University of Montana ScholarWorks at University of Montana

University of Montana Conference on Undergraduate Research (UMCUR)

Comparison of Electrochemical and Spectrophotometric pH for Freshwater Analysis

Samantha C. King sk132801@umconnect.umt.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umt.edu/umcur Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

King, Samantha C., "Comparison of Electrochemical and Spectrophotometric pH for Freshwater Analysis" (2020). *University of Montana Conference on Undergraduate Research (UMCUR)*. 5. https://scholarworks.umt.edu/umcur/2020/physicalsciences_poster/5

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks at University of Montana. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Montana Conference on Undergraduate Research (UMCUR) by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at University of Montana. For more information, please contact scholarworks@mso.umt.edu.



Comparison of Electrochemical and Spectrophotometric pH for Freshwater Analysis

ABSTRACT

Electrochemical and spectrophotometric pH of the upper Clark Fork River were studied to assess the data quality of both methods. There have been very few comparisons between spectrophotometric pH and electrochemical pH. This comparison is important because pH electrodes remain the primary method for measuring freshwater pH but the data quality is always questionable. Using the spectrophotometric method for measuring pH could potentially improve pH data quality significantly. This is because spectrophotometric pH relies on absorbance measurements that are very reproducible whereas glass electrode measurements have various sources of inaccuracy that are difficult to quantify. Water samples were collected from August 30, 2017 to January 17, 2020 along the Clark Fork River near Anaconda down to Missoula. A total of 326 electrochemical and spectrophotometric samples have been analyzed. Our preliminary analysis shows that the electrochemical pH is higher than the spectrophotometric pH and that the spectrophotometric method is more precise. The standard deviation for the electrochemical method is ± 0.18 pH units and the standard deviation for the spectrophotometric method is ± 0.11 pH units. The next step in this study is to devise experiments to determine the sources of these offsets.



Figure 1. An aerial map of the UCFR with sampling locations outlined. Sampling sites begin at Warm Springs, MT and end at Missoula, MT. Red stars indicate major tributaries (Right to left: LBR, FC, RC, and BF) along the UCFR. Yellow triangles represent USGS gauge stations and black boxes with white numbers indicate sampling sites. This image was taken and modified from the NSF funded LTREB project focused on the UCFR (H.M. Valett, PI).

METHODS

Electrochemical: Measurements were taken in the field at each sampling sight using a pH electrode (YSI 2030 multi-meter). Temperature was also measured using a temperature probe.

Spectrophotometric: Samples were brought back to the lab and measurements were carried out on a benchtop spectrophotometer (Cary 300, Varian) with a 10 cm path length, capped, glass cuvette. The solution temperature was controlled by a water-jacketed cell holder and the actual temperature of the solution was measured via a high accuracy temperature probe (Eutechnics, 15-060-381, Fischer Scientific).

References

Abril, G., S. Bouillon, F. Darchambeau, C.R. Teodoru, T.R. Marwick, F. Tamooh, F. Ochieng Omengo, N. Geeraert, L. Deirmendjian, P. Polsenaere, and A.V. Borges (2015), Technical Note: Large overestimation of pCO₂ calculated from pH and alkalinity in acidic, organic-rich freshwaters, Biogeosciences, 12(1), 67-78, doi:10.5194/bg-12-67-2015. Butman, D. and P.A. Raymond (2011), Significant efflux of carbon dioxide from streams and rivers in the United States, Nature Geoscience, 4(12), 839-842, doi:10.1038/ngeo1294. Hunt, C.W., J.E. Salisbury, and D. Vandemark (2011), Contribution of non-carbonate anions to total alkalinity and overestimation of pCO₂ in New England and New Brunswick rivers, Biogeosciences, 8(10), 3069-3076, doi:10.5194/bg-8-3069-2011.

Samantha C. King, Fischer L. Young and Michael D. DeGrandpre

RESULTS





Figure 4. The average of the two pH methods over time. The red line represents electrochemical pH measurements and the red line represents spectrophotometric pH measurements. The error bars illustrate the variation among the 13 sampling locations during a given month.

DISCUSSION

According to Figure 2 and Figure 4, the electrochemical method recorded a higher pH value than the spectrophotometric method for the same samples. Most of the data falls above the 1:1 line which shows the disparity in values between the two methods. Additionally, Figure 3 reveals that there is a larger range in differences at cooler temperatures than at warmer temperatures. This suggests variance and inaccuracies in the electrochemical method due to temperature since all spectrophotometric measurements are measured at the same temperature. YSI pH measurements are common measurements for field applications. However, this study highlights that this method may not always produce the highest quality pH measurement. This may be of interest for studies that use pH measurements for further calculations (i.e., calculating the partial pressure of CO_2) to ensure that their calculations are as accurate and precise as possible (Abril et al. 2015; Butman and Raymond, 2011; and Hunt et al. 2011).

Acknowledgements

A special thank you to the Valett Ecosystem Ecology lab for collecting the electrochemical pH data for this ongoing project. Also, thank you to NSF LTREB and NSF EPSCoR for funding this research.



- Temperature (°C) methods against measurement temperature. The line of best fit (black) helps to show the decrease in the pH difference with increasing temperature. 7/1/2019 1/1/2020