

## RVC OPEN ACCESS REPOSITORY – COPYRIGHT NOTICE

This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in *Parasitology Research*. The final authenticated version is available online at:

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-020-06675-7>.

The full details of the published version of the article are as follows:

TITLE: Surprisingly long body length of the lungworm *Parafilaroides gymnurus* from common seals of the Dutch North Sea

AUTHORS: Elson-Riggins, J G; Gibbons, L M; Van Liere, D W; Zinkstok, E W; Blake, D P; Alegre, F; Spittle, H; Brakefield, P M; Udo de Haes, H A; and Osinga, N

JOURNAL TITLE: Parasitology Research

PUBLICATION DATE: 5 May 2020

PUBLISHER: Springer Verlag

DOI: 10.1007/s00436-020-06675-7

# 1 Surprisingly long body length of the lungworm

## 2 *Parafilaroides gymnurus* from common seals of the Dutch

### 3 North Sea

4 Elson-Riggins, J.G.<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Gibbons, L.M.<sup>4</sup>, Van Liere, D.W.<sup>5</sup>, Zinkstok, E.W.<sup>2,6</sup>, Blake, D.P.<sup>1</sup>, Alegre, F.<sup>2,7</sup>, Spittle,  
5 H.<sup>1</sup>, Brakefield, P.M.<sup>8,9</sup>, Udo de Haes, H.A.<sup>8</sup>, Osinga, N.<sup>8</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup>The Royal Veterinary College, The University of London, Hawkshead Lane, North Mymms, Hatfield,  
7 Hertfordshire, AL9 7TA, UK; <sup>2</sup>Seal Rehabilitation and Research Centre (currently: Seal Centre Pieterburen),  
8 Hoofdstraat 94a, 9968 AG Pieterburen, The Netherlands; <sup>3</sup>Dengrove Scientific Services, Donhead St. Andrew,  
9 Shaftesbury, Dorset, SP7 9EW, UK; <sup>4</sup>Institute of Parasitology, Justus Lieberg University Giessen, 35392  
10 Giessen, Germany; <sup>5</sup>CABWIM, Wildlife Research and Consultancy, Heuvelweg 7, 7128 BD Almen, The  
11 Netherlands; <sup>6</sup>Significant Science Communication, Rembrandtlaan 3, 1272 GM, Huizen, The Netherlands;  
12 <sup>7</sup>Jaguar Rescue Center Foundation, Playa Chiquita 70403, Costa Rica; <sup>8</sup>Institute of Environmental Sciences  
13 (CML) and Institute of Biology Leiden (IBL), Leiden University, Sylviusweg 72, 2333 BE, Leiden, The  
14 Netherlands; <sup>9</sup>Department of Zoology, Cambridge University, Downing Street, Cambridge, CB2 3EJ, UK

15  
16 \*Corresponding author – Jocelyn Elson-Riggins ([jriggins@rvc.ac.uk](mailto:jriggins@rvc.ac.uk)) (+441747829225) (0000-0001-9909-1966)

17  
18 ORCID Numbers: J.G. Elson-Riggins (0000-0001-9909-1966); L.M. Gibbons (0000-0003-1605-051); D.W. Van  
19 Liere (0000-0002-9314-522X); D.P. Blake (0000-0003-1077-2306); P.M. Brakefield (0000-0001-6564-8914)

### 20 Acknowledgements

21 We thank the staff and volunteers at the Seal Rehabilitation and Research Centre (currently: Seal Centre  
22 Pieterburen) and The Marine Mammal Center (TMMC) for their assistance with sample collection. Although the  
23 work on samples from eastern Atlantic common seals was conducted at Seal Centre Pieterburen, the conclusions  
24 and points of view elaborated in the Discussion section do not necessarily reflect the current views of the Seal  
25 Centre on this matter. We are grateful to the colleagues of the RVC and Gendika for their support with the  
26 laboratory work. We also thank Dr. Lena Measures, Scientist Emeritus at Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Prof. Dr.

27 Carlos Hermosilla of Justus Liebig University Giessen, and Dr. Edward Platzer of University of California  
28 Riverside for discussions which helped improve the manuscript. The RVC has assigned this manuscript the  
29 reference PPS\_01960.

### 30 **Abstract**

31 Lungworms of the genera *Parafilaroides* and *Otostrongylus* are responsible for parasitic bronchopneumonia, the  
32 foremost disease of eastern Atlantic common seals (EACS, *Phoca vitulina vitulina*) in the Dutch North Sea.  
33 Recently, there have been increased reports of lungworm cases and observations of unusually long  
34 *Parafilaroides* sp. adults in this location. The initial aim of this study was to confirm the identity of the  
35 *Parafilaroides* species infecting this population. *Parafilaroides* are usually small and delicate, making them  
36 difficult to extract from host tissue and there is often difficulty accessing fresh specimens for morphological  
37 study. The large size of the Dutch worms and the accessibility of specimens from numerous animals enabled the  
38 description and measurement of many intact specimens (N=64) from multiple host animals (N=20). Species  
39 identity was confirmed by targeted sequencing of ribosomal and mitochondrial DNA amplicons from a subset of  
40 worms. Worm morphology was consistent with descriptions for *P. gymnurus*, but the mature females were 1.9-  
41 fold and 3.4-fold longer than those recovered from French EACS ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) and Canadian western Atlantic  
42 common seals (*Phoca vitulina concolor*;  $P \leq 0.0001$ ). They were also significantly longer than mature female *P.*  
43 *gymnurus* described from other seal species, with the exception of those from harp seals of Les Escoumins,  
44 Quebec. We speculate that intraspecific genetic differences in *P. gymnurus* and the environment within the host  
45 could contribute to the variation reported here. This study is the first to describe *P. gymnurus* using  
46 morphological and molecular methods and should serve as a reference for identification of the species.

### 47 **Keywords**

*Parafilaroides gymnurus*, common seal, *Phoca vitulina*, lungworm, North Sea, morphology

48

## 49 Introduction

50 Parasitic bronchopneumonia is currently the primary cause of disease in eastern Atlantic common seals (harbour  
51 seals) (EACS, *Phoca vitulina vitulina*) of the Dutch North Sea (Osinga and 't Hart 2010). Lungworms occur  
52 mainly in seals under 1 year old and they are most likely transmitted horizontally via the food chain, after  
53 weaning (Measures 2001). The Metastrongyloid genera *Otostrongylus* (Railliet 1899) and *Parafilaroides*  
54 (Railliet 1899) are the causative nematodes in this population (Borgsteede et al. 1991). Since the late 1990s,  
55 there has been a sharp increase in the number of young stranded EACS admitted to Seal Centre Pieterburen  
56 (Previously: Seal Rehabilitation and Research Centre), The Netherlands, with severe verminous pneumonia (Fig.  
57 1) (Osinga and 't Hart 2010). The proportion of admitted animals with this condition rose from 22% during  
58 stranding period 1971-1997 to 53% during 1997-2009 and to 70% during 2009-2013. Also, this was a common  
59 cause of death in EACS that stranded dead along the Dutch Wadden Sea coast after seal year 1997-1998 (Osinga  
60 and 't Hart 2010). Such high morbidity and mortality would be expected to impact recruitment of the EACS  
61 population, since about a third of the roughly 1,500 pups born annually in Dutch waters strand (TSEG 2013).  
62 However, partly because of rehabilitation efforts, the total Dutch EACS population rose from 680 in 1971-1972  
63 to 7,029 in 2012-2013, and there were 8,351 animals in 2015-2016 (Jensen et al. 2017; CBS, PBL, RIVM, WUR  
64 2017; Reijnders et al. 1996).

65 North Sea EACS can be infected with either 1 or both lungworm genera (Claussen et al. 1991). *Parafilaroides*  
66 spp. are described as small nematodes embedded in the respiratory parenchyma (Measures 2001). Railliet (1899)  
67 first described *P. gymnurus* in an EACS from Baie de Somme, France, naming it *Pseudalius gymnurus*.  
68 Dougherty (1946) established the genus *Parafilaroides*, but Anderson (1978) made *Parafilaroides* a subgenus of  
69 *Filaroides*. He distinguished the 2 subgenera of *Filaroides* based on the smaller spicules and lack of caudal  
70 papillae in *Parafilaroides*. Dailey (2006) restored *Parafilaroides* to full generic status due to the identification of  
71 caudal papillae and the 28S/18S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) data of Carreno and Nadler (2003). Based on these  
72 findings, we follow Dailey (2006) in treating *Parafilaroides* as a genus. The *Parafilaroides* is composed of 7  
73 species (Dailey 2009): 2 parasitize the eared seals (Otariidae), *P. decorus* and *P. normani*, and 5 parasitize the  
74 true seals (Phocidae), *P. measuresae*, *P. gullandae*, *P. hispidus*, *P. hydrurgae* and *P. gymnurus*. Only *P.*  
75 *gymnurus* and *P. gullandae* occur in common seals: *P. gymnurus* infects both western (WACS, *Phoca vitulina*  
76 *concolor*) and eastern Atlantic common seals (Claussen et al. 1991; Gosselin and Measures 1997), whilst *P.*  
77 *gullandae* has been identified only from Pacific common seals (PCS, *Phoca vitulina richardsi*) (Dailey 2006).

78 Gosselin and Measures (1997) redescribed *P. gymnurus* from Canadian WACS, ringed (*Pusa hispida*), harp  
79 (*Pagophilus groenlandicus*), and grey (*Halichoerus grypus*) seals. It is the only *Parafilaroides* species to have  
80 been reported from EACS (Railliet 1899; Borgsteede et al. 1991; Claussen et al. 1991; Lehnert et al. 2010).  
81 Thus, we hypothesized that the species in Dutch EACS would be *P. gymnurus*. However, Gosselin and Measures  
82 (1997) observed that the *P. gymnurus* described from EACS in France (Railliet 1899) were longer than those  
83 from WACS in Canada. This was also observed by staff at Seal Centre Pieterburen, but the morphology of the  
84 parasite from EACS had not been described since Railliet's 1899 work.  
85 The sharp increase in lungworm-infected EACS admitted to Seal Centre Pieterburen in recent years, the  
86 observations of long *Parafilaroides* sp. and the lack of recent morphological work on *Parafilaroides* from  
87 Europe were the impetuses for this study. We examined a large number of specimens to investigate whether they  
88 were a variant of *P. gymnurus* or a new species. We provide a morphometric and molecular description of  
89 *Parafilaroides* sp. from EACS of the Dutch North Sea. We also compare it morphologically to *P. gymnurus*  
90 descriptions and molecularly to sequences of *Parafilaroides* sp. obtained from PCS and California sea lion  
91 (CSL, *Zalophus californianus*) and to the *Parafilaroides* species available on the GenBank database. Finally, we  
92 explore the possible reasons for the unusually long *Parafilaroides* sp. in EACS of the Dutch North Sea.

### 93 **Materials and methods**

#### 94 *Samples*

95 *Parafilaroides* sp. were retrieved from stranded EACS under 1 year of age during 2009-2012 at Seal Centre  
96 Pieterburen. Thirty-four entire and 4 partial mature males, 27 entire and 12 partial mature females, 3 complete  
97 and 1 incomplete immature adult females (no embryonated eggs visible) and 1 complete and 1 partial female L5  
98 were retrieved from 20 seals for morphology. Nematodes were retrieved *post-mortem* or from the floor if they  
99 were expectorated (Supplementary Table S1). Dead nematodes and those used for DNA extraction were stored  
100 in 70% ethanol. Live nematodes used for microscopy were killed in 0.15 M saline at 60 °C before fixation.  
101 Nematodes were fixed in glycerin-alcohol (9 parts 70% ethanol: 1 part glycerin), cleared by alcohol evaporation,  
102 and mounted in glycerine jelly (Cable 1977). Faeces from PCS were collected at The Marine Mammal Centre  
103 (TMMC; Sausalito, California, USA) in 1997 and used in Baermanns to obtain nematode larvae. *Parafilaroides*  
104 sp. adults were collected *post-mortem* from CSL at TMMC in 1999 and they were separated from released  
105 larvae. All TMMC samples were stored in 0.15 M saline at -80 °C. Samples for molecular work were shipped  
106 overnight to The Royal Veterinary College (RVC), UK, by FedEx: on dry ice from the USA in 2006, and on ice  
107 from The Netherlands in 2011. They were stored at -80 °C, thawed and washed in either 0.15 M saline or

108 phosphate buffered saline prior to larval screening and/or DNA extraction. *Parafilaroides* sp. and *O. circumlitus*  
109 larvae were separated based on size using a stereomicroscope (Zoomaster 65, Prior, Cambridge, UK). They were  
110 placed in 100 µl fresh Millipore Direct-Q® 3 water (Millipore (UK) Limited, Watford, UK) and stored at -80 °C.

#### 111 *Microscopy and statistical analysis*

112 Nematodes were examined and measured using bright field microscopy with a Leitz Laborlux 11 compound  
113 microscope (Leica Microsystems Ltd, Milton Keynes, Buckinghamshire, UK) equipped with an eyepiece  
114 graticule. If a character was unclear within a specimen that measurement was excluded. They were photographed  
115 with an Olympus CX41 compound microscope (Olympus, Southend on Sea, Essex, UK) equipped with an  
116 Olympus DP20-5 camera. Spicule measurements were made for samples in all orientations but the gubernaculum  
117 was measured only in specimens where it was orientated laterally.

118 We first applied ANOVA to test for an individual host animal effect on the nematodes in our dataset. Several  
119 variables showed a significant host effect (see Results section). As we required independent samples and as some  
120 of the variables were not normally distributed, we applied the median of the measurements of the different  
121 worms gathered within a host as the sample estimate. T-tests were used to compare our estimates with previous  
122 descriptions of *P. gymnurus*. Railliet (1899) provided only means or ranges. For ranges, we assumed a non-  
123 skewed distribution and calculated the average of the minimum and maximum value as the central estimate. To  
124 determine if the spicules were equal, a matched pair t-test compared the left and right spicule within each male.  
125 The sample size was 1 for male *P. gymnurus* from Les Escoumins grey seal and Salluit ringed seal (Gosselin and  
126 Measures, 1997). We therefore calculated the chance for these sample values to occur under the distribution as  
127 estimated by the mean and standard deviation of our own sample estimates.

#### 128 *DNA Extraction, PCR and sequencing*

129 DNA was extracted from 9 adult North Sea EACS *Parafilaroides* sp. preparations; 5 using several worms per  
130 preparation (total tissue mass 6 to 11.9 mg) and 4 using 1 worm per preparation. Four host animals were  
131 represented, which stranded during 2010-2011, and all single worm preparations came from the same seal. One  
132 Baermann extract from 1 juvenile PCS was used to prepare 3 tubes containing 20 *Parafilaroides* sp. larvae each.  
133 From 1 CSL we made 1 adult (approximately 20 mg tissue) and 2 larval (89 and 100 released larvae)  
134 *Parafilaroides* sp. preparations. DNA was extracted from the Dutch nematodes using a DNeasy blood and tissue  
135 kit (QIAGEN, Crawley, UK), following a slightly modified protocol: the sample was homogenized using a  
136 stainless steel bead in a MM300 mixer mill (Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany) at 30 oscillations per second for 2  
137 min before overnight incubation with proteinase K at 37 °C. DNA was extracted from CSL adult nematodes

138 using a Wizard®genomic DNA purification kit (Promega UK, Southampton, UK), following the manufacturer's  
139 instructions. The quantity and quality of extracted DNA were assessed using a Nanodrop ND-1000 (Thermo  
140 Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). Larvae were thawed, then disrupted using a Soniprep 150 ultrasonic  
141 disintegrator (MSE, London, UK). Three 20 second pulses at 28 microns were used with 1 minute between  
142 pulses, when the sample was cooled on ice. This was used for PCR without a DNA extraction step.  
143 The rhabditid primers NC1 and NC2 amplified the entire second internal transcribed spacer (ITS-2) region of  
144 ribosomal DNA (rDNA) (Gasser et al. 1993) using a 55 °C annealing temperature. The D3 expansion region of  
145 28S rDNA was amplified using D3A and D3B (Al-Banna et al. 1997) at 60 °C. The cytochrome c oxidase  
146 subunit 1 (COI) gene of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) was amplified using CCOIF and CCOIR (Dailey 2009) at  
147 40 °C. All PCR reactions were performed in a G-Storm GS1 thermal cycler (GRI, Braintree, UK) in a 25 µl  
148 reaction volume prepared using either a KAPA2G Robust kit (Kapa Biosystems, Woburn, MA, USA) or a  
149 MyTaq HS DNA polymerase kit (Bioline, London, UK), according to the enzyme manufacturer's instructions. In  
150 all experiments, positive (*Parafilaroides sp.* DNA from EACS) and negative (no DNA) controls were included.  
151 Products were visualized on 1.5% agarose gels stained with either SYBR® safe (Life Technologies, Paisley,  
152 UK) or GelRed™ (Biotium, Hayward, CA, USA). PCR products were purified using a QIAquick PCR  
153 purification kit (QIAGEN) and sequenced at either GATC-Biotech (London, UK) or Source BioScience  
154 (Cambridge, UK). Sequence analysis was performed using CLC Main Workbench 6 version 6.6.5, 7, and 8  
155 (CLC bio, Swansea, UK). Sequences were compared to the NCBI database using BLASTn (Basic Local  
156 Alignment Tool for nucleotides).

## 157 **Results**

158 The EACS worm variables that showed a significant difference between individual host animals (host effect)  
159 were body length ( $P<0.05$ ), maximum oesophagus width ( $P<0.01$ ), distance from NR to SEP ( $P<0.01$ ) and width  
160 at vulva level ( $P<0.01$ ) for females and nucleus length in the short SE gland ( $P<0.05$ ) for males. The worms  
161 corresponded qualitatively to *P. gymnurus* and morphometric comparisons to previous *P. gymnurus* descriptions  
162 are in Tables 1 and 2. The bipartite vaginal sphincter (Figs. 2a-c) was composed of a wide distal and narrow  
163 proximal muscle in lateral view. The vulva and anus were subterminal (Figs. 2a-d) and the female reproductive  
164 system was didelphic and prodelphic. The spicules were equal (total length,  $P = 0.206$ ; capitulum length,  $P = 0.1$ ;  
165 total width,  $P = 0.815$ ) with the proximal ends wide apart and the distal ends close together in ventral view,  
166 forming a “V” shape (Fig. 2e). The capitula were bent ventrally and were followed by a narrow calomus before  
167 leading to the long arcuated lamina (Figs. 2f-g). The calomus was shorter on the ventral side than on the dorsal

168 side (Fig. 2g). A terminal papilla and gubernaculum were visible in some males (Fig. 2f) and the gubernaculum  
169 decreased in thickness from the distal to the proximal end (Fig. 2f).

170 One SE gland was shorter than the other (Tables 3 and S2), with the nucleus of the shorter gland located anterior  
171 to the nucleus of the other gland. In mature females containing larvae, the distal vaginal sphincter muscle was  
172 often patent (Fig. 2b). There appears to be a supplementary valve at the proximal end of the vaginal sphincter,  
173 which was visible in many specimens (Figs. 2a and c). The uteri sometimes contained hatched larvae, which  
174 were usually interspersed with unhatched ova. Fig. 2d shows the vulva and anus in ventral view in a mature  
175 specimen. Vulva and vaginal sphincter measurements for this specimen and a ventrally orientated immature  
176 adult and a complete early stage L5 are in Supplementary Table S3. In the L5, the vaginal sphincter was starting  
177 to develop (21  $\mu\text{m}$  length), and the body length was 11.2 mm (Tables S3 and S4). The shape of the posterior end  
178 in the mature females ranged from bluntly rounded (Fig. 2b) to attenuated (Fig. 2h; Table 3).

179 Although our nematodes were clearly morphologically *P. gymnurus*, the size of several characters differed  
180 significantly from previous descriptions of *P. gymnurus* from common seals (Tables 1 and 2). The mature female  
181 body length (Fig. 3; Table 1) was significantly greater than that described from WACS of Canada (3.4-fold;  
182  $P \leq 0.0001$ ) (Gosselin and Measures, 1997) and EACS of France (1.9-fold;  $P \leq 0.001$ ) (Railliet, 1899). Our mature  
183 males were significantly shorter than our mature females ( $P < 0.0001$ ). Our males were significantly longer than  
184 the males from WACS of Canada ( $P \leq 0.001$ ), but they were comparable in length to those from EACS of France  
185 (Fig. 3; Table 2). The oesophagus length ( $P \leq 0.0001$ ) and width ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) of our mature females were  
186 significantly larger than those of the WACS females (Gosselin and Measures, 1997) (Table 1). Railliet's (1899)  
187 EACS females were significantly wider ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) and the vulva to anus distance ( $P \leq 0.0001$ ) and the larvae  
188 ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) were significantly longer than ours (Table 1). The oesophagus length ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) and width ( $P \leq 0.0001$ ) of  
189 our males were significantly greater than those of the WACS *P. gymnurus* (Table 2). However, our males had  
190 significantly smaller total spicule ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) and capitulum lengths (left,  $P \leq 0.0001$ ; right,  $P \leq 0.001$ ). Both EACS  
191 male characters measured in addition to body length by Railliet (1899) were significantly larger than ours  
192 (maximum width,  $P \leq 0.01$ ; spicule length,  $P \leq 0.001$ ) (Table 2).

193 Our females were also significantly longer than female *P. gymnurus* described from other Canadian seal host  
194 species (Gosselin and Measures 1997), except those from harp seals collected in Les Escoumins (Table 1). This  
195 included our females being significantly longer than those from harp seals collected in St. Brides ( $P \leq 0.05$ ). Our  
196 other female worm measurements were comparable to those of both harp seal populations, with the exception of  
197 the SEP and the vulva to anus distance, which were significantly longer in the females from harp seals. The



198 maximum width and the oesophagus length and width were significantly greater in our females than those from  
199 grey and Holman ringed seals. However, the female measured from a Salluit ringed seal was significantly wider  
200 and the vulva to anus distance significantly longer than ours.

201 Our males were significantly longer than the male *P. gymnurus* from Canadian harp, grey, and ringed seals  
202 (Table 2) (Gosselin and Measures, 1997). With the exception of oesophagus length and width, all other  
203 measurements of the male *P. gymnurus* from Les Escoumins harp seals were however greater than ours. The  
204 spicules of the St. Brides harp seal *P. gymnurus* were larger than ours and the other significant differences were  
205 SEP distance (longer in harp seal) and oesophagus width (greater in ours). The spicule lengths of the *P.*  
206 *gymnurus* from grey and ringed seals were comparable to ours, although the capitula were mostly longer than  
207 ours. The other male measurements for these 2 host species varied, some smaller than ours, some larger.

208 Our immature adult female body lengths did not overlap with those of mature females previously described from  
209 common seals (Railliet 1899; Gosselin and Measures 1997) (Table S2). They were on average 2.6 times as long  
210 as the mature females from Canada and 1.4 times as long as the mature females from France.

211 We added to GenBank: ITS-2, D3, and COI sequences for Dutch EACS and PCS *Parafilaroides* sp., and ITS-2  
212 and COI sequences for CSL *Parafilaroides* sp. (Table 4). The ITS-2 region of our EACS nematodes was 520 bp  
213 (Table 4) and 3 genotypes were represented, all of which differed from the *P. gymnurus* ITS-2 sequence already  
214 on GenBank (FJ87304) (Tables 4 and 5). The single nucleotide polymorphisms for the 3 genotypes were at  
215 positions 210, 211, 330, and 385 of the ITS-2 region (Table 5). The ITS-2 sequence of 1 of our 5 pooled samples  
216 had heterozygous peaks of equal height at some of these polymorphic sites that were not possible to base call, so  
217 our findings are based on the other 8 samples. PGHOLITS2GEN1 (genotype 1) (LT984653) was seen in 5 of our  
218 samples and was represented in all 4 host animals. PGHOLITS2GEN2 (genotype 2) (LT984651) was seen in 2  
219 samples and PGHOLITS2GEN3 (genotype 3) (LT984652) was seen in 1 sample. All 3 genotypes were  
220 represented in the animal from which the single nematode preparations were prepared and that was the only seal  
221 hosting genotypes 2 and 3. All the pooled samples were genotype 1. Using BLASTn, genotypes 1 and 3  
222 compared to the ITS-2 region of *P. gymnurus* from German Wadden Sea EACS (FJ787304) revealed 99.6%  
223 identity (Table 4), differing by 2 nucleotides (Table 5). Genotype 2 compared to FJ787304 with 99.4% identity  
224 (Table 4), differing by 3 nucleotides (Table 5). A sequence of 453 bp was produced within the ITS-2 region of  
225 the PCS *Parafilaroides* sp. (Table 4). This had 99.6% identity to FJ787304 (Table 4), differing by 2 nucleotides  
226 (Table 5). It had a unique base (T) at position 373 of the Dutch *Parafilaroides* ITS-2 sequence (Table 5). The  
227 Dutch and German worms had an A at this position. The PCS *Parafilaroides* sp. had 0.4% to 1.1% differences

228 from the Dutch worms. A sequence of 421 bp was obtained within the ITS-2 region of the CSL *Parafilaroides*  
229 sp. (Table 4). Although this compared to FJ787304 with only 64% coverage and 75% identity (208/276 bases)  
230 (Table 4), it compared to an unknown species of *Parafilaroides* (KP402084) with 93% coverage and 93%  
231 identity (368/396 bases). The D3 sequences for the *Parafilaroides* sp. from PCS and Dutch EACS were  
232 identical. They were 310 bp and compared to the 28S rDNA of *P. decorus* (AM039757) with 97.1% identity  
233 (Table 4). A D3 sequence of 315 bp was produced for the CSL *Parafilaroides* sp., which compared to *P. decorus*  
234 (AM309757) with 100% identity (Table 4). A 645 bp sequence was produced with the COI primers for  
235 *Parafilaroides* sp. from both subspecies of common seal (Table 4). There were 2 allelic types for Dutch EACS  
236 *Parafilaroides* sp., but only 1 for PCS *Parafilaroides* sp.. One of the Dutch allelic types (LT591890) had a T at  
237 nucleotide 85, in common with the PCS *Parafilaroides* sp. (LT591893), and these sequences differed from each  
238 other by a total of 8 nucleotides (1.24%). The second allelic type for the Dutch worms (LT591891) had a C at  
239 nucleotide 85 and differed from the PCS *Parafilaroides* sp. by 9 nucleotides (1.4%). The Dutch allelic types  
240 compared to *P. normani* mtDNA (KJ801815) with identities of 89.8% (LT591890) and 89.6% (LT591891) and  
241 PCS *Parafilaroides* sp. compared with 89.5% identity. The CSL *Parafilaroides* sp. produced a 595 bp sequence,  
242 which compared to KJ801815 with 91.4% identity and differed from Dutch EACS *Parafilaroides* sp. by 12.6%  
243 (LT591890) and 12.8% (LT591891) and PCS *Parafilaroides* sp. (LT591893) by 13.1%.

## 244 **Discussion**

245 The results of this study support the hypothesis that the *Parafilaroides* sp. found in EACS of the Dutch North  
246 Sea were *P. gymnurus*. There was however a significant difference in mature female *P. gymnurus* body length  
247 between individual host animals and over time (current compared to 1899) in EACS, between common seals  
248 from different geographic locations (western versus eastern Atlantic), and between different seal host species.  
249 The *Parafilaroides* have historically been described morphologically and thus few nucleotide sequences are  
250 available. This study is the first to describe *P. gymnurus* using both morphological and molecular methods.  
251 Morphological study of the *Parafilaroides* is difficult, the males are abursate and few morphological characters  
252 are available for species differentiation (Dougherty 1946; Gosselin and Measures 1997). They are small and  
253 delicate, difficult to extract, and since they parasitize wild animals, it can be tricky to access fresh specimens.  
254 Here, the long *P. gymnurus* and availability of specimens from numerous individual animals at Seal Centre  
255 Pieterburen have facilitated the description and measurement of many specimens. Also, we describe worms  
256 expectorated by living animals and obtained from fresh and frozen carcasses. Our description did not therefore  
257 suffer from a particular preservation method and should serve well as a reference for this species.

258 Despite the length of our specimens, their morphology was consistent with *P. gymnurus* (Railliet 1899; Gosselin  
259 and Measures 1997). We confirm the presence of the disputed caudal papillae in the males of this genus. We also  
260 describe additional features not previously recorded for *P. gymnurus*: the supplementary valve at the proximal  
261 end of the vaginal sphincter and the shorter calomus length on the ventral side of the spicules. However,  
262 although the latter was not mentioned in previous descriptions, the spicule illustration in Gosselin and Measures  
263 (1997) appears to show this feature. The SE glands have not previously been described in detail. As for *O.*  
264 *circumlitus* (Elson-Riggins 2002), they were different in size and offset with respect to one another. We do not  
265 consider the attenuation of the female posterior end to be a valid character for species differentiation within the  
266 *Parafilaroides* since our specimens ranged from bluntly rounded to attenuated. The attenuation ratio facilitated  
267 comparison of specimens. Sample preparation methods and/or a smaller number of host animals could have  
268 resulted in the degree of attenuation appearing to be a useful character in previous studies.

269 The only *Parafilaroides* sequences previously available on the GenBank database were *P. gymnurus* for ITS-2,  
270 *P. decorus* for D3, and *P. normani* for COI. Thus, all the *Parafilaroides* sp. we sequenced from different hosts  
271 most closely matched the *Parafilaroides* sequences available for each region sequenced, but with different  
272 percentage identities. Unfortunately, no sequences were available on Genbank for WACS *P. gymnurus*. The ITS-  
273 2 results appear to agree with the morphology that the Dutch EACS *Parafilaroides* sp. were *P. gymnurus*.

274 However, although these sequences exhibited high BLAST identity to *P. gymnurus* from Germany (Lehnert et  
275 al. 2010), these authors did not undertake a gold standard morphological study to prove the identity of their  
276 specimens. Interestingly, the ITS-2 data suggest that the PCS *Parafilaroides* sp. were also *P. gymnurus*. Despite  
277 efforts to obtain adult worms, we only had access to larvae from PCS and thus were not able to morphologically  
278 identify them. This is important because it is not clear in the literature whether PCS are infected by *P. gullandae*  
279 only or both *P. gullandae* and *P. gymnurus*. Thus, we suggest that morphological and molecular methods should  
280 be used in future studies to confirm which *Parafilaroides* species infect PCS. Our D3 results suggest that, as  
281 expected, the CSL *Parafilaroides* sp. were *P. decorus*. Although there was no D3 sequence available for *P.*  
282 *gymnurus* on GenBank, our nematodes from EACS and PCS presented with lower identity to the D3 expansion  
283 region of *P. decorus* than did the CSL nematodes. Since there were no COI sequence data available for *P.*  
284 *gymnurus* or *P. decorus* on GenBank, our results will be useful as references. The COI sequence differences  
285 (1.24 to 1.4%) between the *Parafilaroides* sp. from the 2 common seal subspecies supports the ITS-2 and D3  
286 results in that they were within the range considered likely for conspecifics (up to 2%) (Blouin 2002). As  
287 expected, the COI sequence difference between *Parafilaroides* sp. from common seals and CSL confirmed that

288 these were different species, and distinct from *P. normani*. Blaxter (2004) recommended that a nematode  
289 barcoding system should obtain data for at least 1 nuclear and 1 organellar gene. Here, we have data for 2  
290 nuclear regions and 1 organellar gene. In our hands we recommend D3 and COI to provide the most robust data  
291 if sample quality or resources are limiting.

292 Generally, with the exception of body length, the morphological characters of the nematodes described by  
293 Railliet (1899) were larger than ours, but his sample size was limited and he only described 4 characters in  
294 addition to body length for females and 2 for males. Spicule length appears to be a variable measurement across  
295 host species. However, due to the curve of the structure, this can be difficult to measure. In the current study,  
296 each spicule was always measured more than once and our standard deviation was less for this character than for  
297 WACS *P. gymnurus* (Gosselin and Measures 1997). Within the spicules, the longer capitulum lengths of *P.*  
298 *gymnurus* from most other host species (including WACS) might be explained by the measurement method. We  
299 always measured our capitula on the dorsal side, where the calomus was longer and the capitulum was therefore  
300 shorter than on the ventral side.

301 While it is difficult in a mixed infection to separate the effects of *P. gymnurus* from *O. circumlitus*, the  
302 differences between individual hosts could be indicative of differences in body condition and/or immune  
303 response to the parasite and they should be the subject of future studies. Such studies should involve measuring  
304 and genotyping the same individual worms from each host, something that was not possible in the current study  
305 due to the requirements for full morphological examination.

306 It is not clear whether there is a relationship between *P. gymnurus* body length and pathogenicity. However,  
307 nematode fecundity can be positively associated with mature female length (Morand 1996) and the pathogenic  
308 effects of nematodes can depend on both their number and length (Mair et al. 2015). It is tricky to separate the  
309 effects of long worms from those of large numbers of worms and we suggest that future studies relating to *P.*  
310 *gymnurus* burden should account for both worm number and length.

311 The reasons for the unusually long mature female *P. gymnurus* in EACS of the Dutch North Sea are unknown.  
312 Here, we present 4 hypotheses.

313 *There were limitations in earlier morphological studies:* Sample sizes were limited in previous studies. Railliet  
314 (1899) described *P. gymnurus* using an unknown number of worms that were taken from 1 seal. Gosselin and  
315 Measures (1997) studied 5 males and 4 females from an undisclosed number of common seals. Also, these  
316 authors suggested that differences in body length between studies could be attributed to specimen maturity not  
317 being clearly indicated. However, Railliet (1899) and Gosselin and Measures (1997) clearly described mature

318 worms, their female body lengths did not overlap with ours, and it is to their work that we made our  
319 comparisons. Therefore, we feel this is an unlikely explanation.

320 *There are intraspecific genetic differences within P. gymnasium:* The *P. gymnasium* in our dataset may be  
321 genetically different on a population level from *P. gymnasium* in WACS. Despite a concerted effort, we were  
322 unable to obtain specimens from WACS to sequence them ourselves. Also, it is not clear whether our females  
323 were longer than previously described from the same host subspecies (Railliet 1899) because of a recent  
324 evolution to longer body lengths. We therefore suggest that future studies compare our results to *P. gymnasium*  
325 from WACS and to museum specimens collected from EACS of the Dutch North Sea prior to 2009.

326 *The host species affects nematode growth:* Host-parasite compatibility is an important factor determining  
327 infection rates of parasites (Laguerre et al. 2011). While parasites infect a wide variety of host species, they often  
328 reach maturity in only a subset of hosts. However, all host species recorded here and in Gosselin and Measures  
329 (1997) and Railliet (1899) were infected with mature females. Interspecific host differences in infection levels  
330 can be related to morphological and/or physiological compatibility, affecting parasite growth and fecundity  
331 (Laguerre et al. 2011). Gosselin and Measures (1997) suggested that their differences in *P. gymnasium* body length  
332 between seal species could have been due to a host species effect. However, this hypothesis cannot explain the  
333 difference in *P. gymnasium* body length between WACS and EACS, since they are common seal subspecies, and  
334 it also cannot explain the difference between EACS *P. gymnasium* from The Netherlands and France. Also,  
335 although our females were not significantly longer than the females from the harp seals of Les Escoumins, they  
336 were significantly longer than those from the harp seals of St. Brides. We do not think therefore that this  
337 hypothesis is a likely explanation.

338 *The environment within the host affects nematode growth:* Although the size of an organism is partially  
339 determined genetically, the environment can also affect body size (Tuck 2014). In nematodes, substantial growth  
340 in organismal volume can occur via cell size during the adult stage, after cytokinesis has ended (Nyström et al.  
341 2002). Dietary restriction in the eutelic free-living nematode, *C. elegans*, is associated with reduced DBL-1  
342 signalling, so that it will not grow to its expected size (Tuck 2014). Growth is also modulated by signals from  
343 chemosensory neurons and from the gonad that are DBL-1 independent. Thus, it is clear that in free-living  
344 nematodes, within a species, environmental cues can affect body length.

345 In parasitic nematodes, the environment within the host can affect adult body length, particularly of the females.  
346 This has been well studied in *Teladorsagia circumcincta* and *Haemonchus contortus* from sheep. Immunity to  
347 both these species includes modulating adult worm length and hence fecundity by the interaction of eosinophils

348 and parasite-specific IgA (Henderson and Stear 2006; Hernández et al. 2016). Generally, these worms have more  
349 severe effects on growing lambs than mature sheep, and nematode mass rather than number determines the  
350 severity of the infection (Stear et al. 1999; Mair et al. 2015). It has been proposed that immunity to *T.*  
351 *circumcincta* develops in 2 stages; first by the control of nematode growth and thus fecundity in lambs and  
352 subsequently by control of nematode number in sheep (Stear et al. 1999). Genetic variation in individual lambs  
353 has been shown to account for most of the variation in *T. circumcincta* adult length, including genetic variation  
354 in the nematodes themselves. Thus, the heritability of worm length is strong and within an individual lamb most  
355 of the adult female worms are of similar length. Lambs with long females also have long males, but the males  
356 are generally shorter. Jacobs and Rose (1990) found that the occurrence of “giant” adult *Teladorsagia* spp. in  
357 Greenlandic compared to British sheep was due to environmental rather than nematode genetic factors. Hong  
358 and Timms (1986) found that overall body length of adult *T. circumcincta* in sheep varied inversely to the degree  
359 of host resistance to the infection.

360 Since nematode growth generally stops or slows after maturity, a long prepatent period is usually correlated with  
361 large body size (Morand 1996). Maturity occurs at the age that maximizes reproductive success and thus when  
362 mortality rate is low, such as in an immunosuppressed host, a long maturation time is favoured. This has  
363 implications for the effects of drugs that select for changes in parasite life histories (Skorping 2007). Leignel and  
364 Cabaret (2001) showed that both susceptible and resistant *T. circumcincta* increased in size when exposed to  
365 selective pressure by anthelmintics. The rehabilitation treatment at Seal Centre Pieterburen involved a regime  
366 including anthelmintics. A worm response to these drugs could explain some, but not all, of the current results  
367 because 3 of our study animals coughed mature female worms within 1-2 days of admittance. A modelling study  
368 by Jensen et al. (2017) suggested that rehabilitation and release of common seals could negatively affect the  
369 genetic diversity of the recipient seal population. Rehabilitation treatment might select for the survival of seals  
370 that lack immunity to *P. gymnurus*, thus allowing the worms to reach long body lengths over generations of  
371 seals. This may only partially explain our results though because the number of lungworm cases admitted to Seal  
372 Centre Pieterburen increased sharply only in recent years (Fig. 1), which would not have allowed enough time to  
373 impact the entire Dutch EACS population, and none of our animals had mature female *P. gymnurus* of the  
374 expected size.

375 Hoffman et al. (2014) showed that genome-wide heterozygosity was reduced in almost 50% of the lungworm  
376 infected young EACS (under 1 year of age) compared to uninfected young EACS they tested from the Dutch  
377 Wadden Sea. This may have implications regarding the immune response of the infected animals. Indeed, the

378 genetic diversity of Wadden Sea common seals is amongst the lowest for the species (Kappe et al. 1997). Also,  
379 severe disease, such as *Parafilaroides* spp. induced pneumonia may occur in hosts immunocompromised by co-  
380 infection with other agents (Measures, 2001). Thus, simultaneous infections may favour parasite establishment.  
381 Furthermore, exposure to toxic chemicals can increase the risk of deleterious effects, such as  
382 immunosuppression, in aquatic organisms (Measures 2001; Grieg et al. 2011; Lehnert et al. 2016). Persistent  
383 exposure to heavy metals and organic pollutants is associated with modulation of both innate and adaptive  
384 immunity in marine mammals and the prevalence and severity of their infectious diseases has increased in recent  
385 decades (Desforages et al. 2016). The immunotoxic threat to organisms in the Dutch North Sea is well  
386 documented (Rijks 2008; Laane et al. 2013; Mattig 2017). Lehnert et al. (2016) reported a correlation between  
387 pollutant exposure and transcription patterns of immune-relevant biomarkers in EACS and thus  
388 immunosuppression could play a role in the length of adult female *P. gymnorus* in this seal population. As top  
389 predators, seals bioaccumulate contaminants up the food chain and nursing pups are at a high trophic level  
390 (Frouin et al. 2011). The highest concentrations of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in PCS pups from central  
391 California were those that had nursed in the wild and then lost mass post-weaning, when POPs were mobilized  
392 from blubber into blood (Greig et al. 2011). Thus, they have the potential to cause deleterious effects precisely  
393 when the pups are learning to forage and are exposed to some of their first parasitic infections, such as  
394 lungworms. And, although no recent studies have examined contaminant concentrations in Dutch EACS blubber,  
395 little is known about the concentration and effects of emerging contaminants and the combined effects of  
396 contaminant mixtures on marine organisms (Laane et al. 2013).

397 Measures (2001) stated that during times of stress, *Parafilaroides* spp. infections may predispose healthy  
398 animals to respiratory disease. Indeed, Siebert et al. (1999) found an association between high mercury levels  
399 and the prevalence of parasitic infections and pneumonia in harbour porpoises from the North and Baltic Seas.  
400 Stress could be multifactorial and may also include climate change, hunting pressure, changes in prey  
401 abundance, habitat disturbance and noise. In this regard, it is interesting to compare the long female *P. gymnorus*  
402 of harp seals from Les Escoumins (Gosselin and Measures 1997), a region known to be polluted (Frouin et al.  
403 2011). However, at least at the time of sampling by Gosselin and Measures (1997), the common and grey seals  
404 from Les Escoumins were not infected by unusually long female *P. gymnorus* and they had a lower *P. gymnorus*  
405 prevalence than the harp seals from this location (Gosselin et al. 1998). The authors attributed this to the Arctic  
406 part of the harp seal life cycle. The harp seal was a new host record for *P. gymnorus*. But infected harp seals  
407 were in better body condition than uninfected harp seals, which the authors suggested could be due to more

408 intensive or earlier feeding post-weaning. Canadian Northwest Atlantic harp seals are subject to hunting  
409 pressure, averaging approximately 52,000 animals per year taken between 1982 and 1995 (Stenson 2014). Also,  
410 Gosselin and Measures (1997) sampled *P. gymnasium* between 1990 and 1994, which coincided with the collapse  
411 of groundfish species in the Gulf of St Lawrence and thus the diets of harp and grey seals changed (Morissette et  
412 al. 2009). We cannot pin down one exact reason for the long female *P. gymnasium* in Les Escoumins harp seals,  
413 but we can conclude that they were sampled at a time of flux for the St. Lawrence marine ecosystem, when the  
414 seals were under multiple stresses, which could have affected their ability to suppress lungworm growth. The  
415 EACS of the Dutch North Sea have also been exposed to multiple stresses and ecosystem change in recent years.  
416 The water temperature of the western Wadden Sea, which is an important nursery area for many fish species,  
417 rose by 1.5 °C over 25 years (van Aken 2008). Corresponding changes in fish phenology have occurred,  
418 including a general trend for fish to delay their annual immigration to and advance their emigration from the  
419 Wadden Sea (van Walraven et al. 2017; Tulp et al. 2017). There have been changes in fish habitat, coastal sand  
420 nourishments and nutrient dynamics, and fisheries have partially been responsible for declines in both large and  
421 small fish (Tulp et al. 2017). Also, rehabilitation has occurred at high levels in recent years (Jensen et al. 2017)  
422 and it has been suggested that this EACS population may be approaching or have reached the current capacity of  
423 the trilateral Wadden Sea (Brasseur et al. 2018). Population estimates for the Dutch Wadden Sea were however  
424 16,000 animals in 1900, after centuries of hunting (Dankers et al. 1990). We suggest that multiple anthropogenic  
425 stresses in Dutch EACS may provide an optimal environment for *P. gymnasium* and enable them to reach  
426 unusually long body lengths.

427 The hypotheses proposed here should be tested with further studies. These should include a comparison of the  
428 current *P. gymnasium* measurements with museum specimens collected from Dutch EACS. It should be  
429 determined whether mature female *O. circumlitus* from Dutch EACS also differ in length from those in the  
430 literature. Studies examining potential associations between lungworm length and number and host stress  
431 markers, tissue contaminant concentration, body condition, heterozygosity and markers of immune function  
432 should be performed. Finally, clues to the dynamics of *P. gymnasium* infection in Dutch EACS may be revealed  
433 by comparing the diet and other important parameters, such as immunity in grey seals of the Dutch North Sea,  
434 since despite the presence of *P. gymnasium* in Canadian grey seals (Gosselin and Measures 1997), grey seals of  
435 the Dutch coast have parasitic pneumonia that is caused solely by *O. circumlitus* (Seal Centre Pieterburen,  
436 unpublished data). Parasites link different ecosystem trophic levels and in addition to affecting host fitness, they  
437 can be responsible for indirect effects on species interactions and ecosystem functioning (Philippart et al. 2017).



438 Our knowledge regarding how anthropogenic changes affect the impact of parasites on coastal ecosystems is  
439 however limited. The presence of unusually long lungworms in a top predator that is under multiple  
440 anthropogenic stressors could therefore be a useful indicator of ecosystem change for future studies.

#### 441 **Conclusions**

442 We found no apparent morphological differences, except body length, between Dutch North Sea EACS  
443 *Parafilaroides* sp. and earlier descriptions of *P. gymnasium*, leading us to conclude that they were *P. gymnasium*.  
444 On a molecular level, the *P. gymnasium* from Dutch EACS were the same species as those recorded from German  
445 EACS, but since Lehnert et al. (2010) did not morphologically confirm the identity of their *Parafilaroides*, this  
446 does not verify the identity of our worms. The *P. gymnasium* in Dutch EACS were conspecific with those we  
447 sequenced from PCS. There was a significant difference in body length of mature female *P. gymnasium* between  
448 seal host species, geographic location (western versus eastern Atlantic) and over time in EACS. There was also  
449 an individual host effect on mature female *P. gymnasium* length in Dutch EACS and, with the exception of the  
450 harp seals of Les Escoumins (Gosselin and Measures 1997), this host had the longest female body lengths that  
451 have been described to date. Intraspecific genetic differences in *P. gymnasium* and environmental conditions  
452 within the host may provide an optimal environment for *P. gymnasium* and thus enable them to reach  
453 unexpectedly long body lengths.

#### 454 **Compliance with ethical standards**

455 **Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

456 **Ethical approval** All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care and use of  
457 animals were followed and samples were collected during the standard care and handling of rehabilitating seals.

458 **Funding** The study was funded by the Ministry of Economic Affairs, Government of the Netherlands and The  
459 Royal Veterinary College (RVC), UK.

#### 460 **References**

- 461 Anderson RC (1978) Keys to genera of the superfamily Metastrongyloidea. In: Anderson RC, Chabaud AG,  
462 Wilmott S (eds) CIH keys to the nematode parasites of vertebrates, no. 5. Commonwealth agricultural  
463 bureaux, Farnham Royal, pp 1-40
- 464 Al-Banna L, Williamson V, Gardner SL (1997) Phylogenetic analysis of nematodes of the genus *Pratylenchus*  
465 using nuclear 26S rDNA. Mol Phylogenet Evo 7:94-102. <https://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.1996.0381>

466

467 Blaxter ML (2004) The promise of a DNA taxonomy. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 1444:669-679.

468 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2003.1447>

469 Blouin MS (2002) Molecular prospecting for cryptic species of nematodes: mitochondrial DNA versus internal  
470 transcribed spacer. *Int J Parasitol* 32:527-531. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519\(01\)00357-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(01)00357-5)

471 Borgsteede FHM, Bus HGJ, Verplanke JAW Vanderburg WPJ (1991) Endoparasitic helminths of the harbor  
472 seal, *Phoca vitulina*, in the Netherlands. *Neth J of Sea Res* 28:247-250

473 Brasseur SMJM, Reijnders PJH, Cremer J, Meesters E, Kirkwood R, Jensen LF, Jeß A, Galatius A, Teilmann J,  
474 Aarts G (2018) Echoes from the past: Regional variations in recovery within a harbour seal population.  
475 *PLoS ONE* 13:e0189674. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189674>

476 Cable RM (1977) An illustrated laboratory manual of parasitology, 5th edn. Burgess, Minneapolis

477 CBS, PBL, RIVM, WUR (2017) Gewone en grijze zeehond in Waddenzee en Deltagebied, 1960  
478 - 2016 (indicator 1231, versie 14 , 23 november 2017 ). [www.clo.nl](http://www.clo.nl). Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek  
479 (CBS), Den Haag; PBL Planbureau voor de Leefomgeving, Den Haag; RIVM Rijksinstituut voor  
480 Volksgezondheid en Milieu, Bilthoven; en Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen.  
481 <https://www.clo.nl/indicatoren/nl123114>

482 Carreno RA, Nadler SA (2003) Phylogenetic analysis of the Metastrongyloidea (Nematoda: Strongylida)  
483 inferred from ribosomal RNA gene sequences. *J Parasitol* 89:965-973. <https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-76R>

484 Claussen D, Strauss V, Ising S, Jager M, Schnieder T, Stoye M (1991) The helminth fauna from the common  
485 seal (*Phoca vitulina vitulina*, Linné, 1758) of the Wadden Sea in Lower Saxony. Part 2. Nematodes.  
486 *Zentralbl Veterinarmed B* 38:649-656

487 Dailey MD (2006) Restoration of *Parafilaroides* (Dougherty, 1946) (Nematoda : Metastrongyloidea) with  
488 description of two new species from pinnipeds of eastern central Pacific. *J Parasitol* 92:589-594.  
489 <https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-3525.1>

490 Dailey MD (2009) A new species of *Parafilaroides* (Nematoda: Filaroididae) in three species of fur seals  
491 (Carnivora: Otariidae) from the southern hemisphere. *J Parasitol* 95:156-159.  
492 <https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-1521.1>

493 Dankers N, Dijkema KS, Reijnders PJH, Smit CJ (1990) De waddenzee in de toekomst - waarom en hoe te  
494 bereiken? pp. 112. RIN-report 90/19. Rijksinsituut voor Natuurbeheer, Texel.  
495 <http://edepot.wur.nl/388960>. Accessed 10 October 2018

496 Desforges J-PW, Sonne C, Levin M, Siebert U, De Guise S, Dietz R (2016) Immunotoxic effects of  
497 environmental pollutants in marine mammals. *Environ Int* 86: 126-139.  
498 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2015.10.007>

499 Dougherty EC (1946) The genus *Aelurostrongylus* Cameron, 1927 (Nematoda, Metastrongylidae), and its  
500 relatives; with descriptions of *Parafilaroides*, gen. nov., and *Angiostrongylus gubernaculatus*, sp. nov.  
501 *Proc of the Helminthol Soc Wash* 13:16-25

502 Elson-Riggins JG (2002) Characterization of *Otostrongylus circumlitus*, a lungworm from seals, and host-  
503 parasite interaction in harbor and northern elephant seals. Dissertation, University of California  
504 Riverside

505 Frouin H, Lebeuf M, Hammill M, Sjare B, Fournier M (2011) PBDEs in serum and blubber of harbour, grey and  
506 harp seal pups from Eastern Canada. *Chemosphere* 82:663-669.  
507 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.11.007>

508

509 Gasser RB, Chilton NB, Hoste H, Beveridge I (1993) Rapid sequencing of rDNA from single worms and eggs of  
510 parasitic helminths. *Nucleic acids Res* 21:2525-2526

511 Gosselin JF, Measures LN (1997) Redescription of *Filaroides (Parafilaroides) gymnurus* (Railliet, 1899)  
512 (Nematoda:Metastrongyloidea), with comments on other species in pinnipeds. *Can J Zool* 75:359-370.  
513 <https://doi.org/10.1139/z97-045>

514 Gosselin JF, Measures LN, Huot J (1998) Lungworm (Nematoda : Metastrongyloidea) infections in Canadian  
515 phocids. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 55:825-834. <https://doi.org/10.1139/f97-306>

516 Greig DJ, Ylitalo GM, Wheeler EA, Boyd D, Gulland FMD, Yanagida GK, Harvey JT, Hall AJ (2011)  
517 Geography and stage of development affect persistent organic pollutants in stranded and wild-caught  
518 harbor seals pups from central California. *Sci Total Environ* 409:3537-3547.  
519 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.05.047>

520 Henderson NG, Stear MJ (2006) Eosinophil and IgA responses in sheep infected with *Teladorsagia*  
521 *circumcincta*. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol* 112:62-66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2006.03.012>

522 Hernández JN, Hernández A, Stear MJ, Conde-Felipe M, Rodríguez E, Piedrafita D, González JF (2016)  
523 Potential role for mucosal IgA in modulating *Haemonchus contortus* adult worm infection in sheep. *Vet*  
524 *Parasitol* 223:153-158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2016.04.022>

525 Hoffman JI, Simpson F, David P, Rijks JM, Kuiken T, Thorne MAS, Lacy RC, Dasmahapatra KK (2014) High-  
526 throughput sequencing reveals inbreeding depression in a natural population. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA  
527 111:3775-3780. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1318945111>

528 Hong C, Timms BJ (1986) Variation in size of *Ostertagia circumcincta*, a nematode parasite of sheep, induced  
529 experimentally and during preparation and preservation. Syst Parasitol 9:39-42

530 Jacobs DE, Rose CH (1990) Studies on *Ostertagia* spp. from Greenlandic sheep: arrested development and  
531 worm length. Acta Vet Scand 31:333-337.

532 Jensen LF, Ejbye-Ernst R, Michaelsen TY, Jensen A, Hansen DM, Nielsen ME, Pertoldi C (2017) Assessing the  
533 genetic effects of rehabilitating harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*) in the Wadden Sea using stochastic  
534 simulations. Mamm Res 62:363-372. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13364-017-0323-3>

535 Kappe AL, Bijlsma R, Osterhaus ADME, van Delden W, van de Zande L (1997) Structure and amount of  
536 genetic variation at minisatellite loci within the subspecies complex of *Phoca vitulina* (the harbour  
537 seal). Heredity 78:457-463.

538 Laane RWPM, Vethaak AD, Gandrass J, Vorkamp K, Köhler A, Larsen MM, Strand J (2013) Chemical  
539 contaminants in the Wadden Sea: sources, transport, rate and effects. J Sea Res 82:10-53.  
540 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2013.03.004>

541 Lagrue C, Kelly DW, Hicks A, Poulin R (2011) Factors influencing infection patterns of trophically transmitted  
542 parasites among a fish community: host diet, host-parasite compatibility or both? J Fish Biol 79:466-  
543 485. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2011.03041.x>

544 Lehnert K, Ronnenberg K, Weijs L, Covaci A, Das K, Hellwig V, Siebert U (2016) Xenobiotic and immune-  
545 relevant molecular biomarkers in harbor seals as proxies for pollutant burden and effects. Arch  
546 Environ Contam Toxicol 70:106-120. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-015-0202-3>

547 Lehnert K, von Samson-Himmelstjerna G, Schaudien D, Bleidorn C, Wohlsein P, Siebert U. (2010)  
548 Transmission of lungworms of harbour porpoises and harbour seals: molecular tools determine potential  
549 vertebrate intermediate hosts. Int J Parasitol 40:845-853. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.12.008>

550 Leignel V, Cabaret J (2001) Massive use of chemotherapy influences life traits of parasitic nematodes in  
551 domestic ruminants. Funct Ecol 15:569-574. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0269-8463.2001.00567.x>

552 Mair C, Matthews L, De Cisneros JP, Stefan T, Stear MJ (2015) Multitrait indices to predict worm length and  
553 number in sheep with natural, mixed predominantly *Teladorsagia circumcincta* infection. Parasitology  
554 142:773-782. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182014001905>

555 Mattig FR (2017) Contaminants in bird eggs. In: Kloepper S. et al. (eds) Wadden Sea Quality Status Report  
556 2017. Common Wadden Sea Secretariat, Wilhelmshaven, Germany. Last updated 21.12.2017.  
557 <https://qsr.waddensea-worldheritage.org/reports/contaminants-bird-eggs>. Accessed 11 June 2018.

558 Measures LN (2001) Lungworms of marine mammals. In: Samuel WM, Pybus MJ, Kocan AA (eds) Parasitic  
559 diseases of wild mammals, 2nd edn. Iowa State University Press, Ames, pp 279-300

560 Morand S (1996) Life-history traits in parasitic nematodes: a comparative approach for the search of invariants.  
561 *Funct Ecol* 10:210-218.

562 Morissette L, Castonguay M, Savenkoff C, Swain D, Chabot D, Bourdages H, Hammill MO, Hanson JM (2009)  
563 Contrasting changes between the northern and southern Gulf of St. Lawrence ecosystems associated  
564 with the collapse of groundfish stocks. *Deep Sea Res Pt II Top Stud Oceanogr* 56:2117-2131.  
565 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.11.023>

566 Nyström J, Shen Z, Aili M, Flemming A, Leroi A, Tuck S (2002) Increased or decreased levels of  
567 *Caenorhabditis elegans lon-3*, a gene encoding a collagen, cause reciprocal changes in body length.  
568 *Genetics* 161:83-97

569 Osinga N, and 't Hart P (2010) Harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) and rehabilitation. *NAMMCO Sci Publ* 8:355-  
570 372. <https://doi.org/10.7557/3.2699>

571 Philippart C, Gerkema T, van der Veer HW (2017) North Sea coastal ecology: future challenges. *J Sea Res*  
572 127:227-230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2017.07.004>

573 Railliet MA (1899) Sur quelques parasites rencontrés à l'autopsie d'un phoque (*Phoca vitulina* L.). *Comptes*  
574 *Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances et Mémoires de la Société de Biologie* 51:128-30

575 Reijnders PJH, Brasseur SMJM, Ries EH (1996) The release of seals from captive breeding and rehabilitation  
576 programs: a useful conservation tool? In: St. Aubin DJ, Geraci JR, Lounsbury VJ (eds) *Rescue,*  
577 *rehabilitation and release of marine mammals: an analysis of current views and practices.* NOAA  
578 *Technical Memorandum NMFS OPR* 8:54-65

579 Rijks JM (2008) Phocine distemper revisited: multidisciplinary analysis of the 2002 phocine distemper virus  
580 epidemic in the Netherlands . Dissertation, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam.  
581 <http://hdl.handle.net/1765/14214>

582 Siebert U, Joiris C, Holsbeek L, Benke H, Failing K, Frese K, Petzinger E (1999) Potential relation between  
583 mercury concentrations and necropsy findings in cetaceans from German waters of North and Baltic  
584 Seas. *Mar Pollut Bull* 38:285-295. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X\(98\)00147-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(98)00147-7)

585 Skorping A (2007) Selecting for fast and slow maturing worms. Proc Royal Soc Lond B Biol Sci 274:1465-  
586 1466. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2007.0313>

587 Stear MJ, Strain S, Bishop SC (1999) Mechanisms underlying resistance to nematode infection. Int J Parasitol  
588 29:51-56. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519\(98\)00179-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(98)00179-9)

589 Stenson GB (2014) Updated estimates of harp seal removals in the Northwest Atlantic. DFO Can Sci Advis Sec  
590 Res Doc 2014/015. v + 35 p. [http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/publications/resdocs-  
591 docrech/2014/2014\\_015-eng.html](http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/publications/resdocs-<br/>591 docrech/2014/2014_015-eng.html). Accessed 17 July 2018

592 TSEG (2013) Harbour seal counts 2013. [http://www.waddensea-secretariat.org/monitoring-tmap/topics/marine-  
593 mammals](http://www.waddensea-secretariat.org/monitoring-tmap/topics/marine-<br/>593 mammals)

594 Tuck S (2014) The control of cell growth and body size in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Exp Cell Res 321:71-76.  
595 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2013.11.007>

596 Tulp I, van der Veer HW, Walker P, van Walraven L, Bolle L (2017) Can guild- or site specific contrasts in  
597 trends or phenology explain the changed role of the Dutch Wadden Sea for fish? J Sea Res 127:150-  
598 163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2016.10.001>

599 van Aken HM (2008) Variability of the water temperature in the western Wadden Sea on tidal to centennial time  
600 scales. J Sea Res 60:227-234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2008.09.001>

601 van Walraven L, Dapper R, Nauw JJ, Tulp I, Witte JIJ, van der Veer HW (2017) Long-term patterns in fish  
602 phenology in the western Dutch Wadden Sea in relation to climate change. J Sea Res 127:173-181.  
603 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2017.04.001>

604

605

606 **Tables**

607 **Table 1** Morphometric characteristics of mature (uteri contained embryonated ova) female *Parafilaroides*  
 608 *gymnurus* in eastern Atlantic common seals (EACS) from the Dutch North Sea compared to female *P. gymnurus*  
 609 from western Atlantic common seals of Canada, EACS of France, and harp, grey and ringed seals of Canada

| Character                             | Host and Geographic Location           |                            |                             |                         |                    |                         |                     |                           |                      |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
|                                       | Common Seals                           |                            |                             | Harp Seals <sup>b</sup> |                    | Grey Seals <sup>b</sup> |                     | Ringed Seals <sup>b</sup> |                      |
|                                       | Dutch North Sea <sup>a</sup>           | Les Escoumins <sup>b</sup> | Baie de Sommes <sup>c</sup> | Les Escoumins           | St. Bride's        | Les Escoumins           | Port Hood           | Holman                    | Salluit <sup>d</sup> |
| Body Length (mm)                      | 43.72 ± 10.77 (13), 25.43 - 69.73 (27) | 12.55 ± 4.30 (4) ****      | 22.5 ***                    | 35.18 ± 17.27 (5)       | 29.58 ± 8.32 (5) * | 20.12 ± 1.85 (3) **     | 20.99 ± 4.47 (4) ** | 13.32 ± 3.24 (5) ****     | 17.46 (1) ***        |
| Maximum Width <sup>e</sup>            | 149 ± 13 (13), 100 - 202 (28)          | 128 ± 53 (5)               | 170 ***                     | 173 ± 55 (5)            | 152 ± 44 (5)       | 106 ± 20 (3) ***        | 109 ± 16 (4) ****   | 96 ± 37 (5) ***           | 171 (1) ***          |
| Oesophagus Length                     | 165 ± 8 (12), 140 - 200 (26)           | 139 ± 7 (5) ****           | N/M                         | 170 ± 20 (5)            | 164 ± 4 (5)        | 144 ± 5 (3) ***         | 151 ± 15 (4) *      | 141 ± 6 (5) ****          | N/M                  |
| Oesophagus Width                      | 19 ± 1 (11), 17 - 22 (22)              | 15 ± 1 (5) ***             | N/M                         | 18 ± 3 (5)              | 17 ± 1 (5)         | 16 ± 1 (3) *            | 14 ± 2 (4) ***      | 12 ± 2 (5) ****           | N/M                  |
| Nerve Ring <sup>f</sup>               | 59 ± 12 (12), 30 - 91 (25)             | 48 ± 8 (5)                 | N/M                         | 70 ± 5 (5)              | 70 ± 9 (5)         | 59 ± 9 (2)              | 61 ± 7 (4)          | 53 ± 2 (5)                | N/M                  |
| Secretory-Excretory Pore <sup>f</sup> | 38 ± 7 (12), 22 - 56 (23)              | 39 ± 11 (5)                | N/M                         | 54 ± 5 (4) **           | 57 ± 8 (5) ***     | 49 (1) ***              | 46 ± 22 (4)         | 42 ± 7 (5)                | N/M                  |
| Tail Length                           | 31 ± 6 (12), 17 - 54 (26)              | 27 ± 2 (5)                 | 30                          | 31 ± 4 (5)              | 29 ± 3 (5)         | 28 ± 1 (3)              | 32 ± 7 (3)          | 26 ± 6 (5)                | 24 (1) **            |
| Vulva to Anus                         | 30 ± 7 (12), 17 - 90 (25)              | 25 ± 7 (5)                 | 48 ***                      | 51 ± 17 (5) **          | 42 ± 6 (5) **      | 32 ± 13 (2)             | 34 ± 10 (3)         | 26 ± 14 (5)               | 42 (1) ***           |
| Length of Larvae <sup>g</sup> (L1)    | 223 ± 14 (3), 207 - 234                | N/A                        | 290 **                      |                         |                    |                         | 254                 |                           |                      |

610 Measurements in µm unless otherwise stated. <sup>a</sup>Unless otherwise stated, measurements given as per host mean ± SD followed  
 611 by host sample size (parentheses), range for all worms measured followed by total sample size (parentheses). <sup>b</sup>Gosselin and  
 612 Measures (1997): mean ± SD, followed by sample size (parentheses) for all individuals measured. <sup>c</sup>Raillet (1899): mean for  
 613 all individuals measured. <sup>d</sup>N=1, data was compared by calculating chance for this data given the estimates of the distribution  
 614 given by the mean and SD of our own data. <sup>e</sup>Includes cuticle. <sup>f</sup>Measured from anterior end. <sup>g</sup>North Sea larval measurements  
 615 given as mean ± SD followed by sample size (parentheses) and range for all individuals measured, Gosselin and Measures  
 616 (1997) reported an average value for all host species. N/M = not measured. \*P≤0.05, \*\*P≤0.01, \*\*\*P≤0.001, \*\*\*\*P≤0.0001.

617 **Table 2** Morphometric characteristics of male *Parafilaroides gymnrus* in eastern Atlantic common seals  
618 (EACS) from the Dutch North Sea compared to *P. gymnrus* from western Atlantic common seals of Canada,  
619 EACS of France, and harp, grey and ringed seals of Canada

| Character                             | Host and Geographic Location          |                            |                             |                         |                    |                            |                    |                           |                    |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
|                                       | Common Seals                          |                            |                             | Harp Seals <sup>b</sup> |                    | Grey Seals <sup>b</sup>    |                    | Ringed Seals <sup>b</sup> |                    |
|                                       | Dutch North Sea <sup>a</sup>          | Les Escoumins <sup>b</sup> | Baie de Sommes <sup>c</sup> | Les Escoumins           | St. Bride's        | Les Escoumins <sup>d</sup> | Port Hood          | Holman                    | Salluit            |
| Body Length (mm)                      | 15.87 ± 3.00 (11), 10.32 - 22.22 (34) | 9.37 ± 1.77 (5) ***        | 16.5                        | 11.95 ± 2.55 (5) *      | 9.41 ± 3.97 (5) ** | 8.84 (1) ***               | 9.94 ± 1.54 (4) ** | 8.87 ± 1.28 (7) ****      | 10.57 ± 1.9 (5) ** |
| Maximum Width <sup>e</sup>            | 108 ± 10 (12), 80 - 135 (35)          | 112 ± 30 (5)               | 120 **                      | 133 ± 24 (5) **         | 110 ± 21 (5)       | 100 (1) *                  | 100 ± 12 (9)       | 96 ± 27 (7)               | 103 ± 11 (5)       |
| Oesophagus Length                     | 151 ± 7 (10), 129 - 189 (30)          | 136 ± 7 (5) **             | N/M                         | 152 ± 15 (5)            | 144 ± 13 (5)       | 152 (1)                    | 137 ± 7 (6) **     | 138 ± 19 (7)              | 137 ± 6 (5) **     |
| Oesophagus Width                      | 17 ± 1 (11), 14 - 20 (27)             | 13 ± 2 (5) ****            | N/M                         | 18 ± 2 (5)              | 15 ± 0 (5) ****    | 18 (1) *                   | 14 ± 3 (6) **      | 15 ± 3 (7) *              | 16 ± 2 (5)         |
| Nerve Ring <sup>f</sup>               | 56 ± 14 (11), 30 - 86 (33)            | 46 ± 8 (5)                 | N/M                         | 71 ± 9 (5) *            | 66 ± 7 (5)         | 63 (1)                     | 55 ± 8 (5)         | 58 ± 7 (7)                | 62 ± 6 (5)         |
| Secretary-Excretory Pore <sup>f</sup> | 33 ± 9 (8), 21 - 58 (26)              | 32 ± 15 (5)                | N/M                         | 47 ± 5 (3) *            | 54 ± 6 (5) ***     | 48 (1) **                  | 41 ± 5 (5)         | 44 ± 5 (6) *              | 44 ± 6 (5) *       |
| Tail Length                           | 12 ± 3 (11), 5 - 17 (21)              | 13 ± 3 (5)                 | N/M                         | 17 ± 3 (5) **           | 15 ± 3 (4)         | 14 (1)                     | 15 ± 2 (7) *       | 15 ± 6 (8)                | 13 ± 2 (5)         |
| Left Spicule Length <sup>g</sup>      | 42 ± 3 (8), 37 - 52 (17)              | 51 ± 8 (4) *               |                             | 46 ± 2 (5) *            | 46 ± 2 (4) *       | 40 (1)                     | 41 ± 5 (6)         | 42 ± 5 (8)                | 45 ± 4 (5)         |
| Right Spicule Length <sup>g</sup>     | 41 ± 3 (11), 37 - 45 (23)             | 46 ± 4 (5) *               | 44.5 ***                    | 46 ± 3 (5) **           | 47 ± 4 (4) **      | 43 (1)                     | 40 ± 5 (6)         | 43 ± 5 (8)                | 44 ± 4 (5)         |
| Left Capitulum Length <sup>h</sup>    | 6 ± 1 (9), 5 - 7 (19)                 | 12 ± 2 (5) ****            | N/M                         | 10 ± 1 (5) ****         | 9 ± 1 (5) ****     | 10 (1) ***                 | 9 ± 1 (7) ****     | 9 ± 4 (8)                 | 9 ± 2 (5) **       |
| Right Capitulum Length <sup>h</sup>   | 6 ± 1 (11), 5 - 8 (22)                | 9 ± 1 (4) ***              | N/M                         | 9 ± 1 (5) ****          | 9 ± 2 (5) **       | 9 (1) ***                  | 8 ± 1 (6) **       | 9 ± 3 (7) *               | 9 ± 1 (5) ****     |
| Gubernaculum Length                   | 15 ± 2 (11), 11 - 18 (15)             | 16 ± 2 (5)                 | N/M                         | 19 ± 2 (5) **           | 14 ± 1 (4)         | 13 (1) *                   | 13 ± 3 (6)         | 14 ± 2 (7)                | 13 ± 1 (5)         |

620 Measurements in µm unless otherwise stated. <sup>a</sup>Unless otherwise stated, measurements given as per host mean ± SD followed  
621 by host sample size (parentheses), range for all worms measured followed by total sample size (parentheses). <sup>b</sup>Gosselin and  
622 Measures (1997): mean ± SD, followed by sample size (parentheses) for all individuals measured. <sup>c</sup>Railliet (1899): mean for  
623 all individuals measured. <sup>d</sup>N=1. Data was compared by calculating chance for this data given the estimates of the distribution



624 given by the mean and SD of our own data. <sup>e</sup>Includes cuticle. <sup>f</sup>Measured from anterior end. <sup>g</sup>Following curve of the structure.  
 625 <sup>h</sup>Measured on the dorsal side. N/M = not measured. \*P≤0.05, \*\*P≤0.01, \*\*\*P≤0.001, \*\*\*\*P≤0.0001.

626 **Table 3** Morphometric characteristics of mature female (uteri contained embryonated ova) and male  
 627 *Parafilaroides gymmurus* obtained from eastern Atlantic common seals of the Dutch North Sea

| Character                                   | Female                                 | Male                          |
|---|--|-------------------------------|
| Width <sup>a</sup> at Intestine             | 74 ± 11 (12), 49 - 111 (26)            | 52 ± 9 (10), 36 - 83 (30)     |
| Secretory-Excretory (SE) Pore to Nerve Ring | 23 ± 10 (11), 7 - 41 (20)              | 15 ± 9 (8), 2 - 41 (26)       |
| Long SE Gland Length                        | 691 ± 163 (9), 457 - 978 (14)          | 541 ± 60 (12), 436 - 715 (23) |
| Short SE Gland Length                       | 608 ± 163 (10), 357 - 911 (14)         | 464 ± 55 (10), 322 - 642 (21) |
| Long SE Gland Nucleus Length                | 24 ± 3 (4), 17 - 31 (7)                | 21 ± 7 (8), 12 - 35 (15)      |
| Short SE Gland Nucleus Length               | 24 ± 3 (5), 17 - 30 (9)                | 18 ± 8 (7), 7 - 30 (17)       |
| Long SE Gland Nucleus Width                 | 18 ± 1 (4), 16 - 20 (7)                | 13 ± 3 (8), 6 - 17 (15)       |
| Short SE Gland Nucleus Width                | 20 ± 5 (5), 12 - 27 (9)                | 13 ± 3 (7), 9 - 20 (17)       |
| Vulva Position <sup>b</sup> (mm)            | 45.46 ± 10.01 (12), 29.29 - 69.66 (24) | N/A                           |
| Vulva, % Body Length                        | 99.85 ± 0.04 (12), 99.66 - 99.90 (24)  | N/A                           |
| Vulva to Posterior                          | 61 ± 10 (12), 37 - 123 (26)            | N/A                           |
| Vaginal Sphincter Length <sup>c</sup>       | 49 ± 7 (12), 35 - 62 (23)              | N/A                           |
| Width <sup>a</sup> at vulva                 | 79 ± 12 (12), 52 - 104 (24)            | N/A                           |
| Width <sup>a</sup> at anus                  | 54 ± 14 (12), 30 - 89 (23)             | N/A                           |
| Attenuation Ratio <sup>d</sup>              | 0.59 ± 0.13 (12), 0.39 - 0.88 (23)     | N/A                           |
| Left Spicule Maximum Width                  | N/A                                    | 8 ± 1 (7), 5 - 11 (19)        |
| Right Spicule Maximum Width                 | N/A                                    | 8 ± 1 (9), 5 - 11 (22)        |

628 Measurements in µm unless otherwise stated and given as per host mean ± SD followed by host sample size (parentheses),  
 629 range for all worms measured followed by total sample size (parentheses). <sup>a</sup>Includes cuticle. <sup>b</sup>Measured from anterior end.  
 630 <sup>c</sup>Orientated in lateral view. <sup>d</sup>Tail length/width (at anus). N/A = not applicable.

631

632 **Table 4** GenBank BLASTn results for the ITS-2 region of rDNA, D3 expansion loop (28S rDNA) and COI  
633 region of *Parafilaroides* sp. from eastern Atlantic common seal (EACS) of the Dutch North Sea and Pacific  
634 common seal (PCS) and California sea lion (CSL) from the California coast

| Region of DNA           | Host     | Accession | Sequence Length | Identity to <i>P. gymnurus</i> (FJ787304) |           |          |     |      |           |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|---|-----------|----------|-----|------|-----------|
|                         |          |           |                 | % Cover                                   | % ID      | E value  |     |      |           |
| ITS-2                   | EACS     | LT984653  | 520             | 100                                       | 99.6      | 0.00E+00 |     |      |           |
|                         |          | LT984651  | 520             | 100                                       | 99.4      | 0.00E+00 |     |      |           |
|                         |          | LT984652  | 520             | 100                                       | 99.6      | 0.00E+00 |     |      |           |
|                         | PCS      | LT984654  | 453             | 100                                       | 99.6      | 0.00E+00 |     |      |           |
|                         | CSL      | LT984655  | 421             | 64  | 75.4      | 7.00E-45 |     |      |           |
| D3 Expansion Loop (28S) | Host     | Accession | Sequence Length | Identity to <i>P. decorus</i> (AM039757)  |           |          |     |      |           |
|                         |          |           |                 | % Cover                                   | % ID      | E value  |     |      |           |
|                         |          |           |                 | EACS                                      | LT98456   | 310      | 100 | 97.1 | 6.00E-146 |
|                         |          |           |                 | PCS                                       | LT984657  | 310      | 100 | 97.1 | 6.00E-146 |
| CSL                     | N/A      | 315       | 100             | 100                                       | 2.00E-158 |          |     |      |           |
| COI                     | Host     | Accession | Sequence Length | Identity to <i>P. normani</i> (KJ801815)  |           |          |     |      |           |
|                         |          |           |                 | % Cover                                   | % ID      | E value  |     |      |           |
|                         |          |           |                 | EACS                                      | LT591890  | 645      | 100 | 89.8 | 0.00E+00  |
|                         |          |           |                 |   | LT591891  | 645      | 100 | 89.6 | 0.00E+00  |
|                         |          |           |                 | PCS                                       | LT591893  | 645      | 100 | 89.5 | 0.00E+00  |
| CSL                     | LT591892 | 595       | 99              | 91.4                                      | 0.00E+00  |          |     |      |           |

635  
636 **Table 5** Polymorphic sites in the ITS-2 region of rDNA in *Parafilaroides* sp. from eastern Atlantic common seal  
637 (*Phoca vitulina vitulina*) of the Dutch North Sea (PGHOLITS2GEN1-3) (LT984653, LT984651, LT984652)  
638 compared to the German *P. gymnurus* reference sequence (FJ787304) and *Parafilaroides* sp. from Pacific  
639 common seal (*Phoca vitulina richardsi*) of California, USA (PSPPVUSAITS2) (LT984654).

| Genotype | SNP Position <sup>a</sup> |     |     |     |     |
|----------|---------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|          | 210                       | 211 | 330 | 373 | 385 |
| FJ787304 | T                         | T   | A   | A   | G   |
| LT984653 | T                         | A   | A   | A   | A   |
| LT984651 | C                         | T   | G   | A   | A   |
| LT984652 | C                         | T   | A   | A   | A   |
| LT984654 | T                         | A   | A   | T   | G   |

640 <sup>a</sup>Sequence begins from base 1 of the Dutch *Parafilaroides* sp. sequences

## 641 Figure Legends

642 **Fig. 1** Number of live-stranded eastern Atlantic common seals admitted to Seal Centre Pieterburen (1971-2013).

643 Each year starts with the stranding of the first orphaned pup, which is usually in May

644 **Fig. 2** Morphology of female (a-d, h) and male (e-g) *Parafilaroides gymnurus* from eastern Atlantic common

645 seals of the Dutch North Sea. Bar is 50 µm unless otherwise stated. A = anus; Ca = capitulum; Co = calomus;

646 DM = distal vaginal sphincter muscle; G = gubernaculum; L = lamina; P = papilla; PM = proximal vaginal

647 sphincter muscle; S = spicule; SV = supplementary valve, V= vulva; VS = vaginal sphincter, labelled at

648 indentation between distal and proximal sphincters. a Bipartite sphincter in an immature female (no embryonated

649 ova visible), lateral view, attenuation ratio 0.57; b Bipartite vaginal sphincter of a mature female (containing  
650 larvae), lateral view, with patent distal muscle and bluntly rounded tail (ratio 0.39); c Mature female showing  
651 supplementary valve at proximal end of vaginal sphincter, lateral view, attenuation ratio 0.63; d Ventral view of  
652 mature female, showing vulva and anus; e Ventral view of mature male showing spicules: proximal ends are  
653 wide apart and distal ends are close together, forming a 'V' shape; f Lateral view of mature male showing both  
654 spicules, gubernaculum, and terminal caudal papilla; g Lateral view of right spicule showing capitulum,  
655 calomus, and lamina. h Attenuated tail (ratio 0.88) of mature female, lateral view

656 **Fig. 3** Histogram showing the total body length of mature adult *Parafilaroides gymmurus* from eastern Atlantic  
657 common seals (EACS, *Phoca vitulina vitulina*) of the Dutch North Sea compared to *P. gymmurus* from western  
658 Atlantic common seals (*Phoca vitulina concolor*) of Canada (Gosselin and Measures 1997) and EACS of France  
659 (Railliet 1899). \*\*\*\* P<0.0001, \*\*\* P<0.001

660

661 All figures were created using Adobe Illustrator.