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#### Abstract

Our goal for this project was to expand and improve upon the findings of George I. Bell and Nicholas Fonseca, who have both written papers on optimization in Chinese Checkers. While their work focuses mainly on cooperative games between one, two, and three players, we have considered games for six players. While doing this, we have redefined the playing board in a more intuitive manner, while developing and proving its associated distance formula. As well, we have conjectured the shortest game for six players, and are working to generalize a formula for the number of required to finish a six player game as fast as possible. This could research to generalize a lower bound for any number of players.


## Definitions

- Cell - Position in which a marble may be placed.
- Move - Changing the marble's position from one cell to another. This may be done in three ways:
Step - Moving a marble to an adjacent cell. An adjacent cell may be horizontal Jump - Moving a marble by hopping over another marble of any army into an
empty cell. This does not capture the other marble, and jumps are not compulsory.
Chain - More than one jump in a single turn by the same marble.
- Base - Starting position for an army of marbles.
- Goal - Opposing base, ending position for an army of marbles.
- Man - Single marble owned by a player. This is part of their army.
- Armv - Set of ten men owned by a single player.
- Turn - Single move by a player in a round
- Movement Structure - A movement structur
- Movement Structure - A movement structure allows a player to move along a Ladder - Marbles that allow for a player to Rung - $A$ single marble that is part of a ladder.
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Graphical Representation

Previous Board Design
-Cartesian Board

- Movement constricted
- No movement along $y=-x$

Chess notation
More difficult for more players

- Semi-intuitive movement

Our Board Design

- Cartesian Board overlaid playing board
Holes in the board (e.g. b12 does
not exist as a cell)
Moadified chess notation
Any number of players
- Intuitive movement

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Distance Formula } \\
\|x, y\|= \begin{cases}|y|+\frac{(|x|-|y|)}{2} & \text { if }|x|>|y| \\
|y| & \text { if }|x| \leq|y|\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

## As previous authors have provided a distance formula for their coordinate system, we had to develo

 our own.Proof.
We know that the $x$-coordinates are spaced by 2 while the $y$-coordinates are spaced by 1 . We define the $x$-distance to be $|x| a n d$ the $y$-distance to be $|y|$. Because the rules of Chinese Checkers allow a player to move directly horizontal and/or diagonally, we have four cases to prove:
Case 1) Strictly horizontal movements: No vertical moves mean that $|y|=0$ and $|x|>|y|$. Because the $x$-coordinates are spaced by 2 , the number of horizontal steps, $n$, must be half of the
$x$-coordinates, say $n=|x| / 2$. We may then manipulate $n=|x| / 2=0+1 / 2(|x|-0)=|y|+1 / 2| | x|-|y|)$. Case 2) Diagonal movements along a single diagonal: Because the piece would be moving diagonally, the $x$-coordinate movement is spaced by 1 since it falls between a strict horizontal movement (of coordinates). Hence, $|x|=|y|$. Thus, the number of steps would be $n=|y|$.
Case 3) Diagonal movements one a single diagonal with horizontal movements: This case can be broken up into two cases previously proven. Because the diagonal movement is on the same diagona we apply Case 2, allowing this movement to be described as $|y|$. As with Case 1 , we can include $|y|+1 / 2(|x|-|y|)$ again.

Case 4) Diagonal movements of different diagonals: Diagonal movement of different diagonals may be expressed as two distinct movements. Note that when considering a marble at $(0, y)$ the first move is not necessary. First, the marble moves along a diagonal from ( 0,0 ), or on our graph, i12. This movement will place the marble along a vertical line ( $x, y$ ). This movement is shown to be $|x|$ by Case 2 tep diagonal movements. When the marble ziss it will increase $|x|$ and $|y|$ by 1 . When the marble zass, it will again increase $|y|$ by 1 , but will return to its previous $x$ position. Note that these zigzags do not need be strictly consecutive, but only that each zig needs an associated zag. This second motion may be defined as $|y|-|x|$, as we have already move the $x$ distance. Thus, the full movement is $|x|+(|y|-|x|)$ $=|y|$.


Bases and the
Within base (see image): 1,4 , and 6 are the same ype; 2,7 and 9 are the same type; 3,8 , and 10 are the same type

- Ladder Building Rule
lue and Red may jump over Green and Yellow
Green and Yellow may jump over Blue and Red
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## Searching for the Lower Bound

Absolute Lower Bound: $9 p+1$, where $p$ is the number of players - Assume winning player moves first

- This requires 9 rounds and 1 additional turn, thus, 9 turns for each player, and an additional turn for the winning player
- Impossible to put piece in goal on first move, so more moves necessary

Realistic Lower Bound: $9 p+c+1$, where $c$ is the number of moves it takes to build a successful chain
Successful chain - a chain that allows the winning player to move a marble from a cell in their starting base to a cell in the goal
Ladder options:

- 5 rung option ( 10 steps or 5 jumps)
- Moves piece from row d to row n Requires building 5 rungs
- 6 rung option ( 12 steps or 6 jumps
- 6 rung option (12 steps or 6 jumps)
- Moves piece from row cto row o

2 preexisting rungs from base and goal
Requires building 4 rungs
6-Player Game
Our formula for the 6 -player game provides a realistic lower bound of 63 , as $\mathrm{c}=8$. We have found an example of a 66 move solution, and we conjecture the existence of a 64 move solution. Our current solution follows the current structure:

- Assume Player A is winning player and Player B moves first, moving clockwise
- Players B and C build ladders for blue and red locations
- Built using green and yellow locations as rungs
- Players $E$ and $F$ build ladders for green and yellow locations
- Built using blue and red locations as rungs
- Player $D$ empties in the order $4,1,6,9,2,7,5,10,3,8$.
$\circ$ This order follows the base groupings mentioned in Location Types
- Player $A$ fills its goal in the order $1,6,4,2,7,9,5,3,8,1$

The grouping order is the same as for Player D

- Player A empties their base in the order $5,6,1,4,7,9,2,8,3,10$. Note: Location 5 is temptied first in order to fulfill a mandatory - Least disruptive to jump a group of one piece
c VS. $\alpha$
Bell and Fonseca propose $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ as a constant for their lower bound equations, where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is the critical move. This move is the first move in which the winning player gets a man in the goal. After this point, the winning player is expected to get a man in the goal with each subsequent move. However, the value $\alpha$ requires supposing many correct moves. Thus, the value $c$ is more useful, as it provides an easier standard for building ladders for games with more players, while making weaker assumptions. This is of course at
the cost of some accuracy in low number player games.

Previous Formulas
Our Formulas

- Norm

Bell: $1 / 2(|x|+|y|+|x-y|)$
$\circ$ Fonseca: $\max \{|x|,|y|\}$

- Lower Bound

Bell (two player game): $\alpha+2(s$
1), where $s$ is the size of the
1), wh
army

Fonseca (three player game): 40

## Future Work

Thus, the challenge for find the lower bound for games with $p$ players is in finding the value of $c$ for each value of $p$. We have shown that with 6 players this was a relatively challenging.

