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#### Abstract

In this paper a formal justification of the ancient Chinese method for computing square roots is given. As a result, some already known properties of the square root which is computed with this method are deduced. If any other number base is used, the justification given shows that the method is applied in the same way and that the deduced properties are still being fulfilled, facts that highlight the importance of positional number systems. It also shows how to generalize the method to compute high orders roots. Although with this elementary method you can compute the square root of any real number, with the exact number of decimal places that you want, the mathematicians of ancient China were not able to generalize it for the purpose of computing irrational roots, because they did not know a positional number system. Finally, in order for high school students gain a better understanding of number systems, the examples given in this paper show how they can use the square root calculus with this method to practice elementary operations with positional number systems with different bases, and also to explore some relationships between them.
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## Introduction

The method that for many years was taught in elementary education to extract the square root of a number, was created in ancient China, and was also extended to the case of the extraction of the cube root of a number. The mathematicians of ancient China also found formulas to approximate irrational roots; however, the approximations obtained were far from satisfactory (see [Jos], pp. 219-228; [YS], pp. 93-112). This method was also known by the mathematicians of Islam, who extended it to the case of extraction of square roots of fractions, isolated or joined to units (see [Sai], pp. 76-81). Perhaps the mathematicians of Islam were the ones who made it known in Europe through Spain.

Although for many years the ancient Chinese method for computing square roots was taught in elementary education, only heuristic explanations have been given to justify why it works (see [Wik]). With this method the square root of $m=3589769.743$ is computed as shown in Figure 1:


Figure 1: Square root of 3589769.743.
Thus the square root of $m$, with an error less than $10^{-2}$, is 1894.66 . In order to increase the precision we simply add pairs of zeros to the right of the decimal part as many times as necessary, and continue to apply the method.

In this paper an algebraic proof is given of why the method works. This algebraic proof is not given in the mainstream literature on this subject. As a consequence, it is proved that if the square root is computed with $n$ decimal places, $n \geq 0$, then the error committed is less than $10^{-n}$, from which it is possible to show that all the digits of the computed root match with the corresponding ones of the true root. Thus, given the positive rational number $m$, written in positional notation, with a finite number of decimal places, with this method what is being done is to determine the greatest rational $q$ with $n$ decimal places such that $q^{2} \leq m$.

Additionally, from the given proof it is seen that the method is applied in the same way independently of the number base that is used.

It is also shown how this method and its proof are generalized for the cases of cube roots, fourth roots, etc., although from the cube root the number of arithmetic operations that must be carried out to apply it begins to grow considerably, such that it becomes impractical.

Finally, it is also shown how this method and its proof are generalized for the cases of cube roots, fourth roots, etc., although from the cube root the number of arithmetic operations that must be carried out to apply it begins to grow considerably, such that it becomes impractical.

## 1 Justification of the method

### 1.1 Notation

(a) If $u$ and $v$ are real numbers, the multiplication of $u$ and $v$ will be denoted by $u x v,(u)(v), u(v)$ o (u) $v$.
(b) If $m$ is a positive rational such that

$$
m=m_{1} x 10^{k-1}+\ldots+m_{k-1} x 10+m_{k}+m_{k+1} x 10^{-1}+\ldots+m_{k+s} x 10^{-s}
$$

where $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k+s}$ are no negative integers such that $1 \leq m_{1} \leq 9$ and $0 \leq m_{i} \leq 9, i=$ $2,3, \ldots, k+s, m$ will be denoted by $m=m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{k} . m_{k+1} \ldots m_{k+s}$. For example,

$$
9076.023=9 x 10^{3}+0 x 10^{2}+7 x 10+6+0 x 10^{-1}+2 x 10^{-2}+3 x 10^{-3} .
$$

Here $m_{1}=9, m_{2}=0, m_{3}=7, m_{4}=6, m_{5}=0, m_{6}=2$ and $m_{7}=3$.
(c) For subscripts and exponents, multiplication will be denoted in the usual way.

### 1.2 Heuristic justification

The following developments provide an intuitive justification of the method (see, for example, Joseph 2011, pp. 219-223). The appearing integers $m$ are such that $0<m_{1} \leq 9$ and $0 \leq m_{i} \leq 9, i=2,3,4$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(10 x m_{1}+m_{2}\right)^{2}= & 10^{2} x m_{1}^{2}+2 x 10 x m_{1} x m_{2}+m_{2}^{2} \\
= & 10^{2} x m_{1}^{2}+\left(2 x 10 x m_{1}+m_{2}\right) m_{2} \\
\left(10^{2} x m_{1}+10 x m_{2}+m_{3}\right)^{2}= & \left(10\left(10 x m_{1}+m_{2}\right)+m_{3}\right)^{2} \\
= & 10^{2}\left(10^{2} x m_{1}^{2}+\left(2 x 10 x m_{1}+m_{2}\right) m_{2}\right) \\
& +2 x 10\left(10 x m_{1}+m_{2}\right) m_{3}+m_{3}^{2} \\
= & 10^{4} x m_{1}^{2}+10^{2}\left(\left(2 x 10 x m_{1}+m_{2}\right) m_{2}\right) \\
& +2 x 10\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right) m_{3}+m_{3}^{2} \\
= & 10^{4} x m_{1}^{2}+10^{2}\left(\left(2 x 10 x m_{1}+m_{2}\right) m_{2}\right) \\
& +\left(2 x 10\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)+m_{3}\right) m_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way it is found that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(10^{3} x m_{1}+10^{2} x m_{2}+10 x m_{3}+m_{4}\right)^{2}= & 10^{6} x m_{1}^{2}+10^{4}\left(2 x 10 x m_{1}+m_{2}\right) m_{2} \\
& +10^{2}\left(2 x 10\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)+m_{3}\right) m_{3} \\
& +\left(2 x 10\left(m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}\right)+m_{4}\right) m_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is seen that the square of any positive integer greater or equal to 10 can be written according to the previous scheme, which gives a justification of the method. Although this justification is for positive integers having exacts square root, when applied to any positive integer $m$ the largest $q$ positive integer is obtained such that $q^{2} \leq m$.

### 1.3 Formal justification

(a) Consider first the case of computing the square root of a positive integer of the form $m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{2 k}$, where $1 \leq m_{1} \leq 9$ and $0 \leq m_{i} \leq 9, i=2,3, \ldots, 2 k$. Let $q_{1}$ be the largest integer $n$ such that $n^{2} \leq m_{1} m_{2}$. Then $0<q_{1}<10$ because $0<m_{1} m_{2}<100$. Let

$$
r_{1}=m_{1} m_{2}-q_{1}^{2}
$$

and

$$
q_{2}=\max \left\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid\left(2 x q_{1} x 10+n\right) n \leq r_{1} m_{3} m_{4}\right\}
$$

where max denotes the maximum, $\mathbf{Z}$ denotes the set of integers and $r_{1} m_{3} m_{4}$ is the integer that is formed putting $m_{3} \mathrm{y} m_{4}$, in that order, to the right of the digits of $r_{1}$. The following facts are true:
(i) $\left(q_{1} x 10+q_{2}\right)^{2} \leq m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} m_{4}$, because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(2 x q_{1} x 10+q_{2}\right) q_{2} & \leq r_{1} m_{3} m_{4} \\
& =r_{1} x 10^{2}+m_{3} m_{4} \\
& =\left(m_{1} m_{2}-q_{1}^{2}\right) x 10^{2}+m_{3} m_{4} \\
& =m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} m_{4}-q_{1}^{2} x 10^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) $q_{2}<10$, because if $q_{2} \geq 10$, then

$$
q_{1} q_{2}=q_{1} x 10+q_{2} \geq q_{1} x 10+10=10\left(q_{1}+1\right)
$$

therefore

$$
10^{2}\left(q_{1}+1\right)^{2} \leq\left(q_{1} x 10+q_{2}\right)^{2} \leq m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} m_{4}
$$

hence

$$
\left(q_{1}+1\right)^{2} \leq m_{1} m_{2} \cdot m_{3} m_{4}
$$

but $\left(q_{1}+1\right)^{2}$ is an integer and $0 \leq 0 . m_{3} m_{4}<1$, from which it follows that

$$
\left(q_{1}+1\right)^{2} \leq m_{1} m_{2}
$$

which contradicts the choice of $q_{1}$.
(iii) $q_{1} q_{2}=10 x q_{1}+q_{2}$ it is the largest integer such that $\left(q_{1} q_{2}\right)^{2} \leq m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} m_{4}$, because if $\left(10 x q_{1}+\right.$ $\left.q_{2}+1\right)^{2} \leq m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} m_{4}$, then

$$
\left(10 x q_{1}+q_{2}+1\right)^{2}=10^{2} x q_{1}^{2}+2 x 10 x q_{1}\left(q_{2}+1\right)+\left(q_{2}+1\right)^{2},
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x 10 x q_{1}\left(q_{2}+1\right)+\left(q_{2}+1\right)^{2} & \leq m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} m_{4}-q_{1}^{2} x 10^{2} \\
& =m_{1} m_{2} x 10^{2}+m_{3} m_{4}-q_{1}^{2} x 10^{2} \\
& =\left(m_{1} m_{2}-q_{1}^{2}\right) 10^{2}+m_{3} m_{4} \\
& =r_{1} x 10^{2}+m_{3} m_{4} \\
& =r_{1} m_{3} m_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is to say,

$$
\left(2 x 10 x q_{1}+\left(q_{2}+1\right)\right)\left(q_{2}+1\right) \leq r_{1} m_{3} m_{4},
$$

which contradicts the choice of $q_{2}$.
Now, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{2} & =r_{1} m_{3} m_{4}-\left(2 x 10 x q_{1}+q_{2}\right) q_{2} \\
& =r_{1} x 10^{2}+m_{3} m_{4}-2 x 10 x q_{1} x q_{2}-q_{2}^{2} \\
& =\left(m_{1} m_{2}-q_{1}^{2}\right) 10^{2}+m_{3} m_{4}-2 x 10 x q_{1} q_{2}-q_{1}^{2} \\
& =m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} m_{4}-\left(10 x q_{1}+q_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} m_{4}-\left(q_{1} q_{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
q_{3}=\max \left\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid\left(2\left(q_{1} q_{2}\right) 10+n\right) n \leq r_{2} m_{5} m_{6}\right\} .
$$

For $q_{3}$ are obtained similar facts to those who were in (i), (ii) and (iii) for $q_{2}$. Continue in this way until finally get $q_{k}$, where the $q_{i}$ are such that $0<q_{1}<10,0 \leq q_{i}<10$, for $i=2,3, \ldots k$, and $q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{i}$ is the biggest integer such that

$$
\left(q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{i}\right)^{2} \leq m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{2 i-1} m_{2 i} .
$$

(b) To compute the square root of $m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{2 k} m_{2 k+1}$ it proceeds analogously, but starting with $m_{1}$, then it continues with $m_{2}$ and $m_{3}$, and so until finish with $m_{2 k}$ and $m_{2 k+1}$.
(c) In order to compute the square root of $m=m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{2 k} \cdot d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{2 s}$, where the integers $m_{i}$ and $d_{j}$ are such that $0<m_{1} \leq 9,0 \leq m_{i} \leq 9$, for $i=2, \ldots 2 k$ and $0 \leq d_{j} \leq 9$, for $j=1, \ldots, 2 s$, let $q=q_{1} \ldots q_{k} q_{k+1} \ldots q_{k+s}$ be the square root of $m x 10^{2 s}$ computed by the ancient Chinese method. Then

$$
q^{2} \leq m x 10^{2 s}<(q+1)^{2}
$$

from where

$$
q x 10^{-s} \leq \sqrt{m}<q x 10^{-s}+10^{-s}
$$

such that

$$
0 \leq \sqrt{m}-q x 10^{-s}<10^{-s} .
$$

So,

$$
q x 10^{-s}=q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{k} \cdot q_{k+1} \ldots q_{k+s}
$$

is the square root of $m$ with an error less than $10^{-s}$.
Remark 1.1. As a result of what has been shown, the following facts are true when the square root is computed by the ancient Chinese method.

1. If the square root with $n$ decimal places is computed, the committed error is less than $10^{-n}$.
2. Although it is tedious to do so, it can be shown that every one digit computed of the square root match with the corresponding of the exact square root.
3. The method is applied in the same way when other number base is used.

## 2 Generalization of the method

In this section is shown how to generalize the method only for the case of the cube root (see [Jos], pp. 224-227), from which it is clear how to generalize it to higher-order roots.

Let $m_{1}, m_{2}$ and $m_{3}$ be non negative integers such that $1 \leq m_{1} \leq 9$ and $0 \leq m_{2}, m_{3} \leq 9$. Then

$$
\left(10 x m_{1}+m_{2}\right)^{3}=10^{3} x m_{1}^{3}+\left(3 x 10^{2} x m_{1}^{2}+3 x 10 x m_{1} x m_{2}+m_{2}^{2}\right) m_{2},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}\right)^{3}= & \left(10^{2} x m_{1}+10 x m_{2}+m_{3}\right)^{3} \\
= & \left(10\left(10 x m_{1}+m_{2}\right)+m_{3}\right)^{3} \\
= & 10^{6} x m_{1}^{3}+10^{3}\left(3 x 10^{2} x m_{1}^{2}+3 x 10 x m_{1} x m_{2}+m_{2}^{2}\right) m_{2} \\
& +3 x 10^{2}\left(10 x m_{1}+m_{2}\right)^{2} m_{3}+3 x 10\left(10 x m_{1}+m_{2}\right) m_{3}^{2}+m_{3}^{3} \\
= & 10^{6} x m_{1}^{3}+10^{3}\left(3 x 10^{2} x m_{1}^{2}+3 x 10 x m_{1} x m_{2}+m_{2}^{2}\right) m_{2} \\
& +\left(3 x 10^{2}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)^{2}+3 x 10\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right) m_{3}+m_{3}^{2}\right) m_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is seen that the cube of any positive integer greater or equal to 10 can be written following the previous scheme, and gives the algorithm to compute the cube root of a positive integer $m=m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} \ldots m_{3 k}$ :

1. Separate digits of $m$ in threes, from right to left.
2. Let $q_{1}$ be the largest integer $n$ such that $n^{3} \leq m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}$.
3. If $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{s}, s<k$, are digits already computed of the cube root, let $q=q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{s}$ and $r_{s}=$ $m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} \ldots m_{3 s-2} m_{3 s-1} m_{3 s}-q^{3}$. Then the next digit of the cube root, $q_{s+1}$, is

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{s+1}= & \max \left\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid\left(3 x 10^{2} x q^{2}+3 x 10 x q x n+n^{2}\right) n\right. \\
& \left.\leq r_{s} m_{3 s+1} m_{3 s+2} m_{3(s+1)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Continue until $s=k$ or compute the cube root with the wanted number of decimal places.

The formal justification of the method to compute cube roots is similar to that given to compute square roots. Also are obtained the same facts seen in the remark 1.1.

## 3 Examples

### 3.1 Example 1

Through the algorithm described above, the cube root of 47698.75987 is computed:
(a) Digits are separated into three from the decimal point, rightward and leftward, so that the separation is as $47,698.759,870$. Here $m_{1}=4, m_{2}=7, m_{3}=6, m_{4}=9, m_{5}=8, m_{6}=7, m_{7}=5, m_{8}=9, m_{9}=$ $8, m_{10}=7$ and $m_{11}=0$
(b) The largest integer $n$ such that $n^{3} \leq 47$ is 3 , so that $q_{1}=3$ and $r_{1}=47-27=20$.
(c) $r_{1} m_{3} m_{4} m_{5}=20698$,

$$
6=\max \left\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid\left(3 x 10^{2} x 3^{2}+3 x 10 x 3 x n+n^{2}\right) n \leq 20698\right\},
$$

which means $q_{2}=6$, and

$$
r_{2}=20698-\left(3 x 3^{2} x 10^{2}+3 x 3 x 10 x 6+6^{2}\right) 6=20698-3276 x 6=1042 .
$$

(d) $r_{2} m_{6} m_{7} m_{8}=1042759$,

$$
2=\max \left\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid\left(3 x 10^{2} x(36)^{2}+3 x 10 x 36 x n+n^{2}\right) n \leq 1042759\right\}
$$

that is $q_{3}=2$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{3} & =1042759-\left(3 x(36)^{2} x 10^{2}+3 x 36 x 10 x 2+2^{2}\right) 2 \\
& =1042759-390964 x 2 \\
& =260831 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(e) $r_{3} m_{9} m_{10} m_{11}=260831870$,

$$
6=\max \left\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid\left(3 x 10^{2} x(362)^{2}+3 x 10 x 362 x n+n^{2}\right) n \leq 260831870\right\}
$$

that is $q_{4}=6$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{4} & =260831870-\left(3 x(362)^{2} x 10^{2}+3 x 362 x 10 x 6+6^{2}\right) 6 \\
& =260831870-39378396 x 6 \\
& =24561494
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the cube root of 47698.75987 is $\left(q_{1} q_{2} q_{3} q_{4}\right) x 10^{-2}=36.26$ with an error less than $10^{-2}$.
The previous procedure is shown in Figure 2.

| $\sqrt[3]{47698.75987}$ | 36.26 |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\frac{27}{20698}$ | $\frac{3276}{390964}$ |
| $-\frac{19656}{1042759}$ | 39378396 |
| $-\frac{781928}{260831870}$ |  |
| $-\frac{236270376}{24561494}$ |  |

Figure 2: Cube root of 47698.75987 .


Figure 3: Square root of 2 in decimal system.

### 3.2 Example 2

In this example the square root of 2 is computed in the decimal, binary and quaternary number systems.
(a) Decimal system: From Figure 3 it is seen that the square root of 2 with six decimal places is 1.414213 , with an error less than $10^{-6}$.
(b) Binary system: Figure 4 shows the procedure for computing the square root of 2 with thirteen "binary places". With an error less than $10^{-1101}$, the square root of 2 in the binary system is 1.0110101000001 . So, in decimal system the square root of 2 is

$$
1+\frac{1}{2^{2}}+\frac{1}{2^{3}}+\frac{1}{2^{5}}+\frac{1}{2^{7}}+\frac{1}{2^{13}}=1.41418457
$$

with an error less than $2^{-13}=0.00012207$.
(c) Quaternary system: From Figure 5 it is seen that with seven "quaternary places" the square root of 2 is 1.1222002 , with an error less than $10^{-13}$. Then, in decimal system the square root of 2 is

$$
1+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{2}{4^{2}}+\frac{2}{4^{3}}+\frac{2}{4^{4}}+\frac{2}{4^{7}}=1.41418457
$$

with an error less than $4^{-7}=0.0000610352$.

### 3.3 Example 3

In this example the cube root of 2 is computed, also in the decimal, binary and quaternary systems.
(a) Decimal system: Figure 6 shows that the cube root of 2, with four decimal places, is 1.2599 . The error is less than $10^{-4}=0.0001$.
(b) Binary system: Figure 7 shows that the cube root of 2 with seven "binary places" is 1.0100001 , with an error less than $10^{-111}$. In decimal system the cube root of 2 is

$$
1+\frac{1}{2^{2}}+\frac{1}{2^{7}}=1.2599
$$

with an error less than $2^{-7}=0.0078125$.


Figure 4: Square root of 2 in binary system.

| 2 | 1.1222002 |
| :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 21 |
| 100 | 222 |
| - 21 | 2302 |
| 01300 | 23102 |
| - 1110 | 231100 |
| 013000 | 2311000 |
| - 11210 | 23110002 |
| 0113000 |  |
| - 112210 |  |
| 00013000 |  |
| - 0 |  |
| 1300000 |  |
| - 0 |  |
| 130000000 |  |
| - $\underline{112220010}$ |  |
| 011113330 |  |

Figure 5: Square root of 2 in quaternary system.


Figure 6: Cube root of 2 in decimal system.


Figure 7: Cube root of 2 in binary system.

| 2 | 1.10022 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{1}$ | 331 |
| 1000 | 102300 |
| - 331 | 10230000 |
| 0003000 | 1023202010 |
| 0 | 103001023310 |
| 3000000 |  |
| - 0 |  |
| 3000000000 |  |
| - 2113010020 |  |
| 0220323320000 |  |
| - 212002103220 |  |
| 002321210120 |  |

Figure 8: Cube root of 2 in quaternary system.
(c) Quaternary system: From Figure 8 we have that with five "quaternary places" the cube root of 2 is 1.10022 , with an error less than $10^{-11}$. Therefore, in decimal system the cube root of 2 is

$$
1+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{2}{4^{4}}+\frac{2}{4^{5}}=1.25976563
$$

with an error less than $4^{-5}=0.00097656$.
Remark 3.1. From examples 3 (b) and 3 (c), the cube root of 2 with nine "binary places" is 1.010000101 in binary system, with an error of less than $10^{-1001}$. Hence, in decimal system the cube root of 2 is

$$
1+\frac{1}{2^{2}}+\frac{1}{2^{7}}+\frac{1}{2^{9}}=1.25976563
$$

Remark 3.2. From the examples 2 (b), 2 (c), 3 (b) and 3 (c) an interesting relation between the binary and quaternary number systems is observed. That is, we can see how we can pass from the binary system to the quaternary system and, reciprocally, from the quaternary system to the binary system.

## 4 Conclusions

When the square root is computed by the ancient Chinese method we have the following advantages:

1. Every one digit computed of the square root match with the corresponding of the exact square root.
2. If the square root with $n$ decimal places is computed, then the error committed is less than $10^{-n}$.
3. The procedure is applied in the same manner, regardless of the number base used.

However, although the method can be generalized to compute roots of order $n$ greater than two, the procedure is impractical to implement because it is based on the expansion of a binomial power of order $n,(a+b)^{n}$.
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