1

1 A review of performance of Zika assays in the context of TORCH diagnostics 2 3 Bettie Voordouwa,b,#, Barry Rockxa, Thomas Jaenischc, Pieter L. Fraaija, Philippe Mayaudd, 4 Ann Vossene, Marion Koopmansa,# 5 6 Affiliations: 7 (a) Erasmus Medical Centre, Department of Viroscience, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 8 (b) State Institute of Public Health and Environment, Department of Infenctious disease 9 diagnostics, research and laboratory surveillance, Bilthoven, The Netherlands 10 (c) Heidelberg University Hospital, Department for Infectious Diseases, Heidelberg, 11 Germany 12 (d) London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Department of Clinical Research, 13 London, United Kingdom (e) Leiden University Medical Centre, Department of Medical Microbiology, Leiden, The 14 15 Netherlands 16 Running title: TORCH and perinatal Zika diagnostic challenges 17 18 19 20 **Corresponding authors:** 21 #Dr. Bettie Voordouw, MD, PhD, MPH, Medical Microbiologist a.voordouw@erasmusmc.nl, bettie.voordouw@rivm.nl 22 23 #Prof.Dr. Marion Koopmans, MVet, PhD. m.koopmans@erasmusmc.nl 24 25 26

27	TABLE OF CONTENT	
28	SUMMARY	3
29	INTRODUCTION	3
30	EPIDEMIOLOGY, INFECTION AND TRANSMISSION RISKS OF SELECTED TORCH PATHO	GENS
31	(FIGURE 1)	7
32	MATERNAL DIAGNOSTIC TESTING (FIGURES 2A – 2D)	9
33	Infection kinetics	9
34	Molecular assay performance and limitations	10
35	Serological assays and performance	11
36	Primary diagnostic assays	11
37	Confirmatory testing	12
38	Limitiations of serodiagnostic assays	13
39	FETAL INFECTION DIAGNOSTIC TESTING (FIGURES 3A – 3D)	14
40	POSTPARTUM DIAGNOSTIC TESTING (FIGURES 4A – 4D)	15
41	EFFORTS AT IMPROVING DIAGNOSTIC ACCURACY	16
42	CONCLUSIONS	18
43	FIGURE LEGENDS	20
44	REFERENCES	22
45		
46		
47		
48		

49 A review of performance of Zika assays in the context of TORCH diagnostics 50 Bettie Voordouwa,b,#, Barry Rockxa, Thomas Jaenischc, Pieter Fraaija, Phillippe Mayauda, 51 Ann Vossene, Marion Koopmansa,# 52 53 **SUMMARY** 54 Infections during pregnancy that may cause congenital abnormalities have been recognized 55 for decades, but their diagnosis is notoriously challenging. This was recently again illustrated 56 with the emergence of Zika virus (ZIKV), highlighting the inherent difficulties in estimating the extent of pre- and postnatal ZIKV complications, because of the difficulties in establishing 57 58 definitive diagnoses. We reviewed the epidemiology, infection kinetics and diagnostic 59 methods used in *Toxoplasma gondii*, Parvovirus B19, Rubella virus and Cytomegalovirus (TORCH) infections and compared that with current knowledge of ZIKV diagnostics to 60 61 provide a basis for the inclusion of ZIKV in the TORCH complex evaluations. 62 Similarities between TORCH pathogens and ZIKV support inclusion of ZIKV as an emerging 63 TORCH infection. Our review evaluates diagnostic performance for maternal screening, fetal 64 screening and neonatal screening. We show that sensitivity, specificity, and positive and 65 negative predictive value of TORCH complex pathogens are wide widely variable, stressing 66 the importance of confirmatory testing and the need for novel techniques for earlier and more 67 accurate diagnosis of maternal and congenital infections. In this context it is also important to 68 acknowledge different needs and access to care for different geographic and resource settings. 69 70 INTRODUCTION 71 The present Zika virus (ZIKV) epidemic was first noted through an alert from the Brazilian 72 health authorities notifying the World Health Organization (WHO) of an illness characterized 73 by skin rash in north-eastern states and subsequently signalling an almost 20-fold increase in

the incidence of microcephaly in newborns coinciding with rapid spread of ZIKV after incursion into the continent [1,2,3,4]. Until then, ZIKV infection was generally assumed to be associated with mild and transient disease, estimated to be asymptomatic in approximately 80% of the cases [5]. Hence, it is likely that the infection is underdiagnosed or underreported in a disease-endemic setting [6]. The association of ZIKV infection with congenital neurological disease has since then been subject of numerous publications. First establishment of ZIKV causal association with neuropathological processes came from a study showing widespread ZIKV infection in the brain of a fetus from a pregnancy that had been terminated due to severe fetal malformation [7]. Further evidence for the association came from larger case series, retrospective analysis of notification data from regions with prior outbreaks [8], and replication of the syndrome in animal models [9,10,11,12,13]. Although there is general agreement of such association, many uncertainties remain with regard to actual risk of fetal infection during pregnancy [14]. A systematic review estimated the prevalence of microcephaly at 2.3% (95% CI: 1,0-5.3% [15], but estimates range widely and little is known about the risk of complications in relation to timing of maternal infection (first trimester versus later exposures), prior (flavi virus) exposure, the rate of transplacental transmission in relation to these factors, the rate of fetal infection, and the rate of congenital disease once infected (Figure 1). Two recent reports suggest a decreasing risk over the course of pregnancy [16,17]. A recent European study showed an overall congenital anomaly prevalence of any (non-genetic) cause of 1.5/100 total births, but for microcephaly interpretation was hampered by differences in diagnostic criteria [18]. At present, ZIKV diagnostic algorithms are based on the use of reverse transcriptasepolymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for virus detection and/or serological determination of pathogen-specific IgM and IgG antibodies supplemented with virus neutralisation assays if

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

available. All of the assays have benefits but also known limitations, challenging interpretation at different stages of pregnancy, particularly in relation to the wide diversity of flavivirus background exposures in the regions where ZIKV circulates. Virus genome detection by RT-PCR is considered confirmatory but has a very short detection window as ZIKV viremia is short, although virus may persist for longer periods in other body fluids, with reported persistence up to 120 days for semen [19]. Also pregnant women may experience prolonged viremia [20,21], with reported (transient) presence of ZIKV in fetal blood and amniotic fluid described in a well described small case series [21]. Antibody based testing is severely hampered by cross-reactivity with antibodies from prior flavivirus exposures. Other infections during pregnancy are associated with congenital and subsequent neonatal disease, sometimes referred to as TORCH infections (*Toxoplasma gondii* (TOXO), Other [e.g. varicella zoster, parvovirus B19 (PB19)], Rubella (RV), Cytomegalovirus (CMV) and Herpes simplex (HSV) with or without syphilis [22]. Diagnosis of fetal infection and linking fetal infections to clinical outcomes requires knowledge of infection kinetics, including timing and differentiation of primary from nonprimary infection (i.e. re-activation, re-infection), maternal and fetal immune response in relation to pathology, and availability of biomarkers predictive of vertical transmission and presence and/or severity of fetal abnormalities [23,24,25]. For instance, TOXO and CMV cause persistent or latent infections, whereas RV, PB19 and ZIKV infection are thought to be primarily self-limiting. Immunocompetent pregnant women with previous infection with TOXO are considered not at risk for congenital abnormalities, whereas for CMV primary as well as subsequent infections are associated with congenital infection and abnormalities, albeit with a lower attributable risk. The recent ZIKV epidemic and its possible association with microcephaly has initiated the discussion to include ZIKV as novel TORCH pathogen [26].

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

Although the described maternal infections are an important cause of fetal and neonatal morbidity and mortality, on a global scale, the overall contribution to fetal and congenital diseases is limited [27,28,29] due to cumulative low and/or limited a priori risks of maternal infection, vertical transmission and subsequent congenital infection (Figure 1). Generally, risk of congenital disease following maternal infection is linked to primary infection and timing in pregnancy infection, which is pathogen dependent [25,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37]. Non-primary maternal infections may result in fetal transmission, but only in the case of CMV does this contribute significantly to congenital disease [38]. The low attributive risk of TORCH and ZIKV infections to congenital disease has consequences for diagnostic accuracy and the ability to provide information relevant for clinical decision-making. This is further complicated by the high proportion of asymptomatic maternal infections [6,35,39,40,41], challenging timely detection of fetal infection and early neonatal congenital disease, which may remain asymptomatic for years [40,42]. Early diagnosis of fetal disease risk in pregnancy, however, is important particularly when early therapeutic management is available eg. in TOXO [43]. Consequently, diagnostic algorithms should reliably and timely detect maternal infection, determine (risk of) vertical transmission and establish or exclude congenital infection. This review assesses diagnostic methods presently used for TORCH infections, their correlation with congenital and/or neonatal disease, their predictive value in prenatal screening; it will document gaps in methods used; and it will draw implications for diagnostic algorithms d evelopment in novel or (re)emerging infections such as Zika virus.

145

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

146 EPIDEMIOLOGY, INFECTION AND TRANSMISSION RISKS OF SELECTED 147 **TORCH PATHOGENS (FIGURE 1)** 148 The risk of infection during pregnancy varies by pathogen and depends upon geographic 149 region, prevalence in the population, and preventative (vaccination) practices. 150 The seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* among women of childbearing age shows a broad 151 range, from <2% in a large Chinese cohort [44] up to 75% in Brazil [45], with a mean 152 estimate of around 40% [46,47,48]. Similar broad ranges in seroprevalence between 30-72% 153 are reported for PB19 and CMV [49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56] although for CMV 154 seroprevalences up to 100% are also reported [57]. RV seroprevalence estimates depend on 155 (differences in) vaccination practices [58,59,60]. ZIKV seroprevalence has a geographic 156 distribution, varying from <2% in travellers returning from endemic areas or blooddonors in 157 non-endemic settings [61,62,63] to up to 39% in healthy individuals living in endemic areas 158 [64,65] increasing to >60% following outbreaks [66, 67]. These wide ranges in background 159 seroprevalence affect the likelihood of primary infection during pregnancy, as well as the 160 interpretation of diagnostic assays, and need to be taken into account when developing 161 diagnostic algorithms. 162 163 To assess clinical impact of exposure to TORCH pathogens and ZIKA during pregnancy, it is 164 important to consider maternal infection risk, fetal transmission risk, and congenital infection 165 risk for each pathogen (Figure 1). Maternal infection risk (MIR) estimates defined as the 166 annual infection rate for selected TORCH infections, range from 0.1-0.6% for TOXO [31] to 2-7% for PB19 and CMV [68,69,70,71,72,73], with epidemic rise up to 10% in PB19. 167 168 Reliable data for RV MIR is lacking in an elimination setting, but annual incidence is 169 estimated at 1.3/100.000 pregnancies in the general population [74]. A 6.4% IgG 170 seroconversion was reported in women with non-immune RV titers prior to pregnancy [75].

171 Although efforts have been made to calculate the maternal infection risk for ZIKV, reliable 172 data are still lacking, due to several factors including diagnostic limitations (e.g. cross-173 reactivity) [76,77], and difference and rapid changes in epidemiology. 174 Fetal transmission risk (FTR), defined as the proportion transplacental transmission following 175 (primary) infection during pregnancy, is also pathogen dependent and is linked to timing of 176 infection during pregnancy. FTR may increase (TOXO, PB19, CMV) or decrease (RV) 177 during the pregnancy period, with a variable mean FTR estimated to range from 24-80% for 178 these pathogens [25,33,42,78,79]. For ZIKV, FTR is thought to be highest during first 179 trimester, but more data is needed [16,17]. Perinatal transmission has also been reported for 180 ZIKV [80]. 181 Despite high FTR, the congenital infection risk (CIR) defined as number of congenital 182 infections per 1000 live births (or number of fetal deaths/hydrops fetalis per 1000 infeced 183 fetuses in PB19) is low, ranging from <1/100000 pregancies (RV) up to 0,1-20/1000 184 pregnancies in TOXO, PB19 and CMV [33,34,81,82,83,84,85,86,87,88,89]. Overall 185 congenital CMV infection is most prevalent in the developed world (5-20/1000 live births) 186 [30,90], followed by toxoplasmosis (0.1-5/1000 live births) [31,46], and RV (annual 187 incidence 0.4/100.000)[81]. Parvovirus B19 is associated with hydrops fetalis [82,83,84] and 188 fetal death, with an estimated annual incidence of <4/1000 fetuses [85]. Recent studies 189 estimated the contribution of symptomatic ZIKV during pregnancy to ZIKV associated 190 congenital disease at 7% [17], and evidence of acute infection in pregnancy at less then 4% 191 [77]. How this translates to the overall contribution of ZIKV to e.g. congenital microcephaly 192 prevalence depends on the baseline risk and these are uncertain [18,91]. A retrospective 193 analysis in French Polynesia estimated a risk of microcephaly associated with ZIKV infection 194 at 9.5/1000 pregnancies, with an overall risk of microcephaly in 0.2/1000 neonates [8].

CIR does not necessarily follow the FTR, with highest CIR in the 1st trimester for TOXO, RV and CMV [25,35,34,42] and highest CIR in the second trimester for PB19 [36,37]. Also, for ZIKV highest risk is reported in 1st trimester [8,17]. Overall CIR is limited to primary infection, except for CMV, where re-infection or re-activation contributes mainly to congenital CMV disease burden [56,92]. Latent or chronic TOXO infection does not exclude transmission but does not result in CIR in non-immunocompromised pregnancies [93,94]. In general, the low attributive risk of the reported infections to overall prevalence of congenital disease [27,28,29] impacts on the performance of diagnostic assays.

This implies that the low a priori attributive risk of TORCH and ZIKV to congenital infections needs to be included in every step excluding or confirming maternal, fetal and/or congenital infection.

## MATERNAL DIAGNOSTIC TESTING (FIGURES 2A – 2D)

### **Infection kinetics**

Interpretation of diagnostic testing during pregnancy requires knowledge on infection kinetics defined by prevalence and duration of symptoms, duration of pathogen presence in different body fluids, loads, timing of development of specific antibodies, background antibody prevalence, and relationship between these parameters. Molecular detection in early symptomatic infection is generally considered proof of acute primary infection [17,40,59,95], although not true for each pathogen, i.e. CMV. Primary infections typically show IgM and IgG development, determined with serological assays with or without confirmatory testing [96,97]. Reported antibody kinetics differ between selected pathogens. TOXO IgM seroconversion occurs relatively late between 15-30 days [98,99], whilst early IgM rise is observed for PB19 towards the end of the first week of infection coinciding with peak viremia [56] as well as for RV whose IgM rise within 5 days after rash onset [100]. CMV IgM may

become detectable between 0-3 weeks [101] with peak IgM observed between 1-3 months [102]. IgM antibodies against ZIKV also show an early rise and can be first detected within the first week after clinical symptoms, but also IgG antibodies can be detected within the first two weeks [103].

PB19 IgM can persist up to 3 months postinfection [104], and ZIKV IgM can also persist beyond 3 months with a reported wide range [105]. In addition, long-term persistence of rubella IgM is reported following vaccination [106,107,108], due to natural occurrence of non-specific IgM [109,110] and despite attempts to improve assays [111,112,113,114].

Differentiation of acute infection from latent infection or re-activation / re-infection is important in TOXO and CMV, as IgM/IgG may coincide thereby making it difficult to diagnose primary infection if first consultation yields an IgM/IgG positive test result [115]. In this case, confirmatory testing is needed, e.g., by AI or IB with presently available assays.

[47,116,117]. Development of CMV specific IgG with a negative sample collected earlier in pregnancy is considered proof of primary CMV infection, although in absence of routine screening this is usually not feasible [118].

#### **Molecular assay performance and limitations**

Although PCR assay specificity is high in acute primary infection, the window of PCR positivity may be short as shown for PB19 [95] [Figure 2]. Limited data from ZIKV showed a similar pattern. A recent external quality assessment (EQA) suggested similar more robust specificity and variable sensitivity between labs [119]. Furthermore, most acute (primary) infections in pregnancy are asymptomatic, and day of infection is unknown precluding use of this gold standard test. In primary PB19 in pregnancy, high viral load in acute infection is associated with early positive IgM [39,56,120,121]. Long-term, low load, DNA (desoxyribo nucleic acid) persistence is observed following PB19 infection [104,122]. In one study, the

use of endonuclease treatment before molecular testing differentiated naked DNA persistence from true viremia [123]. For ZIKV, rapid degradation of RNA (ribo nucleic acid) was reported in urine samples [124]. In acute maternal PB19 infection, positive predictive value (PPV) of PB19 PCR is high, but at time of fetal symptoms, the PPV of PB19 DNA detection in maternal blood is generally low, as clinical symtoms in the fetus are usually observed when maternal viremia has ceased. This timely relation is not established for other primary infections such as TOXO [125,126] or CMV [127]. Viremia in pregnant women is associated with vertical transmission risk and increased CIR but the relationship between maternal infection, FTR and CIR is different for different pathogens [128,129,130,131,132,133,134]. Currently, there is no obvious predictor for transmission risk. For instance, viral load does not differentiate transmitters from non-transmitters in CMV [129,130,135]. Absence of relationship with maternal disease severity or viral load was also recently described for congenital ZIKV [136]. Low viral load positives may occasionally not show IgM seroconversion (RV, ZIKV) [137,138,139], low assay sensitivity was suggested as one of the possible explanations [139]. Genotype differences may impact sensitivity of assays [140,141,142] which is important when considering using assays in different regions.

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

### Serological assays and performance

## Primary diagnostic assays

Generally, TORCH immunoassays report relatively high specificity for IgM and IgG or in IgM negative samples [34,108,113,143) but as the *a priori* likelihood of a maternal infection with TORCH pathogens generally is low, even a relatively low false positivity rate translates to a low PPV for all pathogens (including ZIKV) except PB19, stressing the need for confirmatory testing [144,145,146,147] [figure 2]. Comparative studies of assays, reporting relative performance data overestimate sensitivity and specificity [108,113, 143, 148]. In view

of the above, a positive IgM test result always requires confirmation with other assays [36,101,113,149] and follow up samples. More specific (recombinant) peptide specific IgM assays may provide solutions, but their performance also needs to be fully evaluated [121,150].

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

270

271

272

273

#### **Confirmatory testing**

The use of confirmatory testing with avidity index measurements (AI), immunoblots (IB) and virus neutralisation testing (VNT) is not consistent between pathogens and also show variable performances (Figure 2). Testing for AI is common practice for TOXO and CMV diagnostics, but not for PB19 [121] or RV [151]. The rationale for avidity testing is that avidity of antibodies increases with time, and high AI correlates with infection in the more distant past [152,153,154,155,156,157,158]. Confirmatory sensitivity of AI depends upon the initial screening platform used, as shown in one study. A negative initial IgM screening is unlikely to be confirmed [145]. IgM positivity combined with low AI increases sensitivity and PPV of the combined assays in diagnosing recent infection [159,160,161,162]. In contrast (persistent) low AI with positive IgG has a relatively high negative predictive value (NPV) [163,164]. High AI plus IgG usually confirms past infection, however, for TOXO AI maturation may never occur [165]. Rapid increase in AI in CMV was associated with false exclusion of recent infection [166] with higher FTR [167] and CIR [168]. Therefore, exclusion of acute infection based on (high) AI requires a predefined time window [101,114,169,170,171], and size of the window varies depending on pathogen, thresholds and platforms [172]. Different antigens, including recombinant antigens as target for antibody response may improve AI assay performance [170]. Antibody test results may also be confirmed by IB [101,173] or different complementary assays [174]. Epitope-specific IgG IB used in TOXO and PB19 could confirm IgM/IgG

measurements [175,176] particularly in equivocal outcomes [177] or in (false) negative results with high viral load [146] and helped timing of infection by correlation of IB with virusneutralisation in CMV [178] or with AI in TOXO [179].

An interesting application is the use of IB in TOXO for discrimination of maternal and neonatal antibody responses by comparing patterns of antibody binding to different proteins or peptides in blood from mother and neonate [180]. Limitations of IB include lack of standardisation with variable concordance between assays, particularly in acute infection [181,182] and different diagnostic accuracy of band patterns in the blots [175]. Predictive value of IB depends upon the target, and IgM blots often have poorer predictive value compared to IgG blots [173,183]. Virusneutralisation data are primarily available for CMV [96], but also commonly used in confirmation of ZIKV infection [184], with generally high assay performance.

#### **Limitiations of serodiagnostic assays**

A major limitation for all diagnostic methods described is interassay variability [98,185], use of different cut-offs, differences in classifications of positives [185,186], low agreement between AI index assays [187], variability between platforms [165,114,171,172,188,189,190,191] and lack of standardization. Another serious concern is the "grey zone" classification, i.e. the area between the negative outcome and the positive outcome of a test [98,114,153,163,164,166,186,187,192,193], which differs considerably between assays [194] and the lack of standardisation of cut-off values for the same assay [183]. Assigning the grey zone to the negative or positive group impacts on sensitivity or specificity (as indicated in figure 2, where for example category Tox+ denotes the assignment of grey zone to the seropositive group and Tox – assignment to the seronegative group)

[197,198,199,200,201], use of a standardcurve [202] and/or (international) standardization as shown in RV [186,203]. Even when general standards for defining seropositivity are applied, different assays show different performance characteristics which is impacted by the assignment of equivocal results to the positive or negative outcome [108,204,205]. Since this mainly affects sensitivity it increases NPV, particularly when prevalence decreases [92,168,206,207,208].

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

320

321

322

323

324

325

## FETAL INFECTION DIAGNOSTIC TESTING (FIGURES 3A – 3D)

In case of suspected fetal infection, molecular detection of virus DNA or RNA in amniotic fluid (AF) or cordblood (CB) is the primary diagnostic option in most cases except in TOXO. Limited serological data on AF include IgM determination [209,210]. IgG determination is not informative as it is usually of maternal origin. IgM and/or IgA determination in AF or fetal blood (FB) have low diagnostic value [211,212,213,214,215], whereas cell culture isolation (virological confirmation) is more specific for example in CMV [215]. Loads in FB or AF may be 100-1000-fold higher than in maternal blood [157,129,216], particularly in symptomatic fetuses [217,218,219] as shown in PB19, CMV and TOXO. Although (viral) load in primary infection may be high in the fetus [211] its presence is not necessarily associated with symptomatic infection [220,221,222,223]. Also, normal pregnancy outcome has been observed in maternal seroconversion without positive AF-PCR [208]. These discrepancies possibly reflect different windows of infection detection. Overall when available, PCR on AF or FB has good specificity and NPV in the fetus (Figure 3) [210,224,225,226,227,228,229] . For PB19, sensitivity has been shown to increase in presence of maternal viremia [216], and for TOXO with a shorter interval to AF or FB sampling [224, 226] or use of multicopy genes [230]. Assay performance may be different between AF and FB, with reported concordance between 73% and 99% [210,213]. Although

(transient) ZIKV was reported in AF and FB in fetuses of women with proven infection during pregnancy [21], there are no quantitative data on FB/AF in Zika available at this point [231].

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

345

346

347

## **POSTPARTUM DIAGNOSTIC TESTING (FIGURES 4A – 4D)**

Postpartum sequelae of fetal infections have been observed for TOXO, RV, CMV and ZIKV. Although literature is not consistent on this issue, fetal anemia following PB19 infection may result in severe postpartum sequelae [232]. In general, timely postpartum diagnosis is hampered by low sensitivity of IgM testing [33,36,40,205,228,233,234,235], the presence of maternal antibodies, and the high proportion of asymptomatic CMV or TOXO infected newborns [31,128,224,228,236], unlike for RV [237]. As a consequence, ascertainment of congenital disease typically requires longer-term follow up, posing challenges to the differentiation with postpartum infection [40,238,239]. IgM positivity in cord blood or peripheral blood in newborns <24 hours in RV and CMV confirms prenatal infection when supported by viral load testing [233,240]. IgM assay performance is better when testing is done more selectively, in symptomatic neonates as reported for TOXO [218]. Contamination with maternal blood should be excluded within the first 10 days postpartum if first sample was taken from CB, as shown for TOXO[35]. The majority of IgG detected at birth will be from maternal origin [31,241], but may be neonatal [242]. Generally maternal IgG is assumed to persist for less than 6 months [243,244], but for example in TOXO persistence of IgG level at 12 months is used to confirm or exclude congenital toxoplasmosis and IgG immunoblot is used to overcome the uncertainty about IgG origin [205,245]. Use of immunoblot or other multi-antigen assays early postpartum [249] has shown to provide an opportunity to differentiate congenital from noncongenital infection by comparing maternal and neonatal antibody binding patterns [246,247]. The feasibility of using differences in AI for this purpose has also been studied, e.g. for TOXO and CMV [247,248,249,250]. Slow IgG AI maturation in neonates, in combination with IgM correlated with congenital RV [251,252], identical neonatal and maternal AI excluded congenital toxoplasmosis [35].

Molecular testing of neonatal blood or urine has generally good specificity [239,253] with higher viral load, and longer RNA/DNA persistence in symptomatic babies, particularly in urine or throat samples for selected pathogens within a selected time frame after birth. [239,254,255,256]. Viral load has been used to differentiate congenital from postpartum infection, when early samples are available, but it is not clear if these findings can be generalized [257].

#### EFFORTS AT IMPROVING DIAGNOSTIC ACCURACY

There have been many efforts to improve diagnostic accuracy, however, this has not yet resulted in significant improvements. These efforts include development of recombinant (multi-) proteins and peptide specific tests using different techniques (e.g., immunoproteomics) [258] in (multiplex) assays to improve sensitivity and specificity [143,259,260,261], distinction between primary and postprimary infection [262,263,264], timing [265], and transmitters [266]. For example, recombinant proteins in novel avidity assays reported a PPV of >85% [267] and were better suited for IgG detection in TOXO [268] or could serve as proxies for functional antibody measurements like virus neutralisation in RV [269]. Multiplex assays are used for simultaneous detection of different antibodies in TORCH [34,108,113,143], which is important for differential diagnostic approaches. However, assays still have the performance limitations of the standard assays described. Microarray based assays are developed to improve simulatenous testing of antibodies of different pathogens, including (extended) TORCH [270]. Use of dry blood spots in multiplex

395 serological assays allows use of small volumes, and shorter diagnostic delay [271,272,273], 396 with potential better assay performance on for example plasmonic gold chip multiplex 397 immunoassay platforms as shown in TOXO [274,275,276]. Cell mediated immunity (CMI) 398 assay data (IGRA, ELIspot) particularly come from CMV but is not routinely used. Higher 399 CD4+/CD8 proliferative T-cell response was associated with primary infection 400 [277,278,279,280,281], improving assay sensitivity of low IgG avidity [282], but also 401 reporting different assay performances for example in primary infection and transmitters 402 [283]. Since CMI in the neonate is never from maternal origin, it is hypothesized that it might 403 aid in differentiating maternal from foetal ZIKV infection. 404 Rapid point of care testing, such as immunochromatography [98], loop-mediated isothermal 405 amplification (LAMP) [141,284] or digital microfluidic (DMF) diagnostic platforms [285] 406 studied for different pathogens, may further reduce time to first positive test, increase 407 sensitivity and/or decentralised availability in resource limited settings. Such developments 408 are also reported for ZIKV [286,287, 288,289] Alternative, novel methods in amniotic fluid 409 samples include comparisons of metabolic profiles (metabolomics) of transmitter vs non-410 transmitter infections [290,291], cytokine profiles [292] or peptidome prognostic classifiers 411 [229] to differentiate infected from non-infected fetuses, or distuinguish symptomatic from 412 asymptomatic infections postpartum. Such developments are particularly important as they 413 may provide early (prenatal) information on the risk of overt clinical congenital disease 414 postpartum.. Other non-pathogen related methods are those comparing differential gene or 415 protein expression between fetal cells and maternal cells [293]. In analogy with previously developed tests for non-infectious prenatal screening [294,295], genes associated with 416 417 neurodevelopment were studied as biomarkers in cell-free RNA transcripts in AF samples 418 [296]. Paper-based cell-free RNA was recently evaluated for rapid point of care testing of 419 ZIKV [297].

# **CONCLUSIONS**

422	Our review of approaches to diagnose acute maternal infection, determine vertical
423	transmission risk and establish presence or absence of congenital infection has shown
424	similarities but also large variation in approaches between pathogens, risking under-
425	exploration of methods for optimal diagnostics. Present diagnosis of TORCH and ZIKV
426	infections is primarily based on serological testing with a focus on IgM and/or IgG detection,
427	for which a variety of commercial assays is available. These assays show variable
428	performance and may not differentiate between primary and non-primary infections [115],
429	persistence or may be limited by cross reactivity [108,112]. A positive serological test thus
430	always requires confirmatory testing, including IgG avidity index determinations,
431	immunoblots, virus neutralisation and molecular testing [43,212,224].
432	Use of different assays and lack of (international) standardization hamper the interpretation of
433	and agreement between different studies [298], despite availability of (WHO) recommended
434	antigens, primers and probes [299]. Efforts to improve detection of primary infection and
435	timing in pregnancy have not yet resulted in reliable biomarkers for fetal or congenital disease
436	risk [300]. Even if protocols and/or algorithms are in place, variability between assays
437	interferes with unambiguous and timely decision making [301].
438	Thus predefined (more) generic approaches with standardized diagnostic assays and
439	algorithms are needed to improve adequate and timely diagnosis of (primary) maternal
440	infections, and subsequent postpartum congenital disease [302], particularly in low endemic
441	settings where suboptimal diagnostic performance may have an increased risk of false
442	positive outcomes. Lessons to draw from this review for novel challenges such as ZIKV are to
443	directly combine methods [52], increase epitope specificity (e.g., avidity, immunoblot, virus
444	neutralisation) and implement paired mother-fetus and/or mother-child testing, as was

recently reported for ZIKV neutralising antibodies [303]. Differences in background exposure to ZIKV and other flaviviruses will have an (age-dependent) effect on cross-reactivity and interpretation of protein-driven assays, such as IB or micro-array analysis. In these instances, CMI might be explored as alternative method to differentiate maternal from congenital ZIKV infection. Standardisation of (validated reference) methods is critical in order to compare different methods and might need (a) reference centre(s) to confirm acute infection. There is a plethora of studies describing potentially improved diagnostics for the TORCH complex infections, including ZIKV. Exploration of the broad range of published methods is important to improve diagnostic algorithms. In the meantime, it is essential to raise awareness among medical microbiologists and treating physicians about the limitations of the presently applied tests and algorithms, guiding protocol development for diagnostic testing of (novel) infections such as ZIKV and optimise diagnostic algorithms, for the different geographic and resource settings. Given the observed disconnect between the different pathogen specialist fields, we conclude that there is a clear case to be made for an integrated TORCHeZ diagnostic challenge.

461	FIGURE LEGENDS
462	Figure 1:
463	Seroprevalence, maternal infection risk, fetal transmission risk and congential infection risk
464	of the following selected infections: TOXO, PB19, Rubella, CMV and ZIKV.
465	
466	Legend:
467	* seroprevalence at childbearing age
468	** IR/yr= maternal annual infection rate
469	\$ FTR= fetal transmission rate
470	CIR = congenital infection rate= number of congenital infections per 1000 live
471	births (TOXO) or per 1000 pregnancies (RV, CMV, PB19, ZIKV)
472	
473	Figure 2: Routine maternal diagnostic methods: sensitivity and specificity, PPV, NVP
474	median point estimate +/- 95% CI
475	
476	Legend:
477	Abbreviations: PPV: positive predictive value, NPV: negative predictive value, 95% CI: 95%
478	confidence interval
479	References figure 2:
480	TOXO (n=28): 48,99,113,134,143,150,153,160,161,162,163,165,170,171,175,177,179,
481	183,189,195,199,200,201,204,205,209,262,304
482	PB19 (n=14):29,36,53,79,84, 86,121,146,176,197,211,305,306,307
483	RV (n=9):59,108,137,149,151,186,204,304,308
484	CMV (n=24):23,53,92,101,112,128,145,148,155,156,159,166,169,173,178,190,196, 204,
485	,206,250,265,281, 304,309
486	ZIKV (n=15):289,310,311,312,313,314,315,184,316,317,318,319,320,321,322
487	

488 Figure 3: Routine fetal diagnostics methods: sensitivity and specificity, PPV, NVP median 489 point estimate +/- 95% CI 490 491 Legend: 492 Abbreviations: PPV: positive predictive value, NPV: negative predictive value, 95% CI: 95% 493 confidence interval 494 References figure 3: 495 TOXO (n=13): 33,43,126,154,164,209,212,226,227,228,230,323,324 496 PB19 (n=5): 79,213,220,222,223 497 RV (n=1): 323 498 CMV (n=10): 89,129,169,210,222,223,224,229,277,323 499 500 Figure 4: Routine neonatal screening methods: sensitivity and specificity, PPV, NVP median 501 point estimate +/- 95% CI 502 503 Legend: 504 Abbreviations: PPV: positive predictive value, NPV: negative predictive value, 95% CI: 95% confidence interval 505 506 References figure 4: 507 TOXO (n=9): 174,201,212,228,234,246,248,249,325 508 PB19 (n=2): 36,85 509 RV (n=2): 233, 252 510 CMV (n=11): 102, 115,128,158,159,215,238,250,253,277,326 511 512

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Kindhauser MK, Allen T, Frank V, Santhana R, Dye Ch. 2016. Zika: the origin and spread of a mosquito-borne virus. Bull WHO. 94;9:675–86
- 2 Kleber de Oliveira W, Cortez-Escalante J, De Oliveira WT, do Carmo GM, Henriques CM, Coelho GE, Araújo de França GV. 2016. Increase in reported prevalence of microcephaly in infants born to women living in areas with confirmed Zika virus transmission during the first trimester of pregnancy, Brazil, 2015. MMWR. 65:242-7
- França GVA, Schuler-Faccini L, Oliveira WK, Henriques CMP, Carmo EH, Pedi VD, Nunes ML, Castro MC, Serruya S, Silveira MF, Barros FC, Victora CG. 2016.
  Congenital Zika virus syndrome in Brazil: a case series of the first 1501 livebirths with complete investigation. Lancet. 359:891-7
- 4 Baud D, Gubler DJ, Schaub B, Lanteri MC, Musso D. An update on Zika virus infection. 2017. Lancet 390;10107:2099–2109
- 5 Duffy MR, Chen TH, Hancock WT, Powers AM, Kool JL, Lanciotti RS, Pretrick M, Marfel M, Holzbauer S, Dubray C, Guillaumot L, Griggs A, Bel M, Lambert AJ, Laven J, Kosoy O, Panella A, Biggerstaff BJ, Fischer M, Hayes EB. 2009. Zika virus outbreak on Yap Island, Federated States of Micronesia. N Engl J Med.360:2536–43
- 6 Gourinat AC, O'Connor O, Calvez E, Goarant C, Dupont-Rouzeyrol M. Detection of Zika virus in urine. 2015. Emerg Inf Dis. 21:84-6
- Mlakar J, Korva M, Tul N, Popović M, Mateja Poljšak-Prijatelj, Ph.D., Jerica Mraz, M.Sc., Marko Kolenc, M.Sc., Katarina Resman Rus, M.Sc., Tina Vesnaver Vipotnik, M.D., Vesna Fabjan Vodušek, M.D., Alenka Vizjak, Ph.D., Jože Pižem, M.D., Ph.D., Miroslav Petrovec, M.D., Ph.D., and Tatjana Avšič Županc, Ph.D Zika Virus Associated with Microcephaly. 2016. N Engl J Med. 374:951-8

- 8 Cauchemez S, Besnard M, Bompard P, Dub T, Guillemette-Artur P, Eyrolle-Guignot D, Salje H, Van Kerkhove MD, Abadie V, Garel C, Fontanet A, Mallet HP. 2016.

  Association between Zika virus and microcephaly in French Polynesia, 2013-15: a retrospective study. Lancet. 387;10033:2125-32
- 9 Russo FB, Jungmann P, Beltrão-Braga PCB. 2017. Zika infection and the development of neurological defects. Cell Microbiol. 19: e12744
- 10 Kim K, Shresta S. 2016. Neuroteratogenic viruses and lessons for Zika virus models.
  Trends Microbiol. 24;8:622-36
- 11 Da Silva SR, Gao S-J. 2016. Zika Virus: An update on epidemiology, pathology, molecular biology and animal model. J Med Virol. 88;8:1291-6
- 12 Van den Pol AN, Mao G, Yang Y, Ornaghi S, Davis JN. 2017. Zika virus targeting in the developing brain. J Neuroscience.37;8:2161-75
- 13 Koide F, Goebel S, Snyder B, Walters KB, Gast A, Hagelin K, Kalkeri R, Rayner J.2016. Development of a Zika virus infection model in cynomolgus macaques. Front Microbiol. 7:2028
- 14 Panchaud A, Stojanov M, Ammerdorffer A, Vouga M, Baud D. 2016. Emerging role of Zika virus in adverse fetal and neonatal outcomes. Clin Microbiol Rev. 29:659-94
- 15 Coelho AVC, Crovella S. 2017. Microcephaly Prevalence in Infants Born to Zika Virus-Infected Women: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Int J Mol Sci.18;8
- 16 Shapiro-Mendoza CK, Rice ME, Galang RR, Fulton AC, VanMaldeghem K, Prado MV, Ellis E, Anesi MS, Simeone RM, Petersen EE, Ellington SR, Jones AM, Williams T, Reagan-Steiner S, Perez-Padilla J, Deseda CC, Beron A, Tufa AJ, Rosinger A, Roth NM, Green C, Martin S, Lopez CD, deWilde L, Goodwin M, Pagano HP, Mai CT, Gould C, Zaki S, Ferrer LN, Davis MS, Lathrop E, Polen K, Cragan JD, Reynolds M, Newsome KB, Huertas MM, Bhatangar J, Quiñones AM, Nahabedian JF, Adams L, Sharp TM,

- Hancock WT, Rasmussen SA, Moore CA, Jamieson DJ, Munoz-Jordan JL, Garstang H, Kambui A, Masao C, Honein MA, Meaney-Delman D. Zika Pregnancy and Infant Registries Working Group (2017). Pregnancy Outcomes After Maternal Zika Virus Infection During Pregnancy U.S. Territories, January 1, 2016-April 25, 2017. MMWR, 66:23: 615-21
- 17 Hoen B, Schaub B, Funk AL, Ardillon V, Boullard M, Cabié A, Callier C, Carles G, Cassadou S, Césaire R, Douine M, Herrmann-Storck C, Kadhel Ph, Laouénan C, Madec Y, Monthieux A, Nacher M, Najioullah F, Rousset D, Ryan C, Schepers K, Stegmann-Planchard S, Tressières B, Voluménie J-L, Yassinguezo S, Janky E, Fontanet A. 2018.
  Pregnancy outcomes after ZIKV infection in French Territories in the Americas. N Eng J Med. 378,985-94
- Morris JK, Springett AL, Greenlees R, Loane M, Addor MC, Arriola L, Barisic I, Bergman J, Csaky-Szunyogh M, Dias C, Draper ES, Garne E, Gatt M, Khoshnood B, Klungsoyr K, Lynch C, McDonnell R, Nelen V, Neville AJ, O'Mahony M, Pierini A, Queisser-Luft A, Randrianaivo H, Rankin J, Rissmann A, Kurinczuk J, Tucker D, Verellen-Dumoulin C, Wellesley D, Dolk H. 2018. Trends in congenital anomalies in Europe from 1980 to 2012. PloS one, 13;4: e0194986.
- 19 Paz-Bailey G., Rosenberg ES, Doyle K, Munoz-Jordan J, Santiago GA, Klein L, Perez-Padilla J, Medina FA, Waterman SH, Gubern CG, Alvarado LI, Sharp TM. 2017.
  Persistence of Zika virus in body fluids final report. N Engl J Med, 379:1234-43
- 20 Meaney-Delman D, Oduyebo T, Polen KN, White JL, Bingham AM, Slavinski SA, Heberlein-Larson L, St George K, Rakeman JL, Hills S, Olson CK, Adamski A, Culver Barlow L, Lee EH, Likos AM, Munoz JL, Petersen EE, Dufort EM, Dean AB, Cortese MM, Santiago GA, Bhatnagar J, Powers AM, Zaki S, Petersen LR, Jamieson DJ, Honein

- MA. 2016. Prolonged detection of zika virus rna in pregnant women. Obstet. Gynecol. 128;4:724-30
- 21 Schaub B, Vouga M, Najioullah F, Gueneret M, Monthieux A, Harte C, Muller F, Jolivet E, Adenet C, Dreux S, Leparc-Goffart I, Cesaire R, Volumenie J-L, Baud D. 2017.
  Analysis of blood from Zika virus-infected fetuses: a prospective case series. Lancet Inf Dis. 17:520-7
- 22 Nahmias AJ, Walls KW, Stewart JA, Herrmann KL, Flynt Jr. WJ. 1971. The ToRCH complex-perinatal infections associated with toxoplasma and rubella, cytomegol- and herpes simplex viruses. Pediat. Res. 5,405-6
- 23 Munro SC, Hall B., Whybin LR, Leader L, Robertson P, Maine GT, Rawlinson WD.
  2005. Diagnosis of and screening for cytomegalovirus infection in pregnant women. J
  Clin Microbiol. 43;9:4713-8
- 24 Forner G, Saldan A, Mengoli C, Gussetti N, Palù G, Abate, D. 2016. Cytomegalovirus (CMV) enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot assay but not CMV QuantiFERON assay is a novel biomarker to determine risk of congenital CMV infection in pregnant women. J Clin Microbiol, 54;8:2149-54
- 25 Silasi M, Cardenas I, Racicot K, Kwon J-Y, Aldo P, Mor G. 2015. Viral infections during pregnancy. Am J Reprod Immunol. 73;3:199-213
- 26 Coyne CB, Lazear HM. 2016. Zika virus reigniting the TORCH. Nat Rev Microbiol.14;11:707-15
- 27 Pasquini L, Seravalli V, Sisti G, Battaglini C, Nepi F, Pelagalli R, Di Tommaso M. 2016.
  Prevalence of a positive TORCH and parvovirus B19 screening in pregnancies
  complicated by polyhydramnios. Prenat Diagn. 36;3:290-3
- 28 Naresh A, Simhan H. 2012. Absence of viruses in amniotic fluid of women with PPROM: a case series. J Reprod Immunol, 96;1-2:79-83

- 29 Brkic S, Bogavac MA, Simin N, Hrnjakovic-Cvetkovic I, Milosevic V, Maric D. 2011.

  Unusual high rate of asymptomatic maternal parvovirus B19 infection associated with severe fetal outcome. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 24;4:647-9
- 30 Vossen ACTM. 2014. Virale infecties in de zwangerschap met risico voor het kind. Stand van zaken. NTVG. 158:A7418
- 31 Bollani L, Strocchio L, Stronati M. 2013. Congenital toxoplasmosis. Early Hum Dev. 89 (Suppl. 4): S70–S72
- 32 Lamont RF, Sobel JD, Vaisbuch E, Kusanovic JP, Mazaki-Tovi S, Kim SK, Uldbjerg N, Romero R. 2011. Parvovirus B19 infection in human pregnancy. Brit J Obs Gyn. 118;2:175-86
- 33 Bessières MH, Berrebi A, Cassaing S, Fillaux J, Cambus JP, Berry A, Assouline C, Ayoubi JM, Magnaval JF.2009. Diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis: prenatal and neonatal evaluation of methods used in Toulouse University Hospital and incidence of congenital toxoplasmosis. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.104;2:389-92
- 34 Cordier AG, Vauloup-Fellous C, Grangeot-Keros L, Pinet C, Benachi A, Ayoubi JM, Picone O. 2012. Pitfalls in the diagnosis of congenital rubella syndrome in the first trimester of pregnancy. Prenat Diagn.32;5:496-7
- 35 Sensini A. 2006. Toxoplasma gondii infection in pregnancy- opportunities and pitfalls of serological diagnosis. Clin Microbiol Inf. 12:504-12
- 36 Bonvicini F, Puccetti C, Salfi NC, Guerra B, Gallinella G, Rizzo N, Zerbini M. 2011.
  Gestational and fetal outcomes in B19 maternal infection: a problem of diagnosis. J Clin Microbiol. 49;10:3514-8
- 37 Žegarac Ž, Duić Ž, Borovečki A. 2013. Recent parvovirus B19 infection in late pregnancy. Int J Gyn Obstet.122;3:262-3

- 38 de Vries JJ, van Zwet EW, Dekker FW, Kroes AC, Verkerk PH, Vossen AC. 2013. The apparent paradox of maternal seropositivity as a risk factor for congenital cytomegalovirus infection: a population-based prediction model. Rev Med Virol 23:241-9
- 39 Crane J, Mundle W, Boucoiran I., MATERNAL FETAL MEDICINE COMMITTEE.2014. Parvovirus B19 infection in pregnancy. J Obstet Gyn Can. 36;12:1107-16
- 40 Saldan A, Forner G, Mengoli C, Gussetti N, Palù G, Abate D. 2017. Testing for cytomegalovirus in pregnancy. J Clin Microbiol 55:693-702
- 41 Vauloup-Fellous C, Grangeot-Keros L. 2007. Humoral immune response after primary rubella virus infection and after vaccination. Clin Vac Immunol.14:644-7
- 42 Weisblum Y, PanetA, Haimov-Kochman R, Wolf DG. 2014. Models of vertical cytomegalovirus (CMV) transmission and pathogenesis. Semin Immunopathol. 36;6:615-25
- 43 de Oliveira Azevedo CT, do Brasil PE, Guida L, Lopes Moreira ME. 2016. Performance of Polymerase Chain Reaction Analysis of the Amniotic Fluid of Pregnant Women for Diagnosis of Congenital Toxoplasmosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS One. 11:4:e0149938
- 44 Li Z, Yan C, Liu P, Yan R, Feng Z. 2009. Prevalence of serum antibodies to TORCH among women before pregnancy or in the early period of pregnancy in Beijing. Clin Chim Acta.403;1-2:212-5
- 45 Ribeiro AC, Mutis MS, Fernandes O. 2008. Association of the presence of residual anti-Toxoplasma gondii IgM in pregnant women and their respective family groups in Miracema, Northwest Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.103;6:591-4
- 46 Munoz-Zanzi CA, Fry P, Lesina B, Hill D. 2010. Toxoplasma gondii oocyst-specific antibodies and source of infection. Emerg Inf Dis. 16;10:1591-3

- 47 Villena I, Ancelle T, Delmas C, Garcia P, Brezin AP, Thulliez P, Wallon M, King L, Goulet V; Toxosurv network and National Reference Centre for Toxoplasmosis. 2010.
  Congenital toxoplasmosis in France in 2007: first results from a national surveillance system. Euro Surveill.15:25
- 48 Kamal AM, Ahmed AK, Abdellatif MZ, Tawfik M, Hassan EE. 2015. Seropositivity of Toxoplasmosis in pregnant women by ELISA at Minia University Hospital, Egypt.

  Korean J Parasitol. 53;5:605-10
- 49 Candotti D, Etiz N, Parsyan A, Allain JP. 2004. Identification and characterization of persistent human erythrovirus infection in blood donor samples. J. Virol.78;22:12169–78
- 50 Emiasegen SE, Nimzing L, Adoga MP, Ohagenyi AY, Lekan R. 2011. Parvovirus B19 antibodies and correlates of infection in pregnant women attending an antenatal clinic in central Nigeria. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 106;2:227-31
- 51 Lamarre V, Gilbert NL, Rousseau C, Gyorkos TW, Fraser WD. 2016. Seroconversion for cytomegalovirus infection in a cohort of pregnant women in Québec, 2010–2013. Epid Inf.144;8:1701-9
- 52 Zajkowska A, Garkowski A, Czupryna P, Moniuszko A, Król ME, Szamatowicz J,
  Pancewicz S. 2015. Seroprevalence of parvovirus B19 antibodies among young pregnant
  women or planning pregnancy, tested for toxoplasmosis. Przegl Epidemiol. 69;3:479-82
- 53 Zhou Y, Bian G, Zhou Q, Gao Z, Liao P, Liu Y, He M. 2015. Detection of cytomegalovirus, human parvovirus B19, and herpes simplex virus-1/2 in women with first-trimester spontaneous abortions. J Med Virol. 87;10:1749-53
- 54 Barlinn R, Vainio K, Samdal HH, Nordbø SA, Nøkleby H, Dudman SG. 2014.

  Susceptibility to cytomegalovirus, parvovirus B19 and age-dependent differences in levels of rubella antibodies among pregnant women. J Med Virol.86;5:820-6

- 55 Karacan M, Batukan M, Cebi Z, Berberoglugil M, Levent S, Kır M, Baksu A, Ozel E, Camlıbel T. 2014. Screening cytomegalovirus, rubella and toxoplasma infections in pregnant women with unknown pre-pregnancy serological status. Arch Gyn Obstet. 290;6:1115-20
- 56 de Jong EP, Walther FJ, Kroes AC, Oepkes D. 2011. Parvovirus B19 infection in pregnancy: new insights and management. Prenat Diagn. 5:419-25
- 57 Cannon MJ, Schmid DS, Hyde TB. 2010. Review of cytomegalovirus seroprevalence and demographic characteristics associated with infection. Rev Med Virol.20;4:202–13
- 58 Vynnycky E, Adams EJ, Cutts FT, Reef SE, Navar AM, Simons E, Yoshida LM, Brown DW, Jackson C, Strebel PM, Dabbagh AJ. 2016. Using seroprevalence and immunisation coverage data to estimate the global burden of congenital rubella syndrome, 1996-2010: a systematic review. PLoS One.11;3:e0149160
- 59 Zanga J, Mbanzulu MK, Kabasele A-F, Ngatu NR, Wumba DR. 2017. Rubella Seroprevalence and real-time PCR detection of RUBV among Congolese pregnant women. BMC Inf Dis.17;1:250
- 60 Mirambo, M. M., Majigo, M., Aboud, S., Groß, U., & Mshana, S. E. 2015. Serological makers of rubella infection in Africa in the pre vaccination era: a systematic review.

  BMC Res Notes, 8:716
- 61 Loconsole D, Metallo A, De Robertis AL, Morea A, Quarto M, Chironna M. 2018.
  Seroprevalence of Dengue Virus, West Nile Virus, Chikungunya Virus, and Zika Virus in
  International Travelers Attending a Travel and Migration Center in 2015-2017, Southern
  Italy. Vect Borne Zoon Dis. 6:331-4
- 62 Seruyange E, Gahutu JB, Muvunyi CM, Katare S, Ndahindwa V, Sibomana H,
  Nyamusore J, Rutagarama F, Hannoun C, Norder H, Bergström T. 2018. Seroprevalence

- of Zika Virus and Rubella Virus IgG among blood donors in Rwanda and in Sweden. J Med Virol. 8:1290-6
- 63 Borena, W., Hofer, T., Stiasny, K., Aberle, S. W., Gaber, M., von Laer, D., & Schennach, H. 2017. No molecular or serological evidence of Zikavirus infection among healthy blood donors living in or travelling to regions where Aedes albopictus circulates. PloS one, 12;5: e0178175
- 64 Saba Villarroel, P. M., Nurtop, E., Pastorino, B., Roca, Y., Drexler, J. F., Gallian, P., Jaenisch, T., Leparc-Goffart, I., Priet, S., Ninove, L., de Lamballerie, X. 2018. Zika virus epidemiology in Bolivia: A seroprevalence study in volunteer blood donors. PLoS Negl Trop Dis.12;3: e0006239
- 65 Gake B, Vernet MA, Leparc-Goffart I, Drexler JF, Gould EA, Gallian P, de Lamballerie X. 2017. Low seroprevalence of Zika virus in Cameroonian blood donors. Braz J Inf Dis. 21;4:481-3
- Aubry M, Teissier A, Huart M, Merceron S, Vanhomwegen J, Roche C, Vial A, Teururai S, Sicard S, Paulous S, Desprès P, Manuguerra JC, Mallet HP, Musso D, Deparis X, Cao-Lormeau VM. 2017. Zika Virus Seroprevalence, French Polynesia, 2014-2015. Emerg Inf Dis. 23;4:669-72
- Netto EM, Moreira-Soto A, Pedroso C, Höser C, Funk S, Kucharski AJ, Rockstroh A, Kümmerer BM, Sampaio GS, Luz E, Vaz SN, Dias JP, Bastos FA, Cabral K, Kistemann T, Ulbert S, de Lamballerie X, Jaenisch T, Brady OJ, Drosten C, Sarno M, Brites C, Drexler JF. 2017. High Zika virus seroprevalence in Salvador, Northeastern Brazil limits the potential for further outbreaks. mBio. 8;6: e01390-17
- 68 Hyde TB, Schmid DS, Cannon MJ. 2010. Cytomegalovirus seroconversion rates and risk factors: implications for congenital CMV. Rev Med Virol. 20;5:311-26

- 69 Revello MG, Tibaldi C, Masuelli G, Frisina V, Sacchi A, Furione M, Arossa A, Spinillo A, Klersy C, Ceccarelli M, Gerna G, Todros T; CCPE Study Group. 2015. Prevention of Primary Cytomegalovirus Infection in Pregnancy. EBioMed. 2;9:1205-10
- 70 Lazzarotto T, Guerra B, Gabrielli L, Lanari M, Landini MP. 2011. Update on the prevention, diagnosis and management of cytomegalovirus infection during pregnancy. Clin Microbiol Inf.17;9:1285-93
- 71 Adler SP. 2011. Screening for cytomegalovirus during pregnancy. Inf Dis Obstet Gyn. 2011: 942937
- Valeur-Jensen AK, Pedersen CB, Westergaard T, Jensen IP, Lebech M, Andersen PK, Aaby P, Pedersen BN, Melbye M. 1999. Risk factors for parvovirus B19 infection in pregnancy. JAMA. 281:1099–1105
- 73 Mossong J, Hens N, Friederichs V, Davidkin I, Broman M, Litwinska B, Siennicka J, Trzcinska A, van Damme P, Beutels P, Vyse A, Shkedy Z, Aerts M, Massari M, Gabutti G. 2007. Parvovirus B19 infection in five European countries: seroepidemiology, force of infection and maternal risk of infection. Epid Inf. 136;8:1059-68
- Bouthry E, Picone O, Hamdi G, Grangeot-Keros L, Ayoubi JM, Vauloup-Fellous C.
   2014. Rubella and pregnancy: diagnosis, management and outcomes. Prenat Diagn.
   34;13:1246-53
- Hutton J, Rowan P, Greisinger A, Mouzoon M. 2014. Rubella monitoring in pregnancy as a means for evaluating a possible reemergence of rubella. Am J Obstet Gyn. 211;5:534.e1-4
- 76 Champagne C, Salthouse DG, Paul R, Cao-Lormeau V-M, Roche B, Cazelles B. 2016.

  Structure in the variability of the basic reproductive number (R0) for Zika epidemics in the Pacific islands. eLife.5:e19874

- 77 Shiu C, Starker R, Kwal J, Bartlett M, Crane A, Greissman S, Gunaratne N, Lardy M, Picon M, Rodriguez P, Gonzalez I, Curry CL. 2018. Zika virus testing and outcomes during pregnancy, Florida, USA, 2016. Emerg Inf Dis. 24;1:1-8
- 78 Chalouhi GE, Benedetti S, Alby C, Benzina N, Ville Y. 2014. Cause of fetal demise in first-trimester parvovirus infection: anemia, placentitis or myocarditis? Ultrasound Obstet Gyn. 44;5:618-9
- 79 Puccetti C, Contoli M, Bonvicini F, Cervi F, Simonazzi G, Gallinella G, Murano P, Farina A, Guerra B, Zerbini M, Rizzo N. 2012. Parvovirus B19 in pregnancy: possible consequences of vertical transmission. Prenat Diagn. 32;9:897-902
- 80 Citil Dogan A, Wayne S, Bauer S, Ogunyemi D, Kulkharni SK, Maulik D, Carpenter CF, Bahado-Singh RO. 2017. The Zika virus and pregnancy: evidence, management and prevention. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 30;4:386-96
- 81 Giambi C, Montaño-Remacha C, Celentano LP, Derrough T; national focal points for rubella. 2015. Surveillance of congenital rubella and rubella infections in pregnancy in EU/EEA countries, 2012: Current status and future perspective to monitor elimination. Vaccine. 33;38:4929-37
- 82 Landolsi H, Yacoubi MT, Bouslama L, Lahmar A, Trabelsi A, Hmissa S, Aouni M, Korbi S. 2009. Detection of the human Parvovirus B19 in nonimmune hydrops fetalis using immunohistochemistry and nested-PCR in formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded placenta and fetal tissues. Pathol Biol. 57;3:e1-7
- 83 Beigi RH, Wiesenfeld HC, Landers DV, Simhan HN. 2008. High rate of severe fetal outcomes associated with maternal parvovirus b19 infection in pregnancy. Inf Dis Obstet Gyn. 2008:524601

- 84 Enders M, Weidner A, Zoellner I, Searle K, Enders G. 2004. Fetal morbidity and mortality after acute human parvovirus B19 infection in pregnancy: prospective evaluation of 1018 cases. Prenat Diagn. 24:513-8
- 85 Lassen J, Jensen AK, Bager P, Pedersen CB, Panum I, Nørgaard-Pedersen B, Aaby P, Wohlfahrt J, Melbye M. 2012. Parvovirus B19 infection in the first trimester of pregnancy and risk of fetal loss: a population-based case-control study. Am J Epid. 176;9:803-7
- 86 Sarfraz AA, Samuelsen SO, Bruu AL, Jenum PA, Eskild A. 2009. Maternal human parvovirus B19 infection and the risk of fetal death and low birthweight: a case-control study within 35 940 pregnant women. Brit J Obs & Gyn. 116;11:1492-8
- 87 Miyakawa M, Yoshino H, Yoshida LM, Vynnycky E, Motomura H, Tho le H, Thiem VD, Ariyoshi K, Anh DD, Moriuchi H. 2014. Seroprevalence of rubella in the cord blood of pregnant women and congenital rubella incidence in Nha Trang, Vietnam. Vaccine. 32;10:1192-8
- 88 Li JM, Zhang HF, Zhang XQ, Huang GL, Huang HZ, Yu WW. 2015. Genetic mechanism associated with congenital cytomegalovirus infection and analysis of effects of the infection on pregnancy outcome. Genet Mol Res. 14;4:13247-57
- 89 Lipitz S, Yinon Y, Malinger G, Yagel S, Levit L, Hoffman C, Rantzer R, Weisz B. 2013.

  Risk of cytomegalovirus-associated sequelae in relation to time of infection and findings on prenatal imaging. Ultrasound Obstet Gyn. 41;5:508-14
- 90 Schleiss MR. 2013. Cytomegalovirus in the neonate: immune correlates of infection and protection. Review article. Clin Dev Immunol. 2013:501801
- 91 Ticconi C, Pietropolli A, Rezza G. 2016. Zika virus infection and pregnancy: what we do and do not know. Path Global Health. 110;7-8:262-8 4

- 92 Tanimura K, Tairaku S, Morioka I, Ozaki K, Nagamata S, Morizane M, Deguchi M, Ebina Y, Minematsu T, Yamada H. 2017. Universal screening with use of immunoglobulin G avidity for congenital cytomegalovirus infection. Clin Inf Dis. 65,10:1652-8
- 93 Braakenburg AM, Crespi CM, Holland GN, Wu S, Yu F, Rothova A. 2014. Recurrence rates of ocular toxoplasmosis during pregnancy. Am J Ophthalmol.157;4:767-73
- 94 Kaňková S, Sulc J, Křivohlavá R, Kuběna A, Flegr J. 2012. Slower postnatal motor development in infants of mothers with latent toxoplasmosis during the first 18 months of life. Early Hum Dev. 88;11:879-84
- 95 Heegaard ED, Brown KE. Human Parvovirus B19. 2002. Clin Microbiol Rev.15;3:485-505
- 96 Fornara C, Furione M, Lilleri D, Cane I, Revello MG, Zavattoni M, Gerna G. 2015.
  Primary human cytomegalovirus infections: kinetics of ELISA-IgG and neutralizing antibody in pauci/asymptomatic pregnant women vs symptomatic non-pregnant subjects.
  J Clin Virol. 64:45-51
- 97 Maine GT, Stricker R, Stricker R. 2012. Kinetics of CMV seroconversion in a Swiss pregnant women population. Diagn Microbiol Inf Dis.73;3:275-77
- 98 Armengol C, Cassaing S, Roques-Malecaze C, Chauvin P, Iriart X, Berry A, Fillaux J. 2017. Time before anti-Toxoplasma IgG seroconversion detection by 7 commercial assays in French pregnant women. Diagn Microbiol Inf Dis. 87;2:103-7
- 99 Mahmoudi S, Mamishi S, Sua X, Keshavarz H. 2017. Early detection of Toxoplasma gondii infection by using a interferon gamma release assay: a review. Experim. Parastol.172:39-43
- 100 Tipples GA. 2011. Rubella diagnostic issues in Canada. J Infect Dis. 204 Suppl 2: S659-

- 101 Enders G, Daiminger A, Bäder U, Exler S, Schimpf Y, Enders M. 2013. The value of CMV IgG avidity and immunoblot for timing the onset of primary CMV infection in pregnancy. J Clin Virol. 56;2:102-7
- 102 Revello MG, Gerna G. 2002. Diagnosis and management of human Cytomagalovirus infection in the mother, fetus and newborn infant. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 15:680–715
- 103 Pasquier C, Joguet G, Mengelle C, Chapuy-Regaud S, Pavili L, Prisant N, Izopet J, Bujan L, Mansuy JM. 2018. Kinetics of anti-ZIKV antibodies after Zika infection using two commercial enzyme-linked immunoassays. Diagn Microbiol Inf Dis. 90;1:26-30
- 104 Lindblom A, Isa A, Norbeck O, Wolf S, Johansson B, Broliden K, Tolfvenstam T. 2005.Slow clearance of human parvovirus B19 viremia following acute infection. Clin Inf Dis.4:1201-3
- 105 Oduyebo T, Polen KD, Walke HT, Reagan-Steiner S, Lathrop E, Rabe IB, Kuhnert-Tallman WL, Martin SW, Walker AT, Gregory CJ, Ades EW, Carroll DS, Rivera M, Perez-Padilla J, Gould C, Nemhauser JB, Ben Beard C, Harcourt JL, Viens L, Johansson M, Ellington SR, Petersen E, Smith LA, Reichard J, Munoz-Jordan J, Beach MJ, Rose DA, Barzilay E, Noonan-Smith M, Jamieson DJ, Zaki SR, Petersen LR., Honein MA, Meaney-Delman D. 2017. Update: interim guidance for health care providers caring for pregnant women with possible Zika virus exposure United States (including U.S. territories), July 2017. MMWR. 66;29: 781-93
- 106 Khorrami SM, Mokhtari-Azad T, Yavarian J, Nasab GS, Naseri M, Jandaghi NZ. 2015.

  The etiology of Rubella IgM positivity in patients with rubella-like illness in Iran from 2011 to 2013. J Med Virol. 87;11:1846-52
- 107 Wandinger KP, Saschenbrecker S, Steinhagen K, Scheper T, Meyer W, Bartelt U, EndersG. 2011. Diagnosis of recent primary rubella virus infections: significance of

- glycoprotein-based IgM serology, IgG avidity and immunoblot analysis. J Virol Methods. 174;1-2:85-93
- 108 van Helden J, Grangeot-Keros L, Vauloup-Fellous C, Vleminckx R, Masset F, Revello MG. 2014. Evaluation of fully automated assays for the detection of Rubella IgM and IgG antibodies by the Elecsys(®) immunoassay system. J Virol Methods.199:108
- 109 Gussetti N, D'Elia R, Mottola A, Rigoli E. 1990. Natural immu- noglobulin M antibodies against Toxoplasma gondii during pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gyn.162:1359–60
- 110 Konishi E. 1987. A pregnant woman with a high level of naturally occuring immmunoglobulin M antibodies to Toxoplasma gondii. Am J Obstet Gyn. 157;4:832-3
- 111 Prince HE, Lapé-Nixon M. 2014. Role of cytomegalovirus (CMV) IgG avidity testing in diagnosing primary CMV infection during pregnancy. Clin Vac Immunol. 21;10:1377-84
- 112 Revello MG, Vauloup-Fellous C, Grangeot-Keros L, van Helden J, Dickstein Y, Lipkin I, Mühlbacher A, Lazzarotto T. 2012. Clinical evaluation of new automated cytomegalovirus IgM and IgG assays for the Elecsys(®) analyser platform. Eur J Clin Microbiol Inf Dis. 31;12:3331-9
- 113 Gay-Andrieu F, Fricker-Hidalgo H, Sickinger E, Espern A, Brenier-Pinchart MP, Braun HB, Pelloux H. 2009. Comparative evaluation of the ARCHITECT Toxo IgG, IgM, and IgG Avidity assays for anti-Toxoplasma antibodies detection in pregnant women sera.

  Diagn Microbiol Inf Dis. 65;3:279-87
- 114 Murat JB, L'Ollivier C, Fricker Hidalgo H, Franck J, Pelloux H, Piarroux R. 2012.

  Evaluation of the new Elecsys Toxo IgG avidity assay for toxoplasmosis and new insights into the interpretation of avidity results. Clin Vac Immunol. 19;11:1838-43
- 115 Dogan K, Kafkasli A, Kaya C, Cengiz H. 2013. Seroprevalence and seroconversion rates of cytomegalovirus pp65 antigen and cord blood screening of pregnant women in Malatya, Turkey. Eurasian J Med. 45;2:88-91

- 116 Nissapatorn V, Suwanrath C, Sawangjaroen N, Ling LY, Chandeying V. 2011;
  Toxoplasmosis-serological evidence and associated risk factors among pregnant women
  in southern Thailand. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 85;2:243-7
- 117 El Sanousi SM, Osman ZA, Mohmed AB, Al Awfi MS. 2016. Cytomegalovirus infection in a cohort of pregnant women. Am J Inf Control. 44;4:e41-3
- 118 Leruez-Ville M, Ville Y. 2017. Fetal cytomegalovirus infection. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gyn. 38:97-107
- 119 Charrel, R., Mögling, R., Pas, S., Papa, A., Baronti, C., Koopmans, M., Zeller, H., Leparc-Goffart, I., Reusken, C. B. 2017. Variable sensitivity in molecular detection of Zika virus in European expert laboratories: external quality assessment, November 2016. J Clin Microbiol. 55;11: 3219-26
- 120 Enders M, Weidner A, Rosenthal T, Baisch C, Hedman L, Söderlund-Venermo M, Hedman K. 2008. Improved diagnosis of gestational parvovirus B19 infection at the time of nonimmune fetal hydrops. J Inf Dis.197;1:58-62
- 121 Weseslindtner L, Aberle JH, Hedman L, Hedman K. 2017. The Chemokine CXCL-10 is a marker of infection stage in individuals with DNAemia due to Parvovirus B19. J Inf Dis.215;2:214-20
- 122 Baylis SA, Shah N, Minor PD. 2004. Evaluation of different assays for the detection of parvovirus B19 DNA in human plasma. J Virol Methods. 121;1:7-16
- 123 Molenaar-Bakker MWA, Russcher A, Kroes ACM, Koppelman MH, Lanfermeijer M, Zaaijer HL. 2016. Detection of Parvovirus B19 in blood: viruses or DNA remnants? J Clin Virol. 84:19-23
- 124 Tan SK, Sahoo MK, Milligan S, Taylor N, Pinsky BA. 2017. Stability of Zika virus in urine: specimen processing considerations and implications for the detection of RNA targets in urine. J Virol Methods. 248:66–70

- 125 Bin Dajem SM, Almushait MA. 2012. Detection of Toxoplasma gondii DNA by PCR in blood samples collected from pregnant Saudi women from the Aseer region, Saudi Arabia. Ann Saudi Med. 32;5:507-12
- 126 Turcekova L, Spisak F, Dubinsky P, Ostro A. 2012. Molecular diagnosis of Toxoplasma gondii in pregnant women. Bratisl Lek Listy. 113;5:307-10
- 127 Revello MG, Furione M, Rognoni V, Arossa A, Gerna G. 2014. Cytomegalovirus DNAemia in pregnant women. J Clin Virol. 261;4:590-2
- 128 Simonazzi G, Cervi F, Zavatta A, Pellizzoni L, Guerra B, Mastroroberto M, Morselli-Labate AM, Gabrielli L, Rizzo N, Lazzarotto T. 2017. Congenital Cytomegalovirus infection. Prognostic value of maternal DNAemia at amniocentesis. Clin Inf Dis.64;2:207-10
- 129 Zavattoni M, Furione M, Lanzarini P, Arossa A, Rustico M, Tassis B, Piralla A, Baldanti F. 2016. Monitoring of human cytomegalovirus DNAemia during primary infection in transmitter and non-transmitter mothers. J Clin Virol.82:89-93
- 130 Eldar-Yedidia Y, Bar-Meir M, Hillel M, Abitbol G, Broide E, Falk R, Assous M, Schlesinger Y. 2016. Low interferon relative-response to Cytomegalovirus is associated with low likelihood of intrauterine transmission of the virus. PLoS One.11;2:e0147883
- 131 Ding ZY, Xu F, Chen DZ, Meng XN, Xu TS, Lu MD, Zhuge HX. 2015. A multifactorial analysis of the pregnancy outcomes in cytomegalovirus-infected women. Gyn Obstet Invest. 80;2:106-12
- 132 Wujcicka W, Wilczyński J, Nowakowska D. 2014. Do the placental barrier, parasite genotype and Toll-like receptor polymorphisms contribute to the course of primary infection with various Toxoplasma gondii genotypes in pregnant women? Eur J Clin Microbiol Inf Dis. 33:5:703-9

- 133 Curti SP, Figueiredo CA, de Oliveira MI, Andrade JQ, Zugaib M, Frugis Yu AL, Oliveira DB, Durigon EL. 2013. Molecular epidemiology of rubella viruses involved in congenital rubella infections in São Paulo, Brazil, between 1996 and 2009. J Med Virol. 85;11:2034-41
- 134 Ferreira IM, Vidal JE, de Mattos Cde C, de Mattos LC, Qu D, Su C, Pereira-Chioccola VL. 2011. Toxoplasma gondii isolates: multilocus RFLP-PCR genotyping from human patients in Sao Paulo State, Brazil identified distinct genotypes. Exp Parasitol. 129;2:190-5
- 135 Lilleri D, Zelini P, Fornara C, Comolli G, Revello MG, Gerna G. 2009. Human cytomegalovirus-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses in primary infection of the immunocompetent and the immunocompromised host. Clin Immunol. 131;3:395-403
- 136 Halai UA, Nielsen-Saines K, Moreira ML, de Sequeira PC, Junior J, de Araujo Zin A, Cherry J, Gabaglia CR, Gaw SL, Adachi K, Tsui I, Pilotto JH, Nogueira RR, de Filippis A, Brasil P. 2017. Maternal Zika virus disease severity, virus load, prior Dengue antibodies, and their relationship to birth outcomes. Clin Inf Dis. 65;6: 877-83
- 137 Zhu Z, Xu W, Abernathy ES, Chen MH, Zheng Q, Wang T, Zhang Z, Li C, Wang C, He W, Zhou S, Icenogle J. 2007. Comparison of four methods using throat swabs to confirm rubella virus infection. J Clin Microbiol. 45;9: 2847-52
- 138 Okamoto K, Fujii K, Komase K. 2010. Development of a novel TaqMan real-timePCR assay for detecting rubella virus RNA. J Virol Methods. 168; 267–71
- 139 Lustig Y, Cotar AI, Ceianu CS, Castilletti C, Zelena H, Burdino E, van Tienen C, Avsic T, Aarons E, Reusken C. 2018. Lack of Zika virus antibody response in confirmed patients in non-endemic countries. J Clin Virol. 99-100:31-4

- 140 Toppinen M, Norja P, Aaltonen L-M Wessberg S, Hedman L, Söderlund-Venermo M, Hedman K. 2015. A new quantitative PCR for human parvovirus B19 genotypes. J Virol Methods. 218:40-5
- 141 Abo H, Okamoto K, Anraku M, Otsuki N, Sakata M, Icenogle J, Zheng Q, Kurata T, Kase T, Komase K, Takeda M, Mori Y. 2014. Development of an improved RT-LAMP assay for detection of currently circulating rubella viruses. J Virol Methods. 207:73-7
- 142 Tran DN, Pham NT, Tran TT, Khamrin P, Thongprachum A, Komase K, Hayakawa S, Mizuguchi M, Ushijima H. 2012. Phylogenetic analysis of rubella viruses in Vietnam during 2009-2010. J Med Virol. 84;4:705-10
- 143 Rodrigues JP, Andrade HF Jr. 2015. Efficient duplex solid-phase fluorescent assay (dFISA) for the simultaneous detection of specific anti-T. gondii IgG and IgM due to refined conjugates. J Immunol Methods. 420:11-7
- 144 De Carolis S, Santucci S, Botta A, Salvi S, Degennaro VA, Garufi C, Garofalo S, Ferrazzani S, Scambia G. 2012. The relationship between TORCH complex false positivity and obstetric outcome in patients with antiphospholipid syndrome. Lupus. 21;7:773-5
- 145 Yoshida M, Matsuda H, Yoshinaga Y, Asai K, Kawashima A, Sei K, Furuya K. 2013.

  Can measurement of maternal anti-cytomegalovirus immunoglobulin-M antibody levels
  be used to screen for cytomegalovirus infection in embryos and fetuses? J Obstet Gyn
  Res. 39;1:166-69
- 146 Bredl S, Plentz A, Wenzel JJ, Pfister H, Möst J, Modrow S. 2011. False-negative serology in patients with acute parvovirus B19 infection. J Clin Virol.51;2:115-20
- 147 Kadkhoda K, Gretchen A, Racano A. 2017. Evaluation of a commercially available Zika virus IgM ELISA: specificity in focus. Diagnost.Microbiol Inf.Dis.88:233-35

- 148 Bal TA, Armstrong G, Han XY. 2012. Evaluation of the IMMULITE® 2000 CMV IgM assay. Herpesviridae.3;1:2
- 149 Medici MC, Martinelli M, Albonetti V, Chezzi C, Dettori G. 2008. Evaluation of rubella virus immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgM assays with the new Vidia instrument. J Clin Microbiol.46;5:1847-9
- 150 Golkar M, Azadmanesh K, Khalili G, Khoshkholgh-Sima B, Babaie J, Mercier C, Brenier-Pinchart MP, Fricker-Hidalgo H, Pelloux H, Cesbron-Delauw MF. 2008.
  Serodiagnosis of recently acquired Toxoplasma gondii infection in pregnant women using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays with a recombinant dense granule GRA6 protein. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 61;1:31-9
- 151 Hamkar R, Jalilvand S, Abdolbaghi MH, Jelyani KN, Esteghamati A, Hagh-goo A, Mohktari-Azad T, Nategh R. 2009. Distinguishing between primary infection and reinfection with rubella vaccine virus by IgG avidity assay in pregnant women. East Mediterr Health J. 15;1:94-103
- 152 Lutsenko MT, Andrievskaya IA. 2014. Activity of histidine in peripheral blood erythrocytes of pregnant women during exacerbation of cytomegalovirus infection. Bull Exp Biol Med. 157;6:765-8
- 153 Alver O, Göral G, Ercan İ. 2014. Investigation of serological results of patients with suspected toxoplasmosis admitted to the ELISA Laboratory of Uludağ University Hospital between 2002-2008. Turkiye Parazitol Derg. 38;3:141-6
- 154 Yamada H, Nishikawa A, Yamamoto T, Mizue Y, Yamada T, Morizane M, Tairaku S, Nishihira J. 2011. Prospective study of congenital toxoplasmosis screening with use of IgG avidity and multiplex nested PCR methods. J Clin Microbiol. 49;7:2552-6

- 155 Kamel N, Metwally L, Gomaa N, Sayed Ahmed WA, Lotfi M, Younis S. 2014. Primary cytomegalovirus infection in pregnant Egyptian women confirmed by cytomegalovirus IgG avidity testing. Med Princ Pract. 23;1:29-33
- 156 Seo S, Cho Y, Park J. 2009. Serologic screening of pregnant Korean women for primary human cytomegalovirus infection using IgG avidity test. Korean J Lab Med. 29;6:557-62
- 157 Berger A, Reitter A, Harter PN, Buxmann H, Allwinn R, Louwen F, Doerr HW. 2011.

  Problems and challenges in the diagnosis of vertical infection with human

  cytomegalovirus (CMV): lessons from two accidental cases. J Clin Virol.51;4:285-8
- 158 Nozawa N, Fang-Hoover J, Tabata T, Maidji E, Pereira L. 2009. Cytomegalovirus-specific, high-avidity IgG with neutralizing activity in maternal circulation enriched in the fetal bloodstream. J Clin Virol.46 Suppl 4:S58-63
- 159 Kaneko M, Ohhashi M, Minematsu T, Muraoka J, Kusumoto K, Sameshima H. 2017.

  Maternal immunoglobulin G avidity as a diagnostic tool to identify pregnant women at risk of congenital cytomegalovirus infection. J Inf Chemother. 23;3:173-6
- 160 Hashoosh DA, Majeed IA. 2014. Comparison of two assays in the diagnosis of toxoplasmosis: immunological and molecular. East Mediterr Health J.20;1:46-50
- 161 De Paschale M, Agrappi C, Belvisi L, Cagnin D, Cerulli T, Clerici P, Mirri P, Manco MT, Cavallari S, Viganò EF. 2008. Revision of the positive predictive value of IgM anti-Toxoplasma antibodies as an index of recent infection. New Microbiol. 31;1:105-11
- 162 Trotta M, Borchi B, Zammarchi L, Sterrantino G, Brogi M, Kiros ST, Lorini C, Bonaccorsi G, Colao MG, Bartoloni A.2016. Immunoglobulin M indirect fluorescent antibody test for the diagnosis of acute toxoplasmosis during pregnancy in the avidity era. A 14-year experience J Obst Gyn Res. 42;12:1699-703
- 163 Emelia O, Rahana AR, Mohamad Firdaus A, Cheng HS, Nursyairah MS, Fatinah AS, Azmawati MN, Siti NA, Aisah MY. 2014. IgG avidity assay: a tool for excluding acute

- toxoplasmosis in prolonged IgM titer sera from pregnant women. Trop Biomed.31;4:633-40
- 164 Findal G, Stray-Pedersen B, Holter EK, Berge T, Jenum PA. 2015. Persistent low Toxoplasma IgG avidity is common in pregnancy: experience from antenatal testing in Norway.PLoS One. 10;12:e0145519
- 165 Bobic B, Klun I, Vujanic M, Nikolic A, Ivovic V, Zivkovic T, Djurkovic-Djakovic O.2009. Comparative evaluation of three commercial Toxoplasma-specific IgG antibody avidity tests and significance in different clinical settings. J Med Microbiol. 58:358-64
- 166 Sellier Y, Guilleminot T, Ville Y, Leruez-Ville M. 2015. Comparison of the LIAISON(®) CMV IgG Avidity II and the VIDAS(®) CMV IgG Avidity II assays for the diagnosis of primary infection in pregnant women. J Clin Virol. 72:46-8
- 167 Furione M, Rognoni V, Sarasini A, Zavattoni M, Lilleri D, Gerna G, Revello MG. 2013.

  Slow increase in IgG avidity correlates with prevention of human cytomegalovirus transmission to the fetus. J Med Virol. 85;11:1960-7
- 168 Ebina Y, Minematsu T, Morioka I, Deguchi M, Tairaku S, Tanimura K, Sonoyama A, Nagamata S, Morizane M, Yamada H. 2015. Rapid increase in the serum Cytomegalovirus IgG avidity index in women with a congenitally infected fetus. J Clin Virol. 66:44-7
- 169 Lazzarotto T, Guerra B, Lanari M, Gabrielli L, Landini MP. 2008. New advances in the diagnosis of congenital cytomegalovirus infection. J Clin Virol.41;3:192-7
- 170 Elyasi H, Babaie J, Fricker-Hidalgo H, Brenier-Pinchart MP, Zare M, Sadeghiani G, Assmar M, Pelloux H, Golkar M. 2010. Use of dense granule antigen GRA6 in an immunoglobulin G avidity test to exclude acute Toxoplasma gondii infection during pregnancy. Clin Vac Immunol. 17;9:1349-55

- 171 Smets A, Fauchier T, Michel G, Marty P, Pomares C. 2016. Comparison of Toxoplasma gondii IgG avidity Architect and Vidas assays with the estimated date of infection in pregnant women. Parasite. 23:45
- 172 Vauloup-Fellous C, Lazzarotto T, Revello MG, Grangeot-Keros L. 2014. Clinical evaluation of the Roche Elecsys CMV IgG Avidity assay. Eur J Clin Microbiol Inf Dis. 33:8:1365-9
- 173 Rajasekariah H, Scott G, Robertson PW, Rawlinson WD. 2013. Improving diagnosis of primary cytomegalovirus infection in pregnant women using immunoblots. J Med Virol. 85;2:315-9
- 174 Flori P, Bellete B, Crampe C, Maudry A, Patural H, Chauleur C, Hafid J, Raberin H, Tran Manh Sung R. 2008. A technique for dating toxoplasmosis in pregnancy and comparison with the Vidas anti-toxoplasma IgG avidity test. Clin Microbiol Inf. 14;3:242-9
- 175 Khammari I, Saghrouni F, Lakhal S, Bouratbine A, Ben Said M, Boukadida J. 2014. A new IgG immunoblot kit for diagnosis of toxoplasmosis in pregnant women. Korean J Parasitol. 52;5:493-9
- 176 Palmer P, Pallier C, Leruez-Ville M, Deplanche M, Morinet F. 1996. Antibody response to human parvovirus B19 in patients with primary infection by immunoblot assay with recombinant proteins. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 3;2:236-8
- 177 Khammari I, Saghrouni F, Yaacoub A, Gaied Meksi S, Ach H, Garma L, Fathallah A, Ben Saïd M. 2013. IgG western blot for confirmatory diagnosis of equivocal cases of toxoplasmosis by EIA-IgG and fluorescent antibody test. Korean J Parasitol.51;4:485-8
- 178 Eggers, M., K. Radsak, G. Enders, and M. Reschke. 2001. Use of recombinant glycoprotein antigens gB and gH for diagnosis of primary human cytomegalovirus infection during pregnancy. J Med Virol. 63:135-42

- 179 Sroka J, Wójcik-Fatla A, Zając V, Sawczyn A, Cisak E, Karamon J, Bojar I. 2016.

  Comparison of the efficiency of two commercial kits ELFA and Western blot in estimating the phase of Toxoplasma gondii infection in pregnant women. Ann Agricultural Env Med. 23;4:570-5
- 180 L'Ollivier C, Wallon M, Faucher B, Piarroux R, Peyron F, Franck J. 2012. Comparison of mother and child antibodies that target high-molecular-mass Toxoplasma gondii antigens by immunoblotting improves neonatal diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis. Clin Vac Immunol. 19;8,1326-8
- 181 Vauloup-Fellous C, Berth M, Heskia F, Dugua JM, Grangeot-Keros L. 2013. Reevaluation of the VIDAS(®) cytomegalovirus (CMV) IgG avidity assay: determination of new cut-off values based on the study of kinetics of CMV-IgG maturation. J Clin Virol. 56;2:118-23
- 182 Villard O, Breit L, Cimon B, Franck J, Fricker-Hidalgo H, Godineau N, Houze S, Paris L, Pelloux H, Villena I, Candolfi E; French National Reference Center for Toxoplasmosis Network. 2013. Comparison of four commercially available avidity tests for Toxoplasma gondii-specific IgG antibodies. Clin Vac Immunol. 20;2:197-204
- 183 Jost C, Touafek F, Fekkar A, Courtin R, Ribeiro M, Mazier D, Paris L. 2011. Utility of immunoblotting for early diagnosis of toxoplasmosis seroconversion in pregnant women. Clin Vac Immunol. 18;11:1908-12
- 184 Shan C, Xie X, Ren P, Loeffelholz MJ, Yang Y, Furuya A, Dupuis AP, Kramer LD, Wong SJ, Shi PY. 2017. A Rapid Zika Diagnostic Assay to Measure Neutralizing Antibodies in Patients. EBioMed. 17:157-62
- 185 Revello MG, Genini E, Gorini G, Klersy C, Piralla A, Gerna G. 2010. Comparative evaluation of eight commercial human cytomegalovirus IgG avidity assays. J Clin Virol. 48;4:255-9

- 186 Bouthry E, Furione M, Huzly D, Ogee-Nwankwo A, Hao L, Adebayo A, Icenogle J, Sarasini A, Revello MG, Grangeot-Keros L, Vauloup-Fellous C. 2016. Assessing immunity to rubella virus: a plea for standardization of IgG (immuno)assays. J Clin Microbiol. 54;7:1720-5
- 187 Lumley S, Patel M, Griffiths PD. 2014. The combination of specific IgM antibodies and IgG antibodies of low avidity does not always indicate primary infection with cytomegalovirus. J Med Virol. 86;5:834-7
- 188 Sickinger E, Gay-Andrieu F, Jonas G, Schultess J, Stieler M, Smith D, Hausmann M, Stricker R, Stricker R, Dhein J, Braun HB. 2008. Performance characteristics of the new ARCHITECT Toxo IgG and Toxo IgG avidity assays. Diagn Microbiol Inf Dis. 62;3:235-44
- 189 Sickinger E, Braun HB, Praast G, Stieler M, Gundlach C, Birkenbach C, Prostko J, Palafox MA, Frias E, Hsu S, Matias M, Pucci D, Hausmann M, Sagel U, Smith D. 2009. Evaluation of the Abbott ARCHITECT Toxo IgM assay. Diagn Microbiol Inf Dis. 64;3:275-82
- 190 Lagrou K, Bodeus M, Van Ranst M, Goubau P. 2009. Evaluation of the new architect cytomegalovirus immunoglobulin M (IgM), IgG, and IgG avidity assays. J Clin Microbiol. 47;6:1695-9
- 191 Lachaud L, Calas O, Picot MC, Albaba S, Bourgeois N, Pratlong F. 2009. Value of 2 IgG avidity commercial tests used alone or in association to date toxoplasmosis contamination. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 64;3:267-74
- 192 Rahbar N, Vali Zadeh S, Ghorbani R, Kheradmand P. 2015. Prevalence of parvovirus B19 specific antibody in pregnant women with spontaneous abortion. Acta Med Iran. 53;3:168-72

- 193 Fricker-Hidalgo H, Cimon B, Chemla C, Darde ML, Delhaes L, L'ollivier C, Godineau N, Houze S, Paris L, Quinio D, Robert-Gangneux F, Villard O, Villena I, Candolfi E, Pelloux H. 2013. Toxoplasma seroconversion with negative or transient immunoglobulin M in pregnant women: myth or reality? A French multicenter retrospective study. J Clin Microbiol. 51;7:2103-11
- 194 Carlier P, Harika N, Bailly R, Vranken G. 2010. Laboratory evaluation of the new Access ® cytomegalovirus immunoglobulin IgM and IgG assays. J Clin Virol. 49;3:192-7
- 195 Maudry A, Chene G, Chatelain R, Patural H, Bellete B, Tisseur B, Hafid J, Raberin H, Beretta S, Sung RT, Belot G, Flori P. 2009. Bicentric evaluation of six anti-toxoplasma immunoglobulin G (IgG) automated immunoassays and comparison to the Toxo II IgG Western blot. Clin Vac Immunol. 16;9:1322-6
- 196 Gentile M, Galli C, Pagnotti P, Di Marco P, Tzantzoglou S, Bellomi F, Ferreri ML, Selvaggi C, Antonelli G. 2009. Measurement of the sensitivity of different commercial assays in the diagnosis of CMV infection in pregnancy. Eur J Clin Microbiol Inf Dis. 28;8:977-81
- 197 Enders M, Helbig S, Hunjet A, Pfister H, Reichhuber C, Motz M. 2007. Comparative evaluation of two commercial enzyme immunoassays for serodiagnosis of human parvovirus B19 infection. J Virol Methods. 146;1-2:409-13
- 198 Ghoneim NH, Shalaby SI, Hassanain NA, Zeedan GS, Soliman YA, Abdalhamed AM.
  2010. Comparative study between serological and molecular methods for diagnosis of
  toxoplasmosis in women and small ruminants in Egypt. Foodborne Pathog Dis.7;1:17-22
- 199 Kasper DC, Prusa AR, Hayde M, Gerstl N, Pollak A, Herkner KR, Reiter-Reisacher R. 2009. Evaluation of the Vitros ECiQ immunodiagnostic system for detection of anti-Toxoplasma immunoglobulin G and immunoglobulin M antibodies for confirmatory

- testing for acute Toxoplasma gondii infection in pregnant women. J Clin Microbiol. 47;1:164-7
- 200 Prusa AR, Hayde M, Unterasinger L, Pollak A, Herkner KR, Kasper DC. 2010.
  Evaluation of the Roche Elecsys Toxo IgG and IgM electrochemiluminescence
  immunoassay for the detection of gestational Toxoplasma infection. Diagn Microbiol Inf
  Dis. 68;4:352-7
- 201 Franck J, Garin YJ, Dumon H. 2008. LDBio-Toxo II immunoglobulin G Western blot confirmatory test for anti-toxoplasma antibody detection. J Clin Microbiol. 46;7:2334-8
- 202 Stowe RP, Ruiz RJ, Fagundes CP, Stowe RH, Chen M, Glaser R. 2014. An ELISA method to compute endpoint titers to Epstein-Barr virus and cytomegalovirus: application to population-based studies. J Immunol Methods. 408:64-9
- 203 Dimech W, Grangeot-Keros L, Vauloup-Fellous C. 2016. Standardization of assays that detect anti-rubella virus IgG antibodies. Clin Microbiol Rev. 29;1:163
- 204 Centonze AR, Tonolli E, Fontana R. 2013. Performance characteristics of current-generation Immulite 2000 TORCH Assays. Clin Vac Immunol. 20;1:122-6
- 205 Murat JB, Dard C, Fricker Hidalgo H, Dardé ML, Brenier-Pinchart MP, Pelloux H. 2013. Comparison of the Vidas system and two recent fully automated assays for diagnosis and follow-up of toxoplasmosis in pregnant women and newborns. Clin Vac Immunol. 20;8:1203-12
- 206 Paschale de M, Agrappi M, Manco MT, Clerici P. 2010. Positive predictive value of anti-HCMV IgM as an index of primary infection J Virol Methods. 168:121-5
- 207 Drew RJ, Stapleton P, Abu H, Healy E, Ferguson W, De Gascun C, O'Gorman J, Eogan M. 2015. Pregnancy Outcomes of Mothers with Detectable CMV-Specific IgM
  Antibodies: A Three-Year Review in a Large Irish Tertiary Referral Maternity Hospital.
  Inf Dis Obstet Gyn. 2015:218080

- 208 Toriyabe K, Morikawa F, Ikejiri M, Suga S, Ikeda T. 2017. Anti-cytomegalovirus immunoglobuline M titer for congential infection in first trimster pregnancy with primary infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study. J.Perinatol. 37:1272-7
- 209 Tanimura K, Nishikawa A, Tairaku S, Shinozaki N, Deguchi M, Morizane M, Ebina Y, Morioka I, Yamada H.2015. The IgG avidity value for the prediction of Toxoplasma gondii infection in the amniotic fluid. J Inf Chemotherap. 21;9:668–71
- 210 Enders M, Daiminger A, Exler S, Ertan K, Enders G, Bald R. 2017. Prenatal diagnosis of congenital cytomegalovirus infection in 115 cases- a 5 years' single center experience.
  Prenat Diagn. 37;4:389-98
- 211 Weiffenbach J, Bald R, Gloning KP, Minderer S, Gärtner BC, Weidner A, Hanke M, Enders M. 2012. Serological and virological analysis of maternal and fetal blood samples in prenatal human parvovirus b19 infection. J Inf Dis. 205;5:782-8
- 212 Stajner T, Bobic B, Klun I, Nikolic A, Srbljanovic J, Uzelac A, Rajnpreht I, Djurkovic-Djakovic O. 2016. Prenatal and early postnatal diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis in a setting with no systematic screening in pregnancy. Medicine. 95;9:e2979
- 213 Bonvicini F, Manaresi E, Gallinella G, Gentilomi GA, Musiani M, Zerbini M. 2009.

  Diagnosis of fetal parvovirus B19 infection: value of virological assays in fetal specimens. Brit J Obs & Gyn. 116;6:813-7
- 214 Van Le S, Le DH, Hoang HT, Hoang H, Nguyen NT, Chu HH. 2015. Characterization of rubella virus genotypes among pregnant women in northern Vietnam, 2011-2013. J Med Virol. 87;2:338-43
- 215 Fabbri E, Revello MG, Furione M, Zavattoni M, Lilleri D, Tassis B, Quarenghi A, Rustico M, Nicolini U, Ferrazzi E, Gerna G. 2011. Prognostic markers of symptomatic congenital human cytomegalovirus infection in fetal blood. Brit J Obs & Gyn. 118;4:448-

- 216 Ishikawa A, Yoto Y, Asakura H, Tsutsumi H. 2015. Quantitative analysis of human parvovirus B19 DNA in maternal and fetal serum, and amniotic fluid during an early stage of pregnancy. J Med Virol. 87;4:683-5
- 217 Chen CP, Su YN, Chern SR, Wang TY, Tsai FJ, Lin HH, Wu PC, Wang W. 2010.

  Detection and comparison of cytomegalovirus DNA levels in amniotic fluid and fetal ascites in a second-trimester fetus with massive ascites, hyperechogenic bowel, ventriculomegaly and intrauterine growth restriction. Taiwan J Obstet Gyn. 49;2:206-10
- 218 Yamamoto L, Targa LS, Sumita LM, Shimokawa PT, Rodrigues JC, Kanunfre KA, Okay TS. 2017. Association of parasite load levels in amniotic fluid with clinical outcome in congenital toxoplasmosis. Obstet Gyn. 130:335-45
- 219 Hsu ST, Chen YT, Huang YF, Yeh TT, Chen WC, Ho ES, Chou MM. 2007. Prenatal diagnosis and perinatal management of maternal-fetal congenital parvovirus B19 infection. Taiwan J Obstet Gyn. 46;4:417-22
- 220 Yoshida M, Matsuda H, Yoshinaga Y, Asai K, Kawashima A, Sei K, Horii M, Nakanishi A, Soyama H, Furuya K. 2013 Analysis about the influence on the fetus infected with parvovirus B19 using amniotic erythropoietin and troponin-T. Arch Gyn Obstet. 288;3:521-5
- 221 Schiesser M, Sergi C, Enders M, Maul H, Schnitzler P. 2009. Discordant outcomes in a case of parvovirus b19 transmission into both dichorionic twins. Twin Res Hum Genet. 12;2:175-9
- 222 Adams LL, Gungor S, Turan S, Kopelman JN, Harman CR, Baschat AA. 2012. When are amniotic fluid viral PCR studies indicated in prenatal diagnosis? Prenat Diagn. 32;1:88-93
- 223 Gervasi MT, Romero R, Bracalente G, Chaiworapongsa T, Erez O, Dong Z, Hassan SS, Yeo L, Yoon BH, Mor G, Barzon L, Franchin E, Militello V, Palù G. 2012. Viral

- invasion of the amniotic cavity (VIAC) in the midtrimester of pregnancy. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 25;10:2002-13
- 224 Zavattoni M, Paolucci S, Sarasini A, Tassis B, Rustico M, Quarenghi A, Piralla A, Baldanti F. 2016. Diagnostic and prognostic value of molecular and serological investigation of human parvovirus B19 infection during pregnancy. New Microbiol. 39;3:181-5.
- 225 Goegebuer T, Van Meensel B, Beuselinck K, Cossey V, Van Ranst M, Hanssens M, Lagrou K. 2008. Clinical predictive value of real-time PCR quantification of human cytomegalovirus DNA in amniotic fluid samples. J Clin Microbiol. 47;3: 660-5
- 226 Prusa AR, Kasper DC, Pollak A, Olischar M, Gleiss A, Hayde M. 2015. Amniocentesis for the detection of congenital toxoplasmosis: results from the nationwide Austrian prenatal screening program. Clin Microbiol Inf. 21;2:191.e1-8
- 227 Teixeira LE, Kanunfre KA, Shimokawa PT, Targa LS, Rodrigues JC, Domingues W, Yamamoto L, Okay TS. 2013. The performance of four molecular methods for the laboratory diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis in amniotic fluid samples. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 46;5:584-8
- 228 Gratzl R, Hayde M, Kohlhauser C, Hermon M, Burda G, Strobl W, Pollak A. 1998. Follow-Up of Infants with Congenital Toxoplasmosis detected by PCR analysis of amniotic fluid. Eur J Microbiol Inf Dis. 17:853-8
- 229 Desveaux C, Klein J, Leruez-Ville M, Ramirez-Torres A, Lacroix C, Breuil B, Froment C, Bascands JL, Schanstra JP, Ville Y. 2016. Identification of symptomatic fetuses infected with Cytomegalovirus using amniotic fluid peptide biomarkers. PLoS Pathog. 12;1:e1005395

- 230 Costa JM, Alanio A, Moukoury S, Clairet V, Debruyne M, Poveda JD, Bretagne S. 2013.
  Direct genotyping of Toxoplasma gondii from amniotic fluids based on B1 gene
  polymorphism using minisequencing analysis. BMC Inf Dis. 13:552
- 231 Benjamin I, Fernandez G, Figueira JV, Parpacen L, Urbina MT, Medina R. 2017. Zika virus detected in amniotic fluid and umbilical cord blood in an in vitro fertilization-conceived pregnancy in Venezuela. Fertil Steril. 107:1319–22
- 232 Ornoy A, Ergaz Z. 2017. Parvovirus B19 infection during pregnancy and risks to the fetus. Birth Defects Res. 109:311-23
- 233 Durski KN, Tituli C, Ogaoga D, Musto J, Joshua C, Dofai A, Leydon J, Nilles E. 2016.
  An outbreak investigation of congenital rubella syndrome in Solomon Islands,
  2013.Western Pac Surv Response J. 7;1:10-3
- 234 Olariu TR, Remington JS, Montoya JG. 2014. Polymersase chain reactionn in cerebrospinal fluid for the diagnosis of congenital toxoplasosis. Ped Inf Dis J. 33;6:566-70
- 235 Revello MG, Zavattoni M, Baldanti F, Sarasini A, Paolucci S, Gerna G. 1999. Diagnostic and prognostic value of human cytomegalovirus load and IgM antibody in blood of congenitally infected newborns. J Clin Virol. 14:57–66
- 236 Wilson CB, Remington JS, Stagno S, Reynolds DW. 1980. Development of adverse sequelae in children born with subclinical congenital Toxoplasma infection. Pediatrics. 66:767–74
- 237 Dammeyer J. 2010. Congenital rubella syndrome and delayed manifestations. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 74;9:1067-70
- 238 Nijman J, de Vries LS, Koopman-Esseboom C, Uiterwaal CS, van Loon AM, Verboon-Maciolek MA. 2012. Postnatally acquired cytomegalovirus infection in preterm infants: a

- prospective study on risk factors and cranial ultrasound findings. Arch Dis Child. 97:F259-63
- 239 Forner G, Abate D, Mengoli C, Palù G, Gussetti N. 2015. High cytomegalovirus (CMV) DNAemia predicts CMV sequelae in asymptomatic congenitally infected newborns born to women with primary infection during pregnancy. J Inf Dis. 212;1:67-71
- 240 Samedi VM, Skappak C, Jantzie L, Trevenen C, Kamaluddeen M, Ekwalanga P, Al Awad EH. 2016. Comparison of Presentation, Course, and Outcome of Congenital and Acquired Cytomegalovirus Infection in Twins. AJP Rep. 6;1:e1-5
- 241 Pejcic I, Rankovic Janevski M, Knezevic A, Jevtovic D, Stanojevic M. 2016. Rubella immune status of neonates-a window towards seroprevalence among childbearing women. BMC Public Health. 16:838
- 242 L, Isaacs D, Evans N. 2004. Severe neonatal toxoplasmosis after third trimester maternal infection. Pediatr Inf Dis J. 23:968–9
- 243 Goeyvaerts N, Hens N, Aerts M, Beutels P. 2011. Model structure analysis to estimate basic immunological processes and maternal risk for parvovirus B19. Biostat. 12;2:283-302
- 244 van den Berg JP, Westerbeek EA, Smits GP, van der Klis FR, Berbers GA, van Elburg RM. 2014. Lower transplacental antibody transport for measles, mumps, rubella and varicella zoster in very preterm infants. PLoS One. 9;4:e94714
- 245 Murat JB, Fricker Hidalgo H, Brrenier-Pincharet Mp, Pelloux H. 2013. Human toxoplasmosis: which biological diagnostic tests are best suited to which clincial situations? Exp Rev Anti-Inf Ther. 11:9:943-56
- 246 Marangoni A, Capretti MG, De Angelis M, Nardini P, Compri M, Foschi C, Orlandi A, Marsico C, Righetti F, Faldella G, Cevenini R. 2014. Evaluation of a new protocol for

- retrospective diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis by use of Guthrie cards. J Clin Microbiol. 52;8:2963-70
- 247 Tridapalli E, Capretti MG, Farneti G, Marangoni A, Cevenini R, Faldella G. 2008.

  Congenitial toxoplasmosis: the importance of the western blot method to avoid unnecessary therapy in potenitally infeced newborns. Acta Pediatrica. 97:1298-300
- 248 Fonseca ZC, Rodrigues I, Melo N, Avelar JB, Castro AM, Avelino MM. 2017. IgG avidity test in congenital toxoplasmosis diagnoses in newborns. Pathogens. 6;2:26
- 249 Chumpitazi BF, Boussaid A, Pelloux H, Racinet C, Bost M, Goullier-Fleuret A. 1995.

  Diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis by immunoblotting and relationship with other methods. J Clin Microbiol. 33;6:1479-85
- 250 Kaneko M, Sameshima H, Minematsu T, Kusumoto K, Yamauchi A, Ikenoue T. 2013.

  Maternal IgG avidity, IgM and ultrasound abnormalities: combined method to detect
  congenital cytomegalovirus infection with sequelae. J Perinatol. 33;11:831-5
- 251 Marchant E, Bishop L, Flaxman D, Jagodzinski J, Nanjundappa M, Muniyappa P, Cordery R. 2016. A case of congenital rubella syndrome and infection in South-East London in 2015: prevention, diagnosis, and the public health response. British J Gen Practice. 66;653:635-6
- 252 Thomas HIJ, Morgan-Capner P, Cradock-Watson JE, Enders G, Best JM, O'Shea S. 1993. Slow maturation of IgG1 avidity and persistence of specific IgM in congenital rubella: implications for diagnosis and immunopathology. J Med Virol. 41:196–200
- 253 Ligozzi M, Poggi M, Saletti M, Gibellini D. 2016. Development of a real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction assay for the detection of congenital human cytomegalovirus infection in urine samples. Mol Cell Probes. 30;1:50-2
- 254 Sugishita Y, Akiba T, Sumitomo M, Hayata N, Hasegawa M, Tsunoda T, Okazaki T, Murauchi K, Hayashi Y, Kai A, Seki N, Kayebeta A, Iwashita Y, Kurita M, Tahara N.

- 2016. Shedding of rubella virus among Infants with congenital rubella syndrome born in Tokyo, Japan, 2013–2014. Jap J Inf Dis. 69;5:418-23
- 255 Cannon MJ, Hyde TB, Schmid DS. 2011. Review of cytomegalovirus shedding in bodily fluids and relevance to congenital cytomegalovirus infection. Rev Med Virol. 21;4:240-55
- 256 Jin L, Thomas B. 2007. Application of molecular and serological assays to case based investigations of rubella and congenital rubella syndrome. J. Med Virol, 79:1017-24
- 257 Nijman J, Mandemaker FS, Verboon-Maciolek MA, Aitken SC, van Loon AM, de Vries LS, Schuurman R. 2014. Genotype distribution, viral load and clinical characteristics of infants with postnatal or congenital cytomegalovirus infection. PloS One. 9;9:e108018
- 258 Sun XM, Ji YS, Elashram SA, Lu ZM, Liu XY, Suo X, Chen QJ, Wang H. 2012.

  Identification of antigenic proteins of Toxoplasma gondii RH strain recognized by human immunoglobulin G using immunoproteomics. J Proteomics. 77:423-32
- 259 Baschirotto PT, Krieger MA, Foti L. 2017. Preliminary multiplex microarray IgG immunoassay for the diagnosis of toxoplasmosis and rubella. Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz. 112;6:428-36
- 260 Wang Y, Hedman L, Perdomo MF, Elfaitouri A, Bölin-Wiener A, Kumar A, Lappalainen M, Söderlund-Venermo M, Blomberg J, Hedman K. 2016. Microsphere-based antibody assays for human parvovirus B19V, CMV and T. gondii. BMC Inf Dis. 16:8
- 261 Kotresha D, Noordin R. 2010. Recombinant proteins in the diagnosis of toxoplasmosis. APMIS. 118;8:529-42
- 262 Dai JF, Jiang M, Qu LL, Sun L, Wang YY, Gong LL, Gong RJ, Si J. 2013. Toxoplasma gondii: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay based on a recombinant multi-epitope peptide for distinguishing recent from past infection in human. Exp Parasitol. 133;1:95-100

- 263 Liu L, Liu T, Yu L, Cai Y, Zhang A, Xu X, Luo Q, Hu Y, Song W, Lun Z, Lu F, Wang Y, Shen J. 2012. rROP2(186-533): a novel peptide antigen for detection of IgM antibodies against Toxoplasma gondii. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 9;1:7-12
- 264 Costa JG, Peretti LE, García VS, Peverengo L, González VD, Gugliotta LM, Dalla Fontana ML, Lagier CM, Marcipar IS. 2017. P35 and P22 Toxoplasma gondii antigens abbreviate regions to diagnose acquired toxoplasmosis during pregnancy: toward single-sample assays. Clin Chem Lab Med. 55;4::595-604
- 265 Lilleri D, Gerna G, Furione M, Zavattoni M, Spinillo A. 2016. Neutralizing and ELISA IgG antibodies to human cytomegalovirus glycoprotein complexes may help date the onset of primary infection in pregnancy. J Clin Virol. 81:16-24
- 266 Lilleri D, Kabanova A, Revello MG, Percivalle E, Sarasini A, Genini E, Sallusto F, Lanzavecchia A, Corti D, Gerna G. 2013. Fetal human cytomegalovirus transmission correlates with delayed maternal antibodies to gH/gL/pUL128-130-131 complex during primary infection. PLoS One. 8;3:e59863
- 267 Teh AY, Amerizadeh A, Osman S, Yunus MH, Noordin R. 2016. Identification, production and assessment of two Toxoplasma gondii recombinant proteins for use in a Toxoplasma IgG avidity assay. Path Glob Health. 110;7-8:277-86
- 268 Macre Mde S, Pires M, Meireles LR, Angel SO, Andrade HF Jr. 2009. Serology using rROP2 antigen in the diagnostic of toxoplasmosis in pregnant women. Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo. 51;5:283-8
- 269 Petrova EK, Dmitrieva AA, Trifonova EA, Nikitin NA, Karpova OV. 2016. The key role of rubella virus glycoproteins in the formation of immune response, and perspectives on their use in the development of new recombinant vaccines. Vaccine. 34;8:1006-11
- 270 Ardizzoni A, Capuccini B, Baschieri MC, Orsi CF, Rumpianesi F, Peppoloni S, Cermelli C, Meacci M, Crisanti A, Steensgaard P, Blasi E. 2009. A protein microarray

- immunoassay for the serological evaluation of the antibody response in vertically transmitted infections. Eur J Clin Microbiol Inf Dis. 28;9:1067-75
- 271 Madi JM, Souza Rda S, Araújo BF, Oliveira Filho PF, Rombaldi RL, Mitchell C, Lorencetti J, Marcon NO. 2010. Prevalence of toxoplasmosis, HIV, syphilis and rubella in a population of puerperal women using Whatman 903 filter paper. Braz J Inf Dis. 14;1:24-9
- 272 Cañedo-Solares I, Ortiz-Alegría LB, Figueroa-Damián R, Bustos-Bahena ML, González-Henkel H, Calderón-Segura E, Luna-Pastén H, Correa D. 2009. Toxoplasmosis in pregnancy: determination of IgM, IgG and avidity in filter paper-embedded blood. J Perinatol. 29;10:668-72
- 273 Boa-Sorte N, Purificação A, Amorim T, Assunção L, Reis A, Galvão-Castro B. 2014.

  Dried blood spot testing for the antenatal screening of HTLV, HIV, syphilis,
  toxoplasmosis and hepatitis B and C: prevalence, accuracy and operational aspects. Braz
  J Inf Dis. 18;6:618-24
- 274 Augustine SAJ. 2016. Towards Universal Screening for Toxoplasmosis- Rapid, Cost-Effective, and Simultaneous Detection of Anti-Toxoplasma IgG, IgM, and IgA Antibodies by Use of Very Small Serum Volumes. J Clin Microbiol. 54;7:1684-5
- 275 Li, X., Pomares, C., Gonfrier, G., Koh, B., Zhu, S., Gong, M., Montoya, J. G., Dai, H.
  2016. Multiplexed Anti-Toxoplasma IgG, IgM, and IgA Assay on Plasmonic Gold Chips:
  towards Making Mass Screening Possible with Dye Test Precision. J Clin Microbiol.
  54;7:1726-33
- 276 Pomares Ch. Zhang B, Arulkumar S. Gonfrier G, Marty P, Zhao S, Cheng S, Tang M, Dai H, Montoya JG. 2017. Validation of IgG, IgM multiplex plasmonic gold platform in French clinical cohorts for the serodiagnosis and follow-up of Toxoplasma gondii infection, Diagn Microbiol Inf Dis. 87:213–8

- 277 Fornara C, Cassaniti I, Zavattoni M, Furione M, Adzasehoun KMG, De Silvestri A, Comolli G, Baldanti F. 2017. Human cytomegalovirus-specific memory CD4+ T-cell response and its correlation with virus transmission to the fetus in pregnant women with primary ifection. Clin Inf Dis. 65;10:1659-65
- 278 Mele F, Fornara C, Jarrossay D, Furione M, Arossa A, Spinillo A, Lanzavecchia A, Gerna G, Sallusto F, Lilleri D. 2017. Phenotype and specificity of T cells in primary human cytomegalovirus infection during pregnancy: IL-7Rpos long-term memory phenotype is associated with protection from vertical transmission. PloS One. 12;11: e01877311
- 279 Lilleri D, Fornara C, Revello MG, Gerna G. 2008. Human cytomegalovirus-specific memory CD8+ and CD4+ T cell differentiation after primary infection. J Inf Dis. 198;4:536-43
- 280 Fornara C, Lilleri D, Revello MG, Furione M, Zavattoni M, Lenta E, Gerna G. 2011.

  Kinetics of effector functions and phenotype of virus-specific and γδ T lymphocytes in primary human cytomegalovirus infection during pregnancy. J Clin Immunol. 31;6:1054-64
- 281 Fornara C, Furione M, Arossa A, Gerna G, Lilleri D. 2016. Comparative magnitude and kinetics of human cytomegalovirus-specific CD4+and CD8+T-cell responses in pregnant women with primary versus remote infection and in transmitting versus non-transmitting mothers: Its utility for dating primary infection in pregnancy. J Med Virol. 88;7:1238-46.
- 282 Saldan A, Forner G, Mengoli C Gussetti N, Palù G, Abate D. 2015. Strong cell mediated immune response to human cytomegalovirus is associated with increased risk of fetal infection in primarily infected pregnant women. Clin Inf Dis. 61;1228-34
- 283 Saldan A, Forner G, Mengoli C, Tinto D, Fallico L, Peracchi M, Gussetti N, Palù G, Abate D. 2016. Comparison of the cytomegalovirus (CMV) enzyme-linked

- immunosorbent spot and CMV QuantiFERON cell-mediated immune assays in CMV-seropositive and -seronegative pregnant and nonpregnant women. J Clin Microbiol. 201654;5:1352-6
- 284 Wang X, Li X, Hu S, Qu H, Zhang Y, Ni H, Wang X. 2015. Rapid detection of active human cytomegalovirus infection in pregnancy using loop-mediated isothermal amplification. Mol Med Rep. 12;2:2269-74
- 285 Ng AH, Lee M, Choi K, Fischer AT, Robinson JM, Wheeler AR. 2015. Digital microfluidic platform for the detection of rubella infection and immunity: a proof of concept. Clin Chem. 61;2:420-9
- 286 Fernández-Carballo BL, McBeth C, McGuiness I, Kalashnikov M, Baum C, Borrós S, Sharon A, Sauer-Budge AF. 2018. Continuous-flow, microfluidic, qRT-PCR system for RNA virus detection. Anal Bioanal Chem. 410;1:33-43
- 287 Kaushik A, Tiwari S, Jayant RD, Vashist A, Nikkhah-Moshaie R, El-Hage N, Nair M.2016. Electrochemical Biosensors for Early Stage Zika Diagnostics. Trends Biotech.35;4:308-17
- 288 Song J, Liu C, Mauk MG, Rankin SC, Lok JB, Greenberg RM, Bau HH. 2017. Two-stage isothermal enzymatic amplification for concurrent multiplex molecular detection. Clin Chem. 63;3:714-22
- 289 Calvert AE, Biggerstaff BJ, Tanner NA, Lauterbach M, Lanciotti RS. 2017. Rapid colorimetric detection of Zika virus from serum and urine specimens by reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP). PloS One. 12;9: e0185340
- 290 Barberini L, Noto A, Saba L, Palmas F, Fanos V, Dessì A, Zavattoni M, Fattuoni C, Mussap M. 2016. Multivariate data validation for investigating primary HCMV infection in pregnancy. Data Brief. 9:220-30

- 291 Fattuoni C, Palmas F, Noto, Barberini L, Mussap M, Grapov D, Dessì A, Casu M, Casanova A, Furione M, Arossa A, Spinillo A, Baldanti F, Fanos V, Zavattoni M. 2016.
  Primary HCMV infection in pregnancy from classic data towards metabolomics. An exploratory analysis. Clin Chim Acta. 460:23-32
- 292 Scott GM, Chow SS, Craig ME, Pang CN, Hall B, Wilkins MR, Jones CA, Lloyd AR, Rawlinson WD. 2012. Cytomegalovirus infection during pregnancy with maternofetal transmission induces a proinflammatory cytokine bias in placenta and amniotic fluid. J Inf Dis. 205;8:1305-10
- 293 Geyer H, Bauer M, Neumann J, Lüdde A, Rennert P, Friedrich N, Claus C, Perelygina L, Mankertz A. 2016. Gene expression profiling of rubella virus infected primary endothelial cells of fetal and adult origin. Virol J. 13:21
- 294 Wataganara T, Bianchi DW. 2004. Fetal cell-free nucleic acids in the maternal circulation. Ann NY Acad Sci. 1022:90-9
- 295 Sifakis S, Koukou Z, Spandidos DA. 2015. Cell-free fetal DNA and pregnancy-related complications (Review). Mol Med Reports. 11;4:2367-72
- 296 Hui L, Tong S, Uhevaha T, u-Lino K, Hannan NJ. 2016. A comparison of sample collection methods for quantifying cell-free fetal neurodevelopment transcripts amniotic fluid. BMC Res Notes. 9:335
- 297 Pardee K, Green AA, Takahashi MK, Braff D, Lambert G, Lee JW, Ferrante T, Ma D, Donghia N, Fan M, Daringer NM, Bosch I, Dudley DM, O'Connor DH, Gehrke L, Collins JJ. 2016. Rapid, low-cost detection of Zika virus using programmable biomolecular components. Cell. 165:1255–66
- 298 Tomasoni LR, Meroni V, Bonfanti C, Bollani L, Lanzarini P, Frusca T, Castelli F. 2014.

  Multidisciplinary approach to congenital Toxoplasma infection: an Italian nationwide survey. New Microbiol.37;3:347-54

- 299 Messedi E, Fki-Berrajah L, Gargouri S, Chouikha A, Chaari A, Bouaziz M, Jallouli H, Yahia AB, Hammami A, Triki H, Karray-Hakim H. 2014. Clinical epidemiological and molecular aspects of rubella outbreak with high number of neurological cases, Tunisia 2011-2012. J Clin Virol.61;2:248-54
- 300 Lilleri D, Gerna G. 2017. Maternal immune correlates of protection from human cytomegalovirus transmission to the fetus after primary infection in pregnancy. Rev Med Virol.2:2
- 301 Frischknecht F, Sell W, Trummer I, Brühwiler H. 2011. Serological testing for infectious diseases in pregnant women: are the guidelines followed? Swiss Med Wkly.140:w13138
- 302 Tomasoni LR, Meroni V, Bonfanti C, Bollani L, Lanzarini P, Frusca T, Castelli F. 2014.

  Multidisciplinary approach to congenital Toxoplasma infection: an Italian nationwide survey. New Microbiol. 37;3:347-54
- 303 Cordeiro MT, Brito CA, Pena LJ, Castanha PM, Gil LH, Lopes KG, Dhalia R, Meneses
  JA, Ishigami AC, Mello LM, Alencar LX, Guarines KM, Rodrigues LC, Marques ET.
  2016. Results of a Zika Virus (ZIKV) Immunoglobulin M–Specific Diagnostic Assay Are
  Highly Correlated with Detection of Neutralizing Anti-ZIKV Antibodies in Neonates
  with Congenital Disease. J Inf Dis. 214;12:1897–1904
- 304 Binnicker MJ, Jespersen DJ, Harring JA. 2010. Multiplex Detection of IgM and IgG Class Antibodies to Toxoplasma gondii, Rubella Virus, and Cytomegalovirus Using a Novel Multiplex Flow Immunoassay. ClinVac Immunol. 17;11: 734-8
- 305 Maple PA, Hedman L, Dhanilall P, Kantola K, Nurmi V, Söderlund-Venermo M, Brown KE, Hedman K. 2014. Identification of past and recent parvovirus B19 infection in immunocompetent individuals by quantitative PCR and enzyme immunoassays: a dual-laboratory study. J Clin Microbiol. 52;3: 947-56

- 306 Beersma MF, Claas ECJ, Sopaheluakan T, Kroes ACM. 2005. Parvovirus B19 viral loads in relation to VP1 and VP2 antibody responses in diagnostic blood samples. J Clin Virol. 34:71-5
- 307 Zavattoni M, Paolucci S, Sarasini A, Tassis B, Rustico M, Quarenghi A, Piralla A, Baldanti F. 2016. Diagnostic and prognostic value of molecular and serological investigation of human parvovirus B19 infection during pregnancy. New Microbiol. 39;3:181-5
- 308 Khorrami SM, Mokhtari-Azad T, Yavarian J, Nasab GS, Naseri M, Jandaghi NZ. 2015.

  The etiology of Rubella IgM positivity in patients with rubella-like illness in Iran from 2011 to 2013. J Med Virol. 87:1846-52
- 309 Revello MG, Furione M, Zavattoni M, Tassis B, Nicolini U, Fabbri E, Gerna G. 2008. Human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) DNAemia in the mother at amniocentesis as a risk factor for iatrogenic HCMV infection of the fetus. J Inf Dis. 197:593-6
- 310 Colombo ET, Estofolete CF, Reis AFN, da Silva NS, Aguiar ML, Cabrera EMS, Dos Santos INP, Costa FR, Cruz LEAA, Rombola PL, Terzian ACB, Nogueira ML. 2017. Clinical laboratory and virological data from suspected ZIKV patients in an endemic arbovirus area. J Clin Virol. 96:20-5
- 311 Garza-González E, Mendoza-Olazarán S, Roman-Campos R, Téllez-Marroquín R, Saldívar-Rodríguez D, Soria-López JA, Guzman A, Flores-Treviño S, Camacho-Ortiz A.2017. Rapid spread of an ongoing outbreak of Zika virus disease in pregnant women in a Mexican hospital. Braz J Inf Dis. 21;5:554-5
- 312 Ren P, Ortiz DA, Terzian A, Colombo TE, Nogueira ML, Vasilakis N, Loeffelholz MJ. 2017. Evaluation of Aptima Zika Virus Assay. J Clin Microbiol. 55;7:2198-2203
- 313 Santiago GA, Vázquez J, Courtney S, Matías KY, Andersen LE, Colón C, Butler AE, Roulo R, Bowzard J, Villanueva JM, Muñoz-Jordan JL. 2018. Performance of the

- Trioplex real-time RT-PCR assay for detection of Zika, dengue, and chikungunya viruses. Nature Comm. 9;1:1391
- 314 Pabbaraju K, Wong S, Gill K, Fonseca K, Tipples GA, Tellier R. 2016. Simultaneous detection of Zika, Chikungunya and Dengue viruses by multiplex real-time PCR assay. J Clin Virol. 83:66-71
- 315 Waggoner JJ, Gresh L, Mohamed-Hadley A, Ballesteros G, Davila MJ, Tellez Y, Sahoo MK, Balmaseda A., Harris E, Pinsky BA. 2016. Single-reaction multiplex reverse transcription PCR for detection of Zika, Chikungunya, and Dengue viruses. Emerg Inf Dis. 22;7:1295-7
- 316 Steinhagen K, Probst C, Radzimski C, Schmidt-Chanasit J, Emmerich P, van Esbroeck M, Schinkel J, Grobusch MP, Goorhuis A, Warnecke JM, Lattwein E, Komorowski L, Deerberg A, Saschenbrecker S, Stöcker W, Schlumberger W. 2016. Serodiagnosis of Zika virus (ZIKV) infections by a novel NS1-based ELISA devoid of cross-reactivity with dengue virus antibodies: a multicohort study of assay performance, 2015 to 2016. Euro Surveill. 21;50:pii=304266
- 317 Bingham AM, Cone M, Mock V, Heberlein-Larson L, Stanek D, Blackmore C, Likos A. 2016. Comparison of test results for Zika virus RNA in urine, serum, and saliva specimens from persons with travel-associated Zika virus disease Florida, 2016. MMWR. 65;18:475-8
- 318 Safronetz D, Sloan A, Stein DR, Mendoza E, Barairo N, Ranadheera C, Scharikow L, Holloway K, Robinson A, Traykova-Andonova M, Makowski K, Dimitrova K, Giles E, Hiebert J, Mogk R, Beddome S, Drebot M. 2017. Evaluation of 5 commercially available Zika virus immunoassays. Emerg Inf Dis. 23;9:1577-80
- 319 L'Huillier AG, Hamid-Allie A, Kristjanson E, Papageorgiou L, Hung S, Wong CF, Stein DR, Olsha R, Goneau LW, Dimitrova K, Drebot M, Safronetz D, Gubbay JB. 2017.

- Evaluation of Euroimmun anti-Zika virus IgM and IgG enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays for Zika virus serologic testing. J Clin Microbiol. 55;8:2462-71
- 320 Granger D, Hilgart H, Misner L, Christensen J, Bistodeau S, Palm J, Strain AK, Konstantinovski M, Liu D, Tran A, Theel ES. 2017. Serologic testing for Zika virus: comparison of three Zika virus IgM-screening enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays and initial laboratory experiences. J Clin Microbiol. 55;7:2127-36
- 321 Lustig Y, Zelena H, Venturi G, Van Esbroeck M, Rothe C, Perret C, Koren R, Katz-Likvornik S, Mendelson E, Schwartz E. 2017. Sensitivity and kinetics of an NS1-based Zika virus enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in Zika virus-infected travelers from Israel, the Czech Republic, Italy, Belgium, Germany, and Chile. J Clin Microbiol. 55;6: 1894-901
- 322 St George K, Sohi IS, Dufort EM, Dean AB, White JL, Limberger R, Sommer JN,
  Ostrowski S, Wong SJ, Backenson PB, Kuhles D, Blog,D, Taylor J, Hutton B., Zucker
  HA. 2017. Zika Virus Testing considerations: lessons learned from the first 80 real-time
  reverse transcription-PCR-positive cases diagnosed in New York State. J Clin Microbiol.
  55;2:535-44
- 323 Findal G, Helbig A, Haugen G, Jenum PA, Stray-Pedersen B. 2017. Management of suspected primary Toxoplasma gondii infection in pregnant women in Norway: twenty years of experience of amniocentesis in a low-prevalence population. BMC Pregn Child. 17;1:127
- 324 Margioula-Siarkou C, Kalogiannidis I, Petousis S, Prapa S, Dagklis T, Mamopoulos A, Prapas N, Rousso D. 2015. Cytomegalovirus, Toxoplasma gondii and Rubella vertical transmission rates according to mid-trimester amniocentesis: a retrospective study. Int J Prev Med. 6:324

- 325 Machado AS, Andrade GMQ, Januario JN. Fernandes MD, Carneiro AC, Carneiro M, Carellos EV, Romanelli RM, Vasconcelos-Santos DV, Vitor RW. 2010. IgG and IgM western blot assay for diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 105;6:757-61
- 326 Ross SA, Ahmed A, Palmer AL, Michaels MG, Sánchez PJ, Bernstein DI, Tolan RW, Novak Z, Chowdhury N, Fowler KB, Boppana SB. National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders CHIMES Study. 2014. Detection of congenital cytomegalovirus infection by real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis of saliva or urine specimens. J Inf Dis. 210;9:1415-8

## **FIGURES**