© 2012 Cancer Research UK All rights reserved 0007 – 0920/12

www.bjcancer.com

# mRNA profiling of the cancer degradome in oesophago-gastric adenocarcinoma

## JP Baren<sup>1,5</sup>, GD Stewart<sup>1,2,5</sup>, A Stokes<sup>3</sup>, K Gray<sup>3</sup>, CJ Pennington<sup>3</sup>, R O'Neill<sup>4</sup>, DAC Deans<sup>1,4</sup>, S Paterson-Brown<sup>4</sup>, ACP Riddick<sup>2</sup>, DR Edwards<sup>3</sup>, KCH Fearon<sup>1</sup>, JA Ross<sup>1,6</sup> and RJE Skipworth<sup>\*,1,4,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tissue Injury and Repair Group, Clinical and Surgical Sciences, University of Edinburgh-MRC Centre for Regenerative Medicine, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, 51 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh, UK; <sup>2</sup>Edinburgh Urological Cancer Group, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK; <sup>3</sup>School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK; <sup>4</sup>Department of Surgery, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

BACKGROUND: Degradation of the extracellular matrix is fundamental to tumour development, invasion and metastasis. Several protease families have been implicated in the development of a broad range of tumour types, including oesophago-gastric (OG) adenocarcinoma. The aim of this study was to analyse the expression levels of all core members of the cancer degradome in OG adenocarcinoma and to investigate the relationship between expression levels and tumour/patient variables associated with poor prognosis.

METHODS: Comprehensive expression profiling of the protease families (matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), members of the ADAM metalloproteinase-disintegrin family (ADAMs)), their inhibitors (tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinase), and molecules involved in the c-Met signalling pathway, was performed using quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction in a cohort of matched malignant and benign peri-tumoural OG tissue (n = 25 patients). Data were analysed with respect to clinico-pathological variables (tumour stage and grade, age, sex and pre-operative plasma C-reactive protein level).

RESULTS: Gene expression of MMP1, 3, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16 and 24 was upregulated by factors >4-fold in OG adenocarcinoma samples compared with matched benign tissue (P < 0.01). Expression of ADAM8 and ADAM15 correlated significantly with tumour stage (P = 0.048 and P = 0.044), and ADAM12 expression correlated with tumour grade (P = 0.011).

CONCLUSION: This study represents the first comprehensive quantitative analysis of the expression of proteases and their inhibitors in human OG adenocarcinoma. These findings implicate elevated ADAM8, 12 and 15 mRNA expression as potential prognostic molecular markers.

British Journal of Cancer (2012) **107,** 143–149. doi:10.1038/bjc.2012.239 www.bjcancer.com Published online 7 June 2012 © 2012 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: MMPs; ADAMs; degradome; gastric cancer; oesophageal cancer; adenocarcinoma

Oesophageal and junctional adenocarcinomas continue to increase in incidence and gastric adenocarcinoma remains the fourth commonest form of cancer worldwide (Pera, 2003). Oesophago-gastric (OG) adenocarcinoma causes more than a million deaths per annum (Parkin *et al*, 2005). Survival is generally poor with 5-year survival rates in the United Kingdom of 13% and 7.5% for gastric and oesophageal cancer, respectively (Quinn, 2003). The identification of biomarkers for diagnosis, treatment and prognosis is therefore an unmet clinical need that requires urgent attention.

Degradation of the extracellular matrix (ECM) is fundamental to tumour development and invasion (Egeblad and Werb, 2002; Roy *et al*, 2009; Kessenbrock *et al*, 2010), and evidence suggests that multiple ECM proteases and their inhibitors are mediators of OG tumourigenesis (Egeblad and Werb, 2002; Skrzydlewska *et al*, 2005; Kessenbrock *et al*, 2010). Furthermore, it has become evident that the function of proteases is more complex than believed initially (Kessenbrock et al, 2010) and, in addition to breaking down physical barriers, they may also play crucial roles in angiogenesis, apoptosis, cell migration and shedding of surface adhesion molecules (Egeblad and Werb, 2002). Examples of such enzyme systems include the matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), their endogenous inhibitors (the tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) (Bourboulia and Stetler-Stevenson, 2010)), and the ADAM (A Disintegrin And Metalloproteinase) family. Matrix metalloproteinases are a subfamily of zinc-dependent endopeptidases consisting of 24 members divided into five main groups according to their structure and substrate specificity: collagenases, gelatinases, membrane type, stromelysins and matrilysins (Egeblad and Werb, 2002; Bourboulia and Stetler-Stevenson, 2010; Kessenbrock et al, 2010). Most MMPs are secreted into plasma and are inhibited by  $\alpha_2$ -macroglobulin secreted by the liver (Bourboulia and Stetler-Stevenson, 2010). The MMPs are regulated by the four members of the TIMP family, which each bind reversibly to MMPs with some specificity; for example, TIMP1 binds preferentially to MMP1, 3, 7 and 9 and is a relatively poor inhibitor of membrane-type MMPs (Baker et al, 2002; Bourboulia and Stetler-Stevenson, 2010). The 21 members of the human ADAM family are transmembrane and secreted metalloproteinases that are relatives of the MMPs, as both families belong to the

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Dr RJE Skipworth; E-mail: richard.skipworth@ed.ac.uk <sup>5</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>These authors are joint senior authors.

Revised 20 March 2012; accepted 25 April 2012; published online 7 June 2012

metzincin superfamily (Edwards et al, 2008; Rocks et al, 2008; Duffy et al, 2009). In addition to proteolysis, the ADAMs have roles in cell adhesion mediated by interaction of their disintegrin and Cys-rich domains with integrins and other receptors (Rocks et al, 2008; Duffy et al, 2009). Approximately 50% of ADAMs contain the catalytic consensus sequence HEXXH in their protease domain (Duffy et al, 2009), and it is thought that only these ADAMs possess protease activity (Duffy et al, 2009). The activity of selected ADAMs can also be inhibited by certain TIMPs, principally TIMP3 (Amour et al, 1998, 2000; Kashiwagi et al, 2001). There is some evidence supporting a role for the earliest identified proteases (MMP2, 7, 9 and TIMP1, 2) in the development of OG cancer (Rocks et al, 2008; Bourboulia and Stetler-Stevenson, 2010) but little is known about the role of more recently discovered MMPs, TIMPs or ADAMs (Rocks et al, 2008; Duffy et al, 2009). Most studies have used immunohistological methods to assess protease expression, and some have identified correlations with tumour progression and clinical outcome (Brown, 1998; Duffy et al, 2009; Bourboulia and Stetler-Stevenson, 2010). However, diverse techniques and a lack of reliable anti-protease antibodies have contributed to some contradictory observations (Bourboulia and Stetler-Stevenson, 2010).

An additional pathway hypothesised to play a role in the expression of ECM-degrading proteases during malignancy is the c-Met signalling pathway (Peruzzi and Bottaro, 2006; Gentile *et al*, 2008). On binding to the tyrosine kinase Met cell surface receptor, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) activates a programme of cell dissociation and motility coupled with increased protease production, promoting cellular invasion and metastasis (Birchmeier *et al*, 2003). At present, however, there are little data regarding the role of the c-Met pathway in OG tumourigenesis.

In the present study, we aimed to investigate the expression of the entire MMP and TIMP families, a subset of the ADAMs, and the c-Met signalling pathway, in a cohort of samples of matched malignant and benign peri-tumoural OG tissue, using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Furthermore, we aimed to investigate the relationship between gene expression levels and tumour/patient variables associated with poor prognosis, including age, sex, tumour grade, stage and plasma C-reactive protein (CRP) concentration (Skipworth *et al*, 2010).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study patients

Patients with a histological diagnosis of OG adenocarcinoma undergoing surgical resection with curative intent (n = 25) were recruited. Patients were staged according to the AJCC/International Union Against Cancer (Sobin and Wittekind, 2002) (UICC) criteria before surgical resection. All patients provided written informed consent and the study was approved by the Lothian Research Ethics Committee.

#### **Tissue collection**

A Consultant Pathologist dissected matched samples of tumour tissue and peri-tumoural normal tissue from the resected specimens within 20 min of the blood supply of the resection specimen being interrupted. Samples were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen using liquid nitrogen-resistant tubes (Corning BV, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until analysis.

#### RNA extraction and reverse transcription

Total RNA was isolated from the tissue samples by homogenisation in RNA Bee (Biogenesis Ltd, Poole, UK) using the TissueLyser (Quiagen, Crawley, UK) followed by the SV Total RNA isolation kit as described previously (Porter *et al*, 2004; Riddick *et al*, 2005). The RNA quality and concentration were determined using the NanoDrop ND-1000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Labtech, Ringmer, UK). Total RNA (1 $\mu$ g) was reverse transcribed with 2 $\mu$ g random hexamers (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Little Chalfont, UK) and 200 units of SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Cambridge, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions using a GRI DNA Engine (GRI Ltd, Braintree, UK). The cDNA was diluted 1:100 with PCR grade water and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

#### Quantitative real-time PCR

Specific primers and probes for selected human MMPs, TIMPs, ADAMs, c-Met and HGF were designed as detailed previously (Nuttall *et al*, 2003; Porter *et al*, 2004; Riddick *et al*, 2005). The 18S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) was used as an endogenous control to normalise for differences in the amount of total RNA in each sample, using previously validated procedures (Wall and Edwards, 2002). Polymerase chain reaction reactions were carried out as described previously (Nuttall *et al*, 2003) using the ABI 7700 real-time PCR machine (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) with each reaction containing 5 ng of reverse-transcribed RNA (1 ng for 18S) in a 25-µl reaction. The RNA levels (compared with 18S rRNA) in each sample were determined by performing standard curves for all target genes covering 1–0.0625 ng of RNA for 18S and 20–0.5 ng RNA for all other genes. Genes with cycle threshold ( $C_{\rm T}$ ) of 40 were excluded from the analysis as there was no PCR amplification.

#### Assessment of plasma CRP concentration

Plasma CRP was assayed using automated methods on an Olympus AU2700 analyser (Olympus Diagnostica GmbH (Irish Branch), Lismeehan, Ireland), in the Department of Clinical Chemistry, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh (fully accredited by Clinical Pathology Accreditation (UK) Ltd). Appropriate internal quality controls were included, with CVs typically 3.4% at concentrations  $<15 \text{ mgl}^{-1}$  and 1.6% at 80 mgl<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for Social Services version 17 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) and GraphPad Prism version 5 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Malignant gene expression is expressed as a relative level compared with gene expression in matched benign peri-tumoural samples. Matched-pair analysis was performed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test, whereas non-parametric comparisons were performed using the Mann–Whitney *U*-test and Kruskall–Wallis test. Correlation analysis was performed using Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficient. Box-and-whisker plots of the gene expression levels (relative to 18S rRNA) in malignant compared with benign OG tissue are also shown. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

#### RESULTS

#### Study patients

The median age of the recruited patients (n = 25) was 64 years, and 19 (76%) patients were male. Primary tumour sites were oesophageal (n = 16, 64%) and gastric (n = 9, 36%). Histological tumour subtype was adenocarcinoma in all cases. A summary of patient demographics is shown in Table 1.

## Protease expression in matched malignant and benign OG tissue samples

Expression data for all protease and inhibitor genes are summarised in Table 2. In total, expression levels of 13 different MMPs, 2 TIMPs, 6 ADAMs, c-Met and HGF were upregulated significantly in malignant OG tissue compared with matched

Table 2	Relative gene expression in malignant oesophago-gastric tiss	ue
compared	vith matched benign samples	

Characteristic	n (%)
Age (years) <60 60–69 ≥70	5 (20) 12 (48) 8 (32)
Sex Male Female	19 (76) 6 (24)
Tumour site Oesophageal Gastric	16 (64) 9 (36)
Histology Adenocarcinoma	25 (100)
UICC stage I II III IV	10 (40) 7 (28) 4 (16) 4 (16)
Tumour grade Well differentiated Moderately differentiated Poorly differentiated	2 (8) 8 (32) 15 (60)

Abbreviation: UICC = International Union Against Cancer. Tumour stage and grade were determined during routine histopathological examination.

benign peri-tumoural tissue (Table 2). Genes with the greatest relative increase in expression in malignant tissue were MMP1, 3, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16 and 24 (P<0.01), each of which demonstrated a median relative gene expression >4-fold than that of matched benign samples. Comparative box-and-whisker plots of finite gene expression levels compared with 18S rRNA are shown in Figure 1 and Supplementary Figures 1 and 2. The protease with the largest relative increase in expression was MMP11, which had a median expression in malignant OG tissue 28 times than that of benign tissue (P<0.001) (Table 2; Figure 1).

## Relationship between gene expression and clinico-pathological variables

Although ADAM8 and ADAM15 expression levels were only ~1.5 times that observed in benign tissue, expression levels increased significantly with worsening tumour stage (P = 0.048 and P = 0.044, respectively) (Table 3; Figure 2A and B). The ADAM12 expression also increased significantly with worsening tumour grade (P = 0.011) (Table 3; Figure 2C). Matrix metalloproteinase 19 expression in malignant tissue was significantly greater in women than men (P = 0.015) (Table 3). No significant relationships were demonstrated between relative mRNA expression and preoperative plasma CRP level or patient age.

#### DISCUSSION

The ECM is a complex structure consisting of many different proteins, and thus its degradation requires a combination of proteases (Bourboulia and Stetler-Stevenson, 2010). In order to understand the complex processes underpinning tumour development and invasion, it is important to study the complete range of proteases that may be responsible. This study is the first comprehensive analysis of the expression of the MMP, TIMP and ADAM families in a series of human OG adenocarcinoma samples.

Gene	Relative upregulation of gene expression in malignant tissue	P-value
MMPI	8.04	< 0.00
MMP2	1.21	0.230
MMP3	8.25	< 0.00 l
MMP7	19.83	< 0.001
MMP8	ND	ND
MMP9	6.23	< 0.01
MMPIO	15.46	< 0.00 l
MMPTI	20.18	< 0.00 l
MMP12	18.56	< 0.00 l
MMP13	0.48	0.855
MMP14	3.65	< 0.00 I
MMP15	0.92	0.458
MMP16	8.86	< 0.01
MMP17	5.46	0.117
MMP19	1.43	< 0.05
MMP20	ND	ND
MMP21	ND	ND
MMP23	1.31	< 0.05
MMP24	5.76	< 0.01
MMP25	3.30	< 0.05
MMP26	ND	ND
MMP27	1.21	0.528
MMP28	2.42	0.277
TIMP I	2.59	< 0.00
TIMP2	1.78	0.170
TIMP3	1.54	< 0.05
TIMP4	5.02	0.0657
ADAM8	1.54	< 0.01
ADAM9	1.88	< 0.01
ADAM I O	1.67	< 0.001
ADAM I 2	3.79	< 0.01
ADAM15	1.46	< 0.01
ADAM17	2.47	< 0.00
ADAM19	1.58	0.0534
ADAM28	0.75	0.291
c-Met	4.11	< 0.01
HGF	2.63	< 0.01

Abbreviations: ND = not detected; MMP = matrix metalloproteinase; TIMP = tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinase; ADAM = a disintegrin and metalloproteinase; HGF = hepatocyte growth factor. Relative gene expression was upregulated in malignant tissue for the majority of proteases. Relative upregulation of mRNA was determined by calculating the median difference in expression between malignant and matched benign samples. Data were compared using Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

The expression of many MMPs was increased significantly in OG cancer, particularly MMPs 1, 3, 7, 10, 11, 12 and 24 (Table 2; Figure 1). The general upregulation of MMPs is consistent with the concept that tumourigenesis involves the synergistic action of multiple proteases, causing cell migration, angiogenesis and modulating cell biology (Kessenbrock *et al*, 2010).

The protease MMP7 has been the most widely investigated in previous studies of OG cancer. Increased MMP7 mRNA expression has been shown in gastric adenocarcinoma (Honda *et al*, 1996), whereas elevated MMP7 protein expression has been shown in both gastric (Honda *et al*, 1996; Kitoh *et al*, 2004; Kubben *et al*, 2006) and oesophageal (Tanioka *et al*, 2003) malignancies. The suggested pro-tumourigenic mechanisms of MMP7 may involve protease activation by *Helicobacter pylori* (Wroblewski *et al*, 2003), and cleavage of the membrane-bound epithelial transmembrane adhesion molecule E-cadherin, the release of which promotes cell invasion by disrupting cell-cell contacts (Noe *et al*, 2001). *In-vitro* studies using a gastric cancer cell line have also demonstrated that MMP7 production is increased by HGF, indicating a role for the





**Figure I** Box-and-whisker plots of the gene expression (relative to 18S rRNA) of the most highly expressed degradome components in malignant compared with benign OG tissue (P < 0.01). Y-axes show relative mRNA expression compared with 18S rRNA expression (y-axes limited for visual clarity). Each of these degradome components was expressed in malignant tissue at levels > 4-fold that are seen in benign tissue (range 3.65–20.18). Data were compared using Wilcoxon signed-rank test.  $\bullet =$ Outlier (value > 1.5 times the interquartile range beyond the quartiles).

**Table 3**Relationship between relative gene expression in malignantoesophago-gastric tissue and clinico-pathological parameters

Gene	Clinico-pathological variable	P-value	
ADAM8	Tumour stage	0.048	
ADAM15	Tumour stage	0.044	
ADAM12	Tumour grade	0.011	
MMP19	Gender	0.015	

Abbreviations: ADAM = a disintegrin and metalloproteinase; MMP = matrix metalloproteinase; PCR = polymerase chain reaction. Statistical analysis was completed using Kruskal–Wallis tests to investigate the relationship between protease expression and tumour stage and grade, and a Mann–Whitney *U*-test for relationships between gene expression and gender. Samples with a  $C_{\rm T}$  value of 40 denoting no PCR amplification were excluded before statistical analysis. Values are given to three decimal places.

c-Met signalling pathway in the pathogenesis of OG cancer (Lee *et al*, 2007), a concept that is supported by the increased malignant expression of HGF and c-Met in the present study.

Of the proteases that were highly upregulated in the present study, MMP1, 3, 7, 10 and 12 are located in a cluster on chromosome 11q22.3 (Jackson *et al*, 2010), raising the possibility of co-ordinated expression of these genes. Immunohistochemistry has demonstrated increased MMP1 (Inoue *et al*, 1999), MMP10 (Aung *et al*, 2006), MMP11 (Zhao *et al*, 2010) and MMP12 (Salmela *et al*, 2001) expression in gastric adenocarcinoma, whereas MMP3 is associated with increased risk of oesophageal adenocarcinoma (Bradbury *et al*, 2009). These MMPs share similar promoter conformation, with TATA boxes at around -30 bp and activator protein-1 transcription factor sites around -70 bp (Clark *et al*, 2008). It has been suggested that these MMPs can be co-regulated by a variety of chemical stimuli, including IL-1 and TNF- $\alpha$  (Clark *et al*, 2008).

The MMP with the greatest upregulation in the present study is MMP11 (Table 2), with a ~20-fold increase in expression in malignant compared with matched benign OG tissue. Zhao *et al* (2010) have shown using RT-PCR that MMP11 expression is elevated in gastric adenocarcinoma and correlated with TNM stage. Unlike other members of the MMP family, MMP11 does not degrade classical ECM proteins and instead facilitates the breakdown of the serine protease inhibitors  $\alpha_1$ -antitrypsin and insulinlike growth factor binding protein-1 (IGFBP-1) (Nedic *et al*, 2007). Insulin-like growth factor-1 inhibits malignant cell apoptosis through a paracrine mechanism (Zhao *et al*, 2010); thus IGFBP-1 proteolysis leads to the release of IGF-1, inhibited apoptosis, and the progression to a more invasive stage of malignancy (Kasper *et al*, 2007).



**Figure 2** Relationship between relative gene expression and tumour stage and grade. (**A**) ADAM8 expression associated positively with tumour stage (P = 0.048). (**B**) ADAM15 expression associated positively with tumour stage (P = 0.044). (**C**) ADAM12 expression associated positively with worsening tumour grade (P = 0.011). Horizontal bars show median values. Comparisons were performed using Kruskal–Wallis test. Extreme values are not shown for visual clarity.

Of the remaining MMPs, expression of the gelatinases MMP2 and MMP9 has been shown to be elevated in OG adenocarcinoma (Sier *et al*, 1996; Murray *et al*, 1998; Parsons *et al*, 1998; Shim *et al*, 2007; Sampieri *et al*, 2010). In the present study, MMP9 levels were increased  $\sim$ 2-fold in malignant OG tissue compared with benign, but MMP2 expression was not significantly different, mirroring work by Sampieri *et al* (2010). Tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinase 3, which was elevated in malignant tissue in the current study, may indirectly influence the expression of MMP2 (Bourboulia and Stetler-Stevenson, 2010), and thus it is possible that high TIMP3 expression was the cause of the lower MMP2 expression observed.

Tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases are key regulators of MMPs, and have an important role in tumourigenesis (Mimori et al, 1997; Brew and Nagase, 2010). Our data show that the expression of TIMP1 and 3 were elevated in OG adenocarcinoma. Classically, it was thought that TIMPs protected against malignancy by inhibiting the proteases responsible for ECM degradation (Bourboulia and Stetler-Stevenson, 2010), and studies in various cancers have shown reduced expression (Ko et al, 1998; Riddick et al, 2005). Gu et al (2008) demonstrated downregulation of TIMP3 in OG adenocarcinoma and suggested that TIMP3 acts as a tumour suppressor, inhibiting growth, angiogenesis and invasion. However, other researchers have revealed increased TIMP expression in malignancy (Joo et al, 2000; Shim et al, 2007; Brew and Nagase, 2010), including oesophageal cancer (Salmela et al, 2001). Tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinase 3 is proposed to induce apoptosis through inhibition of ADAM17 (TNF- $\alpha$  converting enzyme), with subsequent stabilisation of TNF receptors (Brew and Nagase, 2010), supporting the results of this study. The present study also supports previous investigations that have demonstrated elevated TIMP1 expression in gastric cancer (Mimori et al, 1997; Murray et al, 1998; Joo et al, 2000). Possible mechanisms of action for TIMP1 in tumourigenesis involve a combination of cell-cycle arrest and anti-apoptotic activity, leading to cellular transformation and invasion (Brew and Nagase, 2010).

The expression levels of the majority of the profiled ADAMs, namely ADAM8, 9, 10, 12, 15 and 17, were increased significantly in malignant OG tissue compared with matched benign samples (Table 2). The ADAM12 demonstrated the highest expression levels with a relative increase of  $\sim$ 4-fold in malignant tissue (Figure 2). Previous studies have shown that various members of the ADAM family are increased in several cancer types, including lung, brain and prostate (Rocks *et al*, 2008; Duffy *et al*, 2009), but few have investigated the role in OG malignancy. The present study supports the work of Carl-McGrath *et al* (2005), who used RT–PCR to show that ADAM9, 12 and 15 expression is elevated in malignant compared with matched benign gastric tissue. The authors suggested that these proteases are involved in malignant transformation via the proteolytic shedding of signalling molecules

and the consequent transactivation of their receptors, such as the epithelial growth factor receptor and its ligands (Carl-McGrath *et al*, 2005). Yoshimura *et al* (2002) demonstrated upregulation of ADAM10 and ADAM17, but not ADAM15, in antral gastric tissue during *Helicobacter pylori* infection, suggesting that their expression is upregulated by the bacteria. Furthermore, it is believed that ADAM17 is important for the release of active TNF- $\alpha$ , a pro-inflammatory cytokine involved in cancer development via mucosal inflammation and damage (Yoshimura *et al*, 2002).

Expression of ADAM8 and ADAM15 in malignant OG adenocarcinoma correlated with increasing tumour stage, and ADAM12 expression correlated with tumour grade (Figure 2), implying that these proteases are potential prognostic markers of OG adenocarcinoma. The ADAM8 expression has been shown to correlate significantly with poor prognostic parameters in prostate (Fritzsche et al, 2006) and brain (Wildeboer et al, 2006) tumours. However, this is the first study to report ADAM8 expression in OG malignancy. The ADAM15 is located on chromosome 1 at 1q21.3 (Kuefer et al, 2006), a region known to be amplified in several types of adenocarcinoma (Glinksy et al, 2003). The ADAM15 digests collagen IV and gelatin and is involved in the promotion of cell growth (Mochizuki and Okada, 2007). It has shown to be expressed significantly in gastric adenocarcinoma (Carl-McGrath et al, 2005), and correlation with tumour stage has been demonstrated in breast and prostate cancer (Keufer et al, 2006). Figure 2 suggests that the expression of ADAM8 and ADAM15 in stage 4 cancers declines to stage 1 levels. Stage 4 disease is associated with distant (or at least significant nodal) metastases, and therefore ADAM8 and 15 levels may be reduced at this time in order to allow the dissociation of tumour cell adhesion and the process of metastasis. Whether this decline in expression reflects a causative initiator of metastasis or simply a small component of an overall metastatic phenomenon remains unclear. Further studies are required to verify this finding in other patient groups.

A similar link between ADAM12 expression and tumour grade has been demonstrated previously in malignancies of other tissues (Kveiborg *et al*, 2008; Duffy *et al*, 2009). Frohlich *et al* (2006) showed that the level of ADAM12 mRNA and protein expression in bladder tumour tissue samples correlated with the tumour grade. Interestingly, the concentration of ADAM12 in the urine of patients with bladder cancer was significantly elevated compared with healthy patients (Frohlich *et al*, 2006), suggesting that ADAM12 may be secreted by malignant tissue. Furthermore, Roy *et al* (2004) have also established a positive correlation between urinary ADAM12 levels and breast cancer progression. Thus, patient urine and possibly plasma may be potential biomarker sources in patients with OG cancer.

In conclusion, this study provides the most detailed profile to date of the degradome in OG malignancy. It implicates several MMPs, TIMPs, ADAMs and the c-Met signalling pathway in OG tumourigenesis. Larger clinical studies are required to assess the potential predictive and prognostic nature of these RNAs. Studies of both protein expression and function are required to identify if these proteins may represent novel therapeutic targets. However, such studies may be hampered by the unreliability of anti-protease antibodies.

#### REFERENCES

- Amour A, Knight CG, Webster A, Slocombe PM, Stephens PE, Knauper V, Docherty AJ, Murphy G (2000) The *in vitro* activity of ADAM-10 is inhibited by TIMP-1 and TIMP-3. *FEBS Lett* **473**(3): 275–9
- Amour A, Slocombe PM, Webster A, Butler M, Knight CG, Smith BJ, Stephens PE, Shelley C, Hutton M, Knauper V, Docherty AJ, Murphy G (1998) TNF-alpha converting enzyme (TACE) is inhibited by TIMP-3. *FEBS Lett* **435**(1): 39–44
- Aung PP, Oue N, Mitani Y, Nakayama H, Yoshida K, Noguchi T, Bosserhoff AK, Yasui W (2006) Systematic search for gastric cancerspecific genes based on SAGE data: melanoma inhibitory activity and matrix metalloproteinase-10 are novel prognostic factors in patients with gastric cancer. Oncogene 25(17): 2546-2557
- Baker AH, Edwards DR, Murphy G (2002) Metalloproteinase inhibitors: biological actions and therapeutic opportunities. *J Cell Sci* 115(Part 19): 3719–3727
- Birchmeier C, Birchmeier W, Gherardi E, Vande Woude GF (2003) Met, metastasis, motility and more. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 4(12): 915-925
- Bourboulia D, Stetler-Stevenson WG (2010) Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs): Positive and negative regulators in tumor cell adhesion. *Semin Cancer Biol* 20(3): 161-168
- Bradbury PA, Zhai R, Hopkins J, Kulke MH, Heist RS, Singh S, Zhou W, Ma C, Xu W, Asomaning K, Ter-Minassian M, Wang Z, Su L, Christiani DC, Liu G (2009) Matrix metalloproteinase 1, 3 and 12 polymorphisms and esophageal adenocarcinoma risk and prognosis. *Carcinogenesis* 30(5): 793–798
- Brew K, Nagase H (2010) The tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs): an ancient family with structural and functional diversity. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1803(1): 55-71
- Brown PD (1998) Matrix metalloproteinases in gastrointestinal cancer. Gut 43(2): 161–163
- Carl-McGrath S, Lendeckel U, Ebert M, Roessner A, Rocken C (2005) The disintegrin-metalloproteinases ADAM9, ADAM12, and ADAM15 are upregulated in gastric cancer. *Int J Oncol* **26**(1): 17–28
- Clark IM, Swingler TE, Sampieri CL, Edwards DR (2008) The regulation of matrix metalloproteinases and their inhibitors. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* **40**(6-7): 1362–1378
- Duffy MJ, McKiernan E, O'Donovan N, McGowan PM (2009) Role of ADAMs in cancer formation and progression. *Clin Cancer Res* 15(4): 1140–1144
- Edwards DR, Handsley MM, Pennington CJ (2008) The ADAM metalloproteinases. *Mol Aspects Med* **29**(5): 258–289
- Egeblad M, Werb Z (2002) New functions for the matrix metalloproteinases in cancer progression. *Nat Rev Cancer* **2**(3): 161–174
- Fritzsche FR, Jung M, Xu C, Rabien A, Schicktanz H, Stephan C, Dietel M, Jung K, Kristiansen G (2006) ADAM8 expression in prostate cancer is associated with parameters of unfavorable prognosis. *Virchows Archiv* 449(6): 628–636
- Frohlich C, Albrechtsen R, Dyrskjot L, Rudkjaer L, Orntoft TF, Wewer UM (2006) Molecular profiling of ADAM12 in human bladder cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* **12**(24): 7359–7368
- Gentile A, Trusolino L, Comoglio PM (2008) The Met tyrosine kinase receptor in development and cancer. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 27(1): 85-94
- Glinksy GV, Krones-Herzig A, Glinkkii AB (2003) Malignancy-associated regions of transcriptional activation: gene expression profiling identifies common chromosomal regions of a recurrent transcriptional activation in human prostate, breast, ovarian, and colon cancers. *Neoplasia* 5(3): 218–228
- Gu P, Xing X, Tanzer M, Rocken C, Weichert W, Ivanauskas A, Pross M, Peitz U, Malfertheiner P, Schmid RM, Ebert MP (2008) Frequent loss of TIMP-3 expression in progression of esophageal and gastric adenocarcinomas. *Neoplasia* 10(6): 563–572

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr Catharine Sturgeon of the Department of Clinical Chemistry, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, who performed the analysis of plasma C-reactive protein concentration.

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on British Journal of Cancer website (http://www.nature.com/bjc)

- Honda M, Mori M, Ueo H, Sugimachi K, Akiyoshi T (1996) Matrix metalloproteinase-7 expression in gastric carcinoma. *Gut* **39**(3): 444-448
- Inoue T, Yashiro M, Nishimura S, Maeda K, Sawada T, Ogawa Y, Sowa M, Chung KH (1999) Matrix metalloproteinase-1 expression is a prognostic factor for patients with advanced gastric cancer. *Int J Mol Med* 4(1): 73–77
- Jackson BC, Nebert DW, Vasiliou V (2010) Update of human and mouse matrix metalloproteinase families. *Hum Genomics* 4(3): 194–201
- Joo YE, Seo KS, Kim HS, Rew JS, Park CS, Kim SJ (2000) Expression of tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) in gastric cancer. *Dig Dis Sci* **45**(1): 114–121
- Kashiwagi M, Tortorella M, Nagase H, Brew K (2001) TIMP-3 is a potent inhibitor of aggrecanase 1 (ADAM-TS4) and aggrecanase 2 (ADAM-TS5). *J Biol Chem* 276(16): 12501–12504
- Kasper G, Reule M, Tschirschmann M, Dankert N, Stout-Weider K, Lauster R, Schrock E, Mennerich D, Duda GN, Lehmann KE (2007) Stromelysin-3 over-expression enhances tumourigenesis in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell lines: involvement of the IGF-1 signalling pathway. *BMC Cancer* 7: 12
- Kessenbrock K, Plaks V, Werb Z (2010) Matrix metalloproteinases: regulators of the tumor microenvironment. *Cell* **141**(1): 52–67
- Keufer R, Day K, Kleer C, Sabel M, Hofer M, Varambally S, Zorn C, Chinnaiyan A, Rubin M, Day M (2006) ADAM15 disintegrin is associated with aggressive prostate and breast cancer disease. *Neoplasia* 8(4): 319–329
- Kitoh T, Yanai H, Saitoh Y, Nakamura Y, Matsubara Y, Kitoh H, Yoshida T, Okita K (2004) Increased expression of matrix metalloproteinase-7 in invasive early gastric cancer. J Gastroenterol 39(5): 434–440
- Ko BK, Cho HR, Choi DW, Nam CW, Park CJ, Kim GY, Kim SS, Woo YJ, Huh J, Kim MY (1998) Reduced expression of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase in nodal metastasis of stomach cancer. J Korean Med Sci 13(3): 286–290
- Kubben FJ, Sier CF, Meijer MJ, van den Berg M, van der Reijden JJ, Griffioen G, van de Velde CJ, Lamers CB, Verspaget HW (2006) Clinical impact of MMP and TIMP gene polymorphisms in gastric cancer. Br J Cancer 95(6): 744-751
- Kuefer R, Day KC, Kleer CG, Sabel MS, Hofer MD, Varambally S, Zorn CS, Chinnaiyan AM, Rubin MA, Day ML (2006) ADAM15 disintigrin is associated with aggressive prostate and breast cancer disease. *Neoplasia* 8(4): 319–329
- Kveiborg M, Albrechtsen R, Couchman JR, Wewer UM (2008) Cellular roles of ADAM12 in health and disease. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* **40**(9): 1685–1702
- Lee KH, Choi EY, Hyun MS, Jang BI, Kim TN, Kim SW, Song SK, Kim JH, Kim JR (2007) Association of extracellular cleavage of E-cadherin mediated by MMP-7 with HGF-induced *in vitro* invasion in human stomach cancer cells. *Eur Surg Res* **39**(4): 208–215
- Mimori K, Mori M, Shiraishi T, Fujie T, Baba K, Haraguchi M, Abe R, Ueo H, Akiyoshi T (1997) Clinical significance of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase expression in gastric carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* **76**(4): 531–536
- Mochizuki S, Okada Y (2007) ADAMs in cancer cell proliferation and progression. *Cancer Sci* 98(5): 621-628
- Murray GI, Duncan ME, Arbuckle E, Melvin WT, Fothergill JE (1998) Matrix metalloproteinases and their inhibitors in gastric cancer. *Gut* **43**(6): 791–797
- Nedic O, Malenkovic V, Nikolic JA, Baricevic I (2007) Insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) as a sensitive biomarker of catabolism in patients with gastrointestinal diseases. J Clin Lab Anal 21(5): 335-339
- Noe V, Fingleton B, Jacobs K, Crawford HC, Vermeulen S, Steelant W, Bruyneel E, Matrisian LM, Mareel M (2001) Release of an invasion promoter E-cadherin fragment by matrilysin and stromelysin-1. *J Cell Sci* 114(Part 1): 111–118

JP Baren et al



- Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, Pisani P (2005) Global cancer statistics, 2002. CA Cancer J Clin 55(2): 74–108
- Parsons SL, Watson SA, Collins HM, Griffin NR, Clarke PA, Steele RJ (1998) Gelatinase (MMP-2 and -9) expression in gastrointestinal malignancy. *Brit J Cancer* **78**(11): 1495–1502
- Pera M (2003) Trends in incidence and prevalence of specialized intestinal metaplasia, barrett's esophagus, and adenocarcinoma of the gastroesophageal junction. *World J Surg* 27(9): 999–1008; discussion 1006–1008
- Peruzzi B, Bottaro DP (2006) Targeting the c-Met signaling pathway in cancer. Clin Cancer Res 12(12): 3657-3660
- Porter S, Scott SD, Sassoon EM, Williams MR, Jones JL, Girling AC, Ball RY, Edwards DR (2004) Dysregulated expression of adamalysin-thrombospondin genes in human breast carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* **10**(7): 2429–2440
- Quinn M (2003) Cancer Survival: England and Wales, 1991-2001, Twenty Major Cancers. National Office of Statistics: UK
- Riddick AC, Shukla CJ, Pennington CJ, Bass R, Nuttall RK, Hogan A, Sethia KK, Ellis V, Collins AT, Maitland NJ, Ball RY, Edwards DR (2005) Identification of degradome components associated with prostate cancer progression by expression analysis of human prostatic tissues. *Br J Cancer* **92**(12): 2171–2180
- Rocks N, Paulissen G, El Hour M, Quesada F, Crahay C, Gueders M, Foidart JM, Noel A, Cataldo D (2008) Emerging roles of ADAM and ADAMTS metalloproteinases in cancer. *Biochimie* **90**(2): 369–379
- Roy R, Wewer UM, Zurakowski D, Pories SE, Moses MA (2004) ADAM 12 cleaves extracellular matrix proteins and correlates with cancer status and stage. J Biol Chem 279(49): 51323–51330
- Roy R, Yang J, Moses MA (2009) Matrix metalloproteinases as novel biomarkers and potential therapeutic targets in human cancer. J Clin Oncol 27(31): 5287–5297
- Salmela MT, Karjalainen-Lindsberg ML, Puolakkainen P, Saarialho-Kere U (2001) Upregulation and differential expression of matrilysin (MMP-7) and metalloelastase (MMP-12) and their inhibitors TIMP-1 and TIMP-3 in Barrett's oesophageal adenocarcinoma. *Br J Cancer* **85**(3): 383–392
- Sampieri CL, de la Pena S, Ochoa-Lara M, Zenteno-Cuevas R, Leon-Cordoba K (2010) Expression of matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 9 in

- human gastric cancer and superficial gastritis. World J Gastroenterol 16(12): 1500-1505
- Shim KN, Jung SA, Joo YH, Yoo K (2007) Clinical significance of tissue levels of matrix metalloproteinases and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases in gastric cancer. J Gastroenterol 42(2): 120–128
- Sier CF, Kubben FJ, Ganesh S, Heerding MM, Griffioen G, Hanemaaijer R, van Krieken JH, Lamers CB, Verspaget HW (1996) Tissue levels of matrix metalloproteinases MMP-2 and MMP-9 are related to the overall survival of patients with gastric carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 74(3): 413–417
- Skipworth RJ, Deans DA, Tan BH, Sangster K, Paterson-Brown S, Brown DA, Hunter M, Breit SN, Ross JA, Fearon KC (2010) Plasma MIC-1 correlates with systemic inflammation but is not an independent determinant of nutritional status or survival in oesophago-gastric cancer. Br J Cancer 102(4): 665–672
- Skrzydlewska E, Sulkowska M, Koda M, Sulkowski S (2005) Proteolyticantiproteolytic balance and its regulation in carcinogenesis. World J Gastroenterol 11(9): 1251–1266
- Sobin LH, Wittekind CH (2002) TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours. 6th edn. John Wiley: New York
- Tanioka Y, Yoshida T, Yagawa T, Saiki Y, Takeo S, Harada T, Okazawa T, Yanai H, Okita K (2003) Matrix metalloproteinase-7 and matrix metalloproteinase-9 are associated with unfavourable prognosis in superficial oesophageal cancer. Br J Cancer 89(11): 2116-2121
- Wall SJ, Edwards DR (2002) Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR): a comparison of primer-dropping, competitive, and real-time RT-PCRs. *Anal Biochem* **300**(2): 269–273
- Wildeboer D, Naus S, Amy Sang Q, Bartsch J, Pagenstecher A (2006) Metalloproteinase disintegrins ADAM8 and ADAM19 are highly regulated in human primary brain tumors and their expression levels and activities are associated with invasiveness. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* **65**: 516–527
- Wroblewski LE, Noble PJ, Pagliocca A, Pritchard DM, Hart CA, Campbell F, Dodson AR, Dockray GJ, Varro A (2003) Stimulation of MMP-7 (matrilysin) by Helicobacter pylori in human gastric epithelial cells: role in epithelial cell migration. *J Cell Sci* **116**(Part 14): 3017–3026
- Yoshimura T, Tomita T, Dixon MF, Axon AT, Robinson PA, Crabtree JE (2002) ADAMs (a disintegrin and metalloproteinase) messenger RNA expression in Helicobacter pylori-infected, normal, and neoplastic gastric mucosa. J Infect Dis 185(3): 332-340
- Zhao ZS, Chu YQ, Ye ZY, Wang YY, Tao HQ (2010) Overexpression of matrix metalloproteinase 11 in human gastric carcinoma and its clinicopathologic significance. *Hum Pathol* 41(5): 686–696

This work is published under the standard license to publish agreement. After 12 months the work will become freely available and the license terms will switch to a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License.