1. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs16919218). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

Hypocone in the first upper molar (HypLM1) corresponds to the fourth cusp situated in the distolingual part of the molar. This feature showed a significant association with two genetic markers, rs9808165 and rs 17142577 (Table 4.13 and Figure 4.34). The first SNP is in chromosome region 2 p 12 (Figure 4.42). The genomic variant rs17142577 is the second SNP associated with HypLM1, and it is located in the $7 q 31.31$ region (Figure 4.43). It does not fall in a gene but is close to $K C N D 2$.


Figure 4.42. Regional association plot for SNPs in 2p12 and HypUM1. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs9808165). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom 310.


Figure 4.43. Regional association plot for SNPs in 7 q31.31 and HypUM1. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs17142577). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

The trait Metacone in the second upper molar (MetUM2) regrouped (from 7 to 4 categories) is the presence of cusp number 3 in the upper molars. It showed association with a SNP (rs17072636) situated in the 18q22.1 region and it falls in an intron in the uncharacterized gene LOC284294 (Table 4.13, Figure 4.34 and Figure 4.44).


Figure 4.44. Regional association plot for SNPs in 18q22.1 and MetUM2. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs17072636). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

Protostylid in the first lower molar (PrtstLM1), correspond to the appearance of a secondary groove associated to the buccal groove separating cusps 1 and 3 on the buccal surface of the lower molars. This feature has shown association with SNPs in three genomic regions (1p13.1, 8q24.22 and 10q26.13) (Table 4.13 and Figure 4.34). The first genomic variant (rs10494193) is located in CD101 gene (Figure 4.45).

The second marker that showed association with this trait was rs12680196, it falls in an intergenic region ( 8 q 24.22 ) and it is 400 Mb downstream from the gene EFR3A (Figure 4.46).

Finally, PrtostLM1 presented an association with a SNP (rs12570261), also located in an intergenic region in chromosome 10, band 26.13 (Figure 4.47). It is close to the gene FGFR2 ( $\sim 50 \mathrm{Mb}$ downstream).


Figure 4.45. Regional association plot for SNPs in 1p13.1 and PrtstLM1. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs10494193). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.


Figure 4.46. Regional association plot for SNPs in 8q21.22 and PrtstLM1. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs12680196). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.


Figure 4.47. Regional association plot for SNPs in 10q26.13 and PrtstLM1. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs12570261). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

Four genomic variants (rs2241729, rs12299956, rs630603 and rs9899063) located in four different chromosomes were found associated with Protostylid in the second lower molar (PrtstLM2) (Table 4.13 and Figure 4.34)

The first marker rs2241729 (7q32.3) (Figure 4.48) is situated in an intron in the gene PLXNA4.

The second genetic variant rs12299956, falls within the gene GPR133 in 12q24.33 chromosome region (Figure 4.49).

Marker (rs630603) situated in the 15 q 23 chromosome region (Figure 4.50) is the third SNP associated with Protostylid in the second lower molar. This genomic variant falls in the TLE3 gene.

Finally, the SNP rs 9899063 which falls in the NF1 gene showed an association with PrtstLM2. The NF1 gene is in chromosome region 17q11.2 (Figure 4.51).


Figure 4.48. Regional association plot for SNPs in 7q32.3 and PrtstLM2. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs2241729). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.


Figure 4.49. Regional association plot for SNPs in 12q24.33 and PrtstLM2. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs12299956). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.


Figure 4.50. Regional association plot for SNPs in 15q23 and PrtstLM2. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs630603). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom 310.


Figure 4.51. Regional association plot for SNPs in 17q11.2 and PrtstLM2. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs9899063). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

The genomic variant rs3827760 falls within the EDAR gene (2q12.3) (Table 4.13 and Figure 4.34, Figure 4.52 - Figure 4.57), showed association with shovel shape in central and lateral upper incisors and in central lower incisors (SSUI1,SSUI1 regrouped, SSUI2, SSUI2 regrouped, SLI1 and SLI1 regrouped), both the normally categorized version (0-6) and regrouped version (0-3) of the phenotypes.


Figure 4.52. Regional association plot for SNPs in 2q12.3 and SSUI1. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs3827760). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom 310.


Figure 4.53. Regional association plot for SNPs in 2q12.3 and SSUI1 regrouped. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs3827760). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.


Figure 4.54. Regional association plot for SNPs in 2q12.3 and SSUI2. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs3827760). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom 310


Figure 4.55. Regional association plot for SNPs in 2q12.3 and SSUI2 regrouped. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs3827760). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.


Figure 4.56. Regional association plot for SNPs in 2q12.3 and SSLI1. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs3827760). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom 310.


Figure 4.57. Regional association plot for SNPs in $2 q 12.3$ and SSLI1 regrouped. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the index SNP (rs3827760). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

### 4.3.3 Quantitative dental features

Sixty distances were measured (Table 4.9 and Figure 4.30) using dental photographs (Figure 4.27) of 564 individuals.

### 4.3.3.1 Rater reliability

The rater reliability for metric dental traits scores were assessed by calculating intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) ${ }^{291}$. They indicate high intra-rater reliability of the traits measured (Table 4.14). There are two traits with lower ICC (MD_Up_Rt_C and BL_Up_Lf_I2).

| Jaw | Measurement | Side | Tooth | Trait code | ICC (L.M.R) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper | IncisalCervical <br> Distance | Right | Central Incisor | IC_Up_Rt_I1 | 0.99 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | IC_Up_Rt_I2 | 0.96 |
|  |  |  | Canine | IC_Up_Rt_C | 1.00 |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | IC_Up_Rt_P1 | 0.97 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | IC_Up_Rt_P2 | 0.98 |
|  |  | Left | Central Incisor | IC_Up_Lf_I1 | 0.99 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | IC_Up_Lf_I2 | 0.98 |
|  |  |  | Canine | IC_Up_Lf_C | 0.98 |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | IC_Up_Lf_P1 | 0.99 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | IC_Up_Lf_P2 | 0.96 |
|  | Meso-Distal Distance | Right | Central Incisor | MD_Up_Rt_I1 | 0.96 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | MD_Up_Rt_I2 | 0.97 |
|  |  |  | Canine | MD_Up_Rt_C | 0.76 |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | MD_Up_Rt_P1 | 0.99 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | MD_Up_Rt_P2 | 0.99 |
|  |  | Left | Central Incisor | MD_Up_Lf_I1 | 0.99 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | MD_Up_Lf_I2 | 0.94 |
|  |  |  | Canine | MD_Up_Lf_C | 0.97 |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | MD_Up_Lf_P1 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | MD_Up_Lf_P2 | 0.99 |
|  | Bucco-Lingual Distance | Right | Central Incisor | BL_Up_Rt_I1 | 0.91 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | BL_Up_Rt_I2 | 0.91 |
|  |  |  | Canine | BL_Up_Rt_C | 0.98 |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | BL_Up_Rt_P1 | 0.98 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | BL_Up_Rt_P2 | 0.98 |
|  |  | Left | Central Incisor | BL_Up_Lf_I1 | 0.88 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | BL_Up_Lf_I2 | 0.94 |
|  |  |  | Canine | BL_Up_Lf_C | 0.98 |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | BL_Up_Lf_P1 | 0.99 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | BL_Up_Lf_P2 | 0.99 |


| Jaw | Measurement | Side | Tooth | Trait code | ICC (L.M.R) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower | IncisalCervical <br> Distance | Right | Central Incisor | IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | 0.95 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | 0.99 |
|  |  |  | Canine | IC_Lw_Rt_C | 1.00 |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.98 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | 0.98 |
|  |  | Left | Central Incisor | IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | 0.96 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  | Canine | IC_Lw_Lf_C | 1.00 |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | 0.98 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | IC_Lw_Lf_P2 | 0.94 |
|  | Meso-Distal Distance | Right | Central Incisor | MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | 0.98 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | 0.97 |
|  |  |  | Canine | MD_Lw_Rt_C | 0.96 |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.98 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | MD_Lw_Rt_P2 | 0.98 |
|  |  | Left | Central Incisor | MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | 0.97 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | 0.96 |
|  |  |  | Canine | MD_Lw_Lf_C | 0.90 |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | 0.98 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | 0.98 |
|  | Bucco-Lingual Distance | Right | Central Incisor | BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | 0.85 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | 0.92 |
|  |  |  | Canine | BL_Lw_Rt_C | 0.99 |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.99 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | BL_Lw_Rt_P2 | 0.99 |
|  |  | Left | Central Incisor | BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | 0.97 |
|  |  |  | Lateral Incisor | BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | 0.80 |
|  |  |  | Canine | BL_Lw_Lf_C | 0.99 |
|  |  |  | First Premolar | BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | 0.99 |
|  |  |  | Second Premolar | BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | 0.99 |

Table 4.14. Rater reliability for quantitative dental traits. There was 1 rater (L.M.R) that scored the same 28 people twice, 2 weeks apart.

### 4.3.3.2 Correlations

Overall, most of the traits showed from weak to very strong positive, and significant, correlations amongst each other (using a Bonferroni-adjusted permutation $P$-value threshold for significance of $1 \times 10^{-4}$, Table 4.15) (r values 0.10 to 0.93 ). The strongest
correlations were observed between inciso-cervical distances (length of the tooth) in the upper and lower jaw.

The highest correlation was seen between the inciso-cervical distances of the right and left central incisors in the upper jaw ( $\mathrm{r}=0.93$ ) (IC_Up_Lf_I1 and IC_Up_Rt_I1). The lowest correlation was between the inciso-cervical distance of the upper left central incisor and the second upper premolar. Something similar was observed with the bucco-lingual and mesio-distal measurements. This is reflecting the difference in size of the different teeth as they move away from the midline line of the teeth.

Most of the correlations between bucco-lingual and mesio-distal distances were from moderate to strong positive correlations (r values from 0.30 to 0.64 ). Nevertheless, most of the correlations between these two measurements, bucco-lingual and mesodistal distances, with the length of the teeth (inciso-cervical measurements) were negligible or weak positive correlations (r values 0.0 to 0.16 ).

| Trait <br> IC Up Rt P2 | IC_Up_Rt_P2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IC_Up_Rt_P1 } \\ & \mathbf{1 . 7 E - 1 1 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IC_Up_Rt_C } \\ & \text { 1.5E-57 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IC_Up_Rt_I2 } \\ & 6.5 \mathrm{E}-50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IC_Up_Rt_I1 } \\ & \text { 5.7E-37 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IC_Up_Lf_I1 } \\ & \text { 2.4E-32 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IC_Up_Lf_I2 } \\ & 6.5 \mathrm{E}-49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IC_Up_Lf_C } \\ & \text { 9.1E-52 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IC_Up_Lf_P1 } \\ & \mathbf{2 . 6 E - 7 3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IC_Up_Lf_P2 } \\ & \mathbf{2 . 4 E - 1 2 6} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Up_Rt_P1 | 0.81 |  | 2.6E-56 | 1.1E-42 | 2.5E-32 | 8.8E-30 | 1.0E-46 | 1.9E-58 | 1.0E-121 | 8.5E-84 |
| IC_Up_Rt_C | 0.61 | 0.64 |  | 1.2E-98 | 3.8E-76 | 6.5E-70 | 2.1E-77 | 5.4E-167 | 4.5E-51 | 2.8E-50 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I2 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.74 |  | 1.1E-97 | 1.4E-84 | 1.2E-140 | 5.7E-79 | 1.2E-42 | 1.8E-46 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I1 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.68 | 0.74 |  | 1.2E-242 | 5.0E-84 | 9.8E-69 | 4.0E-32 | 6.2E-38 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I1 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.93 |  | 7.4E-85 | 2.4E-69 | 8.5E-33 | 5.7E-34 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I2 | 0.57 | 0.60 | 0.68 | 0.83 | 0.70 | 0.71 |  | 2.0E-87 | 1.1E-51 | 1.1E-46 |
| IC_Up_Lf_C | 0.58 | 0.65 | 0.86 | 0.69 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.71 |  | 9.4E-71 | 2.0E-55 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P1 | 0.71 | 0.83 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.62 | 0.70 |  | 3.3E-80 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P2 | 0.81 | 0.75 | 0.58 | 0.56 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.56 | 0.60 | 0.74 |  |
| MD_Up_Lf_P2 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.34 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P1 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.34 |
| MD_Up_Lf_C | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.40 | 0.24 | 0.28 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I2 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.17 | 0.23 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I1 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.26 | 0.25 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I1 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.37 | 0.27 | 0.26 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I2 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.26 |
| MD_Up_Rt_C | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.39 | 0.28 | 0.27 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P1 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.32 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P2 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.32 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P2 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.38 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P1 | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.33 |
| BL_Up_Lf_C | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.38 | 0.55 | 0.42 | 0.36 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I2 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.45 | 0.34 | 0.32 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I1 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.38 | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I1 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I2 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.34 | 0.32 |
| BL_Up_Rt_C | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.39 | 0.34 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P1 | 0.24 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.31 |

Trait
IC_Up_Rt_P2 IC_Up_Rt_P1 IC_Up_Rt_C IC_Up_Rt_I2 IC_Up_Rt_I1 IC_Up_Lf_I1 IC_Up_Lf_I2 IC_Up_Lf_C IC_Up_Lf_P1 IC_Up_Lf_P2 MD_Up_Lf_P2 MD_Up_Lf_P1 MD_Up_Lf_C MD_Up_Lf_I2 MD_Up_Lf_I1 MD_Up_Rt_I1 MD_Up_Rt_I2 MD_Up_Rt_C MD_Up_Rt_P1 MD_Up_Rt_P2 BL_Up_Lf_P2 BL_Up_Lf_P1 BL_Up_Lf_C
BL_Up_Lf_I2
BL_Up_Lf_I1
BL_Up_Rt_I1
BL_Up_Rt_I2
BL_Up_Rt_C
BL_Up_Rt_P1
Continue...

| MD_Up_Lf_P2 | MD_Up_Lf_P1 | MD_Up_Lf_C | MD_Up_Lf_I2 | MD_Up_Lf_I1 | MD_Up_Rt_I1 | MD_Up_Rt_I2 | MD_Up_Rt_C | MD_Up_Rt_P1 | MD_Up_Rt_P2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.5E-12 | 7.1E-14 | 1.2E-10 | 2.1E-06 | 8.4E-08 | 7.9E-09 | 3.1E-07 | 7.3E-09 | 1.8E-14 | 4.1E-13 |
| 3.9E-09 | 6.8E-16 | 6.3E-09 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.9E-09 | 1.9E-10 | $9.1 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.2E-09 | 1.1E-18 | 8.1E-09 |
| 1.0E-10 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 2.1E-23 | 2.2E-12 | 4.2E-18 | 2.1E-20 | 6.8E-14 | 7.5E-20 | 6.4E-15 | 5.5E-11 |
| $9.1 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 5.4E-10 | 1.2E-15 | 8.9E-17 | 7.3E-15 | 1.8E-16 | 9.9E-20 | 8.7E-14 | 6.0E-12 | 6.4E-09 |
| 3.6E-13 | 1.3E-12 | 3.0E-13 | 2.7E-10 | 3.4E-18 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 9.6E-12 | 3.6E-15 | 1.2E-13 | 2.0E-12 |
| 6.2E-11 | 1.1E-12 | 1.4E-13 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-21$ | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 1.4E-11 | 6.0E-16 | 9.4E-14 | 2.8E-10 |
| $2.2 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 1.9E-09 | 1.2E-11 | 1.4E-17 | 2.2E-12 | 2.5E-13 | 3.0E-19 | 3.8E-11 | 9.2E-11 | 2.6E-06 |
| 4.3E-09 | 1.2E-11 | 3.6E-23 | 5.7E-13 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-20$ | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-20$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 1.4E-21 | 9.2E-12 | 3.0E-08 |
| 9.9E-08 | 4.3E-16 | 8.1E-08 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 8.5E-09 | 3.7E-09 | 4.9E-06 | 8.4E-10 | 5.9E-16 | 9.6E-09 |
| 7.4E-16 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 2.4E-11 | 1.1E-07 | 6.7E-09 | 1.2E-09 | 1.0E-09 | 9.6E-11 | 2.2E-12 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ |
|  | 6.6E-69 | 6.5E-21 | 5.8E-13 | 6.2E-13 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 2.2E-12 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 6.1E-54 | 5.3E-139 |
| 0.70 |  | 5.9E-30 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 1.9E-22 | 5.3E-23 | 1.2E-19 | 1.1E-37 | 1.5E-125 | 4.8E-60 |
| 0.39 | 0.49 |  | 2.6E-31 | 1.6E-25 | 1.4E-25 | 5.1E-27 | 2.8E-138 | 7.2E-26 | 6.6E-24 |
| 0.30 | 0.37 | 0.46 |  | 3.3E-44 | 2.9E-48 | 2.6E-141 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-31$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 3.0E-13 |
| 0.30 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.54 |  | 8.3E-187 | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-41$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-33$ | 2.0E-26 | 6.3E-15 |
| 0.32 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.56 | 0.88 |  | 2.6E-46 | 3.8E-32 | 1.7E-28 | 1.6E-16 |
| 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.83 | 0.53 | 0.55 |  | 1.1E-30 | 8.5E-24 | 3.2E-17 |
| 0.41 | 0.54 | 0.82 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.46 |  | 3.5E-33 | 1.6E-28 |
| 0.64 | 0.84 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.51 |  | 1.2E-55 |
| 0.83 | 0.66 | 0.41 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.64 |  |
| 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.61 | 0.51 |
| 0.41 | 0.61 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 0.64 | 0.42 |
| 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.52 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.53 | 0.43 | 0.30 |
| 0.25 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.52 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.27 |
| 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.30 |
| 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.29 |
| 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.45 | 0.39 | 0.27 |
| 0.29 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.53 | 0.42 | 0.31 |
| 0.42 | 0.61 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.44 |


| Trait | BL_Up_Lf_P2 | BL_Up_Lf_P1 | BL_Up_Lf_C | BL_Up_Lf_I2 | BL_Up_Lf_I1 | BL_Up_Rt_I1 | BL_Up_Rt_I2 | BL_Up_Rt_C | BL_Up_Rt_P1 | BL_Up_Rt_P2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Up_Rt_P2 | 1.1E-12 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 2.9E-17 | 1.7E-12 | 7.2E-13 | 1.3E-12 | 8.2E-15 | 1.5E-14 | 1.3E-07 | 2.0E-14 |
| IC_Up_Rt_P1 | 3.7E-14 | 8.0E-19 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3.9E-14 | 2.2E-14 | 7.5E-14 | 5.0E-16 | 2.5E-21 | 1.3E-17 | 8.7E-13 |
| IC_Up_Rt_C | 2.8E-17 | 7.6E-14 | 9.0E-39 | 6.8E-29 | 5.7E-25 | 2.8E-25 | 3.7E-26 | 7.1E-38 | 2.2E-14 | 2.2E-14 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I2 | $9.3 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 1.0E-27 | 3.6E-21 | 3.2E-19 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 3.5E-24 | 5.2E-12 | 3.4E-13 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I1 | 9.2E-17 | 3.3E-13 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-26$ | 1.3E-24 | 3.4E-31 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 2.7E-25 | 9.9E-24 | 1.2E-14 | 7.9E-16 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I1 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 1.4E-15 | 9.4E-28 | 1.3E-22 | 5.4E-33 | 2.1E-30 | 9.8E-23 | 1.4E-26 | 3.5E-17 | 3.8E-18 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I2 | 8.1E-12 | 8.0E-10 | 6.5E-21 | 2.4E-24 | 9.2E-21 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-20$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 1.5E-21 | 6.4E-12 | 2.4E-12 |
| IC_Up_Lf_C | 1.6E-17 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 6.8E-46 | 8.5E-29 | 2.3E-31 | 5.7E-31 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 1.2E-43 | 9.8E-17 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ |
| IC_Up_Lf_P1 | 2.8E-13 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 3.8E-21 | 7.0E-14 | 2.7E-14 | 3.5E-13 | 8.5E-14 | 9.1E-19 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 5.5E-12 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P2 | 2.8E-20 | 7.2E-13 | 4.7E-18 | 5.5E-14 | 4.8E-16 | 2.7E-15 | 3.1E-14 | 5.2E-16 | 6.8E-12 | 1.0E-20 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P2 | 1.3E-36 | 3.9E-20 | 8.3E-13 | 2.3E-09 | 4.1E-11 | 6.7E-11 | 1.1E-08 | 2.7E-12 | 5.1E-21 | 5.4E-31 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P1 | 9.8E-43 | 2.1E-49 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4.0E-14 | 5.1E-17 | 6.8E-17 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 6.9E-50 | 7.2E-34 |
| MD_Up_Lf_C | 3.7E-33 | 3.5E-26 | 9.7E-41 | 6.0E-27 | 3.9E-21 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 5.2E-26 | 5.3E-37 | 3.4E-24 | 2.5E-28 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I2 | 3.3E-29 | 5.4E-21 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-26$ | 5.6E-35 | 1.3E-20 | 9.2E-21 | 1.6E-34 | 8.6E-26 | 5.7E-25 | 1.2E-26 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I1 | 5.5E-33 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-33$ | 1.2E-30 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 3.2E-38 | 7.5E-36 | 5.5E-23 | 1.3E-30 | 4.3E-31 | 2.4E-29 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I1 | 1.6E-32 | 2.9E-28 | 3.9E-28 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 1.8E-34 | 2.3E-34 | 1.2E-22 | 5.7E-27 | 1.8E-28 | 8.0E-29 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I2 | 1.6E-27 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 2.2E-26 | 1.4E-39 | 5.9E-23 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-24$ | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-38$ | 9.2E-24 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 9.2E-30 |
| MD_Up_Rt_C | 1.3E-37 | 5.9E-31 | 5.4E-42 | 1.1E-25 | 1.2E-22 | 9.9E-25 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 1.3E-41 | 7.7E-31 | 9.4E-35 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P1 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-49$ | 1.2E-55 | 1.4E-22 | 1.9E-16 | 1.2E-21 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 1.1E-18 | 2.6E-21 | 1.4E-64 | 2.8E-41 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P2 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-38$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 3.2E-13 | 1.4E-10 | 7.4E-13 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 3.4E-10 | 2.6E-13 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-23$ | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-35$ |
| BL_Up_Lf_P2 |  | 6.7E-114 | 8.1E-52 | 1.6E-31 | 6.8E-37 | 3.5E-37 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-33$ | 1.1E-50 | 2.1E-106 | 1.6E-194 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P1 | 0.82 |  | 6.9E-58 | 6.4E-31 | 1.1E-38 | 6.0E-37 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-30$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-53$ | 3.0E-175 | 6.7E-98 |
| BL_Up_Lf_C | 0.58 | 0.65 |  | 1.4E-67 | 1.7E-65 | 5.3E-71 | 3.0E-54 | 1.9E-143 | 1.4E-50 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-48$ |
| BL_Up_Lf_I2 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.65 |  | 1.9E-67 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-68$ | 2.4E-161 | 1.5E-49 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-30$ | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-32$ |
| BL_Up_Lf_I1 | 0.51 | 0.55 | 0.64 | 0.65 |  | 1.9E-224 | 1.6E-67 | 7.5E-71 | 1.3E-39 | 2.5E-32 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I1 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.92 |  | 7.1E-65 | 2.3E-68 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-37$ | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-32$ |
| BL_Up_Rt_I2 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.59 | 0.86 | 0.65 | 0.64 |  | 9.9E-54 | 9.3E-33 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-31$ |
| BL_Up_Rt_C | 0.58 | 0.63 | 0.83 | 0.57 | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.59 |  | 2.4E-55 | 1.8E-47 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P1 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.61 | 0.49 | 0.55 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.64 |  | 8.1E-104 |


| Trait | IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | IC_Lw_Rt_C | IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | IC_Lw_Lf_C | IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | IC_Lw_Lf_P2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Up_Rt_P2 | 2.4E-76 | 5.9E-57 | 6.1E-54 | 2.1E-43 | 2.0E-36 | 2.8E-33 | 5.7E-45 | 9.0E-59 | 1.9E-52 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-53$ |
| IC_Up_Rt_P1 | 3.7E-70 | 4.2E-78 | $9.9 \mathrm{E}-51$ | 2.7E-32 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-29$ | 2.6E-24 | 1.2E-37 | 6.1E-53 | 1.2E-71 | 2.3E-53 |
| IC_Up_Rt_C | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-53$ | 3.9E-55 | 1.4E-89 | 8.1E-60 | 5.5E-46 | 5.3E-45 | 1.1E-61 | 4.2E-86 | 2.1E-46 | 1.1E-40 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I2 | 9.6E-43 | 9.2E-36 | 3.6E-56 | 1.1E-58 | 2.9E-49 | 1.1E-45 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-53$ | 6.3E-60 | 9.2E-39 | 3.1E-43 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I1 | 4.4E-37 | 8.4E-34 | 2.2E-47 | 1.4E-48 | 5.9E-50 | 9.8E-48 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-50$ | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-54$ | 6.0E-37 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-34$ |
| IC_Up_Lf_I1 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-38$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-37$ | 1.5E-47 | 2.8E-49 | 3.9E-47 | 6.6E-50 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-56$ | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-59$ | 4.2E-41 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-36$ |
| IC_Up_Lf_I2 | 5.7E-42 | 1.0E-41 | 9.1E-51 | 9.5E-59 | 1.3E-55 | 7.9E-56 | 3.3E-67 | 1.3E-66 | 1.2E-46 | 4.3E-42 |
| IC_Up_Lf_C | 1.1E-56 | 6.9E-57 | 1.7E-82 | 3.7E-52 | 2.1E-47 | 6.4E-48 | 1.4E-61 | 7.5E-96 | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-61$ | 9.0E-53 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P1 | 6.6E-61 | 2.4E-76 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-51$ | 2.2E-34 | 1.4E-32 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-30$ | 1.6E-42 | 6.3E-59 | 1.2E-79 | 1.4E-61 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P2 | 1.2E-71 | 6.4E-55 | 4.6E-48 | 1.2E-38 | 6.6E-34 | $6.1 \mathrm{E}-33$ | 6.5E-40 | 8.3E-52 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-60$ | 3.3E-68 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P2 | 1.4E-11 | 5.5E-08 | 1.3E-05 | 5.0E-06 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 7.4E-05 | 3.3E-06 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 6.6E-11 | 9.4E-11 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P1 | 2.2E-09 | 8.9E-16 | 3.2E-11 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 3.1E-12 | 8.1E-19 | 2.8E-06 |
| MD_Up_Lf_C | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 5.1E-11 | 6.0E-17 | 3.0E-12 | 2.3E-07 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 1.1E-15 | 3.0E-09 | 2.6E-06 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I2 | 5.6E-06 | 5.3E-08 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 6.2E-06 | 1.1E-06 | 3.5E-07 | 1.3E-06 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 3.0E-08 | 3.9E-05 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I1 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 8.4E-10 | 9.7E-10 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 1.6E-10 | 5.9E-17 | 3.0E-12 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| MD_Up_Rt_I1 | 8.8E-08 | 7.6E-11 | 4.7E-15 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 6.8E-12 | 2.9E-11 | 1.1E-14 | 3.4E-10 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| MD_Up_Rt_I2 | 3.2E-06 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.1E-07 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 2.7E-07 | $9.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 1.1E-08 | 2.8E-05 |
| MD_Up_Rt_C | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 1.7E-13 | 2.8E-13 | 3.1E-09 | 1.6E-06 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 3.3E-09 | 5.8E-13 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 4.4E-06 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P1 | 7.2E-11 | 3.1E-16 | 1.1E-10 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 1.8E-06 | 4.4E-06 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 2.4E-11 | 1.2E-17 | 2.4E-06 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P2 | 1.1E-10 | 3.3E-08 | 6.6E-06 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 6.4E-05 | 6.0E-06 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 5.9E-08 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P2 | 3.0E-12 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 7.5E-15 | 6.5E-11 | 1.4E-07 | 8.7E-09 | 1.3E-11 | 4.4E-14 | 7.6E-18 | 3.5E-11 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P1 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 1.9E-21 | 3.2E-16 | 3.2E-09 | 7.7E-08 | 3.2E-08 | 2.2E-12 | 1.7E-16 | 1.2E-22 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| BL_Up_Lf_C | 5.9E-22 | 2.9E-24 | 1.1E-31 | 4.1E-20 | 3.3E-16 | 4.4E-17 | 2.4E-23 | 1.5E-31 | 1.4E-25 | 1.1E-17 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I2 | 1.8E-13 | 4.4E-17 | 4.4E-20 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 4.4E-17 | 1.6E-17 | 3.0E-21 | 1.8E-20 | 9.0E-17 | 3.6E-11 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I1 | 1.1E-13 | 1.2E-18 | 1.2E-22 | 4.6E-20 | 3.3E-17 | 3.0E-20 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 5.7E-25 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 1.6E-14 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I1 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 2.3E-16 | 6.7E-22 | 5.2E-20 | 6.2E-17 | 2.5E-18 | 1.3E-20 | 1.3E-24 | 1.3E-18 | 5.0E-14 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I2 | 2.7E-13 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 2.2E-22 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-21$ | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 1.0E-17 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 9.3E-24 | 9.5E-15 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| BL_Up_Rt_C | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 1.1E-24 | 1.4E-33 | 1.6E-21 | 7.1E-16 | 1.7E-16 | 5.0E-23 | 7.6E-31 | 2.8E-26 | 1.6E-15 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P1 | 1.4E-08 | 2.9E-19 | 7.8E-16 | 1.3E-09 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 2.6E-12 | 5.5E-17 | 4.5E-22 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-09$ |


| Trait | MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | MD_Lw_Lf_C | MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | MD_Lw_Rt_C | MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | MD_Lw_Rt_P2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Up_Rt_P2 | 4.3E-07 | 2.3E-06 | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 8.3E-06 | 1.9E-09 | 5.1E-07 | 1.4E-04 |
| IC_Up_Rt_P1 | 2.5E-09 | 3.7E-12 | 9.6E-09 | 3.1E-05 | 3.5E-05 | 1.2E-05 | 5.3E-07 | 3.2E-09 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 3.3E-06 |
| IC_Up_Rt_C | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 3.7E-11 | 2.8E-17 | 8.4E-09 | 2.0E-09 | 1.1E-10 | 6.2E-10 | 1.9E-20 | 6.9E-11 | 7.6E-08 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I2 | 1.6E-07 | 3.8E-10 | 2.2E-14 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 2.5E-09 | 2.2E-10 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 1.2E-14 | 2.3E-10 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| IC_Up_Rt_I1 | 7.3E-09 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 3.9E-13 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 1.3E-12 | 1.8E-12 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 1.6E-11 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I1 | 8.5E-10 | 3.8E-13 | 1.2E-15 | 1.0E-11 | 2.8E-12 | 2.5E-12 | 5.0E-14 | 1.8E-17 | 4.6E-11 | 2.3E-12 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I2 | 1.8E-07 | 1.9E-11 | 2.2E-10 | 1.7E-07 | 8.9E-07 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 2.1E-11 | 3.5E-09 | 1.1E-07 |
| IC_Up_Lf_C | 3.3E-11 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.2E-16 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 9.4E-10 | 1.4E-10 | 5.6E-13 | 9.8E-21 | 5.2E-12 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| IC_Up_Lf_P1 | 2.2E-08 | 1.5E-14 | 1.5E-09 | 1.4E-05 | 5.2E-05 | 1.7E-05 | 1.7E-06 | 3.1E-09 | 2.3E-11 | 1.9E-05 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P2 | 4.3E-07 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 1.2E-09 | 8.8E-06 | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 7.4E-07 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 8.0E-08 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| MD_Up_Lf_P2 | 5.1E-29 | 3.6E-14 | 8.7E-14 | 2.2E-11 | 6.9E-13 | 2.9E-11 | 6.6E-11 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 1.6E-15 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-31$ |
| MD_Up_Lf_P1 | 5.5E-28 | 8.3E-32 | 3.4E-20 | 6.3E-15 | 1.3E-15 | 1.1E-15 | 2.1E-18 | 1.2E-19 | 2.3E-35 | 7.5E-35 |
| MD_Up_Lf_C | 1.2E-17 | 1.1E-17 | 9.0E-29 | 3.8E-16 | 7.2E-14 | 8.5E-14 | 6.1E-20 | 8.4E-40 | 2.6E-19 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-21$ |
| MD_Up_Lf_I2 | 1.4E-17 | 5.3E-14 | 5.9E-19 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 1.2E-26 | 1.3E-29 | 7.4E-33 | 1.6E-17 | 7.8E-16 | 5.8E-21 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I1 | 9.6E-19 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 2.4E-23 | 8.8E-34 | 1.0E-43 | 9.1E-45 | 3.7E-44 | 3.6E-23 | 9.3E-23 | 7.4E-20 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I1 | 2.5E-18 | 3.3E-19 | 1.9E-20 | 8.8E-34 | 4.3E-47 | 3.3E-47 | 1.3E-46 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 2.3E-20 | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-18$ |
| MD_Up_Rt_I2 | 1.2E-16 | 1.3E-13 | 1.2E-15 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 5.2E-27 | 1.6E-29 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-31$ | 1.8E-14 | 1.5E-15 | 1.2E-19 |
| MD_Up_Rt_C | 2.6E-21 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 1.2E-32 | 3.8E-20 | 4.6E-19 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-20$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 1.7E-40 | 1.7E-21 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-24$ |
| MD_Up_Rt_P1 | 1.5E-26 | 1.5E-31 | 2.6E-19 | 4.2E-16 | 6.6E-18 | 5.4E-18 | 3.1E-18 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 3.1E-32 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}-33$ |
| MD_Up_Rt_P2 | 1.6E-28 | 9.0E-14 | 3.9E-13 | 7.2E-12 | 1.0E-13 | 7.2E-14 | 3.3E-12 | 3.2E-10 | 6.4E-15 | 5.8E-34 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P2 | 7.5E-25 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 4.2E-22 | 5.1E-18 | 8.8E-19 | 3.4E-17 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 7.8E-21 | 2.3E-27 | 7.4E-33 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P1 | 5.7E-20 | 3.8E-32 | 1.6E-18 | 3.5E-15 | 1.3E-14 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 1.3E-18 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 6.7E-32 | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-26$ |
| BL_Up_Lf_C | 2.6E-17 | 6.4E-26 | 1.5E-25 | 1.2E-19 | 7.5E-17 | 7.8E-14 | 3.6E-20 | 5.0E-32 | 5.3E-25 | 4.1E-19 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I2 | 1.1E-13 | 2.6E-14 | 5.5E-13 | 4.9E-14 | 3.1E-12 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 1.8E-17 | 1.4E-15 | 3.7E-14 | 1.6E-15 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I1 | 2.4E-14 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.3E-16 | 3.9E-16 | 7.0E-15 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 1.1E-18 | 2.1E-17 | 1.1E-13 | 1.2E-17 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I1 | 3.3E-15 | 5.8E-17 | 1.5E-15 | 1.1E-16 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.1E-14 | 5.1E-22 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 1.9E-15 | 1.8E-18 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I2 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 1.6E-15 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 1.8E-14 | 4.2E-13 | 2.2E-15 | 9.3E-17 | 3.6E-19 | 1.5E-15 | 2.5E-15 |
| BL_Up_Rt_C | 2.7E-17 | 5.3E-21 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 3.4E-16 | 1.0E-14 | 6.0E-13 | 1.3E-17 | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-33$ | 1.3E-20 | 1.2E-19 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P1 | 5.5E-19 | 1.0E-29 | 1.1E-18 | 6.0E-14 | 1.3E-12 | 9.8E-13 | 5.1E-17 | 1.5E-17 | 1.6E-30 | 1.8E-26 |


| Trait | BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | BL_Lw_Lf_C | BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | BL_Lw_Rt_C | BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | BL_Lw_Rt_P2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Up_Rt_P2 | 5.7E-06 | 1.4E-08 | 8.6E-20 | 3.4E-10 | 9.8E-10 | 7.7E-09 | 2.2E-09 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 1.3E-09 | 5.6E-05 |
| IC_Up_Rt_P1 | 1.3E-09 | 8.3E-15 | 8.6E-22 | 2.0E-11 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 1.1E-10 | 6.4E-11 | 2.9E-22 | 1.4E-13 | 3.2E-07 |
| IC_Up_Rt_C | 7.5E-13 | 1.5E-17 | 1.1E-34 | 1.2E-18 | 9.0E-17 | 1.8E-15 | 2.5E-15 | 4.1E-39 | 3.3E-15 | 2.3E-10 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I2 | 5.3E-11 | 1.1E-17 | 2.1E-23 | 9.8E-16 | 1.6E-14 | 3.1E-14 | 3.8E-14 | 2.9E-24 | 9.3E-14 | 3.1E-10 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I1 | 2.7E-13 | 2.0E-18 | 3.9E-21 | 9.7E-18 | 1.1E-16 | 6.3E-16 | 1.6E-14 | 1.3E-23 | 6.7E-15 | 1.9E-11 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I1 | 1.1E-13 | 3.2E-18 | 1.9E-24 | 5.2E-20 | 4.7E-20 | 5.0E-19 | 2.7E-17 | 6.9E-26 | 1.1E-13 | 1.3E-11 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I2 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.2E-19 | 8.9E-15 | 1.4E-13 | 1.2E-13 | 6.6E-14 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2.0E-12 | 4.7E-09 |
| IC_Up_Lf_C | 9.2E-16 | 1.2E-20 | 1.0E-36 | 1.1E-19 | 5.3E-19 | 3.9E-17 | 1.5E-17 | 1.3E-39 | 6.1E-19 | 3.3E-12 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P1 | 1.6E-09 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 5.1E-21 | 7.8E-11 | 2.3E-09 | 1.9E-10 | $5.9 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 8.3E-13 | 1.6E-07 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P2 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 3.0E-11 | 7.3E-23 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $8.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 1.1E-09 | 1.4E-10 | 5.5E-23 | 4.2E-12 | 1.6E-08 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P2 | 1.8E-10 | 1.1E-11 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 6.3E-06 | 8.7E-07 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 3.8E-10 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 2.4E-13 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P1 | 3.0E-16 | 3.0E-24 | 1.3E-18 | 3.5E-10 | 4.1E-09 | 1.2E-08 | 9.6E-09 | 1.0E-17 | 8.1E-23 | 9.4E-21 |
| MD_Up_Lf_C | 6.2E-18 | 2.6E-16 | 2.4E-29 | 6.2E-16 | 8.2E-12 | 7.8E-12 | 4.5E-16 | 6.5E-28 | 3.4E-17 | 3.5E-17 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I2 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 6.6E-15 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.7E-15 | 6.9E-16 | 2.3E-16 | 1.3E-14 | 8.8E-13 | 2.4E-14 | 7.7E-17 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I1 | 2.5E-21 | 3.7E-21 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 5.8E-22 | 2.3E-26 | 2.3E-26 | 2.7E-21 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 4.5E-24 | 1.3E-20 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I1 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 2.2E-19 | 6.9E-19 | 1.4E-21 | 3.3E-25 | 3.0E-26 | 1.7E-19 | 8.5E-21 | 4.2E-23 | 7.9E-22 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I2 | 3.8E-14 | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 9.2E-14 | 1.7E-15 | 8.6E-17 | 1.6E-15 | 2.2E-14 | 5.8E-13 | 1.8E-13 | 9.6E-18 |
| MD_Up_Rt_C | 3.4E-20 | 2.9E-19 | 1.6E-31 | 3.8E-18 | 7.7E-16 | 6.8E-16 | 2.5E-19 | 5.3E-30 | 5.5E-21 | 8.7E-22 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P1 | 1.6E-17 | 1.0E-23 | 3.0E-17 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 1.0E-11 | 9.2E-12 | 5.5E-16 | 3.3E-24 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-23$ |
| MD_Up_Rt_P2 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 5.8E-12 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 1.6E-07 | 4.4E-06 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 8.6E-11 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 1.5E-14 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P2 | 2.6E-54 | 8.3E-49 | 1.3E-39 | $7.8 \mathrm{E}-31$ | 1.2E-24 | 1.2E-24 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 1.3E-34 | 3.3E-49 | 1.1E-68 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P1 | 9.8E-40 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-53$ | 3.5E-39 | 4.3E-25 | 1.6E-21 | 1.1E-21 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 8.8E-35 | 1.8E-51 | 1.3E-41 |
| BL_Up_Lf_C | 2.2E-34 | 2.7E-48 | 1.3E-71 | 8.9E-46 | 1.4E-42 | 6.5E-38 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-41$ | 6.4E-69 | 5.1E-48 | 1.6E-34 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I2 | 5.5E-23 | 1.5E-25 | 7.6E-32 | 5.8E-37 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-34$ | 6.4E-34 | 6.6E-34 | 7.3E-32 | 5.7E-28 | 4.2E-23 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I1 | 2.1E-28 | 9.0E-39 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-39$ | 3.0E-50 | 3.4E-55 | 6.7E-54 | 2.3E-45 | 6.9E-41 | 1.2E-37 | 2.0E-27 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I1 | 1.3E-27 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-36$ | 8.1E-41 | 3.2E-49 | 2.5E-56 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-55$ | 1.1E-46 | 2.4E-42 | 7.6E-34 | 8.9E-28 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I2 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-21$ | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-28$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-30$ | 6.1E-35 | 5.3E-35 | 1.0E-31 | 3.7E-33 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-31$ | 5.8E-28 | 6.9E-24 |
| BL_Up_Rt_C | 6.3E-34 | 3.5E-50 | 1.0E-71 | 2.5E-44 | 6.2E-40 | 5.0E-38 | 3.5E-40 | 5.0E-70 | 4.4E-50 | 1.6E-35 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P1 | 2.6E-40 | 5.0E-57 | 6.9E-38 | 4.7E-27 | 3.1E-21 | 1.2E-22 | 2.2E-24 | 1.2E-34 | 9.0E-54 | 9.3E-46 |


| Trait | IC_Up_Rt_P2 | IC_Up_Rt_P1 | IC_Up_Rt_C | IC_Up_Rt_I2 | IC_Up_Rt_I1 | IC_Up_Lf_I1 | IC_Up_Lf_I2 | IC_Up_Lf_C | IC_Up_Lf_P1 | IC_Up_Lf_P2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BL_Up_Rt_P2 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.39 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | 0.68 | 0.70 | 0.59 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 0.67 | 0.67 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.63 | 0.73 | 0.62 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.56 | 0.63 | 0.72 | 0.63 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_C | 0.59 | 0.61 | 0.72 | 0.60 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 0.69 | 0.62 | 0.57 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.62 | 0.61 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.61 | 0.58 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.60 | 0.56 | 0.51 | 0.49 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.57 | 0.60 | 0.56 | 0.49 | 0.48 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | 0.55 | 0.54 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.57 | 0.60 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.57 | 0.52 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_C | 0.61 | 0.62 | 0.71 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.61 | 0.64 | 0.73 | 0.65 | 0.59 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | 0.62 | 0.70 | 0.58 | 0.54 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.65 | 0.73 | 0.65 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P2 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.59 | 0.67 | 0.66 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.22 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | 0.21 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.25 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_C | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.26 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.19 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.14 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.17 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.21 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_C | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.27 | 0.25 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.24 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P2 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.16 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.27 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.34 | 0.30 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_C | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 0.41 | 0.40 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.29 | 0.28 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.27 | 0.26 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.29 | 0.26 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.27 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_C | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.52 | 0.41 | 0.40 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 0.31 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P2 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.24 |

## Continue...

Trait
BL_Up_Rt_P2 IC_Lw_Rt_P2 IC_Lw_Rt_P1 IC_Lw_Rt_C IC_Lw_Rt_I2 IC_Lw_Rt_I1 IC_Lw_Lf_I1 IC_Lw_Lf_I2 IC_Lw_Lf_C IC_Lw_Lf_P1 IC_Lw_Lf_P2 MD_Lw_Lf_P2 MD_Lw_Lf_P1 MD_Lw_Lf_C MD_Lw_Lf_I2 MD_Lw_Lf_I1 MD_Lw_Rt_I1 MD_Lw_Rt_I2 MD_Lw_Rt_C MD_Lw_Rt_P1 MD_Lw_Rt_P2 BL_Lw_Lf_P2 BL_Lw_Lf_P1 BL_Lw_Lf_I2 BL_Lw_Lf_I1 BL_Lw_Rt_I1 BL_Lw_Rt_I2 BL_Lw_Rt_C BL_Lw_Rt_P1 BL_Lw_Rt_P2

## Continue...

| MD_Up_Lf_P2 | MD_Up_Lf_P1 | MD_Up_Lf_C | MD_Up_Lf_I2 | MD_Up_Lf_I1 | MD_Up_Rt_I1 | MD_Up_Rt_I2 | MD_Up_Rt_C | MD_Up_Rt_P1 | MD_Up_Rt_P2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.49 |
| 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.27 |
| 0.24 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.25 |
| 0.19 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.19 |
| 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.17 |
| 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.13 |
| 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.15 |
| 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.17 |
| 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.19 |
| 0.29 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.25 |
| 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.23 |
| 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.47 | 0.45 |
| 0.33 | 0.51 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 0.39 | 0.50 | 0.33 |
| 0.31 | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.47 | 0.39 | 0.30 |
| 0.28 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.29 |
| 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.31 |
| 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.45 | 0.54 | 0.56 | 0.45 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.31 |
| 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.47 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.29 |
| 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 0.52 | 0.35 | 0.26 |
| 0.35 | 0.53 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.34 |
| 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.49 |
| 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.28 |
| 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.30 |
| 0.28 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 0.27 |
| 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.30 | 0.22 |
| 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.30 | 0.19 |
| 0.21 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.21 |
| 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.39 | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.20 |
| 0.26 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.36 | 0.27 |
| 0.27 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.32 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.28 |
| 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.32 |


| Trait | BL_Up_Lf_P2 | BL_Up_Lf_P1 | BL_Up_Lf_C | BL_Up_Lf_I2 | BL_Up_Lf_I1 | BL_Up_Rt_I1 | BL_Up_Rt_I2 | BL_Up_Rt_C | BL_Up_Rt_P1 | BL_Up_Rt_P2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BL_Up_Rt_P2 | 0.90 | 0.78 | 0.57 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.56 | 0.80 |  |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.26 | 0.29 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.35 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_C | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.47 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.36 | 0.31 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.23 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.29 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_C | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.46 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 0.33 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.37 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P2 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.41 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_C | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.34 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | 0.41 | 0.39 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.38 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_C | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.47 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0.38 | 0.35 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.46 | 0.51 | 0.44 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.42 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P2 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0.46 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | 0.60 | 0.56 | 0.49 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.39 | 0.49 | 0.56 | 0.57 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.47 | 0.60 | 0.65 | 0.57 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_C | 0.52 | 0.55 | 0.66 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.46 | 0.66 | 0.54 | 0.47 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.57 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.47 | 0.41 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.53 | 0.49 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0.41 | 0.36 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.60 | 0.47 | 0.51 | 0.43 | 0.37 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.44 | 0.38 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_C | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0.65 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.46 | 0.65 | 0.52 | 0.44 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 0.51 | 0.47 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.57 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P2 | 0.66 | 0.57 | 0.49 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 0.59 | 0.62 |

BL_Lw_Rt_P2

## Continue...

| Trait | IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | IC_Lw_Rt_C | IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | IC_Lw_Lf_C | IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | IC_Lw_Lf_P2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BL_Up_Rt_P2 | 3.5E-12 | 5.0E-16 | 7.9E-14 | 8.1E-11 | 5.6E-08 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 2.4E-12 | 1.4E-15 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 1.7E-10 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P2 |  | 2.1E-96 | 3.2E-56 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-41$ | 3.2E-40 | 5.2E-36 | 6.0E-46 | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-63$ | 9.9E-77 | 1.4E-131 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.77 |  | 7.3E-79 | 6.5E-48 | 7.8E-39 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-38$ | 2.4E-61 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-80$ | 4.3E-126 | 8.4E-68 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_C | 0.60 | 0.71 |  | 2.8E-94 | 3.7E-61 | 3.8E-57 | 1.9E-90 | 2.0E-171 | 1.9E-64 | 1.0E-49 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | 0.53 | 0.59 | 0.73 |  | 1.3E-137 | 1.1E-125 | 6.1E-158 | 3.1E-86 | 4.3E-51 | 6.7E-40 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.62 | 0.82 |  | 1.3E-202 | 5.0E-126 | 1.4E-73 | 7.1E-45 | 2.1E-42 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 0.90 |  | 5.7E-142 | 1.9E-74 | 1.4E-40 | 8.1E-35 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.72 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.82 |  | 1.4E-120 | 2.3E-58 | 3.6E-43 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_C | 0.63 | 0.71 | 0.86 | 0.70 | 0.66 | 0.67 | 0.79 |  | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-83$ | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-61$ |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | 0.71 | 0.82 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 0.55 | 0.64 | 0.72 |  | 3.0E-93 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P2 | 0.81 | 0.68 | 0.57 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.62 | 0.76 |  |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.25 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.28 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_C | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.35 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.21 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.19 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.18 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.15 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_C | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.30 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.28 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P2 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.20 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | 0.24 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.23 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | 0.34 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.34 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_C | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.51 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.46 | 0.43 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.31 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.32 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.31 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_C | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.46 | 0.43 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.26 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P2 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.22 |

BL_Lw_Rt_P2
Continue...

| Trait | MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | MD_Lw_Lf_C | MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | MD_Lw_Rt_C | MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | MD_Lw_Rt_P2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BL_Up_Rt_P2 | 3.6E-22 | 8.9E-22 | 2.5E-16 | 2.4E-15 | 1.6E-14 | 1.5E-14 | 5.6E-21 | 1.6E-17 | 6.0E-23 | 2.3E-29 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | 9.7E-12 | 2.5E-13 | 1.8E-19 | 1.6E-07 | 1.7E-06 | 2.3E-07 | 3.0E-05 | 1.4E-16 | 6.0E-15 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | 8.4E-14 | 1.7E-22 | 4.1E-19 | $9.1 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 3.3E-08 | 2.3E-17 | 1.6E-20 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| IC_Lw_Rt_C | 3.5E-10 | 8.8E-16 | 3.6E-17 | 2.3E-05 | 8.8E-07 | 5.5E-08 | 2.2E-07 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2.3E-14 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | 3.0E-08 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 1.4E-05 | 2.7E-06 | 3.0E-06 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 3.8E-10 | 3.0E-11 | 2.4E-08 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 8.5E-12 | 3.1E-07 | 3.2E-06 | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 1.1E-07 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 6.2E-11 | 6.2E-07 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | 3.6E-06 | 1.1E-10 | 7.9E-07 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 3.3E-08 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 4.6E-09 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 8.0E-07 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 1.3E-13 | 5.9E-10 | 5.0E-07 | 2.5E-07 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 5.0E-09 | 1.6E-12 | 3.5E-12 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| IC_Lw_Lf_C | 1.0E-11 | 6.7E-18 | 2.9E-17 | 7.5E-07 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.6E-07 | 2.2E-09 | 3.3E-20 | 1.8E-14 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | 2.3E-14 | 3.8E-23 | 5.3E-15 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.6E-05 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 1.9E-13 | 6.6E-18 | 1.3E-09 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P2 | 3.7E-09 | 3.3E-10 | 6.1E-17 | 6.1E-07 | 4.4E-06 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.0E-13 | 1.7E-10 | 1.6E-06 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P2 |  | 7.0E-73 | 9.9E-42 | 4.7E-32 | 2.3E-37 | 6.8E-35 | 8.3E-34 | 1.0E-37 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-68$ | 2.0E-141 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | 0.70 |  | 3.3E-56 | 6.8E-33 | 1.3E-30 | 1.4E-30 | 3.6E-34 | 2.1E-47 | 1.5E-121 | 4.1E-66 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_C | 0.53 | 0.63 |  | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-60$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-50$ | 6.1E-49 | 1.6E-43 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-117$ | 6.5E-51 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}-38$ |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.61 |  | 1.1E-102 | $9.2 \mathrm{E}-102$ | 3.0E-119 | 6.4E-49 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-35$ | 2.3E-26 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.57 | 0.75 |  | 1.2E-189 | 1.1E-94 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-49$ | 9.6E-35 | 2.2E-28 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.74 | 0.88 |  | 1.7E-94 | 6.1E-49 | 9.4E-35 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-28$ |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.78 | 0.73 | 0.73 |  | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-56$ | 6.2E-36 | 3.6E-34 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_C | 0.51 | 0.58 | 0.78 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.60 |  | 2.6E-57 | 1.8E-42 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.68 | 0.82 | 0.60 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.63 |  | 1.1E-67 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P2 | 0.83 | 0.67 | 0.51 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.68 |  |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.43 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | 0.43 | 0.56 | 0.53 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.44 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_C | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.64 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.61 | 0.49 | 0.39 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.41 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.40 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.52 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.41 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | 0.45 | 0.47 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.49 | 0.43 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_C | 0.40 | 0.47 | 0.62 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.61 | 0.49 | 0.37 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.40 | 0.55 | 0.46 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.56 | 0.40 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P2 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.48 |

BL_Lw_Rt_P2
Conitnue...

| Trait | BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | BL_Lw_Lf_C | BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | BL_Lw_Rt_C | BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | BL_Lw_Rt_P2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BL_Up_Rt_P2 | 3.0E-49 | 3.3E-43 | 6.0E-32 | 2.0E-23 | 5.8E-18 | 5.2E-19 | 2.4E-20 | 5.4E-28 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-43$ | 2.2E-60 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-26$ | 3.4E-13 | 7.3E-15 | 4.4E-15 | 1.1E-15 | 6.8E-25 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 3.7E-08 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | 5.5E-16 | 2.2E-21 | 5.3E-28 | 3.6E-16 | 3.5E-15 | 3.2E-16 | 2.3E-15 | 5.9E-27 | 8.2E-20 | 1.8E-12 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_C | 6.0E-13 | 4.9E-19 | 7.4E-39 | 2.0E-14 | 1.4E-15 | 2.1E-13 | 6.7E-14 | 6.8E-40 | 6.0E-19 | 2.9E-11 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 1.6E-13 | 8.4E-26 | 2.4E-17 | 3.1E-13 | 1.2E-12 | 7.2E-17 | 1.6E-26 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 3.9E-09 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 8.7E-13 | 2.0E-19 | 9.5E-16 | 3.5E-11 | 1.3E-11 | 7.2E-13 | 2.1E-20 | 2.3E-12 | 1.3E-05 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | 9.1E-07 | 8.3E-11 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 9.3E-16 | 1.3E-11 | 3.6E-10 | 1.6E-11 | 3.1E-16 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 2.0E-06 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 1.0E-13 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 1.3E-19 | 2.2E-12 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 5.1E-24 | 1.6E-12 | 5.7E-09 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_C | 1.6E-12 | $4.9 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 3.3E-38 | 6.0E-17 | 1.1E-15 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 3.6E-15 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-36$ | 1.2E-17 | 6.3E-12 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | 3.2E-15 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 3.4E-28 | 5.0E-18 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.4E-14 | 4.8E-14 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 7.2E-21 | 6.4E-14 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P2 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 1.4E-26 | 2.8E-13 | 2.6E-14 | 4.7E-14 | 5.4E-16 | 2.6E-26 | 3.9E-09 | 1.7E-07 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | 1.4E-30 | 5.1E-23 | 5.0E-25 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-26$ | 3.9E-26 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 3.0E-29 | 5.8E-23 | 1.4E-20 | 5.0E-27 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | 9.5E-33 | 7.5E-43 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 5.1E-28 | 3.6E-22 | 2.0E-26 | 2.6E-29 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 8.4E-41 | 1.6E-29 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_C | 1.2E-21 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-38$ | 4.1E-66 | 2.7E-28 | 9.7E-38 | 2.3E-41 | 9.8E-47 | 8.8E-62 | 1.3E-27 | 2.1E-19 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | 1.7E-17 | 8.8E-24 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-25$ | 6.9E-27 | 1.2E-37 | 1.9E-41 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-37$ | 8.3E-25 | 2.4E-20 | 1.8E-15 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | 2.9E-17 | 2.0E-22 | 6.3E-24 | 3.0E-24 | 7.1E-36 | 2.5E-40 | 6.5E-31 | 5.5E-26 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 8.4E-18 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | 1.0E-17 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 9.7E-21 | 2.8E-21 | 1.4E-30 | 4.4E-36 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 4.8E-23 | 1.2E-19 | 7.7E-18 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | 1.4E-19 | 1.2E-17 | 2.6E-18 | 9.0E-26 | 7.6E-30 | 3.9E-32 | 2.7E-26 | 2.2E-20 | 8.7E-20 | 1.6E-18 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_C | 4.3E-25 | 5.8E-33 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-59$ | 8.5E-31 | 1.1E-33 | 2.9E-36 | 3.4E-40 | 8.3E-60 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 1.5E-24 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | 6.0E-32 | 2.5E-41 | 1.3E-31 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-28$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-28$ | 1.2E-32 | 1.7E-31 | 2.4E-32 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-43$ | 4.0E-31 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P2 | 5.0E-26 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-24$ | 3.2E-21 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 1.8E-22 | 2.4E-23 | 3.4E-26 | 3.7E-19 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-20$ | 2.7E-33 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P2 |  | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-85$ | 2.5E-43 | $9.1 \mathrm{E}-38$ | 7.0E-24 | 1.5E-29 | 2.9E-34 | 6.6E-39 | 6.6E-73 | 3.4E-113 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | 0.74 |  | 5.2E-74 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-48$ | 2.2E-47 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-50$ | 1.7E-55 | 1.2E-69 | 1.7E-157 | 1.0E-67 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_C | 0.54 | 0.69 |  | 3.1E-69 | 2.2E-70 | 2.3E-65 | 1.3E-85 | 9.3E-198 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-68$ | 1.0E-36 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 0.65 |  | 2.3E-126 | 2.5E-126 | 2.8E-166 | 4.3E-69 | 3.3E-48 | 9.9E-36 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | 0.41 | 0.59 | 0.65 | 0.80 |  | 5.1E-222 | 1.8E-143 | 1.9E-73 | 8.8E-41 | 1.4E-25 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | 0.46 | 0.60 | 0.64 | 0.80 | 0.91 |  | 2.1E-153 | 2.1E-73 | 4.7E-44 | 5.6E-29 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | 0.49 | 0.62 | 0.70 | 0.86 | 0.83 | 0.84 |  | 2.9E-90 | 3.1E-45 | 1.7E-31 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_C | 0.52 | 0.68 | 0.89 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.72 |  | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-58$ | 1.1E-32 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.70 | 0.87 | 0.67 | 0.59 | 0.55 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.64 |  | 2.5E-77 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P2 | 0.78 | 0.68 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.71 |  |

Table 4.15. Simple correlation between $\mathbf{6 0}$ dental measurements. Correlation values are presented in the lower left triangle, with corresponding permutation P values in the upper right triangle. Correlations with significant P values ( $<0.0001$, Bonferroni-adjusted threshold) and their corresponding P values are highlighted in bold.

Among the correlations between the dental measurements and the covariates, sex presented weak to moderate negative correlations with most of the traits ( $\mathrm{r}=0.42$, p value $2.76 \times 10^{-6}$ ), although some were not significant (Table 4.16a and $b$ ).Women have smaller teeth than men ${ }^{384}$.

Interestingly, the length of the teeth (inciso-cervical measurements) showed a weak correlation with age, especially canine and premolars ( r values $=0.20-0.29$ ), although they were not significant. This is expected due to the wear with the use of the teeth over the years ${ }^{385}$.

Weak negative correlations were observed between the meso-distal and bucco-lingual distances, and European ancestry.

| Trait | Sex | Age | Africa | Europe | Native |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Up_Rt_P2 | -0.30 | 0.29 | -0.11 | 0.06 | 0.03 |
| IC_Up_Rt_P1 | -0.37 | 0.19 | -0.05 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| IC_Up_Rt_C | -0.42 | 0.20 | -0.05 | 0.04 | -0.01 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I2 | -0.30 | 0.18 | -0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I1 | -0.33 | 0.10 | -0.04 | 0.05 | -0.02 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I1 | -0.35 | 0.11 | -0.04 | 0.07 | -0.04 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I2 | -0.24 | 0.17 | -0.05 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| IC_Up_Lf_C | -0.44 | 0.21 | -0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P1 | -0.36 | 0.20 | -0.08 | 0.05 | 0.01 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P2 | -0.31 | 0.27 | -0.07 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P2 | -0.16 | 0.07 | 0.02 | -0.08 | 0.09 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P1 | -0.31 | 0.03 | 0.08 | -0.10 | 0.05 |
| MD_Up_Lf_C | -0.42 | 0.08 | 0.08 | -0.14 | 0.11 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I2 | -0.18 | 0.02 | 0.05 | -0.11 | 0.09 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I1 | -0.27 | 0.06 | 0.10 | -0.06 | -0.02 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I1 | -0.26 | 0.05 | 0.06 | -0.06 | 0.02 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I2 | -0.16 | 0.03 | 0.09 | -0.15 | 0.10 |
| MD_Up_Rt_C | -0.41 | 0.09 | 0.06 | -0.10 | 0.06 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P1 | -0.28 | 0.05 | 0.10 | -0.09 | 0.02 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P2 | -0.22 | 0.07 | 0.03 | -0.08 | 0.08 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P2 | -0.31 | 0.00 | 0.07 | -0.10 | 0.06 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P1 | -0.35 | -0.01 | 0.14 | -0.16 | 0.07 |
| BL_Up_Lf_C | -0.43 | 0.15 | 0.05 | -0.07 | 0.04 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I2 | -0.30 | 0.07 | 0.01 | -0.05 | 0.06 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I1 | -0.30 | 0.04 | 0.02 | -0.02 | 0.01 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I1 | -0.31 | 0.07 | 0.01 | -0.02 | 0.02 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I2 | -0.29 | 0.06 | 0.04 | -0.05 | 0.03 |
| BL_Up_Rt_C | -0.45 | 0.18 | 0.08 | -0.08 | 0.03 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P1 | -0.34 | 0.01 | 0.12 | -0.13 | 0.05 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P2 | -0.30 | 0.00 | 0.11 | -0.12 | 0.05 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | -0.29 | 0.26 | -0.11 | 0.12 | -0.05 |


| Trait | Sex | Age | Africa | Europe | Native |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | -0.38 | 0.21 | -0.05 | 0.05 | -0.02 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_C | -0.39 | 0.19 | -0.05 | 0.08 | -0.05 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | -0.19 | 0.19 | -0.08 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | -0.19 | 0.15 | -0.10 | 0.08 | -0.01 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | -0.17 | 0.14 | -0.09 | 0.08 | -0.01 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | -0.23 | 0.19 | -0.09 | 0.09 | -0.03 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_C | -0.36 | 0.18 | -0.05 | 0.07 | -0.04 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | -0.33 | 0.19 | -0.08 | 0.08 | -0.03 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P2 | -0.22 | 0.22 | -0.13 | 0.12 | -0.02 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | -0.23 | 0.02 | 0.10 | -0.12 | 0.05 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | -0.26 | 0.03 | 0.08 | -0.10 | 0.06 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_C | -0.40 | 0.10 | 0.00 | -0.06 | 0.08 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | -0.16 | 0.09 | -0.01 | -0.08 | 0.11 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | -0.16 | 0.04 | 0.00 | -0.06 | 0.07 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | -0.14 | 0.04 | 0.02 | -0.07 | 0.07 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | -0.21 | 0.05 | 0.02 | -0.10 | 0.11 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_C | -0.44 | 0.11 | 0.01 | -0.07 | 0.08 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | -0.28 | 0.05 | 0.08 | -0.08 | 0.02 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P2 | -0.21 | -0.01 | 0.13 | -0.15 | 0.06 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | -0.28 | -0.04 | 0.07 | -0.03 | -0.04 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | -0.34 | 0.05 | 0.12 | -0.10 | 0.01 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_C | -0.51 | 0.15 | 0.04 | -0.05 | 0.02 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | -0.24 | 0.06 | 0.07 | -0.07 | 0.02 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | -0.26 | 0.07 | 0.02 | -0.02 | 0.01 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | -0.27 | 0.08 | -0.01 | 0.01 | -0.01 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | -0.27 | 0.13 | 0.01 | -0.02 | 0.02 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_C | -0.54 | 0.15 | -0.01 | -0.01 | 0.02 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | -0.34 | 0.01 | 0.11 | -0.10 | 0.03 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P2 | -0.22 | -0.03 | 0.07 | -0.02 | -0.05 |

Table 4.16a. Correlation between quantitative dental traits and age, sex, and ancestry. Correlation values are presented in Table a), with corresponding $P$ values in Table b). Correlations with significant $P$ values ( $<0.001$, Bonferroni-adjusted threshold), are highlighted in bold. Anc. $=$ Continental ancestry estimated from the genetic data. Sex coded as female=1, male $=0$.

| $p$-value | Sex | Age | Africa | Europe | Native |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Up_Rt_P2 | 2.73E-12 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.98 \mathrm{E}-01$ | .40E-01 |
| IC_Up_Rt_P1 | 1.90 | $3.01 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.88 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 9.00 | $9.76 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IC_Up_Rt_C | 2.76E-06 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.08 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.33E-01 | $8.19 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IC_U | 2.67E-05 | $2.96 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.26 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.61 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.47 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IC_ | 2. | $3.47 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.12 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1 |
| IC_U | 8.0 | 3.13 | $1.27 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.21 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.32 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IC_Up_Lf_I2 | 3.56E-05 | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.18E-01 | $7.97 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.94 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IC_Up_Lf_C | 7.08E-07 | $3.65 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.28 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.22 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.65 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IC_ | 5 | 1.05 | $2.65 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.71 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 01 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P2 | 1.61E-10 | 1.0 | 5.36E-01 | 3.7 | 1 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P2 | 8.5 | 6.03 | $5.43 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.03 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 03 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P1 | $4.71 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.55 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.01 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.22 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.30 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| MD_Up_Lf_C | $6.18 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.91 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.44 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.98 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| MD_Up_Lf_I2 | 5.7 | 2.09 | .09E-02 | $3.59 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.83E-09 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5. | 04 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I1 | 2.73 E | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.60 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.28E-08 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I2 | $4.30 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.78 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.31 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.70E-09 |
|  | 4.18 | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.61 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.41 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| MD | 2.8 | 3.82 | $4.83 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 5.95 | 04 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P2 | $9.91 \mathrm{E}-0$ | $5.54 \mathrm{E}-0$ | $8.16 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 8.46E-02 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| BL_ | 9.35 E | $9.09 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.88 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.71 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| BL_Up_Lf_P1 | 9.08 E | $2.99 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.56 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-01$ | .05E-02 |
| BL_ | 2.85 | 2.1 | . $11 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.97 \mathrm{E}-0$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| BL_Up_Lf_I2 | 9.63 E | $8.53 \mathrm{E}-0$ | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.24 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| BL_Up_Lf_I1 | 3.33 E | $5.85 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.76 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.58 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.66 E |
| BL_U | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.75 | 6.11E-01 | $7.02 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.24 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| BL_U | 1.93 E | $3.87 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.94 \mathrm{E}-0$ | $4.45 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| BL_Up_Rt_C | 2.00 E | 7.91 | $5.94 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.8 | $3.43 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| BL_Up_Rt_P1 | $8.60 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.38 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.07 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| BL_Up_Rt_P2 | 9.18 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $5.15 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.85 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.27 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | 6.15E | $1.27 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.62 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.31 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.98 \mathrm{E}-0$ |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | 1.09 | $3.08 \mathrm{E}-0$ | $2.79 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.66 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.99 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IC_Lw_Rt_C | 5.33E-06 | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.03 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.84 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | 3.26E-06 | $6.69 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.55 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.03 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.31 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | 3. | $2.46 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.75 \mathrm{E}-01$ | . 82 |
| IC_L | 7.48 E | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.38 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.02 E |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | 3.53 E | $3.16 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.83 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.79 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.94 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IC_Lw_Lf_C | 1.74E-05 | $2.23 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.07 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.54 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | $1.26 \mathrm{E}-0$ | $8.94 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $7.86 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $5.14 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P2 | 9.03E-0 | $2.95 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $7.63 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.32 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.25 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | $6.76 \mathrm{E}-0$ | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $5.31 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.21 \mathrm{E}-0$ | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | $5.32 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.89 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.03 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| MD_Lw_Lf_C | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.52 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.45 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-03$ |

Continue...

| $p$-value | Sex | Age | Africa | Europe | Native |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | $3.69 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.07 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.66 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 4.67E-10 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | $3.05 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.66 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.94 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.92 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.86E-07 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | $3.26 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.60 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.25 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 1.04E-07 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.01 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.85 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.84 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.74E-09 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_C | $9.28 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $7.77 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.63 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.24 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | $2.71 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.80 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.54 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.81 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P2 | $7.72 \mathrm{E}-0$ | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $7.35 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.77 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | $3.18 \mathrm{E}-0$ | $8.89 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.74 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.08E-01 | $5.68 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-0$ | $6.31 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.17 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.09 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| BL_Lw_Lf_C | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.80 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.72 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.74 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | 1.32E-01 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.91 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.36 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.74 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.31 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.36 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | $7.39 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.56 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.39 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.97 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.94E-02 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | $2.49 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $8.59 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.04 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.10 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| BL_Lw_Rt_C | $4.83 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.37 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.32 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.24 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.98 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | $7.52 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.53 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.35 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $5.44 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.18 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P2 | $5.33 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.49 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.14 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.34 \mathrm{E}-01$ |

Table 4.16b. Corresponding $P$ values correlation between face traits and age, sex, and ancestry.

### 4.3.3.3 Genome Wide Association Analyses of dental measurements in the Colombian CANDELA sample

I performed a genome-wide association test using multivariate linear regression, as implemented in PLINK ${ }^{251}$, using an additive genetic model adjusting for: age, sex and the first five principal components computed from the SNP data. The resulting statistics showed no evidence of residual population stratification for any of the traits (Figure 4.58). After quality control assessment, 509 samples and 640,094 SNPs were retained for the not imputed GWAS and 9,521,215 variants were retained for the imputed GWAS.


Figure 4.58. Q-Q plot for the GWAS of Mesio-distance of the right upper second premolar (Md_Up_Rt_P2). The remaining Q-Q Plots are shown in Appendix B Figures B.116-B.176. This plot does not show sign of inflation between the expected and observed $P$ values. All the traits show similar pattern, the genomic control factor lambda <1.02, demonstrating there is no population stratification.

### 4.3.3.3.1 Genomic regions associated to dental measurements

Seven dental measurements examined showed genome-wide significant association $(P$ values $<5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) with SNPs in four genomic regions (Table 4.17 and Figure 4.59).

| Chromosomal | Index SNP | Alleles | Effect size | P value | Associated Trait | Gene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 q 42.2 | rs 16856377 | $\mathrm{~A}<\mathrm{G}$ | $-3.30 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Bucco-Lingual Lw Lf Canine | DISC2 |
| 1 q 42.2 | rs 2883720 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | $3.04 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-09$ | Incisal Cervical Lw Rt Premolar 1 | EXOC8 |
| 2 q 12.3 | rs 3827760 | $\mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $2.08 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.4 \mathrm{E}-09$ | Meso-Distal Lw Lf Lateral Incisor | EDAR |
| 2 q 12.3 | rs 3827760 | $\mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Meso-Distal Up Lf Lateral Incisor | EDAR |
| 2 q 12.3 | rs 3827760 | $\mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-09$ | Meso-Distal Up Rt Central Incisor | EDAR |
| 2 q 12.3 | rs 3827760 | $\mathrm{~A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $2.52 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-09$ | Meso-Distal Up Rt Lateral Incisor | EDAR |
| 11 q 25 | rs 10894347 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | $-2.54 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-08$ | Meso-Distal Lw Lf Premolar 1 | NTM |

Table 4.17. Properties of index SNPs in chromosomal regions showing genome-wide significant association with quantitative dental traits.


Chromosome

Figure 4.59. Summary of GWAS results for measurements of teeth in Colombian samples from CANDELA. These GWAS was performed with 3 different type of measurements of 20 teeth ( 8 incisors, 4 canines and 8 premolars) from 564 volunteers. A 'composite' of Manhattan plot shows the results across traits. All the SNPs with P values exceeding thresholds genomewide suggestive $\left(10^{-5}\right)$ are over the blue line and P values reaching the threshold genome-wide significant $\left(5 \times 10^{-8}\right)$ are above the red line.

Genetic variants in the chromosome region 1q42.2 (Table 4.17, Figure 4.59 and Figure 4.60) showed significant associations with the Bucco-Lingual distance of the left lower canine. The index SNP is rs 16856377 and is located $\sim 200 \mathrm{Mb}$ upstream of the DISC2 gene.


Figure 4.60. Regional association plot for SNPs in $\mathbf{1 q 4 2 . 2}$ and BL_Lw_Lf_C. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the marker (rs16856377). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

The Inciso-Cervical distance of the right lower first premolar showed a significant association with the SNP rs2883720. This marker falls in an intergenic area in the chromosome region 1q42.2 (Table 4.17, Figure 4.59 and Figure 4.61). It is surrounded by several genes, such as TRIM67, TTC13, EXOC8, ARV1, etc.


Figure 4.61. Regional association plot for SNPs in $\mathbf{1 q 4 2 . 2}$ and IC_Lw_Rt_P1.This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the marker (rs2883720). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

Four meso-distal measurements from the incisors were associated with the genomic variant rs3827760 (EDAR gene), Meso-Distal distance in the lower left lateral incisor, Meso-Distal distance in the upper left lateral incisor, Meso-Distal distance in the upper right central incisor and Meso-Distal distance in the upper right lateral incisor (Table 4.17 and Figure 4.59, Figure 4.62 - Figure 4.65).


Figure 4.62. Regional association plot for SNPs in 2q12.3 and MD_Lw_Lf_I2.This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the marker (rs3827760). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.


Figure 4.63. Regional association plot for SNPs in 2q12.3 and MD_Up_Lf_I2. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the marker (rs3827760). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.


Figure 4.64. Regional association plot for SNPs in 2q12.3 and MD_Up_Rt_I1. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the marker (rs3827760). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.


Figure 4.65. Regional association plot for SNPs in 2q12.3 and MD_Up_Rt_I2. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the marker (rs3827760). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

The Meso-Distal distance of the left lower first premolar showed association with a SNP (rs10894347) located in chromosome region 11q25 (Table 4.17, Figure 4.59 and Figure 4.66). This marker is between the $S N X 19$ and $N T M$ genes.


Figure 4.66. Regional association plot for SNPs in 2q12.3 and MD_Lw_Lt_P1. This plot shows an area of 500 Mb around the marker (rs10894347). This plot was produced with Locus Zoom ${ }^{310}$.

### 4.3.4 Local Ancestry inference

A large proportion of SNPs in both genome-wide scans showed a high frequency in African populations (Appendix B, Table B. 3 and B.4), and therefore rare in the Colombian sample, whose average African ancestry is low at around 9\% (Figure 3.5). In order to check if the SNPs associated with some of the traits belong to African haplotypes in the volunteers carrying these markers, a local ancestry inference analysis was performed. From a previous local inference analysis performed with RFMix software ${ }^{366}$ some of the significant SNPs that were present in this analysis were checked (rs3827760, rs1037804 and rs9899063). Not all the SNPs were checked because RFMix is very slow, one analysis could take weeks, therefore I just checked the SNPs that were available from this previous analysis (Table 4.18).

SNPs were marked as African-only if it was very rare in the other two continental populations ( $<2 \%$ in both Europeans and Native Americans) but not so rare in Africans ( $>2 \%$ ). A SNP was rare in the Colombian sample studied if its allele frequency was $<5 \%$. These frequencies were calculated from the same set of samples used in the RFMix analysis.

For these SNPs, the minor allele in the Colombian population was also the Africanspecific allele. It was therefore checked in the RFMix results whether the haplotypes of the Colombian individuals carrying this allele is indeed African in origin. Otherwise, this could reflect an error in genotyping or other issues with the data.

To verify this for such a SNP, each haplotype in the Colombian data which carried the minor allele was filtered from the RFMix-produced haplotype and local ancestry files. The proportion of local ancestry assigned to Africa at this locus among these haplotypes (that carry the minor allele) was calculated. If this number is close to $100 \%$, it implies nearly all such haplotypes around that locus indeed come from an African ancestor, and therefore carrying this rare Africa-specific allele is probably real instead of being a genotyping artefact. This in turn provides indirect validation that the observed association with this rare allele is probably real.

As a sanity check, the same process was applied to the African samples in this dataset, to see if for all such SNPs the proportion of assigned African ancestry was $100 \%$, which indeed it was. This validates the process in general, but also lends some
reliability to each SNP as any SNP having low African ancestry proportion in this step would indicate errors in genotyping or the quality of data/model in that region.

In the end, for all such autosomal SNPs (except for those on chromosome 6), a table was prepared listing the allele frequencies in the Colombian and reference populations (Appendix B, Table B.3), as well as the assigned African ancestry proportion for the minor allele for the significant alleles that were present in the RFMix analysis . Out of three alleles checked, two of them were assigned to an African haplotype. The third one was rs3827760 (Table 4.18), this allele was used as a quality control because is well known to be present in East-Asian and Native-American populations.

|  |  | Minor allele frequency |  |  |  | Proportion African local <br> ancestry from RFMix in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chr | SNP | Colombian | African | European | Native American | Colombian CANDELA sample |
| 2 | rs3827760 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.00 |
| 10 | rs1037804 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.89 |
| 17 | rs9899063 | 0.02 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

Table 4.18. Results of local ancestry inference using RFMix in the Colombian CANDELA sample. The 3 SNPs that were previously analysed in RFMix ${ }^{366}$ and were associated to some ordinal or quantitative dental trait.

### 4.4 Discussion

Genome-wide scans of ordinal and quantitative phenotypes were performed in a Colombian population, a subset of the CANDELA Cohort ${ }^{59}$. Genome-wide studies with the 46 traits scored using the ASUDAS method, based on the level of expression of the studied features. And then genome-wide scans were performed with three kind of different measurements (inciso-cervical, mesiodistal and bucco-lingual distances measured on incisors, canines and premolars). Both analyses, ordinal and quantitative phenotypes, showed new and previously reported genomic associations.

### 4.4.1 Candidate genes associated to ordinal traits

Twenty-three ordinal traits showed association with sixteen genomic variants (Table 4.13 and Figure 4.34 ). There were ten possible candidate genes, that have already been associated with some dental trait, in both development or disease of the teeth, whether by GWAS or other types of analyses (experimental analyses, bioinformatic prediction, etc.).

## Cusp 7 LM1

The accessory cusp 7 of the first lower molar (C7LM1) regrouped (from 6 to 3 categories) showed association with the genomic variant, rs3851907, located in 1q43 chromosome region. It falls within the gene $A C T N 2$ (Actinin, alpha 2) (Table 4.13, Figure 4.34 and Figure 4.35). Interestingly, a SNP in this gene was found to be associated to dental caries in a GWAS in a European population ${ }^{386}$. The frequency of the associated allele is higher in African populations (Appendix Table B.3) and the trait C7LM1 is also more frequent in African populations as can be seen in Table 4.19.

| Trait <br> frequency | WE | NE | NA | WA | SA | KH | CM | JO | RJ | NES | SS | AA | NWA | NSAI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cusp 7 <br> LM1 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.44 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.09 |
| Double <br> Shoveling | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.01 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.15 | 0.35 | 0.57 | 0.71 |
| Deflecting <br> Wrinkle | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.40 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.37 | 0.38 |
| Shoveling <br> UI1 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.72 | 0.26 | 0.66 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 0.69 | 0.83 | 0.92 |

Table 4.19. Frequency of some associated traits in world-wide populations. WE: Western Europe, NE:Northern-Europe, NA:North-Africa, WA:West-Africa, SA: South-Africa, KH:Khoisan, CM:China-Mongolia, JO:Jomon, RJ:Recent-Japan, NES:Northeast-Siberia, SS: South Siberia, AA: American Arctic, NWA: Northwest North America, NSAI: N.\&S. American Indian. ${ }^{111}$.

## Double shoveling LII

Double shoveling in the central lower incisor (DSLI1) showed association with 3 genetic regions, the index SNPs were rs1037804, rs16984020 and rs17862881. The first one is in the chromosomic region 10q26.2 (Table 4.13, Figure 4.34 and Figure 4.36), corresponding to an intron on the gene ADAM12. Based on bioinformatic prediction, this marker falls in a promoter region ${ }^{387}$. Some authors using mouse models have demonstrated that the ADAM12 gene, through a developmental pathway, is involved in regulating adipogenesis and myogenesis ${ }^{388}$. The second SNP (rs 16984020) associated with DSLI1 is in chromosome 22 (Table 4.13, Figure 4.34 and Figure 4.37) and it does not fall in a specific gene. It is around 200 Mb downstream from LOC1929539, this gene is expressed in pharyngeal arches in murine embryos ${ }^{389}$, and it is known that teeth are derived from the ectoderm of the first pharyngeal arch ${ }^{390}$. Finally, the third marker that presented significant association with DSLI1 is rs17862881. This SNP is also located in an intergenic region (2q37.1) (Table 4.13,

Figure 4.34 and Figure 4.38), but it is near several genes, which are part from a complex locus that encode different UDP-glucuronosyl transferases that work with different substrates. Another gene located close to this marker is TRPM8 ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{Mb}$ ). This gene belongs to the family of receptors called Transient receptor potential channels (TRP), which are involved with the sensory neurons innervating the dental pulp ${ }^{391}$.

Double shoveling on the lateral lower incisor (DSLI2) showed the same associations with SNPs rs17862881 and rs1037804 (Table 4.13, Figure 4.34, Figure 4.39 and Figure 4.40). This was expected since this is the same trait assessed in another tooth.

## Deflecting Wrinkle LM1

Deflecting wrinkle of the first lower molar (DWLM1) showed association with SNPs in chromosome region 12p11.21 (Table 4.13, Figure 4.34 and Figure 4.41). The index marker was rs16919218. This genetic variant falls in an intergenic region near the KIAA1551 and BICD1 genes. The first one is a protein coding gene that encodes a minor histocompatibility antigen and a tumor suppressor. The BICD1 gene is involved in the apical localization in Drosophila blastoderm embryos ${ }^{392}$. This SNP has been associated with an increase in left ventricular mass in a genome-wide scan performed in a group of Caribbean-Hispanics ${ }^{393}$. Interestingly, the frequency of this trait in Africans is higher than in Europeans, although it is lower than in Asian populations (Table 4.19). This could be reflecting the genetic architecture of the trait because the MAF of this SNP is higher in Africans than in other population in the Colombian sample, maybe there is another marker affecting the trait in other populations.

## Hypocone LM1

Hypocone in the first upper molar (HypLM1) showed a significant association with two genetic markers, rs9808165 and rs17142577 (Table 4.13, Figure 4.34, Figure 4.42 and 4.43). Contrary to the rest of the associations, the effect of both alleles is negative, this means that people carrying these alleles should have severely reduced forms or absence of this feature (Table 4.13). The first SNP is in chromosome region 2p12 (Figure 4.42). It falls in a genomic region containing only uncharacterized genomic elements, LOC101927907 and 200 Mb upstream is LRRTM4. Interestingly a SNP located in the LOC101927907 gene has been previously associated with missing teeth in a GWAS performed in a European population ${ }^{386}$. The genomic variant rs 17142577
is the second SNP associated with HypLM1, and it is in the 7 q 31.31 region (Figure 4.43). It does not fall in a gene but is close to $K C N D 2$. This gene encodes the potassium voltage-gated channel D member 2 protein, and it is involved in regulating the heart rate. Bioinformatic tools have predicted that this gene belongs to a gene network that encodes channel interacting-proteins ${ }^{394}$, where KCND2 may be involved with KLK4 ${ }^{395}$, which is a gene that plays an important role in enamel formation ${ }^{396}$.

## Metacone UM2

The trait Metacone in the second upper molar (MetUM2) regrouped (from 7 to 4 categories) showed association with a SNP (rs17072636) situated in the 18q22.1 region and it falls in an intron in the uncharacterized gene LOC284294 (Table 4.13 and Figure 4.44 ).

## Protostylid LMI

Protostylid in the first lower molar (PrtstLM1), correspond to the appearance of a secondary groove associated to the buccal groove separating cusps 1 and 3 on the buccal surface of the lower molars. This feature has shown association with SNPs in three genomic regions (1p13.1, 8q24.22 and 10q26.13) (Table 4.13 and Figure 4.34). The first genomic variant (rs10494193) is located in CD101 gene, is also called IGSF2 (Figure 4.45). It is involved in T-cells receptor/CD3-mediated T-cell activation ${ }^{397}$.The second marker that showed association with this trait was rs12680196, it falls in an intergenic region ( 8 q 24.22 ) and it is 400 Mb downstream from the gene $E F R 3 A$ (Figure 4.46). SNPs on this gene have shown a suggestive association to dental cavities in the deciduous dentition in a group of children of European ancestry ${ }^{398}$. Finally, PrtostLM1 presented an association with a SNP (rs12570261), also located in an intergenic region in chromosome 10, band 26.13 (Figure 4.47). It is close to the gene Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor 2 (FGFR2) ( $\sim 50 \mathrm{Mb}$ downstream). The FGFR2 gene belongs to a family of tyrosine kinase receptors genes. It has been implicated in the Crouzon syndrome ${ }^{399}$, the main characteristic of this genetic disorder is craniosynostosis, but individuals with this syndrome also present underdeveloped jaw and dental problems 400.

## Protostylid LM2

Four genomic variants (rs2241729, rs12299956, rs630603 and rs9899063) located in four different chromosomes were found associated with Protostylid in the second lower molar (PrtstLM2) (Table 4.13 and Figure 4.34). The first marker rs 2241729 (7q32.3) (Figure 4.48) is situated in an intron in the gene PLXNA4. Plexin A4 belongs to the Plexin gene.family and encodes the receptor of Sema6A protein. They control lamina-specific neuronal stratification in the mouse retina ${ }^{401}$. Interestingly, another transmembrane semaphorin Sema3A has been proposed to participate in the innervation and development of the tooth ${ }^{402}$. The second genetic variant rs 12299956, falls in the GPR133 gene (Figure 4.49). The function of this gene in humans is to increase cellular cAMP formation via adenylate cyclase ${ }^{403}$. It has been proposed that the GPR133 gene may participate in tooth loss, as cAMP signaling may be involved in periodontal homeostasis and immune response ${ }^{386}$. Marker rs630603, situated in the $15 q 23$ chromosome region (Figure 4.50) is the third SNP associated to Protostylid in the second lower molar. This genomic variant falls in the TLE3 gene. This gene is a member of the Notch signalling pathway, which controls important cellular interactions, but it has not been associated with dental traits before. Finally, SNP rs9899063 which falls in the NF1 gene showed an association with PrtstLM2. The NF1 gene is in chromosome region 17q11.2 (Figure 4.51). This gene encodes a protein called neurofibromin and it is produced in several types of cells (nerve cells, oligodendrocytes and Schwann cells) ${ }^{404}$. It is well known that different mutations in this gene cause Neurofibromatosis Type 1 (OMIM\#162200) ${ }^{405}$, ${ }^{406}$.

## Shovel shape UI1, UI1 regrouped, UI2, UI2 regrouped, LII and LII regrouped

The genomic variant rs3827760, which is a missense mutation situated in the EDAR gene (2q12.3) (Table 4.13, Figure 4.34, and Figure 4.52 - Figure 4.57 ), showed association with shovel shape in central and lateral upper incisors and in central lower incisors (SSUI1,SSUI1 regrouped, SSUI2, SSUI2 regrouped, SLI1 and SLI1 regrouped), both the normally categorized version (0-6) and regrouped version (0-3) of the phenotypes. This association has been previously found and described by several authors ${ }^{284,407-409}$.

Certainly, the EDAR V370A missense mutation, which is a gold standard marker of selective sweep in an East-Asian population. This marker demonstrates how a
beneficial allele is selected and then brought to other geographic regions, in this case America. Also shows how the genetic architecture is shaped across different populations. This recently introduced allele might have greater size effect because it was saved from the filtering of evolution and hence, is more likely to detect the associations with different phenotypes ${ }^{249}$. The EDAR gene is known to have several pleiotropic effects, sweat gland density ${ }^{410}$, hair shape ${ }^{211}$, chin protrusion ${ }^{287}$, among other. All these features can be observed in Latin-American populations. Therefore, this association between shovel shape traits and rs3827760, was expected. This marker was also found associated with chin protrusion in the quantitative facial GWAS described in Section 3.3.8.

### 4.4.2 Candidate genes associated to dental measurements

The GWAS with dental measurements detected seven associations with four genomic regions (Table 4.17).

## Bucco-lingual distance Lower Left Canine

Genetic variants in the chromosome region 1q42.2 (Table 4.17, Figure 4.59 and Figure 4.60) showed significant associations with the Bucco-Lingual distance of the left lower canine. The index SNP is rs 16856377 and is located $\sim 200 \mathrm{Mb}$ upstream of the DISC2 gene. Mutations in this gene has been associated to schizophrenia ${ }^{411}$. The gene SIPAlL2 is $\sim 300 \mathrm{Mb}$ upstream, this gene encodes a protein called Signal-induced proliferation-associated 1-like protein $2^{412}$.

## Inciso-cervical distance right lower first premolar

The Inciso-Cervical distance of the right lower first premolar showed a significant association with the SNP rs2883720. This marker falls in an intergenic area in the chromosome region 1q42.2 (Table 4.17, Figure 4.59 and Figure 4.61). It is surrounded by several genes, such as TRIM67, TTC13, EXOC8, ARV1, etc. The first two have not been characterized. Mutations in EXOC8 have been related to Joubert syndrome ${ }^{413}$ and mutations in ARV1 to Epileptic encephalopathy. None of them has been associated to tooth traits before.

## Mesiodistal distance lower left lateral incisor, upper left lateral incisor, upper right central incisor and upper right lateral incisor

Four meso-distal measurements from the incisors were associated with the genomic variant rs3827760 (EDAR gene), Meso-Distal distance in the lower left lateral incisor, Meso-Distal distance in the upper left lateral incisor, Meso-Distal distance in the upper right central incisor and Meso-Distal distance in the upper right lateral incisor (Table 4.17, Figure 4.59 and Figure 4.62 - Figure 4.65). As mentioned before, this SNP has been previously associated with shovel shape incisors ${ }^{284,408,409}$. All these distances correspond to the width of the teeth, the presence of marginal ridges affect the width of the tooth. This is also reflected in the correlations between the quantitative and ordinal traits, where shovel shape traits showed weak positive correlations with mesodistal measurements in the incisors (Appendices Table B.2a and B.2b).

The genome-wide scans performed with different dental measurements as phenotypes, also showed some associations, but none of them were previously associated with dental phenotypes, except for rs3827760, which was associated to mesiodistal distances in upper and lower incisors. The marginal ridges in the lingual surface of incisors define the presence or absence of the shovel shape, not just at the deepest point in the middle of the lingual fossa ${ }^{414}$ and these ridges affect the maximum distance from the mesial to the distal surface of the teeth. The allele effect size $\left(\sim 2.54 \mathrm{E}^{-01}\right)$ is positive, thus, individuals carrying this allele will have wider incisors (Table 4.17).

### 4.4.3 Limitations of the sample

## Local ancestry assignment

Despite the possible candidate genes, an issue to be noted is that many associated SNPs showed a higher frequency in African populations (Appendix B, Table B. 3 and B.4). Nevertheless, the Colombian sample used to perform the GWAS presented a low African ancestry ( $\sim 9 \%$ ). In order to assess if this SNPs were Africans, from a previous analysis I checked if the haplotypes where these SNPs belong in the individuals carrying them were Africans (local ancestry assignment), to double check and discard genotyping errors, etc. The local ancestry assignment is more robust than single-SNP based decisions, as it aggregates haplotype information across a broad region for many individuals to decide for one SNP. When local ancestry assignments are averaged
across the two haplotypes for an individual and across the whole genome, the ancestry proportions are very similar to those obtained by other methods such as ADMIXTURE ${ }^{415}$ that are well-established for ancestry estimation, thus demonstrating the accuracy of RFMix ${ }^{366}$. The results were congruent and the SNPs with high frequency in Africans were assigned to African haplotypes, clearing possible errors in the genotyping or the quality control, etc.

## Population structure

Therefore, this led me to think of other options that affect the performance of GWAS, such as population structure ${ }^{416}$. Accordingly, very stringent quality control was carried out to remove outliers, and PCs were recalculated after each removal, and Q-Q plots for all associations showed no sign of inflation (lambda <1.02), confirming no presence of population structure.

## Number of samples

The success of genome-wide association studies depends on several variables, such as the number of samples, frequency of causal variants, the proportion of trait variance explained by a marker among other things depending on the type of GWAS ${ }^{6}$. In this case to clarify how important the number of samples is for a quantitative trait GWAS I present an example modified from Schmid et al. (2019) ${ }^{417}$, in this study they replied to the question about what sample size can a genetic variant be significantly associated to a trait? For instance, for a SNP that explains $1 \%$ of variance, the power at $n=2000$ is only $15 \%$, but at $\mathrm{n}=5000$ is $95 \%$, and $\mathrm{n}=500$ the power of detection is merely $1 \%$. Among other reasons, this could be the main cause of some associations found here are not totally reliable and further analyses are needed.


Figure 4.67. Power (\%) for genome-wide significance at various sample sizes (n). Estimated power for various samples sizes, the x-axis represents the proportion of trait variance (q2) explained by a marker and y -axis denotes the estimated power (in percentage \%).

Heritability calculation is also affected by the number of samples, this can be observed in the results obtained for heritability of ordinal traits (Section 4.3.2.3). Most values are either close to 1 or 0 , meaning the algorithm convergence might have had problems given the small sample size ${ }^{61}$, and therefore converged to one boundary or the other of the $0-1$ interval. Only a few values are intermediate (i.e. 0.3 or 0.5 ). But the variance is huge - SD is around $40 \%$. Thus, except those with high heritability values, all others are non-significant, which means that even a heritability of $50 \%$ can be null i.e. $0 \%$.

### 4.4.4 Future work

Finally, despite the sample size being very small and more samples and analyses are needed to improve these results, this exploratory research has contributed to draft the guidelines for further analysis, increasing the number of samples and the variability of the ancestry. Currently, our group is obtaining more samples in Colombia and Chile, to improve on the results obtained here.

## Chapter 5: Conclusions

In this thesis I have performed several genome-wide association scans in a LatinAmerican population. As a result, I discovered new genomic variants related to facial and dental features.

In chapter 1 I have explored how facial and dental features are involved in different disciplines. I have also described the current knowledge about the evolution of the face and teeth. I have presented a summary on the genetics of the face and teeth. I have also described the genetic history of the settlement of America, focusing in Central and South America and how this affects the study of the different phenotypes. I have presented a summary about GWAS, highlighting advantages and limitations. And finally, I have described the studied samples. All these to support the main aim of this thesis, which is to identify genetic loci associated with human facial and dental traits in a Latin American population.

In chapter 2 I have described and explained the principal methods used on this thesis. The theory behind the genome-wide association studies and I have briefly mentioned about the history of geometric morphometry and the ASUDAS scoring method, to contextualize and explain the methods.

In chapter 3 I have performed a genome-wide scan seeking genetic variants associated with ordinal facial traits. I also did some follow-up analyses to endorse the associations found. I performed a second GWAS, this time with quantitative traits to prove if the associations obtained with ordinal phenotypes were real. I also performed a functional analysis of the effect of $E D A R$ on chin protrusion.

In chapter 4 I have performed two genome-wide association studies with ordinal and quantitative dental traits. I have discovered some new possible genomic variants associated with dental traits and I also replicated the previous association between shovel shape incisors and the SNP rs3827760 (EDAR gene). I have also highlighted some limitations of the results obtained due to the number of samples being very small.

### 5.1 Impact of phenotyping strategies on GWAS

An important part of the genome-wide study is the assessed phenotype. The more the resolution is captured by the phenotype the more is the probability to detect associations ${ }^{418}$. Generally, the effect size of the genomic variants on phenotypes follows a gradient. The largest effect for those phenotypes directly affected by genes (endophenotypes), such as proteins. This is due to the competition that exist between genetic and non-genetic factors that contribute to the phenotype ${ }^{249}$. Thus, it would be expected that in phenotypes highly affected by non-genetic factors it will be harder to find genomic variants with a larger effect. This is reflecting how important is to obtain as much information as possible from the phenotype.

In this regard, in this thesis I presented two kinds of phenotyping methods, ordinal and quantitative phenotypes. Both methods were able to detect new genomic variants associated with facial and dental features, but with the quantitative method, especially morphometric geometry, the levels of significance obtained were higher and more associations were detected. In the case of ordinal phenotypes, the categories are assigned based on different criteria and not necessarily reflecting the level of variation within one category to another, thus important information is missed. In this thesis I use photogrammetry to obtain coordinates, distances and angles from 2D photographs, although this method is better than the categorical method. It depends on the expertise of the software operator to add the landmarks on the photos, additionally the photos may have different resolution, or the face is tilted, etc. and usually the number of landmarks is not enough to capture the whole phenotype variation. In order to improve this, several groups over the years have developed several phenotyping methods ${ }^{419}$, ${ }^{168}$ using MRI scans or even AI.

This thesis has offered a spectrum of different types of phenotypes and not only have they been useful for detecting new genomic variants, but also as way to compare between different phenotypes.

### 5.2 Future and significance of Genome Wide Association Studies

Over the years, countless genome-wide scans have been successful in finding genomic variants associated with complex disease or traits. But there are still several challenges to overcome to increase the significance of these findings. In this section I briefly attempt to mention some limitations, advantages and challenges of GWAS.

Genome-wide studies are already a part of an analysis pipeline because they offer an unbiased assessment of a large number of common alleles in complex phenotypes ${ }^{418}$. Although, it is expensive and it requires a big number of samples, it also gives the opportunity to narrow down the possible associations, and subsequently apply followup analyses, i.e. sequencing a specific region, which is cheaper than sequencing the whole genome. Furthermore, the amount of information that is generated by GWAS is priceless. Much effort should be done to increase the amount of information shared among different groups.

During the last year several scientific publications have discussed the issue about the diversity of the samples used to perform GWAS ${ }^{239,420}$. Around $73 \%$ of the populations analysed are from Europe or Asia. This difference has a huge impact in pharmacogenetics and pharmacogenomics because a drug can be useful in one population, but not in another population because of inter-individual variation dosage. Another issue is the lack of replication across different populations, due to differences in linkage disequilibrium across different ethnic groups. Markers in LD with the risk variant, may not be in LD in some populations ${ }^{239}$.

An advantage of GWAS is that it can be used to identify individuals at high risk to present certain diseases, thus the disease can be detected, prevented and the treatment improved upon ${ }^{420}$. On the other hand, if the GWAS are performed in specific groups this advantage will not reach all the population.

Another benefit of Genome-wide scans is that they can help in the discovery of novel biological mechanisms that have never been studied before. And the follow-up of that finding may represent the discovery of new biological mechanisms ${ }^{420}$.

The data produced by GWAS are utilized for several applications. For instance, reconstruction of population history, fine-scale estimation of location of birth, estimation of SNP heritability, polygenic risk scores, among others ${ }^{420}$.

Many limitations have arisen since the beginning of the GWAS era. Nevertheless, most of the limitations can be overcome. For instance, the use of larger samples, increase in the diversity of the populations, advances in technology, the use of whole genome sequence (WGS) data instead of SNPs and this will allow to improve the risk prediction, detection of population stratification, identify more causal variants, explain more the missing heritability, etc.

Several authors have mentioned that whole genome sequence is the future of GWAS as prices decrease ${ }^{421,422}$. Meanwhile, GWAS based in SNP genotyping arrays will keep on increasing the knowledge of the genetics variants behind complex phenotypes 420

This thesis represents a contribution not only due to the new genetic variants associated with physical phenotypes, but also because of the different kind of phenotypes used, as well as the GWAS being performed in a population that was underrepresented in previous genome-wide scans, a group of Latin-American individuals.

### 5.3 The importance of the findings on this thesis in the morphology and development of the teeth and craniofacial features

Most of the genes or genetics regions implicated in morphology and development of craniofacial and dental features have been detected by studying familial cases, single cases of individuals presenting craniofacial and/or dental abnormalities or using animal models first, and then stablishing the relationship with 'normal craniofacial and dental features' and analysing how these changes affect the gene pathways acting over these traits and proposing how these genes are implicated in the normal development and morphology of the traits. In this case, I started searching for genes associated to normal facial and dental features. I found some genes previously associated to teeth or craniofacial anomalies, as well as genes that are involved in normal development of facial and dental traits.

For example, Hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia is a genetic condition that affects skin, hair, nails, teeth and sweat glands ${ }^{423,424,425}$. The most common phenotype is HED/EDA 1 (OMIM \#305100), 1 out of 17,000 people present this X-linked hypohidrotic disorder. They show reduce capability to sweat, abnormal shape or lack of some teeth, sparse hair ${ }^{426}$, some cases may show dysmorphic features, such as prognathism, forehead bumps, depressed nasal bridge and protruded forehead ${ }^{427}$. People with mutations in any of the genes belonging to the ectodysplasin A pathway (EDA-EDAR and EDARADD) present hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia ${ }^{324}$.

The jaws are developed from the maxillary and mandibular process of pharyngeal arch $1^{22}$. It is also known that the gene Edn1, which is expressed in ectodermal epithelium
of the mandibular process communicates via endothelin receptor A (encoded by Edar gene) ${ }^{13,23}$.

I detected an allele rs3827760 that falls in EDAR gene associated to chin protrusion in the face and shovel shape in the incisors. This allele, as mentioned before, was selected in an Asian population and later it was brought to America by the first settlers of the continent. It has a pleiotropic effect because the gene is affecting several phenotypes at the same time. However, this gene is expressed in the ectodermal epithelium and most of the phenotypes that derive from this layer during embryology development are shaped by the activity of this pathway (EDA-EDAR and EDARADD) where this gene is crucial. Thus, this pathway is very important in the embryonic ectodermal development and controls an early stage of embryology development and any mutation occurred at this point causes disturbance in the interaction between cells situated on the surface and the underlaying mesenchyme, leading to alterations in any stage of the skin appendages development.

Another interesting finding was the association between SUPT3H/RUNX2 genomic region and nose bridge breadth. It is well known that Runx2 is very important in the development of the bones, because Runx2 is the first transcription factor required for the osteoblast maturation. In this genomic region there are two primers (P1 and P2), it has been proposed that they may control each other by producing two isoforms of Runx2 (Runx2-I and Runx2-II) ${ }^{428}$. Mutations in RUNX2 that cause loss of function have been associated to cleidocranial dysplasia, a delayed closure in cranial sutures ${ }^{429}$. Interestingly, Runx2 transcription factor is necessary for the proliferation of osteoblasts by regulating $F g f r 2$ and $F g f r 3$ expression ${ }^{430}$, and $F G F R 2$ has been associated with cleft lip and/or palate. Hence, the association I have found between SUPT3H/RUNX2 region and nose bridge breadth suggest that this gene might be regulating not just the closure of sutures, but also the wide of this area of the nose by stimulating the proliferation and maturation of osteoblasts.

An allele (rs12570261) near by the gene $F G F R 2$ showed association with the protostylid in the first lower molar, this structure corresponds to the appearance of a secondary groove associated to the buccal groove separating cusps 1 and 3 on the buccal surface of the lower molars. During the tooth development, Fgfr2 gene participates in the morphogenesis stage, when the primary enamel knot begins its
formation ${ }^{106}$. It is also known that individuals with mutations in this gene present Apert Syndrome, which is characterized by craniosynostosis, midface hypoplasia, pseudo cleft-palate ${ }^{431}$, among other characteristics. Therefore, the association found in this study may be correct because I found this genetic marker that could be influencing the formation and normal development of teeth in humans.

The set of changes that allow the embryonic layers (ectoderm, mesoderm and endoderm) to transform into the different organs that make up an organism is a complex physiological process. An intricate array of signalling molecules such as FGFs, bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), Wnt, and Hedgehog (Hh) families are known to regulate the formation, differentiation, and maintenance of the tooth ${ }^{432}$ and face during the development and throughout adulthood, and several of the genes that we have found through this study are implicated somehow or other in these processes.

Although we did not find all the genes or genomic regions detected by different methods and/or studies involved in the morphology and development of the face and teeth, this does not mean these genomic markers or genes are not associated to some of the processes shaping facial and dental features. This could be due to the genetic architecture of the studied population or the power of detection was not enough, etc. Perhaps because I was analysing dental and facial traits in adults, therefore their faces and teeth were totally developed, I only could detect signals from markers acting in the last stage of developing and not genomic regions expressing in other stages. Certainly, this is a question and discussion that I still have regarding this study and, it requires further analysis.

### 5.4 Is it feasible to predict the phenotype of a person based on their genetic information?

Prediction of phenotypes by Forensic Sciences has been very ambitious since the beginning and with the advances in DNA technology the Forensic DNA developing (FDP) was presented as the solution, for some traits such as hair and eye colour, FDP model prediction works, but it is not the same regarding other complex traits, such as facial and dental features.

Genome-wide associations scans were developed as a statistical analysis capable to detect a massive number of genetic markers associated to different complex
phenotypes (illnesses, physical features, etc.). It was thought that with this great amount of information, the prediction of certain features would be possible, but more than 10 years have passed since the beginning of GWAS and the accurate prediction of certain traits does not seem close.

In this thesis I presented the percentage of phenotypic variance explained by the associated alleles to different complex traits (facial and dental features), but none of these values surpassed the $2 \%$ of variance. This means that any of the detected associations explains more than $2 \%$ of the difference among one person to another for a particular trait. Then, Narrow-sense heritability (additive genetic variance) estimates were calculated on ordinal and quantitative facial phenotypes, and for some quantitative facial traits it was relatively high (between 0.45 and 0.90 , Table 3.22), as expected for continuous variables. But this only explains a fraction of the total phenotypic variance.

The issue of missing heritability has been widely discussed, the fact that GWAS have identified a countless number of genetic variants showing association with complex traits. Nevertheless, these genomic variants only explain a modest proportion of the heritability of a trait. One possible explanation is that SNPs with small effects do not reach the significant threshold ${ }^{420}$. Consequently, the prediction of the phenotype of a complex trait based on the genetic information of a person or forensic DNA phenotyping (FDP), at this moment, seems very difficult. There are still many factors to consider in order to predict accurately complex phenotypes based only on DNA data, and these factors are not entirely related to genetics, also the environment plays a crucial role in shaping complex phenotypes.
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## Appendices

## Appendix A to Chapter 3: Genome-Wide Association Studies of Human Facial traits

Figures A.1-A.13. Q-Q plots for genome-wide association tests of the facial features studied in the CANDELA sample.
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Table A. 1 Genomic inflation factor for categorical traits:

| Trait | Genomic Inflation Factor $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Forehead profile | 1.012 |
| Brow ridge protrusion | 1.002 |
| Cheekbone protrusion | 1.014 |
| Nasal root breadth | 1.013 |
| Nose bridge breadth | 1.008 |
| Nose wing breadth | 1.009 |
| Nose profile | 1.007 |
| Nose protrusion | 1.014 |
| Nose tip shape | 1.004 |
| Columella inclination | 1.015 |
| Upper lip thickness | 1.001 |
| Lower lip thickness | 1.002 |
| Chin shape | 1.016 |
| Chin protrusion | 1.014 |

Tables A.2a and A.2b. Fraction of trait variance explained by the index SNPs associated to ordinal and quantitative facial features. For all traits we calculated the fraction of trait variance explained by the covariates and by all index SNPs. A similar regression model to that used in the GWAS was used to estimate the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ of the model (representing the fraction of the variance of the trait explained by all regressors). The following models were applied:Model 1: Trait ~ age + sex $+\mathrm{BMI}+\mathrm{PC} 1 \ldots$ PC5

Model 2: Trait $\sim$ age + sex + BMI + PC1 $\ldots$ PC5 + index SNP
Model 3: Trait $\sim$ age + sex + BMI + PC1 $\ldots$ PC5 + all index SNPs
Model 2 was applied separately for each index SNP.
From models 2 and 3, the fraction of trait variance explained by the covariates (i.e. Model 1) was subtracted to get the additional contribution of the SNP(s).

SNPs showing genome-wide significant associations to a trait are highlighted in bold.
Table A.2a. Ordinal traits

|  | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ explained by (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trait | Covariates | rs3827760 | rs7559271 | rs2045323 | rs12644248 | rs1852985 | rs17640804 | rs927833 | All SNPs |
| Forehead profile | 23.60 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.29 |
| Brow ridge protrusion | 39.81 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.46 |
| Cheekbone protrusion | 8.75 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.09 |
| Nasal root breadth | 5.84 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.45 |
| Nose bridge breadth | 7.08 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.71 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.92 |
| Nose wing breadth | 9.61 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.62 | 0.66 | 1.53 |
| Nose profile | 4.77 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.26 |
| Nose protrusion | 1.93 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.27 |
| Nose tip shape | 11.57 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.11 |
| Columella inclination | 5.29 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.86 |
| Upper lip thickness | 12.09 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.51 |
| Lower lip thickness | 9.61 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.41 |
| Chin shape | 2.77 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.14 |
| Chin protrusion | 4.83 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.36 |

Table A.2.b. Quantitative traits

|  | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ explained by (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| Trait | Covariates rs3827760 rs7559271 rs2045323 rs12644248 rs1852985 rs17640804 rs927833 All SNPs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nasal root breadth | 19.31 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.94 |
| Nose bridge breadth | 13.84 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.15 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 8}$ | 0.26 | 0.24 | 1.80 |
| Nose wing breadth | 15.27 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.10 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 7}$ | 2.28 |
| Nose protrusion | 17.72 | 0.00 | 0.28 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 5}$ | 0.29 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 1.32 |
| Nose tip angle | 10.15 | 0.01 | 0.07 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 8}$ | 0.52 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 1.37 |
| Columella inclination | 6.06 | 0.01 | 0.14 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 4}$ | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.13 |
| Upper lip thickness | 16.75 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.32 |
| Lower lip thickness | 13.66 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.35 |
| Chin protrusion | 11.35 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 1.09 |
| Nasion position | 30.21 | 0.01 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 3}$ | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 1.15 |

Figures A.14-A.21. Boxplots showing the correlation between ordinal and quantitative facial features.
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# Appendix B to Chapter 4: Genome-Wide Association Studies of Human Dental traits 

Figures B.1-B.66. Histograms of 66 out of 86 initial traits showing the distribution of ordinal dental features categorized with ASUDAS scoring method. Traits where all the individuals were scored in the same category are not present here.
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Table B.1. Summary Statistics of dental measurements data.

| name | min | mean | median | max | std | upper_range | lower_range | max_range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Up_Rt_P2 | 4.21 | 6.86 | 6.86 | 12.49 | 0.93 | 5.63 | 2.64 | 5.63 |
| IC_Up_Rt_P1 | 5.31 | 7.91 | 7.91 | 11.88 | 0.90 | 3.97 | 2.60 | 3.97 |
| IC_Up_Rt_C | 7.07 | 9.87 | 9.82 | 13.31 | 1.09 | 3.48 | 2.76 | 3.48 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I2 | 6.05 | 8.78 | 8.78 | 12.46 | 0.99 | 3.68 | 2.73 | 3.68 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I1 | 7.56 | 10.20 | 10.12 | 13.58 | 0.95 | 3.46 | 2.56 | 3.46 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I1 | 7.54 | 10.22 | 10.20 | 12.91 | 0.96 | 2.71 | 2.67 | 2.71 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I2 | 6.38 | 8.94 | 8.90 | 12.55 | 0.98 | 3.65 | 2.52 | 3.65 |
| IC_Up_Lf_C | 6.68 | 9.95 | 9.94 | 13.18 | 1.05 | 3.24 | 3.26 | 3.26 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P1 | 5.23 | 7.97 | 7.99 | 11.79 | 0.85 | 3.81 | 2.75 | 3.81 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P2 | 4.38 | 6.83 | 6.82 | 10.23 | 0.88 | 3.41 | 2.44 | 3.41 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P2 | 5.13 | 6.77 | 6.76 | 10.36 | 0.61 | 3.59 | 1.64 | 3.59 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P1 | 5.88 | 7.36 | 7.34 | 10.76 | 0.53 | 3.42 | 1.46 | 3.42 |
| MD_Up_Lf_C | 6.15 | 7.82 | 7.81 | 9.56 | 0.55 | 1.75 | 1.66 | 1.75 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I2 | 4.97 | 6.84 | 6.80 | 8.87 | 0.60 | 2.07 | 1.83 | 2.07 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I1 | 6.92 | 8.75 | 8.75 | 10.56 | 0.56 | 1.81 | 1.84 | 1.84 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I1 | 6.96 | 8.80 | 8.79 | 10.95 | 0.56 | 2.16 | 1.83 | 2.16 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I2 | 4.43 | 6.91 | 6.90 | 9.33 | 0.59 | 2.43 | 2.47 | 2.47 |
| MD_Up_Rt_C | 6.41 | 7.88 | 7.87 | 9.82 | 0.54 | 1.96 | 1.46 | 1.96 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P1 | 5.26 | 7.34 | 7.34 | 9.80 | 0.52 | 2.46 | 2.08 | 2.46 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P2 | 5.18 | 6.85 | 6.87 | 9.83 | 0.59 | 2.96 | 1.69 | 2.96 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P2 | 7.41 | 9.58 | 9.55 | 11.77 | 0.65 | 2.22 | 2.14 | 2.22 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P1 | 7.70 | 9.57 | 9.53 | 11.51 | 0.62 | 1.97 | 1.83 | 1.97 |
| BL_Up_Lf_C | 6.76 | 8.50 | 8.49 | 10.37 | 0.62 | 1.88 | 1.74 | 1.88 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I2 | 4.69 | 6.89 | 6.88 | 9.44 | 0.61 | 2.56 | 2.19 | 2.56 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I1 | 5.85 | 7.62 | 7.61 | 9.54 | 0.56 | 1.93 | 1.76 | 1.93 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I1 | 5.71 | 7.61 | 7.58 | 10.02 | 0.58 | 2.44 | 1.87 | 2.44 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I2 | 4.35 | 6.82 | 6.83 | 9.60 | 0.62 | 2.77 | 2.49 | 2.77 |
| BL_Up_Rt_C | 6.46 | 8.52 | 8.51 | 10.38 | 0.64 | 1.87 | 2.05 | 2.05 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P1 | 7.75 | 9.63 | 9.62 | 11.52 | 0.62 | 1.90 | 1.87 | 1.90 |


| name | min | mean | median | max | std | upper_range | lower_range | max_range |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | 4.74 | 7.15 | 7.12 | 11.60 | 0.86 | 4.48 | 2.38 | 4.48 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | 5.30 | 8.23 | 8.24 | 10.91 | 0.85 | 2.67 | 2.94 | 2.94 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_C | 6.75 | 10.02 | 10.00 | 14.00 | 1.23 | 4.00 | 3.25 | 4.00 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | 6.34 | 8.82 | 8.81 | 12.23 | 0.95 | 3.42 | 2.47 | 3.42 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | 6.08 | 8.66 | 8.65 | 12.22 | 0.92 | 3.57 | 2.57 | 3.57 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | 6.37 | 8.73 | 8.75 | 12.32 | 0.94 | 3.58 | 2.38 | 3.58 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | 6.46 | 8.93 | 8.91 | 11.79 | 0.94 | 2.88 | 2.44 | 2.88 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_C | 7.17 | 10.14 | 10.04 | 13.76 | 1.21 | 3.73 | 2.87 | 3.73 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | 5.76 | 8.32 | 8.31 | 11.48 | 0.83 | 3.17 | 2.55 | 3.17 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P2 | 4.36 | 7.26 | 7.21 | 12.82 | 0.89 | 5.62 | 2.85 | 5.62 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | 5.95 | 7.43 | 7.42 | 11.62 | 0.59 | 4.21 | 1.46 | 4.21 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | 5.92 | 7.31 | 7.32 | 11.44 | 0.55 | 4.13 | 1.39 | 4.13 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_C | 5.67 | 6.94 | 6.92 | 13.27 | 0.60 | 6.34 | 1.25 | 6.34 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | 4.83 | 6.11 | 6.08 | 9.70 | 0.48 | 3.63 | 1.24 | 3.63 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | 4.19 | 5.64 | 5.60 | 8.99 | 0.44 | 3.39 | 1.41 | 3.39 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | 4.57 | 5.65 | 5.62 | 8.60 | 0.43 | 2.97 | 1.05 | 2.97 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | 5.00 | 6.14 | 6.09 | 8.57 | 0.43 | 2.49 | 1.09 | 2.49 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_C | 5.04 | 6.97 | 6.96 | 10.96 | 0.58 | 4.00 | 1.92 | 4.00 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | 5.54 | 7.32 | 7.33 | 10.97 | 0.56 | 3.64 | 1.79 | 3.64 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P2 | 5.72 | 7.37 | 7.36 | 11.39 | 0.57 | 4.03 | 1.63 | 4.03 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | 7.12 | 8.82 | 8.80 | 11.40 | 0.60 | 2.60 | 1.68 | 2.60 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | 6.65 | 8.12 | 8.11 | 12.05 | 0.59 | 3.94 | 1.46 | 3.94 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_C | 6.28 | 7.94 | 7.91 | 13.96 | 0.69 | 6.05 | 1.63 | 6.05 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | 5.36 | 6.69 | 6.66 | 9.03 | 0.48 | 2.37 | 1.30 | 2.37 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | 5.11 | 6.40 | 6.35 | 11.37 | 0.57 | 5.02 | 1.24 | 5.02 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | 5.08 | 6.39 | 6.34 | 11.00 | 0.55 | 4.66 | 1.26 | 4.66 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | 5.35 | 6.73 | 6.70 | 11.55 | 0.52 | 4.84 | 1.35 | 4.84 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_C | 6.45 | 7.97 | 7.95 | 13.63 | 0.69 | 5.68 | 1.49 | 5.68 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | 6.63 | 8.15 | 8.13 | 11.71 | 0.58 | 3.59 | 1.49 | 3.59 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P2 | 6.95 | 8.84 | 8.86 | 10.93 | 0.57 | 2.07 | 1.91 | 2.07 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figures B.67-B.115. Histograms showing the distribution of measurements of dental features.
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Figures B.116-B.176. Q-Q plots for all association tests ( 60 measurements) showed no sign of inflation, the genomic control factor lambda being < 1.02 in all cases.
















Table B.2a. Correlations between 46 ordinal dental traits (ASUDAS) and $\mathbf{6 0}$ dental measurements. Correlation values are presented in Table B.2a, with corresponding $P$ values in Table B.2b). Correlations with significant $P$ values ( $<0.00002$, Bonferroni-adjusted threshold), are highlighted in bold.

|  | SSUI1 | SSUI2 | DSUI1 | DSUI2 | WINUI1 | LCUI2 | TDUI1 | TDUI2 | TDUC | MRUC | DARUC | MetUM1 | MetUM2 | HipUM1 | HipUM2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD_Up_Rt_P2 | 8.89 | 9.33 | 12.16 | 6.92 | 2.20 | -3.02 | 11.96 | 10.93 | 11.80 | 5.15 | 7.90 | 1.30 | -0.02 | -0.99 | 10.87 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P2 | -2.61 | -1.05 | -2.67 | -0.90 | 8.18 | 1.27 | 8.96 | 9.13 | 16.43 | 0.77 | 9.69 | -2.71 | -1.39 | 0.93 | 4.71 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P1 | -0.66 | -0.08 | -0.47 | 1.38 | 6.68 | 3.89 | 9.25 | 5.55 | 11.62 | 2.54 | 10.35 | -3.89 | -5.71 | 1.15 | 4.11 |
| BL_Up_Lf_C | 0.57 | 5.65 | -1.23 | -1.31 | 12.83 | -1.36 | 11.93 | 13.40 | 27.49 | 8.48 | 7.33 | -3.77 | 3.00 | -4.57 | 6.58 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I2 | 6.04 | 10.28 | 8.38 | 4.57 | 6.09 | 1.86 | 16.70 | 19.56 | 20.00 | 4.64 | 6.38 | 0.93 | -2.94 | 1.60 | 4.40 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I1 | 7.78 | 12.46 | 4.26 | 3.46 | 16.39 | 3.12 | 23.30 | 13.92 | 18.70 | 9.13 | 7.69 | 0.28 | -0.26 | 1.51 | 6.44 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I1 | 7.00 | 12.36 | 5.05 | 4.17 | 12.43 | 1.01 | 21.85 | 15.89 | 22.81 | 10.77 | 6.16 | 1.06 | -2.07 | 0.20 | 8.87 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I2 | 3.44 | 7.20 | 7.97 | 5.85 | 7.16 | 4.34 | 17.88 | 19.77 | 22.34 | 2.86 | 5.79 | 3.66 | -1.04 | 2.43 | 5.48 |
| BL_Up_Rt_C | 1.74 | 3.76 | -1.45 | -0.43 | 9.83 | 3.38 | 11.89 | 13.47 | 27.45 | 7.32 | 5.03 | -4.83 | 2.17 | -5.02 | 6.28 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P1 | -1.57 | -1.28 | -3.27 | -0.20 | 3.55 | 0.65 | 5.69 | 4.20 | 11.41 | 0.15 | 13.19 | -5.15 | -2.81 | 3.17 | 5.21 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P2 | -1.88 | -0.39 | -3.96 | -1.64 | 6.34 | -0.70 | 7.57 | 11.59 | 18.29 | 1.90 | 8.40 | -0.66 | -0.77 | 3.35 | 2.99 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | 1.00 | -0.01 | 0.51 | -2.81 | 6.15 | 1.69 | 5.57 | 8.71 | 13.70 | 3.79 | 2.50 | -7.41 | -9.77 | -3.37 | -1.81 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | -2.74 | -2.13 | -2.24 | -2.89 | 6.79 | 5.93 | 7.10 | 7.59 | 18.26 | 5.42 | 8.00 | -2.14 | -10.29 | 0.69 | -6.10 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_C | -6.28 | -5.11 | -4.09 | -5.65 | 11.18 | 6.85 | 5.65 | 6.13 | 12.47 | 2.69 | 4.85 | -5.28 | -3.25 | -6.55 | -1.22 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | -0.65 | -0.88 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 7.67 | 7.93 | 9.18 | 9.82 | 12.63 | 2.90 | 6.85 | 0.33 | -6.10 | -1.16 | 1.59 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | -3.30 | -2.16 | -1.96 | 0.00 | 3.86 | 9.04 | 7.96 | 8.91 | 7.38 | 4.06 | 6.08 | 0.61 | 0.75 | -2.68 | 0.32 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | -2.79 | -2.34 | 0.79 | 1.85 | 6.37 | 7.10 | 9.35 | 8.83 | 6.77 | 1.80 | 4.79 | 2.60 | -0.59 | -3.69 | -0.01 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | -0.60 | 0.48 | -0.16 | -1.39 | 4.43 | 8.60 | 10.09 | 12.75 | 17.26 | 5.70 | 6.28 | 0.34 | -3.13 | -0.22 | 2.41 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_C | -4.62 | -2.56 | -2.46 | -1.99 | 5.83 | 8.13 | 7.58 | 4.75 | 12.64 | 5.37 | 4.62 | -5.41 | -2.00 | -2.33 | 0.53 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | -4.88 | -5.30 | -3.23 | -2.29 | 7.57 | 3.81 | 11.47 | 6.16 | 16.84 | 6.96 | 11.77 | -1.52 | -9.75 | 1.84 | -6.29 |

Continue...

C5UM1 C5UM2 CarUM1 SSLI SSLI2 DSLI1 DSLI2 DARLC LCVLP1 LCVLP2 AFLM1 AFLM2 GPLM2 CNLM1 CNLM2 C5LM1

| MD_Up_Rt_P2 | 2.81 | 4.97 | 10.26 | 11.48 | 7.80 | 4.68 | 1.67 | $1.91$ | $1.83$ | $4.21$ | $2.15$ | $-7.97$ | $1.73$ | $-1.17$ | $6.51$ | $-5.09$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BL_Up_Lf_P2 | 9.09 | 5.86 | 16.54 | 4.85 | 3.72 | 5.63 | 4.45 | 8.86 | -0.18 | 6.76 | -2.58 | -1.07 | 2.17 | 1.96 | 3.90 | -6.75 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P1 | 11.21 | 7.31 | 15.99 | 4.77 | 4.68 | 7.35 | 3.26 | 2.71 | 2.02 | 3.17 | -5.43 | -3.65 | -0.18 | 2.38 | -0.73 | -3.72 |
| BL_Up_Lf_C | 3.08 | -0.15 | 15.53 | 3.62 | 5.13 | 5.18 | 1.71 | 7.25 | 5.98 | 4.73 | -3.33 | -5.72 | -1.09 | 1.41 | 6.08 | -5.09 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I2 | 9.09 | 3.69 | 13.64 | 10.43 | 8.62 | 4.19 | -1.26 | 3.15 | 3.27 | 8.59 | -10.22 | -1.79 | -3.60 | 1.79 | 7.81 | -4.53 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I1 | 6.51 | -2.94 | 18.70 | 4.46 | 5.12 | 6.22 | 0.77 | 3.53 | 9.80 | 12.39 | -7.11 | -6.20 | -3.57 | -0.25 | 4.81 | -5.04 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I1 | 6.90 | -2.75 | 18.52 | 5.55 | 6.37 | 5.37 | -0.96 | 3.46 | 9.41 | 13.03 | -7.52 | -6.23 | -4.12 | 1.03 | 3.76 | -6.80 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I2 | 10.25 | 3.24 | 16.37 | 11.90 | 11.07 | 4.09 | 0.65 | 10.25 | 1.70 | 9.92 | -6.11 | 0.70 | -7.04 | 1.09 | 8.64 | -0.08 |
| BL_Up_Rt_C | 4.91 | -1.14 | 12.58 | 0.57 | 3.96 | 6.41 | 2.52 | 8.34 | 3.17 | 3.82 | -2.69 | -7.96 | -0.79 | 4.84 | 3.58 | -5.00 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P1 | 11.82 | 4.06 | 15.89 | 6.50 | 5.70 | 2.46 | -1.42 | 2.16 | 0.68 | 3.04 | -6.80 | -6.02 | -0.23 | 3.36 | -0.74 | 0.00 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P2 | 11.47 | 8.52 | 18.69 | 5.74 | 4.40 | 7.81 | 2.90 | 6.79 | 1.61 | 6.03 | -3.60 | -2.84 | 0.64 | 3.50 | 5.50 | -0.74 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | -8.15 | -7.63 | 14.95 | -5.14 | -3.35 | 1.63 | -2.57 | 4.16 | 0.58 | 1.10 | -9.44 | -8.57 | 1.95 | -4.02 | -5.95 | -8.02 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.46 | -5.73 | 14.98 | -3.09 | -0.72 | 3.47 | 1.32 | 7.25 | 1.71 | 0.84 | -1.35 | -7.15 | -6.16 | 3.59 | 0.47 | -3.41 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_C | -0.29 | -3.34 | 7.09 | -3.89 | -1.24 | 1.74 | 0.05 | 8.82 | -1.71 | 6.09 | -8.67 | -12.16 | -3.26 | 1.79 | -1.78 | -5.19 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | 2.87 | -2.41 | 6.89 | 5.40 | 7.28 | 3.79 | 1.32 | 5.34 | -2.17 | 2.42 | -9.91 | -14.15 | -0.49 | -0.45 | -4.94 | -4.33 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | -1.56 | -8.70 | 9.27 | 4.70 | 6.09 | 5.43 | 2.13 | 4.36 | -2.52 | -1.30 | -7.86 | -10.23 | -2.00 | -2.74 | -7.33 | -7.34 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | -3.18 | -9.93 | 8.90 | 3.43 | 3.65 | 5.22 | 2.93 | 5.11 | -5.98 | -0.89 | -9.35 | -12.53 | -3.49 | -2.66 | -2.65 | -4.84 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | -0.82 | -5.68 | 10.51 | 3.58 | 5.64 | 1.54 | -1.19 | 4.88 | -3.13 | 4.66 | -10.29 | -12.92 | 0.09 | -4.13 | -5.48 | -5.66 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_C | 1.28 | -3.18 | 10.71 | -1.08 | 2.06 | 4.46 | 1.54 | 6.52 | -2.44 | 6.03 | -8.22 | -9.28 | -3.03 | -0.77 | -4.88 | -2.94 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | 4.20 | -6.55 | 9.55 | -1.69 | 1.09 | 4.63 | 3.04 | 1.53 | -1.56 | -2.14 | -2.54 | -3.09 | 1.31 | -1.95 | -8.71 | -7.53 |

Continue...

|  | C5LM2 | C6LM1 | C7LM1 | DWLM1 | PrtostLM1 | PrtostLM2 | IGUI1 | IGUI2 | ARUP1 | ARUP2 | AMTUP1 | AMTUP2 | 3CUM2 | ARPrLP1 | ARPrLP2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Up_Rt_P2 | 3.15 | -0.05 | -1.88 | -5.73 | 10.61 | 13.27 | 7.17 | 7.43 | -4.91 | -6.88 | 1.80 | -6.58 | 6.26 | 1.10 | 6.86 |
| IC_Up_Rt_P1 | 5.90 | -2.75 | -0.82 | -8.01 | 4.72 | 17.15 | 9.60 | 10.42 | -2.46 | -6.01 | 7.31 | 1.14 | 5.35 | -0.88 | 5.21 |
| IC_Up_Rt_C | 1.99 | 6.58 | 2.41 | -4.84 | 8.00 | 12.24 | 9.30 | 10.67 | 0.11 | 8.75 | -2.57 | -0.53 | -4.38 | 9.41 | 10.37 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I2 | 3.58 | 6.22 | 3.73 | -3.12 | 4.67 | 14.71 | 8.55 | 3.64 | 0.66 | 3.46 | -0.98 | -3.67 | -0.95 | 12.03 | 14.44 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I1 | -1.28 | 3.41 | 3.87 | 1.02 | 4.78 | 11.15 | 10.78 | 10.16 | 6.43 | 14.60 | -0.27 | 0.74 | -3.55 | 11.56 | 15.95 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I1 | 0.90 | 5.63 | 3.50 | -1.59 | 5.01 | 10.02 | 12.33 | 10.45 | 4.70 | 12.10 | -0.55 | -1.97 | -3.58 | 9.21 | 12.01 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I2 | 2.82 | 1.13 | -0.20 | -2.84 | 6.63 | 9.48 | 12.08 | 8.27 | 5.43 | 7.74 | 2.35 | -0.65 | 0.73 | 6.54 | 10.42 |
| IC_Up_Lf_C | -0.99 | 1.73 | 2.71 | -6.59 | 8.55 | 9.92 | 11.62 | 8.57 | 1.75 | 5.54 | -0.55 | -0.14 | -0.53 | 5.43 | 9.80 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P1 | 3.72 | -1.55 | -0.28 | -8.54 | 9.38 | 14.63 | 8.36 | 10.62 | -0.54 | -2.01 | 6.17 | 1.11 | 5.46 | -2.70 | 7.00 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P2 | 5.99 | -2.45 | -0.59 | -9.33 | 7.30 | 13.12 | 6.22 | 6.15 | -0.01 | 0.48 | 0.14 | -2.36 | 4.01 | -0.34 | 11.65 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P2 | 9.15 | 0.58 | -7.73 | 2.70 | 9.10 | 11.41 | -1.01 | 2.70 | 12.09 | 13.08 | -1.94 | -4.53 | -17.24 | 9.76 | 15.00 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P1 | 7.62 | 5.78 | -1.17 | -2.81 | 12.10 | 9.28 | 4.29 | 1.47 | 10.19 | 15.54 | 3.95 | 3.25 | -10.91 | 2.28 | 8.93 |
| MD_Up_Lf_C | 7.86 | -0.64 | 3.47 | -8.38 | 11.20 | 14.03 | 4.12 | 3.68 | 13.41 | 11.79 | 4.38 | -4.37 | -10.14 | 7.69 | 5.35 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I2 | 19.45 | 8.11 | 3.12 | 2.54 | 10.65 | 10.57 | 7.17 | 11.95 | 10.53 | 3.99 | 7.02 | 4.77 | -8.74 | 13.98 | 10.22 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I1 | 12.93 | 13.61 | 3.29 | -5.35 | 14.77 | 15.11 | 4.44 | 8.03 | 11.12 | 8.02 | 7.73 | 6.01 | -9.67 | 13.79 | 13.60 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I1 | 11.92 | 7.75 | 4.05 | -6.82 | 16.20 | 12.93 | 7.64 | 11.67 | 9.55 | 9.03 | 7.49 | 8.21 | -11.15 | 12.71 | 11.78 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I2 | 17.28 | 2.30 | 0.66 | -1.71 | 11.49 | 9.08 | 7.68 | 9.37 | 7.79 | 5.51 | 9.53 | 1.29 | -12.59 | 13.06 | 13.99 |
| MD_Up_Rt_C | 10.29 | 3.08 | 4.19 | -5.02 | 7.47 | 13.35 | 9.89 | 2.39 | 10.32 | 15.08 | 1.64 | -2.19 | -14.85 | 11.03 | 12.41 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P1 | 6.66 | 1.53 | -0.24 | -2.57 | 11.27 | 7.07 | 1.11 | -0.05 | 6.59 | 14.02 | 5.54 | 8.76 | -10.02 | 4.47 | 9.70 |

## Continue..

SSUI1 SSUI2 DSUI1 DSUI2 WINUI1 LCUI2 TDUI1 TDUI2 TDUC MRUC DARUC MetUM1 MetUM2 HipUM1 HipUM2

|  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD_Up_Rt_P2 | 8.89 | 9.33 | 12.16 | 6.92 | 2.20 | -3.02 | 11.96 | 10.93 | 11.80 | 5.15 | 7.90 | 1.30 | -0.02 | -0.99 | 10.87 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P2 | -2.61 | -1.05 | -2.67 | -0.90 | 8.18 | 1.27 | 8.96 | 9.13 | 16.43 | 0.77 | 9.69 | -2.71 | -1.39 | 0.93 | 4.71 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P1 | -0.66 | -0.08 | -0.47 | 1.38 | 6.68 | 3.89 | 9.25 | 5.55 | 11.62 | 2.54 | 10.35 | -3.89 | -5.71 | 1.15 | 4.11 |
| BL_Up_Lf_C | 0.57 | 5.65 | -1.23 | -1.31 | 12.83 | -1.36 | 11.93 | 13.40 | 27.49 | 8.48 | 7.33 | -3.77 | 3.00 | -4.57 | 6.58 |
| BL_Up_Lf_L2 | 6.04 | 10.28 | 8.38 | 4.57 | 6.09 | 1.86 | 16.70 | 19.56 | 20.00 | 4.64 | 6.38 | 0.93 | -2.94 | 1.60 | 4.40 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I1 | 7.78 | 12.46 | 4.26 | 3.46 | 16.39 | 3.12 | 23.30 | 13.92 | 18.70 | 9.13 | 7.69 | 0.28 | -0.26 | 1.51 | 6.44 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I1 | 7.00 | 12.36 | 5.05 | 4.17 | 12.43 | 1.01 | 21.85 | 15.89 | 22.81 | 10.77 | 6.16 | 1.06 | -2.07 | 0.20 | 8.87 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I2 | 3.44 | 7.20 | 7.97 | 5.85 | 7.16 | 4.34 | 17.88 | 19.77 | 22.34 | 2.86 | 5.79 | 3.66 | -1.04 | 2.43 | 5.48 |
| BL_Up_Rt_C | 1.74 | 3.76 | -1.45 | -0.43 | 9.83 | 3.38 | 11.89 | 13.47 | 27.45 | 7.32 | 5.03 | -4.83 | 2.17 | -5.02 | 6.28 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P1 | -1.57 | -1.28 | -3.27 | -0.20 | 3.55 | 0.65 | 5.69 | 4.20 | 11.41 | 0.15 | 13.19 | -5.15 | -2.81 | 3.17 | 5.21 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P2 | -1.88 | -0.39 | -3.96 | -1.64 | 6.34 | -0.70 | 7.57 | 11.59 | 18.29 | 1.90 | 8.40 | -0.66 | -0.77 | 3.35 | 2.99 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | 1.00 | -0.01 | 0.51 | -2.81 | 6.15 | 1.69 | 5.57 | 8.71 | 13.70 | 3.79 | 2.50 | -7.41 | -9.77 | -3.37 | -1.81 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | -2.74 | -2.13 | -2.24 | -2.89 | 6.79 | 5.93 | 7.10 | 7.59 | 18.26 | 5.42 | 8.00 | -2.14 | -10.29 | 0.69 | -6.10 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_C | -6.28 | -5.11 | -4.09 | -5.65 | 11.18 | 6.85 | 5.65 | 6.13 | 12.47 | 2.69 | 4.85 | -5.28 | -3.25 | -6.55 | -1.22 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | -0.65 | -0.88 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 7.67 | 7.93 | 9.18 | 9.82 | 12.63 | 2.90 | 6.85 | 0.33 | -6.10 | -1.16 | 1.59 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | -3.30 | -2.16 | -1.96 | 0.00 | 3.86 | 9.04 | 7.96 | 8.91 | 7.38 | 4.06 | 6.08 | 0.61 | 0.75 | -2.68 | 0.32 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | -2.79 | -2.34 | 0.79 | 1.85 | 6.37 | 7.10 | 9.35 | 8.83 | 6.77 | 1.80 | 4.79 | 2.60 | -0.59 | -3.69 | -0.01 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | -0.60 | 0.48 | -0.16 | -1.39 | 4.43 | 8.60 | 10.09 | 12.75 | 17.26 | 5.70 | 6.28 | 0.34 | -3.13 | -0.22 | 2.41 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_C | -4.62 | -2.56 | -2.46 | -1.99 | 5.83 | 8.13 | 7.58 | 4.75 | 12.64 | 5.37 | 4.62 | -5.41 | -2.00 | -2.33 | 0.53 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | -4.88 | -5.30 | -3.23 | -2.29 | 7.57 | 3.81 | 11.47 | 6.16 | 16.84 | 6.96 | 11.77 | -1.52 | -9.75 | 1.84 | -6.29 |

Continue...

C5UM1 C5UM2 CarUM1 SSLI1 SSLI2 DSLI1 DSLI2 DARLC LCVLP1 LCVLP2 AFLM1 AFLM2 GPLM2 CNLM1 CNLM2 C5LM1

|  | C5UM1 | C5UM2 | CarUMr | SSLI | SSLI2 | DSLI | DSLI2 | DARLC | LCVLP1 | LCVLP2 | AFLM1 | AFLM2 | GPLM2 | CNLM1 | CNLM2 | C5LM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD_Up_Rt_P2 | 2.81 | 4.97 | 10.26 | 11.48 | 7.80 | 4.68 | 1.67 | 1.91 | 1.83 | 4.21 | 2.15 | -7.97 | 1.73 | -1.17 | 6.51 | -5.09 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P2 | 9.09 | 5.86 | 16.54 | 4.85 | 3.72 | 5.63 | 4.45 | 8.86 | -0.18 | 6.76 | -2.58 | -1.07 | 2.17 | 1.96 | 3.90 | -6.75 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P1 | 11.21 | 7.31 | 15.99 | 4.77 | 4.68 | 7.35 | 3.26 | 2.71 | 2.02 | 3.17 | -5.43 | -3.65 | -0.18 | 2.38 | -0.73 | -3.72 |
| BL_Up_Lf_C | 3.08 | -0.15 | 15.53 | 3.62 | 5.13 | 5.18 | 1.71 | 7.25 | 5.98 | 4.73 | -3.33 | -5.72 | -1.09 | 1.41 | 6.08 | -5.09 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I2 | 9.09 | 3.69 | 13.64 | 10.43 | 8.62 | 4.19 | -1.26 | 3.15 | 3.27 | 8.59 | -10.22 | -1.79 | -3.60 | 1.79 | 7.81 | -4.53 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I1 | 6.51 | -2.94 | 18.70 | 4.46 | 5.12 | 6.22 | 0.77 | 3.53 | 9.80 | 12.39 | -7.11 | -6.20 | -3.57 | -0.25 | 4.81 | -5.04 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I1 | 6.90 | -2.75 | 18.52 | 5.55 | 6.37 | 5.37 | -0.96 | 3.46 | 9.41 | 13.03 | -7.52 | -6.23 | -4.12 | 1.03 | 3.76 | -6.80 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I2 | 10.25 | 3.24 | 16.37 | 11.90 | 11.07 | 4.09 | 0.65 | 10.25 | 1.70 | 9.92 | -6.11 | 0.70 | -7.04 | 1.09 | 8.64 | -0.08 |
| BL_Up_Rt_C | 4.91 | -1.14 | 12.58 | 0.57 | 3.96 | 6.41 | 2.52 | 8.34 | 3.17 | 3.82 | -2.69 | -7.96 | -0.79 | 4.84 | 3.58 | -5.00 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P1 | 11.82 | 4.06 | 15.89 | 6.50 | 5.70 | 2.46 | -1.42 | 2.16 | 0.68 | 3.04 | -6.80 | -6.02 | -0.23 | 3.36 | -0.74 | 0.00 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P2 | 11.47 | 8.52 | 18.69 | 5.74 | 4.40 | 7.81 | 2.90 | 6.79 | 1.61 | 6.03 | -3.60 | -2.84 | 0.64 | 3.50 | 5.50 | -0.74 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | -8.15 | -7.63 | 14.95 | -5.14 | -3.35 | 1.63 | -2.57 | 4.16 | 0.58 | 1.10 | -9.44 | -8.57 | 1.95 | -4.02 | -5.95 | -8.02 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.46 | -5.73 | 14.98 | -3.09 | -0.72 | 3.47 | 1.32 | 7.25 | 1.71 | 0.84 | -1.35 | -7.15 | -6.16 | 3.59 | 0.47 | -3.41 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_C | -0.29 | -3.34 | 7.09 | -3.89 | -1.24 | 1.74 | 0.05 | 8.82 | -1.71 | 6.09 | -8.67 | -12.16 | -3.26 | 1.79 | -1.78 | -5.19 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | 2.87 | -2.41 | 6.89 | 5.40 | 7.28 | 3.79 | 1.32 | 5.34 | -2.17 | 2.42 | -9.91 | -14.15 | -0.49 | -0.45 | -4.94 | -4.33 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | -1.56 | -8.70 | 9.27 | 4.70 | 6.09 | 5.43 | 2.13 | 4.36 | -2.52 | -1.30 | -7.86 | -10.23 | -2.00 | -2.74 | -7.33 | -7.34 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | -3.18 | -9.93 | 8.90 | 3.43 | 3.65 | 5.22 | 2.93 | 5.11 | -5.98 | -0.89 | -9.35 | -12.53 | -3.49 | -2.66 | -2.65 | -4.84 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | -0.82 | -5.68 | 10.51 | 3.58 | 5.64 | 1.54 | -1.19 | 4.88 | -3.13 | 4.66 | -10.29 | -12.92 | 0.09 | -4.13 | -5.48 | -5.66 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_C | 1.28 | -3.18 | 10.71 | -1.08 | 2.06 | 4.46 | 1.54 | 6.52 | -2.44 | 6.03 | -8.22 | -9.28 | -3.03 | -0.77 | -4.88 | -2.94 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | 4.20 | -6.55 | 9.55 | -1.69 | 1.09 | 4.63 | 3.04 | 1.53 | -1.56 | -2.14 | -2.54 | -3.09 | 1.31 | -1.95 | -8.71 | -7.53 |

Continue...

|  | C5LM2 | C6LM1 | C7LM1 | DWLM1 | PrtostLM1 | PrtostLM2 | IGUI1 | IGUI2 | ARUP1 | ARUP2 | AMTUP1 | AMTUP2 | 3CUM2 | ARPrLP1 | ARPrLP2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD_Up_Rt_P2 | 8.69 | 1.33 | -4.91 | 1.63 | 11.54 | 9.15 | -0.38 | 0.74 | 2.96 | 13.55 | -1.83 | 0.71 | -14.43 | 6.78 | 13.38 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P2 | 4.31 | 8.14 | 1.78 | 1.14 | 14.07 | 12.66 | 4.18 | -2.11 | 16.57 | 14.48 | 5.37 | 10.44 | -8.91 | 10.69 | 12.92 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P1 | 1.22 | 7.98 | 0.03 | -0.10 | 8.47 | 12.00 | 7.66 | 0.52 | 13.73 | 9.46 | 10.45 | 11.59 | -7.92 | 10.08 | 9.76 |
| BL_Up_Lf_C | 5.32 | 6.13 | 1.23 | -7.79 | 14.38 | 12.16 | 8.01 | 10.50 | 5.30 | 3.18 | 1.99 | 2.88 | -8.30 | 2.35 | 6.35 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I2 | 8.49 | 3.02 | 5.68 | -3.37 | 11.77 | 6.90 | 7.38 | 7.74 | 11.64 | 9.61 | 8.52 | 4.48 | -6.25 | 10.64 | 8.95 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I1 | 4.46 | 3.63 | 2.73 | -4.72 | 10.97 | 5.36 | 15.05 | 8.30 | 10.92 | 6.62 | 5.92 | 6.87 | -10.14 | 10.61 | 10.80 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I1 | 4.35 | 3.30 | 5.64 | -5.13 | 12.67 | 6.06 | 9.83 | 8.74 | 7.62 | 8.00 | 8.63 | 6.49 | -9.25 | 10.97 | 10.30 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I2 | 10.89 | 2.53 | 4.67 | 1.42 | 8.85 | 8.85 | 11.71 | 9.07 | 13.82 | 10.64 | 3.89 | 4.08 | -7.03 | 14.14 | 15.12 |
| BL_Up_Rt_C | 1.46 | 9.70 | 5.63 | -5.08 | 11.50 | 10.77 | 8.88 | 7.37 | 7.97 | 4.07 | 1.17 | 6.68 | -6.99 | 4.38 | 3.76 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P1 | 0.10 | 6.36 | 0.20 | -3.80 | 7.41 | 7.91 | 5.82 | 0.37 | 12.03 | 9.44 | 5.42 | 12.69 | -6.90 | 9.82 | 10.54 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P2 | 4.20 | 7.05 | 1.75 | -0.43 | 14.71 | 13.61 | 7.27 | -1.58 | 15.59 | 14.48 | 10.09 | 8.55 | -10.23 | 9.78 | 10.48 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | -0.22 | -1.59 | 0.71 | -9.09 | 10.73 | 10.04 | 3.46 | 6.51 | -0.57 | -1.45 | -2.94 | 0.79 | 0.72 | -4.75 | 4.90 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | 0.71 | 3.14 | 6.15 | -3.96 | 9.12 | 10.93 | 3.18 | 7.95 | -0.11 | 1.88 | 5.04 | 5.67 | 5.65 | 0.37 | 6.34 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_C | -0.39 | 2.54 | 9.38 | -5.03 | 5.14 | 5.87 | 6.69 | 5.49 | 1.34 | 7.16 | 2.35 | 1.01 | 2.91 | 5.88 | 12.46 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | -3.64 | -0.50 | 3.15 | -8.32 | 4.22 | 8.09 | 7.62 | 6.56 | -0.67 | 6.42 | -2.11 | -2.21 | -1.55 | 7.07 | 14.10 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | -3.77 | -0.14 | 4.80 | -8.49 | 3.13 | 8.61 | 5.99 | 5.30 | -3.84 | 2.62 | -2.46 | -0.91 | 1.52 | 1.28 | 7.44 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | 1.47 | -0.80 | 0.57 | \#\#\#\#\# | 7.08 | 6.26 | 3.20 | 2.18 | -4.53 | 5.26 | -1.29 | 1.46 | -0.52 | -0.39 | 7.86 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | -1.61 | -3.21 | 1.10 | -8.82 | 6.66 | 8.50 | 6.46 | 6.96 | -0.50 | 6.34 | 0.25 | 2.47 | -0.83 | 1.94 | 10.92 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_C | 0.09 | 0.89 | 2.13 | -4.34 | 10.59 | 11.15 | 5.94 | 8.61 | 1.04 | 5.43 | 1.75 | 4.50 | 1.71 | 1.98 | 12.50 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | -5.03 | -5.01 | 4.35 | -2.15 | 10.23 | 9.90 | 1.50 | 5.08 | 4.52 | 1.11 | 4.57 | 1.36 | 5.50 | 3.98 | 8.34 |

## Continue...

|  | SSUI1 | SSUI2 | DSUI1 | DSUI2 | WINUI1 | LCUI2 | TDUI1 | TDUI2 | TDUC | MRUC | DARUC | MetUM1 | MetUM2 | HipUM1 | HipUM2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P2 | -2.37 | -1.27 | -2.80 | -4.44 | 10.75 | -0.24 | 6.62 | 4.85 | 8.31 | 3.45 | 2.35 | -3.38 | -6.00 | -3.94 | -2.97 |  |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | 14.27 | 14.25 | 8.59 | 7.64 | 2.26 | 0.92 | 10.05 | 8.39 | 11.66 | 9.42 | 14.39 | 0.03 | 0.31 | 2.59 | 8.68 |  |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | 8.69 | 8.28 | 2.67 | 3.77 | 3.39 | 5.69 | 7.04 | 11.42 | 15.21 | 4.91 | 15.70 | 0.91 | -2.45 | 0.63 | 8.16 |  |
| MD_Lw_Lf_C | 6.27 | 9.48 | 5.20 | 5.40 | 3.15 | 4.11 | 11.98 | 9.17 | 14.67 | 8.59 | 3.00 | -3.91 | 4.56 | 4.57 | 7.58 |  |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | 22.12 | 25.08 | 14.71 | 16.64 | -1.55 | 8.60 | 23.78 | 19.90 | 21.28 | 7.85 | 8.44 | 10.18 | 6.44 | 3.53 | 12.29 |  |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | 16.07 | 17.62 | 8.74 | 12.25 | 4.95 | 5.90 | 21.52 | 15.30 | 18.98 | 5.28 | 7.90 | 1.25 | 7.28 | 1.03 | 8.88 |  |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | 20.05 | 21.75 | 15.41 | 17.54 | 4.73 | 7.65 | 22.78 | 17.31 | 22.22 | 5.86 | 10.00 | 2.45 | 6.13 | 3.35 | 10.13 |  |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | 23.19 | 25.17 | 14.08 | 17.03 | 3.97 | 3.16 | 24.64 | 22.38 | 21.52 | 11.62 | 8.36 | 7.04 | 0.89 | 4.02 | 10.78 |  |
| MD_Lw_Rt_C | 4.33 | 7.23 | 4.42 | 5.57 | 3.66 | 4.07 | 11.10 | 10.85 | 14.36 | 8.30 | 3.85 | -2.42 | 2.34 | 2.83 | 9.13 |  |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | 3.22 | 5.16 | 0.02 | -0.37 | 3.65 | 8.88 | 8.10 | 10.14 | 11.89 | 2.27 | 15.78 | -2.44 | -2.29 | 2.17 | 9.34 |  |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P2 | 11.60 | 11.59 | 8.10 | 6.10 | 4.21 | -3.87 | 6.39 | 10.59 | 10.49 | 6.68 | 17.06 | 0.18 | -3.00 | 4.40 | 13.05 |  |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | 2.98 | 3.48 | -3.52 | -1.62 | 8.32 | -0.76 | 13.21 | 8.09 | 11.01 | 3.67 | 11.32 | 1.44 | 1.82 | 5.40 | 5.27 |  |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | -0.84 | 0.15 | -7.91 | -6.45 | 12.32 | -0.93 | 7.91 | 7.77 | 12.67 | -2.40 | 7.65 | -1.55 | 3.51 | 2.97 | 8.55 |  |
| BL_Lw_Lf_C | -1.58 | 1.59 | -3.93 | -2.40 | 9.79 | 3.25 | 10.07 | 8.10 | 15.11 | 0.35 | 6.82 | -4.26 | 5.91 | 1.60 | 5.21 |  |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | 9.11 | 10.48 | 3.02 | 5.90 | 12.20 | 4.09 | 17.83 | 14.88 | 20.96 | 7.62 | 8.35 | 2.59 | -0.53 | 4.58 | 7.56 |  |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | 10.10 | 11.24 | 4.94 | 6.85 | 12.67 | 1.97 | 17.60 | 11.21 | 15.69 | 6.35 | 4.66 | -2.90 | 2.52 | 1.21 | 8.87 |  |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | 8.67 | 11.16 | 4.35 | 7.17 | 11.92 | 6.33 | 16.43 | 13.34 | 15.41 | 7.94 | 5.65 | -3.74 | 0.66 | 4.31 | 9.28 |  |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | 4.07 | 5.80 | 3.81 | 5.81 | 8.17 | 1.82 | 15.14 | 12.56 | 17.13 | 8.74 | 7.14 | -5.01 | -0.87 | 4.27 | 7.00 |  |
| BL_Lw_Rt_C | -2.44 | 0.67 | -2.97 | -0.49 | 9.71 | 1.94 | 10.09 | 9.14 | 17.10 | 4.60 | 7.30 | -8.82 | 3.38 | 0.42 | 4.79 |  |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | -4.39 | -2.34 | -7.65 | -3.93 | 12.61 | -0.28 | 9.53 | 9.71 | 14.83 | -4.75 | 10.04 | 0.87 | 3.00 | 3.35 | 7.63 |  |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P2 | -2.82 | -2.21 | -4.98 | -1.68 | 9.22 | -2.80 | 7.96 | 10.47 | 14.43 | -2.72 | 12.63 | -3.52 | 0.82 | 4.47 | 7.40 |  |

Continue...

|  | C5UM1 | C5UM2 | CarUM1 | SSLI1 | SSLI2 | DSLI1 | DSLI2 | DARLC | LCVLP1 | LCVLP2 | AFLM1 | AFLM2 | GPLM2 | CNLM1 | CNLM2 | C5LM1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P2 | -4.27 | -4.89 | 13.94 | -0.99 | 1.39 | 3.46 | -0.89 | 4.45 | -4.00 | 0.24 | -9.70 | -11.11 | -1.05 | 0.26 | -4.53 | -6.84 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | 10.86 | 5.30 | 18.20 | 7.44 | 6.43 | 3.59 | -0.33 | -1.08 | 0.13 | 10.92 | 3.99 | -4.78 | -7.36 | 18.46 | 14.34 | 1.30 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | 10.45 | -1.57 | 16.84 | 8.98 | 8.24 | 9.00 | 5.82 | 7.42 | -1.00 | 3.08 | 2.34 | -1.56 | 0.59 | 12.26 | 1.96 | 4.16 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_C | 1.40 | -2.48 | 15.81 | 6.44 | 7.45 | 11.80 | 7.75 | 15.25 | -5.98 | 2.30 | -6.02 | -6.28 | -4.22 | 11.90 | 8.42 | -5.79 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | 2.31 | 1.10 | 17.10 | 11.27 | 12.03 | 5.44 | 5.53 | 12.81 | -0.14 | 7.50 | -1.11 | 1.35 | -7.12 | 13.95 | 8.65 | -4.82 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | 4.39 | 1.94 | 17.21 | 10.00 | 9.05 | 4.10 | 1.14 | 11.65 | -2.32 | 7.23 | 5.45 | 3.97 | -9.17 | 15.33 | 5.68 | -2.68 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | 3.12 | 3.01 | 17.06 | 12.61 | 11.77 | 7.22 | 3.79 | 10.56 | 0.40 | 8.09 | 1.86 | 2.28 | -9.11 | 14.20 | 6.16 | -3.33 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | 5.10 | -0.88 | 12.65 | 17.05 | 16.74 | 7.05 | 6.26 | 6.10 | -0.45 | 7.94 | -0.09 | 2.38 | -3.12 | 7.82 | 7.55 | -5.39 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_C | 2.98 | 0.73 | 18.29 | 7.88 | 10.30 | 9.16 | 6.75 | 13.95 | -3.85 | 3.12 | -3.99 | -8.14 | -0.35 | 10.56 | 4.07 | -3.32 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | 2.34 | 0.50 | 20.12 | 5.68 | 4.81 | 7.63 | 4.10 | 8.11 | -4.11 | 5.87 | 1.99 | -2.52 | 0.90 | 10.79 | 5.34 | -1.74 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P2 | 8.07 | 6.11 | 18.17 | 7.48 | 5.38 | 2.31 | -2.62 | 5.35 | 3.62 | 14.91 | -0.87 | -6.38 | -3.10 | 17.86 | 12.66 | 3.73 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | 4.39 | 4.61 | 17.97 | 7.16 | 6.54 | 0.07 | 0.96 | 8.87 | 8.15 | 16.20 | 3.59 | -2.26 | -2.90 | 7.79 | 7.14 | -2.55 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | 5.48 | 5.87 | 16.87 | 0.63 | 1.09 | 1.05 | 0.34 | 9.18 | 9.83 | 9.76 | 0.34 | -1.35 | 0.07 | 10.52 | 5.00 | -1.28 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_C | 2.35 | 1.29 | 16.00 | 0.07 | 1.05 | 5.81 | 2.89 | 12.17 | -1.11 | -0.93 | -5.85 | -6.39 | -3.26 | 5.60 | 6.51 | -2.97 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | 5.51 | -2.03 | 18.55 | 0.55 | 2.87 | 6.26 | 4.61 | 8.16 | 4.15 | 9.15 | 1.21 | -4.03 | -9.52 | 10.59 | 5.68 | -3.48 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | 2.02 | -1.17 | 22.46 | 2.62 | 3.11 | 7.43 | 5.65 | 8.13 | -0.09 | 6.81 | 0.55 | -2.83 | -10.68 | 14.50 | 7.45 | -0.85 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | 1.51 | -1.05 | 22.92 | 0.32 | 2.04 | 5.08 | 1.79 | 9.85 | 1.68 | 7.24 | 3.66 | -0.67 | -10.28 | 14.81 | 4.16 | -3.13 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | 5.20 | -0.93 | 20.25 | 0.83 | 2.21 | 5.39 | 3.69 | 12.86 | 1.61 | 6.13 | 1.77 | -5.31 | -10.74 | 14.42 | 6.78 | -5.32 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_C | 1.57 | -3.39 | 14.45 | -0.58 | 1.52 | 5.87 | 2.62 | 10.43 | 1.60 | -0.39 | -3.46 | -7.73 | -2.75 | 7.91 | 4.23 | -4.60 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | 5.56 | 9.75 | 15.27 | -2.29 | -1.04 | 1.05 | -0.39 | 5.31 | 8.38 | 10.45 | 1.42 | -0.46 | 0.10 | 6.84 | 3.66 | -2.05 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P2 | 8.11 | 7.12 | 16.28 | 4.28 | 4.44 | 1.42 | 1.30 | 8.94 | 8.07 | 18.10 | 1.48 | -0.54 | -2.42 | 9.73 | 3.06 | 1.34 |

## Continue...

C5LM2 C6LM1 C7LM1 DWLM1 PrtostLM1 PrtostLM2 IGUI1 IGUI2 ARUP1 ARUP2 AMTUP1 AMTUP2 3CUM2 ARPrLP1 ARPrLP2

| IC_Lw_Lf_P2 | 0.87 | 3.21 | 2.45 | -9.09 | 8.97 | 7.89 | 3.19 | 8.81 | -2.41 | -4.62 | -3.82 | -5.95 | 5.37 | 2.87 | 5.79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | 14.48 | 15.23 | 14.96 | -1.02 | 5.29 | 15.54 | 6.00 | 6.70 | 2.54 | 10.02 | 2.89 | 2.93 | -11.10 | 8.49 | 9.10 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | 3.17 | 5.46 | 14.10 | -0.71 | 5.56 | 15.14 | 4.45 | 1.31 | 0.05 | 8.25 | 5.09 | 5.95 | -6.89 | 4.03 | 5.63 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_C | 2.80 | 21.76 | 7.85 | -3.08 | 12.64 | 9.55 | 8.74 | 10.22 | 1.25 | 4.56 | -0.01 | 0.14 | -5.39 | 4.82 | 4.51 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | 3.17 | 20.43 | 7.63 | -3.65 | 16.07 | 10.35 | 9.32 | 15.58 | 5.14 | 2.86 | 5.74 | 6.81 | -10.72 | 10.88 | 5.87 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | 5.13 | 18.97 | 8.04 | -6.48 | 13.01 | 11.81 | 10.03 | 12.94 | -1.93 | 2.69 | 9.21 | 9.98 | -11.16 | 8.15 | 9.53 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | 4.72 | 18.97 | 8.33 | -6.10 | 10.70 | 11.99 | 10.14 | 12.30 | 0.98 | 3.83 | 8.75 | 6.82 | -10.85 | 9.15 | 11.90 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | 5.50 | 9.81 | 4.38 | -7.85 | 15.63 | 11.13 | 7.38 | 15.53 | -2.04 | 3.87 | 15.58 | 7.36 | -9.64 | 7.37 | 7.60 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_C | -0.47 | 16.08 | 5.92 | -4.57 | 11.88 | 12.33 | 6.88 | 11.84 | -2.39 | 2.77 | 3.44 | 3.31 | -3.65 | 0.18 | 2.01 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | 3.58 | 12.60 | 10.01 | -4.82 | 7.37 | 13.81 | 6.90 | 5.67 | 2.71 | 6.24 | 5.08 | 5.09 | -7.04 | 4.13 | 5.20 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P2 | 9.47 | 13.27 | 12.01 | -0.19 | 5.55 | 13.38 | 10.05 | 3.26 | 6.68 | 14.75 | -1.20 | 3.02 | -12.96 | 6.54 | 8.95 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | 5.47 | 10.63 | 9.95 | -6.38 | 8.21 | 18.85 | 3.76 | 2.71 | 3.96 | 9.86 | 10.07 | 6.45 | -7.45 | 11.44 | 12.51 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | 2.36 | 16.17 | 7.88 | -2.85 | 4.69 | 11.10 | 5.89 | 1.53 | 4.05 | 6.43 | 2.77 | 5.27 | -7.95 | 8.81 | 9.40 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_C | 4.35 | 17.30 | 2.59 | -3.28 | 9.09 | 9.62 | 7.63 | 6.97 | -0.21 | 7.21 | 0.52 | 3.24 | -5.56 | 3.05 | 5.43 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | 5.87 | 15.78 | 3.24 | -3.39 | 8.05 | 13.19 | 3.77 | 6.86 | -1.64 | 2.25 | 8.82 | 6.99 | -8.44 | 4.44 | 8.90 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | 5.00 | 23.39 | 3.04 | -4.03 | 14.55 | 8.54 | 8.82 | 6.93 | -2.50 | 1.39 | 6.08 | 2.97 | -10.42 | 3.47 | 7.87 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | 3.42 | 23.61 | 6.52 | -3.81 | 11.94 | 8.67 | 6.32 | 11.04 | -1.31 | -0.05 | 6.89 | 4.65 | -12.26 | 7.37 | 8.63 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | 2.98 | 24.29 | 5.85 | -7.02 | 8.71 | 5.02 | 6.74 | 7.35 | -4.18 | -2.12 | 6.53 | 3.33 | -7.72 | 3.14 | 3.23 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_C | -2.14 | 18.21 | 4.19 | -7.93 | 7.22 | 9.43 | 7.25 | 6.61 | -4.74 | 3.63 | 0.90 | 0.46 | -5.30 | 2.25 | 2.30 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | 2.04 | 11.79 | 9.34 | -1.44 | 3.64 | 14.71 | 3.47 | -3.39 | 9.53 | 6.77 | 5.15 | 6.04 | -7.82 | 10.40 | 9.91 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P2 | 2.06 | 7.41 | 12.77 | -4.29 | 7.65 | 16.01 | 5.01 | -3.21 | 8.71 | 13.21 | 6.47 | 7.56 | -8.90 | 7.48 | 12.21 |

Table B.2b. Corresponding $P$ values correlation between 46 ordinal dental traits (ASUDAS) and 60 dental measurements.

| p-value | SSUI1 | SSUI2 | DSUI1 | DSUI2 | WINUI1 | LCUI2 | TDUI1 | TDUI2 | TDUC | MRUC | DARUC | MetUM1 | MetUM2 | HipUM1 | HipUM2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Up_Rt_P2 | 6.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-03 | 6.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 2.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Rt_P1 | 1.E+00 | 9.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 9.E-03 | 4.E-02 | 8.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 7.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Rt_C | 7.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 7.E-05 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I2 | 6.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-03 | 1.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 4.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I1 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-03 | 1.E-02 | 2.E-03 | 9.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 1.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I1 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 4.E-03 | 1.E-02 | 2.E-03 | 8.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 1.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I2 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 1.E-02 | 3.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 6.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Lf_C | 6.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-03 | 4.E-02 | 1.E-04 | 6.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 7.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P1 | 7.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-03 | 5.E-02 | 1.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P2 | 7.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-03 | 5.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-01 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P2 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-02 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P1 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 1.E-06 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-02 |
| MD_Up_Lf_C | 7.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-04 | 2.E-03 | 3.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-02 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I2 | 6.E-03 | 1.E-03 | 8.E-02 | 4.E-03 | 1.E+00 | 8.E-01 | 2.E-05 | 5.E-06 | 8.E-05 | 6.E-02 | 8.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-02 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I1 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-03 | 9.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-07 | 8.E-05 | 6.E-08 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-02 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I1 | 6.E-04 | 1.E-05 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-08 | 4.E-05 | 4.E-08 | 9.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 1.E-02 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I2 | 2.E-02 | 7.E-03 | 6.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-04 | 1.E-05 | 2.E-05 | 6.E-02 | 8.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 4.E-02 |
| MD_Up_Rt_C | 6.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 1.E-03 | 2.E-02 | 3.E-05 | 5.E-04 | 2.E-03 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-03 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P1 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-01 | $6 . \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-03 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-05 | 7.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-01 |

Continue...

| p-value | C5UM1 | C5UM2 | CarUM1 | SSLI1 | SSLI2 | DSLI1 | DSLI2 | DARLC | LCVLP1 | LCVLP2 | AFLM1 | AFLM2 | GPLM2 | CNLM1 | CNLM2 | C5LM1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Up_Rt_P2 | 5.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 8.E-04 | 4.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-02 |
| IC_Up_Rt_P1 | 7.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Rt_C | 8.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 2.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I2 | 4.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I1 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-03 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 4.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I1 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-04 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-0 | 6.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 9.E-02 | 4.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 1.E+00 | $5 . \mathrm{E}$ | $8 . \mathrm{E}$ | 3.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I2 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Lf_C | 9.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-0 | 1.E+00 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P1 | 7.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 1.E+00 | 9.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P2 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 1.E-02 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P2 | 7.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P1 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 8.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 9.E-01 |
| MD_Up_Lf_C | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 7.E-02 | 4.E-02 | 1.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-03 | 5.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-01 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I2 | 4.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-03 | 1.E-04 | 1.E-04 | 5.E-03 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-04 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-06 | 8.E-01 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I1 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-07 | 4.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-03 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 7.E-03 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 3.E-01 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I1 | 2.E-02 | 4.E-02 | 2.E-06 | 5.E-03 | 3.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-03 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 3.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 8.E-01 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I2 | 2.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 4.E-05 | 1.E-04 | 5.E-03 | 5.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-03 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-04 | 4.E-01 |
| MD_Up_Rt_C | 6.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 1.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-06 | 1.E+00 | 7.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 8.E-01 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P1 Continue... | 9.E-03 | 1.E-02 | 7.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 |


| p-value | C5LM2 | C6LM1 | C7LM1 | DWLM1 | PrtostLM1 | PrtostLM2 | IGUI1 | IGUI2 | ARUP1 | ARUP2 | AMTUP1 | AMTUP2 | 3CUM2 | ARPrLP1 | ARPrLP2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Up_Rt_P2 | 5.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 5.E-03 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Rt_P1 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 6.E-04 | 5.E-02 | 4.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Rt_C | 7.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 9.E-03 | 5.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 1.E+00 | 7.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 3.E-02 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I2 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-03 | 7.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 2.E-03 |
| IC_Up_Rt_I1 | 8.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-03 | 1.E+00 | 9.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 6.E-04 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I1 | 8.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 8.E-03 | 3.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 1.E-02 |
| IC_Up_Lf_I2 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 1.E-02 | 8.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-02 |
| IC_Up_Lf_C | 8.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 1.E-02 | 7.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-02 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P1 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 9.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 3.E-03 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 2.E-01 |
| IC_Up_Lf_P2 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | $1 . \mathrm{E}+00$ | 9.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 1.E-02 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P2 | 5.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 6.E-03 | 7.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-04 | 5.E-02 | 1.E-03 |
| MD_Up_Lf_P1 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 2.E-03 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 7.E-02 |
| MD_Up_Lf_C | 9.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 3.E-03 | 4.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-03 | 1.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I2 | 3.E-05 | 8.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 4.E-03 | 3.E-02 |
| MD_Up_Lf_I1 | 6.E-03 | 4.E-03 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-03 | 1.E-03 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 9.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 4.E-03 | 4.E-03 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I1 | 1.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-04 | 6.E-03 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 8.E-03 | 1.E-02 |
| MD_Up_Rt_I2 | 2.E-04 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-03 | 7.E-03 | 3.E-03 |
| MD_Up_Rt_C | 3.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-03 | 3.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 1.E-03 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 1.E-03 | 2.E-02 | 8.E-03 |
| MD_Up_Rt_P1 Continue... | 2.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 6.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-03 | 3.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 4.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-02 |


| p-value | SSUI1 | SSUI2 | DSUI1 | DSUI2 | WINUI1 | LCUI2 | TDUI1 | TDUI2 | TDUC | MRUC | DARUC | MetUM1 | MetUM2 | HipUM1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | HipUM2


| p-value | C5UM1 | C5UM2 | CarUM1 | SSLI1 | SSLI2 | DSLI1 | DSLI2 | DARLC | LCVLP1 | LCVLP2 | AFLM1 | AFLM2 | GPLM2 | CNLM1 | CNLM2 | C5LM1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD_Up_Rt_P2 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 1.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P2 | 5.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-04 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 1.E+00 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P1 | 2.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-03 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 |
| BL_Up_Lf_C | 5.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 8.E-04 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I2 | 5.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-03 | 3.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I1 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-05 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 8.E-03 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I1 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 7.E-05 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 5.E-03 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-01 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I2 | 3.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 4.E-04 | 1.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 1.E+00 |
| BL_Up_Rt_C | 3.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-03 | 9.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 7.E-0 | $5 . \mathrm{E}$ | $4 . \mathrm{E}$ | $6 . \mathrm{E}$ | 9.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P1 | 2.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 1.E+00 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P2 | 1.E-02 | 7.E-02 | 6.E-05 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-0 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 9.E-01 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | 8.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-03 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 7.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 9.E-02 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-03 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_C | 1.E+00 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-01 | $1 . \mathrm{E}+00$ | 6.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 9.E-03 | 5.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-03 | 9.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-01 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-01 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 7.E-03 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 5.E-03 | 1.E+00 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_C | 8.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-01 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 1.E-01 |


| p-value | C5LM2 | C6LM1 | C7LM1 | DWLM1 | PrtostLM1 | PrtostLM2 | IGUI1 | IGUI2 | ARUP1 | ARUP2 | AMTUP1 | AMTUP2 | 3CUM2 | ARPrLP1 | ARPrLP2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD_Up_Rt_P2 | 7.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-03 | 7.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-03 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P2 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-03 | 7.E-03 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 7.E-04 | 2.E-03 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 6.E-03 |
| BL_Up_Lf_P1 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 1.E+00 | 9.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 6.E-03 | 6.E-02 | 4.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 5.E-02 |
| BL_Up_Lf_C | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-03 | 9.E-03 | 9.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 2.E-01 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I2 | 7.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 4.E-02 | 8.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 6.E-02 |
| BL_Up_Lf_I1 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-03 | 8.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-02 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I1 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 8.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 3.E-02 |
| BL_Up_Rt_I2 | 2.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 1.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 5.E-03 | 3.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-03 | 1.E-03 |
| BL_Up_Rt_C | 8.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 4.E-01 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P1 | 1.E+00 | 2.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 3.E-02 |
| BL_Up_Rt_P2 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-03 | 4.E-03 | 1.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 1.E-03 | 2.E-03 | 4.E-02 | 7.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 4.E-02 | 3.E-02 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P2 | 1.E+00 | 7.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_P1 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 7.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-01 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_C | 9.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-01 | $6 . \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-03 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I2 | 4.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-03 |
| IC_Lw_Rt_I1 | 4.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-01 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I1 | 8.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 9.E-02 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_I2 | 7.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-02 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_C | 1.E+00 | 8.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 7.E-03 |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P1 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 4.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 9.E-02 |


| p-value | SSUI1 | SSUI2 | DSUI1 | DSUI2 | WINUI1 | LCUI2 | TDUI1 | TDUI2 | TDUC | MRUC | DARUC | MetUM1 | MetUM2 | HipUM1 | HipUM2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P2 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 1.E+00 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | 2.E-03 | 2.E-03 | 7.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 8.E-02 | 1.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 2.E-03 | 1.E+00 | 9.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 7.E-02 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | 7.E-02 | 9.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 2.E-03 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-03 | 9.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 9.E-02 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_C | 2.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 2.E-03 | 6.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | 1.E-06 | 4.E-08 | 1.E-03 | 3.E-04 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 2.E-07 | 2.E-05 | 4.E-06 | 9.E-02 | 7.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-03 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | 5.E-04 | 1.E-04 | 6.E-02 | 8.E-03 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-06 | 1.E-03 | 4.E-05 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 8.E-01 | $6 . \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | 1.E-05 | 2.E-06 | 8.E-04 | 1.E-04 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 7.E-07 | 2.E-04 | 1.E-06 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-02 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | 4.E-07 | 4.E-08 | 2.E-03 | 2.E-04 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-08 | 1.E-06 | 3.E-06 | 1.E-02 | 7.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-02 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_C | 4.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 2.E-03 | 7.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-02 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 1.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 1.E-03 | 6.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 5.E-02 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P2 | 1.E-02 | 1.E-02 | 8.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-04 | 1.E+00 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-03 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-03 | 9.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | 9.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 9.E-03 | 6.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-02 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_C | 7.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 8.E-02 | 1.E-03 | 9.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | 5.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 9.E-03 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-04 | 1.E-03 | 6.E-06 | 1.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 7.E-03 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-04 | 2.E-02 | 8.E-04 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | $6 . \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | 6.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-04 | 4.E-03 | 1.E-03 | 9.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-02 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 1.E-03 | 7.E-03 | 2.E-04 | 6.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_C | 6.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 2.E-04 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 1.E+00 | 5.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 2.E-03 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P2 Continue... | 5.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-03 | 6.E-01 | 7.E-03 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 |


| p-value | C5UM1 | C5UM2 | CarUM1 | SSLI1 | SSLI2 | DSLI1 | DSLI2 | DARLC | LCVLP1 | LCVLP2 | AFLM1 | AFLM2 | GPLM2 | CNLM1 | CNLM2 | C5LM1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P2 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-03 | 8.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 4.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | 2.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-04 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 2.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 8.E-05 | 2.E-03 | 8.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | 3.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 5.E-04 | 6.E-02 | 9.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 4.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_C | 8.E-01 | $6 . \mathrm{E}-01$ | $6 . \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 9.E-02 | 1.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 7.E-02 | 2.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 2.E-04 | 1.E-02 | 9.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-03 | 1.E+00 | 1.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-03 | 6.E-02 | 3.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-04 | 3.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 9.E-04 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-04 | 6.E-03 | 1.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 2.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 6.E-03 | 2.E-04 | 3.E-04 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 1.E+00 | 6.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_C | 5.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 7.E-05 | 9.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-03 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-05 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P2 | 9.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-04 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-03 | 9.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-04 | 7.E-03 | 4.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-04 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | $1 . \mathrm{E}+00$ | 8.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 9.E-02 | 5.E-04 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-01 | $6 . \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-04 | 9.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 4.E-02 | 5.E-02 | 9.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 3.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 8.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_C | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 5.E-04 | 1.E+00 | 8.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-03 | 8.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-05 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | 7.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-06 | $6 . \mathrm{E}-01$ | 5.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 1.E+00 | 1.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 2.E-03 | 1.E-01 | 9.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | 7.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 7.E-07 | $9 . \mathrm{E}-01$ | 7.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 1.E-03 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | 3.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E-05 | $9 . \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-03 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 2.E-03 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_C | 7.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-03 | 9.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 7.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 2.E-03 | $6 . \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 7.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P2 | 8.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-04 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-04 | 8.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-01 |
| Continue... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| p-value | C5LM2 | C6LM1 | C7LM1 | DWLM1 | PrtostLM1 | PrtostLM2 | IGUI1 | IGUI2 | ARUP1 | ARUP2 | AMTUP1 | AMTUP2 | 3CUM2 | ARPrLP1 | ARPrLP2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC_Lw_Lf_P2 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 9.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 2.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P2 | 2.E-03 | 1.E-03 | 1.E-03 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 8.E-02 | 5.E-02 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_P1 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-03 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-03 | 4.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 9.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_C | 5.E-01 | 2.E-06 | 9.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 7.E-03 | 4.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 1.E+00 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I2 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-06 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 5.E-04 | 3.E-02 | 4.E-02 | 8.E-04 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 2.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Lf_I1 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-05 | 8.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-03 | 1.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 5.E-03 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-02 | 9.E-02 | 4.E-02 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I1 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-05 | 7.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 1.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 8.E-03 | 8.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 1.E-02 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_I2 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 8.E-04 | 2.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 8.E-04 | 7.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-03 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_C | 9.E-01 | 5.E-04 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 8.E-03 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 6.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 7.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P1 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 4.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 3.E-01 |
| MD_Lw_Rt_P2 | 4.E-02 | 5.E-03 | 1.E-02 | 1.E+00 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-03 | 3.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-03 | 8.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-02 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P2 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 3.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 6.E-05 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 4.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 8.E-03 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_P1 | 6.E-01 | 9.E-04 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 5.E-02 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_C | 3.E-01 | 2.E-04 | 6.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-02 | 4.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 1.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 2.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I2 | 2.E-01 | 7.E-04 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 5.E-03 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 7.E-02 | 4.E-01 | 6.E-02 |
| BL_Lw_Lf_I1 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-07 | 5.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 2.E-03 | 7.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 8.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 5.E-01 | 9.E-02 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I1 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-07 | 2.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 1.E+00 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 9.E-03 | 1.E-01 | 7.E-02 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_I2 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-07 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 3.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_C | 6.E-01 | 8.E-05 | 4.E-01 | 9.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 4.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 4.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 9.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 6.E-01 | 6.E-01 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P1 | 7.E-01 | 2.E-02 | 6.E-02 | 8.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 3.E-03 | 5.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 2.E-01 | 3.E-01 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 3.E-02 | 4.E-02 |
| BL_Lw_Rt_P2 | 7.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-03 | 4.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-04 | 3.E-01 | 5.E-01 | 8.E-02 | 5.E-03 | 2.E-01 | 1.E-01 | 6.E-02 | 1.E-01 | 9.E-03 |

Table B.3. Allele frequencies of index SNPs that showed genome-wide significant association to ordinal dental traits (ASUDAS method) in different populations across the World ${ }^{387}$.

| Associated Trait | Index | MAF | ALL | AFR | ACB | AS | ESN | G | LWK | MSL | YRI | AMR | CLM | MXL | PEL | PUR | EAS |  | CHB |  | JPT | KHV | EUR | CEU | FIN | GBR | IBS | TSI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SNP | CAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cusp | rs385190 | . 02 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 7 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 19 | 0. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| Doub | rs1037804 | 0.02 | . 09 | 21 | 21 | 16 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 19 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| Double Shoveling LII | rs16984020 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 | 01 | 0 | . 0 | 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| Double Shoveling LII | rs17862881 | 0.01 | 01 | . 3 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0. | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | . 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Doub | rs17862881 | 0.01 | 1 | 0.03 | 0 | . 04 | 0. | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Doub | rs1037804 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 6 | 0 | 0.19 | 9 | 0.20 | 0.2 | 0.03 | 0.0 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| Defle | rs16919218 | 0.02 | 0.7 | 0.23 |  | 22 | 0. | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| Hypoco | rs9808165 | 0. | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 6 | 0. | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0. | 0. | 0.0 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| Hypoc | rsi | 0. | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0. | 0.26 | 0.21 | 0. | 0. | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0. | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| Metacon | rs1 | 0.02 | 0.05 | . 5 | 0 | 0.07 | 0. | 0.02 | 09 | 0.02 | 0. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.0 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| Protosty | rs10494193 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0. | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0. | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Protosty | rs12680196 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0 | 01 | 0. | 0.1 | 0. | 0.06 | 0.0 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.34 | 0.3 | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| Protosty | rs 12570261 | 0.0 | 0. | 0.16 | 0. | 0.08 | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0.14 | 0. | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.0 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| Protosty | rs2241729 | 0.02 | 0. | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| Protosty | rs12299956 | 0.0 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.1 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Protosty | rs630603 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Protostyli | rs9899063 | 0.03 | . 07 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Shovel Shape | rs3827760 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.48 | 0.76 | 0.17 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Shovel Shape LII * | rs3827760 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.48 | 0.76 | 0.17 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Shovel Shape UI1 | rs3827760 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.48 | 0.76 | 0.17 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Shovel Shape UI1 * | rs3827760 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.48 | 0.76 | 0.17 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Shovel Shape UI2 | rs3827760 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.48 | 0.76 | 0.17 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Shovel Shape UI2 * | rs3827760 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.48 | 0.76 | 0.17 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

[^1]Table B.4. Allele frequencies of index SNPs that showed genome-wide significant association to quantitative dental traits in different populations across the globe ${ }^{387}$.

| Associated Trait | Index SNP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAF } \\ & \text { CAN } \end{aligned}$ | ALL | AFR | ACB | ASW | ESN | GWD | LWK | MSL | YRI | AMR | CLM | MXL | PEL | PUR | EAS | CDX | CHB | CHS | JPT | KHV | EUR | CEU | FIN | GBR | IBS | TSI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bucco-Lingual Lux Lf Canine | rs16856377 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.43 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.39 | 0.46 | 0.52 | 0.57 | 0.44 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Incisal Cervical Lw Rt Premolar 1 | rs2883720 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.39 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.52 | 0.47 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.39 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.60 | 0.48 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.38 |
| Meso-Distal Lux Lf Lateral Incisor | rs3827760 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.48 | 0.76 | 0.17 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Meso-Distal Up Lf Lateral Incisor | rs3827760 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.48 | 0.76 | 0.17 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Meso-Distal Up Rt Central Incisor | rs3827760 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.48 | 0.76 | 0.17 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Meso-Distal Up Rt Lateral Incisor | rs3827760 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.48 | 0.76 | 0.17 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Meso-Distal Lux Lf Premolar 1 | rs 10894347 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.23 | 0.36 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.16 |


[^0]:    Table 4.13. Properties of index SNPs in chromosomal regions associated to the ASUDAS
    traits examined. ${ }^{1}$ For intragenic SNPs, gene names are shown in bold.
    ${ }^{2}$ Derived alleles are shown after ancestral alleles.

    * Regrouped traits (Section 4.2.2.1.3, Table 4.6).

    MAF CAN: Minor Allele frequency in CANDELA Cohort
    UI1: Upper central incisor
    UI2: Upper lateral incisor
    UM1: Upper molar 1
    UM2: Upper molar 2
    LI1: Lower central incisor
    LI2: Lower lateral incisor
    LM1: Lower molar 1
    LM2: Lower molar 2

[^1]:    * Regrouped traits (Section 4.2.2.1.3, Table 4.6). Acronyms are presented in the section Acronyms, page 29

