

Biological Conservation

Supports open access

Latest issue All issues

Search in this journal

Volume 241

January 2020

➡ Download full issue

Previous vol/issue

Next vol/issue >

Receive an update when the latest issues in this journal are published

Sign in to set up alerts

Full text access
Editorial Board
Article 108443

🕁 Download PDF

Regular Papers

Research article Full text access Benefits and pitfalls of captive conservation genetic management: Evaluating diversity in scimitar-horned oryx to support reintroduction planning Rob Ogden, Justin Chuven, Tania Gilbert, Caroline Hosking, ... Helen Senn Article 108244

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

The influence of artificial light at night and polarized light on bird-building collisions Sirena Lao, Bruce A. Robertson, Abigail W. Anderson, Robert B. Blair, ... Scott R. Loss Article 108358

 \checkmark Download PDF Article preview \checkmark

Evidence from eleven countries in four continents suggests that protected areas are not associated with higher poverty

rates

Christos Mammides

Article 108353

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Pesticides in the real world: The consequences of GMO-based intensive agriculture on native amphibians M. Gabriela Agostini, Ignacio Roesler, Carlos Bonetto, Alicia E. Ronco, David Bilenca Article 108355

ightarrow Download PDF ightarrow Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Bees and pesticide regulation: Lessons from the neonicotinoid experience Fabio Sgolastra, Piotr Medrzycki, Laura Bortolotti, Stefano Maini, ... Jordi Bosch Article 108356

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access Feral horse activity reduces environmental quality in ecosystems globally David J. Eldridge, Jingyi Ding, Samantha K. Travers Article 108367

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Biological Conservation | Vol 241, January 2020 | ScienceDirect.com

Ponds as insect chimneys: Restoring overgrown farmland ponds benefits birds through elevated productivity of emerging aquatic insects

Jonathan Lewis-Phillips, Stephen J. Brooks, Carl Derek Sayer, Ian R. Patmore, ... Jan C. Axmacher Article 108253

ightarrow Download PDF ightarrow Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Using Rao's quadratic entropy to define environmental heterogeneity priority areas in the European Mediterranean biome Aggeliki Doxa, Poulicos Prastacos

Article 108366

ightarrow Download PDF ightarrow Article preview \checkmark

Research article Open access

```
High land-use intensity in grasslands constrains wild bee species richness in Europe
Johan Ekroos, David Kleijn, Péter Batáry, Matthias Albrecht, ... Henrik G Smith
Article 108255
```

ightarrow Download PDF ightarrow Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Patterns of species richness and endemism of butterflies and day-flying moths in the monsoon tropics of northern

Australia

```
M.F. Braby, M.R. Williams, R.A.M. Coppen, A.A.E. Williams, D.C. Franklin
Article 108357
```

Higher fish biomass inside than outside marine protected areas despite typhoon impacts in a complex reefscape Eva C. McClure, Katherine T. Sievers, Rene A. Abesamis, Andrew S. Hoey, ... Garry R. Russ Article 108354

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Indirect effects of habitat loss via habitat fragmentation: A cross-taxa analysis of forest-dependent species Thomas Püttker, Renato Crouzeilles, Mauricio Almeida-Gomes, Marina Schmoeller, ... Jayme A. Prevedello Article 108368

 \checkmark Download PDF Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Contrasting demographic responses of toad populations to regionally synchronous pathogen (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) dynamics Blake R. Hossack, Robin E. Russell, Rebecca McCaffery Article 108373

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Estimating the density of a globally important tiger (*Panthera tigris*) population: Using simulations to evaluate survey design in Eastern Thailand

Eric Ash, Chris Hallam, Prawatsart Chanteap, Żaneta Kaszta, ... Abishek Harihar Article 108349

Research article Open access Identifying important at-sea areas for seabirds using species distribution models and hotspot mapping Ian R. Cleasby, Ellie Owen, Linda Wilson, Ewan D. Wakefield, ... Mark Bolton Article 108375

▲ Download PDF Article preview ∨

Research article Full text access

Habitat amount versus connectivity: An empirical study of bird responses David B. Lindenmayer, Wade Blanchard, Claire N. Foster, Ben C. Scheele, ... Dan Florance Article 108377

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Open access

Does biodiversity benefit when the logging stops? An analysis of conservation risks and opportunities in active versus inactive logging concessions in Borneo Zuzana Burivalova, Edward T. Game, Bambang Wahyudi, Ruslandi, ... David S. Wilcove Article 108369

ightarrow Download PDF ightarrow Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Optimizing biodiversity gain of European agriculture through regional targeting and adaptive management of conservation tools

Elena D. Concepción, Ina Aneva, Marion Jay, Simeon Lukanov, ... Mario Díaz Article 108384

Plight of the commons: 17 years of wildlife trafficking in Cambodia Sarah Heinrich, Joshua V. Ross, Thomas N.E. Gray, Steven Delean, ... Phillip Cassey Article 108379

ightarrow Download PDF Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Landscape-scale estimation of forest ungulate density and biomass using camera traps: Applying the REST model Yoshihiro Nakashima, Shun Hongo, Etienne François Akomo-Okoue Article 108381

 \checkmark Download PDF Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Human presence and human footprint have non-equivalent effects on wildlife spatiotemporal habitat use Barry A. Nickel, Justin P. Suraci, Maximilian L. Allen, Christopher C. Wilmers Article 108383

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Removal of cattle grazing correlates with increases in vegetation productivity and in abundance of imperiled breeding birds

Sharon A. Poessel, Joan C. Hagar, Patricia K. Haggerty, Todd E. Katzner Article 108378

Assessing protected area overlaps and performance to attain China's new national park system Ruidong Wu, Chaolang Hua, Guangzhi Yu, Jianzhong Ma, ... Haiwei Zhao Article 108382

ightarrow Download PDF Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Defining priorities for global snow leopard conservation landscapes Juan Li, Byron V. Weckworth, Thomas M. McCarthy, Xuchuang Liang, ... Steven R. Beissinger Article 108387

ightarrow Download PDF ightarrow Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Twenty-eight years of decline: Nesting population demographics and trajectory of the north-east Queensland endangered hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) I.P. Bell, J.J. Meager, T. Eguchi, K.A. Dobbs, ... C.A. Madden Hof Article 108376

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access Extinction debt of fishes in Great Lakes coastal wetlands Fielding Montgomery, Scott M. Reid, Nicholas E. Mandrak Article 108386

Livestock guarding dogs enable human-carnivore coexistence: First evidence of equivalent carnivore occupancy on guarded and unguarded farms

Katie Spencer, Melissa Sambrook, Samantha Bremner-Harrison, Deon Cilliers, ... Katherine Whitehouse-Tedd Article 108256

 \checkmark Download PDF Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Facilitated adaptation for conservation – Can gene editing save Hawaii's endangered birds from climate driven avian malaria? Michael D. Samuel, Wei Liao, Carter T. Atkinson, Dennis A. LaPointe

Article 108390

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Mapping hotspots of potential ecosystem fragility using commonly available spatial data Alexandre Génin, Steven R. Lee, Eric L. Berlow, Steven M. Ostoja, Sonia Kéfi Article 108388

▲ Download PDF Article preview ∨

Research article Full text access

Climate-induced spatial mismatch may intensify giant panda habitat loss and fragmentation Zhenhua Zang, Shuyu Deng, Guofang Ren, Zhixia Zhao, ... Guozhen Shen Article 108392

Integrating multiple data sources and multi-scale land-cover data to model the distribution of a declining amphibian Jonathan P. Rose, Brian J. Halstead, Robert N. Fisher Article 108374

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access Poaching impedes the selection of optimal post-fire forage in three large grazing herbivores Christopher F. Brooke, Daniel Fortin, Tineke Kraaij, Hervé Fritz, ... Jan A. Venter Article 108393

 \checkmark Download PDF Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Informal forest product harvesting in the Eastern Cape, South Africa: A recent assessment Jessica Leaver, Michael I. Cherry Article 108394

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Open access Environmental education outcomes for conservation: A systematic review Nicole M. Ardoin, Alison W. Bowers, Estelle Gaillard Article 108224

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Spatio-temporal dynamics of consumer demand driving the Asian Songbird Crisis Harry Marshall, Nigel J. Collar, Alexander C. Lees, Andrew Moss, ... Stuart J. Marsden Article 108237

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Comparing an automated high-definition oblique camera system to rear-seat-observers in a wildlife survey in Tsavo,

Kenya: Taking multi-species aerial counts to the next level

Richard Lamprey, Frank Pope, Shadrack Ngene, Michael Norton-Griffiths, ... Iain Douglas-Hamilton Article 108243

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Response of bat activity to land cover and land use in savannas is scale-, season-, and guild-specific Julie Teresa Shapiro, Ara Monadjem, Timo Röder, Robert A. McCleery Article 108245

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Title: Recruitment is key to understanding amphibian's different population-level responses to chytrid fungus infection Matt West, Charles R. Todd, Graeme R. Gillespie, Michael McCarthy Article 108247

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Reported livestock guarding dog-wildlife interactions: Implications for conservation and animal welfare K. Whitehouse-Tedd, R. Wilkes, C. Stannard, D. Wettlaufer, D. Cilliers Article 108249

 \checkmark Download PDF Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

What are we measuring? A review of metrics used to describe biodiversity in offsets exchanges Erica Marshall, Brendan A. Wintle, Darren Southwell, Heini Kujala Article 108250

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Believed effect - A prerequisite but not a guarantee for acceptance of carnivore management interventions Ann Eklund, Maria Johansson, Anders Flykt, Henrik Andrén, Jens Frank Article 108251

 \checkmark Download PDF Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Landscape of human fear in Neotropical rainforest mammals Calebe P. Mendes, Daiane Carreira, Felipe Pedrosa, Gabrielle Beca, ... Mauro Galetti Article 108257

ightarrow Download PDF ightarrow Article preview \checkmark

```
5/4/2020
```

Biological Conservation | Vol 241, January 2020 | ScienceDirect.com

Habitat islands outside nature reserves – Threatened biodiversity hotspots of grassland specialist plant and arthropod species Balázs Deák, Orsolya Valkó, Dávid D. Nagy, Péter Török, … Béla Tóthmérész Article 108254

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Protected areas as potential refugia for biodiversity under climatic change Jeffrey Haight, Edd Hammill Article 108258

ightarrow Download PDF ightarrow Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Small forest losses degrade stream macroinvertebrate assemblages in the eastern Brazilian Amazon Janaina G. Brito, F.O. Roque, Renato T. Martins, Jorge L. Nessimian, ... Neusa Hamada Article 108263

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Influence of traditional ecological knowledge on conservation of the skywalker hoolock gibbon (Hoolock tianxing) outside

nature reserves

Lu Zhang, Zhenhua Guan, Hanlan Fei, Lu Yan, ... Pengfei Fan Article 108267

Research article Full text access Can invasive species replace native species as a resource for birds under climate change? A case study on bird-fruit interactions Amanda S. Gallinat, Richard B. Primack, Trevor L. Lloyd-Evans Article 108268

业 Download PDF Article preview ✓

Research article Full text access

Automatic standardized processing and identification of tropical bat calls using deep learning approaches Xing Chen, Jun Zhao, Yan-hua Chen, Wei Zhou, Alice C. Hughes Article 108269

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Using completeness and defaunation indices to understand nature reserve's key attributes in preserving medium- and large-bodied mammals Zhixin Wen, Tianlong Cai, Anderson Feijó, Lin Xia, ... Qisen Yang

Article 108273

 \checkmark Download PDF Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

An illuminating idea to reduce bycatch in the Peruvian small-scale gillnet fishery Alessandra Bielli, J. Alfaro-Shigueto, P.D. Doherty, B.J. Godley, ... J.C. Mangel Article 108277

Contextualizing the social-ecological outcomes of coral reef fisheries management Steven M. Johnson, Bertha M. Reyuw, Anthony Yalon, Matthew McLean, Peter Houk Article 108288

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Open access Online sentiment towards iconic species Christoph Fink, Anna Hausmann, Enrico Di Minin Article 108289

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Misinterpretation of Asian savannas as degraded forest can mislead management and conservation policy under climate change

Dushyant Kumar, Mirjam Pfeiffer, Camille Gaillard, Liam Langan, ... Simon Scheiter Article 108293

 \checkmark Download PDF Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Mammal seismic line use varies with restoration: Applying habitat restoration to species at risk conservation in a working landscape

Erin R. Tattersall, Joanna M. Burgar, Jason T. Fisher, A. Cole Burton Article 108295

How anthropomorphism is changing the social context of modern wildlife conservation Michael J. Manfredo, Esmeralda G. Urquiza-Haas, Andrew W. Don Carlos, Jeremy T. Bruskotter, Alia M. Dietsch Article 108297

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Open access Global patterns of forest loss across IUCN categories of protected areas Roxanne Leberger, Isabel M.D. Rosa, Carlos A. Guerra, Florian Wolf, Henrique M. Pereira Article 108299

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Inventory incompleteness and collecting priority on the plant diversity in tropical East Africa Shengwei Wang, Yadong Zhou, Paul Mutuku Musili, Geoffrey Mwachala, ... Qingfeng Wang Article 108313

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

When the winners are the losers: Invasive alien bird species outcompete the native winners in the biotic homogenization

process

Agathe Colléony, Assaf Shwartz Article 108314

ightarrow Download PDF ightarrow Article preview \checkmark

Population survey showing hope for population recovery of the critically endangered Yangtze finless porpoise Jie Huang, Zhigang Mei, Mao Chen, Yi Han, ... Ding Wang Article 108315

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access The effects of wildlife tourism provisioning on non-target species Lauren Meyer, Sasha K. Whitmarsh, Peter D. Nichols, Andrew T. Revill, Charlie Huveneers Article 108317

ightarrow Download PDF ightarrow Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Preserving the demographic and genetic integrity of a single source population during multiple translocations C.J. Hogg, E.A. McLennan, P. Wise, A.V. Lee, ... C.E. Grueber Article 108318

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Open access Understanding biological and socioeconomic tradeoffs of marine reserve planning via a flexible integer linear programming approach Zack S. Oyafuso, PingSun Leung, Erik C. Franklin Article 108319

Research article Full text access Improving recovery planning for threatened species through Bayesian belief networks Alejandro Ortega-Argueta Article 108320

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Floodplain meadow restoration revisited: Long-term success of large scale application of diaspore transfer with plant material in restoration practice S. Harvolk-Schöning, D. Michalska-Hejduk, M. Harnisch, A. Otte, T.W. Donath Article 108322

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access Turtle biogeography: Global regionalization and conservation priorities Joshua R. Ennen, Mickey Agha, Sarah C. Sweat, Wilfredo A. Matamoros, ... Christopher W. Hoagstrom Article 108323

ightarrow Download PDF ightarrow Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Combining spatial prioritization and expert knowledge facilitates effectiveness of large-scale mire protection process in

Finland

S. Kareksela, K. Aapala, A. Alanen, T. Haapalehto, ... R. Virkkala Article 108324

Apex predators decline after an influx of pastoralists in former Central African Republic hunting zones Thierry Aebischer, Tidjani Ibrahim, Raffael Hickisch, Roman D Furrer, ... Daniel Wegmann Article 108326

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Dynamics of ground-dwelling arthropod metacommunities in intermittent streams: The key role of dry riverbeds María Mar Sánchez-Montoya, Klement Tockner, Daniel von Schiller, Jesús Miñano, ... Albert Ruhi Article 108328

ightarrow Download PDF ightarrow Article preview \checkmark

Research article Full text access

Defaunation of large mammals alters understory vegetation and functional importance of invertebrates in an Afrotropical forest

Therese Lamperty, Kai Zhu, John R. Poulsen, Amy E. Dunham Article 108329

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Bats in urbanising landscapes: habitat selection and recommendations for a sustainable future Fabrizio Gili, Stuart E. Newson, Simon Gillings, Dan E. Chamberlain, Jennifier A. Border Article 108343

Heterogeneity in the allocation of reintroduction efforts among terrestrial mammals in Europe Charles Thévenin, Aïssa Morin, Christian Kerbiriou, François Sarrazin, Alexandre Robert Article 108346

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🥆

Research article Full text access

Using survival theory models to quantify extinctions Colin J. Thompson, Saritha Kodikara, Mark A. Burgman, Haydar Demirhan, Lewi Stone Article 108345

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Open access

Rapid and varied responses of songbirds to climate change in California coniferous forests Brett J. Furnas Article 108347

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Multi-scale habitat selection by Northern Goshawks (*Accipiter gentilis*) in a fire-prone forest Rachel V. Blakey, Rodney B. Siegel, Elisabeth B. Webb, Colin P. Dillingham, ... Dylan C. Kesler Article 108348

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

5/4/2020

Biological Conservation | Vol 241, January 2020 | ScienceDirect.com

Conservation genomics from a practitioner lens: Evaluating the research-implementation gap in a managed freshwater fishery

Andrew N. Kadykalo, Steven J. Cooke, Nathan Young Article 108350

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Research article Full text access

Conservation value of tropical forests: Distance to human settlements matters more than management in Central Africa Simon Lhoest, Davy Fonteyn, Kasso Daïnou, Laetitia Delbeke, ... Adeline Fayolle Article 108351

ightarrow Download PDF ightarrow Article preview \checkmark

Review Articles

Review article Open access

The emperor penguin - Vulnerable to projected rates of warming and sea ice loss Philip N. Trathan, Barbara Wienecke, Christophe Barbraud, Stéphanie Jenouvrier, ... Peter T. Fretwell Article 108216

 \checkmark Download PDF Article preview \checkmark

Review article Full text access

A review of successes, challenges, and lessons from Indigenous protected and conserved areas

Tanya C. Tran, Natalie C. Ban, Jonaki Bhattacharyya Article 108271

Review article Full text access

How urbanization is driving pollinator diversity and pollination – A systematic review Arne Wenzel, Ingo Grass, Vasuki V. Belavadi, Teja Tscharntke Article 108321

ightarrow Download PDF Article preview \checkmark

Perspectives

Mini review Full text access

Envisioning the future with 'compassionate conservation': An ominous projection for native wildlife and biodiversity Alex Callen, Matt W. Hayward, Kaya Klop-Toker, Benjamin L. Allen, ... Wolfgang Wüster Article 108365

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Mini review Full text access

Development perspectives for the application of autonomous, unmanned aerial systems (UASs) in wildlife conservation Pascal Fust, Jacqueline Loos Article 108380

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Mini review Full text access

Use of study design principles would increase the reproducibility of reviews in conservation biology Eliza M. Grames, Chris S. Elphick Article 108385

Mini review Full text access

Should we protect extirpated fish spawning aggregation sites? Iliana Chollett, Mark Priest, Stuart Fulton, William D Heyman Article 108395

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Mini review Full text access

Legal rights and nature's contributions to people: Is there a connection? Simon P. James Article 108325

ightarrow Download PDF ightarrow Article preview \checkmark

Mini review Full text access

Is the insect apocalypse upon us? How to find out

Graham A. Montgomery, Robert R. Dunn, Richard Fox, Eelke Jongejans, ... David L. Wagner Article 108327

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Short Communications

Short communication Full text access Poisoning poached megafauna can boost trade in African vultures Patricia Mateo-Tomás, José Vicente López-Bao Article 108389

 \checkmark Download PDF Article preview \checkmark

Short communication Full text access

Light pollution is a driver of insect declines

Avalon C.S. Owens, Précillia Cochard, Joanna Durrant, Bridgette Farnworth, ... Brett Seymoure Article 108259

▲ Download PDF Article preview ∨

Short communication Full text access Survival and competing mortality risks of mountain lions in a major metropolitan area John F. Benson, Jeff A. Sikich, Seth P.D. Riley Article 108294

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Book Reviews

Book review Full text access Coral Reefs: Tourism, Conservation and Management, B. Prideaux, A. Pabel (Eds.). Routledge Publishing, Taylor & Francis Group (2018), (288 p. ISBN: 978-1-315-53732-0 (ebook)) Ali Reza Radkhah, Soheil Eagderi Article 108403

🛓 Download PDF

Book review Full text access

Abundant Earth: Toward an Ecological Civilization, Eileen Crist. University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, (2019). Susie O'Keeffe Article 108265

▲ Download PDF

Book review Full text access Companions in Conflict: Animals in Occupied Palestine, Penny Johnson (Ed.). Melville House, London and Brooklyn, MA (2019), ISBN: 978-1-61219-743-2. ISBN (ebook): 978-1-61219-744-9 Sharif S. Elmusa Article 108272

🛓 Download PDF

Book review Full text access

Companions in Conflict: Animals in Occupied Palestine, by Penny Johnson, London and Brooklyn, MA: Melville House, 2019. ISBN: 978-1-61219-743-2. ISBN (ebook): 978-1-61219-744-9 Sharif S. Elmusa Article 108344

▲ Download PDF

Editorials

Editorial Full text access New and emerging directions in coral reef conservation Graeme S. Cumming, Morgan S. Pratchett, Georgina G. Gurney Article 108372

🗠 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🗸

Corrigendum

Erratum Full text access

Biological Conservation | Vol 241, January 2020 | ScienceDirect.com

Corrigendum to "Identification skills in biodiversity professionals and laypeople: A gap in species literacy" [Biol. Conserv. 238, October 2019, 108202] Michiel J.D. Hooykaas, Menno Schilthuizen, Cathelijn Aten, Elisabeth M. Hemelaar, ... Ionica Smeets

Article 108371

🛓 Download PDF

Previous vol/issue

ISSN: 0006-3207

Copyright © 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved



About ScienceDirect

Remote access

Shopping cart

Advertise

Contact and support

Terms and conditions

Privacy policy

Next vol/issue >



We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content and ads. By continuing you agree to the **use of cookies**. Copyright © 2020 Elsevier B.V. or its licensors or contributors. ScienceDirect ® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V. ScienceDirect ® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V. Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Biological Conservation

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/biocon

Spatio-temporal dynamics of consumer demand driving the Asian Songbird Crisis



Harry Marshall^{a,*}, Nigel J. Collar^b, Alexander C. Lees^{a,e}, Andrew Moss^c, Pramana Yuda^d, Stuart J. Marsden^a

^a School of Science & the Environment, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester M1 5GD, UK

^b BirdLife International, The David Attenborough Building, Pembroke St, Cambridge CB2 3QZ, UK

² Chester Zoo, Upton-by-Chester, Chester CH2 1LH, UK

^d Fakultas Teknobiologi, Kampus II Gedung Thomas Aquinas, Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta, Jalan Babarsari 44, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia

e Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: Cage-bird Wildlife trade Threatened species Java Indonesia Behavioural change Ownership patterns

ABSTRACT

Many South-East Asian bird species are in rapid decline due to offtake for the cage-bird trade, a phenomenon driven largely by consumption in Indonesia and labelled the 'Asian Songbird Crisis'. Interventions aimed at reducing this offtake require an understanding of the spatial and temporal dynamics of the trade. We surveyed the bird-keeping habits of over 3000 households from 92 urban and rural communities across six provinces on Java, Indonesia, and compared prevalence and patterns of bird-keeping with those from surveys undertaken a decade ago. We estimate that one-third of Java's 36 million households keep 66-84 million cage-birds. Despite over half of all birds owned being non-native species, predominantly lovebirds (Agapornis spp.), the majority of bird-keepers (76%) owned native species. Ownership levels were significantly higher in urban than rural areas, and were particularly high in the eastern provinces of the island. Overall levels of bird ownership have increased over the past decade, and species composition has changed. Notably, lovebirds showed a seven-fold increase in popularity while ownership of genera including groups with globally threatened species such as leafbirds (Chloropsis spp.) and white-eyes (Zosterops spp.) also rose sharply. The volume of some locally threatened birds estimated to be in ownership (e.g., > 3 million White-rumped Shama Kittacincla malabarica) cannot have been supplied from Java's forests and research on supply from other islands and Java's growing commercial breeding industry is a priority. Determining temporal and spatial patterns of ownership is a crucial first step towards finding solutions to this persistent, pervasive and adaptive threat to the regional avifauna.

1. Introduction

Trade in wildlife is a multi-billion-dollar international industry increasingly driven by demand in certain countries for wildlife products from an emerging middle class (Drury, 2009; Davis et al., 2016; Veríssimo and Wan, 2018). Birds are a major component of this trade, identified as a threat to over 3000 wild species, approaching a third of the global avifauna (Butchart, 2008). Impacts of this trade are especially acute in South-East Asia, where > 1000 species of wild birds are traded for various reasons, a level of extraction that has precipitated an 'Asian Songbird Crisis' (Nijman, 2010; Su et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2016; Harris et al., 2017). Indonesia in particular represents a major regional market for cage-birds (Nash, 1993; Nijman, 2010; Chng et al., 2015),

with trade significantly affecting at least 26 globally threatened bird species in Indonesia (BirdLife International, 2019).

Indonesia's most densely populated island, Java, with a population of over 140 million people, is considered the biggest source of demand for cage-birds within the region (Jepson and Ladle, 2005; Eaton et al., 2015). Keeping and breeding songbirds is a common pastime in Indonesia, with deep cultural roots (Jepson and Ladle, 2005). The potential of the trade to affect wild populations is significant: decade-old estimates indicated that across six cities in Java and Bali alone over two million native songbirds were kept as pets, almost a million of which were likely wild-caught (Jepson and Ladle, 2005, 2009). Moreover, in the last three decades keeping birds to enter them in singing contests has become increasingly popular in Indonesia (Jepson, 2008). Market

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.108237

Received 22 May 2019; Received in revised form 28 August 2019; Accepted 30 August 2019 Available online 20 September 2019

^{*} Corresponding author at: Office E401, John Dalton Building, School of Science & the Environment, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester M1 5GD, UK. E-mail addresses: harry.marshall@stu.mmu.ac.uk (H. Marshall), Nigel.Collar@birdlife.org (N.J. Collar), Alexander.Lees@mmu.ac.uk (A.C. Lees), a.moss@chesterzoo.org (A. Moss), pramana.yuda@uajy.ac.id (P. Yuda), S.Marsden@mmu.ac.uk (S.J. Marsden).

^{0006-3207/ © 2019} Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

surveys across Java have found over one hundred native Indonesian species for sale (Profauna, 2009; Chng et al., 2015) and revealed that the supply is now being met from Sumatra, Borneo and Peninsular Malaysia (Harris et al., 2017; Rentschlar et al., 2018). Expansion of the already strong bird-breeding industry in Java has previously been recommended to reduce pressure on wild bird populations (Jepson, 2010; Jepson et al., 2011), yet in recent years the breeding industry has lobbied for the removal of nationally protected status from widespread household species such as White-rumped Shama (*Kittacincla malabarica*) (ASEAN Post, 2018), highlighting the complexities faced in attempting to address the unsustainable offtake of wild birds. Accordingly, despite efforts from one national singing contest accreditation authority to reduce the number of wild-caught birds in their contests (Jepson et al., 2011), wild populations continue to suffer declines due largely to trapping pressure (Harris et al., 2017; Marthy and Farine, 2018; BirdLife International, 2019).

Here we seek to examine the extent and species composition of the cage-bird trade and identify patterns of consumption in all six provinces of Java to assess the scale of the threat trade poses to the regional avifauna. Demand for cage-birds is high across urban areas in Indonesia (Jepson and Ladle, 2009), but there has been little research into birdkeeping in rural communities, which are home to around 50% of the human population (Badan Pusat Statistik, 2010). We therefore investigate differences in the prevalence of bird-keeping in urban and rural communities across Java to determine what broad-scale demographic factors might influence demand for cage-birds. We extrapolate the numbers of households keeping cage-birds and the numbers of birds owned to assess the volume, composition, and patterns in ownership of species kept across the six provinces of Java. Finally, we reveal temporal trends in the extent and composition of the trade by comparing our results with those of surveys conducted a decade ago. The results of this study will both highlight the scale of the threat bird-keeping in Java poses to the regional avifauna and form an evidence base to inform and support future interventions aimed at demand reduction as a mechanism to increase the sustainability of songbird-keeping across South-East Asia.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

We define a cage-bird as a bird kept or sold as a pet in either households or markets (Su et al., 2014; Chng et al., 2015). This definition encompasses passerine songbirds and other birds that can be entered in singing contests such as lovebirds (*Agapornis* spp.), various doves (Columbiformes) although not feral pigeons (Jepson and Ladle, 2005), owls (Strigiformes) (Nijman and Nekaris, 2017), woodpeckers (Piciformes), and cuckoos (Cuculiformes) (Chng et al., 2015). Taxonomy follows del Hoyo and Collar (2014) and del Hoyo and Collar (2016).

We conducted structured household surveys across six provinces on the island of Java, Indonesia (Banten, Daerah Khusus Ibukota [DKI] Jakarta, West Java, Central Java, Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta [DIY] and East Java; Fig. 1). Study locations were chosen using a stratified sampling technique to ensure a representative sample for each province (Newing, 2010). The nested administrative levels of Indonesia are as follows: 1. Province, 2. Regency, 3. District, 4. Community (either a rural village or an urban community), 5. Neighbourhood. The national Indonesian statistics authority (Badan Pusat Statistik, BPS) uses a composite score across a number of factors to define urban and rural areas based on population density, number of households working in agriculture, and the availability of key infrastructure (Badan Pusat Statistik, 2010); we used the 2010 census data on the number and proportion of people living in BPS-defined rural and urban districts (i.e. administrative level 3). Districts were then ranked by the size of their rural populations to create quartiles for each province along a rurality

gradient. Owing to the unavailability of recent data, the population density of urban districts we use (based on 2010 census data) is likely conservative as the values may now be higher due to migration from neighbouring rural communities (UNESCO, 2017), although the broad-scale differences between rural and urban districts will remain relatively constant.

Within each province, two districts were selected randomly from each quartile; within each district two communities were again selected randomly (see Fig. A.1.). In each community, a target number of surveys to be completed proportional to the population size was established (20–40 surveys per community). Communities were divided between teams (2–4 interviewers) by neighbourhoods, which were selected randomly. Research was conducted over two four-month periods between January and October 2018. Over each period research teams, comprising 6–10 trained Indonesian students and the principal investigator (HM), systematically searched assigned neighbourhoods for potential respondents in the first ten homes encountered. Once a neighbourhood had been fully searched or when at least five surveys were completed, another random number was used to find the next neighbourhood within the community until the target number of surveys was met.

Following the Indonesian statistical authority, a 'household' was defined as generally a family unit constituting an adult, spouse, and any children below the age of 18 (further examples in BPS, 2010). We aimed to complete surveys with the head of the household (male or female) if present, or else the most senior family member available. The survey was developed in the final quarter of 2017 and finalized after piloting in early 2018. The questions (see Appendix B) asked by the interviewers fell into three categories: (1) to collect data for household socio-economic and demographic profiles; (2) to determine whether respondents owned birds and, if so, which species, how many of each, and whether they were captive-bred or wild-caught; and (3) to establish their motivations for bird-keeping. Motivations explored in this paper are (a) to enter birds into singing contests and (b) to breed birds on a relatively small scale commercially or as a hobby. Owned birds were shown, or at least visible, to interviewers on > 80% of occasions, and were identified to species level. When birds were not seen, identification was made to genus level based on respondents' use of market names for their birds. Although the majority of songbird species are not protected by Indonesian legislation, the capture, transportation and sale of wildlife across provinces without permits are considered illegal offences, while the keeping of wildlife is not (Chng et al., 2018). Consequently, our questions do not directly relate to perceived illegal behaviour, and we therefore assumed respondents were answering questions about the origins of their birds truthfully, as in other research on songbird keeping in Indonesia (Jepson and Ladle, 2009; Burivalova et al., 2017)

2.2. Ethics statement

Research ethical approval was obtained from the Academic Ethics Committee at Manchester Metropolitan University and the Ethical Review Committee at Chester Zoo. A research permit (427/.A/SIP/ FRP/E5/Dit.KI/II/2018) was obtained for Indonesia from the Indonesian research authority (RISTEKDIKTI) with the named research partner institution being Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta. Prior to data collection, teams gained permission from the head of the neighbourhood, and agreed on stipulations laid out by the local higher administrative level (i.e. community, district or regency). Interviewers obtained prior informed consent from household members. Interview rejection rates were high (around 40%), more so in urban than rural areas and for the team's non-Javanese interviewers. Commonest reasons for rejection were lack of time or suspicion of a burglary plot. The time and date of the survey were recorded before data were collected, along with the name of interviewer; all data were subsequently anonymized.



Fig. 1. Panel a: Study sites (communities) across the six provinces where households were surveyed between January and October 2018; highlighted in purple are densely populated areas and in green are areas of native forest. Panel b: Mean prevalence of households owning at least one native bird species for rural and urban communities across the six provinces of Java. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

2.3. Data analysis

To investigate the role of rurality in determining the prevalence of bird-keeping across Java, the top two quartiles for rurality were grouped together, as were the bottom two, to create a binary category of rural and urban communities. Mean proportions (\pm SE) of surveyed households keeping native and non-native birds were calculated for each urban and rural community within each province. The provinces of Java are commonly divided into two halves based on socio-economic differences between populations: the western provinces of Banten, DKI and West Java have a more ethnically mixed population with a relatively small Sundanese majority, while the eastern provinces of DIY, Central and East Java are overwhelmingly ethnically Javanese (Table A.1.; Na'im and Syaputra, 2010). To examine the broad-scale correlates of bird-keeping households, we fitted two Poisson generalized linear models (GLMs), using R statistical software (R Core Team, 2018), with the proportion of households keeping 1. native, and, 2. non-native birds, within communities as the continuous dependent variables in separate models. The predictor variables included in both models were binomial factors: whether the community was classed as rural or urban; and whether the community was in the eastern or western half of the island.

Overall cage-bird ownership and that of individual taxa (e.g. Whiterumped Shama) were extrapolated to the whole of Java by calculating (a) the mean proportion (\pm SE) of households keeping each taxon across communities for each province, and (b) the mean number (\pm SE) of cage-birds owned per household, and then multiplying (a) by the number of households in each province, and (b) by the estimated number of households keeping those taxa. Taxa were then ranked by the estimated number of birds in households. We summarized the number of individuals of each bird species owned, along with the number of households keeping each species. All data on the number of households were obtained from the 2010 Indonesian Census (Badan Pusat Statistik, 2010). To identify the most common origin for each species, we calculated the proportion of that taxon reported as 'wildcaught' or 'captive-bred', excluding "unknown", summarized by the origin that represented the majority. A similar method to that above, without extrapolation, was also used to calculate the mean percentages of bird-owning respondents citing breeding and contest-going as motivations, and the prevalence of keeping the twelve most abundant taxa. Observed species richness and Chao 1 estimation of richness (Souto et al., 2017) were calculated for communities in each province and for urban or rural areas. As the majority of non-native species observed in this study and others (Burivalova et al., 2017) were bred and sourced in captivity, whereas native species found in markets are often sourced from the wild (Chng et al., 2015, 2018), our diversity measures included only species native to Indonesia so as to understand better how bird-keeping affects wild bird populations.

Data on cage-bird ownership and taxa recorded from households in Jepson and Ladle (2009) were obtained via Oxford University Research Archive (ORA) to examine changes in the prevalence of bird-keeping and the composition of bird taxa owned between 2007 and 2018. The methods employed to collect data in both studies were broadly comparable, but there were some differences regarding sampling strategy

and survey methodology: the data collected in 2007 were restricted to urban locations; and their survey was 'piggybacked' onto other consumer research (see Jepson and Ladle, 2009). As data collected in 2007 were obtained only from a sample of cities in Java and Bali, we used a subset of our data from the same or adjacent urban communities to make the comparison. For the purposes of this study, only data from Jepson and Ladle's (2009) random sample were used. We examined the difference in total proportion of songbird ownership levels between 2007 and 2018, and calculated the projected population size of native and non-native songbirds using the same method and same number of households as reported in Jepson and Ladle (2009). We also compared the percentage of people owning different taxa across the two datasets. In this analysis, to ensure congruency between the taxonomy in both studies, we grouped certain species together from our dataset (e.g. tailorbirds Orthotomus spp., prinias Prinia spp., Alophoixus bulbul spp., tits Parus spp./Java Sparrow Lonchura oryzivora, flycatchers Cyornis spp., and laughingthrushes Garrulax spp.).

3. Results

3.1. Prevalence of bird-keeping

Of 3042 households surveyed in 92 communities across all six provinces (Fig. 1), 958 (31.5%) kept 5967 individual birds belonging to 112 species or species groups (55% non-native and 45% native). Of bird-keeping households, 726 (76%) owned at least one native bird, and 545 (56%) owned a non-native bird. Communities in the eastern provinces of the island (Central Java, DIY, East Java) had significantly higher proportions of households keeping both native (32% vs 15%; p < 0.001) and non-native (23% vs 12%; p = 0.003) birds than those in the western provinces (Banten, DKI, West Java; Fig. 1 and Fig. A.2. for non-native bird ownership). Urban communities had significantly higher proportions of households keeping both native (25% vs 23%; p = 0.034) and especially non-native birds (21% vs 14%; p < 0.001) than rural ones (for the full GLM outputs see Table A.2.).

3.2. Species composition, total volume and extrapolations of ownership

We estimate that $11,973,000 \pm 994,000$ (SE) households kept $74,321,000 \pm 8,490,000$ cage-birds across Java in 2018. This equates to roughly one cage-bird for every two people on the island, or two per household. We estimate that over 30 million lovebirds and around 10 million Island Canaries (Serinus canaria var. domestica) were being kept on Java in 2018, but that there were also huge numbers of some native songbirds, including > 3 million White-rumped Shamas (Kittacincla malabarica) and > 2 million Oriental Magpie-robins (Copsychus saularis; Table 1). Three species and two genera had higher proportions of individuals reported to be wild-caught than captive-bred, and had estimated ownership levels exceeding one million birds (Table 1). Of all (112) species and genera kept, > 12% are listed as threatened or Near Threatened (Appendix C); of taxa with estimated ownership levels exceeding one million birds, Javan Pied Starling (Gracupica jalla) is listed as Critically Endangered and two genera (leafbirds Chloropsis spp. & white-eves Zosterops spp.) include species listed as threatened or Near Threatened (Table 1) on the IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature) Red List of Threatened Species (IUCN, 2019).

3.3. Patterns of bird ownership across Java

We found considerable spatial variation across provinces and gradients of rurality in species composition and abundance, overall taxonomic diversity and motivations for keeping birds (Table 2). The nine most abundant taxa, including eleven species, were doves (Sunda Collared *Streptopelia bitorquata*, Zebra and Eastern Spotted Dove *Spilopelia chinensis*), White-rumped Shama, Oriental Magpie-robin, white-eyes, Yellow-vented Bulbul (*Pycnonotus goiavier*), leafbirds, Javan Pied

	Rank	Species: English name	Species: Scientific name	IUCN status ^a	Number of keepers	Number of birds	Primary source ^b	Estimated number of birds	in households (SE)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	Lovebirds	Agapornis spp.	I	386	2293	NN ^c	33,479,000	(2,957,000)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2	Island Canary	Serinus canaria	I	253	675	NNd	9,702,000	(2,467,000)
4 White-rumped Shama Kitacicla malabarica LC 133 294 CB 3,386,000 (707,000) 5 Budgerigar Melopsitracus undulatus - 34 209 NN 1,694,000 (788,000) 6 Oriental Magpie-robin Copsychus studrats - 34 209 NN 1,694,000 (788,000) 7 White-eyes Zostrops spp - 34 209 NN 1,644,000 (377,000) 8 Vellow-vented Bubul Pycnontus gorier LC 120 208 WC 1,556,000 (317,000) 9 Lathifds Chloropsis spp. - - 92 123 WC 1,566,000 (317,000) 10 Javan Pied Starling Gracupica jalla CR 92 123 WC 1,566,000 (317,000) 11 Sooty-headed Bubul Pycnontus arrigaster LC 55 75 WC 1,001,000 (317,000) 12 Long-tailed Shrike Lamius schach </td <td>e</td> <td>Dove spp.</td> <td>Streptopelia / Spilopelia / Geopelia spp.</td> <td>LC</td> <td>223</td> <td>824</td> <td>CB</td> <td>8,045,000</td> <td>(1, 272, 000)</td>	e	Dove spp.	Streptopelia / Spilopelia / Geopelia spp.	LC	223	824	CB	8,045,000	(1, 272, 000)
5 Budgerigar Melopsitracus undulatus - 34 209 NN 1,694,000 (788,000) 6 Oriental Magpie-robin Copsychus scularis LC 116 186 CB 2,457,000 (371,000) 7 White-eyes Zostrops spp. - 83 174 WC 1,644,000 (477,000) 8 Vellow-vented Bubul Pyctonotus gaiovier LC 120 208 WC 1,644,000 (266,000) 9 Leathick Chlorysis spp. - - 92 123 WC 1,44,000 (21,000) 10 Javan Pied Starling Gracuproptis spp. - - 92 123 WC 1,44,000 (13,000) 11 Sooty-headed Bubul Pycnontus aurgaster LC 55 75 WC 1,028,000 (170,000) 12 Long-tailed Shrike Lamits schach LC 73 81 WC 1,011,000 (54,000)	4	White-rumped Shama	Kittacincla malabarica	LC	133	294	CB	3,386,000	(202,000)
6 Oriental Magpie-robin Copsychus saularis LC 116 186 CB 2,457,000 (371,000) 7 White-eyes Zastrops spp. -c 83 174 WC 1,859,000 (477,000) (473,000) (170,000) (143,000) (143,000) (143,000) (143,000) (143,000) (143,000) (170,000) (170,000) (170,000) (170,000) (170,000) (170,000) (54,000) (170,000) (54,000) (54,000) (54,000) (54,000) (54,000) (54,000) (54,000) (54,000) (54,000) (54,000) (54,000) (54,000) (54,000) (54,000) (54,000) (54,000) (54,000) <td>ß</td> <td>Budgerigar</td> <td>Melopsittacus undulatus</td> <td>I</td> <td>34</td> <td>209</td> <td>NN</td> <td>1,694,000</td> <td>(788,000)</td>	ß	Budgerigar	Melopsittacus undulatus	I	34	209	NN	1,694,000	(788,000)
7 White-eyes Zosterops spp. -c 83 174 WC 1,859,000 (427,000) 8 Yellow-vented Bulbul Pycnonotus goiorier LC 120 208 WC 1,644,000 (206,000) 9 Leathirds Chloropsis spp. -d 92 123 WC 1,596,000 (143,000) 10 Javan Pied Starling Gracupica jalla CR 85 125 CB 1,144,000 (143,000) 11 Sooty-headed Bulbul Pycnonotus aurigaster LC 55 75 WC 1,028,000 (170,000) 12 Long-tailed Shrike Lamius schach LC 73 81 WC 1,011,000 (54,000)	9	Oriental Magpie-robin	Copsychus saularis	LC	116	186	CB	2,457,000	(371,000)
8 Yellow-vented Bulbul Pycnonotus goiavier LC 120 208 WC 1,644,000 (206,000) 9 Leathirds Chloropsis spp. -d 92 123 WC 1,596,000 (211,000) 10 Javan Pied Starling Gracupica jalla CR 85 125 CB 1,144,000 (143,000) 11 Sooty-headed Bulbul Pycnonotus aurigaster LC 55 75 WC 1,028,000 (170,000) 12 Long-tailed Shrike Lamius schach LC 73 81 WC 1,011,000 (54,000)	7	White-eyes	Zosterops spp.	Ŷ	83	174	WC	1,859,000	(427,000)
9 Leathirds Chloropsis spp. -d 92 123 WC 1,596,000 (211,000) 10 Javan Pied Starling <i>Gracupica jalla</i> CR 85 125 CB 1,144,000 (143,000) 11 Sooty-headed Bubul <i>Pycnonotus aurigaster</i> LC 55 75 WC 1,028,000 (170,000) 12 Long-tailed Shrike Lamius schach LC 73 81 WC 1,011,000 (54,000)	8	Yellow-vented Bulbul	Pycnonotus goiavier	LC	120	208	WC	1,644,000	(206,000)
10 Javan Pied Starling Gracupica jalla CR 85 125 CB 1,144,000 (143,000) 11 Sooty-headed Bulbul Pycnonotus aurigaster LC 55 75 WC 1,028,000 (170,000) 12 Long-tailed Shrike Lanius schach LC 73 81 WC 1,011,000 (54,000)	6	Leafbirds	Chloropsis spp.	ዋ	92	123	WC	1,596,000	(211,000)
11 Sooty-headed Bulbul Pycnonotus arrigaster LC 55 75 WC 1,028,000 (170,000) 12 Long-tailed Shrike Lanius schach LC 73 81 WC 1,011,000 (54,000)	10	Javan Pied Starling	Gracupica jalla	CR	85	125	CB	1,144,000	(143,000)
12 Long-tailed Shrike Lanius schech LC 73 81 WC 1,011,000 (54,000)	11	Sooty-headed Bulbul	Pycnonotus aurigaster	LC	55	75	WC	1,028,000	(170,000)
	12	Long-tailed Shrike	Lanius schach	LC	73	81	MC	1,011,000	(54,000)

Primary source represents that most often reported other than 'unknown' for each species; NN: non-native, CB: captive-bred, WC: wild-caught Å

White-eye species: Zosterops patpebrosus (LC), Zosterops montanus (LC), Zosterops atricapilla (LC), Heleia javanica (LC), Zosterops flavus (VU).

Leatbird species: Chloropsis venusta (NT), Chloropsis sonnerati (NU), Chloropsis moluccensis (LC), Chloropsis cyanopogon (NT)

Table 1

Province / Urban				% bird-ke	sepers owning		Speci	es richne.	SS				% bi	rd-keepers o	wning:			
Status	Total ł (% re	oird-keepers spondents)	Native birds	Non- native	To breed	To enter singing	Observed	Expe. Chao1	cted (SE)	Dove spp. ^a	White- rumped	Oriental Magpie-	White- eyes ^b	Yellow- vented	Leafbirds ^c	Javan Pied Starling	Sooty- headed	Long- tailed
	и	%		SUIIO		contests					SIIdIIId	LOUI		Inding			Inama	ayiiic
Banten	77	16.7	67.6	47.9	14.7	29.6	24	31	(9.9)	22.5	13.4	11.6	9.4	0.0	4.1	3.9	3.9	0.9
DKI Jakarta	106	24.3	69.8	68.5	22.5	31.1	37	88	(35.2)	16.9	22.5	14.8	7.5	13.1	12.6	10.6	3.0	2.9
West Java	104	23.2	73.9	50.3	17.3	30.0	29	43	(11.2)	14.3	14.2	12.2	7.3	4.2	4.7	8.3	12.5	1.9
Central Java	212	34.6	79.1	53.8	37.1	21.0	51	53	(2.4)	20.7	12.4	16.9	7.5	17.6	12.2	12.2	7.5	12.3
DI Yogyakarta	232	39.0	82.9	51.0	40.2	19.8	76	66	(12.2)	29.3	12.4	10.7	9.7	20.6	7.3	8.3	4.8	8.0
East Java	227	47.5	75.3	59.7	40.6	29.1	51	99	(10.0)	27.7	13.2	10.0	7.2	6.8	11.1	3.4	3.2	8.4
Urban	602	33.2	73.9	60.1	29.6	30.1	86	102	(8.7)	21.2	15.9	12.6	8.4	12.4	9.1	8.4	4.7	5.3
Rural	356	29.2	77.3	47.6	30.5	20.4	65	93	(15.8)	24.6	12.3	12.9	7.7	8.8	8.6	6.7	6.9	7.5
Overall	958	31.6	75.2	55.3	30.0	26.3	100	127	(13.5)	22.5	14.5	12.7	8.1	11.0	8.9	7.8	5.6	6.2

H. Marshall, et al.

Fable 2

Biological Conservation 241 (2020) 108237

Starling, Sooty-headed Bulbul (*Pycnonotus aurigaster*) and Long-tailed Shrike (*Lanius schach*) (Table 2). Captive breeding of birds was more common in the eastern provinces, while ownership associated with singing contests was more common in the western provinces, and lower in rural areas than in urban areas across all provinces. Estimated total species richness of birds kept was highest in Yogyakarta and Jakarta. Jakarta had the highest levels of non-native bird ownership, but the locally threatened White-rumped Shama, a highly prized favourite of singing competitions, was also especially common (Table 2).

3.4. Decadal changes in ownership

Songbird ownership levels have risen markedly over the last decade in each of the five urban areas sampled in both studies (Table 3), with songbird ownership from our survey being double or treble (in Surabaya) that reported by Jepson and Ladle (2009). Accordingly, there has also been a sharp rise in the projected number of songbirds across all locations, most notably in non-native species such as lovebirds, canaries, and Budgerigars (*Melopsittacus undulatus*). The composition of songbird taxa owned has also changed (Fig. 2): lovebirds have become seven times more prevalent, and white-eyes, Javan Pied Starlings and leafbirds are now far more common. In contrast, Orange-headed Thrush (*Geokichla citrina*), Long-tailed Shrike, and several bulbul species (*Pycnonotus* and *Alophoixus* spp.) have seen dramatic drops in ownership.

4. Discussion

Investigating the broad-scale patterns of the trade is crucial to understand the impact on species and the ecological services they provide, and to inform interventions to reduce this impact either through demand reduction (Olmedo et al., 2018; Veríssimo and Wan, 2018) or supply management (Jepson and Ladle, 2009; Nijman et al., 2018). This study examined the spatial variability and temporal dynamics of consumer demand in Java both to highlight the scale of the threat it poses to the regional avifauna and as an evidence base that can inform future interventions aimed at increasing the sustainability of songbird-keeping in Java.

We estimate that some 66-83 million cage-birds are now kept in captivity on Java-one bird for every two of the island's human population. While the majority of these birds are captive-bred non-native species, the projected number of native songbirds kept in some of Java's largest urban centres has more than trebled over the last decade. Given that < 12,000 km² of Java's forest remains (Prasetyo et al., 2011) and that little of Java's non-forested land remains suitable for many bird species due to both intense land-use management (Higginbottom et al., 2019) and bird-trapping (Ng et al., 2017; Nijman et al., 2018), we suggest that the number of birds held in cages might approach or actually exceed the number of birds left in the wild on the island. The scale of demand for cage-birds has pushed more than a dozen species to the brink of extinction on Java and beyond (BirdLife International, 2019), and many species affected by trade which were once common and widespread, such as Java Sparrow and White-rumped Shama, have now become increasingly difficult to find (Eaton et al., 2015). Even so, despite significant drops in wild bird populations (Harris et al., 2017; Sykes, 2017), bird ownership levels have increased over the past decade.

There was significant variation in multiple bird ownership metrics both across provinces and between urban and rural communities. Overall ownership was higher in Javanese-dominated eastern Java, where both bird-breeding and the keeping of ornamental species such as Yellow-vented Bulbul were much more common. In western Java, bird-keeping was more associated with singing contests, with taxa such as White-rumped Shama and leafbirds more commonly kept. Even more striking were differences between Java's rural populations and its urban centres. Urban communities were more likely to keep birds, and kept a

White-eye species include Zosterops palpebrosus, Z. montanus, Z. atricapilla, Z. flavus, Heleia javanica

Leafbird species include Chloropsis venusta, C. sonnerati, C. moluccensis, C. cyanopogon.

Table 3

The percentage of households in each study location that kept songbird species (including lovebirds and canaries) and the projected number of songbirds kept (both native and non-native species) in 2007 and 2018.

City/province	2007				2018			
	n	% Keeping songbirds	Projected nu	mber of songbirds	n	% Keeping songbirds	Projected nur	nber of songbirds
			Native	Non-native			Native	Non-native
Jakarta / DKI	293	8.9	260,812	94,908	371	22.6	124,621	154,573
Bandung / W. Java	299	8.4	90,718	61,495	194	25.8	980,290	2,074,973
Yogyakarta / DIY	300	14.7	34,124	9177	143	34.3	257,857	705,230
Semarang / C. Java	299	19.1	144,703	61,075	150	35.3	374,494	1,216,178
Surabaya / E. Java	290	20.0	312,974	126,931	125	62.4	912,774	1,899,143
Overall	1481	14.2	843,330	353,586	983	31.9	2,650,036	6,050,098



Fig. 2. Comparison of species/taxon composition between 2007 and 2018, ranked by percent ownership of species/taxon in 2018. Changes in rank across surveys is shown in brackets beside percentage ownership in 2018. Non-native taxa are highlighted in bold. * indicates species that have been matched despite different taxonomic classification between the two datasets. Scientific names of species are in Appendix C.

wider range of species, perhaps reflecting availability of species from Java and other Indonesian islands in their large markets (Chng et al., 2015) and higher disposable incomes (UNESCO, 2017). They also kept a higher proportion of non-native birds such as lovebirds and canaries, and were much more likely to enter singing contests, which may be associated with the larger proportion of rural populations employed in low-wage labour-intensive work than urban ones. Conservation interventions aimed at demand reduction or other behavioural change will need to start with an appreciation of these differences (Challender et al., 2014; Olmedo et al., 2018), focusing on the habits of hobby breeders in the eastern half of the island, and the preferences of singing contest enthusiasts in urban centres in the western half.

A major conservation concern is the decline in ownership of species such as Orange-headed Thrush, Long-tailed Shrike, and some *Pycnonotus* and *Alophoixus* bulbuls. Whether ownership of these taxa has dropped more due to a reduction in availability through declines in wild populations, or something more benign like simple trends in what is fashionable, requires investigation. Previous work found an increase in ownership of *Geokichla* thrush species (including Orange-headed Thrush) between 1999 and 2006 (Jepson and Ladle, 2009) due to their popularity in singing contests, and during the same period they appear to have been trapped to local extinction across Java (Jepson, 2008). Regional trends in ownership of some of these taxa raise the possibility that availability in the wild may be a key factor in predicting presence in captivity, and that demand shifts to more highly abundant taxa when one source dries up (Eaton et al., 2015). These trends highlight how understanding the popularity of species with individual bird-keepers will be key to predicting which species may be targeted as substitutes in future.

Another major concern is the growth over the last decade in ownership of taxa such as leafbirds and white-eyes, both of which, despite growing fears for wild populations of these taxa (Lee et al., 2016), are yet to become staples of the captive-breeding industry (Nijman et al., 2018). The large numbers of these taxa entering the market reflects the ability of the songbird trade in Java to switch to previously unexploited sources. Recent research on bird-keeping in Sumatra and Kalimantan demonstrates how leafbirds and white-eves have become popular outside Java and how wild-caught individuals are often more desirable than captive-bred alternatives (Burivalova et al., 2017; Rentschlar et al., 2018). Notable are within-country regional trends in consumer demand for cage-birds, for example the large numbers of munias found in markets in Medan to supply merit releases by the large ethnically Chinese population (Chng et al., 2018), or significant levels of trapping (primarily parrots) observed in Maluku to supply local demand for pets (Cottee-Jones et al., 2014; Tamalane et al., 2019). The importance of Java as the biggest regional source of demand however is demonstrated by the large number of birds from higher-value species supplied by other islands within Indonesia, notably Sumatra (Bušina et al., 2018) and Kalimantan (Rentschlar et al., 2018).

The huge numbers of White-rumped Shamas in households, a species of great commercial value now virtually extirpated from Javan forests, must be supplied through importation of wild birds from outside of Java (Rentschlar et al., 2018), and commercial breeding (Nijman et al., 2018). We know from seizures that thousands of shamas arrive in Java from Indonesia's other Sundaic islands, Malaysia and Thailand (Leupen et al., 2018), and the further spread of Java's pervasive demand for songbirds to adjacent areas of Asja must now be regarded as a real and serious danger to wild populations. The degree to which demand for White-rumped Shamas is being or might be met by commercial breeding is unclear, as it is for other species such as Javan Pied Starling, Bali Myna (Leucopsar rothschildi), and Oriental Magpie-robin. The numbers of these high-value species kept and reportedly sourced from commercial breeders indicates that the avicultural community in Indonesia has considerable capacity (Jepson et al., 2011). At present, however, legitimate concerns exist that breeding facilities possess the potential to 'launder' wild birds (Eaton et al., 2015; Rentschlar et al., 2018; Nijman et al., 2018) and even that successful commercial breeding may simply stimulate rather than satisfy demand. It is therefore a matter of urgency to establish whether and how commercial captive breeding of popular native or once-native species could be developed and regulated to replace, rather than add to, Java's current consumption of wild-caught birds.

The great increase in ownership of easy-to-breed non-native species, especially lovebirds, also raises the possibility that higher-volume production of these and other birds could meet indiscriminate demand for cage-birds and song competitors. However, the huge increase in the numbers of non-native birds relative to a still remarkable increase in native birds, suggests that trade in captive-bred non-native species may simply be supplementing rather than supplanting demand for native songbirds. Again, it is critical to investigate the scale and scope of the industry to determine the commercial viability of expanding businesses sustainably to meet the increasing demand. It is particularly important to explore whether sustainably breeding highly sought-after taxa such as leafbirds and white-eyes, which have thus far proved difficult to breed at commercial scales, could realistically reduce pressure on wild populations. Evidence is also urgently needed, through an intensive profiling of consumer behaviour, preferences, and socio-economic circumstance (Drury, 2009; Offord-Woolley, 2017), to inform a conservation response that can induce a genuine and lasting behavioural change in consumption habits and thereby prevent further exacerbation of the Asian Songbird Crisis.

Acknowledgements

The authors are greatly appreciative of the time given to us by all the respondents who agreed to be interviewed for this research, including those who participated in the pilot study, and we thank V. de Liedekerke and D. Verrisimo for reviewing draft versions of the survey questionnaire. We thank the Indonesian research authority (RISTEKDIKTI) for giving permission to carry out our research under permit 427/.A/SIP/FRP/E5/Dit.KI/II/2018. We thank Panji Gusti Akbar, Gracia A Glorizky, Melany Putri Ariawan, Fajriansyah and all the students & graduates who assisted with data collection, and also all the local government employees who facilitated our research by granting permission to carry out research across the diverse communities of Java. We thank Paul Jepson and Richad Ladle for making their data available. Thanks are also owed to the two anonymous reviewers for their helpful suggestions and comments. This research was funded by Chester Zoo, Manchester Metropolitan University and a research grant from Oriental Bird Club (OBC).

Appendices. Supplementary data

Supporting material can be found in three appendices: Appendix A - supporting tables and figures; Appendix B – survey questions; Appendix C - full list of bird taxa reportedly owned. Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019. 108237.

References

- ASEAN Post, 2018. Indonesia's sacrificial songbirds. (available at). https://theaseanpost. com/article/indonesias-sacrificial-songbirds, Accessed date: 21 August 2019.
- Badan Pusat Statistik, 2010. Sensus Penduduk 2010 Indonesia. BPS, Jakarta. http:// sp2010.bps.go.id/index.php/publikasi/index.
- BirdLife International, 2019. Country profile: Indonesia. Cambridge, UK. http://www. birdlife.org/datazone/country/indonesia.
- Burivalova, Z., Lee, T.M., Hua, F., Lee, J.S.H., Prawiradilaga, D.M., Wilcove, D.S., 2017. Understanding consumer preferences and demography in order to reduce the domestic trade in wild-caught birds. Biol. Conserv. 209, 423–431. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.biocon.2017.03.005.
- Bušina, T., Pasaribu, N., Kouba, M., 2018. Ongoing illicit trade of Sumatran Laughingthrush Garrulax bicolor: one-year market monitoring in Medan, North Sumatra, Kukila 21, 27–34.
- Butchart, S.H.M., 2008. Red List Indices to measure the sustainability of species use and impacts of invasive alien species. Bird Conserv. Int. 18, S245–S262. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S095927090800035X.
- Challender, D.W.S., Wu, S.B., Nijman, V., MacMillan, D.C., 2014. Changing behavior to tackle the wildlife trade. Front. Ecol. Environ. https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295-12.4.203.
- Chng, S.C.L., Eaton, J.A., Krishnasamy, K., Shepherd, C.R., Nijman, V., 2015. In the Market for Extinction: An Inventory of Jakarta's Bird Markets. TRAFFIC, Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia.
- Chng, S.C.L., Shepherd, C.R., Eaton, J.A., 2018. In the market for extinction: birds for sale at selected outlets in Sumatra. TRAFFIC Bulletin 30, 15–22.
- Cottee-Jones, H.E.W., Mittermeier, J.C., Purba, E.C., Ashuri, N.M., Hesdianti, E., 2014. An assessment of the parrot trade on Obi Island (North Moluccas) reveals heavy exploitation of the Vulnerable Chattering Lory Lorius garrulus. Kukila 18, 1–9.
- Davis, E.O., O'Connor, D., Crudge, B., Carignan, A., Glikman, J.A., Browne-Nuñez, C., Hunt, M., 2016. Understanding public perceptions and motivations around bear part use: a study in northern Laos of attitudes of Chinese tourists and Lao PDR nationals. Biol. Conserv. 203, 282–289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.09.009.
- del Hoyo, J., Collar, N., 2014. HBW and BirdLife International Illustrated Checklist of the Birds of the World: Non-passerines. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.
- del Hoyo, J., Collar, N., 2016. HBW and BirdLife International Illustrated Checklist of the Birds of the World: Passerines. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.
- Drury, R., 2009. Reducing urban demand for wild animals in Vietnam: examining the potential of wildlife farming as a conservation tool. Conserv. Lett. 2, 263–270. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-263X.2009.00078.x.
- Eaton, J.A., Shepherd, C.R., Rheindt, F.E., Harris, J.B.C., van Balen, S., Wilcove, D.S., Collar, N.J., 2015. Trade-driven extinctions and near-extinctions of avian taxa in Sundaic Indonesia. Forktail 31, 1–12.
- Harris, J.B.C., Tingley, M.W., Hua, F., Yong, D.L., Adeney, J.M., Lee, T.M., Marthy, W., Prawiradilaga, D.M., Sekercioglu, C.H., Suyadi, Winarni, 2017. Measuring the impact of the pet trade on Indonesian birds. Conserv. Biol. 31, 394–405. https://doi.org/10. 1111/cobi.12729.

- Higginbottom, T.P., Collar, N.J., Symeonakis, E., Marsden, S.J., 2019. Deforestation dynamics in an endemic-rich mountain system: conservation successes and challenges in West Java 1990–2015. Biol. Conserv. 229, 152–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biocon.2018.11.017.
- IUCN, 2019. IUCN red list of threatened species (Version 2019-2, available at). http:// www.iucnredlist.org, Accessed date: 21 August 2019.
- Jepson, P., 2008. Orange-headed thrush Zoothera citrina and the avian X-factor. Bird. Asia 9, 60–61.
- Jepson, P., 2010. Towards an indonesian bird conservation ethos: Reflections from a study of bird-keeping in the cities of java and bali. In: Tidemann, S., Golser, A. (Eds.), Ethno-Ornithology: Birds, Indigenous Peoples, Culture and Society. Earthscan, pp. 313–330.
- Jepson, P., Ladle, R.J., 2005. Bird-keeping in Indonesia: conservation impacts and the potential for substitution-based conservation responses. Oryx 39, 442–448. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S0030605305001110.
- Jepson, P., Ladle, R.J., 2009. Governing bird-keeping in Java and Bali: evidence from a household survey. Oryx 43, 364. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605309990251.
- Jepson, P., Ladle, R.J., Sujatnika, 2011. Assessing market-based conservation governance approaches: a socio-economic profile of Indonesian markets for wild birds. Oryx 45, 482–491. https://doi.org/10.1017/S003060531100038X.
- Lee, J.G.H., Chng, S.C.L., Eaton, J.A., 2016. Conservation strategy for Southeast Asian songbirds in trade. In: Recommendations from the First Asian Songbird Trade Crisis Summit 2015 Held in Jurong Bird Park, Singapore 27–29 September 2015. Wildlife Reserves Singapore/TRAFFIC. pp. 32. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.12805. 96483.
- Leupen, B.T.C., Krishnasamy, K., Shepherd, C.R., Chng, S.C.L., Bergin, D., Eaton, J.A., Yukin, D.A., Hue, S.K.P., Miller, A., Nekaris, K.A.-I., Nijman, V., Saaban, S., Imron, M.A., 2018. Trade in White-rumped Shamas Kittacincla malabarica demands strong national and international responses. Forktail 34, 1–8.
- Marthy, W., Farine, D.R., 2018. The potential impacts of the songbird trade on mixedspecies flocking. Biol. Conserv. 222, 222–231.
- Na'in, A., Syaputra, H., 2010. Nationality, Ethnicity, Religion, and Daily Language of Indonesian Population. BPS, Jakarta.
- Nash, S.V., 1993. The Trade in Southeast Asian Non-CITES Birds. TRAFFIC Southeast Asia, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Newing, H., 2010. Conducting Research in Conservation: Social Science Methods and Practice.
- Ng, E.Y.X., Garg, K.M., Low, G.W., Chattopadhyay, B., Oh, R.R.Y., Lee, J.G.H., Rheindt,

 F.E., 2017. Conservation genomics identifies impact of trade in a threatened songbird. Biol. Conserv. 214, 101–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.08.007.
 Nijman, V., 2010. An overview of international wildlife trade from Southeast Asia.

- Biodivers. Conserv. 19, 1101–1114. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-009-9758-4.
 Nijman, V., Nekaris, K.A.I., 2017. The Harry Potter effect: the rise in trade of owls as pets in Java and Bali, Indonesia. Glob. Ecol. Conserv. 11, 84–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/ i.gecco.2017.04.004.
- Nijman, V., Langgeng, A., Birot, H., Imron, M.A., Nekaris, K.A.I., 2018. Wildlife trade, captive breeding and the imminent extinction of a songbird. Glob. Ecol. Conserv. 15, e00425. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2018.e00425.
- Offord-Woolley, S., 2017. The Chi initiative: a behaviour change initiative to reduce the demand for rhino horn in Viet Nam. Pachyderm 2017, 144–147.
- Olmedo, A., Sharif, V., Milner-Gulland, E.J., 2018. Evaluating the design of behavior change interventions: a case study of rhino horn in Vietnam. Conserv. Lett. https:// doi.org/10.1111/conl.12365.
- Prasetyo, L.B., Wijaya, C.I., Setiawan, Y., 2011. Spatial model approach for deforestation. Land Use, Climate Change and Biodiversity Modeling 376–387. https://doi.org/10. 4018/978-1-60960-619-0.ch018.

Profauna, 2009. Wildlife Trade Surveys on the Bird Market of Java. Profauna, Malang.

R Core Team, 2018. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.

- Rentschlar, K.A., Miller, A.E., Lauck, K.S., Rodiansyah, M., Bobby, Muflihati, 2018. A silent morning: the songbird trade in Kalimantan, Indonesia. Trop. Conserv. Sci. 11, 194008291775390. https://doi.org/10.1177/1940082917753909.
- Souto, W.M.S., Torres, M.A.R., Sousa, B.F.C.F., Lima, K.G.G.C., Vieira, L.T.S., Pereira, G.A., Guzzi, A., Silva, M.V., Pralon, B.G.N., 2017. Singing for cages. Trop. Conserv. Sci. 10https://doi.org/10.1177/1940082917689898. 1940082917689899.
- Su, S., Cassey, P., Blackburn, T.M., 2014. Patterns of non-randomness in the composition and characteristics of the Taiwanese bird trade. Biol. Invasions 16, 2563–2575. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-014-0686-1.
- Sykes, B., 2017. The elephant in the room: addressing the Asian songbird crisis. BirdingASIA 27, 35–41.
- Tamalane, M.N., Hasan, S., Kartika, 2019. Local knowledge and community behavior in the exploitation of parrot in surrounding area of aketajawe lolobata national park. Biosf. J. Pendidik. Biol. 12, 24–33. https://doi.org/10.21009/biosferjpb.v12n1. 24-33.

UNESCO, 2017. Overview of Internal Migration in Indonesia. Unesco.

Veríssimo, D., Wan, A.K.Y., 2018. Characterising the efforts to reduce consumer demand for wildlife products. Conserv. Biol. https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/642PB.