

University of Vermont

ScholarWorks @ UVM

College of Nursing and Health Sciences Doctor
of Nursing Practice (DNP) Project Publications

College of Nursing and Health Sciences

2020

Development of Evidence Based Medical Surveillance Program Recommendations for At-Risk Employees

Jessica C. Okrant

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/cnhsdnp>



Part of the [Occupational and Environmental Health Nursing Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Okrant, Jessica C., "Development of Evidence Based Medical Surveillance Program Recommendations for At-Risk Employees" (2020). *College of Nursing and Health Sciences Doctor of Nursing Practice (DNP) Project Publications*. 43.

<https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/cnhsdnp/43>

This Project is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Nursing and Health Sciences at ScholarWorks @ UVM. It has been accepted for inclusion in College of Nursing and Health Sciences Doctor of Nursing Practice (DNP) Project Publications by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks @ UVM. For more information, please contact donna.omalley@uvm.edu.

Development of a Medical Surveillance Program: Recommendations for At-Risk Employees

Jessica Okrant, RN BS OCN DNPc
Project Advisor: Stuart Whitney, RN EdD

Problem

- Employees are at risk of adverse health events related to hazardous drug(HD) exposure
- Lack of a standardized medical surveillance program (MSP) for employees who are potentially exposed to HDs on a regular basis.
- Employee Health department lacks staffing to implement an MSP

Available Knowledge

- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health and The Oncology Nursing Society recommend surveillance of workers who handle HDs.
- Exposure increases risk of “leukemias, and other cancers, adverse reproductive outcomes and chromosomal damage.”






Methods

- Large academic medical centers in the Northeast were contacted.
- Semi-structured interviews determined workplace practices & policies at each institution.
- Data from interviews, policies and procedures was assimilated to create an MSP proposal.
- Data presented to stakeholders.
- Discussion and a post-presentation survey assessed feasibility and determined next steps for implementation.

Purpose & Aims

- Develop evidence-based recommendation based on literature review & review of current practices among New England Cancer Institutes.
- Provide supporting evidence for development of an MSP
- Obtain feedback to assess feasibility of implementation of an MSP

Contact:
Jessica Okrant
Email: jokrant@uvm.edu
Phone: (860) 983-3746



Results

- All 14 institutions provided information about workplace practices regarding HD exposure.
- 13 institutions with exposure risk
- 2 successfully implemented MSPs, 1 was in the process of developing an MSP, while the other 9 engaged in primary prevention only.
- N=8 of 9 presentation attendees filled out post-presentation survey
- Obstacles for implementation : Need for an occupational medicine(OM) provider; A record keeping system; Monitoring on an ongoing basis; and Defining population at-risk to survey.
- 100% supported development of a standardized MSP.
- 87.5% support hiring of an occupational medicine provider.

Discussion

- Needs assessment serves as a useful tool for future development
- Importance of education prior to development of an MSP
- Concerns expressed re. identifying those at risk, documentation, lack of an OM provider, and frequency of surveillance.
- Stakeholder buy-in reflects desire to develop an MSP after education has been addressed.

Conclusion

- Policies revised to reflect that there isn't currently an MSP.
- Education modules are being developed
- MSP implementation will be considered in the future since the network has the resources and data needed to properly implement.

Limitations

- Generalizability limited given small sample size, & data only from large academic medical centers in the Northeast.
- Selection bias for individuals surveyed.
- Recall/recording bias for the qualitative data that was obtained.