

1 ***In vitro* and *in vivo* effect of exogenous farnesol exposure against**
2 ***Candida auris***

3
4
5 Running title: Farnesol against *Candida auris*
6

7
8
9 Fruzsina Nagy^{1,2}, Eszter Vitális^{2,3}, Ágnes Jakab⁴, Andrew M. Borman⁵, Lajos Forgács^{1,2},
10 Zoltán Tóth^{1,2}, László Majoros¹, Renátó Kovács^{1,6*}
11

12
13
14 ¹Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen,
15 Hungary

16 ²Doctoral School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Debrecen, Hungary

17 ³Hospital Hygiene Ward, Clinical Centre, University of Debrecen, Hungary

18 ⁴Department of Molecular Biotechnology and Microbiology, Institute of Biotechnology,
19 Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.

20 ⁵Public Health England UK National Mycology Reference Laboratory, Bristol, United
21 Kingdom.

22 ⁶Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Debrecen, Hungary
23
24
25
26
27

28 *Corresponding author: Renátó Kovács, Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of
29 Medicine, University of Debrecen, 4032 Debrecen, Nagyerdei krt. 98., Hungary

30 Phone: 00-36-52-255-425, Fax: 00-36-52-255-424;

31 e-mail: kovacs.renato@med.unideb.hu
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 Keywords: biofilm, oxidative stress, virulence, *in vivo*, synergy, triazoles, quorum-sensing,
40 therapy
41
42

43 **Abstract**

44 The spreading of multidrug-resistant *Candida auris* is considered as an emerging global
45 health threat. The number of effective therapeutic regimens is strongly limited; therefore,
46 development of novel strategies is needed. Farnesol is a quorum-sensing molecule with a
47 potential antifungal and/or adjuvant effect; it may be a promising candidate in alternative
48 treatment against *Candida* species including *C. auris*. To examine the effect of farnesol on *C.*
49 *auris*, we performed experiments focusing on growth, biofilm production ability, production
50 of enzymes related to oxidative stress, triazole susceptibility and virulence. Concentrations
51 ranging from 100 to 300 μM farnesol caused a significant growth inhibition against *C. auris*
52 planktonic cells for 24 hours ($p < 0.01-0.05$). Farnesol treatment showed a concentration
53 dependent inhibition in terms of biofilm forming ability of *C. auris*; however, it did not
54 inhibit significantly the biofilm development at 24 hours. Nevertheless, the metabolic activity
55 of adhered farnesol pre-exposed cells (75 μM) was significantly diminished at 24 hours
56 depending on farnesol treatment during biofilm formation ($p < 0.001-0.05$). Moreover, 300 μM
57 farnesol exerted a marked decrease in metabolic activity against one-day-old biofilms
58 between 2 and 24 hours ($p < 0.001$). Farnesol increased the production of reactive species
59 remarkably, as revealed by 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein (DCF) assay (3.96 ± 0.89 [nmol DCF
60 (OD_{640})⁻¹] and 23.54 ± 4.51 [nmol DCF (OD_{640})⁻¹] for untreated cells and farnesol exposed
61 cells, respectively; $p < 0.001$). This was in line with increased superoxide dismutase level
62 (85.69 ± 5.42 [munit (mg protein)⁻¹] and 170.11 ± 17.37 [munit (mg protein)⁻¹] for untreated
63 cells and farnesol exposed cells, respectively; $p < 0.001$), but the catalase level remained
64 statistically comparable between treated and untreated cells ($p > 0.05$). Concerning virulence-
65 related enzymes, exposure to 75 μM farnesol did not influence phospholipase or aspartic
66 proteinase activity ($p > 0.05$). The interaction between fluconazole, itraconazole, voriconazole,
67 posaconazole, isavuconazole and farnesol showed clear synergism (FICI ranges from 0.038 to
68 0.375) against one-day-old biofilms. Regarding *in vivo* experiments, daily 75 μM farnesol
69 treatment decreased the fungal burden in an immunocompromised murine model of
70 disseminated candidiasis, especially in case of inocula pre-exposed to farnesol ($p < 0.01$). In
71 summary, farnesol shows a promising therapeutic or adjuvant potential in traditional or
72 alternative therapies such as catheter lock therapy.

73
74 **Contribution to the field**

75 To date *Candida auris* had been reported from more than 35 countries on six different
76 continents. This newly emerged multidrug-resistant fungal pathogen causes nosocomial
77 outbreaks with high crude mortality rate. The number of resistant isolates to all of three main
78 classes of antifungals is steadily increasing worldwide. Due to the alarming emergence of
79 antifungal resistance, there is an urgent need to develop alternative antifungal therapies. An
80 attractive novel approach to combating fungal infections caused by multi-resistant pathogens
81 is treatments targeting quorum-sensing. Farnesol was the first described fungal quorum-
82 sensing molecule, causing hyphae-to-yeast transition in *C. albicans*. However, it is
83 noteworthy that farnesol may act differently in non-*albicans* *Candida* species; in addition, it
84 may have potent synergizing and/or antifungal effect. In this study, we performed
85 investigations focusing on growth, biofilm production ability, oxidative stress related enzyme
86 production, azole susceptibility and virulence in order to examine the effect of farnesol
87 exposure on *C. auris*. Based on our results, farnesol has an inhibitory effect both against
88 planktonic cells and biofilms. In addition, it showed a remarkable therapeutic potential in our
89 systemic immunocompromised mouse model. These results may support the development of
90 novel alternative therapies against *C. auris* infections in the future.

91
92
93
94

95 1. Introduction

96 *Candida auris* is an emerging fungal pathogen causing outbreaks in healthcare settings with
97 unacceptably high mortality rates ranging from 28% to 78% depending on the country
98 (Jeffrey-Smith et al. 2018, Eyre et al. 2018). To date, 39 countries have reported *C. auris*
99 associated infections (Jeffrey-Smith et al. 2018, Eyre et al. 2018, Kean et al. 2020). Based on
100 last published data, the number of confirmed *C. auris* infections were 620 and 988 in Europe
101 and United States of America, respectively (CDC 2019, ECDC 2018). Nosocomial *C. auris*
102 outbreaks were reported from several countries including India, South Africa, Venezuela,
103 Pakistan, and the United States (Vallabhaneni et al. 2016, Lockhart et al. 2017, Belkin et al.
104 2018). Previously, genetic analyses revealed more genetically unrelated clonal populations
105 across three different continents. These clades are commonly classified as South African,
106 South Asian, East Asian and South American clades (Lockhart et al. 2017). In addition, a
107 recent study described a fifth *C. auris* clade in Iran from patient who never travelled outside
108 that country (Abastabar et al. 2019, Chow et al. 2019).

109 Over 90% of clinical isolates are resistant to fluconazole whereas resistance to newer triazoles
110 is variable (Dudiuk et al 2019, Romera et al 2019). The ratio of strains resistant to
111 amphotericin B ranges from 8% to 50%, while echinocandin resistance remains infrequent
112 (2% to 8%) (Dudiuk et al 2019). Alarming, isolates of *C. auris* with resistance to all three
113 major antifungal classes have been reported in multiple countries including the USA
114 (Ostrowsky et al. 2020). These multidrug-resistant strains may remain susceptible to nystatin
115 and terbinafine (Sarma and Upadhyay 2017). *C. auris* biology have been extensively covered
116 in recent papers (Rossato and Colombo 2018, Casadevall et al. 2019), however, the data about
117 potential alternative treatment strategies remain scarce (Wall et al. 2019); therefore, there is
118 an urgent need for the development of new antifungal therapies. In addition, multidrug-
119 resistance is significantly more frequently reported in the case of *C. auris* biofilms (Kean and
120 Ramage 2019). Thus, although the capacity to form biofilms is strain dependent in *C. auris*,
121 they frequently pose a remarkable therapeutic challenge, especially because *C. auris* biofilms
122 also have a considerable virulence capacity (Kean and Ramage 2019). Since data collected
123 with *C. albicans* biofilms cannot be extrapolated to *C. auris* directly, such studies are urgently
124 needed to meet this novel challenge (Kean and Ramage 2019).

125 Farnesol is a fungal quorum-sensing molecule that inhibits yeast-to-hyphae transition and
126 promotes reverse morphogenesis in *C. albicans* (Hornby et al. 2001). Based on recent studies,
127 farnesol acts synergistically with several antifungal agents against *C. albicans*, *C. glabrata*, *C.*
128 *tropicalis* as well as against *C. parapsilosis* planktonic cells and/or biofilms (Katragkou et al.
129 2015, Kovács et al. 2016, Monteiro et al. 2017, Agustín et al. 2019), thus it has been proposed
130 as a potential adjuvant therapeutic agent. In addition, its therapeutic potential has already been
131 confirmed against *C. albicans* in murine models of mucosal infection (Hisajima et al. 2008,
132 Bozó et al. 2016). Although farnesol is not beneficial in systemic infections caused by *C.*
133 *albicans* (Navarhna et al. 2007), those data cannot necessarily be extrapolated to non-
134 *albicans* species including *C. auris* (Semreen et al. 2019).

135 This study examines the effect of farnesol exposure on growth, biofilm production, oxidative
136 stress-related enzyme production, triazole susceptibility and virulence of *C. auris*, in order to
137 explore the background of the previously observed antifungal effect.

138

139 **2. Materials and methods**

140

141 **2.1. Organisms**

142 Three *C. auris* isolates (isolates 10, 12, and 27) obtained from National Mycology Reference
143 Laboratory, United Kingdom were used together with the SC5314 *C. albicans* reference
144 strain. All three *C. auris* strains derived from the South Asian/Indian lineage (Borman et al.
145 2017). All *C. auris* isolates tested showed non-aggregating phenotype, which exhibit
146 comparable pathogenicity to that of *C. albicans* (Borman et al. 2016).

147

148 **2.2. Toxicity experiments**

149 Ten μM , 50 μM , 150 μM and 300 μM farnesol were evaluated in terms of toxicity to the
150 Caco-2 cell line using a 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide
151 (MTT) assay (Sigma, Budapest, Hungary) (Berridge et al. 2005). No toxicity was observed
152 with any concentration of farnesol.

153

154 **2.3. Growth related experiments for planktonic cells**

155 The effect of pre-exposure and continuous farnesol treatment on *C. auris* and *C. albicans*
156 planktonic cells was tested in RPMI-1640 (with L-glutamine and without bicarbonate, pH 7.0
157 with MOPS; Sigma, Budapest, Hungary) in two experimental settings: *i*) effect of various
158 farnesol concentrations against planktonic cells, *ii*) effect of various farnesol concentrations
159 against planktonic cells pre-exposed with farnesol (75 μM) for 24-hours. Seventy-five μM
160 farnesol was chosen as pre-exposure concentration because it corresponds to approximately
161 double the amount of physiological farnesol production of *C. albicans* (Weber et al. 2008).

162 Farnesol was obtained as 3M stock solution, which was diluted to a 30 mM working stock
163 solution in 100% methanol. The working concentrations of farnesol were prepared in RPMI-
164 1640 medium. Drug-free control was supplemented with 1% (vol/vol) methanol (Kovács et al.
165 2016, Bozó et al. 2016, Nagy et al. 2019). Farnesol concentrations tested were 10, 50, 100,
166 300 μM in all experiments.

167 Living cell number of planktonic cells was determined using time-kill experiments (Kovács et
168 al. 2014, Kovács et al. 2017). Briefly, samples (100 μL) were removed at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12
169 and 24 hours, serially diluted tenfold, plated (4 x 30 μL) onto Sabouraud dextrose agar and
170 incubated at 35 °C for 48 hours. All isolates were tested in three independent experiments and
171 the mean of the three values was used in the analysis. At given time points, one-way ANOVA
172 with Dunnett's post-testing was used to analyze the effect on living cell number exerted by
173 different farnesol concentrations compared to untreated control.

174

175 **2.4. Evaluation of extracellular phospholipase and aspartic proteinase activities exerted
176 by farnesol exposure**

177 Extracellular phospholipase production by farnesol-exposed (75 μM) and untreated *C. auris*
178 and *C. albicans* cells was examined on egg yolk medium (5.85% [wt/vol] NaCl, 0.05%
179 [wt/vol] CaCl_2 , and 10% [vol/vol] sterile egg yolk [Sigma, Budapest, Hungary]). Aspartic
180 proteinase activity was evaluated on solid medium supplemented with bovine serum albumin
181 (0.02% [wt/vol] $\text{MgSO}_4 \times 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 0.25% [wt/vol] K_2HPO_4 , 0.5% [wt/vol] NaCl, 0,1% [wt/vol]
182 yeast extract, 2% [wt/vol] glucose and 0.25% [wt/vol] bovine serum albumin [Sigma,
183 Budapest, Hungary] agar medium). In case of both assay, 5 μL suspensions of 1×10^7
184 cells/mL were inoculated onto agar plates as described previously (Kantarcioglu and Yücel
185 2002). Colony diameters and precipitation zones (Pz) were measured after 7 days of
186 incubation at 35 °C (Price et al. 1982). Enzyme activities were measured in three independent
187 experiments for each isolate and are presented as means \pm standard deviations. Statistical
188 analysis of reactive species and enzyme production data were performed by paired Student's *t*

189 test using GraphPad Prism 6.05 software. The differences between values for treated and
190 control cells were considered significant if the p value was < 0.05 .

191

192 **2.5. Reactive species production and antioxidant enzyme activities exerted by farnesol** 193 **exposure**

194 Reactive species were measured in the presence or absence of one-day farnesol (75 μM)
195 exposure in RPMI-1640 by a reaction that converts 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate to 2',7'-
196 dichlorofluorescein (DCF) (Sigma, Budapest, Hungary) (Jakab et al. 2015, Jakab et al. 2019).
197 The amount of DCF produced is proportional to the quantity of reactive species. Catalase and
198 superoxide dismutase activities were determined as described previously by Jakab et al.
199 (2015) and Jakab et al. (2019). Reactive species and enzyme activities were measured in three
200 independent experiments for each isolate and are presented as means \pm standard deviations.
201 Statistical comparisons of reactive species and enzyme production data were performed by
202 paired Student's t test using GraphPad Prism 6.05 software. The differences between values
203 for treated and control cells were considered significant if the p value was < 0.05 .

204

205 **2.6. Susceptibility testing of planktonic cells to azoles and farnesol**

206 Antifungal susceptibility of *C. auris* isolates to fluconazole, itraconazole, voriconazole,
207 posaconazole, isavuconazole and to farnesol (all from Sigma, Budapest, Hungary) was tested
208 using the broth microdilution method in RPMI-1640 in line with the CLSI standard M27-A3
209 guideline (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2008). The final concentrations of the
210 drug ranged between 0.5-32 mg/L, 0.008-0.5 mg/L and 1.17-300 μM mg/L for fluconazole,
211 other tested azoles and farnesol, respectively. Susceptibility testing for planktonic cells was
212 performed in 96-well microtitre plates at 35 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 24 hours. The inoculum was $0.5\text{-}2.5 \times 10^3$
213 cells/mL. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were defined as at least 50% growth
214 reduction compared with untreated control. All isolates were tested in three independent
215 experiments and the median of the three values was used in the analysis.

216

217 **2.7. Biofilm formation**

218 *Candida* isolates were suspended in RPMI-1640 broth at a concentration of 1×10^6 cells/mL
219 and aliquots of 100 μl were inoculated onto flat-bottom 96-well sterile microtitre plates (TPP,
220 Trasadingen, Switzerland) and then incubated statically at 35 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 24 hours to produce one-
221 day-old biofilms (Pierce et al. 2008, Kovács et al. 2016).

222

223 **2.8. Metabolic activity changes of biofilms over time following farnesol exposure**

224 The effect of pre-exposure and continuous farnesol treatment on *C. auris* and *C. albicans*
225 biofilms was tested in three experimental settings: *i*) continuous farnesol treatment for 24-
226 hours during biofilm formation, *ii*) biofilm forming ability of cells pre-exposed with farnesol
227 (75 μM) for 24-hours prior to biofilm formation then continuously treated to given farnesol
228 concentrations for 24-hours during biofilm development, *iii*) effect of farnesol on one-day-old
229 biofilms. Farnesol concentrations tested were 10, 50, 100, 300 μM in all experiments.
230 Metabolic activity of sessile cells was determined at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 24 hours using
231 XTT-reduction assay (Hawser 1996, Katragkou et al. 2015). All isolates were tested in three
232 independent experiments and the mean of the three values was used in the analysis. At given
233 time points, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-testing was used to analyze the metabolic
234 activity change exerted by different farnesol concentrations compared to untreated control.
235 The differences between values for treated and control cells were considered significant if the
236 p value was lower than 0.05.

237

238 **2.9. Susceptibility testing of biofilms**

239 The activity of triazoles and farnesol against one-day-old biofilms was evaluated using the
240 XTT-assay (Hawser, 1996, Katragkou et al. 2014, Kovács et al. 2016, Nagy et al. 2019). The
241 concentrations tested in biofilm MIC determination ranged between 8-512 mg/L, 0.5-32
242 mg/L, 0.125-8 mg/L and 1.17-300 μ M for fluconazole, voriconazole/itraconazole,
243 posaconazole/isavuconazole and farnesol, respectively. To determine the 24-hour biofilm
244 MICs, one-day-old biofilms were first washed three times with 200 μ L sterile physiological
245 saline. All wells were filled with 100 μ L of 0.5 g/L XTT / 1 μ M menadione solution. The
246 plates were covered and incubated at 35 °C for 2 hours; afterwards, 80 μ L of the supernatant
247 was removed and transferred into a new sterile 96-well plate to measure the absorbance
248 spectrophotometrically at 492 nm. MICs were defined as the lowest concentration that
249 produced at least 50% reduction in metabolic activity of fungal biofilms compared to
250 untreated control (Katragkou et al. 2014, Kovács et al. 2016, Nagy et al. 2019). Three
251 independent experiments were performed for all isolates and the median of the three values
252 were presented.
253

254 **2.10. *In vitro* interactions between farnesol and azoles for planktonic cells and biofilms**

255 A fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI) was used to evaluate drug-drug interactions
256 using a two-dimensional broth microdilution checkerboard assay both for planktonic and
257 sessile cells (Meletiadiis et al. 2005, Katragkou et al. 2015, Kovács et al. 2016). In the case of
258 *C. albicans*, combinations were tested only for biofilms because planktonic isolates are
259 generally susceptible to the tested azoles. The concentration ranges were as described above
260 for MIC determination against planktonic cells and biofilms. The FICI expressed as
261 Σ FIC = FIC_A + FIC_B = MIC_A^{combination} / MIC_A^{alone} + MIC_B^{combination} / MIC_B^{alone}, where MIC_A^{alone} and
262 MIC_B^{alone} are the MIC values of compounds A and B used alone and MIC_A^{combination} and
263 MIC_B^{combination} are the MICs of compounds A and B at the isoeffective combinations,
264 respectively. FICI was defined as the lowest Σ FIC (Meletiadiis et al. 2005, Katragkou et al.
265 2015, Kovács et al. 2016). The MIC values of the drugs alone and of all isoeffective
266 combinations were determined as the lowest drug concentrations showing at least 50%
267 reduction of turbidity for planktonic, or at least 50% reduction in metabolic activity of biofilm
268 compared to the untreated control cells. The interaction between azoles and farnesol was
269 interpreted as synergistic when FICI was ≤ 0.5 , as indifferent interaction when FICI was
270 between >0.5 and 4 and as antagonism when FICI was >4 (Meletiadiis et al. 2005, Katragkou
271 et al. 2015, Kovács et al. 2016).
272

273 **2.11. *In vivo* experiments**

274 BALB/c immunocompromised female mice (21-23 g) (Charles River) were used to examine
275 the effect of farnesol pre-exposure (75 μ M) and daily farnesol treatment (75 μ M) on virulence
276 of *C. auris* and compared to *C. albicans* SC5314. The animals were maintained in accordance
277 with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The experiments were
278 approved by the Animal Care Committee of the University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
279 (permission no. 12/2014 DEMÁB). Permanent immunosuppression was produced by
280 intraperitoneal administration of 150 mg/kg cyclophosphamide 4 days prior to infection, 100
281 mg/kg cyclophosphamide 1 day prior to infection, 100 mg/kg cyclophosphamide 2 days post-
282 infection and 100 mg/kg cyclophosphamide 5 days post-infection (Andes et al. 2010, Kovács
283 et al. 2014). In accordance with our preliminary experiments, mice were challenged
284 intravenously through the lateral tail vein; the infectious doses were 1×10^7 CFU/mouse and 8
285 $\times 10^3$ CFU/mouse in 0.2 mL volume for *C. auris* and *C. albicans*, respectively. Inoculum
286 density was confirmed by plating serial dilutions on Sabouraud dextrose agar (Kovács et al.
287 2014). Mice were divided into four groups (10 mice per group); *i*) untreated control mice; *ii*)
288 inoculation with 24 hours-long farnesol pre-exposed (75 μ M) cells; *iii*) there was no farnesol

289 pre-exposure to fungal cells prior to infection, but 75 μ M daily farnesol treatment
290 (corresponding to approximately 0.4 mg/kg) was started from 24 hours post-infection; *iv*) 24
291 hours-long farnesol pre-exposure (75 μ M) to fungal cells prior to infection; afterwards, 75 μ M
292 daily farnesol treatment was started at 24 hours post-infection.
293 Farnesol treatments were administered intraperitoneally in a volume of 0.5 mL. Control mice
294 were given 0.5 mL physiological saline intraperitoneally. At 6 days post-infection, mice were
295 euthanized, and their kidneys were removed (Fakhim et al. 2018), weighed and homogenized
296 aseptically. Fungal tissue burden was determined by quantitative culturing. Kidney tissue
297 burden was analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-test (GraphPad Prism 6.05.).
298 Significance was defined as $p < 0.05$.

2.12. Histology

301 Kidneys of treated and untreated mice were subjected to histological investigations.
302 Histopathological examination and histochemical staining were performed on routine
303 formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded mouse kidney tissues. Serial 4- μ m-thick sections were cut
304 from paraffin blocks, and Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) staining was performed (Pupim et al.
305 2017, Kovács et al. 2019).
306

307 **3. Results**

308

309 **3.1. Effect of farnesol on *C. auris* and *C. albicans* planktonic cell growth**

310 Significant decrease was observed in growth rate of *C. auris* for 12 hours in the presence of
311 farnesol concentrations ranges from 50 to 300 μM both in case of farnesol unexposed and pre-
312 exposed cells ($p < 0.001-0.05$) (Figure 1A and B). At 24 hours, 100 and 300 μM farnesol
313 significantly decreased the viable cell count compared to untreated control in both
314 experimental settings ($p < 0.01-0.001$) (Figure 1A and B). Surprisingly, neither farnesol pre-
315 exposed nor unexposed *C. albicans* cells showed significant growth reduction at 24 hours
316 ($p > 0.05$) (Figure 1 C and D).

317

318 **3.2. Effects of farnesol on extracellular phospholipase and proteinase production of *C.***
319 ***auris* and *C. albicans***

320 Farnesol treatment did not significantly influence the extracellular proteinase activity of either
321 *C. auris* or *C. albicans*. The Pz values were 0.83 ± 0.04 and 0.82 ± 0.05 for *C. auris* untreated
322 control and farnesol-exposed cells, respectively ($p > 0.05$), as compared to 0.53 ± 0.003 and
323 0.48 ± 0.02 with *C. albicans* untreated control and farnesol-exposed cells, respectively
324 ($p > 0.05$). Farnesol exposure resulted in significantly higher phospholipase activity for *C.*
325 *albicans* (Pz values were 0.48 ± 0.04 and 0.42 ± 0.02 for untreated control and farnesol-
326 exposed cells, respectively ($p < 0.01$); however, the Pz values were statistically comparable in
327 case of *C. auris* (Pz values were 0.9 ± 0.04 and 0.89 ± 0.05 for untreated control and farnesol-
328 exposed cells, respectively ($p > 0.05$)).

329

330 **3.3. Farnesol-induced oxidative stress and stress response in *C. auris* and *C. albicans*.**

331 Farnesol caused a significantly higher reactive species production in *C. auris* compared with
332 untreated control cells as presented in Table 1 ($p < 0.001$). This farnesol-related higher reactive
333 species level was associated with elevated superoxide dismutase ($p < 0.001$) but statistically
334 comparable catalase activity ($p > 0.05$) (Table 1). Farnesol treatment did not result in
335 significantly higher reactive species production in *C. albicans* ($p > 0.05$), which is in line with
336 the statistically comparable catalase and superoxide dismutase activity between farnesol
337 exposed cells and untreated control ($p > 0.05$) (Table 1).

338

339 **3.4. Effects of farnesol on biofilm forming ability and one-day-old biofilms of *C. auris***
340 **and *C. albicans*.**

341 (i) *The effect of different farnesol concentrations on biofilm forming ability:* All tested
342 farnesol concentrations inhibited the metabolic activity of *C. auris* cells compared to control
343 cells at first 8 hours ($p < 0.001-0.05$); while, statistically comparable metabolic activities were
344 measured at 24 hours ($p > 0.05$) (Figure 2A). In contrast, all tested farnesol concentrations
345 inhibited the metabolic activity of *C. albicans* cells compared to untreated control at 24 hours
346 (Figure 2D).

347 (ii) *Biofilm forming ability of cells pre-exposed with farnesol for 24-hours (75 μM) prior to*
348 *biofilm formation:* Interestingly, we observed statistically significant differences in metabolic
349 activity of *C. auris* cells only at 24 hours between 50 and 300 μM (Figure 2B). In the case of
350 *C. albicans*, statistically significant differences in metabolic activity between 50 and 300 μM
351 were first observed at 8 hours (Figure 2E), but the metabolic activity of cells treated by
352 various concentrations was statistically comparable at 24 hours (Figure 2E).

353 (iii) *The effect of different farnesol concentrations against one-day-old biofilms:* Between 2
354 and 24 hours, 300 μM farnesol produced a potent anti-biofilm effect against *C. auris*
355 compared to control (Figure 2C). Interestingly, the low farnesol concentrations (10-50 μM)
356 increased the metabolic activity of *C. albicans* biofilms in the first 4 hours (Figure 2F).

357 However, the various farnesol treatments were statistically comparable against *C. albicans* at
358 24 hours (Figure 2F).

359

360 **3.5. Susceptibility results for planktonic cells and biofilms**

361 For *C. auris* isolates, the planktonic MICs ranged from 4 to >32 mg/L, from 0.03 to 0.06
362 mg/L, from 0.008 to 0.015 mg/L, from 0.015 to 0.03 mg/L and from 0.008 to 0.015 mg/L for
363 fluconazole, voriconazole, isavuconazole, itraconazole and posaconazole, respectively. The
364 susceptibility to fluconazole of isolate 10 was higher than the tentative fluconazole MIC
365 breakpoint (>32 mg/L) while the other two strains were susceptible to fluconazole (CDC
366 2020). In the case of planktonic *C. albicans* SC5314 reference strain, the median MIC values
367 were 0.125 mg/L, 0.015 mg/L, 0.015 mg/L, 0.125 mg/L and 0.008 mg/L for fluconazole,
368 voriconazole, isavuconazole, itraconazole and posaconazole, respectively. In case of biofilms,
369 the median MIC values are shown in Table 2.

370

371 **3.6. Interactions between triazoles and farnesol by FICI**

372 Only indifferent interactions were detected for planktonic cells of *C. auris* (data not shown).
373 The results of the triazole-farnesol interaction against one-day-old biofilms based on FICI are
374 summarized in Table 2. Antagonism was never observed. Synergy between triazoles and
375 farnesol was observed for all three *C. auris* isolates when grown in biofilm (FICI ranges from
376 0.038 to 0.375) (Table 2). For the *C. albicans* SC5314 strain, the interaction pattern observed
377 was very similar to *C. auris*; an indifferent interaction between an azole and farnesol was
378 observed only in case of fluconazole, although, the FICI value calculated was very close to
379 the synergy threshold (Table 2).

380

381 **3.7. *In vivo* experiments**

382 Results of the *in vivo* experiments are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4 for *C. auris* and *C.*
383 *albicans*, respectively. Seventy-five μ M farnesol treatment decreased the fungal kidney
384 burden especially when farnesol pre-exposed *C. auris* cells were used as inoculum (Figure 3).
385 With *C. albicans*, all experimental settings resulted in statistically comparable kidney fungal
386 burdens compared to untreated control (Figure 4). The histopathology results observed were
387 in line with the fungal burden-related results. *C. auris* produced single yeast cells and
388 numerous budding yeast cells in untreated control mice. Although, inoculation by farnesol
389 pre-exposed cells caused large number of aggregates in kidney tissue; the daily farnesol
390 treatment markedly decreased the number of lesions (Figure 3). Both farnesol pre-exposure
391 and daily farnesol treatment caused several extended fungal lesions in kidney tissue in the
392 case of *C. albicans* infection (Figure 4), where single and budding yeast cells, pseudohyphae
393 and hyphae were observed in all groups (Figure 4).

394

395 4. Discussion

396 Only a few classes of antifungal agents are available for the treatment of fungal infections; in
397 addition, the antifungal drug discovery pipeline is slow and challenging, especially in case of
398 the newly emerging difficult-to-treat species such as *C. auris* (Roemer and Crysan 2014,
399 Scorzoni et al. 2017). Combination based therapeutic approaches have been proposed as
400 alternatives in recent years to treat the *C. auris* infections. The combination of flucytosine
401 with amphotericin B or micafungin may be relevant for the treatment of *C. auris* infections
402 (Bidaud et al. 2019). Moreover, synergistic interactions were observed between micafungin
403 and voriconazole (Fakhim et al. 2017).

404 The investigations of alternative/adjuvant treatments focusing on fungal quorum-sensing
405 molecules (e.g.: farnesol, tyrosol) have become an intensely researched area in recent years
406 (Mehmood et al. 2019). Several *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies were performed to evaluate the
407 antimicrobial effects of farnesol, which revealed that this compound may potentially serve as
408 an alternative or adjuvant drug (Jabra-Rizk et al. 2006, Hisajima et al. 2008, Katragkou et al.
409 2015, Kovács et al. 2016, Bozó et al. 2016, Nagy et al. 2019). Farnesol has a versatile effect
410 at physiological concentrations, however, the most prominent of these is its ability to
411 influence *C. albicans* morphology without markedly changing proliferation (Hornby 2001). It
412 is noteworthy that farnesol not only affects *C. albicans* but has a remarkable inhibitory effect
413 on other non-*albicans* species and moulds especially in suprphysiological concentrations
414 (Jabra-Rizk et al. 2006; Henriques et al. 2007; Rossignol et al. 2007; Weber et al. 2010,
415 Kovács et al. 2016). Our recent study reported that farnesol has a potential antifungal effect
416 against *C. auris* biofilms (Nagy et al. 2019), nevertheless, the physiological processes
417 underlying the observed antifungal activity of farnesol remain to be elucidated.

418 Farnesol did not affect the growth rate of planktonic *C. albicans*; but caused significant
419 reduction in growth rate in the case of *C. auris*. Moreover, farnesol inhibited the metabolic
420 activity of one-day-old biofilms in the first 24 hours, a phenomenon clearly absent with *C.*
421 *albicans*. The observed farnesol related effect in *C. albicans* is similar to those reported by
422 Hornby et al. (2001).

423 Farnesol has been suggested to modulate virulence, since it was shown to affect virulence-
424 associated phospholipase and aspartyl protease production in *C. albicans*. In this study,
425 farnesol exposure resulted in significantly higher phospholipase activity for *C. albicans*,
426 which is line with results reported by Fernandes et al. (2018). However, it did not enhance the
427 production of these enzymes in experiments with *C. auris*.

428 Farnesol was reported to cause a dose-dependent production of reactive species and could
429 increase resistance to oxidative stress in *C. albicans* (Davis-Hanna et al. 2008, Deveau et al.
430 2010, Giacometti et al. 2011), which is concordant with our results. However, farnesol
431 treatment resulted in a significant increase of reactive species production in *C. auris*, resulting
432 in an elevated level of superoxide dismutase but not catalase, demonstrating that farnesol
433 might not contribute to protection against oxidative stress in *C. auris*. Such stress-related
434 differences between *C. albicans* and *C. auris* were also observed previously with other
435 stressor compounds. *C. auris* was more resistant to hydrogen-peroxide compared to *C.*
436 *albicans*; but it was less tolerant to the superoxide-generating agent menadione and the tert-
437 butyl hydroperoxide, and moreover displayed significantly higher resistance to cationic stress
438 imposed by either sodium chloride or calcium chloride compared to *C. albicans* (Day et al.
439 2018).

440 To date, catheter-associated infections caused by *C. auris* have been reported by several
441 authors, which are attributable to the previously well-documented biofilm-forming ability of
442 this species (Dewaele et al. 2018). Previous studies reported the frequency of central line
443 infections by *C. auris* to be between 11% and 92%. (Taori et al 2019, Schelenz 2016, Lee
444 2011). Although sessile communities show significantly higher resistance to the majority of

445 frequently used antifungals compared to planktonic susceptibilities (Kean and Ramage 2019),
446 the efficacy of such antifungal agents can be enhanced using adjuvants such as farnesol (Nagy
447 et al. 2019). A clear synergy between the tested triazoles and farnesol against *C. auris*
448 biofilms was demonstrated, similarly to the combinations of echinocandins and farnesol
449 (Nagy et al. 2019). Farnesol modulates the expression of genes linked to ergosterol
450 biosynthesis, which may explain the synergy of this compound with triazoles (Yu et al. 2012).
451 Although the *in vitro* effect of farnesol is well known especially against *C. albicans*, its *in*
452 *vivo* role remains controversial and raises several questions. Navarathna et al. (2007) showed
453 that exogenous farnesol (20 mM/mouse) can enhance the pathogenicity of *C. albicans*,
454 increasing the mortality in a murine model of systemic candidiasis. In contrast, Hisajima et al.
455 (2008) observed a farnesol-induced protective effect (at a dose 9 μ M/mouse) in *C. albicans*-
456 associated oropharyngeal candidiasis. Although Boz o et al. (2016) revealed that farnesol
457 alone is not protective in a murine vulvovaginitis model (150-300 μ M/mouse), it did enhance
458 the fluconazole activity against a fluconazole-resistant *C. albicans* isolate. In addition,
459 chitosan nanoparticles containing miconazole and farnesol also inhibited fungal proliferation
460 in a mouse vulvovaginitis model at ≥ 240 μ M (Fernandes et al. 2019). To the best of our
461 knowledge, there is no reported data concerning the *in vivo* activity of farnesol against non-
462 *albicans Candida* species. In this study, daily farnesol treatment decreased the *C. auris* fungal
463 burden in mouse kidneys regardless of previous farnesol exposure of the inoculum. In
464 addition, in the case of inocula pre-exposed to farnesol, the reduction of fungal cell numbers
465 was statistically significant, which is concordant with our *in vitro* growth-related results. The
466 antifungal activity observed may be explained by the elevated levels of reactive species
467 previously measured *in vitro*, which could not be detected in equivalent experiments with *C.*
468 *albicans*. Furthermore, the amphiphilic properties of farnesol allows for its integration into
469 cell membranes, affecting membrane fluidity and integrity (Bringmann et al. 2000; Funari et
470 al. 2005; Jabra-Rizk et al. 2006; Scheper et al. 2008). Farnesol was shown to affect cellular
471 polarization and membrane permeability in *C. parapsilosis* and *C. dubliniensis* (Jabra-Rizk et
472 al. 2006; Rossignol et al. 2007), which may also explain the observed antifungal effect in our
473 study. However, it is noteworthy that the inoculation of farnesol pre-exposed cells without
474 daily farnesol treatment resulted in a more virulent *C. auris* population and increased fungal
475 burden. The 24-hours-long pre-exposure without further continuous treatment of farnesol may
476 influence the expression of virulence determinants or membrane properties similar to
477 fluconazole pre-treatment, which may explain the virulence enhancer effect reported
478 previously (Navarathna et al. 2005).
479 In conclusion, our results clearly demonstrate farnesol-related differences in physiology
480 between *C. albicans* and *C. auris*. Based on our *in vivo* studies, farnesol has a remarkable
481 therapeutic potential against *C. auris*; in addition, it reverses the well-documented resistance
482 to newer triazoles reported for *C. auris* biofilms. However, further genome-wide gene
483 expression analysis with *C. auris* is needed in order that each aspect of farnesol-related effects
484 (e.g.: short-term exposure vs. long-term exposure) can be elucidated.

485

486

487

488 **5. Acknowledgements**

489 Renátó Kovács was supported by the EFOP-3.6.3-VEKOP-16-2017-00009 program. Zoltán
490 Tóth and Fruzsina Nagy were supported by the ÚNKP-19-3 New National Excellence
491 Program of the Ministry of Human Capacities.

492

493

494 **6. Declaration of interest**

495

496 L. Majoros received conference travel grants from Cidara, MSD, Astellas and Pfizer. All
497 other authors report no conflicts of interest.

498

499

500 **7. References**

501
502 Abastabar M, Haghani I, Ahangarkani F, et al. *Candida auris* otomycosis in Iran and review
503 of recent literature. *Mycoses*. 2019;62(2):101–105. doi:10.1111/myc.12886

504
505 Agustín MDR, Viceconte FR, Vela Gurovic MS, Costantino A, Brugnoli LI. Effect of
506 quorum sensing molecules and natamycin on biofilms of *Candida tropicalis* and other yeasts
507 isolated from industrial juice filtration membranes. *J Appl Microbiol*. 2019;126(6):1808–
508 1820. doi:10.1111/jam.14248

509
510 Andes D, Diekema DJ, Pfaller MA, Bohrmuller J, Marchillo K, Lepak A. *In vivo* comparison
511 of the pharmacodynamic targets for echinocandin drugs against *Candida* species. *Antimicrob*
512 *Agents Chemother*. 2010;54(6):2497–2506. doi:10.1128/AAC.01584-09

513
514 Belkin A, Gazit Z, Keller N, et al. *Candida auris* Infection Leading to Nosocomial
515 Transmission, Israel, 2017. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2018;24(4):801–804.
516 doi:10.3201/eid2404.171715

517
518 Berridge MV, Herst PM, Tan AS. Tetrazolium dyes as tools in cell biology: new insights into
519 their cellular reduction. *Biotechnol Annu Rev*. 2005;11:127–152. doi:10.1016/S1387-
520 2656(05)11004-7

521
522 Bidaud AL, Botterel F, Chowdhary A, Dannaoui E. *In vitro* antifungal combination of
523 flucytosine with amphotericin B, voriconazole, or micafungin against *Candida auris* shows
524 no antagonism [published online ahead of print, 2019 Oct 7]. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*.
525 2019;63(12):e01393-19.

526
527 Borman AM, Szekely A, Johnson EM. Comparative Pathogenicity of United Kingdom
528 Isolates of the Emerging Pathogen *Candida auris* and Other Key Pathogenic *Candida*
529 Species. *mSphere*. 2016;1(4):e00189-16. Published 2016 Aug 18.
530 doi:10.1128/mSphere.00189-16

531
532 Borman AM, Szekely A, Johnson EM. Isolates of the emerging pathogen *Candia auris*
533 present in the UK have several geographic origins. *Med. Mycol*. 2017; 55: 563-567.
534 doi:10.1093/mmy/myw147

535
536 Bozó A, Domán M, Majoros L, Kardos G, Varga I, Kovács R. The *in vitro* and *in vivo*
537 efficacy of fluconazole in combination with farnesol against *Candida albicans* isolates using a
538 murine vulvovaginitis model. *J Microbiol*. 2016;54(11):753–760. doi:10.1007/s12275-016-
539 6298-y

540
541 Casadevall A, Kontoyiannis DP, Robert V. On the Emergence of *Candida auris*: Climate
542 Change, Azoles, Swamps, and Birds. *mBio*. 2019;10(4):e01397-19. Published 2019 Jul 23.
543 doi:10.1128/mBio.01397-19

544
545 Centers for Disease control and Prevention. Tracking *Candida auris*.
546 <https://www.cdc.gov/fungal/candida-auris/tracking-c-auris.html>. [Accessed 31 December
547 2019].

548
549 Centers for Disease control and Prevention. Antifungal Susceptibility Testing and

550 Interpretation. <https://www.cdc.gov/fungal/candida-auris/c-auris-antifungal.html> [Accessed 2
551 January 2020]
552

553 Chow NA, de Groot T, Badali H, Abastabar M, Chiller TM, Meis JF. Potential Fifth Clade of
554 *Candida auris*, Iran, 2018. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2019;25(9):1780–1781.
555 doi:10.3201/eid2509.190686
556

557 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal
558 susceptibility testing of yeasts. Approved standard, 3rd ed. M27-A3. CLSI, Wayne, PA, USA,
559 2008.
560

561 Davis-Hanna A, Piispanen AE, Stateva LI, Hogan DA. Farnesol and dodecanol effects on the
562 *Candida albicans* Ras1-cAMP signalling pathway and the regulation of morphogenesis. *Mol*
563 *Microbiol.* 2008;67(1):47–62. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.06013.x
564

565 Day AM, McNiff MM, da Silva Dantas A, Gow NAR, Quinn J. Hog1 Regulates Stress
566 Tolerance and Virulence in the Emerging Fungal Pathogen *Candida auris*. *mSphere.*
567 2018;3(5):e00506-18. Published 2018 Oct 24. doi:10.1128/mSphere.00506-18
568

569 Deveau A, Piispanen AE, Jackson AA, Hogan DA. Farnesol induces hydrogen peroxide
570 resistance in *Candida albicans* yeast by inhibiting the Ras-cyclic AMP signaling pathway.
571 *Eukaryot Cell.* 2010;9(4):569–577. doi:10.1128/EC.00321-09
572

573 Dewaele K, Frans J, Smismans A, Ho E, Tollens T, Lagrou K. First case of *Candida auris*
574 infection in Belgium in a surgical patient from Kuwait [published online ahead of print, 2018
575 Dec 4]. *Acta Clin Belg.* 2018;1–8. doi:10.1080/17843286.2018.1555114
576

577 Dudiuk C, Berrio I, Leonardelli F, et al. Antifungal activity and killing kinetics of
578 anidulafungin, caspofungin and amphotericin B against *Candida auris*. *J Antimicrob*
579 *Chemother.* 2019;74(8):2295–2302. doi:10.1093/jac/dkz178
580

581 European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. *Candida auris* in healthcare settings
582 Europe. [https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/documents/RRA-Candida-auris-European-](https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/documents/RRA-Candida-auris-European-Union-countries.pdf)
583 [Union-countries.pdf](https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/documents/RRA-Candida-auris-European-Union-countries.pdf). [Accessed 5 November 2018].
584

585 Eyre DW, Sheppard AE, Madder H, et al. A *Candida auris* Outbreak and Its Control in an
586 Intensive Care Setting. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379(14):1322–1331.
587 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1714373
588

589 Fakhim H, Chowdhary A, Prakash A, et al. In Vitro Interactions of Echinocandins with
590 Triazoles against Multidrug-Resistant *Candida auris*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.*
591 2017;61(11):e01056-17. Published 2017 Oct 24. doi:10.1128/AAC.01056-17
592

593 Fakhim H, Vaezi A, Dannaoui E, et al. Comparative virulence of *Candida auris* with *Candida*
594 *haemulonii*, *Candida glabrata* and *Candida albicans* in a murine model. *Mycoses.*
595 2018;61(6):377–382. doi:10.1111/myc.12754
596

597 Fernandes Costa A, Evangelista Araujo D, Santos Cabral M, et al. Development,
598 characterization, and *in vitro-in vivo* evaluation of polymeric nanoparticles containing
599 miconazole and farnesol for treatment of vulvovaginal candidiasis. *Med Mycol.*

600 2019;57(1):52–62. doi:10.1093/mmy/myx155
601
602 Fernandes RA, Monteiro DR, Arias LS, Fernandes GL, Delbem ACB, Barbosa DB. Virulence
603 Factors in *Candida albicans* and *Streptococcus mutans* Biofilms Mediated by Farnesol. Indian
604 J Microbiol. 2018;58(2):138–145. doi:10.1007/s12088-018-0714-4
605
606 Funari SS, Prades J, Escribá PV, Barceló F. Farnesol and geranylgeraniol modulate the
607 structural properties of phosphatidylethanolamine model membranes. Mol Membr Biol.
608 2005;22(4):303–311. doi:10.1080/09687860500135411
609
610 Hawser S. Adhesion of different *Candida* spp. to plastic: XTT formazan determinations. J
611 Med Vet Mycol. 1996;34(6):407–410.
612
613 Henriques M, Martins M, Azeredo J, Oliveira R. Effect of farnesol on *Candida dubliniensis*
614 morphogenesis. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2007;44(2):199–205. doi:10.1111/j.1472-
615 765X.2006.02044.x
616
617 Hisajima T, Maruyama N, Tanabe Y, et al. Protective effects of farnesol against oral
618 candidiasis in mice. Microbiol Immunol. 2008;52(7):327–333. doi:10.1111/j.1348-
619 0421.2008.00044.x
620
621 Hornby JM, Jensen EC, Lisec AD, et al. Quorum sensing in the dimorphic fungus *Candida*
622 *albicans* is mediated by farnesol. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2001;67(7):2982–2992.
623 doi:10.1128/AEM.67.7.2982-2992.2001
624
625 Jabra-Rizk MA, Shirtliff M, James C, Meiller T. Effect of farnesol on *Candida dubliniensis*
626 biofilm formation and fluconazole resistance. FEMS Yeast Res. 2006;6(7):1063–1073.
627 doi:10.1111/j.1567-1364.2006.00121.x
628
629 Jakab Á, Emri T, Sipos L, et al. Betamethasone augments the antifungal effect of menadione--
630 towards a novel anti-*Candida albicans* combination therapy. J Basic Microbiol.
631 2015;55(8):973–981. doi:10.1002/jobm.201400903
632
633 Jakab Á, Tóth Z, Nagy F, et al. Physiological and Transcriptional Responses of *Candida*
634 *parapsilosis* to Exogenous Tyrosol. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2019;85(20):e01388-19.
635 Published 2019 Oct 1. doi:10.1128/AEM.01388-19
636
637 Jeffery-Smith A, Taori SK, Schelenz S, et al. *Candida auris*: a Review of the Literature. Clin
638 Microbiol Rev. 2017;31(1):e00029-17. Published 2017 Nov 15. doi:10.1128/CMR.00029-17
639
640 Kantarcioglu AS, Yücel A. Phospholipase and protease activities in clinical *Candida* isolates
641 with reference to the sources of strains. Mycoses. 2002;45(5-6):160–165. doi:10.1046/j.1439-
642 0507.2002.00727.x
643
644 Katragkou A, McCarthy M, Alexander EL, et al. In vitro interactions between farnesol and
645 fluconazole, amphotericin B or micafungin against *Candida albicans* biofilms. J Antimicrob
646 Chemother. 2015;70(2):470–478. doi:10.1093/jac/dku374
647
648 Kean R, Brown J, Gulmez D, Ware A, Ramage G. *Candida auris*: A Decade of
649 Understanding of an Enigmatic Pathogenic Yeast. J Fungi (Basel). 2020;6(1):E30. Published

650 2020 Feb 26. doi:10.3390/jof6010030

651

652 Kean R, Ramage G. Combined Antifungal Resistance and Biofilm Tolerance: the Global
653 Threat of *Candida auris*. mSphere. 2019;4(4):e00458-19. Published 2019 Jul 31.
654 doi:10.1128/mSphere.00458-19

655

656 Kovács R, Bozó A, Gesztelyi R, et al. Effect of caspofungin and micafungin in combination
657 with farnesol against *Candida parapsilosis* biofilms. Int J Antimicrob Agents.
658 2016;47(4):304–310. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.01.007

659

660 Kovács R, Gesztelyi R, Berényi R, et al. Killing rates exerted by caspofungin in 50 % serum
661 and its correlation with in vivo efficacy in a neutropenic murine model against *Candida krusei*
662 and *Candida inconspicua*. J Med Microbiol. 2014;63(Pt 2):186–194.
663 doi:10.1099/jmm.0.066381-0

664

665 Kovács R, Holzknacht J, Hargitai Z, et al. In Vivo Applicability of *Neosartorya fischeri*
666 Antifungal Protein 2 (NFAP2) in Treatment of Vulvovaginal Candidiasis. Antimicrob Agents
667 Chemother. 2019;63(2):e01777-18. Published 2019 Jan 29. doi:10.1128/AAC.01777-18

668

669 Kovács R, Saleh Q, Bozó A, et al. Killing Activity of Micafungin Against *Candida albicans*,
670 *C. dubliniensis* and *Candida africana* in the Presence of Human Serum. Mycopathologia.
671 2017;182(11-12):979–987. doi:10.1007/s11046-017-0178-9

672

673 Lee WG, Shin JH, Uh Y, et al. First three reported cases of nosocomial fungemia caused by
674 *Candida auris*. J Clin Microbiol. 2011;49(9):3139–3142. doi:10.1128/JCM.00319-11

675

676 Lockhart SR, Etienne KA, Vallabhaneni S, et al. Simultaneous Emergence of Multidrug-
677 Resistant *Candida auris* on 3 Continents Confirmed by Whole-Genome Sequencing and
678 Epidemiological Analyses [published correction appears in Clin Infect Dis. 2018 Aug
679 31;67(6):987]. Clin Infect Dis. 2017;64(2):134–140. doi:10.1093/cid/ciw691

680

681 Mehmood A, Liu G, Wang X, Meng G, Wang C, Liu Y. Fungal Quorum-Sensing Molecules
682 and Inhibitors with Potential Antifungal Activity: A Review. Molecules. 2019;24(10):1950.
683 Published 2019 May 21. doi:10.3390/molecules24101950

684

685 Meletiadis J, Verweij PE, TeDorsthorst DT, Meis JF, Mouton JW. Assessing *in vitro*
686 combinations of antifungal drugs against yeasts and filamentous fungi: comparison of
687 different drug interaction models. Med Mycol. 2005;43(2):133–152.
688 doi:10.1080/13693780410001731547

689

690 Monteiro DR, Arias LS, Fernandes RA, et al. Antifungal activity of tyrosol and farnesol used
691 in combination against *Candida* species in the planktonic state or forming biofilms. J Appl
692 Microbiol. 2017;123(2):392–400. doi:10.1111/jam.13513

693

694 Nagy F, Tóth Z, Daróczi L, et al. Farnesol increases the activity of echinocandins against
695 *Candida auris* biofilms [published online ahead of print, 2019 May 25]. Med Mycol.
696 2019;myz057. doi:10.1093/mmy/myz057

697

698 Navarathna DH, Hornby JM, Hoerrmann N, Parkhurst AM, Duhamel GE, Nickerson KW.
699 Enhanced pathogenicity of *Candida albicans* pre-treated with subinhibitory concentrations of

700 fluconazole in a mouse model of disseminated candidiasis. *J Antimicrob Chemother.*
701 2005;56(6):1156–1159. doi:10.1093/jac/dki383
702

703 Navarathna DH, Hornby JM, Krishnan N, Parkhurst A, Duhamel GE, Nickerson KW. Effect
704 of farnesol on a mouse model of systemic candidiasis, determined by use of a DPP3 knockout
705 mutant of *Candida albicans*. *Infect Immun.* 2007;75(4):1609–1618. doi:10.1128/IAI.01182-
706 06
707

708 Ostrowsky B, Greenko J, Adams E, et al. *Candida auris* Isolates Resistant to Three Classes of
709 Antifungal Medications - New York, 2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;69(1):6–9.
710 Published 2020 Jan 10. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6901a2
711

712 Pierce CG, Uppuluri P, Tristan AR, et al. A simple and reproducible 96-well plate-based
713 method for the formation of fungal biofilms and its application to antifungal susceptibility
714 testing. *Nat Protoc.* 2008;3(9):1494–1500. doi:10.1038/nport.2008.141
715

716 Price MF, Wilkinson ID, Gentry LO. 1982. Plate method for detection of phospholipase
717 activity in *Candida albicans*. *Sabouraudia* 20:7–14.
718 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00362178285380031>.
719

720 Pupim ACE, Campois TG, Araújo EJA, Svidizinski TIE, Felipe I. Infection and tissue repair
721 of experimental cutaneous candidiasis in diabetic mice. *J Med Microbiol.* 2017;66(6):808–
722 815. doi:10.1099/jmm.0.000496
723

724 Roemer T, Krysan DJ. Antifungal drug development: challenges, unmet clinical needs, and
725 new approaches. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med.* 2014;4(5):a019703. Published 2014 May 1.
726 doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a019703
727

728 Romera D, Aguilera-Correa JJ, Gadea I, Viñuela-Sandoval L, García-Rodríguez J, Esteban J.
729 *Candida auris*: a comparison between planktonic and biofilm susceptibility to antifungal
730 drugs. *J Med Microbiol.* 2019;68(9):1353–1358. doi:10.1099/jmm.0.001036
731

732 Rossato L, Colombo AL. *Candida auris*: What Have We Learned About Its Mechanisms of
733 Pathogenicity?. *Front Microbiol.* 2018;9:3081. Published 2018 Dec 12.
734 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.03081
735

736 Rossignol T, Logue ME, Reynolds K, Grenon M, Lowndes NF, Butler G. Transcriptional
737 response of *Candida parapsilosis* following exposure to farnesol [published correction
738 appears in *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2008 Jun;52(6):2296]. *Antimicrob Agents*
739 *Chemother.* 2007;51(7):2304–2312. doi:10.1128/AAC.01438.06
740

741 **Sarma S, Upadhyay S. Current perspective on emergence, diagnosis and drug resistance in**
742 ***Candida auris*. *Infect Drug Resist.* 2017;10:155–165. Published 2017 Jun 7.**
743 **doi:10.2147/IDR.S116229**
744

745 Schaller M, Borelli C, Korting HC, Hube B. Hydrolytic enzymes as virulence factors of
746 *Candida albicans*. *Mycoses.* 2005;48(6):365–377. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0507.2005.01165.x
747

748 Schelenz S, Hagen F, Rhodes JL, et al. First hospital outbreak of the globally emerging
749 *Candida auris* in a European hospital. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* 2016;5:35. Published

750 2016 Oct 19. doi:10.1186/s13756-016-0132-5
751
752 Scheper MA, Shirtliff ME, Meiller TF, Peters BM, Jabra-Rizk MA. Farnesol, a fungal
753 quorum-sensing molecule triggers apoptosis in human oral squamous carcinoma cells.
754 Neoplasia. 2008;10(9):954–963. doi:10.1593/neo.08444
755
756 Scorzoni L, de Paula E Silva AC, Marcos CM, et al. Antifungal Therapy: New Advances in
757 the Understanding and Treatment of Mycosis. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:36. Published 2017
758 Jan 23. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.00036
759
760 Semreen MH, Soliman SSM, Saeed BQ, Alqarihi A, Uppuluri P, Ibrahim AS. Metabolic
761 Profiling of *Candida auris*, a Newly-Emerging Multi-Drug Resistant *Candida* Species, by
762 GC-MS. Molecules. 2019;24(3):399. Published 2019 Jan 22. doi:10.3390/molecules24030399
763
764 Taori SK, Khonyongwa K, Hayden I, et al. *Candida auris* outbreak: Mortality, interventions
765 and cost of sustaining control. J Infect. 2019;79(6):601–611. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2019.09.007
766
767 Vallabhaneni S, Kallen A, Tsay S, et al. Investigation of the First Seven Reported Cases of
768 *Candida auris*, a Globally Emerging Invasive, Multidrug-Resistant Fungus-United States,
769 May 2013-August 2016. Am J Transplant. 2017;17(1):296–299. doi:10.1111/ajt.14121
770
771 Wall G, Chaturvedi AK, Wormley FL Jr, et al. Screening a Repurposing Library for Inhibitors
772 of Multidrug-Resistant *Candida auris* Identifies Ebselen as a Repositionable Candidate for
773 Antifungal Drug Development. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2018;62(10):e01084-18.
774 Published 2018 Sep 24.
775
776 Weber K, Schulz B, Ruhnke M. The quorum-sensing molecule E,E-farnesol--its variable
777 secretion and its impact on the growth and metabolism of *Candida* species. Yeast.
778 2010;27(9):727–739. doi:10.1002/yea.1769
779
780 Weber K, Sohr R, Schulz B, Fleischhacker M, Ruhnke M. Secretion of E,E-farnesol and
781 biofilm formation in eight different *Candida* species [published correction appears in
782 Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009 Feb;53(2):848]. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.
783 2008;52(5):1859–1861. doi:10.1128/AAC.01646-07
784
785 Yu LH, Wei X, Ma M, Chen XJ, Xu SB. Possible inhibitory molecular mechanism of farnesol
786 on the development of fluconazole resistance in *Candida albicans* biofilm. Antimicrob
787 Agents Chemother. 2012;56(2):770–775. doi:10.1128/AAC.05290-11
788

789 **Table 1** Farnesol-induced oxidative stress response in *C. auris* and *C. albicans*
 790
 791

Oxidative stress related parameter	Untreated cultures		Farnesol-exposed cultures	
	<i>C. auris</i>	<i>C. albicans</i>	<i>C. auris</i>	<i>C. albicans</i>
Catalase [kat (kg protein) ⁻¹]	1.41 ± 0.03	0.60±0.07	1.56±0.09	0.48±0.07
SOD [munit (mg protein) ⁻¹]	85.69±5.42	78.13±4.51	170.11±17.37***	81.41±6.12
DCF [nmol DCF (OD ₆₄₀) ⁻¹]	3.96±0.89	9.69±1.01	23.54±4.51***	11.45±1.15

792
 793 Mean ± standard deviation values calculated from three independent experiments are
 794 presented.

795
 796 *** Significant differences at $p < 0.001$, as calculated by the paired Student's *t*-test compared to
 797 untreated control and farnesol-treated cultures for *C. auris*.

798 **Table 2** Minimum inhibitory concentration of fluconazole (FLU), voriconazole (VOR),
799 itraconazole (ITRA), posaconazole (POSA) and isavuconazole (ISA) alone and in
800 combination with farnesol (FAR) against *C. auris* (10, 12 and 27) and *C. albicans* SC5314
801 biofilms (sMIC). Furthermore, *in vitro* interactions by fractional inhibitory concentration
802 index (FICI) determination of fluconazole, voriconazole, itraconazole, posaconazole and
803 isavuconazole in combination with farnesol against *C. auris* and *C. albicans* biofilms. Median
804 MIC values and FICI values from three independent experiments are presented.

Isolates	Median sMIC values				Interaction analysis	
	sMIC alone		sMIC in combination		Median FICI	Type of interaction
	FLU (mg/L)	FAR (µM)	FLU (mg/L)	FAR (µM)		
10	>512 ^a	300	64	75	0.375	Synergy
12	>512 ^a	300	64	75	0.35	Synergy
27	>512 ^a	300	64	75	0.375	Synergy
SC5314	>512 ^a	150	64	75	0.56	Indifferent
	VOR (mg/L)	FAR (µM)	VOR (mg/L)	FAR (µM)		
10	64	150	0.5	4.69	0.093	Synergy
12	64	300	0.5	4.69	0.061	Synergy
27	64	300	0.5	9.38	0.038	Synergy
SC5314	16	150	1	4.69	0.09	Synergy
	ITRA (mg/L)	FAR (µM)	ITRA (mg/L)	FAR (µM)		
10	16	300	0.5	4.69	0.155	Synergy
12	32	300	0.5	9.375	0.140	Synergy
27	16	300	0.5	9.375	0.123	Synergy
SC5314	8	150	0.5	4.69	0.187	Synergy
	POSA (mg/L)	FAR (µM)	POSA (mg/L)	FAR (µM)		
10	16	150	0.25	2.34	0.062	Synergy
12	16	150	0.25	2.34	0.062	Synergy
27	16	150	0.25	2.34	0.062	Synergy
SC5314	2	150	0.25	4.69	0.28	Synergy
	ISA (mg/L)	FAR (µM)	ISA (mg/L)	FAR (µM)		
10	4	300	0.125	9.38	0.091	Synergy
12	8	300	0.125	18.75	0.062	Synergy
27	4	300	0.125	9.38	0.091	Synergy
SC5314	8	150	0.5	4.69	0.28	Synergy

839 ^a MIC is off-scale at >512 mg/l, 1024 mg/l (one dilution higher than the highest tested
840 concentration) was used for analysis

841
842
843

844 **Figure 1**
845 Time-kill curves of farnesol against *C. auris* (A and B) and *C. albicans* (C and D) isolates in
846 RPMI-1640 for farnesol unexposed (A and C) and farnesol pre-exposed (B and D) cells (75
847 μM), respectively. Each timepoint represents mean \pm SEM (standard error of mean) of cell
848 count derived from isolates.

849
850 **Figure 2**
851 Metabolic activity changes over time in case of biofilm formation in the presence of given
852 farnesol concentrations (10-300 μM) for *C. auris* (A) and *C. albicans* (D), respectively.
853 Metabolic activity changes over time in case of biofilm formation by farnesol pre-exposed
854 cells (75 μM) in the presence of given farnesol concentrations (10-300 μM) for *C. auris* (B)
855 and *C. albicans* (E), respectively. Metabolic activity changes over time for one-day-old
856 preformed biofilms in the presence of given farnesol concentrations (10-300 μM) for *C. auris*
857 (C) and *C. albicans* (F), respectively. Each time-point represents mean \pm SEM (standard error
858 of mean) of metabolic activity of clinical isolates (three independent experiments per isolate).
859

860 **Figure 3**
861 The kidney burden of *C. auris* in a systemically infected mouse model. The bars represent the
862 means \pm SEM (standard error of mean) of kidney tissue burdens of BALB/c mice. Significant
863 differences between CFU numbers were determined based on comparison with the untreated
864 controls. Levels of significant differences are indicated (** $p < 0.01$). Histological changes in
865 kidney tissue from mice suffering from systemic candidiasis with or without farnesol
866 treatment in the presence or absence of farnesol pre-exposure were examined by Periodic
867 acid-Schiff staining.
868

869 **Figure 4**
870 The kidney burden of *C. albicans* in a systemically infected mouse model. The bars represent
871 the means \pm SEM (standard error of mean) of kidney tissue burdens of BALB/c mice.
872 Significant differences between CFU numbers were determined based on comparison with the
873 untreated controls. Histological changes in kidney tissue from mice suffering from systemic
874 candidiasis with or without farnesol treatment in the presence or absence of farnesol pre-
875 exposure were examined by Periodic acid-Schiff staining.