The Nehari Manifold for p-Laplacian Equation with Dirichlet Boundary Condition

G. A. Afrouzi, S. Mahdavi, Z. Naghizadeh

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Sciences Mazandaran University, Babolsar, Iran afrouzi@umz.ac.ir

Received: 25.04.2006 **Revised:** 14.03.2007 **Published online:** 05.05.2007

Abstract. The Nehari manifold for the equation $-\Delta_p u(x) = \lambda u(x)|u(x)|^{p-2} + b(x)|u(x)|^{\gamma-2}u(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$ together with Dirichlet boundary condition is investigated in the case where $0 < \gamma < p$. Exploiting the relationship between the Nehari manifold and fibrering maps (i.e., maps of the form of $t \to J(tu)$ where J is the Euler functional associated with the equation), we discuss how the Nehari manifold changes as λ changes, and show how existence results for positive solutions of the equation are linked to the properties of Nehari manifold.

Keywords: the *p*-Laplacian, variational methods, Nehari manifold, fibrering maps.

AMS classification: 35J60, 35B30, 35B40.

1 Introduction

Consider the semilinear boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u(x) = \lambda u(x) \big| u(x) \big|^{p-2} + b(x) \big| u(x) \big|^{\gamma-2} u(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where Ω is a bounded region with smooth boundary in R^N and $b \colon \Omega \to R$ is a smooth function which may change sign.

The study of elliptic equations involving the p-Laplacian and using the fibrering method sees great increase in number of papers published, see [1–3] which have studied the equation with convex-concave linearity. Notice that these results have also generalized to (p, q)-system in the papers such as [4,5] using the fibrering method.

In this paper we have generalized the article of Brown and Zhang [6] to the p-Laplacian by using fibrering method for $1 < \gamma < p$. This problem when $\gamma > p$ has been studied by Binding et al. [7,8] by using variational method.

We shall discuss the existence and multiplicity of non-negative solution of (1) from a variational viewpoint making use of the Nehari manifold [9, 10].

Suppose that λ_1 is the principal eigenvalue of the linear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u(x) = \lambda u(x) |u(x)|^{p-2}, & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
 (2)

The direction of bifurcation being determined by the sign of $\int_{\Omega} b\phi_1^{\gamma} dx$ where ϕ_1 is the positive principal eigenvalue corresponding to λ_1 . We shall show precisely the important role played by $\int_{\Omega} b\phi_1^{\gamma} dx$ by investigating the Nehari manifold changes with λ .

The Euler function associated with (1) is

$$J_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int\limits_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int\limits_{\Omega} |u|^p dx - \frac{1}{\gamma} \int\limits_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma} dx, \quad u \in W^{1,p}_o(\Omega).$$

By the spectral theorem

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - \lambda \int\limits_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \geq (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \int\limits_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \quad \text{for all } \ u \in W^{1,p}_o(\Omega)$$

and so

$$J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{p}(\lambda_{1} - \lambda) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p} dx - \frac{\overline{b}}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\gamma} dx$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{p}(\lambda_{1} - \lambda) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p} dx - \frac{\overline{b}}{\gamma} |\Omega|^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{p}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p} dx \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{p}},$$

where $\overline{b}=\sup_{x\in\Omega}b(x)$. Hence J_λ is bounded below on $W^{1,p}_o(\Omega)$ when $\lambda<\lambda_1$. It is easy to see, however, that, when $\lambda>\lambda_1$, $\lim_{t\to\infty}J_\lambda(t\phi_1)=-\infty$ and so J_λ is no longer bounded below on $W^{1,p}_o(\Omega)$. In order to obtain existence results in this case we introduce the Nehari manifold

$$S(\lambda) = \{ u \in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega) \colon \langle J_\lambda'(u), u \rangle = 0 \},\$$

where \langle , \rangle the usual duality. Thus $u \in S(\lambda)$ if and only if

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - \lambda \int\limits_{\Omega} |u|^p dx - \int\limits_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma} dx = 0.$$

Clearly $S(\lambda)$ is a much smaller set than $W^{1,p}_o(\Omega)$ and so it is easier to study J_λ on $S(\lambda)$. On $S(\lambda)$ we have that

$$J_{\lambda}(u) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^p - \lambda |u|^p \right) dx = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma} dx. \tag{3}$$

The Nehari manifold is closely linked to the behavior of the form $\phi_u: t \to J_\lambda(tu)(t > 0)$. Such maps are known as fibrering maps and were introduce by Drabek and Pohozaev in [11]. If $u \in W^{1,p}_o(\Omega)$, we have

$$\phi_u(t) = \frac{t^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^p - \lambda |u|^p \right) dx - \frac{t^{\gamma}}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma} dx, \tag{4}$$

$$\phi_u'(t) = t^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^p - \lambda |u|^p \right) dx - t^{\gamma - 1} \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma} dx, \tag{5}$$

$$\phi_u''(t) = (p-1)t^{p-2} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^p - \lambda |u|^p \right) dx - (\gamma - 1)t^{\gamma - 2} \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma} dx. \tag{6}$$

It is easy to see that $u\in S(\lambda)$ if and only if $\phi'_u(1)=0$ and more generally that $\phi'_u(t)=0$ if and only if $tu\in S(\lambda)$, i.e., elements in $S(\lambda)$ correspond to stationary points of fibrering maps. Thus it is natural to subdivide $S(\lambda)$ into sets corresponding to local minima, local maxima and points of inflection. It follows from (5) and (6) that if $\phi'_u(t)=0$, then $\phi''_u(t)=(p-\gamma)t^{\gamma-2}\int_{\Omega}b|u|^{\gamma}dx$. Thus we define

$$\begin{split} S^+(\lambda) &= \Big\{u \in S(\lambda) \colon \int\limits_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma} dx > 0 \Big\}, \\ S^-(\lambda) &= \Big\{u \in S(\lambda) \colon \int\limits_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma} dx < 0 \Big\}, \\ S^0(\lambda) &= \Big\{u \in S(\lambda) \colon \int\limits_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma} dx = 0 \Big\}, \end{split}$$

so that S^+, S^-, S^0 corresponding to minima, maxima and points of inflection respectively.

Let $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then

1) if $\int_{\Omega}(|\nabla u|^p-\lambda|u|^p)dx$ and $\int_{\Omega}b|u|^{\gamma}dx$ have the same sign, ϕ_u has a unique turning point at

$$t(u) = \left[\frac{\int_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma} dx}{\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^{p} - \lambda |u|^{p} \right) dx} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-\gamma}}$$

this turning point is a local minimum(maximum) so that $t(u)u \in S^+(\lambda)((S^-(\lambda)))$ if and only if $\int_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma}dx > 0 (<0)$;

2) if $\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p - \lambda |u|^p) dx$ and $\int_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma} dx$ have different sign, then ϕ_u has no turning points and so no multiples of u lie in $S(\lambda)$.

Thus, if we define

$$L_{+}(\lambda) = \Big\{ u \in W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega) \colon ||u|| = 1 \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} \Big(|\nabla u|^{p} - \lambda |u|^{p} \Big) dx > 0 \Big\},$$

$$B_{+} = \Big\{ u \in W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega) \colon ||u|| = 1 \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma} dx > 0 \Big\},$$

where the norm of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is defined as $||u|| = \{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla|^p dx\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and analogously define $L_-(\lambda), L_0(\lambda), B_-, B_0$ by replacing '>0' by '<0' or '=0' respectively.

Thus, if $u \in L_+(\lambda) \cap B_+$, $\phi_u(t) < 0$ for t small and negative, $\phi_u(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$ and $\phi_u(t)$ has a unique minimum at t(u) so that $t(u)u \in S^+(\lambda)$. Similarly if $u \in L_-(\lambda) \cap B_-$, $\phi_u(t) > 0$ for t small and positive, $\phi_u(t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$ and $\phi_u(t)$ has a unique maximum at t(u) so that $t(u)u \in S^-(\lambda)$. Finally if $u \in L_+(\lambda) \cap B_-$ (resp. $u \in L_-(\lambda) \cap B_+$), $\phi_u(t)$ is strictly decreasing (resp. increasing) for all (t > 0).

Thus we have

- 1) if $u \in L_+(\lambda) \cap B_+$, then $t \to \phi_u(t)$ has a local minimum at t = t(u) and $t(u)u \in S^+(\lambda)$;
- 2) if $u \in L_{-}(\lambda) \cap B_{-}$, then $t \to \phi_{u}(t)$ has a local maximum at t = t(u) and $t(u)u \in S^{-}(\lambda)$;
- 3) if $u \in L_+(\lambda) \cap B_-$, then $t \to \phi_u(t)$ is strictly increasing and no multiple of u lies in $S(\lambda)$;
- 4) if $u \in L_{-}(\lambda) \cap B_{+}$, then $t \to \phi_{u}(t)$ is strictly decreasing and no multiple of u lies in $S(\lambda)$.

The Euler functional changes sign in $S(\lambda)$, it is positive in $S^-(\lambda)$ and is negative in $S^+(\lambda)$. We shall prove the existence of solutions of (1) by investigating the existence of minimizers on $S(\lambda)$. Although $S(\lambda)$ is only a small subset of $W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$, it turns out that minimizers of $J(\lambda)$ on $S(\lambda)$ are generically also critical points of $J(\lambda)$ on $W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$. We have

Lemma 1. Suppose that u_0 is a local maximum or minimum for $J(\lambda)$ on $S(\lambda)$. If $u_0 \notin S^0$, then u_0 is a critical point of $J(\lambda)$.

Proof. If u_0 is a local minimizer for J on $S(\lambda)$, then u_0 is a solution of the optimization problem

minimizer J(u) subject to $\gamma(u) = 0$,

where $\gamma(u)=\int_{\Omega}(|\nabla u|^p-\lambda|u|^p-b|u|^\gamma)dx$. Hence, by the theory of Lagrange multipliers, there exists $\mu\in R$ such that $J'(u_0)=\mu\gamma'(u_0)$. Thus

$$\langle J'(u_0), u_0 \rangle = \mu \langle \gamma'(u_0), u_0 \rangle. \tag{1'}$$

Since $u_0 \in S(\lambda)$, $\langle J'(u_0), u_0 \rangle = 0$ and so $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^p dx = \int (\lambda |u_0|^p + b|u_0|^{\gamma}) dx$. Hence

$$\left\langle \gamma'(u_0), u_0 \right\rangle = p \int\limits_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u_0|^p - \lambda |u_0|^p \right) dx - \gamma \int b |u_0|^{\gamma} dx = (p - \gamma) \int b |u_0|^{\gamma} dx.$$

Thus if $u_0 \notin S^0(\lambda)$, $\langle \gamma'(u_0), u_0 \rangle \neq 0$ and so by (1.1) $\mu = 0$. Hence the proof is complete.

The plane of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we show the importance of the condition $L_-(\lambda) \subseteq B_-$ in determining the nature of the Nehari manifold, in Section 3 we prove results about the existence of minimizers on the Nehari manifold and in Section 4 we discuss how the previous results yield information about non-negative solutions of (1) as λ changes and in particular about bifurcation from infinity. In Section 5 we investigate the nature of the Nehari manifold in cases where it is known that no non-trivial non-negative solutions of (1) exist.

Finally, it should be noted that our results hold only in the cases where the nonlinearity is a homogeneous function. This ensures that the fibrering maps involve only power of t and the simplicity of our proof rely heavily on this fact. The corresponding existence and global bifurcation results hold in much more general or abstract setting and it seems likely that analougous results for Nehari manifolds should also hold in such cases.

2 Properties of the Nehari manifold

When $\lambda < \lambda_1$, $\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p - \lambda |u|^p) dx > 0$ for all $u \in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and so $L_+(\lambda) = \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \|u\| = 1\}$ and $L_-(\lambda), L_0(\lambda) = \emptyset$. When $\lambda = \lambda_1$, we have $L_-(\lambda) = \emptyset$ and $L_0(\lambda) = \{\phi_1\}$ and when λ is greater than $\lambda_1, L_-(\lambda)$ becomes non-empty and gets bigger as λ increases. In this section we shall discuss the vital role played by the condition $L_-(\lambda) \subset B_-$ in determining the nature of the Nehari manifold. In view of the preceding remarks it is easy to see that this condition is always satisfied when $\lambda < \lambda_1$, may or may not be satisfied when $\lambda > \lambda_1$ and is increasingly likely to be violated as λ increases.

Theorem 1. Suppose there exists $\widehat{\lambda}$ such that for all $\lambda < \widehat{\lambda}$, $L_{-}(\lambda) \subset B_{-}$. Then, for all $\lambda < \widehat{\lambda}$,

- (i) $L_0(\lambda) \subseteq B_-$ and so $L_0(\lambda) \cap B_0 = \emptyset$;
- (ii) $S^+(\lambda)$ is bounded;
- (iii) $0 \notin \overline{S^-(\lambda)}$ and $S^-(\lambda)$ is closed;
- (iv) $\overline{S^+(\lambda)} \cap S^-(\lambda) = \emptyset$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that the result is false. Then there exists $u \in L_0(\lambda)$ such that $u \notin B_-$. If $\lambda < \mu < \widehat{\lambda}$, then $u \in L_-(\mu)$ and so $L_-(\mu) \not\subseteq B_-$ which is a contradiction.

(ii) Suppose that $S^+(\lambda)$ is unbounded. Then there exists $\{u_n\}\subseteq S^+(\lambda)$ such that $\|u_n\|\to\infty$ as $n\to\infty$. Let $v_n=\frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$. We may assume without loss of generality that $v_n\to v_0$ in $W^{1,p}_o(\Omega)$ and so $v_n\to v_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ and in $L^\gamma(\Omega)$. Since $u_n\in S^+(\lambda)$, $\int_\Omega b|v_n|^\gamma dx>0$ and so $\int_\Omega b|v_0|^\gamma dx\geq 0$. Since $u_n\in S(\lambda)$,

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^p - \lambda |u_n|^p) dx = \int_{\Omega} b|u_n|^{\gamma} dx$$

and so

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla v_n|^p - \lambda |v_n|^p \right) dx = \int\limits_{\Omega} b |v_n|^{\gamma} \frac{1}{\|u_n\|^{p-\gamma}} dx \to 0.$$

Suppose $v_n \neq v_0$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then $\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_0|^p dx < \underline{\lim} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^p dx)$ and so

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_0|^p - \lambda |v_0|^p) dx < \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^p - \lambda |v_n|^p) dx = 0.$$

Thus $\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|} \in L_-(\lambda) \subset B_-$ which is impossible as $\int_{\Omega} b|v_0|^{\gamma} dx \geq 0$. Hence $v_n \to v_0$ in $W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Thus $\|v_0\| = 1$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_0|^p - \lambda |v_0|^p) dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^p - \lambda |v_n|^p) dx = 0.$$

Thus $v_0 \in L_0(\lambda) \subseteq B_-$ which is again impossible. Hence $S^+(\lambda)$ is bounded.

(iii) Suppose $0 \in \overline{S^-(\lambda)}$. Then there exists $\{u_n\} \subseteq S^-(\lambda)$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = 0$. Let $v_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$. Then we may assume that $v_n \rightharpoonup v_0$ in $W^{1,p}_o(\Omega)$ and $v_n \to v_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$. Since $u_n \in S^-(\lambda)$, we have

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla v_n|^p - \lambda |v_n|^p \right) dx = \frac{1}{\|u_n\|^{p-\gamma}} \int\limits_{\Omega} b|v_n|^{\gamma} dx \le 0.$$

Since the left hand side is bounded, it follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\Omega} b|v_n|^{\gamma} dx = 0$ and so $\int_{\Omega} b|v_0|^{\gamma} dx = 0$.

Suppose $v_n \to v_0$. Then $||v_0|| = 1$ and so $v_0 \in B_0$. Moreover

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_0|^p - \lambda |v_0|^p) dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^p - \lambda |v_n|^p) dx \le 0$$

and so $v_0 \in L_0(\lambda)$ or $L_-(\lambda)$. Hence $v_0 \in B_-$ and this is impossible.

Thus we must have that $v_n \not\to v_0$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_0|^p - \lambda |v_0|^p) dx < \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^p - \lambda |v_n|^p) dx \le 0.$$

Hence $\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|} \in L_-(\lambda) \cap B_0$ which is impossible and so $0 \notin \overline{S^-(\lambda)}$. We now prove that $S^-(\lambda)$ is closed. Suppose $\{u_n\} \subseteq S^-(\lambda)$ and $u_n \to u$ in $W^{1,p}_o(\Omega)$. Then $u \in \overline{S^-(\lambda)}$ and so $u \neq 0$. Moreover,

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p - \lambda |u|^p) dx = \int_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma} dx \le 0.$$

If both integrals equal 0, than $\frac{u}{\|u\|} \in L_0(\lambda) \cup B_0$ which is contradicts (i). Hence both integrals must be negative and so $u \in S^-(\lambda)$. Thus $S^-(\lambda)$ is closed.

(iv) Let $u \in \overline{S^+(\lambda)} \cap S^-(\lambda)$. As $u \in S^-(\lambda)$, $u \neq 0$. Moreover it is clear that

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p - \lambda |u|^p) dx = \int\limits_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma} dx = 0.$$

and so $\frac{u}{\|u\|} \in L_0(\lambda) \cap B_0$ which is impossible.

We can also deduce important results about the behaviour of J_{λ} on $S^{+}(\lambda)$ and $S^-(\lambda)$. By considering fibrering maps it is clear that $J_{\lambda}(u) > 0$ on $S^-(\lambda)$ and $J_{\lambda}(u) < 0$ on $S^+(\lambda)$. Moreover

Theorem 2. Suppose the same hypotheses are satisfied as in Theorem 1. Then

- (i) J_{λ} is bounded below on $S^{+}(\lambda)$;
- (ii) $\inf_{u \in S^{-}(\lambda)} J_{\lambda}(u) > 0$ provided $S^{-}(\lambda)$ is non-empty.

Proof. (i) is an immediate consequence of the boundedness of $S^+(\lambda)$.

(ii) Suppose $\inf_{u\in S^-(\lambda)}J_\lambda(u)=0$. Then there exists $\{u_n\}\subseteq S^-(\lambda)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} J_{\lambda}(u_n) = 0$. Then it is clear from (3) that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u_n|^p - \lambda |u_n|^p \right) dx \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} b |u_n|^{\gamma} dx \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Let $v_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$. Since $0 \notin \overline{S^-(\lambda)}$, $\{\|u_n\|\}$ is bounded away from 0. Hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^p - \lambda |v_n|^p) dx = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} b |v_n|^{\gamma} dx = 0.$$

We may assume that $v_n \rightharpoonup v_0$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $v_n \to v_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$. Then $\int_{\Omega} b|v_0|^{\gamma} dx = 0$.

If $v_n \to v_0$, we have $\|v_0\| = 1$ and $\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_0|^p - \lambda |v_0|^p) dx = 0$, i.e., $v_0 \in L_0(\lambda)$, whereas, if $v_n \not\to v_0$, $\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_0|^p - \lambda |v_0|^p) dx < 0$, i.e., $\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|} \in L_-(\lambda)$. In both cases, however, we must also have $\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|} \in B_0$ and this contradiction. Hence $\inf_{u \in S^-(\lambda)} J_{\lambda}(u) > 0.$

Lemma 2. Suppose $L_{-}(\lambda) \cap B_{+} \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists k > 0 such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $u_{\varepsilon} \in L_{+}(\lambda) \cap B_{+}$ such that

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} \big(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 - \lambda |u_{\varepsilon}|^2 \big) dx < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \int\limits_{\Omega} b |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\gamma} dx > k.$$

The existence of minimizers

Theorem 3. Suppose $L_{-}(\lambda) \subseteq B_{-}$ for all $\lambda < \widehat{\lambda}$. Then, for all $\lambda < \widehat{\lambda}$,

- (i) there exists a minimizer for J_{λ} on $S^{+}(\lambda)$;
- (ii) there exists a minimizer for J_{λ} on $S^{-}(\lambda)$ provided that $L_{-}(\lambda)$ is non-empty.

Proof. By Theorem 2 J_{λ} is bounded below on $S^{+}(\lambda)$. Let $\{u_n\} \subseteq S^{+}(\lambda)$ be a minimizing sequence, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} J_{\lambda}(u_n) = \inf_{u \in S^+(\lambda)} J_{\lambda}(u) < 0.$$

Since $S^+(\lambda)$ is bounded, we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $u_n \to u_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$. Since $J_\lambda(u_n) = (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma}) \int_\Omega b |u_n|^\gamma dx$, it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} b|u_0|^{\gamma} dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} b|u_n|^{\gamma} dx > 0$$

and so $\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|}\in B_+$. Hence by Theorem 1, $\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|}\in L_+(\lambda)$ and so the fibrering map ϕ_{u_0} has a unique minimum at $t(u_0)$ such that $t(u_0)u_0 \in S^+(\lambda)$. Suppose $u_n \not\to u_0$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_0|^p - \lambda |u_0|^p) dx < \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^p - \lambda |u_n|^p) dx$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} b|u_n|^{\gamma} dx = \int_{\Omega} b|u_0|^{\gamma} dx$$

and so $t(u_0) > 1$. Hence

$$J_{\lambda}(t(u_0)u_0) < J_{\lambda}(u_0) < \lim_{n \to \infty} J_{\lambda}(u_n) = \inf_{u \in S^+(\lambda)} J_{\lambda}(u)$$

which is impossible.

Hence $u_n \to u_0$ and so $u_0 \in S(\lambda)$. It now follows easily that u_0 is a minimizer for J_{λ} on $S^+(\lambda)$.

(ii) Let $\{u_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence for J_{λ} on $S^-(\lambda)$. Then by Theorem 2 we must have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} J_{\lambda}(u_n) = \inf_{u \in S^{-}(\lambda)} J_{\lambda}(u) > 0.$$

Suppose that $\{u_n\}$ is unbounded, we may suppose that $\|u_n\| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $v_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$. Since $\{J_\lambda(u_n)\}$ is bounded, it follows that $\{\int_\Omega (|\nabla u_n|^p - \lambda |u_n|^p) dx\}$ and $\{\int_\Omega b|u_n|^\gamma dx\}$ are bounded and so

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^p - \lambda |v_n|^p) dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} b|v_n|^{\gamma} dx = 0.$$

Since $\{v_n\}$ is bounded, we may assume that $v_n \rightharpoonup v_0$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $v_n \to v_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ so that $\int_{\Omega} b|v_0|^{\gamma} dx = 0$.

If $v_n \to v_0$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, it is easy to see that $v_0 \in L_0(\lambda) \cap B_0$ which is impossible because of Theorem 1(i).

Hence $v_n \not\to v_0$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and so

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_0|^p - \lambda |v_0|^p) dx < \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^p - \lambda |v_n|^p) dx = 0.$$

Hence $v_0 \neq 0$ and $\frac{v_0}{\|v_0\|} \in L_-(\lambda) \cap B_0$ which is again impossible.

Thus $\{u_n\}$ is bounded and so we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $u_n \to u_0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$. Suppose $u_n \not\to u_0$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} b|u_0|^{\gamma} dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int\limits_{\Omega} b|u_n|^{\gamma} dx = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma}\right)^{-1} \lim_{n \to \infty} J_{\lambda}(u_n) < 0$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_0|^p - \lambda |u_0|^p) dx < \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^p - \lambda |u_n|^p) dx$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} b|u_n|^{\gamma} dx = \int_{\Omega} b|u_0|^{\gamma} dx.$$

Hence $\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|} \in L_-(\lambda) \cap B_-$ and so $t(u_0)u_0 \in S^-(\lambda)$ where

$$t(u_0) = \left[\frac{\int_{\Omega} b|u_0|^{\gamma} dx}{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_0|^p - \lambda |u_0|^p) dx}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-\gamma}} < 1.$$

Moreover $t(u_0)u_n \rightharpoonup t(u_0)u_0$ but $t(u_0)u_n \not\rightarrow t(u_0)u_0$ and so

$$J_{\lambda}(t(u_0)u_0) < \underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} J_{\lambda}(t(u_0)u_n).$$

Since the map $t \to J_{\lambda}(tu_n)$ attains its maximum at t = 1,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} J_{\lambda}(t(u_0)u_n) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} J_{\lambda}(u_n) = \inf_{u \in S^{-}(\lambda)} J_{\lambda}(u).$$

Hence $J_{\lambda}(t(u_0)u_0) < \inf_{u \in S^-(\lambda)} J_{\lambda}(u)$ which is impossible.

Thus $u_n \to u_0$ and it follows easily u_0 is a minimizer for J_{λ} on $S^{-}(\lambda)$.

The existence of above minimizers implies the existence of corresponding nonnegative solution of (1). Suppose, for example, that u_0 is a minimizer for J_{λ} on $S^-(\lambda)$. Since $J_{\lambda}(u) = J_{\lambda}(|u|)$, we may assume that u_0 is non-negative in Ω . Since $S^-(\lambda)$ is closed, u_0 is a local minimum for J_{λ} on $S(\lambda)$. It follows from Lemma 1 that u_0 is a minimizers on S^+ , (λ) , $J_{\lambda}(u_0) < 0$. Thus u_0 must be a local minimizer on $S(\lambda)$ and so again corresponds to a classical solution of (1). So the positive solutions are saddle points of the Euler functional and are characterized as local minimum of Euler functional restricted to $S^+(\lambda)$ and $S^-(\lambda)$.

4 Bifurcation from infinity

It can be shown using bifurcation theory arguments that bifurcation from infinity occurs at $\lambda=\lambda_1$ and that the direction of this bifurcation is determined by the sign of $\int_\Omega b\phi_1^\gamma dx$. In this section we show how these facts are related to properties of the Nehari manifold for the problem.

Since $L_{-}(\lambda)$ is empty for $\lambda < \lambda_1$, it follows from Theorem 3 that J_{λ} has a minimizer on $S^{+}(\lambda)$ whenever $\lambda < \lambda_1$.

Our next result corresponds to the fact that a branch of positive solutions bifurcates from infinity to the left at $\lambda = \lambda_1$ when $\int_{\Omega} b\phi_1^{\gamma} dx > 0$.

Theorem 4. Suppose $\int_{\Omega} b\phi_1^{\gamma} dx > 0$. Then

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_1^-} \inf_{u \in S^+(\lambda)} J_{\lambda}(u) = -\infty.$$

Proof. Since $\int_{\Omega} b\phi_1^{\gamma} dx > 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi_1|^p - \lambda |\phi_1|^p dx = (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \int_{\Omega} |\phi_1|^p dx$, we have that $\phi_1 \in L_+(\lambda) \cap B_+$ for all $\lambda < \lambda_1$. Hence $t(\phi_1)\phi_1 \in S^+(\lambda)$ and

$$J_{\lambda}(t(\phi_{1})\phi_{1}) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) |t(\phi_{1})|^{p} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla \phi_{1}|^{p} - \lambda |\phi_{1}|^{p}\right) dx$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \left[\frac{\int_{\Omega} b|\phi_{1}|^{\gamma} dx}{\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla \phi_{1}|^{p} - \lambda |\phi_{1}|^{p}\right) dx}\right]^{\frac{p}{p-\gamma}} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla \phi_{1}|^{p} - \lambda |\phi_{1}|^{p}\right) dx$$

$$\begin{split} &= \Big(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma}\Big) \frac{\left[\int_{\Omega} b|\phi_1|^{\gamma} dx\right]^{\frac{p}{p-\gamma}}}{\left[\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla \phi_1|^p - \lambda|\phi_1|^p\right) dx\right]^{\frac{\gamma}{p-\gamma}}} \\ &= \Big(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma}\Big) \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda)^{\frac{\gamma}{p-\gamma}}} \frac{\left[\int_{\Omega} b|\phi_1|^{\gamma} dx\right]^{\frac{p}{p-\gamma}}}{\left[\int_{\Omega} |\phi_1|^{\gamma} dx\right]^{\frac{p}{p-\gamma}}}. \end{split}$$

Thus $\inf_{u \in S^+(\lambda)} J_{\lambda}(u) \leq J_{\lambda}(t(\phi_1)\phi_1) \to -\infty$ as $\lambda \to \lambda_1^-$.

Corollary 1. Suppose $\int_{\Omega} b|\phi_1|^{\gamma} dx > 0$. Then for every $\lambda < \lambda_1$ there exists a minimizer u_{λ} on $S^+(\lambda)$ such that $\lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_1^-} \|u_{\lambda}\| = \infty$.

We now turn our attention to the case where $\int_{\Omega} b |\phi_1|^{\gamma} dx < 0$. In this case the hypotheses of Theorem 1 hold some way to the right of $\lambda = \lambda_1$. Moreover precisely

Lemma 3. Suppose $\int_{\Omega} b|\phi_1|^{\gamma} dx < 0$. Then exist $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that $u \in L_{-}(\lambda) \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} bu^{\gamma} dx \leq -\delta_2$ whenever $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_1 + \delta_1$.

The result can be proved by a straightforward contradiction argument.

Corollary 2. Suppose $\int_{\Omega} b |\phi_1|^{\gamma} dx < 0$ and δ_1 is as in Lemma 3. Then whenever $\lambda_1 \le \lambda \le \lambda_1 + \delta_1$, there exist minimizers u_{λ} and v_{λ} of J_{λ} on $S^+(\lambda)$ and $S^-(\lambda)$ respectively.

Proof. Clearly $\phi_1 \in L_-(\lambda)$ and so $L_-(\lambda)$ is non-empty whenever $\lambda \ge \lambda_1$. By Lemma 3 the hypotheses of Theorem 3 are satisfied with $\hat{\lambda} = \lambda_1 + \delta_1$ and so the result follows. \square

The next results show that when $\int_{\Omega} b|\phi_1|^{\gamma} dx < 0$, bifurcation from infinity occurs to the right at $\lambda = \lambda_1$.

Theorem 5. Suppose $\int_{\Omega} b\phi_1^{\gamma} dx < 0$. As $\lambda \to \lambda_1^+$, v_{λ} becomes unbounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $v \in S^-(\lambda)$. Then v = t(u)u for some $u \in L_-(\lambda) \cap B_-$. Now $\int_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma} dx < -\delta_2$ provided $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_1 + \delta_1$ and

$$0 > \int\limits_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^p - \lambda |u|^p \right) dx \ge \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} \right) \int\limits_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda}{\lambda_1},$$

so that $|\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p - \lambda |u|^p) dx| \leq \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1}$. Hence

$$J_{\lambda}(v) = J_{\lambda}(t(u)u) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \left[t(u)\right]^{p} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^{p} - \lambda |u|^{p}\right) dx$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{\left|\int_{\Omega} b|u|^{\gamma}\right|^{\frac{\gamma}{p-\gamma}}}{\left|\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^{p} - \lambda_{1}|u|^{p}\right) dx\right|^{\frac{\gamma}{p-\gamma}}} \ge \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{\lambda_{1}^{\frac{\gamma}{p-\gamma}} \delta_{2}^{\frac{\gamma}{p-\gamma}}}{(\lambda - \lambda_{1})^{\frac{\gamma}{p-\gamma}}}.$$

Hence $\inf_{v \in S^-(\lambda)} J_{\lambda}(v) \to \infty$ as $\lambda \to \lambda_1$ and so v_{λ} is unbounded as $\lambda \to \lambda_1^+$.

5 The case of non-existence

Finally we show that under hypotheses where it is known that no positive solutions to (1) exist then J_{λ} is not bounded below on $S(\lambda)$.

Lemma 4. J_{λ} is unbounded below on $S(\lambda)$ whenever $L_{-}(\lambda) \cap B_{+} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose $u_0 \in L_-(\lambda) \cap B_+$. It follows from Lemma 2 that there exists k > 0 and a sequence $\{u_n\} \subseteq L_-(\lambda) \cap B_+$ such that $\int_{\Omega} b|u_n|^{\gamma} dx \ge k$ and $0 < \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p - \lambda|u|^p) dx < \frac{1}{n}$. Then, using the same computation as in the proof of Theorem 5, we have

$$J_{\lambda}(t(u_n)u_n) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \frac{\left(\int_{\Omega} b|u_n|^{\gamma} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p-\gamma}}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^p - \lambda|u|^p\right) dx\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{p-\gamma}}}$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) n^{\frac{\gamma}{p-\gamma}} k^{\frac{p}{p-\gamma}} \to -\infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Hence J_{λ} is unbounded below on $S(\lambda)$.

The following non-existence results for (1) are well-known. For completeness we give their simple proofs.

Theorem 6. (i) Suppose $\int_{\Omega} b|\phi_1|^{\gamma} dx > 0$. Then (1) has no positive solutions whenever $\lambda > \lambda_1$.

(ii) Equation (1) has no positive solutions when $\lambda > \widehat{\lambda}$ where $\widehat{\lambda}$ is the principal eigenvalue of

$$-\Delta_p u(x) = \lambda u(x) |u(x)|^{p-2} \text{ for } x \in \Omega^+, \quad u(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \partial \Omega^+,$$

$$\Omega^+ = \left\{ x \in \Omega \colon b(x) > 0 \right\}.$$
(7)

Proof. (i) Suppose $\int_{\Omega} b|\phi_1|^{\gamma} dx > 0$ and that (1) has a positive solution u. Multiplying (1) by ϕ_1 , (2) by u and subtracting gives

$$- \Delta_p u(x)\phi_1(x) + u(x)\Delta_p \phi_1(x) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)u(x)\phi_1(x) + b(x)|u(x)|^{\gamma - p} u(x)\phi_1(x)$$

and so

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\phi_1}{u}\right)^{\gamma-p+1} (-\Delta u \phi_1 + u \Delta \phi_1) dx = \int\limits_{\Omega} (\lambda - \lambda_1) \phi_1^{\gamma} u^{p-\gamma} dx + \int\limits_{\Omega} b |\phi_1|^{\gamma} dx.$$

By Picone's identity, the left hand side is negative. Hence we must have $\lambda < \lambda_1$ and so (1) has no positive solutions when $\lambda > \lambda_1$.

(ii) Suppose that (1) has a positive solution. Then u(x) > o on Ω^+ and

$$-\Delta u(x) = \lambda u(x) + b(x)|u|^{\gamma-p}u \ge \lambda u \text{ on } \Omega^+; \quad u(x) \ge 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega^+.$$

It follows from the maximum principle that $\lambda \leq \overline{\lambda}$.

Finally we observe that in each of the cases above J_{λ} is not bounded below on $S(\lambda)$.

Theorem 7. J_{λ} is not bounded below on $S(\lambda)$ when either of the following condition hold:

- (i) $\int_{\Omega} b|\phi_1|^{\gamma} dx > 0$ and $\lambda > \lambda_1$;
- (ii) $\lambda > \overline{\lambda}$ where $\overline{\lambda}$ is as in Theorem 6.

Proof. By Lemma 4 it is sufficient to show that $L_{-}(\lambda) \cap B_{+} \neq \emptyset$. If (i) holds, then $\phi_{1} \in L_{-}(\lambda) \cap B_{+}$ and, if (ii) holds, then $\psi \in L_{-}(\lambda) \cap B_{+}$ where

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \text{positive principal eigenfunction of (7) on } \Omega^+, \\ 0 \text{ if } x \in \Omega/\Omega^+. \end{cases}$$

References

- 1. A. El Hamidi, Multiple solutions with changing sign energy to a nonlinear elliptic equation, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, **3**, pp. 253–265, 2004.
- 2. C. O. Alves, A., Hamidi, The Nehari manifold and Existence of positive solutions to a class of quassilinear problems, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **60**, pp. 611–624, 2005.
- 3. A. El Hamidi, J. M. Rakotoson, Compactness and quasilinear problems with critical exponents, *Differential and Integral Equations*, **18**, pp. 1201–1220, 2005.
- 4. K. Adriouch, A. El Hamidi, The Nehari manifold for systems of nonlinear elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **64**, pp. 2149–2167, 2006.
- Y. D. Bozhkov, E. Mitodieri, Existence of multiple solutions for quasilinear systems via Fibering Method, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 190, pp. 239–267, 2003.
- K. J. Brown, Y. Zhang, The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic equation with a signchanging weight function, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 193, pp. 481–499, 2003.
- 7. P.A. Binding, P. Drabek, Y.X. Huang, On Neumann boundary value problems for some quasilinear elliptic equations, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, **5**, pp. 1–11, 1997.
- 8. P. A. Binding, P. Drabek, Y. X. Huang, Existence of multiple solution of critical quasilinear elliptic Neumann problems, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **42**, pp. 613–629, 2000.
- 9. Z. Nehari, On a class of nonlinear second-order differential equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **95**, pp. 101–123, 1960.
- 10. M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhauser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 1996.
- 11. P. Drabek, S. I. Pohozaev, Positve solutions for the *p*-Laplacian: application of the fibrering method, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh*, **127**, pp. 703–726, 1997.