

This is a repository copy of *Is there an evidence-based number of sessions in outpatient psychotherapy? – A comparison of naturalistic conditions across countries.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/160793/

Version: Published Version

Article:

Flückiger, C., Wampold, B.E., Delgadillo, J. orcid.org/0000-0001-5349-230X et al. (3 more authors) (2020) Is there an evidence-based number of sessions in outpatient psychotherapy? – A comparison of naturalistic conditions across countries. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics. ISSN 0033-3190

https://doi.org/10.1159/000507793

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics

Psychother Psychosom DOI: 10.1159/000507793 Received: March 25, 2020 Accepted: April 4, 2020 Published online: May 13, 2020

Is There an Evidence-Based Number of Sessions in Outpatient Psychotherapy? – A Comparison of Naturalistic Conditions across Countries

Christoph Flückiger^a Bruce E. Wampold^{b, c} Jaime Delgadillo^d Julian Rubel^e Andreea Vîslă^a Wolfgang Lutz^f

^aDepartment of Psychology, University of Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland; ^bModum Bad Psychiatric Center, Modum Bad, Vikersund, Norway; ^cSchool of Education, University of Wisconsin – Madison, Madison, WI, USA; ^dDepartment of Psychology, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; ^eDepartment of Psychology, Justus-Liebig-University Giessen, Giessen, Germany; ^fDepartment of Psychology, University of Trier, Trier, Germany

Deciding on the number of psychotherapy sessions to satisfactorily treat a patient is a vital clinical as well as economic issue in most mental health systems worldwide. The length of outpatient psychotherapy in naturalistic conditions ranges from a single session to hundreds of sessions [1]. In randomized clinical trials, the number of sessions is typically fixed to deliver manualized treatments and to control for dosage effects (e.g., in a 16-session format [2]). Using data from Routine Outcome Monitoring studies [3, 4], we investigated whether the treatments under naturalistic conditions were fixed to a particular number of sessions or not (H1), whether naturalistic conditions tended to include unusually long treatments (e.g., >100 sessions) (H2), and how the observed number of sessions was distributed across countries (H3).

Based on a systematic review, we identified 20 naturalistic samples across eight countries (published between 2015 and 2019; see Table 1). In individual therapy (k = 17), the number of sessions was not fixed, whereas the three studies that referred to a fixed number of sessions were manualized group or family therapy programs. In all samples, the mean number of sessions (MNS) was lower than 50 sessions with a range from 2.86 to 45.1 sessions (MNS mean = 12.90 sessions, MNS median = 8.21 ses-

sions, skewness = 1.71; Shapiro-Wilk, p < 0.001). Moreover, the MNS in individual therapy appears to be influenced by country-specific policies of mental health systems. Whereas in some systems the maximum sessions are mandated (e.g., contingent of max. 8 or 20 sessions in the UK), other systems have more liberal policies (e.g., Switzerland with time-unlimited policies), sometimes impacted by the particular funding scheme of the private or mandatory health insurances. In most samples, the MNS did not exceed the common 16-session format of manualized conditions, except for German samples where the policies allow for a considerably large number of sessions (t = 9.60, p < 0.001; with a contingent of more than 100 sessions for some treatments).

We observed three different prototypes in which treatment duration is determined: fixed session numbers, contingent of session maximum, and collaboratively negotiated session number. These different prototypes and its hybrid forms are likely to influence expectations about a particular number of sessions needed. Naturalistic settings most often apply custom-tailored and collaboratively negotiated session numbers, targeted at the patient's problems, needs, preferences, time resources, and treatment progress as well as financial resources. In practice,

Table 1. Mean number of sessions under naturalistic conditions (studies published between 2015 and 2019)

Author	Country	Manualized session number	Maximum of sessions	Type of naturalistic condition (condition x/y)	Sample size	MNS
Brattland et al., 2018	Norway	no	Not declared	Routine outcome monitoring feedback/treatment as usual	85/85	13.01/12.04
Burlingame et al., 2018	USA	yes	Fixed 12	Routine outcome monitoring feedback, group feedback/usual feedback	59/67	9.86/10.0
Carr et al., 2017	USA	no	<270	Routine outcome monitoring feedback	132	23.0
Davidson et al., 2017	UK	no	Not declared	Clinical outcomes in routine evaluation, feedback supervision/usual supervision	58/67	10.19
Delgadillo et al., 2017	UK	no	Contingent 8 or 20	Routine outcome monitoring feedback, before and after feedback study	349/245	10.25/6.59
Delgadillo et al., 2018	UK	no	Contingent 8 or 20	Routine outcome monitoring feedback, feedback/no feedback	1,176/1,057	6.54/6.35
Eeren et al., 2018	The Netherlands	no	Not declared	Routine outcome monitoring, multisystemic therapy or functional family therapy	697	7.96
Flückiger et al., 2019	Switzerland	no	<170	General change mechanism feedback	430	30.1
Gmeinwieser et al., 2019	Germany	no	Contingent up to 95	General change mechanism feedback	911	45.08
Hales et al., 2018	UK	no	Not declared	Routine mood monitoring feedback	11	6.27
Haugen et al., 2015	USA	no	Not declared	Routine outcome monitoring feedback	36	18.58
Hewison et al., 2016	UK	no	<151	Clinical outcomes in routine evaluation – outcome monitoring	877	23.3
Lutz et al., 2015	Germany	no	Contingent up to 95	Routine outcome monitoring feedback, feedback/no feedback	507/244	42.71/36.18
Malins et al., 2019	UK	no	<17	Outcome rating scale feedback, cognitive behavioral therapy/treatment as usual	56/23	8.08
Mechler and Holmqvist, 2015	Sweden	no	Not declared	Clinical outcomes in routine evaluation, primary care/ specialist psychiatry	840/317	6.01/7.65
Schuman et al., 2015	USA	yes	Fixed 5	Outcome rating scale feedback/treatment as usual	137/126	4.16/3.55
She et al., 2018	China	no	Not declared	Routine outcome monitoring feedback/treatment as usual	101/85	4.78/5.51
Tilden et al., 2019	Norway	no	<22	Routine outcome monitoring feedback or treatment as usual	328	5.66
Wise and Streiner, 2018	USA	no	<26	Routine outcome monitoring feedback/treatment as usual	82/79	15.91/15.08
Wolchik et al., 2016	USA	yes	Fixed 11+2	Family routine inventory feedback/literature condition	164/76	2.86/3.0

References of the primary studies can be requested from the first author. MNS, mean number of sessions; Manualized treatments, treatments refer to an apriori defined number of (manualized) sessions; Maximum of sessions, maximum of sessions reported in the primary studies; Fixed, fixed session number (defined a priori); Contingent, contingent of a maximum of sessions covered by mental health systems; <x/y, maximum of sessions observed in primary studies where session numbers were not declared as strictly fixed or contingented; Not declared, no maximum of sessions reported in the primary study. Systematic search performed on February 12, 2020 in Medline, PsycInfo, and Psyndex with the keywords "psychotherapy" or "psychological therapy"

Systematic search performed on February 12, 2020 in Medline, PsycInfo, and Psyndex with the keywords "psychotherapy" or "psychological therapy" combined with "Routine Outcome Monitoring," for articles published between 2015 and 2019. A total of 169 articles were identified, of which 59 referred to empirical data in the abstract. Seventeen studies reported a central tendency of the number of sessions (e.g., mean number of sessions). Contact of further five corresponding authors of included studies that did not report the mean number of sessions, resulting in three additional studies and an overall sample of 20 studies across eight countries.

treatment duration substantially depends on treatment progress and dropout rates [5–7].

There is little convergence across countries (and their policies) regarding how treatment duration should be decided. We urge for more precise empirical answers about how much psychological treatment is necessary for whom under naturalistic conditions. We also urge researchers and clinicians to exercise caution when generalizing conclusions regarding the optimal number of sessions across countries. Long-term research programs are needed to better understand how psychotherapy policies, expectations about required sessions, measurement of treatment progress, and the degree of collaborative negotiation may influence treatment duration and its effect on long-term efficacy and cost-effectiveness [8, 9]. We underscore the relevance of cross-cultural scientific societies, such as the International Federation for Psychotherapy (IFP) or the International Society of Psychotherapy (SPR), to consolidate evidence-based psychotherapy knowledge across particular countries and psychotherapy orientations.

Statement of Ethics

This article corresponds to the self-evaluation checklist of the ethics committee for psychological and related research at the University of Zürich, Switzerland. No approval was required.

Disclosure Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding Sources

This study was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant PP00P1_163702, recipient: Christoph Flückiger).

Author Contributions

C.F. and W.L. conceptualized the research questions. C.F. and A.V. did the systematic search. C.F., W.L., B.E.W., J.D., J.R., and A.V. interpreted the findings and were involved in writing up the letter.

References

- 1 Howes R. When to quit therapy? Psychology Today [Internet]. 2017 [posted 2017 Dec 19]; Available from: https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/in-therapy/201712/whenquit-therapy.
- 2 Lambert MJ. The efficacy and effectiveness of psychotherapy. In: Lambert MJ, editor. Bergin and Garfield's Handbook of Psychotherapy and Behavior Change. 6th ed. New York: Wiley; 2013. pp. 169–218.
- 3 Lutz W, Wittmann WW, Böhnke JR, Rubel J, Steffanowski A. [Results from the pilot project of the Techniker Krankenkasse (TK) "quality monitoring in outpatient psychotherapy": the evaluators' perspective]. Psychother Psychosom Med Psychol. 2012 Nov; 62(11):413–7. German.
- 4 Robinson L, Kellett S, Delgadillo J. Dose-response patterns in low and high intensity cognitive behavioural therapy for common mental health problems. Depress Anxiety. 2020 Mar;37(3)285–94.
- 5 Barkham M, Connell J, Stiles WB, Miles JN, Margison F, Evans C, et al. Dose-effect relations and responsive regulation of treatment duration: the good enough level. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2006 Feb;74(1):160–7.
- 6 Baldwin SA, Berkeljon A, Atkins DC, Olsen JA, Nielsen SL. Rates of change in naturalistic psychotherapy: contrasting dose-effect and good-enough level models of change. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2009 Apr;77(2):203–11.
- 7 Falkenström F, Josefsson A, Berggren T, Holmqvist R. How much therapy is enough? Comparing dose-effect and good-enough models in two different settings. Psychotherapy (Chic). 2016 Mar;53(1):130–9.
- 8 von Brachel R, Hirschfeld G, Berner A, Willutzki U, Teismann T, Cwik JC, et al. Long-term-effectiveness of cognitive behavioral therapy in routine outpatient care: A 5- to 20-year follow-up study. Psychother Psychosom. 2019;88(4):225–35.
- 9 Durham RC, Chambers JA, Power KG, Sharp DM, Macdonald RR, Major KA, et al. Longterm outcome of cognitive behaviour therapy clinical trials in central Scotland. Health Technol Assess. 2005 Nov;9(42):1–174.