

1 **Medial meniscus posterior root repair restores the intra-articular volume of the medial meniscus**
2 **by decreasing posteromedial extrusion at knee flexion.**

3

4 **Abstract**

5 **Purpose:** Transtibial repair of a medial meniscus posterior root tear (MMPRT) can improve clinical
6 outcomes, although meniscal extrusion remains. However, few studies have investigated the volume
7 of meniscal extrusion. This study aimed to evaluate the effect of transtibial repair in reducing the
8 volume using three-dimensional (3D) magnetic resonance imaging, at 10° and 90° knee flexion.

9 **Methods:** Twenty patients with MMPRTs and 16 volunteers with normal knees participated. The 3D
10 models of meniscus were constructed using SYNAPSE VINCENT®. The meniscal extrusion and its
11 volume were measured at 10° and 90° knee flexion. Differences between the pre- and postoperative
12 examinations were assessed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The postoperative parameters were
13 compared to those in patients with normal knees.

14 **Results:** There were no significant pre- and postoperative differences in any parameter at 10° knee
15 flexion. At 90° knee flexion, the posterior extrusion and its meniscal volume were decreased
16 significantly after transtibial repair ($p < 0.05$), even though these parameters were larger than in the
17 normal knees. On the other hand, intra-articular meniscal volume calculated by the extrusion volume
18 was increased to the level of the normal knee.

19 **Conclusions:** This study demonstrated that transtibial repairs improved the intra-articular volume of

20 the medial meniscus by reducing the posteromedial extrusion during knee flexion. This 3D analysis is
21 clinically relevant in evaluating that, while transtibial root repair has a limited ability to reduce
22 meniscal extrusion, it can restore the functional volume of the medial meniscus which contributes to
23 the shock absorber postoperatively.

24

25 **Level of Evidence: Level IV**

26 **Keywords:** medial meniscus, posterior root tear, transtibial repair, meniscal volume, medial
27 extrusion, three-dimensional magnetic resonance imaging.

28

29 **Abbreviations**

30	2D	Two-dimensional
31	3D	Three-dimensional
32	MME	Medial meniscus extrusion
33	MMEV	Medial meniscus extrusion volume
34	MMME	Medial meniscus medial extrusion
35	MMPE	Medial meniscus posterior extrusion
36	MMPRT	Medial meniscus posterior root tear
37	MMRV	Medial meniscus remaining volume
38	MMV	Medial meniscus volume

40 **Introduction**

41 Medial meniscus posterior root tear (MMPRT) is defined as a radial tear at the posterior attachment of
42 the medial meniscus (MM) [1,23]. The root tear interrupts the continuity of circumferential fibres [21]
43 and causes the progression of MM extrusion (MME) [10,30]. An open magnetic resonance imaging
44 (MRI) study showed that the tear induced pathological meniscal translation beyond the posterior tibial
45 edge at 90° knee flexion [26]. Substantial MME ≥ 3 mm is associated with meniscal degeneration,
46 articular cartilage damage, and joint space narrowing [2,17]. Therefore, early diagnosis and
47 appropriate treatment are important in preventing progressive osteoarthritis [13,30].

48 Unsatisfactory outcomes have resulted from conservative treatment and meniscectomy [19,20].
49 Several meniscal repair methods have been developed, including transtibial repair, anchor-dependent
50 suture, and direct all-inside suture [7]. Of these, transtibial repairs demonstrated significant
51 postoperative improvements in clinical scores [4]. However, many studies have reported that the root
52 repair could not sufficiently reduce MME [14,16]. In fact, there is little MRI evidence to confirm the
53 effectiveness of these repairs in the reduction of MME.

54 Conventional MRI might not assess the maximum MME as the measuring slice is often not parallel
55 to the straight direction of extrusion from the tibial edge [12]. Thus, a three-dimensional (3D) MRI has
56 been developed to measure the MME and the meniscal size [6,31,35,36]. Recently, the 3D volume
57 analyser SYNAPSE VINCENT[®] (Fuji Medical System, Tokyo, Japan) has been shown to precisely

58 estimate the volume of the meniscus [31]. MME volume is considered a comprehensive parameter of
59 meniscal extrusion. However, it is unclear whether root repairs can reduce this volume.

60 This is the first study to compare meniscal volumes, including both extra- and intra-articular parts,
61 in root-repaired and normal knees at 10° and 90° knee flexion. The purpose of this study was to
62 evaluate postoperative changes of MME and meniscal volume after transtibial root repair. It was
63 hypothesised that the root repair would decrease the volume of MME at knee flexion, while increasing
64 the intra-articular volume to that of the normal knee. This 3D method is also useful for visualizing
65 meniscal translation during knee flexion, and may demonstrate the contribution of surgical procedures
66 to restoration of normal meniscal function.

67

68 **Materials and methods**

69 This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Okayama University Graduate School
70 (ID number : 1857) and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. From August 2017
71 to May 2019, 20 patients who underwent meniscal root repair, and 16 volunteers with normal
72 (uninjured) knees were included. The normal group was matched to the patient group with respect to
73 age, height, and body weight (Table 1). MMPRTs were diagnosed using the characteristic MRI
74 findings of ghost/cleft/radial tear signs of the root, and the giraffe neck sign [3,9]. The surgical repair
75 was indicated for patients with a femorotibial angle $<180^\circ$, mild cartilage lesion (Outerbridge Grade I
76 or II), and Kellgren–Lawrence Grade 0–II, which were confirmed with preoperative radiographs and

77 MRI. Types of root tear were confirmed by intraoperative examination according to the LaPrade
78 classification as follows: Type 1 and 2 were partial and complete radial tears, respectively; Type 3 was
79 a complete radial tear with a bucket-handle tear; Type 4 was an oblique tear into the root attachment;
80 and Type 5 was a root avulsion fracture [23]. Types 2 and 4 tears were treated by transtibial repairs
81 using either a modified Mason-Allen suture or a two simple stitches suture [8,27] (Fig. 1). After the
82 appropriate tension (20-30 N) was applied by a spring tensioner, tibial fixation was performed using a
83 double-spike plate or bioabsorbable screw.

84 Postoperatively, all patients were kept non-weight-bearing with a knee immobilizer for the first 2
85 weeks. After 2 weeks, knee flexion exercise was started, gradually progressing to 120° knee flexion at
86 6 weeks. Partial weight-bearing using crutches was increased by 20 kg per week, with progression to
87 full weight-bearing at 6 weeks. Postoperative MRI examinations were performed 3 months after the
88 surgery.

89

90 ***MRI acquisition and 3D reconstruction***

91 The patients underwent open MRI examinations using the Oasis 1.2 T (Hitachi Medical, Chiba, Japan)
92 while non-weight-bearing. Multiplanar images with continuous 1-mm slice thickness were taken in
93 the 10° and 90° knee-flexed positions with the knee held in neutral rotation. Knee flexion angle was
94 measured using a knee goniometer. Proton density-weighted isotropic resolution fast spin-echo (iso
95 FSE, Hitachi Medical) sequence was applied in the sagittal and coronal planes with repetition

96 time/echo time: 600/96 ms; matrix: 224×224; field of view: 18 cm; 1 average; echo-train length: 24;
97 bandwidth: ±98.1 kHz; and scanning time: 4.8 min.

98 MRI images were transferred to the 3D image analysis workstation SYNAPSE VINCENT®. The
99 3D models of the femur and tibia were obtained semi-automatically by the volume-rendering technique
100 [32], with an intensity threshold segmentation of the bone surfaces (Fig. 2a, d). The 3D model of the
101 meniscus was extracted manually by a radiologic technologist using the texture tracing technique [35].
102 A previous study has already shown excellent agreement between the 3D reconstructed volume and
103 the real meniscal volume [31]. Quality control of these segmentations was also performed by two
104 expert readers (YoO, FT) with >5 years' experience in MRI analysis of the meniscus.

105

106 *Measurement methods*

107 In the 3D meniscus model, the MME area was defined as the outer region of the joint surface by
108 identifying the tibial border and cutting the inner part of the meniscus [6]. A reference line was drawn
109 passing through the tibial intercondylar eminences (Fig. 2b, e). The medial edges of the meniscus and
110 tibia were determined by a line perpendicular to the reference line. The posterior edges of these were
111 defined by drawing lines parallel to the reference line. MM medial extrusion (MMME) was measured
112 as the distance from the tibial medial edge to the meniscal medial edge. MM posterior extrusion
113 (MMPE) was defined as the distance from the tibial posterior edge to the meniscal posterior edge.

114 The volume calculation of the meniscus was accomplished automatically using the SYNAPSE
115 VINCENT[®] via voxel counting. MM volume (MMV) was defined as the whole volume of the MM.
116 MME volume (MMEV) was measured as the volume of the extruded portion of the meniscus (Fig. 2c,
117 f). The MMEV ratio was calculated as MMEV divided by MMV to adjust for individual differences.
118 The intra-articular volume was described as the MM remaining volume (MMRV), which was the
119 MMEV subtracted from the MMV. The MMRV ratio ($\text{MMRV} / \text{MMV} \times 100$) was also calculated.
120 The above 3D parameters were compared between preoperative and postoperative values, at 10° and
121 90° knee flexion. In addition, the postoperative values were compared to the values in the normal
122 group. These reliabilities were assessed using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) with a 95%
123 confidence interval (CI). A radiologic technologist and two surgeons (YoO and TF) retrospectively
124 segmented the meniscal border to create the 3D meniscus in a blinded manner. The ICC was calculated
125 for each MRI measurement using two-way, random, single measures with absolute agreement.

126

127 *Statistical analysis*

128 All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics Version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY,
129 USA). The postoperative changes were examined using Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The Mann-
130 Whitney *U*-test was used to compare the postoperative values of the MMPRT group to the normal
131 groups. *P* values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The sample size was estimated

132 using a power of 80% and α of 0.05. The number of samples of MMPE, MMEV, and MMRV required
133 for the statistical power were 9, 8, and 18, respectively.

134

135 **Results**

136 *Postoperative changes in 3D parameters*

137 *Flexion angle of 10°*

138 The transtibial repair reduced MMEV with decreasing MMME, but significant differences were not
139 observed in all parameters (Table 2).

140 *Flexion angle of 90°*

141 Postoperative MMPE was significantly decreased, but there were no significant differences in MMME
142 and MMV (Table 3). However, the MMEV and MMEV ratios were significantly decreased. In contrast,
143 MMRV and MMRV ratios were increased postoperatively.

144

145 *Comparison of the postoperative parameters with normal knees*

146 At 10° of knee flexion, MMME, MMV, and MMEV were significantly greater in the patient group
147 that underwent root repair than in normal group (Table 4). At 90° of knee flexion, MMME, MMPE,
148 MMV, and MMEV were significantly greater in the patient group, while the MMRV did not differ
149 significantly between the two groups.

150

151 **Reliability evaluation**

152 *Inter-observer reliability*

153 The ICCs of MMME and MMPE were 0.92 (95% CI 0.82–0.97) and 0.95 (95% CI 0.89–0.98),
154 respectively. The ICCs of MMV and MMEV were 0.88 (95% CI 0.78–0.94) and 0.85 (95% CI 0.72–
155 0.92), respectively.

156 *Intra-observer reliability*

157 The ICCs of MMME and MMPE were 0.92 (95% CI 0.79–0.97) and 0.91 (95% CI 0.77–0.97),
158 respectively. The ICCs of MMV and MMEV were 0.89 (95% CI 0.79–0.94) and 0.83 (95% CI 0.69–
159 0.92), respectively.

160

161 **Discussion**

162 The most important finding in this 3D analysis was that the transtibial repair for MMPRT reduced
163 MMPE and MMEV at 90° knee flexion, even though these parameters were not improved to the level
164 of a normal knee. In addition, the MMRV and MMRV ratios were increased after the surgery. These
165 results suggest that the repair could control posterior translation of the meniscus during knee flexion,
166 and restore meniscal function by increasing the intra-articular volume of the MM.

167 The meniscal posterior root acts as an anchor to the bone for restricting excessive meniscal
168 translation, as well as supporting the function as a shock absorber in converting the axial load into
169 hoop stress [1,7,33,34]. It has been shown that the shock absorption is approximately 20% less in the

170 knee following a total meniscectomy [37]. MMPRT leads to a disruption of the posterior anchor,
171 resulting in a biomechanical condition much like a total meniscectomy [1]. This present 3D
172 reconstruction demonstrated that, during knee flexion, the extruded meniscus was moved in the
173 posteromedial direction with increases of the MMPE and its thickness (Fig. 3a, b). A strength of this
174 analysis is considered to be the quantification of the posteromedial extrusion by measuring MMEV.

175 Given the improvement of clinical outcomes after meniscal root repair, there are some unfavourable
176 data regarding the ability to reduce MME on postoperative MRI [14]. Kim et al. reported that the
177 transtibial repair decreased MME in 87% of patients, and the mean extrusion decreased to only 2.94
178 mm [16]. A comparative analysis on the use of one- and two-tunnel repair techniques found that neither
179 method could reduce extrusion by less than 3 mm [24]. Similarly, significant reduction of MMME was
180 not observed in this study (Fig. 3c). In contrast, a notable reduction of MMPE was found at 90° knee
181 flexion (Fig. 3d). A recent biomechanical analysis by Daney et al. demonstrated that an anatomical
182 repair could reduce the medial compartment contact pressure at knee flexion to that of an intact knee
183 [5]. Thus, the decrease of MMPE and MMEV is associated with restoration of the posterior anchor
184 and a reduction in the contact pressure.

185 The present study showed that transtibial repair increased MMRV. The meniscus optimizes its shape
186 during knee flexion according to the articular congruence [11, 28]; the contact area increases and, as a
187 result, the contact pressure on the articular surfaces decreases [15,22]. A biomechanical study found
188 that MMPRT caused a significant decrease in the medial compartmental contact area (23%–44%

189 decrease) at 90° knee flexion, whereas the repair could restore the contact area to the level of an intact
190 knee [34]. Thus, the postoperative increase of MMRV is associated with improvement of the contact
191 area and pressure. A positive explanation is that the meniscal root repair has the effect of restoring the
192 meniscal shock absorber function.

193 On the other hand, this study revealed that the sutures at the posterior horn could not reduce MMEs
194 (MMME and MMPE) or MMEV to the normal level. Narrowing of the medial joint space is often
195 combined with MMPRT [30]. It is conceivable that the extruded meniscus has already lost its
196 flexibility due to swelling of the peripheral margin [31]. This fact might support the effectiveness of
197 peripheral stabilization, such as with the centralisation technique using a suture anchor [18] and/or an
198 additional suture/s to the posteromedial part of the meniscus [29]. In addition, it remains unclear how
199 weight-bearing should be progressed after meniscal root repairs to prevent the risk of an increase in
200 MME. Laprade et al. recommended no weight-bearing for the first 6 weeks to prevent impact stress on
201 the repair, and then gradually progressing to full weight-bearing as tolerated without pain or swelling
202 [25]. Thus, MMEs might have been decreased by delaying our protocol of weight-bearing.

203 There were several limitations to this study. First, the sample size was small because of the time-
204 consuming task of performing MRIs and creating 3D reconstructions. Second, the measurements of
205 MME and the meniscal volume were conducted without axial joint loading. Although it is difficult to
206 reproduce full weight-bearing on MRI, a similar condition will be required to confirm the surgical
207 contribution towards MME reduction. Third, the inter- and intra-reliability in our Vincent method was

208 relatively low, which can be attributed to the difficulty in identifying the meniscal borders with manual
209 segmentation. In the future, a computer program using artificial intelligence will be needed to identify
210 the meniscal border accurately and facilitate calculation of the meniscal volume. Finally, the
211 postoperative MRI examinations were performed only 3 months following the meniscal repair. This
212 may underestimate the possible loss of reduction over time.

213 Nevertheless, this study is clinically relevant in that the volume change of meniscal extrusion at 90°
214 knee flexion provides biomechanical confirmation that transtibial repairs can control the posteromedial
215 translation of the meniscus and restore shock absorber function. It is also revealed that the root repair
216 has limited ability to reduce meniscal extrusion, given the amount of extrusion seen in normal knees.

217

218 **Conclusions**

219 This study demonstrated that transtibial repair for MMPRT decreased the volume of meniscal
220 extrusion 3 months following surgery. The volume reduction represented the increase in tibiofemoral
221 contact volume, indicating that the repair holds the posterior anchor so as to recover the meniscal
222 function of load transmission.

223

224 **REFERENCES**

- 225 1. Allaire R, Muriuki M, Gilbertson L, Harner CD (2008) Biomechanical consequences of a tear of
226 the posterior root of the medial meniscus. Similar to total meniscectomy. J Bone Joint Surg Am

- 227 90:1922–1931
- 228 2. Bloecker K, Guermazi A, Wirth W, Benichou O, Kwok CK, Hunter DJ, Englund M, Resch H,
229 Eckstein F, OAI investigators (2013) Tibial coverage, meniscus position, size and damage in knees
230 discordant for joint space narrowing – data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Osteoarthr Cartil*
231 21:414-427
- 232 3. Choi SH, Bae S, Ji SK, Chang MJ (2012) The MRI findings of meniscal root tear of the medial
233 meniscus: emphasis on coronal, sagittal and axial images. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*
234 20:2098–2103
- 235 4. Chung KS, Noh JM, Ha JK, Ra HJ, Park SB, Kim HK, Kim JG (2018) Survivorship analysis and
236 clinical outcomes of transtibial pullout repair for medial meniscus posterior root tears: a 5- to 10-
237 year follow-up study. *Arthroscopy* 34:530–535
- 238 5. Daney BT, Aman ZS, Krob JJ, Storaci HW, Brady AW, Nakama G, Dornan GJ, Provencher MT,
239 LaPrade RF (2019) Utilization of transtibial centralization suture best minimizes extrusion and
240 restores tibiofemoral contact mechanics for anatomic medial meniscal root repairs in a cadaveric
241 model. *Am J Sports Med* 47:1591–1600
- 242 6. Dube B, Bowes MA, Kingsbury SR, Hensor EMA, Muzumdar S, Conaghan PG (2018) Where
243 does meniscal damage progress most rapidly? An analysis using three-dimensional shape models
244 on data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 26:62–71
- 245 7. Faucett SC, Geisler BP, Chahla J, Krych AJ, Kurzweil PR, Garner AM, Liu S, LaPrade RF,

- 246 Pietzsch JB (2019) Meniscus root repair vs meniscectomy or nonoperative management to prevent
247 knee osteoarthritis after medial meniscus root tears: clinical and economic effectiveness. *Am J*
248 *Sports Med* 47:762–769
- 249 8. Fujii M, Furumatsu T, Kodama Y, Miyazawa S, Hino T, Kamatsuki Y, Yamada K, Ozaki T (2017)
250 A novel suture technique using the FasT-Fix combined with Ultrabraid for pullout repair of the
251 medial meniscus posterior root tear. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol* 27:559-562
- 252 9. Furumatsu T, Fujii M, Kodama Y, Ozaki T (2017) A giraffe neck sign of the medial meniscus: a
253 characteristic finding of the medial meniscus posterior root tear on magnetic resonance imaging.
254 *J Orthop Sci* 22:731–736
- 255 10. Furumatsu T, Kamatsuki Y, Fujii M, Kodama Y, Okazaki Y, Masuda S, Ozaki T (2017) Medial
256 meniscus extrusion correlates with disease duration of the sudden symptomatic medial meniscus
257 posterior root tear. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res* 103:1179–1182
- 258 11. Inoue H, Furumatsu T, Miyazawa S, Fujii M, Kodama Y, Ozaki T (2018) Improvement in the
259 medial meniscus posterior shift following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Knee Surg*
260 *Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 26:434–441
- 261 12. Jones LD, Mellon SJ, Kruger N, Monk AP, Price AJ, Beard DJ (2018) Medial meniscal extrusion:
262 a validation study comparing different methods of assessment. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol*
263 *Arthrosc* 26:1152–1157
- 264 13. Kamatsuki Y, Furumatsu T, Miyazawa S, Kodama Y, Hino T, Okazaki Y, Masuda S, Okazaki Y,

- 265 Noda T, Yamakawa Y, Tetsunaga T, Ozaki T (2019) The early arthroscopic pullout repair of medial
266 meniscus posterior root tear is more effective for reducing medial meniscus extrusion. *Acta Med*
267 *Okayama* 73:503–510
- 268 14. Kaplan DJ, Alaia EF, Dold AP, Meislin RJ, Strauss EJ, Jazrawi LM, Alaia MJ (2017) Increased
269 extrusion and ICRS grades at 2-year follow-up following transtibial medial meniscal root repair
270 evaluated by MRI. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 26:2826–2834
- 271 15. Kim JG, Lee YS, Bae TS, Ha JK, Lee DH, Kim YJ, Ra HJ (2013) Tibiofemoral contact mechanics
272 following posterior root of medial meniscus tear, repair, meniscectomy, and allograft
273 transplantation. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 21:2121–2125
- 274 16. Kim JH, Chung JH, Lee DH, Lee YS, Kim JR, Ryu KJ (2011) Arthroscopic suture anchor repair
275 versus pullout suture repair in posterior root tear of the medial meniscus: a prospective comparison
276 study. *Arthroscopy* 27:1644–1653
- 277 17. Kim JY, Bin SI, Kim JM, Lee BS, Oh SM, Park MH (2019) Tear gap and severity of osteoarthritis
278 are associated with meniscal extrusion in degenerative medial meniscus posterior root tears.
279 *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res* 105:1395–1399
- 280 18. Koga H, Watanabe T, Horie M, Katagiri H, Otabe K, Ohara T, Katakura M, Sekiya I, Muneta T
281 (2017) Augmentation of the pullout repair of a medial meniscus posterior root tear by arthroscopic
282 centralization. *Arthrosc Tech* 6:e1335–e1339
- 283 19. Krych AJ, Johnson NR, Mohan R, Dahm DL, Levy BA, Stuart MJ (2018) Partial meniscectomy

- 284 provides no benefit for symptomatic degenerative medial meniscus posterior root tears. *Knee Surg*
285 *Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 26:1117–1122
- 286 20. Kwak YH, Lee S, Lee MC, Han HS (2018) Large meniscus extrusion ratio is a poor prognostic
287 factor of conservative treatment for medial meniscus posterior root tear. *Knee Surg Sports*
288 *Traumatol Arthrosc* 26:781–786
- 289 21. LaPrade CM, Ellman MB, Rasmussen MT, James EW, Wijdicks CA, Engebretsen L, LaPrade RF
290 (2014) Anatomy of the anterior root attachments of the medial and lateral menisci: a quantitative
291 analysis. *Am J Sports Med* 42:2386–2392
- 292 22. LaPrade CM, Foad A, Smith SD, Turnbull TL, Dornan GJ, Engebretsen L, Wijdicks CA, LaPrade
293 RF (2015) Biomechanical consequences of a nonanatomic posterior medial meniscal root repair.
294 *Am J Sports Med* 43:912–920
- 295 23. LaPrade CM, James EW, Cram TR, Feagin JA, Engebretsen L, LaPrade RF (2015) Meniscal root
296 tears: a classification system based on tear morphology. *Am J Sports Med* 43:363–369
- 297 24. LaPrade RF, LaPrade CM, Ellman MB, Turnbull TL, Cerminara AJ, Wijdicks CA (2015) Cyclic
298 displacement after meniscal root repair fixation: a human biomechanical evaluation. *Am J Sports*
299 *Med* 43:892–898
- 300 25. LaPrade RF, Matheny LM, Moulton SG, James EW, Dean CS (2017) Posterior meniscal root
301 repairs: outcomes of an anatomic transtibial pull-out technique. *Am J Sports Med* 45:884–891
- 302 26. Masuda S, Furumatsu T, Okazaki Y, Kodama Y, Hino T, Kamatsuki Y, Miyazawa S, Ozaki T

- 303 (2018) Medial meniscus posterior root tear induces pathological posterior extrusion of the
304 meniscus in the knee-flexed position: an open magnetic resonance imaging analysis. *Orthop*
305 *Traumatol Surg Res* 104:485–489
- 306 27. Okazaki Y, Furumatsu T, Kodama Y, Kamatsuki Y, Masuda S, Ozaki T (2019) Description of a
307 surgical technique of medial meniscus root repair: a fixation technique with two simple stiches
308 under an expected initial tension. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol* 29:705–709
- 309 28. Okazaki Y, Furumatsu T, Miyazawa S, Kodama Y, Kamatsuki Y, Hino T, Masuda S, Ozaki T
310 (2019) Meniscal repair concurrent with anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction restores posterior
311 shift of the medial meniscus in the knee-flexed position. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*
312 *27:361–368*
- 313 29. Okazaki Y, Furumatsu T, Miyazawa S, Masuda S, Okazaki Y, Hiranaka T, Ozaki T (2019) A novel
314 suture technique to reduce the meniscus extrusion in the pullout repair for medial meniscus
315 posterior root tears. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol* 29:1805-1809.
- 316 30. Okazaki Y, Furumatsu T, Shimamura Y, Saiga K, Ohashi H, Uchino T, Kamatsuki Y, Okazaki Y,
317 Ozaki T (2019) Time-dependent increase in medial meniscus extrusion after medial meniscus
318 posterior root tear analyzed by using magnetic resonance imaging. *Knee Surg Relat Res* 31:120–
319 125
- 320 31. Okazaki Y, Furumatsu T, Yamaguchi T, Kodama Y, Kamatsuki Y, Masuda S, Okazaki Y, Hiranaka
321 T, Zhang X, Ozaki T (2019) Medial meniscus posterior root tear causes swelling of the medial

- 322 meniscus and expansion of the extruded meniscus: a comparative analysis between 2D and 3D
323 MRI. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* DOI: 10.1007/s00167-019-05580-6
- 324 32. Otsubo H, Akatsuka Y, Takashima H, Suzuki T, Suzuki D, Kamiya T, Ikeda Y, Matsumura T,
325 Yamashita T, Shino K (2016) MRI depiction and 3D visualization of three anterior cruciate
326 ligament bundles. *Clin Anat* 30:276–283
- 327 33. Ozeki N, Koga H, Matsuda J, Kohno Y, Mizuno M, Katano H, Tsuji K, Saito T, Muneta T, Sekiya
328 I (2020) Biomechanical analysis of the centralization procedure for extruded lateral menisci with
329 posterior root deficiency in a porcine model. *J Orthop Sci* 25:161-166
- 330 34. Padalecki JR, Jansson KS, Smith SD, Dornan GJ, Pierce CM, Wijdicks CA, Laprade RF (2014)
331 Biomechanical consequences of a complete radial tear adjacent to the medial meniscus posterior
332 root attachment site: in situ pull-out repair restores derangement of joint mechanics. *Am J Sports*
333 *Med* 42:699–707
- 334 35. Roth M, Emmanuel K, Wirth W, Kwok CK, Hunter DJ, Eckstein F (2018) Sensitivity to change
335 and association of three-dimensional meniscal measures with radiographic joint space width loss
336 in rapid clinical progression of knee osteoarthritis. *Eur Radiol* 28:1844–1853
- 337 36. Tack A, Mukhopadhyay A, Zachow S (2018) Knee menisci segmentation using convolutional
338 neural networks: data from the osteoarthritis initiative. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 26:680–688
- 339 37. Voloshin AS, Wosk J (1983) Shock absorption of meniscectomized and painful knees: a
340 comparative in vivo study. *J Biomed Eng* 5:157–161

341 **Figure legends**

342 **Fig 1** Illustration of two suture methods

343 **a** Modified Mason-Allen technique with FasT- Fix (Smith & Nephew, Andover, MA, USA). The
344 uncut FasT-Fix suture and the vertical suture using Ultrabrade (Smith & Nephew) were retrieved
345 from the tibial tunnel at an anatomic attachment of the meniscal root. **b.** Two simple stitches
346 technique using Knee scorpion (Arthrex, Naples, FL, USA). The first suture (no.2 Ultrabrade or
347 UltraTape) is passed through the inner area of the root, and the second suture (UltraTape) is inserted
348 into the outer area, more than 10 mm from the torn part. MFC, medial femoral condyle; MTP, medial
349 tibial plateau; MM, medial meniscus; PCL, posterior cruciate ligament.

350

351 **Fig 2** 3D reconstructed images of MMPRT knee, visualized by SYNAPSE VINCENT® (Fuji
352 Medical System, Tokyo, Japan)

353 **a** 3D model of meniscus, femur, and tibia at 10° knee flexion. **b** Measurement in the axial plane at
354 10°, including the meniscus within the articular joint (cyan area) and extrusion area (purple area). A
355 reference line (red dotted line) was drawn intersecting the tibial intercondylar eminences. MMME
356 (grey arrow) was the distance from the medial edge of the tibia (dashed grey line) to the meniscus
357 (dotted grey line). MMPE (grey arrow) was the distance from the posterior edge of the tibia (dashed
358 grey line) to the meniscus (dotted grey line). **c** The extrusion area (purple area) was defined as the
359 region separated by a dashed line on the tibial edge. **d** 3D model of meniscus, femur, and tibia at 90°

360 knee flexion. **e** Measurement in the axial plane at 90°. MMME (grey arrow) and MMPE
361 (perpendicular grey arrow). **f** The extrusion area (purple area) separated by a dashed line along the
362 posteromedial corner of the tibia. The volume of intra-articular area (cyan area) was described as
363 MMRV.

364 MMPRT, medial meniscus posterior root tear; MMME, medial meniscus medial extrusion; MMPE,
365 medial meniscus posterior extrusion; MMRV, medial meniscus remaining volume

366

367 **Fig 3** Postoperative change of 3D meniscal morphology in a 65-year-old male patient

368 **a** At 10°, the extruded meniscus (purple area) is located along the medial side. **b** At 90°, the meniscal
369 root is detached from the posterior attachment. The meniscus translated to the posteromedial direction
370 with MMPE and its thickness. **c** Postoperatively, the reduction of the purple area is partially observed
371 at 10°. **d** At 90°, the posterior root is stabilised and the purple area is reduced, with an increase in the
372 intra-articular cyan area.

373 MMPE, medial meniscus posterior extrusion

374

375 **Compliance with ethical standards**

376 **Conflict of interest**

377 The authors report no conflicts of interest.

378

379 **Ethical approval:** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in
380 accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional review board and the 1964 Helsinki
381 declaration and its later amendments.