Technical Report No. 73 THE USE OF SHEEP WOOL

IN NEST CONSTRUCTION BY HAWAIIAN BIRDS

Charles van Riper III

Department of Zoology University of Hawaii Honolulu, Hawaii 96822

ISLAND ECOSYSTEMS IRP

U. S. International Biological Program

September 1975

# ABSTRACT

The utilization of sheep wool as a nesting material was examined from 1969 through 1975 on the island of Hawaii. Of the 10 bird species studied, **six** incorporated wool into their nests. Both introduced and endemic birds use wool, with a significantly greater usage by endemic birds.

Use of wool in nest construction appears correlated with the intricacy of the nest that a species builds, with a significant difference between degree of usage in complex and simple nests. Roughly built nests, like those of the Cardinal (<u>Cardinalis cardinalis</u>), contained no wool whereas the complex nest of the Elepaio (<u>Chasiempis sandwichensis</u>) had a great deal of wool. Wool is apparently used by the birds because it is a readily available material in certain areas, and because of its binding quality. The wool is gathered from tufts that snag on branches as the sheep pass or from dried skins on the ground. The amount of wool utilized in each nest varies both interspecifically and intraspecifically, but in all nests only the body of the nest contained wool, the lining always being of other materials.

A separate study was conducted to determine if wool is used only when available nearby or **is** a sought-after material. Only the Elepaio was found to consistently travel distances to procure wool, whereas the other species studied used **it** only when available within their territories,

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| A B S T R A C T   | i           |
|-------------------|-------------|
| LISTOFTABLES      | . <b>11</b> |
| LISTOFFIGURES     | i i i       |
| INTRODUCTION      | 1           |
| METHODS           | 1           |
| RESULTS           | 3           |
| DISCUSSION        | . 6         |
| SUMMARY           | 10          |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. | <b>1</b> 0  |
| LITERATURECITED.  | 11          |

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE

FIGURE

.

.

| 1 | The amount of sheep wool used in nest construction where sheep were present and absent on the island of Hawaii | 4 |
|---|--|---|
| 2 | Comparison of wool incorporation into nests from inside and outside an exclosure at Puu Laau, Hawaii           | 5 |
| 3 | The data of introduction of bird species to Hawaii and the type of nest they build                             | 7 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| 1 | A map of feral sheep distribution on the island of Hawaii  | 2 |
|---|--|---|
| 2 | An Elepaio ( <u>Chasiempis sandwichensis</u> ) nest containing<br>sheep wool from Puu Laau, Hawaii | 9 |

# Page

Page

Page

# INTRODUCTION

Sheep were first introduced to the Hawaiian Islands by Captain James Colnett in April of 1791, when he left a ewe and ram on Kauai (Wyllie 1850). Captain Vancouver (1798) was responsible for introducing sheep to the island of Hawaii. On 14 February 1793 he landed a ram, two ewes, and a ewe lamb at Kawaihae, Hawaii, and then proceeded to Kealakekua Bay where he landed another ram and two ewes. There is little record of the dispersion of sheep over Hawaii; however, Judd (1936) stated that the Reverend Goodrich saw eight or 10 dead sheep near the summit of Mauna Kea in 1822. This suggests that feral sheep had become established on Mauna Kea within 29 years of their introduction to the island. Bishop (1852) estimated that 3,000 wild sheep were roaming the island by 1851. Today sheep are distributed over the island of Hawaii in the higher more arid regions, with the herd on Mauna Kea estimated at 1,800 (Fig. 1).

While working in the forests of Hawaii I have noticed that, in areas of feral sheep habitation, many bird nests contain sheep wool, whereas in regions where sheep are absent this material is lacking in the nests. On the continental United States many authors (e.g. Bent 1968, Bailey 1928) cite the use of sheep wool by birds for nesting material, but I can find no reference to this in Hawaiian literature.

## METHODS

To compare the extent to which wool was used by different species, **I** collected nests from 1969 through 1975 on the island of Hawaii and recorded the amount of wool in each. The density of sheep in each locality was also noted. The greatest number of nests was collected on the southwestern slope of Mauna Kea, where many of the feral sheep on Hawaii reside.

To ascertain if sheep wool **is** a sought-after nesting material, a study was initiated in 1970 at Puu Laau, on the southwestern slope of Mauna Kea. Nests were examined from two adjacent areas: one with sheep present and the other with them absent. In 1950 the Hawaii State Division of Fish and Game constructed a 300-acre holding pen for Mouflon sheep at approximately 7,500 feet elevation. In 1967 all sheep were removed from the pen, and **it** has since acted as an exclosure. There is no wool available to birds that nest within the exclosure; if wool **is** used in constructing nests, the birds must fly outside the fenced area



FIG. 1. A map of feral sheep distribution on the island of Hawaii.

to collect it.

#### RESULTS

Four of the 10 species of birds studied on Hawaii were not found to incorporate sheep wool in nest construction when it was available (Table 1). The Skylark (<u>Alauda arvensis</u>) is a ground-nesting bird, digging a shallow depression and lining it with grasses; therefore, this species has little use for wool as a nest material. The Melodious Laughing-thrush (<u>Garrulax canorus</u>), Ricebird (<u>Lonchura punctulata</u>), and Cardinal (<u>Cardinalis cardinalis</u>) all build nests of coarse grasses or twigs and were found not to utilize sheep wool.

The Red-billed Leiothrix (Leiothrix lutea), Japanese White-eye (Zosterops japonica), and House Finch (Carpodacus mexicanus) infrequently use wool in nest construction. The Japanese White-eye is not a common resident of the high altitude dry forests of Hawaii; therefore, I found very few nests. Guest (1974) noted the adaptability of this bird and the numerous types of nesting material it employed. The Red-billed Leiothrix builds a semipendant nest usually woven around two branches, with large leaves or bark strips used to form the bowl. None of the nests I found on Mauna Kea contained wool, but one nest in the Puu Lehua area of Mauna Loa did have wool incorporated between the large koa (Acacia koa) leaves forming the body. Of the 95 House Finch nests I have found, only three contained wool. The lack of sheep wool in House Finch nests on Mauna Kea has been discussed by van Riper (in press).

I found three species that use **wool** extensively in nest construction. The Palila (<u>Psittirostra bailleui</u>), an endangered species found only on Mauna Kea, had wool in 22.2 percent of its nests. The Amakihi (<u>Loxops virens</u>), one of the two most abundant endemic birds, incorporated wool into 33.3 percent of its nests. The Elepaio (<u>Chasiempis sandwichensis</u>) showed the greatest usage, employing wool in 60.9 percent of its nests.

The use of wool in nest building varied within species, depending on whether nests were located inside or outside the sheep exclosure at Puu Laau (Table 2). Wool was not present in any Melodious Laughing-thrush, Red-billed Leiothrix, Skylark, Ricebird, or Cardinal nest. Light usage was found in the House Finch and heavy usage again occurred in the Palila, Amakihi, and Elepaio. There was a significant difference in the utilization of wool by endemic birds inside and outside the exclosure  $(\chi_c^2 = 7.92, d. f. = 1, p = < 0.01)$ , but introduced birds

|                              | NESTS<br>SHEEP  | S BUILT<br>WERE F | WHERE<br>PRESENT     | NESIS BUILT WHERE<br>SHEEP WERE ABSENT |              |                      |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|--|--------------|----------------------|
| SPECIES                      | without<br>wool | with<br>wool      | percent<br>with wool | without<br>wool                        | with<br>wool | percent<br>with wool |
| <u>Alauda arvensis</u>       | 13              | 0                 | 0                    | 12                                     | 0            | 0                    |
| Garrulax canorus             | 6               | 0                 | 0                    | 7                                      | 0            | 0                    |
| Leiothrix lutea              | 8               | 1                 | 11.1                 | 11                                     | 0            | 0                    |
| Zosterops japonica           | 4               | 1                 | 20.0                 | 3.5                                    | 0            | 0                    |
| Carpodacus mexicanus         | 46              | 3                 | 6.0                  | 46                                     | 0            | 0                    |
| Lonchura punctulata          | 16              | 0                 | 0                    | 17                                     | 0            | 0                    |
| Cardinalis cardinalis        | 2               | 0                 | 0                    | 10                                     | 0            | 0                    |
| Chasiempis sandwichensis     | 11              | 31                | 73.6                 | 14                                     | 8            | 36.4                 |
| Loxops virens                | 48              | 60                | 55.5                 | 96                                     | 12           | 11.1                 |
| <u>Psittirostra bailleui</u> | 10              | 8                 | 44.4                 | 18                                     | 0            | 0                    |

| TABLE                                       | 1. | The amount of sheep wool used in nest construction where sheep were |  |  |  |  |
|---|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| present and absent on the island of Hawaii. |    |   |  |  |  |  |

| Species                       | Number<br>inside | Number<br>with<br>wool | Percent<br>with<br>wool | Number<br>outside | Number<br>with<br>wool | Percent<br>with<br>wool |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Alauda arvensis               | 2                | 0                      |                         | 8                 | 0                      |                         |
| Garrulax canorus              | 4                | 0                      |                         | 5                 | 0                      |                         |
| <u>Leiothrix</u> <u>lutea</u> | 10               | 0                      |                         | 6                 | 0                      |                         |
| Carpodacus mexicanus          | 18               | 0                      |                         | 20                | 2                      | 10                      |
| Lonchura punctulata           | 4                | 0                      |                         | 15                | 0                      |                         |
| Cardinalis cardinalis         | 1                | 0                      |                         | 4                 | 0                      |                         |
| Chasiempis sandwichensis      | 11               | 9                      | 82                      | 8                 | 6                      | 75                      |
| Loxops virens                 | 33               | 4                      | 12                      | 26                | 10                     | 38                      |
| <u>Psittirostra bailleui</u>  | 18               | 0                      |                         | 18                | 8                      | 44                      |

ł

**TABLE 2.** Comparison of wool incorporation into nests from inside and outside<br/>an exclosure at Puu Laau, Hawaii.

showed no difference inside and out  $(\chi_c^2 = 0.18, \text{ d.f.} = 1, p = > 0.50)$ .

Sheep wool appears to be a sought-after nesting material in two species, as both the Amakihi and Elepaio will travel outside their territories to procure wool for construction. Of the **33** Amakihi nests found in the 300-acre pen, four (12%) contained wool from the outside. Wool is a preferred nesting material for the Elepaio as 82 percent of the nests I found within the fenced area contained wool. One nest near the center of the exclosure had a large amount of wool, meaning that the bird had to fly at least one--quarter mile to obtain this material.

#### DISCUSSION

The use of wool in nest construction by birds in Hawaii appears to be determined by how intricate a nest they build (Table 3). There were significant differences in the use of wool among those birds that build intricate nests and the four species that build simple nests of only woven grasses or twigs  $(\chi_c^2 = 26.91, \text{ d.f.} = 1, p < .001)$ . The four species that do not utilize wool all build rather simple nests, whereas those birds that exhibit some use of sheep wool all build a slightly more complex structure. The simple semipendant nest of the Red-billed Leiothrix is an example in which large leaves or peeled bark are used to hold the nest together so that there is apparently little need for the binding quality of wool.

Since sheep are such a recent addition to Hawaii, it is interesting to find the three species utilizing wool to the greatest extent are all native. Endemic species use sheep wool with much greater frequency than do exotic species, and there are significant differences in utilization: in the presence  $(\chi_c^2 = 73.38,$ d.f. = 1, p <.001) and absence  $(\chi_c^2 = 15.23, d.f. = 1, p <.001)$  of sheep. The Amakihi and Palila both use approximately the same percentage of wool, and both build statant nests, with the outside composed of smaller woven grasses and **a** separate cup of fine rootlets or lichen (see Berger 1972). Of all the species examined, the Elepaio builds the most complex nest and also exhibits the greatest preference for wool. Conant (1975) found that the Elepaio uses large amounts of spider web to hold the nest together. When wool is available the Elepaio apparently uses this more and spider web less.

There appear to be two main reasons that birds select wool as a nesting material. First, in many areas wool is a readily available commodity. In areas

| Species                         | Date introduced* | Type of nest construction |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Alauda arvensis                 | 1865-1870        | Simple                    |  |  |  |
| Garrulax canorus                | 1900-1918        | Simple - coarse grasses   |  |  |  |
| <u>Leiothrix</u> <u>lutea</u>   | 1918-1929        | Complex                   |  |  |  |
| Zosterops japonica              | 1929             | Complex - intricate       |  |  |  |
| Carpodacus mexicanus            | 1870             | Complex                   |  |  |  |
| Lonchura punctulata             | 1865             | Simple - coarse grasses   |  |  |  |
| <u>Cardinalis cardinalis</u>    | 1929-1931        | Simple – coarse grasses   |  |  |  |
| <u>Chasiempis sandwichensis</u> | Endemic          | Complex - intricate       |  |  |  |
| Loxops <u>virens</u>            | Endemic          | Complex                   |  |  |  |
| <u>Psittirostra bailleui</u>    | Endemic          | Complex                   |  |  |  |
|                                 |                  |                           |  |  |  |

TABLE 3. The date of introduction of bird species to Hawaii and the type of nest they build.

.

that have been heavily grazed, such as tree line on Mauna Kea, I find that a greater percentage of nests contain wool than in areas where ground cover **is** available. Secondly, the lightness and binding quality of wool makes **it** an easily manipulated nesting material.

The wool that **is** used in nest construction **is** gathered from two different sources. **As** the sheep move through the vegetation their wool catches on dried branches, is pulled off in tufts, and birds collect what remains hanging. A second source is dried skins, either left by hunters or from dead animals. **An example** of this usage occurred during April 1974 when I observed a male Elepaio frequenting a sheep head I had placed in a wire fence. The bird would first search for insects, then pick wool from the head and carry **it** off to the nest site.

The amount of wool a species uses in nest construction varies from only a small piece, as in the House Finch (van Riper 1975), to almost the whole nest constructed of wool, as in the Elepaio (Fig. 2). Wool **is** used only in the body of the nest; **I** have never found wool in the lining. The tufts are placed into the exterior and, except by the Elepaio, are not spread or woven around other materials.

If wool **is immediately** available, some birds will use **it**, but only the Elepaio and Amakihi will fly any distance for **it**. Pettingill (1971) found that the Tree Swallow (<u>Tridoprocne bicolor</u>) may sometimes fly several miles to a chicken farm to obtain the much-preferred white feathers, Almost all of the Elepaio nests within the experimental exclosure contained wool, meaning that these birds had to fly some distance to find this material.

Although 12 percent of the Amakihi nests inside the exclosure contained wool, this species will not usually fly great distances to obtain this material. In 1970 on Hualalai, where sheep were present, every nest (12) I found contained wool. Only one-half mile away, in a pasture that excluded sheep, not a single nest had wool. On Mauna Kea in 1974, a color-banded pair of Amakihi had no wool in their first nest, but their second nest had a considerable amount (they had renested 300 feet away above an old sheep skin).

It is interesting to note that within the last 150 years the native bird species of Hawaii have adapted to the use of sheep wool as a nesting material. On the other hand, the newly introduced exotic species have not yet adjusted to incorporating this material into nest construction (see Table 3). Although



utilized in areas of Hawaii, it is apparent that to most bird species wool is not a sought-after nesting material.

#### SUMMARY

From 1969 through 1975 use of sheep wool in nest construction was examined on Hawaii. Both introduced and endemic birds use wool, with greater usage by endemic **birds**. Use of wool is determined by how intricate a nest that species builds, with a difference in usage between complex and simple nests. Roughly built nests contained no wool whereas complex ones had  $\boldsymbol{a}$  lot.

Of the 10 bird species studied, **six** were found to use wool, Wool **is** apparently utilized by birds because **it is** readily available and because of **its** binding quality. Only the body of the nest contains wool. The amount **of** wool used by a species varied with each nest.

A study comparing an area void of sheep and an adjacent one containing sheep was conducted to determine **if wool** *is* a sought-after material, Only the Elepaio was found to consistently travel distances to procure wool, whereas the other species studied used **it** only when available within their territories.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ž

This work was supported by the Frank M. Chapman Memorial Fund, the International Council for Bird Preservation, NSF grant GB 23230, and The World Wildlife Fund. My appreciation to Jon Giffon of the Hawaii Division of Fish and Game for information on feral sheep. J. Michael Scott, Alan Kamil, and Sheila Conant gave comments on the manuscript. I am also indebted to Andrew Berger for his support throughout this project.

# LITERATURE CITED

- Bailey, Florence M. 1928. Birds of New Mexico.
- Bent, Arthur. 1968. Life histories of North American cardinals, grosbeaks, buntings, towhees, finches, sparrow, and allies. U.S. Natl. Mus. Bull. 237.
- Berger, Andrew. 1972. Hawaiian Birdlife. Univ. Press of Hawaii, Honolulu. 270 p.
- Bishop, C. R. 1852. Statistics. Royal Hawaiian Agricultural Society, Trans. 1:91.
- Conant, Sheila. The breeding biology of the Oahu Elepaio (<u>Chasiempis</u> sandwichensis sclateri). In press.
- Guest, Sandra. 1974. A reproductive biology and natural history of the Japanese White-eye (Zosterops japonica japonica) in urban Oahu. M.S. Thesis. Univ. of Hawaii, Honolulu.
- Judd, C. S. 1936. Hawaii's forests winning their battle with wild animals. Honolulu Star-Bulletin, March 21, 3rd Sec., pp. 1, 7.
- Pettingill, O. S., Jr. 1970. Ornithology in Laboratory and Field. 4th ed. Burgess Publ. Co., Minneapolis. 524 p.
- Vancouver, G. 1798. A voyage of discovery to the Pacific Ocean, and round the world. Vols. I and 11. G. G. and J. Robinson, London.
- van Riper, C., 111. The breeding biology of the House Finch (Linnet) in Hawaii. Condor. In press.
- Wyllie, C. 1850. Mr. Wyllie's address. Royal Hawaiian Agricultural Society, Trans. 1:36-49.

## TECHNICAL REPORTS OF THE US/IBP ISLAND ECOSYSTEMS IRE'

(Integrated Research Program)

- \*No. 1 Hawaii Terrestrial Biology Subprogram. First Progress Report and Second-Year Budget. D. Mueller-Dombois, ed. December 1970. 144 p.
- \*No. 2 Island Ecosystems Stability and Evolution Subprogram. Second Progress Report and Third-Year Budget. D. Mueller-Dombois, ed. January 1972. 290 p.
- \*No. 3 The influence of feral goats on koa (<u>Acacia koa</u> Gray) reproduction in Hawaii Volcanoes National Park. G. Spatz and D. Mueller-Dombois. February 1972. 16 p.
- \*No. 4 A non-adapted vegetation interferes with soil water removal in a tropical rain forest area in Hawaii. D. Mueller-Dombois. March 1972. 25 p.
- \*No. 5 Seasonal occurrence and host-lists of Hawaiian Cerambycidae. J. L. Gressitt and **C**. J. Davis. April 1972. 34 p.
- \*No. 6 Seed dispersal methods in Hawaiian <u>Metrosideros</u>. Carolyn Corn. August 1972. 19 p.
- \*No. 7 Ecological studies of <u>Ctenosciara hawaiiensis</u> (Hardy) (Diptera: Sciaridae), W. A. Steffan. August 1972. 7 p.
- \*No. 8 Birds of Hawaii Volcanoes National Park. A. J. Berger. August 1972. 49 p.
- \*No. 9 Bioenergetics of Hawaiian honeycreepers: the Amakihi (Loxops virens) and the Anianiau (L. parva), R. E. MacMillen, August 1972. 14 p.
- \*No. 10 Invasion and recovery of vegetation after a volcanic eruption in Hawaii. G. A. Smathers and D. Mueller-Dombois. September 1972. 172 p.
- \*No. 11 Birds in the Kilauea Forest Reserve, a progress report. A. J. Berger. September 1972. 22 p.
- No. 12 Ecogeographical variations of chromosomal polymorphism in Hawaiian populations of <u>Drosophila immigrans</u>. Y. K. Paik and K. C. Sung. February 1973. 25 p.
- \*No. 13 The influence of feral goats on the lowland vegetation in Hawaii Volcanoes National Park. D. Mueller-Dombois and G. Spatz. October 1972. 46 p.
- \*No. 14 The influence of SO<sub>2</sub> fuming on the vegetation surrounding the Kahe Power Plant on Oahu, Hawaii. D. Mueller-Dombois and G. Spatz. October 1972. 12 p.
- No. 15 Succession patterns after pig digging in grassland communities on Mauna Loa, Hawaii. G. Spatz and D. Mueller-Dombois. November 1972. 44 p.
- No. 16 Ecological studies on Hawaiian lava tubes. F. G. Howarth. December 1972. 20 p.
- No. 17 Some findings on vegetative and sexual reproduction of koa. Günter 0, Spatz. February 1973. 45 p.
- No. 18 Altitudinal ecotypes in Hawaiian <u>Metrosideros</u>. Carolyn Corn and William Hiesey. February 1973. 19 p.
- No. 19 Some aspects of island ecosystems analysis. Dieter Mueller-Dombois. February 1973. 26 p.
- No. 20 Flightless Dolichopodidae (Diptera) in Hawaii. D. Elmo Hardy and Mercedes D. Delfinado. February 1973. 8 p.
- out of print

- No. 21 Third Progress Report and Budget Proposal for FY 74 and FY 75. D. Mueller-Dombois and K. Bridges, eds. March 1973. 153 p.
- No. 22 Supplement 1. The climate of the IBP sites on Mauna Loa, Hawaii. Kent W. Bridges and G. Virginia Carey. April 1973. 141 p.
- No. 23 The bioecology of <u>Psylla uncatoides</u> in the Hawaii Volcanoes National Park and the <u>Acacia koaia</u> Sanctuary. John R. Leeper and J. W. Beardsley. April 1973. 13 p.
- No. 24 Phenology and growth of Hawaiian plants, a preliminary report. Charles H. Lamoureux. June 1973. 62 p.
- No. 25 Laboratory studies of Hawaiian Sciaridae (Diptera). Wallace A. Steffan. June 1973. 17 p.
- No. 26 Natural area system development for the Pacific region, a concept and symposium. Dieter Mueller-Dombois. June 1973. 55 p.
- No. 27 The growth and phenology of <u>Metrosideros</u> in Hawaii. John R. Porter. August 1973. 62 p.
- \*No. 28 EZPLOT: A computer program which allows easy use of a line plotter. Kent W. Bridges. August 1973. **39** p.
- No. 29 A reproductive biology and natural history of the Japanese white-eye (Zosterops japonica japonica) in urban Oahu. Sandra J. Guest. September 1973. 95 p.
- No. 30 Techniques for electrophoresis of Hawaiian <u>Drosophila</u>. W. W. M. Steiner and W. E. Johnson. November 1973. 21 p.
- No. 31 A mathematical approach to defining spatially recurring species groups in a montane rain forest on Mauna Loa, Hawaii. Jean E. Maka. December 1973. 112 p.
- \*No. 32 The interception of fog and cloud water on windward Mauna Loa, Hawaii. James O. Juvik and Douglas J. Perreira. December 1973. 11 p.
- No. 33 Interactions between Hawaiian honeycreepers and <u>Metrosideros collina</u> on the island of Hawaii. F. Lynn Carpenter and Richard E. MacMillen, December 1973. 23 p.
- No. 34 Floristic and structural development of native dry forest stands at Mokuleia, N.W. Oahu. Nengah Wirawan. January 1974. 49 p.
- No. 35 Genecological studies of Hawaiian ferns: reproductive biology of pioneer and non-pioneer species on the island of Hawaii. Robert M. Lloyd. February 1974. 29 p.
- No. 36 Fourth Progress Report and Budget Proposal for FY 1975. D. Mueller-Dombois and K. Bridges, eds. March 1974. 44 p.
- No. 37 A survey of internal parasites of birds on the western slopes of Diamond Head, Oahu, Hawaii 1972-1973. H. Eddie Smith and Sandra J. Guest. April 1974. 18 p.
- No. 38 Climate data for the IBP sites on Mauna Loa, Hawaii. Kent W. Bridges and G. Virginia Carey. May 1974. 97 p.
- No. 39 Effects of microclimatic changes on oogenesis of <u>Drosophila mimica</u>. Michael P. Kambysellis. May 1974. 58 p.
- No. 40 The cavernicolous fauna of Hawaiian lava tubes, Part VI. Mesoveliidae or water treaders (Heteroptera). Wayne C. Gagné and Francis G. Howarth. May 1974. 22 p.

out of print

- No. 41 Shade adaptation of the Hawaiian tree-fern (<u>Cibotium glaucum</u> (Sm.) H. & A.). D. J. C. Friend. June 1974. 39 p.
- No. 42 **The** roles of fungi in Hawaiian Island ecosystems. I. Fungal communities associated with leaf surfaces of three endemic vascular plants in Kilauea Forest Reserve and Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, Hawaii. Gladys E. Baker, Paul H. Dunn and William A. Sakai. July 1974. 46 p.
- No. 43 The cavernicolous fauna of Hawaiian lava tubes, Part VII. Emesinae or thread-legged bugs (Heteroptera: Redvuiidae). Wayne C. Gagné and Francis G. Howarth. July 1974. 18 p.
- No. 44 Stand structure of a montane rain forest on Mauna Loa, Hawaii. Ranjit G. Cooray. August 1974. 98 p.
- No. 45 Genetic variability in the Kilauea Forest population of <u>Drosophila</u> silvestris. E. M. Craddock and W. E. Johnson. September 1974. 39 p.
- No. 46 Linnet breeding biology on Hawaii. Charles van Riper III. October 1974. 19 p.
- No. 47 The nesting biology of the House Finch, <u>Carpodacua mexicanus frontalis</u> (Say), in Honolulu, Hawaii. Lawrence T. Hirai. November 1974. 105 p.
- No. 48 A vegetational description of the IBP small mammal trapline transects -Mauna Loa Transect. James D. Jacobi. November 1974. 19 p.
- No. 49 Vegetation types: a consideration of available methods and their suitability for various purposes, Dieter Mueller-Dombois and Heinz Ellenberg. November 1974. 47 p.
- No. 50 Genetic structure and variability in two species of endemic Hawaiian <u>Drosophila</u>. William W. M. Steiner and Hampton L. Carson. December 1974. 66 p.
- No. 51 Composition and phenology of the dry forest of Mauna Kea, Hawaii, **as related** to the annual cycle of the Amakihi (<u>Loxops virens</u>) and Palila (<u>Psittirostra</u> <u>bailleui</u>). Charles van Riper III. January 1975. 37 p.
- No, 52 Environment-enzyme polymorphism relationships in two Hawaiian Drosophila species, W. W. M. Steiner. January 1975. **28** p.
- No. 53 A review of the Hawaiian Coccinellidae. John R. Leeper. February 1975. 54 p.
- No. 54 Integrated island ecosystem ecology in Hawaii Introductory Survey. Part I of proposed synthesis volume for US/IBP series. Dieter Mueller-Dombois. February 1975. 46 p.
- No. 55 Soil algal relationships to <u>Onychiurus folsomi</u>, a minute arthropod. Linda-Lee McGurk, March 1975. 66 p.
- No. 56 Cytogenetics of the Hawaiian <u>Telmatogeton</u> (Diptera). Lester J. Newman, March 1975. 23 p.
- No. 57 Electrophoretic variability in island populations of Drosophila simulans and Drosophila immigrans. William W. M. Steiner, Ki Chang Sung and Y. K. Paik. March 1975. 20 p.
- No. 58 Acari on murine rodents along an altitudinal transect on Mauna Loa, Hawaii.
  Frank J, Radovsky, JoAnn M. Tenorio, P. Quentin Tomich, and James D. Jacobi.
  April 1975. 11 p.
- No. 59 Climate data for the IBP sites on Mauna Loa, Hawaii. Kent W. Bridges and G. Virginia Carey. April 1975. 90 p.

- No. 60 Oxygen consumption, evaporative water loss and body temperature in the Sooty Tern, <u>Sterna fuscata</u>. Richard E. MacMillen, G. Causey Whittow, Ernest A. Christopher and Roy J. Ebisu. April 1975. 15 p.
- No. 61 Threshold model of feeding territoriality: a test with an Hawaiian honeycreeper. F. L. Carpenter and R. E. MacMillen. April 1975. 11 p.
- No. 62 Parasites of the Hawaii Amakihi (<u>Loxops virens virens</u>). Charles van Riper 111. April 1975. **25** p.
- No. 63 Pollination energetics and foraging strategies in a <u>Metrosideros</u>-honeycreeper association. F. Lynn Carpenter and Richard E. MacMillen. May 1975. 8 p.
- No. 64 Seasonal abundances of the mamane moth, its nuclear polyhedrosis virus, and its parasites. Michael Conant, May 1975. 34 p.
- No. 65 Temporal pattern of gene arrangement frequency in altitudinal populations of <u>Drosophila immigrans</u> on Mauna Loa, Hawaii. Y. K. Paik and K. C. Sung. May 1975, 14 p.
- No. 66 Integrated island ecosystem ecology in Hawaii. Spatial distribution of island biota, Introduction. Part 11, Chapter 6 of proposed synthesis volume for US/IBP series. Dieter Mueller-Dombois and Kent W. Bridges. June 1975. 52 p.
- No. 67 User oriented statistical analysis programs: a brief guide. Kent W. Bridges. July 1975. 37 p.
- No. 68 Systematic patterns of foraging for nectar by Amakihi (<u>Loxops virens</u>). Alan C. Kamil, July 1975. 17 p.
- No. 69 The Island Ecosystems Data Bank. Kent W. Bridges and G. Virginia Carey. August 1975. 15 p.
- No. 70 Climate data for the IBP sites on Mauna Loa, Hawaii. Kent W. Bridges and G. Virginia Carey. August 1975. 55 p.
- No. 71 Evolution of the endemic Hawaiian cerambycid-beetles. J. L. Gressitt. August **1975**. 46 p.
- No. 72 Index to Technical Reports 1-66. Winifred Y. Yamashiro. August 1975. 50 p.
- No. 73 The use of sheep wool in nest construction by Hawaiian birds. Charles van Riper 111. September 1975. 11 p.