# How IGF-II binds to the human type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor

Yibin Xu<sup>1,2#</sup>, Nicholas S. Kirk<sup>1,2#</sup>, Hariprasad Venugopal<sup>3</sup>, Mai B. Margetts<sup>1</sup>, Tristan I. Croll<sup>4</sup>, Jarrod J. Sandow<sup>1,2</sup>, Andrew I. Webb<sup>1,2</sup>, Carlie A. Delaine<sup>5</sup>, Briony E. Forbes<sup>5</sup> and Michael C. Lawrence<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Biology, Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3050, Australia.

<sup>3</sup>Ramaciotti Centre for Cryo-Electron Microscopy, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria 3800, Australia.

<sup>4</sup>Cambridge Institute for Medical Research, University of Cambridge, Wellcome Trust/MRC Building, Cambridge CB2 0XY, England.

<sup>5</sup>Flinders Health and Medical Research Institute, College of Medicine and Public Health, Flinders University of South Australia, Bedford Park, South Australia 5042, Australia.

<sup>#</sup>These authors contributed equally.

\*Correspondence and Lead Contact: lawrence@wehi.edu.au

#### SUMMARY

Human type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor (IGF-1R) signals chiefly in response to the binding of insulin-like growth factor I. Relatively little is about the role of insulin-like growth factor II signalling via IGF-1R, despite the affinity of insulin-like growth factor II for IGF-1R being within an order of magnitude of that of insulin-like growth factor I. Here, we describe the cryo-electron microscopy structure of insulin-like growth factor II bound to a leucine-zipper-stabilized IGF-1R ectodomain, determined in two conformations to a maximum average resolution of 3.2 Å. The two conformations differ in the relative separation of their respective points of membrane entry, and comparison with the structure of insulin-like growth factor I bound to IGF-1R reveals long-suspected differences in the way in which the critical C domain of the respective growth factors interact with IGF-1R.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The human type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor (IGF-1R; Figure 1A) is a disulfide-linked homodimeric member of the receptor tyrosine kinase family (Ullrich et al., 1986; Lemmon and Schlessinger, 2010) that signals into Ras/ERK or PI3K/Akt pathways in response to activation by the insulin-like growth factors I and II (IGF-I and IGF-II) (Adams et al., 2000; Denley et al., 2005; Riedemann and Macaulay, 2006; Laviola et al., 2007; Tao et al., 2007). IGF-1R signalling is involved in normal human growth and development (Denley et al., 2005) and in maintenance of neuroplasticity (Dyer et al., 2016). The bioavailability of IGF-I and IGF-II is controlled by six insulin-like growth factor binding proteins (Baxter, 2014) and IGF-II is sequestered by the membrane-anchored type 2 insulin-like growth factor receptor (IGF-2R) that can also influence signalling via G-protein interaction (El-Shewy and Luttrell, 2009). The affinity of IGF-II for IGF-1R is reported to be up to an order of magnitude lower than that of IGF-1 (Pandini et al., 2002; Surinya et al., 2008; Henderson et al., 2015; Macháčková et al., 2019), with the lower affinity appearing to arise at least in part from differences in the length and amino-acid composition of the C domains of the respective growth factors (Denley et al., 2004; Henderson et al., 2015; Hexnerová et al., 2016) (Figure 1B). IGF-1R itself is closely related in structure to its homolog, the human insulin receptor (IR; Figure 1A). The exon-11 minus isoform of IR (IR-A) can signal into either growth and/or metabolic pathways in response to IGF-II binding (Belfiore et al., 2017; Holly et

al.), with the affinity of IGF-II for IR-A being only slightly weaker than its affinity for IGF-1R (Denley et al., 2005).

Two recent advances have occurred in the structural biology of IGF-1R. The first is the determination of the crystal structure of the IGF-1R ectodomain in apo form (Figure 1C; Protein Data Bank entry 5U8R) at a resolution of 3.0 Å (Xu et al., 2018). The domain arrangement within the apo-IGF-1R ectodomain largely mimics that seen in the structure of the apo-IR ectodomain (McKern et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2010; Croll et al., 2016), but with two salient differences. The first is that the points of membrane entry of the apo IGF-1R ectodomain are significantly closer together than those of the apo IR ectodomain (*i.e.*, ~70 Å vs 120 Å). The second is that, in IGF-1R, the critical C-terminal region ( $\alpha$ CT) of the receptor  $\alpha$  chain interacts not only within domain L1 of the alternate receptor monomer but also with the adjacent domain FnIII-2 of the receptor (Figure 1C). The functional implications of these differences, if any, are unclear. The second advance is the determination of the single-particle cryo-electron microscopy (cryoEM) structure of the IGF-I bound to murine holo-IGF-1R, at an overall resolution of 4.3 Å; this structure is termed herein "holoIGF-1R.IGF-I" (Figure 1D; Protein Data Bank entry 6PYH) (Li et al., 2019). Only a single IGF-I molecule is seen bound to the receptor ectodomain within holoIGF-1R.IGF-I, consistent with known negative cooperativity of IGF-I binding to IGF-1R (Christoffersen et al., 1994) and with the ligand-to-receptor stoichiometry expected at physiological IGF-I concentrations. IGF-I binding results in extensive conformational change in the membrane-distal region of the IGF-1R ectodomain compared to its apo ectodomain counterpart (Li et al., 2019), as well as in a bringing-together of the receptor membrane-proximal domains FnIII-3 and FnIII-3' (Figure 1D). Release of spatial constraints upon these latter domains is understood to be the key event that effects trans-phosphorylation of the intra-cellular tyrosine kinase domains (Kavran et al., 2014). The relative domain disposition and mode of ligand binding within holoIGF-1R.IGF-I is similar to that seen in the cryoEM structure of a single insulin bound to an antibody variable domain (Fv)-complexed, leucine-zippered form of the IR ectodomain (Protein Data Bank entries 6HN4 and 6HN5, termed herein "IR $\Delta\beta$ zip.Ins.Fv") (Weis et al., 2018).

Despite these advances, there is currently no three-dimensional structure of IGF-II bound to IGF-1R or to IR-A. To begin to address this shortcoming, we present here single-particle cryoEM structures of IGF-II bound to the intact ectodomain of IGF-1R. To obtain these structures, we have

used the same leucine-zipper stabilizing technology (Hoyne et al., 2000) that proved successful in the determination of IR $\Delta\beta$ zip.Ins.Fv (Weis et al., 2018). This structure (termed herein "IGF-1Rzip.IGF-II", obtained in two distinct three-dimensional conformations) reveals the hitherto-unvisualized interaction of the IGF-II C domain with the receptor.

#### RESULTS

### Producing, Purifying and Characterizing IGF-1Rzip

The construct IGF-1Rzip comprises a thirty-residue signal peptide, followed in order by residues 1-905 of the intact holo-receptor, a thirty-three-residue leucine-zipper motif (O'Shea et al., 1991), a three-residue spacer segment and an eleven-residue c-myc tag. The leucine-zipper motif is thus located at the C-terminal end of the native eleven-residue polypeptide spacer that in the intact receptor connects the C-terminal residue Val894 of domain FnIII-3 (Xu et al., 2018) to the N-terminal residue Leu906 of the receptor transmembrane segment (Adams et al., 2000) (**Figure 1A**). Formation of a coiled-coil leucine zipper dimer that non-covalently unites the C termini of IGF-1R ectodomain is proposed to act as a "soft restraint" on the spatial separation of the FnIII-3 domains and to provide a mimic of membrane embedding (Hoyne et al., 2000; Weis et al., 2018).

The  $(\alpha\beta)_2$  form of IGF-1Rzip was produced by stable expression and secretion from CHO-K1 cells and then purified by a combination of 9E10 antibody-affinity chromatography (Hoogenboom et al., 1991) and three sequential size-exclusion chromatography steps to remove  $(\alpha\beta)_4$  forms of the zippered ectodomain wherein the leucine zipper forms between  $(\alpha\beta)_2$  dimers rather than within  $(\alpha\beta)_2$  dimers (**Figures S1A, S1B** and **S1C**). The purity of the final protein was high, as assessed by SDS-PAGE analysis (**Figure S1D**). The affinity of IGF-1Rzip for IGF-I is slightly lower than that of the holo-receptor (IGF-1Rzip: IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.39 nM, holo-IGF-1R: IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.26 nM, with the 95% confidence intervals on these values being 0.33-0.47 nM and 0.23-0.29 nM, respectively; **Figure S1E**), and the affinity of IGF-1Rzip for IGF-II is also slightly lower than that of the holo-receptor (IGF-1Rzip: IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.24 nM, holo-IGF-1R: IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.85 nM, with the 95% confidence intervals on these values being 1.05-1.46 nM and 0.72-1.02 nM, respectively; **Figure S1F**). Detail of the above steps and assays are provided in **STAR Methods** section. We note that the IC<sub>50</sub> values reported here for IGFs binding to holo-IGF-1R differ from those reported by, for example, Machackova *et al.* (2019) yet broadly concur with those reported earlier by Surinya *et al.* (2008); the source of such variation is unclear but may relate to the use of whole-cell versus immunocapture assay formats.

#### **Single-Particle CryoEM Reveals Two 3D Classes**

The purified IGF-1Rzip homodimer was incubated with an ~1.5-fold stoichiometric ratio of IGF-II to  $(\alpha\beta)_2$  dimensional for cryoEM imaging. Three-dimensional (3D) classification of cryoEM-imaged particles yielded two major 3D classes, derived from respectively 37.8% and 20.0% of the total of 542948 particles subjected to 3D classification (see Figure 2 and Figure 3). The maps corresponding to each of these classes were readily interpretable in terms of the overall configuration of the receptor domains and the location of a single bound ligand. In neither of the maps was any density visible that could be attributed the leucine-zipper element, suggesting either that it is conformationally flexibly with respect to the liganded IGF-1R ectodomain or that it adopts a discrete set of conformations that is averaged out during the 3D reconstruction process. The first class (termed the "open-leg structure") displayed a domain configuration similar to that of holoIGF-1R.IGF-I (Li et al., 2019), but with the pair of FnIII-2,3 modules considerably more displaced from each other than in holoIGF-1R.IGF-I. The second class (termed the "closed-leg structure") also displayed a domain configuration similar to that of holoIGF-1R.IGF-I, but with the membrane-proximal ends of the FnIII-3 domains being closer together than they are in holoIGF-1R.IGF-I. Separate focused refinement of the respective membrane-distal ("head") and membrane-proximal ("leg") regions of each the two 3D classes then followed, yielding four map volumes, termed Map<sup>HO</sup>, Map<sup>HO</sup>, Map<sup>LO</sup> and Map<sup>LC</sup>, with respective average resolution of 3.21 Å, 3.70 Å, 4.21 Å and 4.26 Å (see Figure 2 and Figure 3). The map superscript nomenclature employed here denotes the receptor region encompassed by the map (H: head, L: leg) and the receptor conformation associated with that map (O: open leg; C: closed leg). Map<sup>HO</sup> and Map<sup>HC</sup> each encompass the bound IGF-II and receptor domains L1, CR, L2, FnIII-1, L2', FnIII-1' and αCT', and Map<sup>LO</sup> and Map<sup>LC</sup> each encompass receptor domains FnIII-2, IDα, IDβ, FnIII-3, L1', CR', FnIII-2', ID $\alpha$ ' (excluding  $\alpha$ CT'), ID $\beta$ ' and FnIII-3'. Domain nomenclature is as in Figure 1A, with the convention that the second monomer—indicated by a *prime* symbol—is that whose  $\alpha$ CT segment (*i.e.*,  $\alpha$ CT') engages IGF-II. Atomic models were then built progressively into each of the four map volumes, using domains from the IGF-1R ectodomain crystal structures (Xu et al., 2018) as starting models and then refining these using real-space refinement protocols. The final

structures are depicted in **Figure 4A** (closed-leg) and **Figure 4B** (open-leg). Domain FnIII-1 appeared poorly defined in both Map<sup>HO</sup> and Map<sup>HC</sup> and domains FnIII-3 and FnIII-3' appeared poorly defined in Map<sup>LO</sup>—these domains were thus left unmodelled within the associated structures. Full details of the above processes are presented in the **STAR Methods** section, with final statistics in **Table 1**. Sample potential densities for each constituent domain of the open-leg structure are shown in **Figure S2** (head region) and **Figure S3** (leg region) and for the closed-leg structure in **Figure S4** (head region) and **Figure S5** (leg region). A summary of the polypeptide segments included within each structure is provided in **Table S1**; excluded segments are either poorly defined or absent within the respective associated maps.

Descriptions of the atomic models now follow.

#### IGF-II interacts with the receptor differently to IGF-I

The head regions of open- and closed-leg structures are effectively identical in structure (**Figure 4C**) and hence the description of the head region that follows will be limited to that seen in the open-leg structure, as its associated map (Map<sup>HO</sup>) is of higher resolution than that of the closed-leg structure (Map<sup>HC</sup>). We note, in particular, that domain FnIII-1 (in contrast to domain FnIII-1') is poorly ordered in both maps and is excluded from both the respective models. The salient features of the head region of IGF-II.IGF-1Rzip are as follows:

(a) A single IGF-II molecule is seen bound within the head region (**Figure 4A** and **4B**), interacting with receptor domains L1, L2,  $\alpha$ CT' and FnIII-1'. IGF-II binding is seen to result in the receptor's L1-CR + ( $\alpha$ CT') module folding away from its location in the apo receptor (*i.e.*, adjacent to domain FnIII-2') to position the bound IGF-II close to the apex of the receptor and to permit interaction of the growth factor with the membrane-distal part of domain FnIII-1' (Figure 4A and 4B). This re-positioning of the L1-CR + ( $\alpha$ CT') module involves a concomitant outward rotation of domain L2 from its location within the two-fold symmetric (L2-[FnIII-1])<sub>2</sub> assembly found within the apo ectodomain (**Figure 1C**). IGF-II binding results further in a re-positioning and re-configuring of the  $\alpha$ CT' segment on the surface of domain L1, with the  $\alpha$ CT' segment threading through the polypeptide loop formed by the IGF-II C domain (residues 33 to 40; **Figure 1B**) and the growth factor helical core. Opening of the IGF-II C-domain loop is facilitated in turn by a folding out of the IGF-II B-domain C-terminal segment away from the B-domain helix. These structural re-arrangements within the head region of the receptor and within the ligand reflect those seen

within holoIGF-1R.IGF-I (Figure 4D) upon its comparison with the apo-IGF-1R ectodomain structure (Li et al., 2019).

(b) Differences nevertheless emerge in the way in which the IGF-II C domain interacts with the receptor compared to its IGF-I counterpart in the holoIGF-1R.IGF-I structure. In particular, the segment of the C domain of IGF-II that is most distal to growth factor core (*viz.*, IGF-II residues 33-36) is disordered, as are the adjacent receptor CR domain residues 258-265 (**Figure 4D** and **Figure 5A** and **5B**). By contrast, the C domain of IGF-I in holoIGF-1R.IGF-I is relatively well-ordered (**Figure 4D**), with its distal loop engaging receptor residues Pro5 and Pro256 via IGF-I residue Tyr31 (Li et al., 2019). The IGF-II C domain is four residues shorter than that of IGF-I (**Figure 1B**) and lacks an aromatic counterpart to IGF-I Tyr31; the IGF-II C domain thus appears too short to form intimate contact with the receptor CR domain. However, the C domain of IGF-II has an arginine at position 40 (threonine in IGF-I; **Figure 1B**) that is stabilized both by (i) a salt bridge with IGF-II residue Glu45 that lies near the N terminus of the first helix of the IGF-II A domain, and by (ii) a polar interaction with the side chain of the adjacent IGF-II residue Ser39 (**Figure 5C**). In the solution structure of IGF-II (Protein Data Bank entry 1IGL (Torres et al., 1995)), the C domain of the growth factor is highly mobile and appears to lack these intra-molecular interactions.

(c) The folded-out B chain of IGF-II also appears to be stabilized by an interaction between residue IGF-II residue Arg30 (lysine in IGF-I, the side chain of which is unresolved in holoIGF-1R.IGF-I) and the hydroxyl side-chain group of IGF-1R residue Tyr28 (**Figure 5C**). An additional C-domain stabilising interaction is a possible salt bridge between IGF-II residue Arg38 and IGF-1R residue Glu305 (modelled but relatively unclear in Map<sup>HO</sup>). Arg38 is replaced by proline in IGF-I (assuming the equivalent residue to be that three residues upstream of the common Gly-Ile-Val motif shared by IGF-II and IGF-I) and is unmodelled in holoIGF-1R.IGF-I, both suggesting the absence of an equivalent receptor interaction for IGF-I.

(d) The interaction of the IGF-II B domain with the receptor is extensive and mediated by (i) the side chains of IGF-II residues Cys9, Leu13, Val14, Asp15, Leu17, Gln18, Asp23, Phe26, Tyr27, Phe28, Ser29 and Arg30, the side chains of receptor domain L1 residues Pro5, Ile7, Asp8, Arg10, Asn11, Leu33, Ser35, Ly36, Phe58 and Arg59 and the side chains of receptor αCT' residues Glu694', His697', F701', Val 702' and Pro705' (**Figure 5D** and **5E**). The IGF-II A domain contacts

only the receptor αCT' segment (*i.e.*, not domain L1), with their interaction mediated by the side chains of IGF-II residues IIe42, Val43, Glu44, Phe48, Thr58, Tyr59 and Thr62 and the side chains of receptor αCT' residues Lys691', Glu694', His697', Asn698', Ser700', Phe701', Val702', Pro703' and Arg704' (**Figure 5F**). Of the IGF-II B-domain residues listed above, all except Ser29 and Arg30 are conserved in IGF-I, whereas of the IGF-II A-domain residues listed above, only IIe42, Val43, Phe48 and Tyr59 are conserved in IGF-I.

(e) The interaction of IGF-II with domain FnIII-1' involves *inter alia* the formation of a salt bridge between IGF-II residue Glu12 (lying close to the N terminus of the ligand's B-domain helix) and IGF-1R residue Arg483' (lying within the canonical BC loop of domain FnIII-1') (**Figure 6A**). Glu12 is highly conserved within both IGF-II and IGF-I sequences, as is Arg483' within IGF-1R sequences. The presence of this salt bridge aligns with the observation that mutation of the IGF-II residue Glu12 to alanine results in an ~2-fold reduction in IC<sub>50</sub> for IGF-II binding to solubilized IGF-1R ectodomain and an ~6-fold reduction in IC<sub>50</sub> for IGF-II binding to surface-expressed holo-IGF-1R (Alvino et al., 2009). An equivalent interaction is seen in the deposited coordinates of holoIGF-1R.IGF-I (between IGF-I Glu9 and IGF-1R Arg483, human sequence numbering), but is not remarked upon in the associated manuscript (Li et al., 2019).

(f) The re-configured  $\alpha$ CT' segment makes extensive interactions with domains L2' and FnIII-1'. As far as can be discerned, these interactions mimic those seen in holoIGF-1R.IGF-I, but can now be described with more confidence, given the higher resolution of our structure (3.21 Å) compared to that of holoIGF-1R.IGF-I (4.3 Å) (Li et al., 2019). In particular, salt bridges are here seen to be possible between residues Glu687' ( $\alpha$ CT') and Arg335 (domain L2), between residues Glu693' ( $\alpha$ CT') and Arg488' (domain FnIII-1') and between residues Lys690 ( $\alpha$ CT') and Asp489' (domain FnIII-1') (**Figure 6B**).

#### Two distinct conformations for the "legs"

The leg regions of both the closed- and open-leg conformations are less well resolved than their corresponding head regions. Within the closed-leg structure, domains L1', FnIII-2, FnIII-2', FnIII-3 and FnIII-3' can all be tentatively modelled, as can the  $\alpha$ CT segment. The respective C termini of domains FnIII-3 and FnIII-3' are closer together in the closed-leg structure (separation of ~12Å) than in holoIGF-1R.IGF-I (separation of ~38 Å; **Figure 1D**), but, as in holoIGF-1R.IGF-I, there is no direct interaction between the domains FnIII-3' (**Figure 7A**). The L1'-CR' module

of the closed-leg structure appears to contact both domains FnIII-2 and FnIII-2', in a fashion similar to that seen in the cryoEM structure holo-IGF-1R.IGF-I (**Figure 7A**). The  $\alpha$ CT segment also adopts a similar conformation on the surface of domain L1 to that observed both in the apo-ectodomain crystal structure (Xu et al., 2018) and in holo-IGF-1R.IGF-I (Li et al., 2019). However, our modelled conformation for the ID $\alpha$  segments immediately C-terminal to the respective  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  and  $\alpha$ '- $\beta$ ' disulfide bonds is different to that modelled in holoIGF-1R.IGF-I. In our structure, these parts of the ID $\alpha$  segments "cross over" from their parent FnIII-2 domain to the alternate FnIII-2 domain (**Figure 7A**)—in holo-IGF-1R.IGF-I, the equivalent part of the single modelled ID $\alpha$  segment undergoes a reverse turn to re-associate with its parent FnIII-2 (**Figure 7A**). We suggest that our pathway for this segment is more "natural", in that it appears to direct the C termini of these ID $\alpha$  segments towards the N termini of their respective downstream ID $\alpha$  $\alpha$ CT segments.

The quality of the map corresponding to the open-leg structure is lower than that of the closed-leg structure, permitting only rigid-body positioning of domains into the map followed by limited real-space refinement (Figure 7B). Secondary-structure elements within the density for the domains FnIII-3 and FnIII-3' were not readily discerned, leading to preclusion of these domains from the open-leg atomic model. Surprisingly, neither of the two FnIII-2 leg modules appear to make contact with the ligand-free (L1'-CR') +  $\alpha$ CT module in the open leg structure (Figure 7C). As far as can be discerned, the distance (~80 Å) between the respective C-termini of FnIII-3 and FnIII-3' is at the very limit of what is possible given the presence of the downstream zipper, allowing for both (i) a slight unravelling of the less well-packed N-terminal turn of each of the zipper helices (see, for example, PDB entry 2ZTA (O'Shea et al., 1991)) and (ii) an extended conformation for the native receptor residues 896-905 that link the zipper helices to their respective upstream FnIII-3 domains. By contrast, the density of the (L1'-CR') +  $\alpha$ CT module is relatively well defined within the open-leg map, with the  $\alpha$ CT helix being visible in an apo-like conformation on the surface of domain L1' and with protrusions in the map being identifiable with the aromatic residues Tyr688 and Phe692 (Figure 7C). No interpretable density is apparent for domains IDa and ID $\alpha$ ' apart from the  $\alpha$ CT segment of ID $\alpha$ . We suggest that this open-leg conformation of the four domains FnIII-2, FnIII-2', FnIII-2 and FnIII-3' is likely artefactual (see Discussion).

#### DISCUSSION

Whereas the two structures presented here of IGF-II bound to IGF-1Rzip are similar in part to that published for the IGF-I-bound holo-IGF-1R (Li et al., 2019), there is variation across these three structures in the relative disposition of domains FnIII-2, FnIII-2', FnIII-3 and FnIII-3 and concomitantly in the separation of the points of receptor membrane entry. In none of these three structures do domains FnIII-3 and FnIII-3' contact each other. Varying disposition of the FnIII-3 domains is also seen in the cryoEM structure of the insulin-bound zippered IR ectodomain (Weis et al., 2018) compared to that of the insulin-bound, detergent-solubilized holo-IR (Uchikawa et al., 2019). Taken together, the extant ensemble of liganded IGF-1R and liganded IR structures thus suggests that the major consequence of ligand binding is a release of constraints on the membrane-proximal FnIII-3 domains, rather than a directing of their obligate engagement. This conclusion is consistent with the extant biochemical data; once the constraints on the pair of FnIII-2 and pair of FnIII-3 domains are released, receptor activation would then be effected by *trans* association of the trans-membrane and/or the cytoplasmic domains of the receptor (Kavran et al., 2014).

Nevertheless, the existence of the open-leg conformation for the IGF-II-bound ectodomain (**Figure 4B** and **Figure 7B**) is unanticipated, as such a "wide" open-leg conformation has not been detected prior in the cryoEM studies of insulin-bound holo-IR, of insulin-bound zipper IR ectodomain, or of IGF-I-bound holo-IGF-1R. One possibility is that the leg conformation of structure is an artefact of the zipper attachment, which might limit the mobility of the ID $\alpha$  and ID $\alpha$ ' segments and lead—upon ligand binding—to their entrapment between domain L1' and the respective domains FnIII-2 and FnIII-2'. One issue that has also to date been overlooked is the presence of an additional cysteine (Cys662) in the IGF-1R ID $\alpha$  domain, which is without counterpart in IR. Cys662 lies six residues N terminal to the conserved cysteine triplet at residues Cys669, Cys670 and Cys 672. Mass spectroscopy analysis (see **STAR Methods** section and **Figure S6**) indicates that Cys662 forms a disulfide bond with its counterpart Cys662' in ID $\alpha$ '. This disulfide will add an additional constraint to the ID $\alpha$  segments of IGF-1R and as such may contribute to reduced mobility of these segments upon ligand binding to the zippered ectodomain. The extra disulfide may also explain why the receptor legs are closer together in apo-IGF-1R (Xu

et al., 2018) than in apo-IR ectodomain (McKern et al., 2006; Croll et al., 2016) (~63 Å vs ~120 Å, respectively).

Here, only a single IGF-II molecule is seen bound to the homodimeric receptor ectodomain. However, the sample was prepared at a maximal stoichiometric ratio of 1.5 IGF-II molecules per receptor homodimer (allowing for IGF-II loss upon sample concentration; see **STAR Methods** section) and hence at most 50% of the receptor particles could theoretically have displayed two IGF-II molecules bound. Thus, whereas we find no evidence of 3D classes reminiscent of either the two-insulin-bound, T-shaped IR ectodomain structure reported by Scapin et al. (2018), the four-insulin-bound T-shaped IR ectodomain structure reported by Gutmann et al. (2020) or the four-insulin-bound T-shaped holo-IR structure reported by Uchikawa et al. (2019), we cannot exclude the possibility of such a class arising had our ligand-to-receptor stoichiometric ratio here been higher. However, in the holo-IGF-1R.IGF-I structure reported by Li et al. (2019), the stoichiometric ratio of IGF-I to holo-receptor homodimer in the sample was two to one, yet their structure also displayed a one-to-one stoichiometry despite a high sample concentration (5 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>). We suggest that these differences possibly reflect a fundamental difference between the isolated ectodomains of IGF-1R and IR: the former displays negative cooperativity of ligand (Surinya et al., 2008) whereas the latter does not (Markussen et al., 1991).

A major difference in the structures of the ectodomain-bound IGF-I and the ectodomain-bound IGF-II occurs in the respective growth factor C domains. In holo-IGF-1R.IGF-I, IGF-I residue Tyr31, which lies near the N terminus of the C domain (**Figure 1B**), engages a hydrophobic pocket formed by IGF-1R L1 domain residue Pro5 and CR domain residues Phe241, Phe251, Ile255 and Pro256 (Li et al., 2019). IGF-I Tyr31 is without aromatic counterpart IGF-II, and the equivalent segments to the above of the IGF-II C domain and IGF-1R domain CR appear disordered in our structure. Instead, the IGF-II C domain appears to be stabilized at its C-terminal end by self interactions, interactions with the N-terminal region of the IGF-II A domain and interactions with receptor domains L1 and L2. By contrast, in holo-IGF-1R.IGF-I, the C-terminal segment of IGF-I C domain appears to lack any stabilizing interactions with either the growth factor or the receptor; indeed, IGF-I residues 38-40 are unmodelled in holo-IGF-1R.IGF-I (Li et al., 2019). We note that

lengthening of the IGF-II C domain—by insertion of elements of the IGF-I C domain—increases the affinity of IGF-II for IGF-1R (Henderson et al., 2015; Hexnerová et al., 2016).

As in holo-IGF-1R.IGF-I, the interaction of the growth factor here with receptor domain FnIII-1' is sparse, involving here only IGF-II B-domain residues Glu6, Thr7, Cys9, Glu12 and A-domain residues Cys47 and Phe48. Only Glu12 lies within the set of four residues (Glu12, Phe19, Leu53 and Glu57) previously identified as forming IGF-II's second receptor-binding surface (Alvino et al., 2009). We speculate that the remaining three residues (Glu6, Thr7 and Cys9) of this set of four may be involved in a transient engagement of a separate site of the receptor as part of the ligand's induced fit to the tandem (L1-CR) +  $\alpha$ CT' element (Xu et al., 2018). Such a site would correspond to that identified for insulin on the respective lateral surfaces of domains FnIII-1 and FnIII-1' in cryoEM studies of insulin-saturated IR (Uchikawa et al., 2019) and insulin-saturated IR ectodomain (Gutmann et al., 2019; Gutmann et al., 2020).

Our structure also provides some insight into the binding of mitogenic, high-affinity insulin X10 analog to IGF-1R. Insulin X10 has an aspartate substitution at residue HisB10 and has a three-tofive-fold higher affinity for both IR and IGF-1R compared to native human insulin (Schwartz et al., 1987; Slieker et al., 1997; Kurtzhals et al., 2000). Insulin HisB10 is equivalent to IGF-II residue Glu12 and to IGF-I residue Glu9 (Figure 1B). However, the likely salt bridge observed here between the side chain of IGF-II Glu12 and IGF-1R Arg483' (Figure 8A; conserved in holoIGF-1R.IGF-I) does not map to that predicted to occur in insulin X10 engagement with IR namely, a salt bridge between insulin X10 AspB10 and IR Arg539' (Figure 8B) (Weis et al., 2018). Neither IGF-1R Arg483' nor IR Arg539' is conserved in the alternate receptor: IGF-1R Arg483' (= IR Trp493') lies in the canonical BC loop of domain FnIII-1', whereas IR Arg539' (= IGF-1R Asn529') in the canonical C'E loop of domain FnIII-1' (Figure 8C). We suggest therefore that insulin X10 AspB10 exchanges its engagement site from IR Arg539' to the non-equivalent IGF-1R Arg483' upon binding IGF-1R. Inspection of our structure suggests that formation of an insulin X10 AspB10 to IGF-1R Arg483' salt bridge is possible, but requires a more-extended rotameric conformation for IGF-1R Arg483' than is modelled in our IGF-II-complex IGF-1R structure in order to engage the shorter aspartate side chain.

In summary, our structure of the IGF-II-bound IGF-1R ectodomain provides insight into the way in which signalling is effected in the IGF-1R / IR sub-family of receptor tyrosine kinases and also

into the structural source of the varying affinity of IGF-I and IGF-II for IGF-1R. The "resolution revolution" in cryoEM (Kühlbrandt, 2014) has proved to be remarkably enabling in this regard, with an ensemble of cryoEM structures of the sub-family appearing of over the past two years, compared to the almost decade-long gap between structures when the sole tool available was x-ray crystallography. A major challenge, however, remains—namely, elucidating the structural pathway by which the ligand (IGF-I, IGF-II) enters the partially occluded primary binding site formed by IGF-1R domain L1 and the receptor  $\alpha$ CT' segment. Some insight into this issue has recently be gained by the discovery of a further insulin-binding site on the surface of the FnIII-1 domains of IR (Uchikawa et al., 2019), but the existence of an equivalent site has yet to be confirmed for IGF-1R.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M.C.L. acknowledges financial support from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Project Grant APP1128553; his Institute receives Victorian State Government Operational Infrastructure Support and funding from the Australian NHMRC Independent Research Institutes Infrastructure Support Scheme. T.I.C. is supported by the Wellcome Trust Principal Research Fellowship of Prof. Randy Read (grant number 209407/Z/17/Z). The authors thank Prof. Ken Siddle (University of Cambridge, England) for providing the hybridomas expressing mAb 24-60, and Dr Oliver Clarke (Columbia University, USA) for advice regarding cryoEM software. Molecular graphics and analyses were performed with UCSF ChimeraX, developed by the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco, with support from National Institutes of Health R01-GM129325 and the Office of Cyber Infrastructure and Computational Biology, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. The manuscript is dedicated to our late colleague and mentor, Dr Colin Ward, who initiated our structural studies of IGF-1R.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

M.B.M. performed molecular and cell biology. Y.X. and M.B.M. performed protein purification and sample preparation. H.V. and Y.X. performed cryoEM experiments. C.A.D. performed assays. Y.X. and N.S.K. performed cryoEM three-dimensional reconstruction. T.I.C., Y.X., N.S.K. and M.C.L. performed model building and refinement. J.J.S. and A.I.W. performed mass spectroscopy experiments. M.C.L. designed the project and M.C.L. and B.E.F. analysed results and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and commented on the final manuscript.

## **DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

M.C.L.'s laboratory has a funded Agreement with Eli Lilly and Company to conduct research not connected to this publication.

#### **MAIN FIGURE TITLES AND LEGENDS**

## FIGURE 1. Structure of the IGF-1R ectodomain in ligand-free and IGF-I-bound form. (A)

Primary structure of IGF-1R (Adams et al., 2000). Each  $\alpha\beta$  monomer consists of the extra-cellular domains L1 (first leucine-rich repeat domain), CR (cysteine-rich region), L2 (second leucine-rich repeat domain), FnIII-1, FnIII-2 and FnIII-3 (first-, second- and third fibronectin type III domains), followed by the TM (transmembrane domain), JM (juxtamembrane segment), TK (tyrosine kinase domain) and C-tail (C-terminal segment). The insert domain ID (within FnIII-2) contains the  $\alpha\beta$ cleavage site. The C-terminal segment ( $\alpha$ CT) of the  $\alpha$ -chain component (ID $\alpha$ ) of the ID is indicated by an *asterisk*; this segment is critical for ligand binding. The  $\alpha$  chains are cross-linked by disulfide bonds at at least two positions, and each  $\alpha$  chain is disulfide linked to its  $\beta$ -chain partner by a single disulfide bond (*black lines*). Components of the second  $\alpha\beta$  monomer are indicated by a *prime symbol* ('). The *red arrows* indicated the site of leucine-zipper attachment in the ectodomain construct IGF-1Rzip presented here. The domain structure of IR is identical to that of IGF-1R. Panel adapted from Fig. 1a of Weis et al. (2018). (B) Amino-acid sequence and domain structure of human IGF-I, IGF-II and insulin (Adams et al., 2000). Domain boundaries for IGF-I and -II are based here on insulin. Green connectors denote disulfide bonds. (C) Crystal structure of the apo human IGF-1R ectodomain (PDB entry 5U8R (Xu et al., 2018)). Solid arrows indicate the association of the  $\alpha$ CT segments with their respective adjacent FnIII-2 domains. (D) Orthogonal views of the cryoEM structure of the IGF-I-complexed murine IGF-1R ectodomain (holo-receptor form; PDB entry 6PYH (Li et al., 2019)). In panels (C) and (D), one receptor monomer is in *ribbon depiction*, the other in *surface depiction* (domain coloring is as in panel (A), with lighter shades being used for surfaces), with circular discs depicting the cell membrane. IGF-I in panel (D) is in atomic-sphere representation (dark grey, arrowed).

**FIGURE 2.** CryoEM data collection and reconstruction scheme. See STAR Methods section for full detail.

FIGURE 3. Statistical analysis of 3D reconstructions obtained for the four receptor volumes. (A), (C), (E), (G) Gold-standard Fourier shell correlation (GSFSC) plots for the half-maps associated the respective reconstruction of Map<sup>HO</sup>, Map<sup>LO</sup>, Map<sup>LO</sup>, Map<sup>HC</sup> and Map<sup>LC</sup>. (B), (D), (F), (H) Local resolution of Map<sup>HO</sup>, Map<sup>LO</sup>, Map<sup>HC</sup> and Map<sup>LC</sup>, respectively. Schematics on the left of each panel are included to assist the reader with interpreting the orientation of the local resolution map, colors in these schematics are as in **Figure 1C**. Domains FnIII-3 and FnIII-3' in the panel (D) schematic are included for illustrative purpose only and are omitted from the final open-leg model. (I), (J) Angular distribution of the orientation of the particles that used in the respective reconstruction of open- and closed conformers of IGF-1Rzip.IGF-II

**FIGURE 4. Structure of IGF-1Rzip.IGF-II. (A)** Closed-leg conformation of IGF-1Rzip.IGF-II. The single bound IGF-II moiety is in *black*, with the receptor domains labelled and colored as in **Figure 1A**; domains of the second (') chain are in *lighter shades*. Domain FnIII-1 is excluded from the model due to the poor associated density (*grey*) within Map<sup>HC</sup>. (**B**) Open-leg conformation of IGF-1Rzip.IGF-II. Domains are labelled and colored as in panel (A). Domain FnIII-1 and domains FnIII-3 and FnIII-3' are excluded from the model due to the poor associated density (*grey*) within Map<sup>HO</sup> and Map<sup>LO</sup>, respectively. (**C**) Comparison of overall domain disposition within the head regions of the open- and closed-leg conformation of IGF-1Rzip.IGF-II (depicted in *fat colored ribbon* and *thin black ribbon*, respectively) (**D**) Comparison of overall domain disposition within the head region of the open conformation of IGF-1Rzip.IGF-II and the same region within holoIGF-1R.IGF-I (depicted in *fat colored ribbon* and *thin black ribbon*, Figure S2, Figure S3, Figure S4, Figure S5 and Table S1 for further detail.

FIGURE 5. Interaction of IGF-II with the primary binding site of IGF-IR. (A) Disposition of IGF-II on the surface of domain L1. IGF-II B- and A domains are in *grey ribbon* and C in *black ribbon*, IGF-1R domains are as labelled. Residues 33-36 of IGF-II and residues 258-265 of domain CR are left unmodelled due to weak associated density; their tentative location is indicated by *curved dashed lines*. (B) Density associated with panel (A), contoured at two levels (*yellow surface* and *black mesh* representation, respectively) and excluding domain L1 and the  $\alpha$ CT' segment. The absence of density at the IGF-II to CR interface is *arrowed*. (C) Detail of the interaction of the IGF-II C domain with IGF-1R and with the IGF-II A domain. (D) Interaction of the B domain of IGF-II with receptor domain L1. (E) Interaction of the B domain of IGF-II with IGF-1R  $\alpha$ CT' segment. In (D) and (E), surrounding receptor domains and the C and A domain of IGF-II are omitted for clarity. (F) Interaction of the A domain of IGF-II with the IGF-1R  $\alpha$ CT' segment.

FIGURE 6. Interaction of IGF-II with domain FnIII-1' and associated interactions within IGF-1R. (A) Detail of the interaction of IGF-II and domain FnIII-1', highlighting, in particular, the likely salt bridge (*dashed line*) between IGF-II residue Glu12 and IGF-1R residue R483 (*boxed labels* with *ball-and-stick side chain atoms*). (B) Detail of the interaction of the reconfigured  $\alpha$ CT' helix with surrounding IGF-1R domains L2 and FnIII-1', highlighting, in particular, salt bridge formation between residues R355 and Glu687', between residues Asp489' and Arg691', and between residues Glu693' and Arg488'.

FIGURE 7. The conformation of the leg region of IGF-1Rzip.IGF-II. (A) The closed-leg conformation of IGF-1Rzip.IGF-II (*thick ribbons*, colored as in Figure 1A), overlaid with the structure of the equivalent region of the structure holoIGF-1R.IGF-I (*solid black line*; PDB entry 6PYH (Li et al., 2019)). The difference in the relative dispositions of the FnIII-3 domains within each structure is apparent. Also indicated is the manner in which the N-terminal regions of each IDa segment in IGF-1Rzip.IGF-II "crosses over" from one FnIII-2 domain to the other; in holoIGF-1R.IGF-1R, the single modelled segment is directed spatially back to its parent FnIII-2 domain. (B) The leg domains of the open-leg conformation of IGF-1Rzip.IGF-II, viewed in a direction approximately parallel to the pseudo two-fold axis relating domains FnIII-2 and FnIII-2' and towards the membrane. The *arrows* indicate the lack of contact between domain L1 and the domains FnIII-2 and FnIII-2'. Density in the vicinity of the ligand-free  $\alpha$ CT element (on the surface of domain L1') is shown as *transparent magenta surface* and in the vicinity of the unmodelled domains FnIII-3' as *blue surface*.

FIGURE 8. Interaction of IGF-II Glu12 and of hIns HisB10 with the respective FnIII-1' domains of IGF-IR and IR. (A) Interaction of IGF-II (*black*) and domain FnIII-1' (*green*) as observed in the open-leg structure of IGF-II-bound IGF-1Rzip, showing the interaction of IGF-II Glu12 with IGF-1R Arg483, which lies within the BC loop of domain FnIII-1'. (B) Juxtaposition of hIns residue HisB10 and IR residue Arg539 as observed in the structure IR $\Delta\beta$ zip.Ins.Fv. IR Arg 539 lies within the C'E loop of IR domain FnIII-1'. Replacement of insulin residue HisB10 by an aspartate residue (as in the high-affinity X10 analog) will lead to a likely salt bridge between the aspartate residue and IR Arg539. The *dashed green line* indicates residues between strand C' and

E that are disordered within structure IR $\Delta\beta$ zip.Ins.Fv. (C) Sequence alignment of domains FnIII-1' of IR and IGF-1R, showing the non-conservation of IGF-1R Arg483 (tryptophan in IR) and non-conservation of IR Arg539 (asparagine in IGF-1R). The location of the canonical  $\beta$  strands A, B, C, C', E, F and G of the FnIII-1 domains are indicated by *large arrows* and labelled accordingly.

## MAIN TABLES AND LEGENDS

| Table | 1 | Statistics | for th | e open-     | and | closed-leg | structures | of IGF | -1Rzip | .IGF-II* |
|-------|---|------------|--------|-------------|-----|------------|------------|--------|--------|----------|
|       | _ |            |        | • • • • • • |     |            |            |        |        |          |

|   | <b>Open-leg structure</b> |                     | Closed-leg structure |                     |  |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| Model   | head                      | legs                | head                 | legs                |  |
| PDB code  | 6VWG                      | 6VWH                | 6VWI                 | 6VWJ                |  |
| Composition (#):                                |                           |                     |                      |                     |  |
| chains  | 5                         | 2                   | 5                    | 2                   |  |
| atoms (incl. hydrogens)                         | 12813                     | 8465                | 12814                | 11807               |  |
| protein residues                                | 800                       | 539                 | 800                  | 747                 |  |
| glycan residues                                 | 4                         | 0                   | 4                    | 0                   |  |
| Bonds (RMSD):                                   |                           |                     |                      |                     |  |
| length (Å) ( $\# > 4\sigma$ )                   | 0.005 (0)                 | 0.004(0)            | 0.005(0)             | 0.004(0)            |  |
| angles (°) ( $\# > 4\sigma$ )                   | 0.8(0)                    | 0.9(3)              | 0.7 (0)              | 0.8(30)             |  |
| MolProbity score                                | 1.77                      | 1.90                | 1.84                 | 1.77                |  |
| Clash score                                     | 2.81                      | 7.91                | 2.42                 | 6.36                |  |
| Ramachandran plot (%):                          |                           |                     |                      |                     |  |
| outliers / allowed / favored                    | 0.00 / 11.38 / 88.62      | 0.76 / 6.69 / 92.54 | 0.00 / 11.89 / 88.11 | 0.41 / 4.79 / 94.80 |  |
| Rotamer outliers (%)                            | 1.40                      | 0.82                | 1.97                 | 1.20                |  |
| $C^{\beta}$ outliers (%)                        | 0.00                      | 0.00                | 0.00                 | 0.00                |  |
| Peptide plane (%):                              |                           |                     |                      |                     |  |
| cis proline / general                           | 3.8 / 0.0                 | 2.9 / 0.0           | 3.8 / 0.0            | 1.8 / 0.0           |  |
| twisted proline / general                       | 0.0 / 0.0                 | 0.0 / 0.0           | 0.0 / 0.0            | 0.0 / 0.0           |  |
| $C^{\alpha}BLAM$ outliers (%)                   | 4.58                      | 3.94                | 4.58                 | 3.08                |  |
| ADP:  |                           |                     |                      |                     |  |
| iso / aniso (# atoms)                           | 6470 / 0                  | 4322 / 0            | 6470 / 0             | 6010 / 0            |  |
| protein (min / max / mean)                      | 58/115/80                 | 74 / 287 / 125      | 57 / 127 / 91        | 62 / 402 / 140      |  |
| glycan (min / max / mean)                       | 75 / 87 / 80              | -                   | 68 / 99 / 85         | -                   |  |
| Occupancy (# atoms)                             |                           |                     |                      |                     |  |
| occ = 1 / 0.5 / 0.0                             | 12813 / 0 / 0             | 8465 / 0 / 0        | 12814 / 0 / 0        | 11807 / 0 / 0       |  |
| Мар   |                           |                     |                      |                     |  |
| Resolution (Å): FSC                             | 3.21                      | 4.26                | 3.70                 | 4.21                |  |
| independent half-maps                           |                           |                     |                      |                     |  |
| Local resolution range (Å)                      |                           |                     |                      |                     |  |
| Sharpening <i>B</i> -factor (Å <sup>2</sup> )   | 47.1                      | 104.7               | 66.4                 | 47.7                |  |
| Model vs map                                    |                           |                     |                      |                     |  |
| $CC_{ m mask}$                                  | 0.72                      | 0.62                | 0.74                 | 0.65                |  |
| $CC_{box}$                                      | 0.71                      | 0.70                | 0.78                 | 0.79                |  |
| $CC_{ m volume}$                                | 0.72                      | 0.61                | 0.73                 | 0.66                |  |
| CC individual chains:                           |                           |                     |                      |                     |  |
| IGF-1Rzip chains A, B                           | 0.70, 0.70                | 0.63, 0.63          | 0.73, 0.72           | 0.66, 0.71          |  |
| IGF-II  | 0.68                      | -                   | 0.72                 | -                   |  |
| glycan chains A, B                              | 0.62, 0.63                | -                   | 0.64, 0.62           | -                   |  |
| Resolution (Å): FSC, masked map vs model @0.143 | 3.12                      | 4.29                | 3.47                 | 4.02                |  |

\* See Table S1 for further detail.

# STAR METHODS TEXT RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

## Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Michael Lawrence (lawrence@wehi.edu.au).

## Materials availability

There are restrictions to the availability of the vector and stable cell lines associated with the IGF-1Rzip construct due to the pEE14 vector being subject to a Research Agreement with Lonza.

## Data and code availability

Map<sup>HO</sup>, Map<sup>LO</sup>, Map<sup>HC</sup> and Map<sup>LC</sup> along with their associated atomic models have been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank and Protein Data Bank (EMDB entries EMD-1417, EMD-21416, EMD-21415 and EMD-21418, and PDB entries 6VWG, 6VWH, 6VWI and 6VWJ, respectively).

## EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

## CHO-K1 cells

CHO-K1 cells (ATCC CCL-61) stably transfected with pEE14 plasmid containing the IGF-1Rzip gene were cultured at 37 °C in DMEM (high glucose) media containing 25  $\mu$ M methionine sulfoxide, 1×GS supplement and 10% dialysed fetal bovine serum.

## BALB/c3T3 overexpressing IGF-IR (P6) cells

BALB/c3T3 overexpressing IGF-IR (P6) cells were cultured in DMEM, 10% fetal calf serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, G418 (0.5 mM), at 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. The P6 cells were a gift from Dr Renato Baserga (Pietrzkowski et al., 1992) and were validated for over-expression of IGF-1R by FACS analysis.

## **METHOD DETAILS**

## **Cloning and production of IGF-1Rzip**

A synthetic gene encoding IGF-1Rzip (comprising, in order, a 399-nucleotide stretch of pre-signal native sequence followed by a gene encoding the native signal peptide, residues 1-905 of IGF-1R (UniProt entry P08069-1), a 33-residue GCN4 zipper sequence RMKQLEDKVEELLSKNYHLENEVARLKKLVGER (UniProt entry P03069), a three-serine

spacer and the c-myc tag sequence EQKLISEEDLN) was cloned into the Hind III / Xba1 sites (Genscript; Piscataway, New Jersey) of the pEE14 mammalian expression vector (Lonza; Basel, Switzerland) for stable expression of the protein in CHO-K1 cells. Cells were transfected with complexes of plasmid DNA and X-tremeGENE 9 transfection agent (Roche; Basel, Switzerland) then later selected with 25 µM methionine sulfoxide (Merck; Darmstadt, Germany) in DMEM (High Glucose) media (Lonza) containing 1× GS supplement (Merck) and 10% dialysed fetal bovine serum (ThermoFisher Scientific / Life Technologies; Waltham, Massachusetts). Cells were plated in 96-well plates using limiting dilution and colonies were allowed to form over several weeks. Secretion of target protein from colonies was detected via Western blot using mAb 24-60 (Soos et al., 1992) (hybridomas expressing mAb 24-60 were a gift of Professor Ken Siddle, Cambridge, UK). Dozens of colonies were amplified into twelve-well trays and later tissue culture flasks and monitored for expression via Western blot (as above). Several of the best-expressing clones were then further screened by seeding cells at exactly the same densities in six-well trays and individually monitored for expression over time. The single best-expressing clone was then selected to enter roller bottle scale-up. Cells were seeded in roller bottles and allowed to grow for 21 days with the addition of 2.5 mM valproic acid (Sigma-Aldrich/Merck; Darmstadt, Germany) at day 14. Finally, the conditioned media were decanted from the roller bottles and filtered for purification through a 0.2 µm bottle filter (ThermoFisher Scientific).

#### **Purification of IGF-1Rzip**

IGF-1Rzip was purified from a single 5-L batch of conditioned medium to which was added PMSF (1:1000 dilution of 100 mM PMSF/propan-2-ol; Merck) and sodium azide (0.02 %; Sigma-Aldrich). Sample volume reduction, for ease of purification, was achieved by cycling the conditioned medium at room temperature through a stack of two Pellicon 3 0.11 m<sup>2</sup> 10 kDa concentrator cartridges (Merck-Millipore; Darmstadt, Germany) until the concentrate volume was 500 mL. For purification, the filtered concentrate was flowed through a 100-mL bed volume (BV), 50-cm diameter, Sepharose CL-4B guard column (GE Healthcare Lifesciences; Marlborough, Massachusetts) to remove non-specifically adsorbing material and then over a 40-mL mAb 9E10 50-cm affinity column at a flow rate of 2 mL.min<sup>-1</sup>; the affinity matrix being prepared by coupling mAb 9E10 (CSIRO; Parkville Australia) directly to Mini-Leak Low divinylsulphone-activated agarose resin (Kem-En-Tec; Tasstrup, Denmark) (McKern et al., 1997). The flow-through was

reloaded several times onto the latter column with a final overnight bind at 4 °C. The affinity column was then washed with two column volumes (CV) of Tris-buffered saline with 0.02 % azide [24.8 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, and 0.02% sodium azide; "TBSA"] containing 0.1 mM PMSF (this wash was retained and added to the conditioned medium for a second bind) followed by a 10 CV TBSA wash which was discarded. Bound protein was eluted by recycling a 50 mL solution of c-myc peptide EQKLISEEDLN (0.2 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> in TBSA) over the column nine times with a final chase of 50 mL fresh peptide solution. An Amicon (Merck-Millipore) stirred cell concentrator with a 30 kDa disc filter was used to concentrate 100 mL of eluate to 10 mL and finally to 1 mL in a centrifugal concentrator before a further purification step on a serial pair of Superdex<sup>™</sup> 200 Increase 10/300 GL (GE Healthcare) size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) columns. The SEC profile (Figure S1A) displayed two peaks corresponding to the approximate respective sizes of the desired IGF-1Rzip (*i.e.*, dimeric  $(\alpha\beta)_2$ ) protein and an unwanted (IGF-1Rzip)<sub>2</sub> (*i.e.*, tetrameric  $(\alpha\beta)_4$ ) protein. The IGF-1Rzip-containing fractions were then re-run twice through the same column pair to remove as far as possible any residual (IGF-1Rzip)<sub>2</sub> protein without substantial loss of IRGF-1Rzip (Figure S1B and 1C). The identity of the IGF-1Rzip protein was confirmed by sodium-dodecyl-sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE; Figure S1D), followed by Western blot analysis with mAb 24-60 (data not shown).

## **Europium labelling of peptides**

Europium-labeled human IGF-I (King et al., 1992) and human IGF-II (Francis et al., 1993) were prepared as instructed by the manufacturer (DELFIA Eu-labeling kit, Perkin Elmer) (Denley et al., 2004). Peptide 0.43 mM was incubated with 2 mM labeling reagent in a 30µl reaction (0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, pH 8.5), at 4 °C for 2 d. The reaction was terminated with 0.05 M Tris-HCl, 0.15 M NaCl (pH 7.5), and unbound europium was removed by size exclusion chromatography (Sephadex 75, GE Healthcare/Cytiva) in the termination buffer. Aliquots were stored at 50 mmol.L<sup>-1</sup> Tris-HCl buffered saline solution containing 0.1-0.5% purified BSA, 0.05% sodium azide.

## **Receptor competition binding assay**

BALB/c3T3 overexpressing IGF-IR (P6) cells (Pietrzkowski et al., 1992) were cultured in DMEM, 10% fetal calf serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, G418 (0.5mM). IGF-IR was solubilized from P6 cells using lysis buffer [20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10% (v/v) glycerol,

1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 1 mM EGTA (pH 7.5)] for 1 h at 4 °C and lysates were centrifuged for 10 min at 3500 rpm. Solubilized IGF-1R (100µl) or IGF-1Rzip (0.5 µg) was used to coat each well of a white Greiner Lumitrac 600 plate previously coated with 24-31 anti-human IGF-1R antibody (Soos et al., 1992). Europium-labelled IGF-I or IGF-II (~100,000 counts) were added to wells with increasing concentrations of competitive ligand IGF-I or IGF-II and incubated for 16 h at 4 °C. Wells were washed with 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20 and DELFIA enhancement solution (100 µl) was added. Time-resolved fluorescence was measured with 340nm excitation and 612-nm emission filters with a Polarstar Fluorimeter (BMG Labtech). Replicate details are as follows: IGF-I vs holo-IGF-1R, three assays with three replicates (n=9, with a single individual measurement omitted as aberrant); IGF-I vs IGF-1Rzip, three independent assays with three replicates each (n=9); IGF-II vs holoIGF-1R, two independent assays with three replicates each (n=6); IGF-II vs IGF-1Rzip, three independent assays with three replicates each (n=9, with four individual measurement omitted as aberrant) (see Figure S1E and Figure S1F). Mean IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated with the statistical software package Prism v8.0.2 (GraphPad Software) after curve fitting with non-linear regression (one-site) model. Qualitative assessment of the difference of affinities of each ligand for the IGF-1Rzip vs holo-IGRF-1R were based on an F test within Prism (IGF-I: no. degrees of freedom = 164; IGF-II : no. degrees of freedom = 131).

## Preparation of IGF-II-complexed IGF-1Rzip

"Receptor grade" IGF-II (GroPep; Thebarton, Australia) was dissolved in 10 mM HCl to a concentration of 5.9 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> and then added to IGF-1Rzip (pre-prepared at a concentration of 0.19 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> in TBSA) to give a final IGF-1Rzip to IGF-II molar ratio of 1 to 1.5 (*i.e.*, of receptor homodimer to ligand). A stock solution was then prepared by concentrating the mixture to 1.09 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> in TBSA using a 0.5 mL 10 kDa Amicon Ultra concentrator (Sigma Aldrich). Aliquots of stock solution were diluted with TBSA to 0.1 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup> (nominally 0.5  $\mu$ M IGF-1Rzip homodimer plus maximum 0.75  $\mu$ M IGF-II) to provide sample volumes for cryoEM analysis.

## **CryoEM grid preparation**

A 4  $\mu$ L volume of the above stock solution was then applied to UltrAuFoil R1.2/1.3 300-mesh grids (Quantifoil Micro Tools GmbH; Großlöbichau, Germany) which were glow discharged prior to sample application in a Pelco easiGlow device (Ted Pella; Redding, California) at 15 mA for

30 s. Grids were blotted for 3 s at -3 blot-force setting in a Vitrobot mark IV (ThermoFisher Scientific; operated at 4 °C and 100 % humidity) before being plunge frozen in liquid ethane.

#### **CryoEM data collection**

CryoEM imaging was performed using a Titan Krios (ThermoFisher Scientific) equipped with a Gatan K2 Summit<sup>TM</sup> with Quantum-GIF energy filter. Imaging was performed in nanoprobe energy filtered zero loss mode using a 20eV slit width. A nominal magnification of 130,000 × was used which provided a calibrated specimen level pixel size of 1.06 Å. A C2 condenser aperture of 50 µm and an objective aperture of 100 µm were used and the K2 camera was operated in counting mode at a dose rate of 6 e<sup>-</sup>/pixel/s. Each movie was collected using a 10 s exposure time fractionated into 50 sub-frames resulting in a total accumulated dose of 50 e<sup>-</sup>/Å<sup>2</sup> per movie. The EPU software package (ThermoFisher Scientific) was used for automated data collection and a total of 4585 movies were collected using a defocus range from -0.6 µm to -1.6 µm.

#### Three-dimensional single-particle reconstruction

The data set of 4585 movies were gain-, motion- and dose corrected within RELION 3.0.5 (Nakane et al., 2018). The motioned-corrected images were then corrected for contrast transfer function (CTF) using CTFFIND v4.1.13, also within RELION. Images showing ice contamination, low particle number or poor CTF fit were excluded, resulting in a final set of 3963 images. 2057701 particles then were auto-picked (using templates obtained from an in-house study of IGF-I-bound to IGF-1Rzip; data not shown) and then extracted within RELION. This latter set of particles was then subjected to 2D classification into 100 classes, of which seventeen with evident secondary structure and high signal-to-noise ratio were retained and exported to cryoSPARC v2.11 (Punjani et al., 2017); these seventeen 2D classes contained a total of 542948 particles. 3D heterogeneous refinement into seven classes then followed, these being seeded by low-pass filtered versions of 3D classes obtained from the in-house study of IGF-I-complexed IGF-1Rzip (data not shown). Of the seven 3D maps obtained, one displayed a structure similar to that reported for the IGF-I-bound holoreceptor (termed the "closed" conformation, from 108899 particles with a resolution of 6.78 Å), another displayed again a similar structure to that reported for the IGF-I-bound holoreceptor, but with the receptor legs significantly separated (termed herein the "open" conformation of the receptor, from 205471 particles and also with a resolution of 6.78 Å resolution), with the remaining five maps being judged as poor. 3D reconstruction then progressed by independent homogeneous

refinement of the particles associated with the above open and closed conformation maps, yielding maps of resolution 4.10 Å and 4.61 Å, respectively. Independent local and non-uniform refinement of these sets then yielded maps of resolution 3.65 Å and 4.09 Å, respectively. At this stage it was clear that the domains of the IGF-1R and a single IGF-II could be docked into the maps in a fashion analogous to that within the insulin-complex IR $\Delta\beta$ zip structure. Such docking then enabled independent focused refinement of the "head" and "leg" volumes of both the open and closed conformations. The particles were then exported to RELION and subjected to CTF refinement and Bayesian "polishing" before a final refinement. The subtracted particles were refined to the final maps within cryoSPARC. The resultant resolution of the maps obtained were as follows: head volume of open conformation 3.21 Å, head volume of closed conformation 3.70 Å, legs volume of open conformation 4.26 Å and legs volume of closed conformation 4.21 Å. The above protocols and their output are summarized in **Figure 2** and **Figure 3**, with final statistical data provided in **Table 1** and **Table S1**. The two head volume maps appeared very similar; however, attempts to improve map resolution by combining the two underlying sets of particles did not succeed in producing a map of higher resolution that of Map<sup>HO</sup>.

## Model building

Model building began by rigid-body docking (using UCSF Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004)) of the individual receptor domains as extracted from PDB entry 5U8R (Xu et al., 2018) directly into a *B*-factor sharpened form of Map<sup>HO</sup> ( $B_{\text{sharp}} = 47 \text{ Å}^2$ , as implemented by cryoSPARC). IGF-II was modelled directly from IGF-I, based on the latter's structure as present in the co-crystal complex with the IGF-1R ectodomain (PDB entry 5U8Q) (Xu et al., 2018). Preliminary model improvement was then undertaken using COOT (version 0.8.9.1) (Emsley et al., 2010) and real-space-refinement within Phenix (version 1.16) (Afonine et al., 2018). This initial model was then used as targets for interactive flexible fitting using ISOLDE version 1.0b3 (Croll, 2018) starting from the biological apo-dimer derived from PDB entry 5U8R. C $\alpha$  atoms from defined secondary structure components of the rigid-body docked individual domains were applied as weak positional restraints for their corresponding atoms in the starting model, while local geometry of each individual domain was maintained using a web of adaptive distance restraints. For each C $\alpha$ , C $\beta$  or C $\gamma$  atom (or their equivalent), the distance to each atom from the same group (excluding atoms from within the same residue) within an 8 Å sphere was restrained to its starting value using a potential function derived

from a recent generalization of the Geman-McClure penalty scheme (Barron, 2019) to maintain local geometry while still allowing larger-scale deviations. Interactive tugging on selections of atoms was used where necessary to release the model from local minima. After this initial bulk fitting was accomplished, the C $\alpha$  target restraints were released, as were the adaptive distance restraints on well-resolved regions. In domains where the resolution was insufficient to clearly resolve secondary structures, the adaptive distance restraints were maintained throughout the modelling process. All well-resolved regions were then interactively inspected and remodelled where necessary based on fit to the map and visual feedback from real-time Ramachandran and rotamer validation. These steps were then followed by further real-space refinement within Phenix iterated with manual model-building within COOT. During each real-space refinement run within Phenix, reference restraints to the input model (with strict rotamer matching) and secondary structure restraints were maintained throughout each cycle. Ramachandran and rotamer restraints were not imposed within Phenix, as the structure generated by ISOLDE was deemed sufficiently accurate with regard to both Ramachandran and rotamer statistics. Refinement was guided throughout by MolProbity statistics (Williams et al., 2018). The final model is termed here Model<sup>HO</sup>.

Model building of the head domains corresponding to the closed-leg structure began by rigid-body placement (using Chimera) of Model<sup>HO</sup> directly into a *B*-factor sharpened form of Map<sup>HC</sup> ( $B_{\text{sharp}} = 47 \text{ Å}^2$ , as implemented by cryoSPARC). The model was then real-space refined within Phenix using (i) reference model restraints to Model<sup>HO</sup> (with strict rotamer matching) and (ii) secondary structure restraints, both in the absence of Ramachandran restraints or further rotamer restraints. The model generated by these steps is termed here Model<sup>HC</sup>.

Model building of the domains of the open-leg structure began by manually-guided rigid-body docking (using Chimera) of two copies of domain FnIII-2 and a single copy of domain L1 and its associated  $\alpha$ CT peptide directly into a *B*-factor sharpened form of Map<sup>LO</sup> ( $B_{\text{sharp}} = 108 \text{ Å}^2$ , as implemented by cryoSPARC). Density associated with the respective domains FnIII-3 and FnIII-3' was judged too poor to be modelled, even though the appropriately thresholded volumes associated with these domains appeared to have dimensions approximately corresponding to their crystallographic dimension. The model generated by these steps is termed here Model<sup>LO</sup>.

Model building of the domains of the closed-leg structure began by manually-guided rigid-body docking (using Chimera) of two copies of domain FnIII-2 and of domain FnIII-3 and a single copy of domain L1 and its associated  $\alpha$ CT peptide directly into a *B*-factor sharpened form of Map<sup>LC</sup> ( $B_{\text{sharp}} = 66 \text{ Å}^2$ , as implemented by CryoSPARC). The model generated by these steps is termed here Model<sup>LC</sup>.

Model<sup>HO</sup>, Model<sup>LO</sup>, Model<sup>HC</sup> and Model<sup>LC</sup> were then re-inspected and further improved in ISOLDE, followed again by real-space refinement in Phenix subject to both input model and secondary structure restraints, again in the absence of Ramachandran or further rotamer restraints.

The final versions of Model<sup>HO</sup> and Model<sup>LO</sup> were then combined to form the "open-leg structure" of IGF-II bound to IGF-1Rzip and of Model<sup>HC</sup> and Model<sup>LC</sup> to form the "closed-leg structure" of IGF-II bound to IGF-1Rzip. Figures depicting these structures and their associated maps were generated using ChimeraX (Goddard et al., 2018).

Final statistics are presented in Table 1 and Table S1.

## Identification of disulfide links using mass spectrometry (MS)

20 µg of the IGF-1R ectodomain construct IGF-1R $\Delta\beta$  (prepared as previously described (Whitten et al., 2009)) was resuspended in 6 M urea and 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0 and subjected to protein digestion using a filter-aided sample preparation (FASP) column without cysteine disulfide-bond reduction and alkylation (Wisniewski et al., 2009). The peptide solution was acidified (0.1% formic acid) and lyophilized using a SpeedVac AES 1010 (ThermoFisher Scientific). Peptides were injected and separated by reversed-phase liquid chromatography on a M-class UPLC system (Waters; Milford, Massachusetts) using a 250 mm × 75 µm column (1.6 µm C18, packed emitter tip; Ion Opticks, Parkville, Australia) with a linear 90-min gradient at a flow rate of 400 nL.min<sup>-1</sup> from 98% solvent A (0.1% formic acid in Milli-Q water) to 35% solvent B (0.1% formic acid, 99.9% acetonitrile). The nano-UPLC was coupled on-line to a Q-Exactive Orbitrap mass spectrometer equipped with a nano-electron spray ionization source (ThermoFisher Scientific). The Q-Exactive was operated in a data-dependent mode, switching automatically between one full-scan and subsequent MS/MS scans of the ten most abundant peaks. The instrument was controlled using Exactive series version 2.1 build 1502 (ThermoFisher Scientific) and Xcalibur 3.0 (ThermoFisher Scientific). Full-scans (m/z 350–1,850) were acquired with a resolution of

70,000 at 200 m/z. The ten most intense ions were sequentially isolated with a target value of 10000 ions and an isolation width of 3 m/z and fragmented using HCD with NCE of 27. Maximum ion accumulation times were set to 50 ms for full MS scan and 200 ms for MS/MS.

Raw files were analysed using MaxQuant version 1.6.7.0 (Cox and Mann, 2008). The database search was performed using the UNIPROT Homo sapiens database plus common contaminants with strict trypsin specificity allowing up to 2 missed cleavages. MaxQuant APL files were to MGF files using the APL to MGF converted converter software (https://www.wehi.edu.au/people/andrew-webb/1298/apl-mgf-converter). Cysteine crosslinked peptides were identified from the MGF files using StavroX software version 3.6.6.5 (Götze et al., 2012). Trypsin was set as the enzyme allowing for three missed cleavages at lysines and arginines. Precursor precision was set at 10 ppm with fragment ion precision set at 20 ppm. Spectra associated with the Cys662-Cys662' and Cys633-Cys849 disulfide bonds are presented in Figure S6.

## **QUANTITATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Ligand affinity data were analysed as described in the "Receptor competition binding assay" section of the **Methods Details** using the software package GraphPad detailed in the **Key Resources Table**.

CryoEM single-particle reconstructions and associated model building were performed as described in the "Three-dimensional single-particle reconstruction" and "Model building" sections of the **Methods Details** using the software packages RELION, CryoSPARC, ISOLDE, Phenix, Coot, Chimera and ChimeraX detailed in the **Key Resources Table**.

Mass spectroscopy data were analysed as described in the "Identification of disulfide links using mass spectrometry (MS)" section of the **Methods Details** using the software packages MaxQuant, StavroX, Exactive and Xcalibur detailed in the **Key Resources Table**, with further detail provided in **Figure S6**.

## REFERENCES

Adams, T.E., Epa, V.C., Garrett, T.P., and Ward, C.W. (2000). Structure and function of the type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. *57*, 1050-1093.

Afonine, P.V., Poon, B.K., Read, R.J., Sobolev, O.V., Terwilliger, T.C., Urzhumtsev, A., and Adams, P.D. (2018). Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography. Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr. *74*, 531-544.

Alvino, C.L., McNeil, K.A., Ong, S.C., Delaine, C., Booker, G.W., Wallace, J.C., Whittaker, J., and Forbes, B.E. (2009). A novel approach to identify two distinct receptor binding surfaces of insulin-like growth factor II. J. Biol. Chem. *284*, 7656-7664.

Barron, J. (2019). A General and Adaptive Robust Loss Function. Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit., 4331-4339.

Baxter, R.C. (2014). IGF binding proteins in cancer: mechanistic and clinical insights. Nat. Rev. Cancer 14, 329-341.

Belfiore, A., Malaguarnera, R., Vella, V., Lawrence, M.C., Sciacca, L., Frasca, F., Morrione, A., and Vigneri, R. (2017). Insulin Receptor Isoforms in Physiology and Disease: An Updated View. Endocr. Rev. *38*, 379-431.

Christoffersen, C.T., Bornfeldt, K.E., Rotella, C.M., Gonzales, N., Vissing, H., Shymko, R.M., ten Hoeve, J., Groffen, J., Heisterkamp, N., and De Meyts, P. (1994). Negative cooperativity in the insulin-like growth factor-I receptor and a chimeric IGF-I/insulin receptor. Endocrinology *135*, 472-475.

Cox, J., and Mann, M. (2008). MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. Nat. Biotechnol. *26*, 1367-1372.

Croll, T. (2018). ISOLDE: a physically realistic environment for model building into low-resolution electron-density maps. Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr. 74, 519-530.

Croll, T.I., Smith, B.J., Margetts, M.B., Whittaker, J., Weiss, M.A., Ward, C.W., and Lawrence,M.C. (2016). Higher-Resolution Structure of the Human Insulin Receptor Ectodomain: Multi-Modal Inclusion of the Insert Domain. Structure 24, 469–476.

Denley, A., Bonython, E.R., Booker, G.W., Cosgrove, L.J., Forbes, B.E., Ward, C.W., and Wallace, J.C. (2004). Structural determinants for high-affinity binding of insulin-like growth factor II to insulin receptor (IR)-A, the exon 11 minus isoform of the IR. Mol. Endocrinol. *18*, 2502-2512.

Denley, A., Cosgrove, L.J., Booker, G.W., Wallace, J.C., and Forbes, B.E. (2005). Molecular interactions of the IGF system. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. *16*, 421-439.

Dyer, A.H., Vahdatpour, C., Sanfeliu, A., and Tropea, D. (2016). The Role of Insulin Like Growth Factor 1 (IGF-1) in Brain Development, Maturation and Neuroplasticity. Neuroscience *325*, 89-99.

El-Shewy, H.M., and Luttrell, L.M. (2009). Insulin-like growth factor-2/mannose-6 phosphate receptors. Vitam. Horm. *80*, 667-697.

Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G., and Cowtan, K. (2010). Features and development of Coot. Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr. *66*, 486-501.

Francis, G.L., Aplin, S.E., Milner, S.J., McNeil, K.A., Ballard, F.J., and Wallace, J.C. (1993). Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-II binding to IGF-binding proteins and IGF receptors is modified by deletion of the N-terminal hexapeptide or substitution of arginine for glutamate-6 in IGF-II. Biochem. J. *293 (Pt 3)*, 713-719.

Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Meng, E.C., Pettersen, E.F., Couch, G.S., Morris, J.H., and Ferrin, T.E. (2018). UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. Protein Sci. *27*, 14-25.

Götze, M., Pettelkau, J., Schaks, S., Bosse, K., Ihling, C.H., Krauth, F., Fritzsche, R., Kühn, U., and Sinz, A. (2012). StavroX--a software for analyzing crosslinked products in protein interaction studies. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. *23*, 76-87.

Gutmann, T., Schäfer, I., Poojari, C., Brankatschk, B., Vattulainen, I., Strauss, M., and Coskun, Ü. (2019). Cryo-EM structure of the complete and ligand-saturated insulin receptor ectodomain. bioRxiv, 679233.

Gutmann, T., Schäfer, I.B., Poojari, C., Brankatschk, B., Vattulainen, I., Strauss, M., and Coskun, Ü. (2020). Cryo-EM structure of the complete and ligand-saturated insulin receptor ectodomain. J. Cell Biol. *219*, e201907210.

Henderson, S.T., Brierley, G.V., Surinya, K.H., Priebe, I.K., Catcheside, D.E., Wallace, J.C., Forbes, B.E., and Cosgrove, L.J. (2015). Delineation of the IGF-II C domain elements involved in binding and activation of the IR-A, IR-B and IGF-IR. Growth Horm. IGF Res. *25*, 20-27.

Hexnerová, R., Křížková, K., Fábry, M., Sieglová, I., Kedrová, K., Collinsová, M., Ullrichová, P., Srb, P., Williams, C., Crump, M.P., *et al.* (2016). Probing Receptor Specificity by Sampling the Conformational Space of the Insulin-like Growth Factor II C-domain. J. Biol. Chem. *291*, 21234-21245.

Holly, J.M.P., Biernacka, K., and Perks, C.M. (2019). The Neglected Insulin: IGF-II, a Metabolic Regulator with Implications for Diabetes, Obesity, and Cancer. Cells *8*, 1207.

Hoogenboom, H.R., Griffiths, A.D., Johnson, K.S., Chiswell, D.J., Hudson, P., and Winter, G. (1991). Multi-subunit proteins on the surface of filamentous phage: methodologies for displaying antibody (Fab) heavy and light chains. Nucleic Acids Res. *19*, 4133-4137.

Hoyne, P.A., Cosgrove, L.J., McKern, N.M., Bentley, J.D., Ivancic, N., Elleman, T.C., and Ward, C.W. (2000). High affinity insulin binding by soluble insulin receptor extracellular domain fused to a leucine zipper. FEBS Lett. *479*, 15-18.

Kavran, J.M., McCabe, J.M., Byrne, P.O., Connacher, M.K., Wang, Z., Ramek, A., Sarabipour, S., Shan, Y., Shaw, D.E., Hristova, K., *et al.* (2014). How IGF-1 activates its receptor. Elife *3*, e03772.

King, R., Wells, J.R., Krieg, P., Snoswell, M., Brazier, J., Bagley, C.J., Wallace, J.C., Ballard, F.J., Ross, M., and Francis, G.L. (1992). Production and characterization of recombinant insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) and potent analogues of IGF-I, with Gly or Arg substituted for Glu3, following their expression in Escherichia coli as fusion proteins. J. Mol. Endocrinol. *8*, 29-41.

Kühlbrandt, W. (2014). Biochemistry. The resolution revolution. Science 343, 1443-1444.

Kurtzhals, P., Schäffer, L., Sørensen, A., Kristensen, C., Jonassen, I., Schmid, C., and Trüb, T. (2000). Correlations of receptor binding and metabolic and mitogenic potencies of insulin analogs designed for clinical use. Diabetes *49*, 999-1005.

Laviola, L., Natalicchio, A., and Giorgino, F. (2007). The IGF-I signaling pathway. Curr. Pharm. Des. 13, 663-669.

Lemmon, M.A., and Schlessinger, J. (2010). Cell Signaling by Receptor Tyrosine Kinases. Cell *141*, 1117-1134.

Li, J., Choi, E., Yu, H., and Bai, X.C. (2019). Structural basis of the activation of type 1 insulinlike growth factor receptor. Nat. Commun. *10*, 4567.

Macháčková, K., Mlčochová, K., Potalitsyn, P., Hanková, K., Socha, O., Buděšínský, M., Muždalo, A., Lepšík, M., Černeková, M., Radosavljević, J., *et al.* (2019). Mutations at hypothetical binding site 2 in insulin and insulin-like growth factors 1 and 2 result in receptor- and hormone-specific responses. J. Biol. Chem. *294*, 17371-17382.

Markussen, J., Halstrøm, J., Wiberg, F.C., and Schäffer, L. (1991). Immobilized insulin for high capacity affinity chromatography of insulin receptors. J. Biol. Chem. *266*, 18814-18818.

McKern, N.M., Lawrence, M.C., Streltsov, V.A., Lou, M.Z., Adams, T.E., Lovrecz, G.O., Elleman, T.C., Richards, K.M., Bentley, J.D., Pilling, P.A., *et al.* (2006). Structure of the insulin receptor ectodomain reveals a folded-over conformation. Nature *443*, 218-221.

McKern, N.M., Lou, M., Frenkel, M.J., Verkuylen, A., Bentley, J.D., Lovrecz, G.O., Ivancic, N., Elleman, T.C., Garrett, T.P., Cosgrove, L.J., and Ward, C.W. (1997). Crystallization of the first three domains of the human insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor. Protein Sci. *6*, 2663-2666.

Nakane, T., Kimanius, D., Lindahl, E., and Scheres, S.H. (2018). Characterisation of molecular motions in cryo-EM single-particle data by multi-body refinement in RELION. Elife 7, e36861.

O'Shea, E.K., Klemm, J.D., Kim, P.S., and Alber, T. (1991). X-ray structure of the GCN4 leucine zipper, a two-stranded, parallel coiled coil. Science *254*, 539-544.

Pandini, G., Frasca, F., Mineo, R., Sciacca, L., Vigneri, R., and Belfiore, A. (2002). Insulin/insulinlike growth factor I hybrid receptors have different biological characteristics depending on the insulin receptor isoform involved. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 39684-39695.

Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Couch, G.S., Greenblatt, D.M., Meng, E.C., and Ferrin, T.E. (2004). UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J. Comput. Chem. *25*, 1605-1612.

Pietrzkowski, Z., Lammers, R., Carpenter, G., Soderquist, A.M., Limardo, M., Phillips, P.D., Ullrich, A., and Baserga, R. (1992). Constitutive expression of insulin-like growth factor 1 and insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor abrogates all requirements for exogenous growth factors. Cell Growth Differ *3*, 199-205.

Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J.L., Fleet, D.J., and Brubaker, M.A. (2017). cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. Nat. Methods *14*, 290-296.

Riedemann, J., and Macaulay, V.M. (2006). IGF1R signalling and its inhibition. Endocr. Relat. Cancer *13 Suppl 1*, S33-43.

Scapin, G., Dandey, V.P., Zhang, Z., Prosise, W., Hruza, A., Kelly, T., Mayhood, T., Strickland, C., Potter, C.S., and Carragher, B. (2018). Structure of the insulin receptor–insulin complex by single-particle cryo-EM analysis. Nature *556*, 122-125.

Schwartz, G.P., Burke, G.T., and Katsoyannis, P.G. (1987). A superactive insulin: [B10-aspartic acid]insulin(human). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *84*, 6408-6411.

Slieker, L.J., Brooke, G.S., DiMarchi, R.D., Flora, D.B., Green, L.K., Hoffmann, J.A., Long, H.B., Fan, L., Shields, J.E., Sundell, K.L., *et al.* (1997). Modifications in the B10 and B26-30 regions of the B chain of human insulin alter affinity for the human IGF-I receptor more than for the insulin receptor. Diabetologia *40 Suppl 2*, S54-61.

Smith, B.J., Huang, K., Kong, G., Chan, S.J., Nakagawa, S., Menting, J.G., Hu, S.-Q., Whittaker, J., Steiner, D.F., Katsoyannis, P.G., *et al.* (2010). Structural resolution of a tandem hormonebinding element in the insulin receptor and its implications for design of peptide agonists. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *107*, 6771-6776.

Soos, M.A., Field, C.E., Lammers, R., Ullrich, A., Zhang, B., Roth, R.A., Andersen, A.S., Kjeldsen, T., and Siddle, K. (1992). A panel of monoclonal antibodies for the type I insulin-like growth factor receptor. Epitope mapping, effects on ligand binding, and biological activity. J. Biol. Chem. *267*, 12955-12963.

Surinya, K.H., Forbes, B.E., Occhiodoro, F., Booker, G.W., Francis, G.L., Siddle, K., Wallace, J.C., and Cosgrove, L.J. (2008). An investigation of the ligand binding properties and negative cooperativity of soluble insulin-like growth factor receptors. J. Biol. Chem. *283*, 5355-5363.

Tao, Y., Pinzi, V., Bourhis, J., and Deutsch, E. (2007). Mechanisms of Disease: signaling of the insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor pathway-therapeutic perspectives in cancer. Nat. Clin. Pract. Oncol. *4*, 591-602.

Torres, A.M., Forbes, B.E., Aplin, S.E., Wallace, J.C., Francis, G.L., and Norton, R.S. (1995). Solution structure of human insulin-like growth factor II. Relationship to receptor and binding protein interactions. J. Mol. Biol. *248*, 385-401.

Uchikawa, E., Choi, E., Shang, G., Yu, H., and Bai, X.-c. (2019). Activation mechanism of the insulin receptor revealed by cryo-EM structure of the fully liganded receptor-ligand complex. eLife *8*, e48630.

Ullrich, A., Gray, A., Tam, A.W., Yang-Feng, T., Tsubokawa, M., Collins, C., Henzel, W., Le Bon, T., Kathuria, S., Chen, E., *et al.* (1986). Insulin-like growth factor I receptor primary structure: comparison with insulin receptor suggests structural determinants that define functional specificity. EMBO J. *5*, 2503-2512.

Weis, F., Menting, J.G., Margetts, M.B., Chan, S.J., Xu, Y., Tennagels, N., Wohlfart, P., Langer, T., Müller, C.W., Dreyer, M.K., and Lawrence, M.C. (2018). The signalling conformation of the insulin receptor ectodomain. Nature Communications *9*, 4420.

Whitten, A.E., Smith, B.J., Menting, J.G., Margetts, M.B., McKern, N.M., Lovrecz, G.O., Adams, T.E., Richards, K., Bentley, J.D., Trewhella, J., *et al.* (2009). Solution Structure of Ectodomains of the Insulin Receptor Family: The Ectodomain of the Type 1 Insulin-Like Growth Factor Receptor Displays Asymmetry of Ligand Binding Accompanied by Limited Conformational Change. J. Mol. Biol. *394*, 878-892.

Williams, C.J., Headd, J.J., Moriarty, N.W., Prisant, M.G., Videau, L.L., Deis, L.N., Verma, V., Keedy, D.A., Hintze, B.J., Chen, V.B., *et al.* (2018). MolProbity: More and better reference data for improved all-atom structure validation. Protein Sci. *27*, 293-315.

Wisniewski, J.R., Zougman, A., and Mann, M. (2009). Combination of FASP and StageTip-based fractionation allows in-depth analysis of the hippocampal membrane proteome. J. Proteome Res. *8*, 5674-5678.

Xu, Y., Kong, G.K.W., Menting, J.G., Margetts, M.B., Delaine, C.A., Jenkin, L.M., Kiselyov, V.V., De Meyts, P., Forbes, B.E., and Lawrence, M.C. (2018). How ligand binds to the type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor. Nat. Commun. *9*, 821.





**FIGURE 1** 







# FIGURE 4

















FIGURE 6





FIGURE 8

## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE                                    | SOURCE                                      | IDENTIFIER            |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| Antibodies   |   |                       |
| Mouse monoclonal antibody (anti-human IGF-1R)          | (Soos et al., 1992)                         | 24-60                 |
| Mouse monoclonal antibody (anti-human IGF-1R)          | (Soos et al., 1992)                         | 24-31                 |
| Mouse monoclonal antibody 9E10 (anti-c-myc)            | CSIRO Laboratories,<br>Parkville, Australia | ATCC CRL1729          |
| IRDye 800CW Goat anti-mouse IgG                        | Millennium Science,<br>Australia            | Cat# 926-32210        |
| Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins          |   |                       |
| 9E10 c-myc peptide, sequence EQKLISEEDL (>75%          | Genscript                                   | N/A                   |
| purity)  |   |                       |
| Receptor grade IGF-II                                  | GroPep                                      | Cat# FM001            |
| IGF-I  | (King et al., 1992)                         | N/A                   |
|  | (Francis et al., 1993)                      | N/A                   |
|  | (Denley et al., 2004)                       | N/A                   |
| Eu-IGF-II  | (Denley et al., 2004)                       |                       |
| Fetal calt serum                                       | Scientifix                                  | Cat# FBSFR-<br>62147A |
| G418   | ThermoFisher<br>Scientific                  | Cat# 10131035         |
| Eu-N1-ITC chelate                                      | Perkin Elmer                                | Cat# 1244-302         |
| Fetal Bovine Serum, dialyzed                           | ThermoFisher<br>Scientific                  | Cat# 30067344         |
| X-tremeGENE 9 transfection agent                       | Roche                                       | Cat# 06 365 787 001   |
| DMEM with Glucose, without L-Glutamine                 | Lonza                                       | Cat# 12-614F          |
| GS Supplement  | Merck                                       | Cat# GSS-1016-C       |
| Valproic acid  | Sigma-Aldrich / Merck                       | Cat# P4543            |
| Trypsin Gold   | Promega                                     | Cat# V5280            |
| Critical Commercial Assays                             |   |                       |
| DELFIA Eu-labeling kit                                 | PerkinElmer                                 | Cat# 1244-302         |
| DELFIA Enhancement Solution                            | Perkin Elmer                                | Cat# 1244-104         |
| Deposited Data   |   |                       |
| Gene sequence of Homo sapiens IGF-1R                   | Genome Reference<br>Consortium              | UniProt: P08069       |
| Gene sequence of Saccharomyces cerevisiae GCN4         | Saccharomyces<br>Genome Database            | UniProt: P03069       |
| CryoEM structure of IGF-I-bound holo IGF-1R            | (Li et al., 2019)                           | PDB: 6PYH             |
| Crystal structure of GCN4 leucine zipper               | (O'Shea et al., 1991)                       | PDB: 2ZTA             |
| Crystal structure of apo IGF-1R ectodomain             | (Xu et al., 2018)                           | PDB: 5U8R             |
| Crystal structure of IGF-I-bound IGF-1R ectodomain     | (Xu et al., 2018)                           | PDB: 5U8Q             |
| Model: IGF-II-bound IGF-1Rzip, head region, closed-leg | This study                                  | PDB: 6VWI             |
| Model: IGF-II-bound IGF-1Rzip, leg region, open-leg    | This study                                  | PDB: 6VWH             |
| Model: IGF-II-bound IGF-1Rzip, leg region, closed-leg  | This study                                  | PDB: 6VWJ             |
| Map: IGF-II-bound IGF-1Rzip, head region, open-leg     | This study                                  | EMD-21417             |
| Map: IGF-II-bound IGF-1Rzip, head region, closed-leg   | This study                                  | EMD-21415             |
| Map: IGF-II-bound IGF-1Rzip, leg region, open-leg      | This study                                  | EMD-21416             |
| Map: IGF-II-bound IGF-1Rzip, leg region, closed-leg    | This study                                  | EMD-21418             |

| Experimental Models: Cell Lines                             |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| BALB/c3T3 cells overexpressing IGF-IR (sex: unknown)        | (Pietrzkowski et al.,<br>1992)  | P6  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) K1 cells (sex: female)          | ATCĆ  | ATCC CCL-61   |  |  |  |  |
| Recombinant DNA   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| IGF-1Rzip, custom synthesis                                 | Genscript   | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
| pEE14 vector  | Lonza   | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
| Software and Algorithms                                     |   | •   |  |  |  |  |
| RELION v3.0.5   | (Nakane et al., 2018)   | https://www3.mrc-   |  |  |  |  |
| CryoSPARC v2.11   | (Punjani et al., 2017)  | https://cryosparc.co  |  |  |  |  |
| ISOLDE v1.03b   | (Croll, 2018)   | https://isolde.cimr.ca<br>m.ac.uk/                            |  |  |  |  |
| Phenix v1.16-3549-000                                       | (Afonine et al., 2018)  | https://www.phenix-<br>online.org/                            |  |  |  |  |
| Coot v0.8.9.1   | (Emsley et al., 2010)   | https://www2.mrc-<br>lmb.cam.ac.uk/perso<br>nal/pemslev/coot/ |  |  |  |  |
| Chimera v1.11.2   | (Pettersen et al., 2004)  | https://www.cgl.ucsf.<br>edu/chimera/                         |  |  |  |  |
| ChimeraX v0.91  | (Goddard et al., 2018)  | https://www.cgl.ucsf.<br>edu/chimerax/                        |  |  |  |  |
| Graphpad Prism v8.0.2                                       | Graphpad Software   | https://www.graphpa<br>d.com/scientific-<br>software/prism/   |  |  |  |  |
| MaxQuant V1.6.7.0   | (Cox and Mann, 2008)  | https://www.maxqua<br>nt.org/                                 |  |  |  |  |
| StavroX V3.6.6.5  | (Götze et al., 2012)  | http://www.stavrox.c<br>om/                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Exactive V2.1 build 1502                                    | ThermoFisher<br>Scientific  | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
| APL to MGF converter software                               | https://www.wehi.edu.<br>au/people/andrew-web<br>b/1298/apl-mgf-conver<br>ter | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
| Xcalibur 3.0  | ThermoFisher<br>Scientific  | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
| Other   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Mini-Leak low divinylsulphone-activated resin               | Kem-en-Tec  | Cat# 1011 H   |  |  |  |  |
| Sepharose CL-4B resin                                       | GE Healthcare<br>Lifesciences   | Cat# 17015001   |  |  |  |  |
| Sephadex-G75  | GE Healthcare /<br>Cytiva   | Cat# 17005001   |  |  |  |  |
| Pellicon 3 0.11 m <sup>2</sup> 10 kDa Ultracel concentrator | Merck-Millipore   | Cat# P3C010C01  |  |  |  |  |
| Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL                             | GE Healthcare<br>Lifesciences   | Cat# 28990944   |  |  |  |  |
| 0.5 mL 10 kDa Amicon Ultra concentrator                     | Sigma-Aldrich   | Cat# UFC501008  |  |  |  |  |
| UltrAuFoil R1.2/1.3 300-mesh grids                          | Quantifoil  | N/A   |  |  |  |  |
| Pelco easiGlow  | Ted Pella   | Cat# 91000S-230   |  |  |  |  |
| Vitrobot mark IV  | ThermoFisher<br>Scientific  | N/A   |  |  |  |  |

| Aurora packed emitter column | IonOpticks | Cat# AUR2- |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|
|                              |            | 25075C18A  |



FIGURE S1. Purification and characterization of IGF-1Rzip. Related to STAR methods sections 'Purification of IGF-1Rzip' and 'Receptor competition binding assays'. (A) First size-exclusion chromatograph showing a major peak corresponding to the tetrameric form of IGF-1Rzip with a peak shoulder representing the dimeric form of IGF-1Rzip. (B) Second size-exclusion chromatograph obtained from the  $(\alpha\beta)_2$  shoulder fractions of (A) showing enhance separation of the dimeric and tetrameric form of IGF-1Rzip. (C) Final size-exclusion chromatograph obtained from the  $(\alpha\beta)_2$  shoulder fractions of (B) showing further separation of the dimeric and tetrameric form of IGF-1Rzip; fractions highlighted in cyan indicate final pooled fractions of predominantly dimeric protein used for cryoEM analysis in complex with IGF-II. (D) Coomassie-stained non-reducing SDS-PAGE gel of the pooled dimeric fractions and pooled tetrameric fractions of IGF-1Rzip from (B) obtained under non-reducing conditions, showing a high level of product purity and indicating that the tetrameric form of the protein product is a non-disulfide-linked dimer of disulfide-linked monomers. The lanes to the left of the "Markers" and right of the "Tetramer" lanes are blank; further lanes cropped beyond these are unrelated to this study. (E) Labelled-IGF-I displacement assay comparing IGF-I affinity for IGF-1Rzip (n=9) and for holo IGF-1R (n=9; single individual measurement omitted as aberrant). (F) Labelled-IGF-II displacement assay comparing IGF-II affinity for IGF-1Rzip (n=9; four individual measurements omitted as aberrant) and for holo IGF-1R (n=6). For (E) and (F): data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SEM and, where not visible, error bars are smaller than the marker size.



FIGURE S2. Map<sup>HO</sup> ( $B_{sharp}$ = 47.1 Å<sup>2</sup>) and associated atomic model. Related to Figure 4. (A)  $\alpha$ CT' residues Tyr695' to Val701'. (B) Domain L1 residues Tyr28 to Ser35. (C) IGF-II B-domain helix residues Val10 to Gly19. (D) IGF-I A-domain residues Asp52 to Tyr59. (E) Domain L2 residues Ser389 to Leu393. (F) Domain L2' residues Ser389' to Leu393'. (G) Domain F1' residues Ser569 to Tyr573. Surface contours are drawn at a common level of 0.7 units and restricted to lie with 2.5 Å of the associated model segment.



FIGURE S3. Map<sup>LO</sup> ( $B_{sharp}$ = 104.7 Å<sup>2</sup>) and associated atomic model. Related to Figure 4. (A)  $\alpha$ CT residues 680-698. (B) Domain L1', residues 1-150. (C) Domain FnIII-2', residues 585-622 + 720-800. (D) Domain FnIII-2, residues 585-622 + 720-800. Surface contours are drawn at a common level of 0.5 units and restricted to lie with 3.0 Å of the associated model segment.



FIGURE S4. Map<sup>HC</sup> ( $B_{sharp}$ = 47.7 Å<sup>2</sup>) and associated atomic model. Related to Figure 4. (A)  $\alpha$ CT' residues Tyr695' to Val701'. (B) Domain L1 residues Tyr28 to Ser35. (C) IGF-II B-domain helix residues Val10 to Gly19. (D) IGF-I A-domain residues Asp52 to Tyr59. (E) Domain L2 residues Ser389 to Leu393. (F) Domain L2' residues Ser389' to Leu393'. (G) Domain F1' residues Ser569 to Tyr573. Surface contours are drawn at a common level of 0.7 units and restricted to lie with 2.5 Å of the associated model segment.



FIGURE S5. Map<sup>LC</sup> ( $B_{sharp}$ = 66.4 Å<sup>2</sup>) and associated atomic model. Related to Figure 4. (A)  $\alpha$ CT residues 680-698. (B) Domain L1', residues 1-150. (C) Domain FnIII-2', residues 585-622 + 720-800. (D) Domain FnIII-2, residues 585-622 + 720-800. (E) Domain FnIII-3', residues 585-622 + 720-800. (F) Domain FnIII-3, residues 585-622 + 720-800. Surface contours in panels (A-D) are drawn at a common level of 0.5 units and in panels (E,F) at a common level of 0.33 units. All contours restricted to lie with 3.0 Å of the associated model segment.



-20.0 0% rel. intensity 100% 500.0 100.0 FIGURE S6. Mass spectroscopic identification of peptidic fragments of the IGF-1R

800.0

700.0

200.0 300.0

20.0 0.0 -

ectodomain construct IGF-1RΔβ. Related to STAR Methods section 'Identification of disulfide links using mass spectrometry (MS)'. (A) Cys662-Cys662'. (B) Cys633-Cys849 (equivalently, Cys633'-Cys849').

• .

| PDB  | Conformation | Region | Мар               | Receptor* |           | IGF-II |
|------|--------------|--------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|
|      |              |        |                   | αβ        | (αβ)'     |        |
| 6VWG | Open-leg     | Head   | Мар <sup>но</sup> | 1-155     | 299'-511' | 5-32   |
|      |              |        | ·                 | 161-257   | 518'-578' | 37-62  |
|      |              |        |                   | 266-293   | 673'-705' |        |
|      |              |        |                   | 299-457   |           |        |
|      |              |        |                   |           |           |        |
| 6VWH | Open-leg     | Legs   | Map <sup>LO</sup> | 577-633   | 1'-37'    | -      |
|      |              |        |                   | 682-704   | 41'-154'  |        |
|      |              |        |                   | 744-799   | 162'-300' |        |
|      |              |        |                   |           | 577'-633' |        |
|      |              |        |                   |           | 744'-799' |        |
| 6VWI | Closed-lea   | Head   | Map <sup>HC</sup> | 1-155     | 299'-511' | 5-32   |
|      |              |        |                   | 161-257   | 518'-578' | 37-62  |
|      |              |        |                   | 266-293   | 673'-705' |        |
|      |              |        |                   | 299-457   |           |        |
|      |              |        |                   |           |           |        |
| 6VWJ | Closed-leg   | Legs   | Map <sup>LC</sup> | 580-642   | 1'-37'    | -      |
|      |              |        |                   | 682-704   | 41'-154'  |        |
|      |              |        |                   | 744-897   | 162'-300' |        |
|      |              |        |                   |           | 580'-642' |        |
|      |              |        |                   |           | 744'-897' |        |
|      |              |        |                   |           |           |        |

# TABLE S1. Residues included in the open- and closed-leg structures of IGF-1Rzip.IGF-II. Related to Figure 4.

\* The  $\alpha\beta$  monomer of IGF-1Rzip is defined as that which contributes domain L1 to the IGF-II binding site; the ( $\alpha\beta$ )' monomer is that whose domain L1 is ligand-free within this structure.