University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Publications from USDA-ARS / UNL Faculty

U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska

1996

CULICOIDES VARIIPENNIS AND BLUETONGUE-VIRUS EPIDEMIOLOGY IN THE UNITED STATES1

Walter J. Tabachnick Arthropod-Borne Animal Diseases Research Laboratory, USDA, ARS

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdaarsfacpub

Tabachnick, Walter J., "CULICOIDES VARIIPENNIS AND BLUETONGUE-VIRUS EPIDEMIOLOGY IN THE UNITED STATES1" (1996). *Publications from USDA-ARS / UNL Faculty*. 2218. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdaarsfacpub/2218

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications from USDA-ARS / UNL Faculty by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

CULICOIDES VARIIPENNIS AND BLUETONGUE-VIRUS EPIDEMIOLOGY IN THE UNITED STATES¹

Walter J. Tabachnick

Arthropod-Borne Animal Diseases Research Laboratory, USDA, ARS, University Station, Laramie, Wyoming 82071

KEY WORDS: arbovirus, livestock, vector capacity, vector competence, population genetics

Abstract

The bluetongue viruses are transmitted to ruminants in North America by *Culicoides variipennis*. US annual losses of approximately \$125 million are due to restrictions on the movement of livestock and germplasm to bluetongue-free countries. Bluetongue is the most economically important arthropod-borne animal disease in the United States. Bluetongue is absent in the northeastern United States because of the inefficient vector ability there of *C. variipennis* for bluetongue. The vector of bluetongue virus elsewhere in the United States is *C. variipennis sonorensis*. The three *C. variipennis* subspecies differ in vector competence for bluetongue virus in the laboratory. Understanding *C. variipennis* genetic variation controlling bluetongue transmission will help identify geographic regions at risk for bluetongue and provide opportunities to prevent virus transmission. Information on *C. variipennis* and bluetongue epidemiology will improve trade and provide information to protect US livestock from domestic and foreign arthropod-borne pathogens.

INTRODUCTION

Arthropod-borne pathogens cause significant mortality and morbidity to humans and animals. The bluetongue viruses, which cause bluetongue disease in ruminants, are among the most important arthropod-borne animal pathogens in the United States. The primary North American arthropod vector of the bluetongue viruses is a biting midge, *Culicoides variipennis* (Diptera: Cera-

¹The US Government has the right to retain a nonexclusive royalty-free license in and to any copyright covering this paper.

topogonidae). International regulations prohibit the movement of livestock and their germplasm from countries harboring animals with bluetongue viruses to countries with livestock considered virus free. Many US livestock populations are infected with bluetongue viruses or are located in areas endemic for the disease. Consequently, the US livestock industry has suffered estimated annual losses of \$125 million because of lost trade in cattle, sheep, or their germplasm to bluetongue-free countries, such as those in the European Union (24, 88).

This paper focuses on the role of C. variipennis in bluetongue disease epidemiology. We must understand the mechanisms controlling C. variipennis' ability to vector the bluetongue viruses if we are to reduce the effects of bluetongue disease in North America. Investigations of other arthropod-borne, disease-causing pathogens involve the same issues discussed here: (a) the importance of the vector in disease epidemiology, (b) the importance of the vector in pathogen biology, and (c) features of the vector that provide opportunities for controlling the disease.

BLUETONGUE DISEASE

Bluetongue disease was first described in 1902 as malarial catarrhal fever in South African sheep (39). Soon afterwards, the disease agent was recognized as filterable (109). In 1944, South African species in the genus *Culicoides* were recognized as vectors of bluetongue virus (15). From their origins in Africa, bluetongue viruses have spread to the Middle East, Asia, the Americas, and Australia (25). Bluetongue disease in the United States was first described as "soremuzzle" in Texas (33), and bluetongue virus was isolated from sheep with soremuzzle in California in 1952 (64). *C. variipennis* was subsequently identified as a vector in the United States (94).

Bluetongue Pathogenesis

Several reviews discuss bluetongue-virus pathogenesis in ruminants (62, 89, 90). Bluetongue viruses can infect several domestic and wild ruminant species, but the most significant diseases occur in sheep. Clinical signs include a rise in temperature lasting 5–7 days; hyperemia and swelling of the buccal and nasal mucosa; profuse salivation; swollen tongue; hemorrhage in the mucosal membranes of the mouth; oral erosions; and hemorrhage in the coronary bands of the hoof, which produces lameness. Sheep may vomit because of lesions in the esophagus and pharynx, which can lead to aspiration of the ruminal contents, pneumonia, and frequently death. The severity of the disease varies according to virus serotype and is less drastic in indigenous than in introduced sheep (18, 40, 89, 90, 128). Although sheep mortality may range from 5 to

50%, bluetongue-virus infections in many regions of the world produce no overt disease (90).

Clinical bluetongue disease in cattle is rare. Cattle develop a prolonged viremia lasting several weeks, which may allow the virus to survive during winter or other times when vector populations are absent or small. Controversy has surrounded the extent of clinical signs. Currently, cattle are thought to develop signs of bluetongue disease rarely («5% of infected animals), and the virus is considered to have little if any effect on reproduction. However, early prenatal infection may lead to embryonic death. Fetuses infected at later stages of gestation survive without persistent infection, and infected animals develop specific antibodies (62, 89–92).

Bluetongue Viruses

Bluetongue viruses are double-stranded RNA viruses in the genus *Orbivirus*, family Reoviridae. They have 24 serotypes worldwide. The bluetongue genome (molecular weight 12×10^6) can be resolved via polyacrylamide electrophoresis into 10 gene segments, which encode ten mRNAs for seven structural and three nonstructural proteins. The viruses are icosahedral particles with the RNA genome encapsidated in a double-layered protein coat (38, 59, 101, 102). The outer coat contains two major proteins, VP2 and VP5, while the inner coat consists of two major proteins, VP3 and VP7. Serotype specificity resides in VP2. VP7 contains epitopes that react with group-specific bluetongue antibodies. The roles of the minor core proteins (VP1, VP4, and VP6), as well as of the nonstructural proteins (NS1, NS2, NS3), have been described (17).

The bluetongue-virus proteins function differently in mammalian and insect cells. Treatment of viruses with trypsin or chymotrypsin results in cleavage of VP2 from the outer capsid, producing an infectious subparticle. Further treatment uncoats the inner core (71). Although inner-core particles are not as infectious as intact virus to mammalian cells, all three particles are equally infective to susceptible *C. variipennis*. (68).

The genetic diversity among bluetongue serotypes and related orbiviruses, e.g. African horse sickness viruses and epizootic hemorrhagic disease viruses, is known (28–30, 100–102). Nucleotide sequences for VP3, VP5, VP7, NS1, and NS3 reveal close genetic relationships between orbiviruses from the same geographic region (29, 30). Bluetongue viruses from Australia form a distinct topotype. Within each region, topotypes contain similar serotypes. For instance, VP3 sequences show that BLU-1 in Australia is related to the Australian topotype consisting of serotypes 3, 9, 16, 20, 21, and 23, whereas BLU-1 in South Africa is closer to South African serotypes 3 and 9 (29). A close phylogenetic relationship, based on VP3 gene-sequence data, between a US BLU-2 isolate and serotypes 1, 6, and 12 from Jamaica and Honduras supports proposals that BLU-2 was introduced into the United States from the Caribbean (95, 106).

The relationships between viral diversity and the different *Culicoides* vectors present in different regions are unknown. Studies of an RNA arbovirus, vesicular stomatitis virus, suggested that arboviruses evolved in a punctuated fashion as they entered new environments and were transmitted by new arthropod vectors (84). New variants of bluetongue virus could also result from gene segment reassortment between serotypes. Reassortment frequencies are higher in *Culicoides* vectors than in sheep (103). The influence of reassortment on the population biology of the bluetongue viruses is unknown (27). However, *Culicoides* vectors clearly influence bluetongue-virus variation and biology (123).

Bluetongue Epidemiology

WORLDWIDE Bluetongue viruses are distributed worldwide between latitudes 40°N and 35°S. They infect ruminants wherever suitable *Culicoides* vectors are present. There are regional differences in the viruses, in species of *Culicoides*, and in clinical signs in infected animals. Clinical bluetongue disease is not generally seen in the Central American–Caribbean Basin, where BLU-1, -3, -4, -6, -8, -12, -14, and -17 have been observed, presumably vectored by *C. insignis* (124, 127). Similarly clinical disease is not generally observed in Australia, where BLU-1, -3, -9, -15, -16, -20, -21, and -23 are transmitted by *C. wadai*, *C. brevitarsis*, *C. fulvus*, and *C. actoni* (110, 111). In Asia. various *Culicoides* spp. are the suspected vectors for BLU-1, -2, -3, -9, -12, -14–19, -20, -21, and -23 (34, 112, 126). Clinical disease does appear in Africa and the Middle East, where serotypes 1–19, 22, and 24 are found. There, the primary vector is *C. imicola*, although *C. tororoensis*, *C. milnei*, *C. obsoletus*, and *C. schultzei* may play minor roles (68). In many regions of the world, the vectors of the bluetongue viruses are unknown (123).

Bluetongue viruses caused disease outbreaks between 1956 and 1960 in Spain and Portugal (97). The principal vector, *C. imicola*, was also the vector of the related African horse sickness viruses in Spain (70). The potential for bluetongue virus in Europe has resulted in animal health restrictions to ensure bluetongue-free animal imports. The range of *C. imicola* in Europe does not extend beyond the Iberian Peninsula because of inhospitable climate (97). However, *C. obsoletus* and *C. pulicaris*, capable vectors of bluetongue in the laboratory (43), are common in Northern Europe (69). Without an understanding of the vector ability of European *Culicoides* spp. in the field, bluetongue incursions into Europe remain a concern.

NORTH AMERICA US bluetongue serotypes are 2, 10, 11, 13, and 17 (3, 26). In a serologic survey for bluetongue-virus antibody in US cattle, Metcalf et al (72) examined ~20,000 sera and found that 17.8% were positive for bluetongue antibody. Bluetongue antibody prevalence ranged from 0 to 79% in different states. It was highest in southern and western states and lowest in northern states, where prevalence was $\leq 2\%$. These values were confirmed in several serologic surveys conducted during the ensuing two decades involving thousands of additional samples of cattle sera (93). Seropositive animals have been traced to origin to determine the contribution of animal movement to the presence of bluetongue-positive animals in northern states. Of more than 32,000 cattle tested in New York State, only 14 were seropositive, and all of these were originally from high seroprevalence regions of the United States (58).

Bluetongue viruses were found in the Okanagan Valley of British Columbia in 1976 and 1987 (113). The dire implications for the Canadian livestock trade prompted a serosurvey of more than 175,000 cattle between 1976 and 1992 (14, 113). BLU-11 was identified (13), but virus was not observed in collections of *C. variipennis* in the Okanagan Valley (65), and evidence of bluetongue-virus infection outside the valley has not been observed (14). Bluetongue viruses may have been transmitted to animals in this region after being introduced from the United States. However, despite this example of sporadic transmission, these viruses are apparently not endemic to Canada (14).

CULICOIDES VARIIPENNIS

Much evidence suggests that C. variipennis is the primary North American vector of the bluetongue viruses: (a) The species is widespread. (b) Many studies show it feeds on wild and domestic ruminants. (c) In the laboratory, feeding on susceptible ruminant hosts has resulted in infection, and under laboratory conditions, it transmits virus to susceptible hosts. (d) Bluetongue viruses have been isolated on numerous occasions from field-collected C. variipennis (2, 8, 10, 20–23, 41, 46, 48, 50, 51, 60, 61, 63, 66, 67, 82, 85, 86, 103, 108, 114, 116, 122, 125, 131, 134). C. insignis vectors the bluetongue viruses in South and Central America and through the northern extension of its range in southern Florida (31, 55, 124). Little evidence points to a major role for other Culicoides species in North America. C. venustus (53), C. debilipalpis, and C. stellifer (74) support little or no infection in the laboratory, and other species have not been incriminated in bluetongue epizootics. C. brookmani or C. boydi might serve as bluetongue vectors for desert bighorn sheep in areas of California, as indicated by their abundance and the near absence of C. variipennis in these habitats (78). Various models attempting to

predict bluetongue-virus transmission are based on climactic variables relating to insect activity (44, 135).

Systematics

C. variipennis is in the subgenus Monoculicoides. Based on morphologic variations in collections throughout the United States, C. variipennis was divided into five subspecies: C. variipennis variipennis, C. variipennis sonorensis, C. variipennis occidentalis, C. variipennis australis, and C. variipennis albertensis (132). Other workers believed these forms were species because of the absence of morphologic hybrids in regions where they were sympatric (12, 54). However, studies with laboratory-reared C. variipennis suggested that some of the morphologic characters were modified by the environment and thus invalid for use in classification (35). The difficulty in defining subspecies relationships resulted in a single grouping known as the C. variipennis complex (133).

Isozyme electrophoretic analyses of ~200 C. variipennis populations from the United States have helped define population and subspecies genetic relationships (36, 37, 115, 118). Populations, analyzed for genetic variation using 11–21 different isozyme-encoding loci, confirmed only three members in the C. variipennis complex (36, 37, 118): C. variipennis variipennis (northern regions of the United States), C. variipennis sonorensis (from Florida to California; north to Virginia and Ohio; and in the west as far north as Washington and British Columbia), and C. variipennis occidentalis (Arizona to California, north to Washington and British Columbia).

Limited gene flow was found between California C. variipennis sonorensis and C. variipennis occidentalis populations (36, 118). C. variipennis sonorensis larvae resided in highly polluted organic habitats, while C. variipennis occidentalis larvae inhabited highly saline habitats, e.g. Borax Lake, California (36). Collections from approximately 100 New England sites yielded only C. variipennis variipennis populations (37). No isozyme genes diagnostic for subspecies have been identified, although other molecular markers can be used (96). However, gene frequencies, and genetic similarities based on gene-frequency differences, showed that populations within a subspecies are more closely related to each other than to populations from other subspecies, regardless of geographic proximity. Populations classified morphologically as C. variipennis australis are genetically C. variipennis sonorensis (FR Holbrook & WJ Tabachnick, unpublished observations). Populations from the Gulf Coast of the United States in which C. variipennis variipennis and C. variipennis sonorensis occurred in the same larval habitat lacked any genetic hybrids (FR Holbrook & WJ Tabachnick, unpublished observations). This observation suggests that the three subspecies are indeed separate species. However, pending formal descriptions, they should continue to be referred to using the subspecies designation.

Population Genetics

Active C. variipennis sonorensis adults are not present during the winter in Colorado. Populations overwinter predominantly as larvae in permanent aquatic habitats (5, 6). In one study in this region (117), the gene frequencies of permanent populations remained stable through two seasons at all but two loci. C. variipennis sonorensis populations collected from temporary larval sites, which did not persist through the winter, showed genetic changes each summer that resulted from chance effects when these habitats were colonized each spring (117). Migration, at a rate of ~2.15 C. variipennis sonorensis per generation (regardless of population size), allowed temporary populations to differentiate from permanent populations through chance and prevented permanent populations from differentiating from one another. This study defined the major features of Colorado C. variipennis sonorensis population genetics (117): (a) Permanent larval populations maintain genetic stability; (b) no migration occurs between permanent populations during the winter; and (c)temporary populations are founded each spring and differ from permanent populations owing to chance (117). Although C. variipennis may disperse several kilometers (56; FR Holbrook, personal communication), as well as longer distances via wind (105-107), such dispersal did not affect population differentiation. Temporary populations, separated by only a few hundred meters, were not panmictic and were genetically differentiated (117). Weather is the major factor shaping the genetic structure of Colorado C. variipennis sonorensis populations.

Differentiation among other US populations provides additional evidence for the effect of weather on C. variipennis population dynamics and genetic structure. One measure of genetic variation between two populations is the average (av) genetic distance (D) based on allele-frequency differences. The av D among all populations in a region compared with the av D in another region quantifies the differences in regional genetic diversity. The av $D \pm SE$ (n = number of pairwise population comparisons) showed significant differences (36, 37): among New England C. variipennis variipennis populations, av $D = 0.046 \pm 0.002$ (n = 276); among Colorado C. variipennis sonorensis, av $D = 0.040 \pm 0.010$ (n = 21); among California C. variipennis sonorensis, av $D = 0.010 \pm 0.007$ (n = 171); and between California C. variipennis occidentalis, av $D = 0.132 \pm 0.017$ (n = 10). C. variipennis sonorensis populations within a single Colorado county exhibited levels of genetic diversity similar to those of New England C. variipennis variipennis. Both of these populations have significantly higher genetic diversity than California C. vari-

ipennis sonorensis collected throughout the state. In Colorado and New England, temporary populations arising each spring probably generate temporally differentiated populations and thus greater genetic diversity. Populations of *C. variipennis sonorensis* in California, which enjoy longer seasons and have active adult migration, experience greater gene flow and less genetic differentiation (36). In contrast, California *C. variipennis occidentalis* populations showed the highest genetic differentiation, as a result of their wide geographic separation from one another and a lack of gene flow with nearby *C. variipennis sonorensis* (36).

In summary, the three major groups in the *C. variipennis* complex share only limited gene flow; their population genetics are influenced primarily by weather; and their distributions are associated with the North American distribution of the bluetongue viruses. The distribution of bluetongue in the United States has been stable for more than 20 years, despite potential change resulting from animal movement within the United States and into Canada, and from migration of infected *C. variipennis* between regions. However, infected exotic *Culicoides* spp. could still enter the United States (105), as could foreign livestock carrying exotic forms of the bluetongue viruses, particularly those from the Central American–Caribbean Basin. *Culicoides* spp. do not respect national, regional, or political boundaries (69).

Vector Capacity

Traits associated with arthropod ability to transmit pathogens, such as host preference, biting or feeding rates, gonotrophic cycle, population densities, and vector longevity, determine vector capacity. Vector capacity also depends on vector competence, which involves the ability of the vector to be infected with the pathogen, the ability of the vector to infect progeny by transovarial transmission, and the ability of the vector to transmit the pathogen to a suitable host (see 9, 11, 32, 73, 98, 120).

Information on *C. variipennis* vector capacity is limited. Population densities vary throughout geographic regions. Although *C. variipennis variipennis* larvae and adults are often found on dairies throughout New York State (80, 104), *C. variipennis sonorensis* larvae and adults on southern California dairies are even more abundant—by one to two orders of magnitude (75, 76). If biting rates are related to adult density, this relationship would explain why bluetongue viruses are not endemic in the northeastern United States, where biting rates are probably substantially reduced (77). Flight activity may also influence biting rates. *C. variipennis* flight activity depends on light intensity and temperature, and most flight occurs at dusk and dawn (6, 57). Population variation regarding flight has not been studied.

Another component of vector capacity is the daily survivorship of adult

females. Infected females must survive the incubation period to allow the pathogen to replicate so that transmission to an animal may follow. The extrinsic incubation period is 10–14 days at 23°C in *C. variipennis* (21) but varies substantially with temperature (82). Survivorship estimates of *C. variipennis* in the field are based on parity rates, determined by observing pigment granules deposited in the abdominal cuticle after blood feeding (1, 16), and on estimates of the gonotrophic cycle determined by examining degenerative relics in the ovariole pedicel (5, 81). The daily survivor rate in New York State was estimated at 0.62–0.88 (80), and a similar survivor rate was estimated in the western United States (83, 134). Since these data are based on estimates of gonotrophic cycles that are governed by temperature (79), more field studies are needed to assess population variation.

The limited information on vector capacity indicates population and regional variations in *C. variipennis* biting rates, extrinsic incubation time, and perhaps—although more data are needed—daily survivorship. Clearly more results must be gathered about variation in vector capacity in field populations and about the effects of this variation on bluetongue transmission (77).

Vector Competence

C. variipennis transmits bluetongue viruses, African horse sickness virus, akabane virus, and epizootic hemorrhagic disease viruses (7, 19, 22, 42, 52, 60, 61). Laboratory studies have not provided evidence for transovarial transmission of bluetongue viruses from infected *C. variipennis* females to their progeny (47, 86). Therefore it is unlikely that transovarial transmission is a major overwintering mechanism for the virus when adult vectors are not active. Information concerning variation in the ability of infected *C. variipennis* to transmit bluetongue virus is limited. *C. variipennis sonorensis*, containing 2.7–5.1 log₁₀ TCID₅₀ (tissue culture infectious doses) per fly regularly transmitted bluetongue virus, while flies with $\leq 2.5 \log_{10} TCID_{50}$ did not (41). However, the difficulties in determining transmission rates in the laboratory have prevented evaluations of transmission variation among field populations, using different serotypes and viruses.

SUSCEPTIBILITY TO INFECTION More information is available on *C. variipennis sonorensis* susceptibility to infection with the bluetongue viruses than for other vector-competence traits. However, most of this information is based on studies of a single laboratory colony, known as the 000, sonora strain, or AA colony (49). The transmission studies cited above used this strain. Studies of AA colony flies showed that bluetongue viruses adsorb to host red blood cells and can be observed inside red blood cells up to two days after a *C. variipennis sonorensis* blood meal (108). The *C. variipennis sonorensis* peritrophic mem-

brane did not prevent virus infection of the midgut epithelium, which may occur in the first few hours after ingestion. Bluetongue-virus replication occurs in midgut cells, and the viral particles exit these cells through the basolateral extracellular membrane into extracellular spaces. Virus infection did not result in C. variipennis sonorensis cytopathology (108). In tests of three different bluetongue serotypes infecting another colony of C. variipennis sonorensis (2), virus first appeared in midgut cells and then in secondary target tissues, e.g. hindgut, fat body, salivary gland, thoracic muscle, and ovarian tissues, excluding follicles and eggs. Salivary gland involvement is particularly important, since this organ delivers the pathogen to a susceptible host during subsequent blood feedings. Within four days after blood feeding, bluetongue virus can be detected in C. variipennis sonorensis salivary glands, in salivary gland cytoplasm, in plasma membranes of acinar cells, extracellularly, and within cisternae of vacuoles and endoplasmic reticulum (87). Similar information on bluetongue-virus replication in other subspecies, populations, and other Culicoides spp. is lacking.

A key feature of *C. variipennis* infection with bluetongue virus is the likely interference via the mesenteron or gut barrier. *C. variipennis* that were intrathoracically inoculated with bluetongue virus showed higher infection rates than those fed virus via a blood meal (85). Infection rates for intrathoracically inoculated *C. variipennis* have approached 100%, even in colonies that exhibited only 30% infection following ingestion of an infected blood meal (45, 116). In all likelihood, a gut barrier prevents some *C. variipennis* from becoming infected through blood meals. In contrast, inoculation bypasses the midgut, so the infection rates of inoculated insects are higher. The nature of this gut barrier or its role in determining infection of *C. variipennis* field populations is unknown.

In addition to environmental circumstances, *C. variipennis* susceptibility to infection with bluetongue viruses depends on several factors: the subspecies, the population, and the strain of *C. variipennis;* the strain of the virus; any circumstances that may alter the physiologic condition of the insect; the temperature of extrinsic incubation; and the numbers of infectious virions in the blood meal. Virogenesis proceeds much faster, and individual bluetongue-infected *C. variipennis sonorensis* tended to have more virus antigen when incubated at higher temperatures (82). More *C. variipennis sonorensis* females fed with blood meals containing $\geq 10^6$ pfu/ml were infected than those fed on lower concentrations, and no flies were infected from blood meals containing $\leq 10^{-4}$ pfu/ml (46). Susceptibility to infection varies with nutritional status of the larvae: Poor larval nutrition and crowding resulted in small *C. variipennis sonorensis* females that were more susceptible than larger females (WJ Tabachnick, unpublished observations). Different groups from the same generation of the AA colony showed significant variation in BLU-4 infection rates, which

casts doubt on the accuracy of laboratory assessments of vector competence (41). AA colony showed stable infection rates of ~30% with BLU-11 and -17 for several years (48, 49). These observations are consistent with findings that *C. variipennis* infection rates depend on the insect strain, virus isolate, and serotype (50). The infection rates of two *C. variipennis* colonies differed with each of the US serotypes. However, because differences depended on the serotype, the response of either colony to one serotype could not be predicted based on the response to another (67).

POPULATION HETEROGENEITY Although the specific factors that influence susceptibility to bluetongue infection remain unknown, susceptibility certainly

State	Number of populations	Av. no. insects tested/ population ± SE	Av. % infected insects/population ± SE	Subspecies
New York	5	313 ± 2.2	2.7 ± 0.4	variipennis
New Jersey	2	154 ± 95.0	0.6 ± 0.6	variipennis
Maryland	3	175 ± 75.0	1.0 ± 1.0	variipennis
Virginia	1	617	0.8	??
Montana	1	123	4.1	??
Missouri	2	530 ± 189.0	3.2 ± 0.8	??
Nebraska	4	33 ± 9.8	24.2 ± 6.9	sonorensis
Colorado	12	192 ± 55.9	8.9 ± 1.6	sonorensis
Oregon	1	29 ±	$27.6 \pm$	sonorensis
California	14	157 ± 21.2	22.5 ± 2.9	sonorensis
California	2	308 ± 143.0	1.2 ± 1.1	occidentalis
Nevada	2	24 ± 13.0	11.3 ± 2.2	sonorensis
New Mexico	1	44	54.6	sonorensis
Utah	1	74	14.8	sonorensis
Texas	2	70 ± 58.0	30.1 ± 11.6	sonorensis
Florida	3	34 ± 26.7	26.1 ± 13.5	sonorensis

 Table 1
 Susceptibility to infection by bluetongue viruses in Culicoides variipennis

 populations from different US states, 1978-1990^a

*RH Jones & WJ Tabachnick, unpublished observations.

varies among different subspecies and populations of *C. variipennis* (50). Table 1 shows infection rates with US bluetongue serotypes 2, 10, 11, 13, and 17 for field populations sampled throughout the United States (RH Jones & WJ Tabachnick, unpublished observations). *C. variipennis variipennis* and *C. variipennis occidentalis* are less susceptible than *C. variipennis sonorensis*. Infection of *C. variipennis sonorensis* populations varied from 1.6% in Weld County, Colorado, to 54.6% in Eddy County, New Mexico (RH Jones, unpublished observations). These rates differ for different viruses, and infection with any given serotype does not generally correlate to the rate for other serotypes.

The average infection rate of *C. variipennis* populations from a given state and the seroprevalence of bluetongue antibody in cattle from that state appear strongly correlated (121). This observation is consistent with the hypothesis that the presence of competent *C. variipennis sonorensis* determines bluetongue distribution in the United States. Although vector competence varies greatly within *C. variipennis sonorensis*, *C. variipennis variipennis* exhibits consistently low susceptibility to infection with US bluetongue-virus serotypes. Of *C. variipennis occidentalis*, only populations from Borax Lake and the Salton Sea in California have been tested for bluetongue-virus susceptibility to infection, and these groups were generally refractory. Other *C. variipennis occidentalis* populations must be tested to determine whether any show higher infection rates. *C. insignis* in southern Florida has infection rates of 20.0–60.5% and can transmit bluetongue virus in the laboratory. This species is likely the predominant bluetongue vector in south Florida (124).

Culicoides variipennis and Bluetongue Epidemiology

Several factors relating bluetongue epidemiology in North America to C. variipennis distributions are apparent: (a) Bluetongue-virus transmission is virtually absent in the northern United States despite the presence of C. variipennis variipennis. (b) C. variipennis sonorensis is the subspecies in endemic regions of the United States. (c) Only BLU-2, -10, -11, -13, and -17 have been observed in the United States, and BLU-2 occurs in isolated instances in the south. (d) Bluetongue-virus transmission has been virtually absent from Canada, except in the Okanagan Valley, despite the presence of C. variipennis sensu lato (e) The epidemiology has been stable despite animal movement and the potential for migration of infected Culicoides spp.

C. variipennis sonorensis is the primary North American vector of the bluetongue viruses. C. variipennis variipennis should not be considered a vector of bluetongue viruses because (a) it has a low susceptibility to infection in the laboratory; (b) no viruses have been isolated from field-collected insects; (c) in regions where it is the only C. variipennis subspecies, bluetongue transmission to ruminants has not occurred; and (d) environmental conditions

in regions where it occurs reduce vector capacity for long periods—for example, low temperatures increase extrinsic incubation period and prolong the gonotrophic cycle, and lower densities reduce biting rates. The stable 20-year absence of bluetongue in the northeastern United States can only be explained by the nonvector status of *C. variipennis variipennis*. The distributions of *C. variipennis sonorensis* are critical for determining North American regions at risk for bluetongue. In addition, *C. variipennis sonorensis* populations probably sporadically reside in dynamic transition regions, where the fly may extend its range owing to temporary environmental conditions. These populations may cause the low levels and irregular instances of bluetongue transmission seen in such states as Indiana, Ohio, and Virginia (FR Holbrook, personal communication).

The vector status of *C. variipennis occidentalis* is less certain. The Borax Lake population has low susceptibility in the laboratory (Table 1), and bluetongue viruses have not been isolated from this population. However, this subspecies exists sympatrically with *C. variipennis sonorensis* in areas of the western United States where the bluetongue viruses are endemic. Until molecular genetic markers became available, identifying sources of viral isolates from members of the *C. variipennis* complex in western field collections was difficult. *C. variipennis occidentalis* is probably not a major North American vector of the bluetongue viruses, but this supposition must by confirmed by studies using genetic markers to identify field populations and vectors during epizootics.

Canadian bluetongue epizootics likely resulted from incursions of infected *C. variipennis sonorensis* into the Okanagan Valley from the United States or from the importation of viremic ruminants, from which resident *C. variipennis sonorensis* obtained viruses they transmitted to indigenous cattle. Little information is available regarding *C. variipennis* distributions in Canada. *C. variipennis variipennis* occurs in Ontario (118), and probably in the eastern provinces, where *C. variipennis sonorensis* is unlikely. Although *C. variipennis sonorensis* has been collected in the Okanagan Valley of British Columbia and in southern Alberta (FR Holbrook, personal communication), its distribution to the east and north is unknown. The distribution of *C. variipennis sonorensis* in Canada is important because, although some *C. variipennis sonorensis* populations may not be efficient vectors, the current limited information indicates that any region with *C. variipennis sonorensis* is at risk for bluetongue-virus transmission during the insect season.

Our ability to evaluate, predict, and perhaps interrupt the vector potential of C. variipennis, as well as to determine regions at risk for bluetongue-virus transmission, depends on the following: (a) valid distributions of the subspecies, (b) knowledge of genetic control mechanisms responsible for vector capacity and competence, (c) ability to analyze populations for genes control-

ling vector capacity and vector competence, and (d) information on environmental factors that contribute to variation in vector capacity (119-121).

Genetics of Culicoides variipennis Vector Competence

Investigations on the genetic control of C. variipennis susceptibility to infection with bluetongue virus demonstrated the presence of a single controlling gene in two laboratory colonies. Strains of highly susceptible and resistant C. variipennis sonorensis were selected from the AA and AK colonies (48, 116). Crosses between susceptible and resistant lines provided evidence for a major locus and a modifier controlling susceptibility (48). Similar studies of colony lines showed that the major controlling locus acted via a maternal effect and paternal imprinting. That is, the mother's genotype determined the progeny phenotype, and the paternal gene was always dominant in offspring (116). This inheritance pattern allowed construction of isogenic pools of flies and identification of a candidate controlling protein that was used to isolate a cDNA clone for sequencing to determine function (KE Murphy & WJ Tabachnick, unpublished observations). Once the candidate gene is identified, further studies will be necessary to determine its role in controlling vector competence variation in field populations. Vector competence is a complex trait, and consequently, it is likely that several genes and various interactions with environmental factors control variation within the species (120).

Genetic mapping studies using DNA molecular markers may in future help us identify other C. variipennis vector-competence and vector-capacity genes (99, 120). The long-term goals of these studies are the identification and analysis not only of these genes, but also of the environmental factors that influence them in different C. variipennis populations. This information will allow us to (a) identify the conditions enabling bluetongue-virus transmission; (b) interrupt transmission using releases of genetically altered, resistant C. variipennis; and (c) reduce vector capacity by changing environmental conditions that affect vector phenotypes.

CONCLUSIONS

The absence of bluetongue virus from the north and northeastern regions of the United States, temporary incursions into Canada, and the presence of only five serotypes in the United States are consistent with the predominant role of the members of the *C. variipennis* complex in transmission. We are only beginning to appreciate the complexities of arthropod-pathogen interactions. Indeed, the study of *C. variipennis* and bluetongue epidemiology in North America involves many issues common to studies of human and animal arthropod-borne pathogens. Investigations of *C. variipennis* and bluetongue virus show the critical nature of vector-virus interaction that must be understood to predict vector populations and geographic regions at risk for disease. The results may lead to novel biological control strategies, as opposed to chemical measures, to interrupt pathogen transmission and reduce the effects of disease on animal populations.

Bluetongue in North America largely depends on the distribution of *C. variipennis sonorensis*. Based on bluetongue epidemiology and current vector distributions, it is unlikely that the northern United States and large portions of eastern Canada are at risk for bluetongue-virus transmission.

Regulators establishing policies for animal movement should consider the current situation but must also consider the potential for changes in epidemiology. Caution is warranted. For instance, *C. variipennis variipennis* is probably not a bluetongue-virus vector. However, we do not understand genetic and environmental control mechanisms and the effects of new serotypes or viral variants on vector competence and capacity. Thus, vector status could change. The United States must continue to monitor animal populations in its bluetongue-free areas (130). Once we know the factors responsible for transmission, we can assess the competence of vectors in other regions. For example, we could determine the actual risk for bluetongue-virus transmission by European *Culicoides* spp.

The future for using vector-pathogen information for more effective control of arthropod-borne pathogens is promising. The information reviewed in this chapter can serve as the foundation for efforts to reduce the effect of bluetongue disease on national economies. Regionalization within countries according to the presence of bluetongue virus vectors can reduce unnecessary animal-health regulations and increase opportunities for international trade.

Molecular-biology experiments are under way to determine the mechanisms of action of arthropod traits influencing pathogen transmission. The arthropodpathogen interactions between *C. variipennis* and bluetongue viruses, *Aedes aegypti* and dengue or yellow fever viruses, or *Anopheles* spp. and the malaria pathogen may even share similarities that will afford opportunities for general control strategies. The first step is to identify the underlying vector-pathogen interactions for different systems, the controlling genes, and the effects of the environment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I appreciate the constructive comments by S Brodie, F Holbrook, G Hunt, B Mullens, S Narang, R Nunamaker, E Schmidtmann, and W Wilson on earlier drafts of the manuscript.

Any Annual Review chapter, as well as any article cited in an Annual Review chapter, may be purchased from the Annual Reviews Preprints and Reprints service. 1-800-347-8007; 415-259-5017; email: arpr@class.org

Literature Cited

- Akey DH, Potter HW. 1979. Pigmentation associated with oogenesis in the biting fly *Culicoides variipennis* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae): determination of parity. J. Med. Entomol. 16:67-70
- Ballinger ME, Jones RH, Beaty BJ. 1987. The comparative virogenesis of three serotypes of bluetongue virus in *Culicoides variipennis* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae). J. Med. Entomol. 24:61-65
- Barber TL. 1979. Temporal appearance, geographic distribution and species of origin of bluetongue virus serotypes in the United States. Am. J. Vet. Res. 40: 1654-56
- 4. Barber TL, Jochim MM, eds. 1985. Bluetongue and Related Orbiviruses. New York: Liss
- Barnard DR, Jones RH. 1980. Culicoides variipennis: seasonal abundance, overwintering, and voltinism in northeastern Colorado. Environ. Entomol. 9: 709-12
- Barnard DR, Jones RH. 1980. Diel and seasonal patterns of flight activity of Ceratopogonidae in northeastern Colorado. Environ. Entomol. 9:446-51
- Boorman J, Mellor PS, Penn M, Jennings M. 1975. The growth of African Horse Sickness in embryonated hen eggs and the transmission by *Culicoides* variipennis Coquillet (Diptera, Ceratopogonidae). Arch. Virol. 47:343-49
- Bowne JG, Jones RH. 1966. Observations on bluetongue virus in the salivary glands of an insect vector, *Culicoides* variipennis. Virology 30:127-33
- Chamberlain RW, Sudia WD. 1961. Mechanism of transmission of viruses by mosquitoes. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 6: 371-90
- Chandler LJ, Ballinger ME, Jones RH, Beaty BJ. 1985. The virogenesis of bluetongue virus in *Culicoides variipennis*. See Ref. 4, pp. 245–53
- DeFoliart GR, Grimstad PR, Watts DM. 1987. Advances in mosquito-borne arbovirus/vector research. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 32:479–505
- Downes JA. 1978. Culicoides variipennis complex: a necessary re-alignment of nomenclature (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae). J. Med. Entomol. 12: 379:63-69
- Dulac GC, Dubuc C, Myers DJ, Taylor EA, Ward D, Sterritt WG. 1989. Incursion of bluetongue virus type 11 and epizootic hemorrhagic disease of deer type 2 for two consecutive years in the

Okanagan Valley. Can. Vet. J. 30:351-54

- Dulac GC, Sterritt WG, Dubuc C, Afshar A, Myers EA, et al. 1992. Incursions of orbiviruses in Canada and their serologic monitoring in the native animal population between 1962 and 1991. See Ref. 129, pp. 120–27
- See Ref. 129, pp. 120-27
 15. Dutoit R. 1944. The transmission of bluetongue and horse-sickness by *Culicoides*. Onderstepoort. J. Vet. Sci. Anim. Ind. 19:7-16
- Dyce AL. 1969. The recognition of nulliparous and parous Culicoides (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) without dissection. J. Aust. Entomol. Soc. 8:11-15
- Eaton BT, Hyatt AD, Brookes SM. 1990. The replication of bluetongue virus. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 162:89-118
- Erasmus BJ. 1975. Bluetongue in sheep and goats. Aust. Vet. J. 51:165-70
- Foster NM, Beckon RD, Luedke AJ, Jones RH, Metcalf HE. 1977. Transmission of two strains of epizootic hemorrhagic disease virus in deer by *Culicoides varipennis. J. Wildl. Dis.* 13:9– 16
- Foster NM, Jones RH. 1973. Bluetongue virus transmission with *Culicoides variipennis* via embryonated chicken eggs. J. Med. Entomol. 10:529–32
- Foster NM, Jones RH. 1979. Multiplication rate of bluetongue virus in the vector *Culicoides variipennis* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) infected orally. J. Med. Entomol. 15:302-3
- Foster NM, Jones RH, Luedke AJ. 1968. Transmission of attenuated and virulent bluetongue virus with *Culicoides variipennis* infected orally via sheep. Am. J. Vet. Res. 29:275–79
- Foster NM, Jones RH, McCrory BR. 1963. Preliminary investigations on insect transmission of bluetongue virus in sheep. Am. J. Vet. Res. 24:1195–200
- Gibbs EPJ. 1983. Bluetongue—an analysis of current problems, with particular reference to importation of ruminants to the United States. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 182:1190–94
- Gibbs EPJ, Greiner EC. 1988. Bluetongue and epizootic hemorrhagic disease. In *The Arboviruses: Epidemiology and Ecology*, ed. TP Monath, pp. 39-70. Boca Raton: CRC
- Gibbs EPJ, Greiner EC, Taylor WP, Barber TL, House JA, Pearson JE. 1983. Isolation of bluetongue serotype 2 from cattle in Florida: a serotype of blue-

tongue virus hitherto unrecognized in the western hemisphere. Am. J. Vet. Res. 44:2226–28

- Gorman BM. 1990. The bluetongue viruses. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 162:1-19
- Gorman BM. 1992. An overview of the orbiviruses. See Ref. 129, pp. 335–47
- Gould AR, McColl KA, Pritchard LI. 1992. Phylogenetic relationships between bluetongue viruses and other orbiviruses. See Ref. 129, pp. 452-60
- Gould AR, Pritchard LI. 1990. Relationships amongst bluetongue viruses revealed by comparisons of capsid and outer protein coat nucleotide sequences. Virus Res. 17:31-39
- Greiner EC, Barber TL, Pearson JE, Kramer WL, Gibbs EPJ. 1985. Orbiviruses from *Culicoides* in Florida. See Ref. 4, pp. 195-200
 Hardy JL, Houk EJ, Kramer LD, Reeves
- Hardy JL, Houk EJ, Kramer LD, Reeves WC. 1983. Intrinsic factors affecting vector competence of mosquitoes for arboviruses. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 28: 229-62
- Hardy WT, Price DA. 1952. Soremuzzle of sheep. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 120: 23-25
- Hassan H. 1992. Status of bluetongue in the Middle East and Asia. See 129, pp. 38-41
- pp. 38-41
 35. Hensleigh DA, Atchley WR. 1977. Morphometric variability in natural and laboratory populations of *Culicoides variipennis* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae). J. Med. Entomol. 14:379-86
- Holbrook FR, Tabachnick WJ. 1995. The Culicoides variipennis (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in California. J. Med. Entomol. In press
- Entomol. In press
 37. Holbrook FR, Tabachnick WJ, Brady RC. 1995. The Culicoides variipennis complex (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in the six New England States. Vet. Med. Entomol. In press
- Huismans H, van Dijk AA. 1990. Bluetongue virus structural components. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 162:21– 42
- 39. Hutcheon D. 1902. Malarial catarrhal fever of sheep. Vet. Rec. 14:629-33
- Jeggo MH, Gumm ID, Taylor WP. 1985. Clinical and serological response of sheep to serial challenge with different bluetongue serotypes. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 34: 205-11
- Jennings DM, Mellor PS. 1987. Variation in the responses of *Culicoides variipennis* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) to oral infection with bluetongue virus. *Arch. Virol.* 95:107-82
- 42. Jennings DM, Mellor PS. 1989. Culi-

coides: biological vectors of Akabane virus. Vet. Microbiol. 21:125-31

- Jennings DM, Mellor PS. 1988. The vector potential of British Culicoides species for bluetongue virus. Vet. Microbiol. 17:1-10
- Johnson BG. 1992. An overview and perspective on orbivirus disease prevalence and occurrence of vectors in North America. See Ref. 129, pp. 58-64
- 45. Jones RH, Foster NM. 1966. The transmission of bluetongue virus to embryonated chicken eggs by *Culicoides variipennis* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) infected by intrathoracic inoculation. *Mosq. News* 26:185–89
- Jones RH, Foster NM. 1971. The effect of repeated blood meals infective for bluetongue on the infection rate of Culicoides variipennis. J. Med. Entomol. 8:499-501
- Jones RH, Foster NM. 1971. Transovarial transmission of bluetongue virus unlikely for *Culicoides variipennis*. *Mosq. News* 31:434–37
- Jones RH, Foster NM. 1974. Oral infection of *Culicoides variipennis* with bluetongue virus: development of susceptible and resistant lines from a colony population. J. Med. Entomol. 11:316-23
- Jones RH, Foster NM. 1978. Relevance of laboratory colonies of the vector in arbovirus research—*Culicoides variipennis* and bluetongue. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 27:168-77
 Jones RH, Foster NM. 1978. Heteroge-
- Jones RH, Foster NM. 1978. Heterogeneity of *Culicoides variipennis* field populations to oral infection with bluetongue virus. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 27:178–83
- Jones RH, Foster NM. 1979. Culicoides variipennis: threshold to infection for bluetongue virus. Ann. Parasitol. Hum. Comp. 54:250
- Jones RH, Roughton RD, Foster NM, Bando BM. 1977. Culicoides, the vector of epizootic hemorrhagic disease in white-tailed deer in Kentucky in 1971. J. Wildl. Dis. 13:2-8
- Jones RH, Schmidtmann ET, Foster NM. 1983. Vector competence studies for bluetongue and epizootic hemorrhagic disease viruses with *Culicoides venustus* (Ceratopogonidae). *Mosq. News* 26:185-89
- Jorgensen NM. 1979. The systematics, occurrence and host preference of *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in southeastern Washington. *Melandria* 3: 1-47
- Kline DL, Greiner EC. 1992. Field observations on the ecology of adult and immature stages of *Culicoides* spp. as-

sociated with livestock in Florida USA. See Ref. 129, pp. 297–305

- Littlie TH, Marquardt WC, Jones RH. 1981. The flight range of *Culicoides* variipennis (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae). *Can. Entomol.* 113:419–26
- Linhares AX, Anderson JR. 1990. The influence of temperature and moonlight on flight activity of *Culicoides variipennis* (Coquillet) (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae)) in northern California. *Pan-Pac. Entomol.* 66:199-207
- Lopez JW, Dubovi EJ, Cupp EW, Lein DH. 1992. An examination of the bluetongue virus status of New York State. See Ref. 129, pp. 140–46
- Loudon PT, Liu HM, Roy P. 1992. Genes to complex structures of bluetongue viruses: structure-function relationships of bluetongue virus proteins. See Ref. 129, pp. 383–89
 Luedke AJ, Jones RH, Jochim MM.
- Luedke AJ, Jones RH, Jochim MM. 1967. Transmission of bluetongue between sheep and cattle by *Culicoides* variipennis. Am. J. Vet. Res. 28:457– 60
- Luedke AJ, Jones RH, Jochim MM. 1976. Serial cyclic transmission of bluetongue virus in sheep and *Culicoides* variipennis. Cornell Vet. 66:536–50
- MacLachlan NJ, Barratt-Boyes SM, Brewer AW, Stott JL. 1992. Bluetongue virus infection of cattle. See Ref. 129, pp. 723–36
- 63. MacLachlan NJ, Nunamaker RA, Katz JB, Sawyer MM, Akita GY, et al. 1994. Detection of bluetongue virus in the blood of inoculated calves: comparison of virus isolation, PCR assay, and in vitro feeding of *Culicoides. Arch. Virol.* 136:1–8
- McKercher DG, McGowan B, Howarth JA, Saito JK. 1953. A preliminary report on the isolation and identification of the bluetongue virus from sheep in California. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 122:300-1
- McMullen BA. 1978. Culicoides (Diptera Ceratopogonidae) of the south Okanagan area of British Columbia. Can. Entomol. 110:1053-57
- Mecham JO, Dean VC, Wigington JG, Nunamaker RA. 1990. Detection of bluetongue virus in *Culicoides variipen*nis by an antigen capture enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay. J. Med. Entomol. 27:602-6
- Mecham JO, Nunamaker RA. 1994. Complex interactions between vectors and pathogens: *Culicoides variipennis* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) with bluetongue virus. J. Med. Entomol. 31:903–7
- Mellor PS. 1990. The replication of bluetongue virus in *Culicoides* vectors.

Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 162: 143-61

- Mellor PS. 1993. Culicoides: Do vectors respect national boundaries? Br. Vet. J. 149:5-8
- Mellor PS, Boned J, Hamblin C, Graham S. 1990. Isolations of African horse sickness virus from insects made during the 1988 epizootic in Spain. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 105:447–54
- Mertens PPC, Burroughs JN, Anderson J. 1987. Purification and properties of virus particles, infectious sub-viral particles, and cores of bluetongue virus serotypes 1 and 4. Virology 157:375-86
- Metcalf HE, Pearson JE, Klingsporn AL. 1981. Bluetongue in cattle: a serologic survey of slaughter cattle in the United States. Am. J. Vet. Res. 44:1057– 61
- Mitchell CJ. 1983. Mosquito vector competence and arboviruses. Curr. Top. Vector Res. 1:63–92
- Mullen GR, Jones RH, Bravernan Y, Nusbaum KE. 1985. Laboratory infections of *Culicoides debilipalpis* and C. *stellifer* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) with bluetongue virus. See Ref. 4, pp. 239-43
- Mullens BA. 1985. Age-related activity and sugar feeding by *Culicoides variipennis* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in southern California. J. Med. Entomol. 22:32-37
- Mullens BA. 1989. A quantitative survey of *Culicoides variipennis* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in dairy wastewater ponds in southern California. J. Med. Entomol. 26:559-65
- Mullens BA. 1992. Integrated management of *Culicoides variipennis:* a problem of applied ecology. See Ref. 129, pp. 896–905
- Mullens BA, Dada CE. 1992. Spatial and seasonal distribution of potential vectors of hemorrhagic disease viruses to peninsular bighorn sheep in the Santa Rosa mountains of southern California. J. Wildl. Dis. 28:192–205
- Mullens BA, Holbrook FR. 1991. Temperature effects on the gonotrophic cycle of *Culicoides variipennis* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae). J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 7:588–91
- Mullens BA, Rutz DA. 1984. Age structure and survivorship of *Culicoides variipennis* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in central New York State, USA. J. Med. Entomol. 21:194–203
- Mullens BA, Schmidtmann ET. 1982. The gonotrophic cycle of *Culicoides* variipennis (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) and its implications in age-grading field

populations in New York State. J. Med. Entomol. 19:340-49

- Mullens BA, Tabachnick WJ, Holbrook FR, Thompson LH. 1995. Effects of temperature on virogenesis of bluetongue virus serotype 11 in *Culicoides variipennis sonorensis. Vet. Med. Entomol.* 9:71-76
- Nelson RL, Scrivani RP. 1972. Isolations of arboviruses from parous midges of the *Culicoides variipennis* complex, and parous rates in biting populations. *J. Med. Entomol.* 9:277-81
- Nichol ST, Rowe JE, Fitch WM. 1993. Punctuated equilibrium and positive Darwinian evolution in vesicular stomatitis virus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 90:10424-28
- Nunamaker RA, Mecham JO. 1989. Immunodetection of bluetongue virus and epizootic hemorrhagic disease virus in *Culicoides variipennis* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae). J. Med. Entomol. 26:256– 59
- 86. Nunamaker RA, Sieburth PJ, Dean VC, Wigington JG, Nunamaker CE, Mecham JO. 1990. Absence of transovarial transmission of bluetongue virus in *Culicoides variipennis:* immunogold labelling of bluetongue virus antigen in developing oocytes from *Culicoides* variipennis (Coquillett). Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Ser. A 96:19-31
- Nunamaker RA, Wick BC, Nunamaker CE. 1988. Immunogold labelling of bluetongue virus in cryosections from *Culicoides variipennis* (Coquillett) salivary gland. Proc. Annu. Meet. Electr. Microsc. Soc. Am., 46th, pp. 372-73
 Osburn BI. 1985. Economics of blue-
- Osburn BI. 1985. Economics of bluetongue in the United States. Proc. Symp. Arbovirus Res. Australia, 4th, p. 245. Brisbane: CSIRO
- Parsonson IM. 1990. Pathology and pathogenesis of bluetongue infections. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 162: 119-42
- Parsonson IM. 1992. Overview of bluetongue virus infection in sheep. See Ref. 129, pp. 13–24
- Parsonson IM. 1992. Vertical transmission of the orbiviruses. See Ref. 129, pp. 996–1000
- 92. Parsonson IM. 1993. Bluetongue virus infection of cattle. Proc. US Anim. Health Assoc. 97:120-25
- Pearson JE, Gustafson GA, Shafer AL, Alstad AD. 1992. Distribution of bluetongue in the United States. See Ref. 129, pp. 128-39
- Price DA, Hardy WT. 1954. Isolation of the bluetongue virus from Texas

sheep: Culicoides shown to be a vector. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 121:255

- Pritchard LI, Gould AR, Mertens PPC, Wilson WC, Thompson LH. 1994. Complete nucleotide sequence of bluetongue virus serotype 2 RNA segment 3 (Ona-A). Phylogenetic analyses reveal the probable origins of this virus. Virus Res. 35:247-61
- Raich T, Archer JL, Robertson MA, Tabachnick WJ, Beaty BJ. 1993. Polymerase chain reaction approaches to *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) identification. J. Med. Entomol. 30:228-32
- Rawlings P. 1993. Bluetongue virus vectors: a European perspective. Proc. Soc. Vet. Epidemiol. Prev. Med. pp. 134-44
- Reeves WC. 1990. Epidemiology and Control of Mosquito-Borne Arboviruses in California, 1943–1987. Sacramento, CA: Calif. Mosq. Vector Control Assoc.
- Robertson MA, Tabachnick WJ. 1992. Molecular genetic approaches Culicoides variipennis vector competence for bluetongue virus. See Ref. 129, pp. 271-77
- Roy P. 1989. Bluetongue virus genetics and genome structure. Virus Res. 13: 179-206
- Roy P. 1992. Bluetongue virus proteins. J. Gen. Virol. 73:3051-64
- Roy P, Marshall JA, French TJ. 1990. Structure of the bluetongue virus genome and its encoded proteins. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 162:43–88
- 103. Samal SK, El Hussein A, Holbrook FR, Beaty BJ, Ramig RF. 1987. Mixed infection of *Culicoides variipennis* with bluetongue virus serotypes 10 and 17: evidence for high frequency of reassortment in the vector. J. Gen. Virol. 68: 2319–29
- 104. Schmidtmann ET, Mullens BA, Schwager SJ, Spear S. 1983. Distribution, abundance, and a probability model for *Culicoides variipennis* on dairy farms in New York State. *Environ. En*tomol. 12:768-73
- Sellers RF. 1992. Weather, *Culicoides*, and the distribution and spread of bluetongue and African horse sickness viruses. See Ref. 129, pp. 284–90
- Sellers RF, Maaroof AR. 1989. Trajectory analysis and bluetongue virus serotype 2 in Florida 1982. Can. J. Vet. Res. 53:100-202
- 107. Sellers RF, Maaroof AR. 1991. Possible introduction of epizootic hemorrhagic disease of deer virus (serotype 2) and bluetongue virus (serotype 11) into British Columbia in 1987 and 1988 by

infected Culicoides carried on the wind. Can. J. Vet. Res. 55:367-70

- 108. Sieburth PJ. Nunamaker CE. Ellis J. Nunamaker RA. 1991. Infection of the midgut of Culicoides variipennis (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) with bluetongue virus. J. Med. Entomol. 2:74-85
- 109. Spreull J. 1905. Malarial catarrhal fever (bluetongue) of sheep in South Africa. J. Comp. Pathol. Ther. 18:321-37
- 110. St George TD. 1992. Occupation of an ecological niche in the Pacific Ocean countries by bluetongue and related viruses. See Ref. 129, pp. 76-84
- 111. Standfast HA, Muller MJ. 1989. Bluetongue in Australia-an entomologist's view, Aust. Vet. J. 66:396-97
- 112. Standfast HA, Muller MJ, Dyce AL. 1992. An overview of bluetongue virus vector biology and ecology in the Oriental and Australasian regions of the western Pacific. See Ref. 129, pp. 253-61
- 113. Sterritt WG, Dulac GC. 1992. Evolving perceptions of bluetongue: a challenge for government and industry. Can. Vet. J. 33:109-11
- 114. Stott JL, Osburn BI, Bushnell R, Loomis KRE Squire. 1985. Epizootiological study of bluetongue virus infection in California livestock: an overview. See Ref. 4, pp. 571–82
- Tabachnick WJ. 1990. Genetic variation 115. in laboratory and field populations of the vector of bluetongue disease, Culicoides variipennis (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae). J. Med. Entomol. 27:24-30
- 116. Tabachnick WJ. 1991. Genetic control of oral susceptibility to infection of Culicoides variipennis for bluetongue virus. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 45:666-71
- 117. Tabachnick WJ. 1992. Microgeographic and temporal patterns of genetic variation in natural populations of Culicoides variipennis. J. Med. Entomol. 29:384-95
- 118. Tabachnick WJ. 1992. Genetic differentiation among North American populations of Culicoides variipennis. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 85:140-47
- 119. Tabachnick WJ. 1992. Genetics, population genetics, and evolution of Culicoides variipennis: implications for bluetongue virus transmission in the USA and its international impact. See Ref. 129, pp. 262-70
- 120. Tabachnick WJ. 1994. The role of genetics in understanding insect vector competence for arboviruses. Adv. Dis. Vector Res. 10:93-108
- 121. Tabachnick WJ, Holbrook FR. 1992. The Culicoides variipennis complex and

the distribution of the bluetongue viruses in the United States. Proc. US Anim. Health Assoc. 96:207–12

- Tabachnick WJ, MacLachlan 122. NJ. Thompson LH, Hunt GJ, Patton JF. 1995. Infection of Culicoides variipennis using PCR detectable bluetongue virus in cattle blood. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. Submitted
- 123. Tabachnick WJ, Mellor PS, Standfast HA. 1992. Recommendations for research on Culicoides vector biology. See Ref. 129, pp. 977-81 Tanya VN, Greiner EC, Gibbs EPJ.
- 124. 1992. Evaluation of Culicoides insignis (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) as a vector of bluetongue virus. Vet. Microbiol. 32: 1 - 14
- Tanya VN, Greiner EC, Shroyer DA, Gibbs EP. 1993. Vector competence 125. parameters of Culicoides variipennis (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) for bluetongue virus serotype 2. J. Med. Entomol. 30:204-8
- Taylor WP. 1986. The epidemiology of 126. bluetongue, Rev. Sci. Technol. Off. Int. Epizoot. 5:351--56
- Thompson LH, Mo CL, Oviedo MT, 127. Homan EJ, Interamerican Bluetongue Team. 1992. Prevalence and incidence of bluetongue viruses in the Caribbean Basin: serologic and virologic findings. See Ref. 129, pp. 106-13 Uren MF, St George TD. 1985. The
- 128. clinical susceptibility of sheep to four Australian serotypes of bluetongue virus. Aust. Vet. J. 62:175–76
- 129. Walton TE, Osburn BI, eds. 1992. Bluetongue, African Horsesickness and Related Orbiviruses. Boca Raton, FL: CRC
- Walton TE, Tabachnick WJ, Thompson 130. LH, Holbrook FR. 1992. An entomologic and epidemiologic perspective for bluetongue regulatory changes for livestock movement from the United States and observations on bluetongue in the Caribbean Basin. See Ref. 129, pp. 952-60
- Wieser-Schimpf L, Wilson WC, French 131. DD, Baham A, Foil LD. 1993. Bluetongue virus in sheep and cattle and Culicoides variipennis and C. stellifer (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in Louisiana. J. Med. Entomol. 30:719-24
- Wirth WW, Jones RH. 1957. The North 132. American subspecies of Culicoides variipennis Diptera (Heleidae). US Dep. Agric. Tech. Bull. 1170:1-35
- 133. Wirth WW, Morris C. 1985. The taxonomic complex, Culicoides variipennis. See Ref. 4, pp. 165-75 Work TM, Sawyer MM, Jessup DA,
- 134. Washino RK, Osburn BI. 1990. Effects

CULICOIDES AND US BLUETONGUE EPIDEMIOLOGY 43

of anesthetization and storage temperature on bluetongue virus recovery from Culicoides varipennis (Diptera: Cera-topogonidae) and sheep blood. J. Med. Entomol. 27:331-33
135. Wright JC, Getz RR, Powe TA, Nus-

baum KE, Stringfellow DA, et al. 1993. Model based on weather variables to predict seroconversion to bluetongue virus in Alabama cattle. Prev. Vet. Med. 16:217-78