

**UNIVERSITY OF
FORWARD
THINKING
WESTMINSTER** 

WestminsterResearch

<http://www.westminster.ac.uk/westminsterresearch>

**“Aspirational capital” and transformations in first-generation
Alevi-Kurdish parents’ involvement with their children’s
education in the UK**

Jenkins, C.

This is an author's accepted manuscript of an article published in *Kurdish Studies* 8 (1), pp. 113-134 2020.

The final definitive version is available online at:

<https://dx.doi.org/10.33182/ks.v8i1.545>

The WestminsterResearch online digital archive at the University of Westminster aims to make the research output of the University available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the authors and/or copyright owners.

1 Article History: First Submitted: 28 February 2020, Accepted: 22 April 2020
2 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33182/ks.v7i2.461>

“Aspirational capital” and transformations in first-generation Alevi-Kurdish parents’ involvement with their children’s education in the

UK | Dr Celia Jenkins¹

3 Abstract

4 With a focus on the London Alevi-Kurdish community from Turkey, the aim of this article is to
5 analyse changes in parenting and home-school relations of two cohorts of first-generation parents
6 arriving in the nineties (Nineties parents) and the noughties (Millennial parents). Against a
7 backdrop of national data showing that “Turkish” children persistently underachieve in schools
8 across Europe, this exploration of differences within the first generation challenges deficit models
9 of home-school relations. Through adding “differences within a generation” to intersectional
10 analyses of home-school relations, it facilitates the exploration of parents’ migration context,
11 ethnicity, religion and community. Additionally, the article addresses migrant parents’ access to
12 different forms of capital in navigating the education system. This includes the contributions of
13 children, the community associations and local schools which have made a difference to Nineties
14 and Millennial parents’ relationships with schools. Finally, the analysis demonstrates how
15 community activism can have much more powerful effects than parents acting alone.

16 **Keywords:** Alevi Kurds, first-generation parents, parental involvement, transnational migration,
17 cultural capital.

18 Introduction

19 This article captures the transformations in home-school relations occurring
20 within the first generation of the transnational Alevi-Kurdish migrant
21 community in London.² It explores the intra-generational differences
22 between first-generation parents arriving from Turkey in the 1990s (who I
23 describe as Nineties parents) compared to first-generation parents who
24 arrived from Turkey in the Noughties (Millennial parents). It highlights how

¹ Dr Celia Jenkins is a Principal Lecturer in Sociology in the School of Social Sciences at the University of Westminister, 32-38 Wells St. London W1T 3UW. Email: jenkinc@westminster.ac.uk

Acknowledgements: I wish to thank my colleagues Drs Derrick Wright and Umit Cetin who have collaborated with me on the Alevi research for the past 10 years. Umit shared his own data with me and interpreted for me on many occasions as well as providing valuable feedback on drafts. Derrick has carefully commented on and proofread the article for me. I would also like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their insightful critiques of the first draft. Finally, I would like to say thank you to the Alevi community and participating schools for their collaboration.

² Whilst there has been migration to the UK of both Turkish and Kurdish Alevis, this article focuses specifically on the Kurdish-Alevi community in London, which comprises the majority of Alevis arriving since 1989 (Wahlbeck, 1998). Henceforth, I will use the term “Alevi” to describe them and capture their distinctive ethno-religious identity.



1 education is a crucial site of struggle and engagement for migrant
2 communities and a key motivating factor for their migration. All migrant
3 parents bring to their country of settlement what Yosso (2005) describes as
4 “aspirational” capital, the desire for their children to have a better education
5 than they had and for education to provide the route to a more hopeful
6 future life for their children.

7 A key aim of the article is to analyse generational shifts in parenting and
8 home-school relationships but only within the first generation of parents
9 arriving directly from Turkey rather than between generations . With a
10 combination of secondary and primary qualitative data, this research
11 explores how the first-generation parents have adjusted their expectations
12 of British education to what the education system expects of parents and
13 “navigated” their way through their children’s education. The theoretical
14 framework loosely draws on the Bourdieusian and intersectional framework
15 of home-school literature (Ball et al, 1997; Vincent, 2010; Crozier and
16 Symeou, 2017). This focuses on parental choice of schools and involvement
17 in children’s education, mindful that policy discourse treats “parents”
18 collectively whilst the responsibility usually devolves to mothers (Reay,
19 1998; Holloway and Pimlott-Wilson, 2013). Like the home-school literature,
20 critical race theory also counters a deficit approach to minority ethnic
21 communities and captures how they have drawn on a variety of forms of
22 capital to engage with the education system (Yosso, 2005; Posey-Maddox,
23 2017). Of particular interest is how minority ethnic communities support
24 their children’s education using these different forms of capital through
25 involving family members and community centres, which have a more
26 powerful influence than parents acting alone. Also, it extends an
27 intersectional analysis of home-school relationships by including the role of
28 minority ethnic community centres.

29 **The Alevi-Kurdish community in Turkey and London**

30 Portes and Zhou (2003) argue that the context of departure and settlement
31 affects migrants’ adaptation patterns and outcomes. The Turkish/Kurdish-
32 speaking Alevi ethno-religious community³ in the UK is normally defined
33 more generally in the literature (and official government statistics) within
34 the category of Turkish migrants, although in fact they have very different
35 life trajectories from Turks both in Turkey and the UK. Despite being the
36 second largest ethno-religious community in Turkey with an estimated
37 population of fifteen to twenty million (Aydin, 2018), the Alevis’ experience

³ Alevis from Turkey can be ethnically Turkish or Kurdish. The UK Alevi population is predominantly Kurdish but for the purposes of this paper, Alevi will be used to refer to them. However, the majority of them see their Aleviness as their preferred or primary identity while some resist being identified through ethnic differences (see Aydin, 2018).

1 of state persecution since the sixteenth century has led to a significant
 2 proportion migrating either internally to cities (where they often conceal
 3 their Alevi identities) or externally abroad (predominantly to Europe) to
 4 escape such persecution (Erol, 2012). What makes the Alevi-Kurdish
 5 community a distinctive case from other Turkish or Kurdish migrants is its
 6 long history of “persecuted exclusion” on both ethnic and religious grounds,
 7 living as a marginalised community (Sokefeld, 2008; Massicard, 2010; Cetin,
 8 2014). Alevi-Kurds have experienced generations of assimilationist
 9 Turkification policies as the Turkish state aimed to “Turkify the Kurds” and
 10 “Sunnify the Alevis” (Ye□en, 2011; Demir, 2017). This has also generated a
 11 transnational effect because despite its estimated size of 300,000 (British
 12 Alevi Federation, BAF)⁴, the Alevi community is relatively invisible in the
 13 UK. They are assumed to be ethnically Turkish or Kurdish and religiously
 14 Muslim and, as in Turkey, there is no official recognition of their identity in
 15 national demographic data.

16 It is against this background that second-generation children became a cause
 17 for concern due to inhabiting what the Chair of the Federation (Interview
 18 July, 2011) described as a “negative identity”.⁵ This is reflected in the way
 19 that parents (including some Millennial parents) who, not wanting to risk
 20 their children experiencing discrimination at school, would state their
 21 national identity as Turkish and their religious affiliation as Muslim when
 22 enrolling them. A case in point is Fatma,⁶ a Millennial mother, who
 23 explained that she ticked Muslim for the religious category because she was
 24 worried her children “might get into trouble at school if they called
 25 themselves Alevi” (Interview July, 2018). Further, the pupils described
 26 themselves as “sort of Muslim” because they did not know enough about
 27 their religion to explain it otherwise. In this respect, although for different
 28 reasons than the persecution of Alevis in Turkey, this lack of
 29 acknowledgement of Alevi identity exacerbated the marginalisation
 30 experienced by second-generation Alevi pupils in British schools,
 31 contributing to the institutional erasure of their ethno-religious identity and
 32 the second-generation’s sense that they did not belong in school. (Jenkins
 33 and Cetin, 2018).

34 The Nineties generation of “Turkish” migrants were predominantly Alevi-
 35 Kurds seeking asylum in the UK (Wahlbeck, 1998) who spoke little English
 36 and largely came from the same towns and villages. At first, they were

⁴ http://www.alevynet.org/SAP.aspx?pid=About_en-GB (last accessed on 1 March 2020).

⁵ The consequences of a negative identity for the second generation were explored by Cetin (2014) in his ethnographic research to examine the higher than expected male youth suicide rate for second-generation young Alevi men which had sparked widespread alarm amongst parents and the wider Alevi community.

⁶ Fatma came from a village near Elbistan in 2004 to join her husband and has three children. All names have been changed.

1 mostly married men who arrived in the late 1980s or early 1990s in response
2 to worsening conditions in Turkey following the massacres of Alevis and the
3 1980 military coup. On arrival most settled in north London where, because
4 they spoke little or no English, they worked predominantly in the segregated
5 ethnic labour market, mostly in the textile industry (Demir, 2012). They kept
6 strong transnational kinship, cultural and political ties with their homeland.
7 Alevi women mainly came afterwards through the family reunification
8 rights in the early 1990s and would either work in the textile factories or do
9 piecework at home (Cetin, 2014). Initially, the Alevis joined established
10 Kurdish community centres that mobilised around left-wing and Kurdish
11 politics (Demir, 2012) until the London Alevi Community Centre and
12 Cemevi (LACCC) opened in 1993 to provide religious, cultural, political and
13 educational functions. This reflected a reversal of the position of Alevis as a
14 “twice minority” in Turkey to becoming a majority among the London
15 Kurdish community (Demir, 2017). Demir explains this transition to an Alevi
16 identity as a shift in self-definition to reposition themselves primarily in
17 terms of a religious identity rather than their Kurdish ethnic identity.
18 Moreover, a key feature of first-generation settlement in the UK was the
19 ability to practice their religion openly for the first time. Thus in this article,
20 using the term “Alevi” reflects how the community now describe
21 themselves, but it is interchangeable with Alevi-Kurds.

22 Like the Nineties migrants, Millennial Alevis were also likely to originate
23 from the same towns and villages and arrive in the UK speaking little or no
24 English. They maintained strong transnational kinship and cultural ties with
25 Turkey and were still likely to integrate into the ethnic community and
26 labour market. In contrast, however, to the Nineties migrants, the Millennial
27 first generation was better educated in Turkey and more likely to be
28 economic migrants or came to join spouses or family members. This is not,
29 however, to draw an absolute distinction between the Nineties and
30 Millennials as having political and economic reasons respectively for
31 migrating. As Demir (2017) usefully points out, these reasons can intersect
32 as some of the early migrants came mainly for economic opportunities
33 “albeit having suffered at the intersections of economic, ethnic and sectarian
34 exclusions” (278). However, the Millennials arrived into a much more
35 established Alevi community with kinship networks available and new
36 community centres opening up across London and the UK following more
37 dispersed patterns of settlement. This is an important difference because, as
38 Portes and Hao (2004) argue, community centres provide vital support for
39 migrant communities. Given that the LACCC was not set up until the early

1 Nineties, it was not sufficiently established to provide much support to the
2 Nineties first generation (Interview, Chair of BAF,⁷ July 2018).

3 **Migration and educational engagement in the country of settlement**

4 Most parents want their children to do well in school to enhance their future
5 prospects but, as Vincent (2017) argues, ensuring success is an uncertain
6 process. Migrant parents cite improved educational opportunities for their
7 children as one of the key factors in their migration decision, especially when
8 compared to their own often limited educational opportunities in their
9 country of origin (Haw, 2011; Araujo et al, 2015). However, analysis of
10 achievement data shows that too often their aspirations are not realised. For
11 example, Heath et al's (2008) research across eight countries in 2007/8 found
12 that, contrary to their parents' aspirations, second-generation children of
13 immigrants are likely to underperform in relation to the majority ethnic
14 group. Similarly, Schnell and Crul (2014), who draw on cross-national
15 European studies, single out the children of migrants from Turkey (and
16 North Africa) as more likely to underachieve, to drop out of school, and to
17 have significantly less chance of progressing to higher education. However,
18 to explain the wide cross-national variation in outcomes for second-
19 generation Turkish children, they adopt an integration context approach,
20 examining the interaction of family and institutional factors. They argue that
21 analysis of migrant children's achievement should examine the interaction
22 between parental background, the characteristics of the immigrant ethnic
23 community they join, and the structure and organisation of the education
24 system in the destination country to seek explanations and solutions to their
25 persistent underachievement (Schnell and Crul, 2014).

26 In turning attention now to the importance of the integration context in
27 England, migrant parents' anticipation that education could be safely left to
28 the schools stands at odds with the massive escalation of parental roles and
29 responsibilities being introduced in government policy. Since the 1980s,
30 home-school relations have revolved around increasing expectations of
31 parental involvement in their children's education and outcomes (Brown,
32 1990; Crozier and Symeou, 2017) which as Reay (1998) argues disadvantages
33 working-class parents because they are unlikely to generate the same
34 amount of economic, social, cultural or emotional capital to secure
35 equivalent outcomes for their children as the middle classes. Further,
36 parental involvement usually pertains to mothers, which Holloway and
37 Pimlott-Wilson (2013) identify as a "fourth shift", with mothers doing

⁷ During the research the Alevi community set up new community centres in London and elsewhere. The British Alevi Federation (BAF) was launched in 2013 and has been based at the new Enfield Centre since 2018. The Chair of the LACCC became the first Chair of the BAF so his title changes over the research, but he is the same person.

1 educational work in addition to paid work, domestic labour and childcare.
2 Equally, Vincent and Maxwell (2016) describe how parents, usually but not
3 exclusively middle-class mothers, are going to ever greater lengths in the
4 “concerted cultivation” of their children through extra-curricular activities,
5 private tutoring, attending cultural events and so on to equip them for a
6 competitive school environment.

7 Pinson et al (2010) argue that asylum-seeking and refugee children have
8 received the least attention from policy-makers and educators and yet they
9 are amongst “the most socially and economically deprived and
10 discriminated-against groups in society” (4). In a recent review of the home-
11 school nexus, Vincent (2017) claims that relatively few sociological accounts
12 exist of how minority ethnic parents and migrants choose and interact with
13 schools. However, one such account is Posey-Maddox’s (2017) research on
14 Black fathers’ engagement with schools in America where she found that
15 teachers sometimes made detrimental assumptions about their parenting
16 skills and ability to support their children’s education and treated them as
17 exceptional parents if they showed an interest. Moreover, teachers had
18 different expectations of pupils’ abilities based on their class, gender, ethnic
19 and other significant subjective identities. More generally, Yosso (2005) has
20 criticised the deficit approach to communities of colour in which it is
21 assumed that the children lack the cultural capital (knowledge, skills and
22 abilities possessed by privileged groups) to succeed and their parents do not
23 value or support their children’s education. As Gillies (2006) also argues,
24 within this parental deficit approach it then becomes the responsibility of
25 the family to acquire the right kind of cultural capital and for the children to
26 learn to fit into the institutional habitus of schools. Using a “critical race
27 theory” approach, Yosso (2005) criticises the notion of “cultural capital” as
28 the possession of dominant groups. Instead, she claims communities of
29 colour possess multiple strengths derived from their “cultural wealth”
30 which she describes as “an array of knowledge, skills, abilities and contacts
31 possessed and utilised by communities of color to survive and resist macro
32 and micro forms of oppression” (77). She identifies six forms of capital used
33 by such communities – aspirational, linguistic, familial, social, navigational
34 and resistant.

35 In relation to the above, this article draws on a Bourdieusian, intersectional,
36 critical race theory approach (Yosso, 2005; Crozier and Symeou, 2017; Posey-
37 Maddox, 2017) which is sensitive to other identities within classifications of
38 home-school relations, in particular generation, migration/settlement,
39 religion, ethnicity, gender and community. The comparison of first-
40 generation Alevi parents’ engagement with schools will explore their
41 context of departure and settlement, their use of different forms of capital in
42 their aspirations and navigation through British schools, all factors

1 identified as critical to migrant children's educational success (Faas, 2008;
 2 Portes and Hao, 2004; Pinson et al, 2010). This study further adds to extant
 3 literature on home-school relationships to include the role of children
 4 (familial capital) and ethnic community centres (linguistic, social and
 5 navigational capitals) in supporting children, families and schools because
 6 their influence is much greater than parents could achieve alone (D'Angelo,
 7 2008, Araujo et al, 2015).

8 **Methods**

9 This research was driven by community activism in response to the Alevi
 10 community's request for help in countering what they described to me as
 11 the negative identity of the second generation. I would describe the research
 12 as participatory research falling broadly within interpretative approaches
 13 associated with an anti-discriminatory framework (Daneher et al; Cohen-
 14 Mitchell, 2000). Whereas action research is more hierarchical in bringing in
 15 experts to solve a problem, participatory approaches work more
 16 collaboratively, recognising our respective contributions in an atmosphere
 17 of mutuality and respect.

18 For this research, I draw on four main sources of data:

19 1) *Cetin's (2014) ethnographic research* on second-generation male Alevi youth
 20 suicide which explored family, school and peer influences. I draw entirely
 21 on Cetin's published research as secondary data for the analysis of the
 22 Nineties first-generation parents but I have heard similar stories from other
 23 members of the community. As he was an insider and had already
 24 conducted extensive interviews with fifteen parents, including five couples,
 25 who came to the UK before 1995, it made sense to use his rich data as
 26 illustrative of my analysis rather than conduct new interviews⁸.

27 2) *The "Religion and Identity" participatory action research* that aimed to find
 28 solutions to the negative identity of the second-generation Alevi youth
 29 (Jenkins and Cetin, 2018). Arising from a request by Alevi youth members
 30 for Alevism lessons in Religious Education (RE), a collaboration began
 31 between two local schools, the Alevi community centre (LACCC) and the
 32 University of Westminster. Working over a period of three years, we
 33 designed, trialled and evaluated Alevism lessons in Religious Education at
 34 Key Stages 1 to 3.⁹

⁸ All other interviews and focus groups described in the article were conducted by the author unless otherwise attributed.

⁹ The Religion and Identity Project, which won the 2014 British Education Research Association/Routledge prize for a unique collaboration between a university and school for the second phase of the research, led to the development of Alevi lessons at Key Stage 3 in a local secondary school. See Jenkins, C. and Cetin, U.

1 3) *Research on Alevi parents.* Focus group interviews with parents were held
2 at the Prince of Wales Primary School in Enfield from April 2016 to February
3 2017.¹⁰ Each was attended by approximately 15 to 20 parents although only
4 mothers came. Two mothers from these groups (Zeynep¹¹ and Fatma¹²),
5 whose experience chimed with other mothers, were interviewed again in
6 July 2018 in order to capture data to describe the Millennial first-generation
7 parents' experience, at least from the perspective of mothers. The Chair of
8 BAF and the deputy head of the school were also interviewed to explore
9 their perceptions of the changing relationship between parents and schools
10 (July, 2018).

11 4) *Community perspectives.* Much of the analysis presented here is distilled
12 from informal conversations with parents and community members at
13 meetings or festivals at the community centre or from presenting our
14 research at national events and regional groups, rather than from recorded
15 interviews. A similar approach applies in ethnographic and other research,
16 demonstrating the legitimacy of using opportunistic data to add to our
17 understanding of parent's experiences in this way (Alexander, 2000; Cetin,
18 2014).

19 This research is relatively small-scale and qualitative, using a small number
20 of cases to identify differences between two cohorts of first-generation
21 parents – the Nineties and Millennials. This is not to suggest that all Nineties
22 or Millennial parents are the same, sharing the same characteristics
23 identified in the analysis that follows. Where possible, I have identified some
24 heterogeneity within the cohorts or overlap between them in order to show
25 that they are not watertight categories. Nevertheless, as Polit and Beck (2010)
26 suggest, my familiarity with the community allows me to generalise these
27 distinctions beyond the small number of actual interviews covered and to
28 use them as a device to drill into the experiences I have heard described on
29 numerous occasions.

30 **The Nineties generation: “local choosers”¹³**

31 In deconstructing the family-school nexus, I identify four main stakeholders
32 –parents/extended families, children, the community centre and schools, all

(2014) “Minority ethno-faith communities and social inclusion through collaborative research” *BERA Insights* 9.

¹⁰ This is the real name of the school which is used with their permission. The focus groups were jointly organised by the school and the author.

¹¹ Zeynep came to join her husband in 2001 at the age of 19. She completed *lise* (sixth form) in Turkey. Her daughter is 15 and her son is 7.

¹² Fatma came from a village near Elbistan in 2004 to join her husband and has three children.

¹³ I draw here on Ball et al's (1997) concept of working-class locals which describes the choice processes of working class parents.

1 of whose influence changes across the intra-generational divide.¹⁴ Schnell
 2 and Crul's (2014) analysis of underachievement of migrant Turkish children
 3 in European destination countries, examined the interaction between family
 4 and institutional factors rather than parental deficit. The intention here is to
 5 explore qualitatively this interaction between the Nineties Alevi parents'
 6 background and experience with the British education system to understand
 7 the reasons that their children underachieved. Typically, Nineties parents
 8 came from rural areas in central and south-eastern Turkey where they lived
 9 in an "environment of insecurity" (Sirkeci, 2003) mainly due to the war
 10 between the Turkish state and Kurdish guerrillas. Although education was
 11 compulsory to the end of the primary stage in Turkey, in practice attendance
 12 was patchy. Only primary education was provided in the villages and
 13 relatively few reached the secondary stage. Boys might attend secondary
 14 education in the nearest towns if they could stay with relatives but rarely
 15 girls, many of whom received no formal schooling. Those parents who had
 16 advanced beyond primary schooling had experienced ethnic and religious
 17 discrimination at secondary school from teachers and peers and they feared
 18 for their children's future if they stayed in Turkey.

19 Nineties parents repeatedly said that their children's education was a crucial
 20 reason for the family to migrate. They had high hopes for their children to
 21 get a good education abroad and at least find a white-collar job regardless
 22 of their own educational background. As one father of seven children put it:

23 We had a dream, I mean we thought we are now in Europe and our children
 24 can now have a good education, at least get a degree. [...] I always reminded
 25 my children that we did not have the opportunity to go to school in Turkey
 26 (Hasan in Cetin, 2014).

27 Once in the UK, Nineties parents sent their children to school and expected
 28 them to do well, such was the reputation of British education. Yosso (2005)
 29 admires such "aspirational capital", the belief that their children could do
 30 well in school despite the institutional barriers which impeded their
 31 progress. In this respect, they were "good" parents, caring about their
 32 children's education and encouraging them to work hard but not otherwise
 33 involved. One father, (Zafer),¹⁵ spoke for many when he said, "my biggest

¹⁴ As already noted, in the absence of primary data from the perspective of schools receiving the Nineties Alevi pupils and also with the community centre just in the process of formation in the early Nineties, this section draws on Cetin's (2014) research which captures what parents and children reported about home-school relations during that period.

¹⁵ Zafer is in his 60s. He came here in 1989 and applied for asylum. He brought his six children to join him after four years when he was granted indefinite leave to remain in the UK. He has only had a few years of formal education at primary school level.

1 goal was to see my children have a good education” while Ali¹⁶ expressed
2 his regret at spending so much time earning money that he had so little time
3 with his children and their education. Those few Nineties parents who did
4 not leave education entirely to the schools, had been better educated in
5 Turkey and spoke better English. It was their children arriving in the
6 Nineties and entering the British education system at a young age who were
7 more likely to realise their parents’ dream by graduating with degrees and
8 assimilating into white collar or professional employment, but they were the
9 exception.

10 Whilst Alevi second-generation children consistently underachieved in
11 schools, some of the Nineties children claimed that they had done well at
12 primary school but then found the work too difficult at secondary school.
13 For example, Raci¹⁷ left school with no qualifications and explained how
14 his achievement began to slide once he reached secondary school. His story
15 was typical of his peers:

16
17 I was trying my best but still struggling with my homework – most of
18 the time I was copying from my friends. My Mum could not help me
19 with my school work because she could not understand a word in
20 English. She does not know how schools function here. She only learnt
21 about my performance when she was called to the school for my
22 troubles... but then it was too late (Raci in Cetin, 2014).

23 Inevitably, most Nineties parents were severely restricted in the help they
24 could provide for their children due to their own limited education. Both
25 parents were working long hours often in the textile factories and/or
26 bringing home piecework. Mothers managed their work around their
27 children’s education by either going to work when their children were at
28 school or home-working. They realised that it was hard for their children to
29 have to start school without speaking any English and felt powerless to help
30 them. Fathers in Turkey were the breadwinners and assumed that it was the
31 mother’s responsibility to look after the children and help with their school
32 work, but they had received even less schooling than their husbands
33 (Interview, Chair of BAF, 2018).

34 Nineties parents mostly did not speak English and were dependent on their
35 children to interpret for them in official contexts, such as with schools, health
36 professionals and benefits officers. This meant their children often missed

¹⁶ Ali is in his forties. He is a successful businessman and father of three children. His wife had been through the British education system hence could speak English when they got married. Two of the children are university graduates and Ali suggests this was mainly because his wife was able to help them throughout their educational journey.

¹⁷ Raci is a second-generation young man with no GCSEs.

1 school to help out which could lead schools to think the parents did not care
 2 about their children's schooling. One boy, for example, had a fifty per cent
 3 absence rate due to interpreting for his sick mother (Kadir¹⁸ in Cetin, 2014),
 4 which adversely affected his academic achievement. Importantly, this can
 5 be seen as a situation of role reversal where parental responsibilities are
 6 handed over to the children. In cases such as Kadir's, Yosso (2005) would
 7 see this as children acquiring linguistic capital, "cultural wealth" or
 8 "navigational capital" in stepping up to help their parents find their way
 9 around institutions and bureaucracy and had schools been aware this was
 10 the reason for his absence, they might have been supportive too. However,
 11 Portes and Rumbaut (2001) see this "taking power over parents" as a key
 12 contributory factor in some children's descent into the "rainbow
 13 underclass".¹⁹ The boys and girls who were in trouble at school took
 14 advantage of their parents' lack of English by deliberately mistranslating
 15 letters home. The Chair of the British Alevi Federation confirmed that
 16 parents would be unaware that the school had requested a meeting to
 17 discuss their child's behaviour until more drastic measures were taken and
 18 then it was too late to do anything about it (Interview, July, 2018).

19 The Nineties parents did not have already established social or community
 20 networks which could help them engage with their children's education.
 21 Moreover, policy expectations demanded greater involvement of parents by
 22 choosing the best schools, attending parents' meetings and helping with
 23 schoolwork. Without the support of the extended family which they had
 24 depended on in Turkey, parents relied on other Alevi parents who could
 25 speak some English to help them out with adjusting to life in the UK. The
 26 type of neighbourhood and quality of schools is an important aspect of the
 27 social milieu that also affects children's educational outcomes and life
 28 trajectories.²⁰ Assuming that all schools were equally good, the Nineties
 29 parents sent their children to the nearest school, similar to a working class
 30 Local's pattern of school choice (Ball et al, 1997). Hackney and Tottenham,
 31 where the Nineties Alevis lived, had some notoriously bad neighbourhood
 32 schools with a reputation for gangs and inter-ethnic conflict. Moreover, as
 33 outsiders, Alevi youth were jockeying for power in relation to peers from
 34 other disadvantaged ethnic groups, especially Black youth, who had already
 35 lost faith in education as a route to social mobility (Zhou, 2001). In addition,
 36 some of the Alevi youth were bullied for their appearance in cheap clothes

¹⁸ Kadir is a second-generation young man in his twenties with no GCSEs.

¹⁹ The "rainbow underclass" refers to an existing underclass made up of different ethnic minorities within a situation of permanent poverty and anomie. This class is characterised by disorientation, lack of belief in the education system and underachievement at school. This leads towards a downward assimilation trajectory, blocking their chances of social mobility (Portes and Zhou, 1993).

²⁰ See Cetin in this issue for a more extended discussion of the effect on life trajectories.

1 or not behaving like practising Muslims and their negative sense of identity
2 was a further contributory factor in their disaffection with schooling.

3 The Nineties first-generation of parents had much to contend with as they
4 settled into their new life in the UK. In an interview (July, 2011) the Chair of
5 LACCC said parents would complain that their children were out of control,
6 staying out late with their friends and taking drugs and that they needed
7 help before it was too late. He recognised that parents were too busy
8 working, often left their children home alone, and used the language barrier
9 as an excuse not to get more involved in their children's activities. He
10 remarked that the parents paid greater attention to material wealth and tried
11 to compensate with material possessions to make up for not being there; a
12 result of them having had so little money in Turkey. Inevitably, the dream
13 of life in the UK providing a place of safety and a bright future for the family
14 was not borne out by the reality, especially not in the beginning. Alevis were
15 experiencing poverty and constant fear of deportation so they worked hard
16 to save some money for the family just in case they were sent back to Turkey.
17 Moreover, the Chair of LACCC felt that parents had placed too much trust
18 in the education system and not enough in their children when they told
19 their parents something was wrong:

20 Many families did not want to believe it when people said
21 something bad about the schools or the police. This is where we
22 failed as a community as we lost trust in our children. [...] We
23 were losing our youth. (Ali, July, 2011)

24 In summary, I argue that relations between parents, children and schools for
25 this Nineties generation were evolving, messy and contradictory rather than
26 simply either "positive" or "negative". All parents possessed aspirational
27 capital, encouraging their children to do well and provided for their material
28 needs whilst adjusting to their new life. They assumed that it was the
29 schools' responsibility to deliver educational success if their children
30 worked hard and behaved themselves. Given that at the time, the greater
31 policy emphasis on parental choice and active supervision and support of
32 their children's education (Ball, 2010), the Nineties parents fitted the profile
33 of working class, minority ethnic "bad" parents in a deficit model of
34 schooling (Gillies, 2006). More specifically, blame was targeted at "bad"
35 mothers whose children under-achieved (Crozier and Davies, 2007; Vincent
36 et al, 2010).

37 On the other hand, the accounts of Alevi parents suggest that they tried their
38 best despite their limitations and the material conditions in which they lived.
39 The children themselves had to contend with their lack of English when they
40 started school, being left home alone whilst their parents worked long hours
41 and having no one to help with their homework. However, these children

1 were much more agentic than analysis of home-school relations normally
 2 gives them credit for, including the power they held over their parents
 3 through learning English and their navigational skills in negotiating with
 4 officials. Similarly, the neighbourhood schools they attended were often
 5 constructed as “bad” schools and could be blamed for not intervening
 6 sooner, having low expectations of their ability, blaming parents for not
 7 getting involved and excluding those involved in gang violence, and so on.
 8 Some schools did try to contact parents about their child’s
 9 underachievement, truancy and risk of exclusion. However, this was
 10 thwarted by the parents’ inability to speak or read English and their
 11 children’s manipulation of home-school communications.

12 **The Millennial generation: “cosmopolitan choosers”²¹**

13 The discussion of the Nineties generation provides the basis for comparing
 14 the similarities and differences in the integration contexts of the Millennial
 15 first-generation parents and their new second-generation children.²² It also
 16 expands the normative configuration of parent-school relations through
 17 successful collaborations between the Alevi community, parents and schools
 18 working together in the best interests of the new second generation. Whilst
 19 some of the first-generation Millennial parents originated from similar
 20 villages and towns to the Nineties generation, they were more likely to have
 21 migrated from the towns and were generally better educated. The majority
 22 of mothers in the focus group completed the secondary stage of education
 23 in Turkey, a contrast to the Nineties generation who had largely missed out
 24 on formal education. When Zeynep, a typical Millennial mother, was
 25 interviewed, she explained her situation:

26 I came to the UK when I was 19, through marriage. My partner
 27 arrived in 1995/6 and we decided to live in the UK together once
 28 we got married. [...] In Turkey, I was in education until college.
 29 [...] We always remember our personal experiences growing up
 30 and think about the lack of opportunities we had. As parents we
 31 make a great effort to ensure that our children are not deprived
 32 in the same way we were and work to make sure they have a
 33 good education (Zeynep, July, 2018).

34 However, like Zeynep, most Millennial mothers we knew, had faced
 35 discrimination in Turkey and stressed that their children’s education was a

²¹ Cosmopolitan choosers are described by Ball et al (1997) as more middle class with a wider range of strategies for choosing schools.

²² The new second generation are the children of the Millennial first-generation parents, mostly born here and going through the British education system themselves.

1 key factor in their migration decision. They too had plenty of “aspirational
2 capital” and ambitions for their children to go to university.

3 Like the Nineties parents, the Millennial parents lived precarious lives when
4 they first arrived waiting to establish their migration status which limited
5 their opportunities to find employment or learn English. Their choice of
6 primary school for their first child still reflected the “Local choosers”
7 practice (Ball et al, 1997) of the Nineties parents. However, whilst proximity
8 remained a key factor, parents became more discerning in their choices for
9 their second and subsequent children. The Millennials benefitted from
10 family and/or more established Alevi communities who could provide
11 advice and support. They also settled in more suburban areas such as
12 Enfield, some through choice and others by being allocated council housing
13 there. They could rely on the local grapevine for what Ball and Vincent
14 (1998) describe as “hot knowledge” or gossip from other parents about local
15 schools. For example, Fatma said that she chose the closest school for their
16 first child but for the younger child it was a more informed choice based on
17 what she had learnt from other Alevi parents about the Prince of Wales
18 Primary School in Enfield, where we conducted the Religion and Identity
19 Project. Mothers at the focus group (April, 2016) also knew other Alevi
20 children at the school and were attracted by the Alevism lessons. Fatma
21 described the difference between the schools her children went to like this:

22 The schools used to ask us if we were Muslim and I used to
23 hesitate to respond as we are Kurdish Alevi. At this new school,
24 the school reassured me that they knew what Alevism was
25 (Fatma, July, 2018).

26 Here can be seen an element of the “middle-class cosmopolitan chooser”
27 (Ball et al, 1997) entering into the choice of school, which suggests this
28 generation has greater knowledge about the education system. Zeynep
29 explained her choice process, relying on both “hot” and “cold” knowledge
30 (official information published by schools) (ibid.) about local schools:

31 I researched for both children. I looked at the league tables and
32 asked friends who had children in the schools for their
33 experiences. I then weighed up my options and made a decision
34 based on this. For my younger child, it was a more informed
35 choice. We knew more about scores and better education. I am
36 very happy with my choice. This school ensures our needs are
37 met. For meetings, they arrange interpreters and we are sent off
38 fully satisfied (Zeynep, July, 2018).

39 This comparison with the previous schools that Millennial mothers had sent
40 their children to was far more consistent with the Nineties parents’
41 experience of schools as dismissive of them for not speaking English. With

1 far more support available to them, the Millennial generation were much
 2 more in command of their children's education and were far less likely to
 3 experience role reversal in parental responsibilities, thereby strengthening
 4 their parental authority. Whilst it was not possible to establish how many of
 5 the Millennial mothers were in paid work, those attending the school
 6 meetings were full-time mothers, actively supporting their children's
 7 education in a way that the Nineties mothers could not. Whilst the Nineties
 8 fathers commented that the mothers were not educated enough to help their
 9 children, this generation of mothers were taking ever greater responsibility
 10 to support their children's education with evidence of acquiring the
 11 "cultural capital" so highly valued by middle-class mothers (Vincent and
 12 Maxwell, 2016). The focus group mothers (April, 2016) described the
 13 "educational work" they performed at home (Holloway and Pimlott-
 14 Wilson, 2013). For example, they played games with their children, and
 15 taught them how to write their names so that they would not start nursery
 16 without any English language skills. Whilst some fathers helped out, they
 17 mostly left education to the mothers. At the focus group meeting (April,
 18 2016), mothers asked the school for help to get fathers more engaged with
 19 school life.

20 Conversations with Millennial children or information provided by the
 21 Deputy Head of the Prince of Wales Primary School, also confirmed that it
 22 was mainly mothers who helped with homework, at least during primary
 23 school, although two said their fathers helped a lot. Fairly typical is the Year
 24 6 girl who said that she spent two hours a day revising for her SATS with
 25 her mother (Year 6 Alevi pupil interviews by the Deputy Head, 2015). This
 26 was harder at secondary level so mothers enlisted older siblings or extended
 27 family members who had attended school here to take on that responsibility.
 28 This meant that almost half of the Year 6 children had siblings helping them
 29 with their homework, an example of "familial capital" (Yosso, 2005). In
 30 addition, over half had private tutors like Zeynep's daughter. Zeynep
 31 explained that she felt guilty that she could not help her daughter as much
 32 as she wanted to and so once she had exhausted support from cousins and
 33 other family members, she hired a tutor for her even though she was doing
 34 well at school (Interview July 2018).

35 Millennial mothers were corresponding to "responsible parenting" and
 36 acquiring cultural capital which is more associated with the white middle
 37 classes. As is evident, Zeynep was leaving nothing to chance even though
 38 her daughter was doing well at school. However, it was her daughter who
 39 was now helping her younger son and so siblings and extended family
 40 members were enlisted to help, utilising familial capital. Millennial mothers
 41 also sent their children to booster classes or after-school clubs. In relation to
 42 their Alevism, they went to *saz* classes (a plucked musical instrument),

1 joined *semah* dance sessions (part of the Alevi religious ritual) or attended
2 Turkish lessons at the LACCC. They acquired “cultural wealth” to keep in
3 touch with their linguistic, cultural and religious heritage. Vincent and
4 Maxwell (2016) define these activities as the “concerted cultivation” of
5 children, normally encouraged by middle-class mothers who intensively
6 prepare their children for the future. Millennial mothers partially fit this
7 description although they remain predominantly working class. Whereas
8 the Nineties parents spent money on material goods for their children, partly
9 to compensate for not being there or not being able to support their
10 children’s schooling, the Millennial parents spent it on supplementary
11 educational and extra-curricular activities to enhance their children’s
12 chances of success. Undoubtedly, Millennial mothers were much more
13 agentic than the Nineties mothers and were making most of the decisions
14 about their children’s schooling whilst keeping the fathers informed. This
15 suggests a shift in power relations between parents and a re-establishment
16 of parental authority over the children’s education and a much more
17 positive home-school relationship.

18 A further crucial area of difference between the groups is that the LACCC
19 was much more established when the Millennial first-generation parents
20 arrived and together they took steps to address the problems of the second-
21 generation Alevi youth and actively engage with local schools. Direct actions
22 included arranging meetings in schools to build relationships with Alevi
23 parents. The Religion and Identity Project collaboration with local schools
24 and the university to introduce Alevism lessons in local schools is the best
25 example of the collective strength of the community which made a far
26 greater impact on the schools than if individual parents had requested them.
27 The Chair of the Britain Alevi Federation said with considerable pride:

28 In the early stages we were approaching schools and trying to
29 encourage them to put Alevism lessons into their curriculum.
30 Now it is schools who approach us. They want to know more
31 about it and they want to teach the Alevism lessons (Interview,
32 July, 2018).

33 This fits Yosso’s (2005) description of a community passing on their “cultural
34 wealth” to empower, build resilience and achieve positive outcomes for
35 Alevi parents, pupils and the schools.

36 Schools have also played their part in improving home-school relationships
37 and Millennial first-generation parents reported that they were much more
38 welcoming and proactive towards integrating and supporting their
39 children. Most schools work hard to create an inclusive community but
40 research by Barron (2007) and Crozier and Davies (2007) demonstrates the
41 minefield of misunderstandings that can sometimes arise when schools

1 attempt to integrate minority ethnic pupils. As the deputy head of the Prince
 2 of Wales Primary School explained in our first interview (July, 2010), the
 3 school was unaware that their 82 “Turkish” pupils were Alevi before the
 4 project began and had concerns about their underachievement, poor
 5 behaviour and the lack of involvement of Alevi parents. However, she
 6 transformed this lack of awareness into her commitment to the Religion and
 7 Identity Project. She hosted a meeting of Alevi parents (July, 2011) to gain
 8 their support for the Alevism lessons and played a crucial role in preparing
 9 age-appropriate materials, organising and supporting the lessons for Key
 10 Stages 1 and 2 and evaluating them. Given that much of the school’s work is
 11 focused on building good relationships with parents, raising aspirations for
 12 pupils and building resilience to the many challenges that families face, the
 13 Religion and Identity Project is an excellent example of fostering a
 14 “community of practice” on many levels (Barron, 2007). It was the impact of
 15 the Alevism lessons that helped raise the profile and achievement of the
 16 Millennial Alevi pupils, bringing parents into school and giving Alevi pupils
 17 a sense of belonging.²³ The many achievements of the project are
 18 documented elsewhere (Jenkins and Cetin, 2018) but most importantly, in
 19 both pilot schools, it empowered Alevi youth. As one of the Highbury
 20 Grove²⁴ Secondary School pupils said

21 Everywhere we Alevi are a minority, people don’t know about
 22 us but when they learn about Alevism, they accept it and you get
 23 a lot more respect (Cem,²⁵ Focus group, Highbury Grove pupils,
 24 April, 2017).

25 Whereas before the lessons, other students had not heard about Alevism, the
 26 lessons conferred legitimacy on Alevi pupils’ identity while creating a
 27 dialogic home-school relationship (Vincent, 2017) which connected the new
 28 second-generation’s outside world with the interior world of the school.
 29 Moreover, the exchange of knowledge between the LACCC, school and
 30 home gave Alevi children an opportunity to teach their parents what they
 31 have learnt about Alevism²⁶ and to discuss their religion more openly at
 32 home. It also made them more agentic in sharing information with their
 33 parents, teachers and peers instead of always being on the receiving end of
 34 information.

²³ From the first year Alevism lessons were introduced in the school, the Year 6 Alevi pupils performed better in the national SATs (Standard Attainment Tests) at Key Stage 2 than teacher expectations and their results have continued to improve.

²⁴ This is the real name of the school which is used with their permission.

²⁵ Cem is a Year 13 pupil at Highbury Grove school and one of the first cohort to experience Alevism lessons.

²⁶ Due to the suppression of Alevism in Turkey and the oral tradition of transmission of the religion, many Alevi did not know much about their religion and tended not to discuss it with their children.

1 **Conclusion**

2 This intra-generational study of Alevi parents, particularly mothers, in
3 London confirms that education is an important site of struggle and
4 engagement for migrant parents if they are to realise their aspirations for
5 their children to succeed in the country of settlement. The value of this
6 comparative analysis is to challenge deficit models of first-generation
7 parenting in migrant communities; to open up an intersectional analysis to
8 reveal the significance of generation in home-school relations and apply
9 Yosso's conceptualisation of different forms of capital used by minority
10 ethnic communities. This analysis shows how Nineties and Millennial first-
11 generation parents draw on a different range of familial and community
12 resources than are traditionally recognised to support their children's
13 education. The research shows how significant transformations in home-
14 school relations have occurred within the first generation in the space of a
15 decade.

16 Key differences between the Nineties and Millennial parents were that the
17 latter were better educated in Turkey, more mothers could speak English,
18 they were less likely to be either working or working full-time, they settled
19 into a more established community so that they understood the demands of
20 schooling, and knew better how to choose schools and get more involved in
21 their children's education. In terms of parental involvement, mothers were
22 expected to take responsibility for their children's education and mostly they
23 did, but there was some limited involvement of Millennial fathers (a matter
24 which should be addressed in future research). Also, the community centres
25 played a leading role in supporting parents both through providing lessons
26 to affirm cultural and religious identities and also through working with
27 schools. It is doubtful that the Alevism lessons would have gone ahead if the
28 suggestion had solely come from parents. This suggests future educational
29 research with migrant communities should include the role of community
30 centres as important stakeholders in the mainstream home-school nexus and
31 to bring the "cultural wealth" of minority ethnic communities into schools.

32 Finally, what is distinctive about this research is the highlighting of the
33 importance of religion and identity for both parents and children in terms of
34 their ability to integrate into the school community. The Alevis were
35 persecuted in Turkey for their religious identity and were invisible in the
36 UK as their country of origin led them to be identified as Turkish and
37 Muslim rather than Kurdish and Alevi, generating a negative identity for
38 the second generation. The Religion and Identity Project is an example of
39 where the community took the lead in tackling this problem and
40 collaborating with the schools to find solutions. As a result of the project
41 introducing Alevism lessons into the RE curriculum, there has been a

1 significant improvement in the children's sense of belonging, achievement
 2 and behaviour in both schools. Alevi youth have experienced more self-
 3 confidence and pride in their Alevi identity to the extent that one secondary
 4 school child could now describe himself as a "full Alevi". Importantly, the
 5 project has effects beyond the confines of the school to positively impact on
 6 the identity of the community as a whole.

7 References

- 8 Araujo, H., A. Tereshchenko, S. B. Sousa, and Jenkins, C.. (2015). Women in the
 9 driving seat: Eastern European women's citizenship, educational participation
 10 and inclusion in Portugal. *Citizenship Studies*, 19(3): 384-399.
- 11 Aydin, S. (2018). The emergence of Alevism as an ethno- religious identity. *National*
 12 *Identities*, 20(1): 9-29.
- 13 Ball, S. (2010). New class inequalities in education: Why education policy may be
 14 looking in the wrong place! Education policy, civil society and social class.
 15 *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 30(4): 155-166.
- 16 Ball, S. and Vincent, C. (1998). "I heard it on the grapevine": "Hot" knowledge and
 17 school choice. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 19(3): 377-400.
- 18 Ball, S., Bowe, R., and Gewirtz, S. (1997). Circuits of schooling: A sociological
 19 explanation of parental choice of school social-class contexts. In A. H. Halsey, H.
 20 Lauder, P. Brown, and A. S. Wells (eds.), *Education Culture Economy and Society*,
 21 (409-421). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 22 Barron, I. (2007). An exploration of young children's ethnic identities as communities
 23 of practice. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 28(6): 739-52.
- 24 Brown, P. (1990). The "third wave": Education and the ideology of parentocracy.
 25 *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 11(1): 65-86.
- 26 Cetin, U. (2014). Durkheim, ethnography and suicide: Researching young male
 27 suicide in the transnational London Alevi-Kurdish community. *Ethnography*,
 28 17(2) 250-277.
- 29 Cohen- Mitchell, J. (2000). Disabled women in El Salvador reframing themselves: An
 30 economic development program for women. In C. Truman (ed.), *Research and*
 31 *inequality*, (143-176). London: Routledge.
- 32 Crozier, G., and J. Davies. (2007). Hard to reach parents or hard to reach schools? A
 33 discussion of home-school relations, with particular reference to Bangladeshi
 34 and Pakistani parents. *British Educational Research Journal*, 3(3): 295-313.
- 35 Crozier, G., and Symeou, L. (2017). Editorial. *Gender and Education*, 29(5): 537-540.
- 36 D'Angelo, A. (2008). Kurdish community organizations in London: A social network
 37 analysis. Working Paper. Social Policy Research Centre Middlesex University.
- 38 Daneher, M., J. Cook, G. Danaher, P. Coombes, and P. A. Danaher. (2013). *Researching*
 39 *Education with Marginalised Communities*. Basingstoke: Palgrave.
- 40 Demir, I. (2012). Battling with Memleket in London: The Kurdish diaspora's
 41 engagement with Turkey. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 38(5): 815-831.
- 42 Demir, I. (2017). Shedding an ethnic identity in diaspora: De-Turkification and the
 43 transnational discursive struggles of the Kurdish diaspora. *Critical Discourse*
 44 *Studies*, 14(3): 276-291.

132 Alevi-Kurdish Parents' Involvement with their Children's Education

- 1 Erol, A. (2012). Identity, migration and transnationalism: Expressive cultural
2 practices of the Toronto Alevi community. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*,
3 38(5): 833-849.
- 4 Faas, D. (2008). Constructing identities: The ethno-national and nationalistic
5 identities of white and Turkish students in two English secondary schools. *British*
6 *Journal of Sociology of Education*, 29(1): 37-48.
- 7 Geaves, R. (2003). Religion and ethnicity: Community formation in the British Alevi
8 community. *Numen*, 50(1): 52-70.
- 9 Gillies, V. (2006). Working class mothers and school life: Exploring the role of
10 emotional capital. *Gender and Education*, 18(3): 281-293.
- 11 Hartas, D. (2015). Parenting for social mobility? Home learning, parental warmth,
12 class and educational outcomes. *Journal of Education Policy*, 30(1): 21-38.
- 13 Haw, K. (2010). Being, becoming and belonging: Young Muslim women in
14 contemporary Britain. *Journal of Intercultural Studies*, 31(4): 345-61.
- 15 Heath, A. F. C. Rotheron, and E. Kilpi. (2008). The Second generation in Western
16 Europe: Education, unemployment, and occupational attainment. *Annual Review*
17 *of Sociology*, 34(1): 211-235.
- 18 Holloway, S., and Pimlott-Wilson, L. (2013). Parental involvement in children's
19 learning: Mothers' fourth shift, social class, and the growth of state intervention
20 in family life. *Canadian Geographer*, 57(3): 327-336.
- 21 Jenkins, C. and Cetin, U. (2018). From a "sort of Muslim" to "proud to be Alevi": The
22 Alevi religion and identity project in combating negative identity among second-
23 generation Alevis in the UK. In C. Jenkins, S. Aydin and U. Cetin (eds.), *Alevism*
24 *as an Ethno-Religious Identity: Contested boundaries*, (105-123). London: Routledge.
- 25 Massicard, E. (2010). Alevi communities in Western Europe: Identity and religious
26 strategies. *Yearbook of Muslims in Europe*, (2): 561-592.
- 27 Polit, D., and Beck, C., (2010). Generalisation in quantitative and qualitative research:
28 Myths and strategies. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 47: 1451-1458.
- 29 Portes, A., and L. Hao. (2004). The schooling of children of immigrants: contextual
30 effects on the educational attainment of the second generation. *Proceedings of the*
31 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 101(33): 11920-11927.
- 32 Portes, A., and M. Zhou. (1993). The new second generation: Segmented assimilation
33 and its variants. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 530
34 (Nov): 74-96.
- 35 Portes, A., and R. G. Rumbaut. (2001). *Legacies: The Story of the Immigrant Second*
36 *Generation*. London: University of California Press.
- 37 Posey-Maddox, L. (2017). Schooling in suburbia: The intersections of race, class,
38 gender and place in black fathers' engagement and family-school relationships.
39 *Gender and Education*, 29(5): 577-593.
- 40 Reay, D. (1998). Engendering social reproduction: Mothers in the educational
41 marketplace. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 19(2): 195-209.
- 42 Schnell, P., and M. Crul. (2014). Inclusive education for children of immigrants; The
43 Turkish second generation in Sweden, the Netherlands and Austria. In J. Szalai
44 and C. Schiff (eds.), *Migrant, Roma and Post-Colonial Youth in Education across*
45 *Europe*, (34-51). Basingstoke: Palgrave.
- 46 Sirkeci, I. (2003). Migration from Turkey to Germany: An ethnic approach. *New*
47 *Perspectives on Turkey*, 28(9): 189-207.

- 1 Sokefeld, M. (2008). *Struggling for Recognition: The Alevi Movement in Germany*
 2 *and in Transnational Space*. New York: Berghahn Books.
- 3 Vincent, C. (2017). "The children have only got one education and you have to make
 4 sure it's a good one": Parenting and parent-school relations in a neoliberal age.
 5 *Gender and Education*, 29(5): 541-557.
- 6 Vincent, C., and C. Maxwell. (2016). Parenting priorities and pressures: furthering
 7 understanding of "concerted cultivation". *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics*
 8 *of Education*, 37(2): 269-281.
- 9 Vincent, C., Ball, S. and Braun, A. (2010). Between the estate and the state: Struggling
 10 to be a "good" mother. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 31(2): 123-138.
- 11 Wahlbeck, Ö. (1998). Community work and exile politics: Kurdish refugee
 12 associations in London. *Journal of Refugee Studies*, 11(3): 215-30.
- 13 Yeşen M (2011). Banditry to disloyalty: The Kurdish question in Turkey. In A.
 14 Kadioğlu and E. Fuat Keyman (eds.), *Symbiotic Antagonisms: Competing*
 15 *Nationalisms in Turkey*, (223-252). Salt Lake City: Utah Press
- 16 Yosso, T. (2005). Whose culture has capital? A critical race theory discussion of
 17 community cultural wealth. *Race, Ethnicity and Education*, (8)1:69-91.
- 18 Zhou, M. (2001). Straddling different worlds: The acculturation of Vietnamese
 19 refugee children. In R. G. Rumbaut, and A. Portes (eds.), *Ethnicities: Children of*
 20 *Immigrants in America*, (187-227). Berkeley: University of California Press.

21
 22