University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

UCARE Research Products

UCARE: Undergraduate Creative Activities & Research Experiences

Spring 4-14-2020

Evaluating Larval Movement and Infestation of Soybean Gall Midge

Genereuse Turabawe gturabawe2@huskers.unl.edu

Débora Goulart Montezano University of Nebraska-Lincoln, dmontezano2@unl.edu

Anthony J. McMechan University of Nebraska - Lincoln, justin.mcmechan@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ucareresearch

Part of the Entomology Commons

Turabawe, Genereuse; Montezano, Débora Goulart; and McMechan, Anthony J., "Evaluating Larval Movement and Infestation of Soybean Gall Midge" (2020). *UCARE Research Products*. 208. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ucareresearch/208

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the UCARE: Undergraduate Creative Activities & Research Experiences at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in UCARE Research Products by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.



Evaluating Larval Movement and Infestation of Soybean Gall Midge

Introduction

Soybean gall midge (SGM) Resseliella maxima Gagné is a newly identified insect pest of soybean (Gagné et al 2019). As of August, 2019 a total of 92 counties across five midwestern states (*Fig. 1*) documented as infested. Heavily injured soybean fields show visible signs of dead or dying plants (Fig. 2a), causing significant economic losses for farmers.

Heavily injured soybean plants often die prematurely with several different larval stages (*Fig. 2b*) still feeding within the plant. Significant concern have been raised about the role of these larvae in infesting adjacent healthy plants.

To better understand the behavior and potential for larval infestation a study was designed to determine the



Figure 1. Counties infested with soybean gall midge in 2018 (red) and 2019 (orange).



Figure 2. a) a field with significant injury from soybean gall midge with b) a close up of soybean gall midge larvae feeding within a plant; 1st, 2nd and 3rd instar larvae in lower left corner.

ability of different larval instars to move on different surfaces, and their behavior in the soil. An additional study was conducted to determine the larval ability to infest soybean plant.

Material and Methods

- Early and late instar larvae were collected from soybean plants in the field and individually exposed to black cardstock (Fig. 3a) and a soil surface (Fig. 3b)
- For each replication, larvae were given five minutes to acclimate to the treatment area followed by 15 minutes of recording the distance that larvae moved in one minute increments
- A total of 15 early instars larvae and 15 late instar larvae were observed for each surface
- A greenhouse study was conducted to evaluate the potential for infestation of a soybean plants
- Ten late instar larvae were placed at 0cm, 5cm, 10cm, 25 cm, and 50cm away from a susceptible soybean plant sown in a 60 cm propagation tray with field soil (Fig. 3c)
- Ten days after treatments plants were dissected for larval presence

Genereuse Turabawe¹, Débora G. Montezano², Anthony Justin McMechan³ ¹Undergraduate Student, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, ²Graduate Research Assistant, Department of Entomology, University of Nebraska – Lincoln, ³Assistant Professor of Entomology and Crop Protection and Cropping Systems Specialist, Eastern Nebraska Research and Extension Center, Ithaca, NE 68033.





Figure 3. Photos showing the different surfaces (a) black cardstock and (b) field soil for soybean gall midge larval movement. Image (c) shows testing area to determine larval infestation of a healthy soybean plant.

Results

Figure 4. Early instar soybean gall midge movement over time on flat and soil surface.





Figure 6. Proportion of early and late instar soybean gall midge larvae that burrowed into the soil under lab conditions.



Results

Early instar

- 73% of the early instar larvae moved on flat surface.

- and average movement of 0.10 cm (Fig. 4)
- Late instar
- 100% of the larvae moved on flat and soil surfaces.
- were 156 cm and 10.40 cm, respectively (Fig. 5)
- movement of 0.90 cm for all larvae (Fig. 5)
- 13.33% of the late instar larvae burrowed in the soil (Fig. 6) Greenhouse study
- replications of the experiment
- and could greatly impact larval movement
- soybean plants

Conclusion & Discussion

- movement
- potential
- Understanding SGM biology and behavior is essential for developing effective pest management strategies
- when compared to soil
- regardless of surface tested
- Larvae were handled and removed from their natural field conditions

Reference

Gagné, R.J., Yukawa, J., Elsayed, A.K. and McMechan, A.J., 2019. A New Pest Species of Resseliella (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) on Soybean (Fabaceae) in North America, with a Description of the Genus. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington, 121*(2), pp.168-177

Late Instar





• Total movement of all larvae was 5.30 cm on the flat surface. • Average larval movement was 0.40 cm on a flat surface (Fig. 4) Only 27% of the larvae moved on a soil surface with a total of 1.5 cm 86.66% of the early instar larvae burrowed in the soil (Fig. 6)

On flat surface the total and average movement among all larvae

Late instar larvae on a soil surface had total of 12.4 cm and average

No larval infestation of soybean plants was observed with two

Significant soil surface cracking was observed across all treatments

Time of day of larval release was not a factor in this experiment but could significantly impact larval survival and establishment on

The result provided are only a preliminary look into SGM larval

Further studies are needed along with field observations to determine the importance of larval movement and infestation

SGM larvae were able to move longer distances on flat surfaces

Late instar SGM present higher ability to move than early instars

Results suggested that SGM larvae present a greater ability to move on flat surface with limited movement on a soil surface environment, therefore the distance observed may not reflect