



Abdullah, S., Lait, P., Helps, C., Newbury, H., & Wall, R. (2020). The prevalence of *Rickettsia felis* DNA in fleas collected from cats and dogs in the UK. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 282, [109143].
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2020.109143>

Peer reviewed version

License (if available):
CC BY-NC-ND

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1016/j.vetpar.2020.109143](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2020.109143)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Elsevier at <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304401720301230>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

The prevalence of *Rickettsia felis* DNA in fleas collected from cats and dogs in the UK

^{1,4}Swaid Abdullah, ²Phillipa Lait, ²Chris Helps, ³Hannah Newbury, ^{1*}Richard Wall

¹*Veterinary Parasitology and Ecology Group, School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK*

²*Molecular Diagnostic Unit, Langford Vets, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK*

³*MSD Animal Health, Walton Manor, Walton, Milton Keynes, UK*

⁴*School of Veterinary Science, University of Queensland, Australia*

ABSTRACT

In a large-scale survey in the UK, recruited veterinary practices were asked to inspect client-owned cats and dogs, selected at random between April and June 2018, following a standardised flea inspection protocol. A total of 326 veterinary practices participated and 812 cats and 662 dogs were examined during the 3-month period. Fleas were collected, identified to species level and fleas of the same species collected from a single animal were pooled together and treated as a single sample. A total of 470 pooled flea samples were screened by PCR and DNA sequence analysis for a subset of *Rickettsia* species including *R. felis* and *R. typhi*. On analysis, 27 (5.7%) of the pooled flea samples were positive for *R. felis* DNA; these were predominantly in the cat flea, *Ctenocephalides felis*, but one dog flea, *Ctenocephalides canis* was also positive for this pathogen.

Key words: Emerging Disease, PCR, Pet, Siphonaptera, Surveillance, Vector, Zoonosis.

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: richard.wall@bristol.ac.uk

32 1. Introduction

33 *Rickettsia felis* is an emerging bacterial pathogen and the aetiological agent responsible for
34 flea-borne spotted fever (also known as cat flea typhus), which affects a range of vertebrates,
35 including humans (Parola, 2011). It is now found worldwide in association with its primary
36 vector and reservoir, the cat flea *Ctenocephalides felis*. Knowledge of *R. felis* remains relatively
37 limited, particularly in relation to its epidemiology (Brown & Macaluso, 2016). Infection is
38 transferred between flea life cycle stages transtadially and maintained within flea populations by
39 transovarial transmission, although horizontal amplification within an infected host may be
40 required for long-term maintenance in co-feeding flea populations. *Rickettsia felis* has been
41 detected in a wide range of arthropods, including other flea species, ticks, mites and mosquitoes,
42 although the role of these as vectors is unclear (Reif and Macaluso, 2009). In some regions, there
43 is evidence of a high prevalence of *R. felis* in dogs, which might indicate a role of the canine host
44 as a reservoir (Hii et al., 2013; Horta et al., 2014). A serological survey of 286 healthy cats in
45 central Italy found 23 (8.04%) and 18 (6.29%) cats positive for *R. felis* and *R. conorii*, respectively
46 (Morganti et al., 2019). Reports from Germany and Spain, where dog owners were suffering
47 from flea-borne spotted fever, showed that their dogs tested positive for the pathogen even
48 though the animals were broadly asymptomatic (Richter et al. 2002; Oteo et al. 2006). Further, a
49 study on seroprevalence of *R. felis* in dogs in Spain indicated that 51.1% were seropositive for
50 this pathogen (Nogueras et al., 2009).

51 Although cats are thought to be the primary reservoir for *R. felis* (Higgins et al. 1996,
52 Gerhold et al., 2013), infection with *R. felis* causes little clinical disease (Barrs et al., 2010). A
53 small percentage of infected cats may show clinical signs such as fever, although this is rare;
54 immune-mediated thrombocytopenia, a disorder of red blood cells resulting in a low platelet
55 count, may also be associated with infection (Wedincamp and Foil, 2000). Feline rickettsial
56 infection in Europe, North and South America, Africa, Australia and Asia has been detected in
57 serological studies (Case et al., 2006, Bayliss et al., 2009). However, no *R. felis* was found in
58 studies conducted in the United States (Bayliss et al., 2009) and Canada (Kamrani et al., 2008)
59 using *gltA* and/or *ompB* gene amplification in high-risk groups of cats.

60 Given the indiscriminate feeding habits of cat fleas (Azad et al., 1992), the zoonotic risk
61 from *R. felis* may be high, especially where companion animals live in close contact with humans.
62 As a result, it has been proposed that *R. felis* should be classified as an emerging global threat to
63 human health (Yazid Abdad et al., 2011). In humans, infection results in a serious debilitating
64 illness, with high fever, local lymphadenopathy, headaches, neurological signs, myalgia, and often

65 a maculopapular rash (Pérez-Osorio et al., 2008; Nilsson et al., 2014). Usefully, domestic pets can
66 act as sentinels for such vector-borne zoonoses (Richter et al., 2002; Oteo et al., 2006). The
67 current study examined the prevalence of *R. felis* in fleas collected in a randomised sample from
68 cats and dogs in the UK to help quantify the risk of flea-borne *R. felis* infection in companion
69 animals and humans in shared spaces.

70

71 **2. Methods**

72 *2.1 Flea samples*

73 The flea samples used in the current study were collected from both cats and dogs by
74 veterinary surgeons throughout the UK as part of a national surveillance study; sampling details
75 have been published previously (Abdullah et al., 2019). Enrolled veterinary practitioners selected
76 5 cats and 5 dogs per week at random for four weeks and undertook a standardised flea
77 inspection using a dampened comb. At the end of the grooming process, the entire comb was
78 placed in a plastic sample bag, sealed and sent by standard post to the University of Bristol where
79 they were stored at $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. Veterinarians were asked to complete a case history for each animal
80 regardless of whether or not fleas were found. Identification of fleas was performed with the use
81 of light microscopy and taxonomic keys (Whitaker, 2007).

82 After identification, fleas were transferred into individual micro-tubes and all the fleas of
83 the same species collected from a single animal were pooled. DNA was extracted from each
84 pooled flea sample using a high-throughput system, DNeasy 96 Blood & Tissue Kit
85 (QIAGEN®, Manchester, UK). The flea samples were crushed using micro-pestles in their
86 respective tubes and thoroughly mixed in 180 μl Buffer ATL and 20 μl proteinase K by
87 vortexing. The samples were briefly centrifuged (2900 $\times g$ for 120 s) and incubated overnight at
88 $56\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to ensure complete tissue lysis. After overnight incubation, the lysates were briefly
89 centrifuged (2900 $\times g$ for 120 s) and the liquid from each tube was transferred to an individual
90 well of a 96 deep-well plate, leaving behind the flea exoskeleton. Further extraction steps were
91 carried out as per the manufacturer's guidelines.

92 Flea DNA in the extracted samples was detected with conventional PCR that amplified a
93 1200 base pair (bp) region of the flea 18S rRNA gene. A master mix was made as follows: 5 μl of
94 2 x GoTaq Hot Start Mastermix (Promega, UK), 0.2 μl of 10 μM each forward (18S-F)/reverse
95 (18S-R) primes and 2.8 μl water. A high-throughput automated pipetting system (epMotion
96 P5073, Eppendorf, Stevenage, UK) was used to add 2 μl of flea DNA to 8 μl of master mix in
97 96 well PCR plates Flea DNA and water were used as positive and negative controls,

98 respectively. The thermal cycling protocol consisted of an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min,
99 followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 20 s, 56 °C for 20 s and 72 °C for 90 s in a thermal cycler
100 (Biorad T100 thermal cycler, Biorad, Watford, UK). Amplified DNA was subjected to
101 electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel pre-stained with 0.05 µg/ml ethidium bromide and viewed
102 under ultraviolet light. Positive samples were identified as having a defined band of ~1200 bp on
103 the gel.

104

105 2.2 *Rickettsia* spp. quantitative PCRs and DNA sequencing

106 Flea DNA samples were screened for a subset of *Rickettsia* species including *R. felis* and
107 *R. typhi* as primary flea borne *Rickettsia* (Lucas et al., 2017), using real-time PCR primers designed
108 to amplify a 147 bp fragment of the citrate synthase gene (*gltA*). Resulting forward 5'-
109 AGGGTCTTCGTGCATTTCTT-3' and reverse 5'-
110 GAGAGAAAATTATATCCAAATGTTGAT-3' primers (modified from Labruna et al 2004)
111 were combined with a fluorogenic probe 5' 6-FAM-CACTGTGCCATCCAGCCTACGGT-
112 BHQ-1 3'). The PCR comprised 12.5 µL 2 x GoTaq Hot Start Mastermix (Promega, UK), 0.5 µL
113 of 10 uM each forward and reverse primers, 0.25 µL 10uM probe, 1.5 µL 50 nM MgCl₂, 10 µL
114 water and 5 µL DNA. Amplification was performed in a Statagene Mx3005P QPCR system for
115 2 min at 95 °C followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 30 s. Negative control
116 (molecular grade water) and positive control (*R. felis* DNA received from Australian Rickettsial
117 Reference Laboratory, Barwon Health Geelong, VIC 3220, Australia) were included. The assay
118 was optimised by using a series of dilutions of *R. felis* positive controls and estimation of reaction
119 efficiency. PCR products from positives were cleaned up directly using the NucleoSpin Gel and
120 PCR Clean-up kit (Machery-Nagel, Düren, Germany) according to manufacturer's instructions.
121 DNA sequencing was performed by DNA Sequencing and Services (MRC I PPU, School of Life
122 Sciences, University of Dundee, Scotland, www.dnaseq.co.uk) using Applied Biosystems Big-Dye
123 Ver 3.1 chemistry on an applied Biosystems model 3730 automated capillary DNA sequencer.
124 Similarity to published sequences was determined using BLAST (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>)
125 hosted by the National Centre for Biotechnology Information.

126

127 3. Results and Discussion

128 A total of 326 veterinary practices from across the UK participated in the survey between
129 April and June 2018, and a total of 1,627 animals were examined. For 1,475 of these animals a

130 wholly, or at least partially, completed case history was submitted and consisted of 812 cats, 662
131 dogs and one animal of unspecified species. No case history details were submitted for 152
132 samples. The flea infestation rate was high for both cats (28.1% \pm 3.1%) and dogs
133 (14.4% \pm 2.7%), and the results from this survey have been described and discussed in detail by
134 Abdullah et al. (2019).

135 A total of 470 pooled flea samples collected from 94 dogs, 227 cats and 149 with no host
136 record, were analysed for the presence of two flea borne *Rickettsia* spp. Of these 470 samples,
137 429 were cat flea samples (*C. felis felis*), 9 dog flea samples (*C. canis*), 6 hedgehog flea samples
138 (*Archaeopsylla erinacei*), 19 rabbit flea samples (*Spilopsyllus cuniculi*) and 7 chicken flea samples
139 (*Ceratophyllus* spp.). On PCR analysis, a total of 38 flea samples were positive for *Rickettsia* species
140 DNA, and on sequencing 27 of these positive samples were found to be *R. felis* (19 had a full
141 case history and 8 had no case history) as presented in Table 1, giving a prevalence of 5.7% for
142 *R. felis*. Previous studies of the prevalence of *R. felis* in the UK also reported similar infection
143 rates of 6% to 12% (Kenny et al. 2003, whereas Shaw et al. 2004 reported a much higher
144 prevalence of 21%. However, both these studies were relatively localised and focussed their
145 sampling across southern parts of the UK and Northern Ireland.

146 Of the remaining 11 flea samples that were positive by PCR assay, the analysis for
147 sequence similarity using BLAST indicated 4 of the sequences match closely (98.8-100%) with *R.*
148 *asembonensis*; 3 of these samples were from hedgehog fleas (*Archaeopsylla erinacei*) and one was
149 from a cat flea. One sample was from a dog and one was from a cat, the other two had no
150 records of the host species. *R. asembonensis* belongs to a group of *R. felis*-like organisms (RFLOs),
151 which are closely related to *R. felis* (Jiang et al., 2013); to distinguish *R. asembonensis* from *R. felis*,
152 further sequence analysis of additional genes such as *ompA*, *ompB* or *sca4* would be desirable
153 (Maina et al., 2016). This species is ubiquitous and has been reported from multiple
154 ectoparasites (Oteo et al., 2014; Maina et al., 2016), but its pathogenic significance remains
155 unknown. Among the remaining 7 PCR positive samples, two were found to carry the DNA of
156 an unknown *Rickettsia* sp. and the remaining 5 samples did not produce a sequence that could be
157 analysed.

158 In addition to cat fleas, *R. felis* DNA was also detected in one *C. canis* (dog flea),
159 indicating that other flea species may also act as vectors of this pathogen, and other animal
160 species may be potential reservoirs of infection. Similar findings were reported from Germany by
161 Gilles et al. (2008), where they found that *A. erinacei* (hedgehog flea) carried *R. felis*, suggesting the
162 hedgehog as a potential reservoir of infection. However, while the detection of pathogen DNA

163 in fleas may indicate concurrent infection of the host and the vector, since no blood samples
164 were collected from the hosts in this study, the prevalence of *R. felis* infection in the host cannot
165 be determined.

166 *Rickettsia felis* is an important emerging zoonosis worldwide (Parola et al., 2005; Pérez-
167 Osorio et al., 2008; Teoh et al., 2017). In this study, a *R. felis* infection prevalence of 5.7% was
168 detected in fleas collected from cats and dogs in the UK. Even though the prevalence of this
169 pathogen in fleas may seem relatively low in comparison to some studies in central Europe, fleas
170 are frequent feeders (Cadiergues et al., 2000) and their numbers can increase quickly under
171 favourable conditions (Silverman et al. 1981), which can rapidly increase the risk of flea bites and
172 the transmission of this pathogen. *Rickettsia felis* appears to be widely distributed within the UK,
173 infecting a geographically dispersed population of cat fleas. In humans, infection causes
174 symptoms that are similar to those of murine typhus and other febrile illnesses such as dengue,
175 with fever and myalgia (Pérez-Osorio et al., 2008). Clinicians coming across patients with fever
176 and/or rash should consider a differential diagnosis of *R. felis*, particularly if the patient is known
177 to have been exposed to flea bites. Hence, the effective year-round flea control of fleas on pets
178 and in the environment is important, both to reduce the direct effects of flea feeding and the risk
179 of pathogen transmission.

180

181 **Acknowledgements**

182 We would like to thank Amanda Melvin and Daniel Guerrero of MSD Animal Health for
183 their help and support throughout the flea collection process, and Megan Barstow, Anna Koi
184 and Marina Metaxaki for laboratory assistance. We are thankful to Dr John Stenos and Dear
185 Mythili (Australian Rickettsial Reference Laboratory, Barwon Health, Geelong VIC 3220,
186 Australia) for providing us *R. felis* DNA. We are grateful to MSD Animal Health for financial
187 support.

188

189 **References**

190 Abdullah, S., Helps, C., Tasker, S., Newbury, H., Wall, R. 2019. Pathogens in fleas collected from
191 cats and dogs: distribution and prevalence in the UK. *Parasites Vectors* 12, 8.
192 <http://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3326-x>

- 193 Azad, A.F., Sacci, J.B., Nelson, W.M., Dasch, G.A., Schmidtman, E. T., Carl, M. 1992. Genetic
194 characterization and transovarial transmission of a typhus-like *Rickettsia* found in cat fleas.
195 PNAS. 89, 43-46.
- 196 Barrs, V. R., Beatty, J. A., Wilson, B. J., Evans, N., Gowan, R., Baral, R. M., Lingard, A.E.,
197 Perkovic, G., Hawley, J.R., Lappin, M.R. 2010. Prevalence of *Bartonella* species, *Rickettsia*
198 *felis*, *haemoplasmas* and the *Ehrlichia* group in the blood of cats and fleas in eastern Australia.
199 Aust. Vet. J. 88, 160-165.
- 200 Bayliss, D. B., Morris, A. K., Horta, M. C., Labruna, M. B., Radecki, S. V., Hawley, J.R., Brewer,
201 M.M., Lappin, M. R. 2009. Prevalence of *Rickettsia* species antibodies and *Rickettsia* species
202 DNA in the blood of cats with and without fever. J. Feline Med. Surg. 11, 266-270.
- 203 Brown, L.D., Macaluso, K.R. 2016. *Rickettsia felis*, an emerging flea-borne rickettsiosis. Curr.
204 Trop. Med. Rep. 3, 27-39.
- 205 Cadiergues, M. C., Hourcq, P., Cantaloube, B., Franc, M. 2000. First bloodmeal of *Ctenocephalides*
206 *felis felis* (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae) on cats: time to initiation and duration of feeding. J. Med.
207 Entomol. 37, 634-636.
- 208 Higgins, J. A., Radulovic, S., Schriefer, M. E., Azad, A.F. (1996). *Rickettsia felis*: a new species of
209 pathogenic rickettsia isolated from cat fleas. J. Clin. Microbiol. 34, 671-674.
- 210 Hii, S. F., Abdad, M. Y., Kopp, S. R., Stenos, J., Rees, R.L., Traub, R. J. 2013. Seroprevalence
211 and risk factors for *Rickettsia felis* exposure in dogs from Southeast Queensland and the
212 Northern Territory, Australia. Parasites Vectors 6, 159. [https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-](https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-6-159)
213 [3305-6-159](https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-6-159).
- 214 Gerhold, R. W., Jessup, D. A. 2013. Zoonotic diseases associated with free-roaming cats.
215 Zoonoses Public Hlth. 60, 189-195.
- 216 Gilles J, Thomas F, Hellmann JK, Silaghi C, Pradel I, Friche Passos LM, Lengauer H, Pfister K.
217 2008. *Rickettsia felis* in fleas, Germany. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 14, 1294–1296.
- 218 Horta, M. C., Ogrzewalska, M., Azevedo, M. C., Costa, F. B., Ferreira, F., Labruna, M. B. 2014.
219 *Rickettsia felis* in *Ctenocephalides felis felis* from five geographic regions of Brazil. Am. J. Trop.
220 Med. Hyg. 91, 96-100.
- 221 Jiang, J., Maina, A. N., Knobel, D. L., Cleaveland, S., Laudisoit, A., Wamburu, K., Ogola, E.,
222 Parola, P., Breiman, R.F., Kariuki Njenga, M., Richards, A.L. 2013. Molecular detection of

- 223 *Rickettsia felis* and *Candidatus Rickettsia asemboensis* in fleas from human habitats, Asembo,
224 Kenya. Vector-Borne Zoonot. 13, 550-558.
- 225 Kamrani, A., Parreira, V.R., Greenwood, J., Prescott, J.F. 2008. The prevalence of *Bartonella*,
226 hemoplasma, and *Rickettsia felis* infections in domestic cats and in cat fleas in Ontario. Can.
227 J. Vet. Res. 72, 411-419.
- 228 Kenny, M. J., Birtles, R. J., Day, M. J., Shaw, S. E. 2003. *Rickettsia felis* in the United Kingdom.
229 Emerg. Infect. Dis. 9, 1023–1024.
- 230 Blanton, L. S., Walker, D. H. 2017. Flea-borne rickettsioses and rickettsiae. Am. J. Trop. Med.
231 Hyg. 96, 53-56.
- 232 Maina, A. N., Luce-Fedrow, A., Omulo, S., Hang, J., Chan, T. C., Ade, F., Jima, D.D. Ogola, E.,
233 Ge, H., Breiman, R.F., Njenga, M.K. 2016. Isolation and characterization of a novel
234 *Rickettsia* species (*Rickettsia asemboensis* sp. nov.) obtained from cat fleas (*Ctenocephalides*
235 *felis*). Int. J. Syst. Evol. Micr. 66, 4512– 4517.
- 236 Morganti, G., Veronesi, F., Stefanetti, V., Di Muccio, T., Fiorentino, E., Diaferia, M., Santoro,
237 A., Passamonti, F., Gramiccia, M. 2019. Emerging feline vector-borne pathogens in Italy.
238 Parasites Vectors 12, 193. <http://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3409-8>
- 239 Nilsson, K., Wallmenius, K., Hartwig, S., Norlander, T., Pålsson, C. 2014. Bell's palsy and
240 sudden deafness associated with *R. rickettsia* spp. infection in Sweden. A retrospective and
241 prospective serological survey including PCR findings. Eur. J. Neurol. 21, 206-214.
- 242 Nogueras, M. M., Pons, I., Ortuno, A., Lario, S., & Segura, F. 2011. *Rickettsia felis* in fleas from
243 Catalonia (Northeast Spain). Vector-Borne Zoonot. 11, 479-483.
- 244 Oteo, J. A., Portillo, A., Portero, F., Zavala-Castro, J., Venzal, J. M., Labruna, M.B. 2014.
245 *Candidatus Rickettsia asemboensis* and *Wolbachia* spp. in *Ctenocephalides felis* and *Pulex irritans*
246 fleas removed from dogs in Ecuador. Parasites Vectors 7, 455.
247 <http://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-014-0455-0>
- 248 Oteo, J. A., Portillo, A., Santibáñez, S., Blanco, J. R., Pérez-Martínez, L., Ibarra, V. 2006. Cluster
249 of cases of human *Rickettsia felis* infection from Southern Europe (Spain) diagnosed by
250 PCR. J. Clin. Microbiol. 44, 2669-2671.
- 251 Parola, P., Davoust, B., Raoult, D. (2005). Tick-and flea-borne rickettsial emerging zoonoses.
252 Vet. Res. 36, 469-492.

253 Parola, P. 2011. *Rickettsia felis*: from a rare disease in the USA to a common cause of fever in sub-
254 Saharan Africa. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 17, 996-1000.

255 Pérez-Osorio, C. E., Zavala-Velázquez, J. E., León, J. J. A., Zavala-Castro, J. E. 2008. *Rickettsia*
256 *felis* as emergent global threat for humans. Emerging Infect. Dis. 14, 1019–1023.

257 Reif, K. E., & Macaluso, K. R. 2009. Ecology of *Rickettsia felis*: a review. J. Med. Entomol. 46,
258 723-736.

259 Richter, J., Fournier, P. E., Petridou, J., Häussinger, D., Raoult, D. 2002. *Rickettsia felis* infection
260 acquired in Europe and documented by polymerase chain reaction. Emerging Infect. Dis.
261 8, 207-208.

262 Shaw, S. E., Kenny, M. J., Tasker, S., Birtles, R. J. 2004. Pathogen carriage by the cat flea
263 *Ctenocephalides felis* (Bouché) in the United Kingdom. Vet. Microbiol. 102, 183-188.

264 Silverman, J., Rust, M. K., Reiersen, D. A. 1981. Influence of temperature and humidity on
265 survival and development of the cat flea, *Ctenocephalides felis* (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae). J.
266 Med. Entomol. 18, 78-83.

267 Teoh, Y.T., Hii, S.F., Stevenson, M.A., Graves, S., Rees, R., Stenos, J., Traub, R.J. 2017.
268 Serological evidence of exposure to *Rickettsia felis* and *Rickettsia typhi* in Australian
269 veterinarians. Parasites Vectors 10, 129. <http://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2075-y>

270 Whitaker, A.P. Siphonaptera. Handbooks for the Identification of British Insects. The Natural
271 History Museum, London. 2007; 1: 1-178.

272 Wedincamp, J. J., Foil, L. D. 2000. Infection and seroconversion of cats exposed to cat fleas
273 (*Ctenocephalides felis* Bouche) infected with *Rickettsia felis*. J. Vector Ecol. 25, 123-126.

274 Yazid Abdad, M., Stenos, J., Graves, S. 2011. *Rickettsia felis*, an emerging flea-transmitted human
275 pathogen. Emerg. Health Threats J. 7168. <http://doi.org/10.3402/ehth.v4i0.7168>
276
277
278
279
280
281

282 Table 1. The number of *Rickettsia felis*, *R. asembonensis* and ‘other’ *Rickettsia* positive samples that could not
 283 be identified to species level, in flea samples of different species collected from cats and dogs (94 dogs,
 284 227 cats and 149 with no host record) during a national survey in the UK.
 285

Rickettsia species	Pet species	Number of Flea samples infected	<i>C. felis</i>	<i>C. canis</i>	Other Flea species
<i>Rickettsia felis</i>	Cat	14	13	1	
	Dog	5	5		
	No case history	8	8		
	Total	27	26	1	
<i>Rickettsia asembonensis</i>	Cat	4	1		3*
	Total	4	1		3*
Unknown <i>Rickettsia</i>	Cat	1			1**
	No case history	1			
	Total	2			1

286 * *Archaeopsylla erinacei*

** *Spilopsyllus cuniculi*