

Reading in a Foreign Language ISSN 1539-0578 April 2019, Volume 31, No. 1 pp. 140–146

Review of Website Xreading

Andrew John Wilkins University of British Columbia Canada

Xreading (https://xreading.com) is an online digital library with over 1,000 graded readers written specifically for English as an additional language (EAL) students. Featuring a Learner Management System (LMS) that allows teachers to monitor their students' reading progress, it is designed to assist in the implementation of extensive reading (ER) programs.

The core principles of ER involve students reading a large number of books at a comfortable difficulty level over sustained periods of time (Day & Bamford, 1998). The benefits of ER for EAL learners have been well researched and include boosting learner autonomy, building vocabulary and grammar and even increasing creative second language use (Clarity, 2007; Safaeia & Bulca, 2013). Despite these established benefits, difficulties often arise in using ER for several reasons. For instance, some institutions may have inadequate library resources, thereby limiting students' access to the number of books necessary to make an ER program successful. In addition, motivation among students can be an issue in terms of the difference in their ability to read in their first language and in English (Takase, 2007) as well as accountability: How can we know our students are actually reading all these books (Campbell & Wetherford, 2013)?

Xreading aims to address these and other issues in order to facilitate a successful ER experience by access to graded readers on computers or mobile devices. These books include a range of well-established publishers including Cengage Learning, Macmillan Learners, and Cambridge University Press and many lesser-known ones such as Helbling, ELI, Atama-ii, Compass, and Garnet. The books are divided into 15 difficulty levels based primarily on their number of headwords. The system is accessible globally, although the actual books available vary by country. Subscriptions start at \$5.00 per month; discounts of up to 80% are available for institutions ("about Xreading," n.d.).

Advantages of Xreading

There are several features of Xreading that give it advantages over a regular library of printed graded readers from the perspective of the students, instructors and institutions as elaborated below.

Features and Benefits for Students

Xreading is simple and intuitive for students and there are printable tutorials available. With such a vast library, selecting an appropriate book can be daunting; therefore, most users start by taking a placement test. The result tells them their reading ability relative to the levels in Xreading and therefore helps them choose books. Once they get to the library, users can browse by level, genre, and other useful criteria as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Library search options. Reprinted from https://xreading.com. Copyright 2018 by XLearning Systems. Reprinted with permission.

While reading, students can easily access helpful resources, such as the glossary, and character lists. Additionally, they can alter the font size, line spacing and color of the background and text, which could benefit users with visual impairments. Xreading also allows users to access audio files, narrations and sometimes dramatizations of the books, which might be used for extensive listening, pronunciation practice or simply to provide variety. These audio files can be played at five different speeds without distortion. The reading display is shown below in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Typical reading display. Reprinted from https://xreading.com. Copyright 2018 by XLearning Systems. Reprinted with permission.

Since users do not need to take out books from a physical library, availability is no longer an issue- there is no limit on how many books users have access to. Also, there is no need to "return" the books, hence they have more opportunity to read during vacations as they can read in any place and at any time on their mobile devices.

Features and Benefits for Instructors

From an instructor's perspective, the LMS assists teachers in terms of tracking their students' reading progress and keeping them accountable. The LMS enables the instructor to add classes and then create assignments for each class. The setting of an assignment could allow students a free or restricted choice of books, as well as a deadline. This means that instructors could choose to have all their students read the same book for a shared class activity such as a group discussion or literature circle (Daniels, 1994).

Through the LMS, one of the greatest benefits of using Xreading is that teachers are able to monitor their students' progress (see Figures 3 and 4). The site tracks the number of books read, the number of words read, the amount of time spent on the site and also reading speed. This data would be very challenging to collect without using an online platform. It would be helpful for instructors assessing large classes in which other forms of assessments such as book reports or presentations may be not possible due to time constraints.

Students can take a short quiz after completion of a book, which may be particularly useful if teachers wish to use Xreading for assessment or want evidence that students have actually read

and understood the main ideas of the book. The quizzes may also motivate the students to finish their books. The monitoring data could benefit ER researchers conducting quantitative studies as it gives detailed information on how much the students have read, their reading speed and the language level chosen.

eading.com												() Sign Out
Classes Assignmen	ts St	udents Li	brary li	nstitution	My Account	About Xre	ading					
Class Summary												
Show Students in :	Show	data for:	F	From:	To:							
Demo Class				Go Clear							Search	for Student
Reading Data												
Reading Data	student Inf	o Level	Info							Export Data	to Excel	Add Student(s)
Display 30 T Showi		-	Info							Export Data	_	Add Student(s) evious Next
		-	Info Reading Level	Books Add/Comp	Avg Book Level	Words Read	Reading Time	Read Speed	Listen Time	Export Data Quizzes Taken/Pass	_	
Display 30 V Showi		7 of 7 Student	Reading		Avg Book Level 4.4	Words Read 24,189				Quizzes	Pr	evious Next Book
Display 30 Showi	ng results 1 -	7 of 7 Student Number	Reading Level	Add/Comp	Level		Time	Speed	Time	Quizzes Taken/Pass	Pr Quiz Grade	evious Next Book Rating
Display 30 Student Aysha Alameri	ng results 1 -	7 of 7 Student Number 183672	Reading Level	Add/Comp 5/5	Level	24,189	Time 3:09:15	Speed 133w/m	Time 00:00:00	Quizzes Taken/Pass 5/5	Pr Quiz Grade 90	Next Book Rating
Display 30 🔹 shown Student Aysha Alameri Mary Hashimoto	ng results 1 -	7 of 7 Student Number 183672 187763	Reading Level 1 1	Add/Comp 5/5 4/3	4.4 4.0	24,189	Time 3:09:15 2:15:55	Speed 133w/m 131w/m	Time 00:00:00 0:29:15	Quizzes Taken/Pass 5/5 3/2	Pr Quiz Grade 90 73.3	Next Book Rating

Figure 3. Class assignment data. Reprinted from https://xreading.com. Copyright 2018 by XLearning Systems. Reprinted with permission.

		Library		ow data for:	unt Abou	ut Xreading								
Student 2 of 4														
Book Data User Logs	Ratings & Revi				Student: Mary Hashimoto 187763 Show data for: Student2 of 4 Demo Class ▼									
		ews /	Account Info	Profile Ge	nres Mana	age Classes	Reading L	evel Sub	oscriptions	E	Export Data to Exc			
Display 30 V Showing	results: 1 - 4 of 4										Previous Next			
Book		Level	Words Read	Percent read	Reading <u>Time</u>	Read Speed	Listen Time	Quiz Grade	Date (Check Out)	Date (Return)	Book Rating			
Come Home Cengage Page Turn Words: 3542	ers (L1) 🛛 📝 🗓	2	3542	100%	0:28:15	127w/m	0:00:00	100	4-16-2018 10:49	4-17-2018 13:34	☆☆☆☆☆ <u>Read Review</u>			
The Elephant M Oxford Bookworm Words: 5527		4	5527	100%	0:41:22	135w/m	0:00:00	80	4-20-2018 16:10	4-23-2018 10:15	★★★★ Read Review			
Anna and the Fi Macmillan Readers Words: 2364		5	2364	100%	0:04:03	594w/m	0:00:00	40	4-24-2018 13:20	4-24-2018 13:24	Read Review			
Different World Cambridge English Words: 8573		6	4458	52%	1:06:18	130w/m	0:29:15	Pending	4-27-2018 14:41		Pending			
	Average	4.0	4509			131w/m		73.3			****			

Figure 4. Individual student data. Reprinted from https://xreading.com. Copyright 2018 by XLearning Systems. Reprinted with permission.

Features and Benefits for Institutions

Xreading has a technological advantage over the implementation of ER through a traditional physical library system. The site eliminates the cost of maintaining such a library and saves users' time in terms of checking out books or being disappointed by books that have already been checked out, damaged or lost.

As mentioned above, an unlimited number of users can open any book; in a physical library, it would be unfeasible to have multiple copies of such a wide range of literature. Institutions can also save resources by using Xreading instead of a physical library as it requires neither space nor staff, making it ideal for institutions with budget limitations.

Problems and Issues

The appeal of Xreading may depend on the users' physical comfort level with using screen technology to read on rather than using a printed text. Some people do not enjoy reading for long periods from a screen, reporting issues such as eye strain, while others express a strong preference for the smell and feel of actual books (Baron, 2015). As the enjoyment factor is an integral part of the ER paradigm, this site may not be suitable for everyone. In addition, there has been some suggestions that online reading leads to poorer comprehension (Mangen, Walgermo, & Brønnick, 2013), although this may be less of an issue with extensive reading in which students' focus is on enjoyment.

Previous problems encountered with Xreading have included both limited selection and also instability of the platform (Collett, 2018). However, these issues seem to have been addressed, with twice as many books available as compared to when Collett collected his data between 2015 and 2016. As for instability, this reviewer did not experience any technical problems with the site.

Xreading does provide an option for students who prefer physical books as it has incorporated more than 7,000 quizzes from another online ER platform, M-Reader. In this way, students can borrow books from their institution and then search for the relevant quiz on Xreading, which once taken, will be added to the students' record.

Personal Experience

I have used Xreading for the past three years with beginner and intermediate level international students. Many of my students initially appeared to lack reading confidence in English and some reported that they had never completed a book in English prior to my class. Xreading allowed them to easily and quickly finish a short, graded reader, which may have laid a motivational foundation for further reading. In addition, some students reported feeling sufficiently confident to approach original language literature versus a graded reader following their experience with Xreading.

It was important to explain to the students the concept of ER before using the site so that my

students could realize the benefits of using it. Furthermore, an introductory tutorial in a language lab seemed necessary to ensure the students understood that this resource was more than just simply reading from a screen rather than a book. As with most teaching tools, the teacher's enthusiasm was essential in terms of persuading students to invest their time. This was done by eliciting students' preference of genre and then recommending matching books that had been enjoyed in previous classes.

Though it is relatively easy to set up an account and use the site, it was essential to provide oral feedback and guidance for students to navigate the site comfortably, check some of their reading statistics and have a chance to ask questions. Despite the tutorials that exist on the site, students who missed my workshop often encountered problems trying to understand the site independently, which consequently marred their experience. A video tutorial might be more effective at addressing this issue, particularly if instructors can check if their students have watched it.

In terms of student motivation for Xreading, not surprisingly, it seemed students who appeared motivated to read in general used the site more frequently as compared to students who expressed less enthusiasm. By showing my students selected data from the monitoring tools, it was possible to create friendly competition among students to read more than their peers. These weekly demonstrations of interest may have improved students' motivation as well encouraging them to read regularly as opposed to just before their final assessment.

Occasionally students reported discomfort from reading from a screen; however, the majority of students seemed more used to reading from a screen than a page. This anecdotal evidence correlates with research conducted in Japanese universities that found a preference among those students for reading from screens for TOEIC materials (Shimada, 2017) and among males with lower English levels (Walker, 2016).

Applications

Though my teaching context is with adult international students in Canada, Xreading would seem to be appropriate for elementary and high school aged students, although the number of suitable books would be more limited for younger students. It could also be used in EAP courses to accompany intensive reading. In addition, Xreading might appeal to institutions in developing countries, which may have larger, multi-level classes as students within the same class can read materials at different difficulty levels. Moreover, financially, Xreading could be attractive because some institutions may not be able to maintain a physical lending library. Finally, it could be an appropriate tool for students studying in distance education or in rural areas, again with limited access to physical libraries.

References

About Xreading. (n.d.) Retrieved from http://www.xreading.com/ Baron, N. S. (2015). *Words onscreen: The fate of reading in a digital world*. Oxford University Press, USA.

- Campbell, J., & Weatherford, Y. (2013). Using M-Reader to motivate students to read. In S. Miles & M. Brierley (Eds.), *Extensive reading world congress proceedings* (pp. 1–12). Seoul: Extensive Reading Foundation.
- Clarity, M. (2007). An extensive reading program for your ESL classroom. *The Internet TESL Journal*, 8(8), 1–5.
- Collett, P. (2018). A comparison of two online systems for extensive reading. *Journal of Extensive Reading*, *6*, 30–52.
- Daniels, H. (1994). *Literature circles: Voice and choice in the student-centered classroom*. Markham, Canada: Pembroke Publishers.
- Day, R. R., & Bamford, J. (1998). *Extensive reading in the second language classroom*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Mangen, A., Walgermo, B. R., & Brønnick, K. (2013). Reading linear texts on paper versus computer screen: Effects on reading comprehension. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 58, 61–68.
- Safaeia, L. A., & Bulca, M. (2013). Extensive reading and creative practices. *Procedia*, 70, 592–597.
- Shimada, K. (2017). Textbooks or e-learning? Learners' preferences and motivations in a Japanese EFL classroom. *The Language Teacher*, *41*(2), 3–8.
- Takase, A. (2007). Japanese high school students' motivation for extensive L2 reading. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, *19*, 1–18.
- Walker, R. J. (2016). Screen versus paper: Perceptions of screen-based reading versus paperbased reading in supplementary extensive reading programs. *The PanSIG Journal*, 329– 341.

About the Reviewer

Andrew Wilkins is an EAL instructor at the English Language Institute at the University of British Columbia, Canada. His research interests include extensive reading and the effect of interpersonal relationships on learning outcomes. Email: andrew.wilkins@ubc.ca